

### Supplementary Materials:

Table S1 Means and standard deviation [SD] of all measured and calculated trees' structural data *D. regia*, *F. nitida* and *P. dactylifera*. Where n— number of samples, dbh— diameter at breast height, h-tree height, cl-crown length, cd-crown diameter, cpa -crown projection area, and cv-crown volume, respectively.

Age	n	dbh [cm] Mean±SD	h [m] Mean±SD	cl [m] Mean±SD	cd [m] Mean±SD	cpa [ $m^2$ ] Mean±SD	cv [ $m^3$ ] Mean
<i>D. regia</i>							
$\leq 25$	69	35.28±11.09	5.7±1.24	3.79±1.29	7.98±1.72	52.38±22.10	101.09±51.71
<i>F. nitida</i>							
$\leq 15$	30	17.0± 2.68	4.7±0.58	3.1±0.65	4.76 ±0.99	18.51±7.79	29.041±15.50
$16-25$	34	31.89±1.52	6.9±1.52	4.8 ±1.47	8.28±2.65	59.2 ±43.91	160±186.06
$\geq 25$	9	51.95±9.93	8.3 ±1.67	5.93 ±1.06	8.07 ±2.12	54.33 ±27.33	172±109.32
<i>P. dactylifera</i>							
$\leq 25$	10	43.74±3.80	13.41±1.09	4.95±1.13	5.64±0.48	25.10±4.26	95.48±24.28
$30-79$	22	45.77 ±5.56	13.83±2.65	3.18±1.47	5.39±1.67	23.79±15.45	99.96±95.70
$\geq 80$	38	49.32 ±8.77	13.48±3.57	2.58±1.59	4.57±1.19	18.02±8.94	62.58±46.84

Table S2 Results of the regression analysis of LAI, the predictor variables, and the tree variables (dbh, h, cd and cv) as a response and the regression equation ( $y=a+b\times\ln(x)$ ). The table below lists the determination of  $R^2$ , standard error and p-values.

$(y) = a + b \times \ln(x)$							
Species	Parameters	n	a	b	p-value	SE	$R^2$
<i>D. regia</i>	LAI vs $\ln(\text{dbh})$	69	3.60	0.01	0.44	0.02	<0.01
	LAI vs $\ln(h)$	69	1.69	0.01	0.72	0.01	0.22
	LAI vs $\ln(\text{cv})$	69	4.79	(0.06)	0.14	0.04	0.03
	LAI vs $\ln(\text{cd})$	69	2.26	(0.03)	0.01*	0.01	0.08
<i>F. nitida</i>	LAI vs $\ln(\text{dbh})$	71	3.35	(0.02)	0.47	0.03	0.01
	LAI vs $\ln(h)$	71	1.82	(0.01)	0.74	0.02	0.01
	LAI vs $\ln(\text{cv})$	71	4.50	(0.06)	0.37	0.07	0.01
	LAI vs $\ln(\text{cd})$	71	2.03	(0.03)	0.13	0.02	0.03
<i>P. dactylifera</i>	LAI vs $\ln(\text{dbh})$	48	3.95	(0.39)	(0.05)	0.02	0.08
	LAI vs $\ln(h)$	48	2.82	(0.08)	0.01*	0.03	0.13
	LAI vs $\ln(\text{cv})$	48	3.74	0.16	0.09	0.09	0.06
	LAI vs $\ln(\text{cd})$	48	1.46	0.05	0.09	0.03	0.06

Table S3 Mean of the tree pit and related standard error to the growth site for *D. regia*, *F. nitida*, and *P. dactylifera*, as well as the p-values for each ANOVA. Mean values in the same column differ significantly when followed by different letters.

Species	site	Mean tree pit	Standard error
P=<0.001 ***			
<i>F.nitida</i>	Street	13.6a	4.37
	parking lot	23.2a	6.27
	Public place	58.9b	7.05
P=<0.001 **			
<i>D.regia</i>	Street	57.71b	5.98
	parking lot		
	Public place	5.11a	19.23
P=0.363			
<i>P.dactylifera</i>	Street	301.1a	7.15
	Square	16.7a	12.38
	Public place	34.7a	3.92

Table S4 Results of the summary of the linear mixed model regression analysis of a carbon fixation and the predictor variables, and the tree variables (h, dbh, cd, and age) as a response and the regression equation  $\ln(y) = a + b_1 \times \ln(x_1) + b_2 \times \ln(x_2) + b_3 \times \ln(x_3) + \epsilon$ . The table below lists the determination of  $R^2$ ,  $\tau_{00}$  : variance of random intercept, N site" refers to the number of distinct groups or sites in the data, where each group may have multiple observations, N T.pit" refers to the number of total observations or data points in all the sites, which is equal to the sum of the number of observations in each site,  $\sigma^2$  refers to the residual variance, and p-values.

Species	Observations	Predictors	Estimates	p	Random Effects	
<i>D. regia</i>	69	(Intercept)	-235	<0.001	Marginal R <sup>2</sup>	Conditional R <sup>2</sup>
		dbh [cm]	4.44	<0.001	0.996	0.999
		h	0.48	<0.001	$\sigma^2 = 0$	$\tau_{00}$ site, T.pit=0
		cd	0.23	<0.001	N site = 2	N T.pit = 63
<i>F. nitida</i>	73	(Intercept)	-2.81	<0.001	Marginal R <sup>2</sup>	Conditional R <sup>2</sup>
		dbh [cm]	4.52	<0.001	0.963	0.978
		h	0.89	<0.001	$\sigma^2 = 0$	$\tau_{00}$ site, T.pit=0
		cd	0.27	<0.001	N site = 4	N T.pit = 65
<i>P. dactylifera</i>	70	(Intercept)	1.48	<0.001	Marginal R <sup>2</sup>	Conditional R <sup>2</sup>
		h	2	<0.001	0.71	0.75
		cd	0.31	0.024	$\sigma^2 = 0.02$	$\tau_{00}$ site, T.pit=0
		Age	0.31	0.002	N site = 70	N T.pit = 0

Table S5 Results of the summary of linear mixed model regression analysis of a shaded area and the predictor variables, and the tree variables (h, dbh, cd, and age) as a response and the regression equation  $n(y) = a + b1 \times \ln(x1) + b2 \times \ln(x2) + \varepsilon$ . The table below lists the determination of  $R^2$ ,  $\tau_{00}$  : variance of random intercept, N site" refers to the number of distinct groups or sites in the data, where each group may have multiple observations , N T.pit" refers to the number of total observations or data points in all the sites, which is equal to the sum of the number of observations in each site,  $\sigma^2$  refers to the residual variance, and p-values.

Species	Observations	Predictors	Estimates	p	Random Effects
<i>D. regia</i>	69	(Intercept)	1.29	0.031	Marginal $R^2 = 0.189$
		h	1.19	0.056	$\sigma^2 = 0.09$
		cd	1.63	0.008	N site=2
<i>F. nitida</i>	73		-0.52	<0.001	Conditional
		(Intercept)	2.95	<0.001	$R^2 = 0.943$
		h			$\tau_{00} = 0.02$
<i>P. dactylifera</i>	48	cd	2.25	<0.001	N site=4
		(Intercept)	8.52	0.012	Marginal $R^2 = 0.0.085$
		h	-3.23	0.066	$\sigma^2 = 0.52$
		cd	-0.26	0.796	N site=3
					N T.pit=0