Article

Endophytic Community Composition and Genetic-Enzymatic Features of Cultivable Bacteria in *Vaccinium myrtillus* L. in Forests of the Baltic-Nordic Region

Ingrida Mažeikienė ^{1,*} , Birutė Frercks ¹, Daiva Burokienė ² , Irena Mačionienė ³ and Alvija Šalaševičienė ³

¹ Lithuanian Research Centre for Agriculture and Forestry, Institute of Horticulture, Babtai, LT-54333 Kaunas, Lithuania; birute.frercks@lammc.lt

² Nature Research Centre, Institute of Botany, Akademijos Street 2, 08412 Vilnius, Lithuania; daiva.burokiene@gamtc.lt

³ Food Institute, Kaunas University of Technology, Radvilėnų Avenue 19, 50292 Kaunas, Lithuania; irena.macioniene@ktu.lt (I.M.); alvija.salaseviciene@ktu.lt (A.Š.)

* Correspondence: ingrida.mazeikiene@lammc.lt; Tel.: +370-37-555253

Abstract: Regardless of their growth locations and species diversity, plants have endophytic bacterial communities. Bilberry (*Vaccinium myrtillus* L.) is valuable for human health because of its antioxidant properties, and the plant has adapted to stressful growing conditions in forests. Here, we aimed to describe the composition of the community of endophytic microorganisms in bilberry leaves and to determine whether the diversity of endophytic bacteria varies depending on the geographical location of the plants. In this study, we evaluated the significance of endophytes in the host plant and the potential use of such bacteria. Twenty-five culturable bacterial isolates were identified in *V. myrtillus* leaves based on 16S rRNA gene sequencing and phylogenetic analysis. For the first time, we report upon the diversity of endophytic communities coexisting in bilberry leaves in different geographical locations of the Nordic-Baltic region. Under harsh conditions, the bilberry plants had a greater diversity of bacteria. The bacterial families *Bacillaceae*, *Paenibacillaceae* and *Micrococcaceae* were the most common endophytes in leaves of bilberry. Strains of *Staphylococcaceae*, *Lactobacillaceae*, *Pseudomonaceae*, *Corynebacteriaceae* and *Planococcaceae* were identified in samples from Finland and Norway. Plant growth-promoting genes (*acdS* and *AcPho*) and/or enzymatic activity were identified in many isolates.

Keywords: *Vaccinium myrtillus* L.; endophytes; *acdS* gene; *AcPho* gene; enzymatic activity

Citation: Mažeikienė, I.; Frercks, B.; Burokienė, D.; Mačionienė, I.; Šalaševičienė, A. Endophytic Community Composition and Genetic-Enzymatic Features of Cultivable Bacteria in *Vaccinium myrtillus* L. in Forests of the Baltic-Nordic Region. *Forests* **2021**, *12*, 1647. <https://doi.org/10.3390/f12121647>

Academic Editor: Benedetto T. Linaldeddu

Received: 27 October 2021

Accepted: 24 November 2021

Published: 27 November 2021

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

Microorganisms are one of the most important organisms that can develop beneficial associations with plants [1]. Endophytes are a group of microorganisms that reside in healthy plants and do not cause a pathogenic effect, which is why such organisms are of increasing interest to scientists in different research areas [2]. Endophytic bacteria, as a main component of the plant microbiota, thrive in plants and may improve plant growth under normal conditions and (or) provide a protective response to biotic or abiotic stress [3]. Numerous studies have confirmed that endophytes play an important role in the synthesis of secondary metabolites and act as plant growth regulators because of their resistance to plant diseases or environmental stressors [2,4–7]. A wide range of endophytic bacterial communities have been identified in wild or cultural plant species [3,8–11]. The primary pathway for bacteria to enter the plant is through the roots, but the final site of accumulation for these specialized endophytic bacteria is leaves tissue [12]. Nevertheless, only a few bacteria can colonize aerial vegetative parts of host plants because of the physiological properties required to occupy these plants tissues [3]. The endophytic diversity in a plant and in a particular community may depend on several factors, such as the genetics,

morphology, and physiology of a certain host plant. The growth site and environmental conditions of the host plant may also affect the diversity of endophytic bacteria [13].

Bilberry (*Vaccinium myrtillus* L.) is a useful wild berry in Europe. Bilberry is a natural resource, and various parts of the plant contain many benefits for human health and have been used in traditional European medicine for more than 1000 years. Nutritional composition and antioxidant activity due to the abundance of phenolic compounds in leaves extracts are beneficial to human health [14,15]. The growing area of *V. myrtillus* is native to Europe, but this species is also found in temperate and sub-Arctic regions around the world. Bilberries are widely abundant and easily found in the forests of Lithuania, Latvia, Finland, and Norway. Well-drained, moist, acidic soils are best for this species, but it can also grow in very acidic soils (pH 4.5–6). Thus, the adaptation and secondary metabolism of *V. myrtillus* plants can be synergistically affected by microbial endophytes, the benefits and potential of which are, in many cases, unknown in wild forest plants. In addition, some endophytes have shown a good ability to colonize host plant tissues; therefore, bacteria have a beneficial effect on plant growth by providing plants with the necessary nutrients or bioactive compounds [16–19]. Many beneficial microorganisms from different plant species and environments have recently been identified that can act as sources of new bioactive compounds and can therefore be used in the medical, agricultural or food industries. Numerous microbiological and ecological studies have shown that plant endophytes and their products may be promising candidates as a biological control measure.

The aim of this study was to describe the composition of the endophytic bacterial community in *V. myrtillus* L. leaves and evaluate the influence of geographical plant origin on the diversity of endophytic bacteria.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Collection of Plant Material

Bilberry leaves (*V. myrtillus* L.) were collected in July 2020. Plant material samples were collected from three different locations in each of the Baltic-Nordic countries (Lithuania, Latvia, Finland, and Norway) (Figure 1), taken to the laboratory in sealed plastic bags and stored at -18°C until further analysis.

2.2. Isolation of Endophytic Bacteria

The bilberry leaf surfaces were disinfected according to the following protocol applied in our laboratory: soaking in 70% ethanol for 5 min, 4% sodium hypochlorite for 5 min, 70% ethanol for 1 min, and finally rinsing five times with sterile, distilled water. Test samples were homogenized in 10 mL of sterile water using a sterile mortar and pestle. Leaf tissue extract was spread on LB (Luria Bertani agar) (Liofilchem diagnostici, Roseto degli Abruzzi, Italy) plates and incubated for up to 5–7 days at 30°C , after which each Petri dish was evaluated. For sterility control, healthy sterile leaves or berries were placed on LB agar. To confirm the disinfection protocol, aliquots of sterile water used in the final rinse were plated on LB agar.

2.3. Classification of Isolated Endophytic Bacteria

Bacterial classification was performed using sequence analysis of the 16S rRNA gene. Total DNA of each strain was extracted using the GeneJET Genomic DNA Purification Kit (Thermo Scientific, Vilnius, Lithuania) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The primers E8 F/U1510R [20] were used to amplify the 16S rRNA gene. The PCR reaction mix was carried out in a volume of 20 μL and was composed of 10 $\times$ TaqDNA polymerase buffer 2.5 μL , 2.5 mM MgCl_2 2 μL , 0.2 mM dNTP mix 2 μL , 0.1 μM forward and reverse primer 0.2 μL , 0.1 unit Taq DNA polymerase (Thermo Scientific, Vilnius, Lithuania) and 100 ng of DNA. The amplification reaction was performed in a Mastercycler gradient S thermal cycler (Eppendorf Ltd., Stevenage, UK) under the following conditions: initial denaturation at 95°C for 3 min, 35 cycles of amplification at 94°C for 40 s, 55°C for 90 s and 72°C for 2 min, followed by a final extension step at 72°C

for 15 min. After visualization on 1.2% agarose gel, PCR products were cut and purified using the GeneJET Gel Extraction Kit (Thermo Scientific, Vilnius, Lithuania) and then sequenced using the Sanger method using the BigDye Terminator v3.1 Cycle Sequencing Kit (Applied Biosystems, Waltham, MA, USA) in the laboratory. Twenty-five sequences were subjected to analysis using the Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST) program in the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) database to determine sequence similarity. Biodiversity visualization of culturable endophytic bacteria was performed using Krona2 [21]. The bacterial collection is stored in the Microorganisms Collection of the Food Institute of KTU and in the Cryopreservation laboratory of LAMMC.

Figure 1. Geographic locations of the samples collection places. Coordinates of the bilberry leaves sampling places in Lithuania: 1-54.1228583/24.7169587; 2-54.7215902/23.5088667; 3-55.0746818/22.4706382; in Latvia: 4-57.142528/21.865862, 5-57.150996/21.851492, 6-57.146518/21.872110; in Finland: 7-64.861507/26.704433, 8-64.98617/25.903658, 9-65.229213/25.559873; in Norway: 10-69.6944201/18.9911423, 11-69.7512821/19.0257227, 12-69.6708430/18.618162.

2.4. Determination of the Enzymatic Activity of the Bacterial Endophytes

Determination of amylases and proteases: The presence of amylase and proteolytic enzymatic activity was determined using LB agar (Liofilchem diagnostici, Roseto degli Abruzzi, Italy) supplemented with 1% (*w/v*) soluble starch and 1% (*w/v*) gelatin. Freshly prepared bacterial endophytes were inoculated on agar as spot dots and incubated at 30 °C for 24–48 h. After incubation, the plates were flooded with 1% iodine solution, and the appearance of a transparent zone around the bacterial colonies confirmed the presence of amylases. Gelatin hydrolysis was observed after flooding the plates with acidic mercuric chloride as an indicator. A clear or lightly colored halo around the colonies indicated a

positive reaction [22]. The enzymatic bacterial activity was assessed three times, and scales according to the halo zones on agar in millimeters were estimated.

Determination of catalase activity. For catalase activity, a drop of hydrogen peroxide (3%) was added to a colony of endophytic bacteria, and oxygen was observed by bubble formation [22].

2.5. Identification of Genes Involved in Plant Growth Promotion

The genes responsible for the synthesis of 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate (ACC) deaminase (*acdS*) and acid phosphatase (*AcPho*) enzymes were identified using primers specific for these genes [23]. The PGR reaction mix was carried out in a volume of 20 μ L and was composed of 10 $\times$ TaqDNA polymerase buffer 2.5 μ L, 2.5 mM $MgCl_2$ 2 μ L, 0.2 mM dNTP mix 2 μ L, 0.1 μ M forward and reverse primer 0.2 μ L, 0.1 unit Taq DNA polymerase (Thermo Scientific, Vilnius, Lithuania), and 100 ng of DNA. The amplification reaction was performed in a Master-cycler gradient S thermal cycler (Eppendorf Ltd., Stevenage, UK) under the following conditions: initial denaturation at 95 $^{\circ}$ C for 3 min, 35 cycles of amplification at 94 $^{\circ}$ C for 30 s, 52 $^{\circ}$ C (*acdS*), and 55 $^{\circ}$ C (*AcPho*) for 45 s, and 72 $^{\circ}$ C for 1 min, and the reaction was completed at 72 $^{\circ}$ C for 10 min. PCR products were visualized on 1.2% agarose gel by staining with ethidium bromide. Amplification product sizes were estimated using the molecular DNA marker GeneRuler™ 1kb DNA Ladder Mix (Thermo Scientific, Vilnius, Lithuania). The agarose gels were documented and assessed using a Herolab UV chamber and the E.A.S.Y Win 32 documentation program.

3. Results

3.1. Biodiversity of Endophytic Bacteria in Bilberry Leaves

A total of 25 genetically distinct endophytic bacteria were isolated from the leaves of *V. myrtillus* according to the sequence data of the 16S rRNA gene (Table 1). BLAST and phylogenetic analysis of 16S rRNA gene sequences revealed that the endophytic bacterial isolates were 99.58–100% similar to the sequences available in the NCBI GenBank. The 16S rDNA nucleotide sequences were submitted to GenBank and assigned accession numbers MZ469297 to MZ469321. The genetic identity among the sequences was found to be 100% in 18 bacteria strains. The 16S rRNA gene sequences demonstrated that strains Bil-LT1_1, Bil-LT1_2, Bil-LT4_7, Bil-LV3_1, and Bil-FIN2_3 were identical to several *Bacillus* spp. species from NCBI; however, due to genetic similarity within the *Bacillus* spp. species, precise identification of the isolates should be performed for further studies. The same situation was observed for strains Bil-LT4_8 and Bil-NOR3_14, which were related to *Micrococcus* sp., and strains Bil-Bil-2_5, Bil-Bil-2_6, and Bil-NOR3_11, which were related to *Staphylococcus* sp. One strain, Bil-FIN2_7, was related to *Lysinibacillus* spp. Three strains (Bil-LV3_4, LV3_6, and NOR3_18) with minor nucleotide polymorphisms were closely related to *Paenibacillus* spp. Strains of endophytic bacteria, such as *Rothia amarae* (Bil-LT4_1), *Paenibacillus tundrae* (Bil-LV3_3), *Kocuria kristinae* (Bil-FIN2_9, Bil-FIN2_13), *Weissella hellenica* (Bil-FIN2_10), *Micrococcus terreus* (Bil-FIN2_12), *Corynebacterium freneyi* (Bil-NOR3_13), *Pseudomonas monteilii* (Bil-NOR3_15), *Sporosarcina aquimarina* (Bil-NOR3_16), and *Paenibacillus xylanexedens* (Bil-NOR3_17), were identified in bilberry leaves.

The biodiversity of endophytic bacteria in bilberry leaves from the countries of the Baltic-Nordic region (Lithuania, Latvia, Finland, and Norway) was investigated and is shown in Figure 2. Endophytic bacteria isolated from bilberry leaves belonged to the phyla *Firmicutes* 64%, *Actinobacteria* 32%, and *Proteobacteria* 4%. Bacteria of the families *Bacillaceae*, *Paenibacillaceae*, and *Micrococcaceae* were the most frequently isolated and identified in blueberry leaves. *Staphylococcaceae*, *Lactobacillaceae*, *Pseudomonaceae*, *Corynebacteriaceae*, and *Planococcaceae* strains were identified in one or more cases.

Table 1. Comparative matches for the closest phylogenetic genotypes (according NCBI records) obtained for the culturable bacteria isolates based on profile of 16S rRNA gene.

Isolate	Accession Number in NCBI	Identity Accessions, According NCBI	Sequence Length, bp (Identity, %)
Bil-LT1_1	MZ469297	<i>Bacillus halotolerans</i> MK517597.1 <i>B. mojavensis</i> MF040286.1 <i>B. velezensis</i> MT634548.1 <i>B. axarquiensis</i> GU568194.1 <i>B. subtilis</i> AB526464.1	1437 (100)
Bil-LT1_2	MZ469298	<i>Bacillus simplex</i> LK391525.1 <i>Peribacillus butanolivorans</i> CP050509.1	1431 (100)
Bil-LT4_1	MZ469299	<i>Rothia amarae</i> MG905369.1	1400 (99.79)
Bil-LT4_3	MZ469300	Bacterium strain MTL8-4 MH151301.1	1439 (99.58)
Bil-LT4_7	MZ469301	<i>Bacillus zhangzhouensis</i> MN826587.1 <i>B. pumilus</i> CP054310.1 <i>B. safensis</i> KJ542766.1 <i>B. stratosphericus</i> KY203662.1	1420 (100)
Bil-LT4_8	MZ469302	<i>Micrococcus</i> sp. MG132043.1 <i>M. luteus</i> AJ409096.1	1398 (100)
Bil-LV3_1	MZ469303	<i>Bacillus</i> sp. strain MK736127.1 <i>B. aryabhatai</i> MN515130.1 <i>B. megaterium</i> MF988696.1	1427 (100)
Bil-LV3_3	MZ469304	<i>Paenibacillus tundrae</i> HF545335.1	1431 (100)
Bil-LV3_4	MZ469305	<i>Paenibacillus</i> sp. MK290403.1	1435 (99.65)
Bil-LV3_6	MZ469306	<i>Paenibacillus</i> sp. MG758020.1	1450 (99.86)
Bil-FIN2_3	MZ469307	<i>Bacillus cereus</i> MN068934.1 <i>B. thuringiensis</i> CP050183.1	1439 (100)
Bil-FIN-2_5	MZ469308	<i>Staphylococcus warneri</i> CP038242.1 <i>S. pasteurii</i> MW433878.1	1437 (100)
Bil-FIN2_6	MZ469309	<i>Staphylococcus warneri</i> CP038242.1 <i>S. pasteurii</i> MW433878.1	1437 (100)
Bil-FIN2_7	MZ469310	<i>Lysinibacillus macrolides</i> MH542661.1 <i>L. xylanilyticus</i> KP644237.1 <i>L. fusiformis</i> FJ641020.1	1427 (100)
Bil-FIN2_9	MZ469311	<i>Kocuria kristinae</i> KX055834.1	1384 (100)
Bil-FIN2_10	MZ469312	<i>Weissella hellenica</i> CP042399.1	1447 (100)
Bil-FIN2_12	MZ469313	<i>Micrococcus terreus</i> KJ781899.1	1385 (100)
Bil-FIN2_13	MZ469314	<i>Kocuria kristinae</i> KX055834.1	1384 (100)
Bil-NOR3_11	MZ469315	<i>Staphylococcus</i> sp. KM253075.1	1431 (100)
Bil-NOR3_13	MZ469316	<i>Corynebacterium freneyi</i> EF462412.1	1393 (99.86)
Bil-NOR3_14	MZ469317	<i>Micrococcus</i> sp. KX350143.1 <i>M. luteus</i> MN826463.1	1385 (100)
Bil-NOR3_15	MZ469318	<i>Pseudomonas monteilii</i> CP013997.1	1422 (100)
Bil-NOR3_16	MZ469319	<i>Sporosarcina aquimarina</i> MK726086.1	1433 (100)
Bil-NOR3_17	MZ469320	<i>Paenibacillus xylanexedens</i> CP018620.1	1436 (99.79)
Bil-NOR3_18	MZ469321	<i>Paenibacillus</i> sp. KR055031.1	1427 (99.86)

Figure 2. Biodiversity of endophytic bacteria in bilberry leaves of the Baltic-Nordic region's forests—Lithuania (LT), Latvia (LV), Finland (FIN) and Norway (NOR).

Endophytic bacteria isolated from Norwegian bilberry leaves belonged to *Firmicutes* 1%, *Actinobacteria* 12%, and *Proteobacteria* 4% phyla. Meanwhile, bacteria in Finnish and Lithuanian leaf samples belonged to *Firmicutes* (20% and 16%, respectively) and *Actinobacteria* (12% and 8%, respectively). Only *Firmicutes* phylum bacteria were isolated from the Latvian samples. Bacteria of the *Bacillaceae* family were isolated and identified in the bilberry leaves of Lithuania, Latvia, and Finland, but were not found in plant samples from Norway. Bacteria belonging to six different families isolated from leaves samples were collected from the northern countries—Finland and Norway (Figure 2).

3.2. Enzymatic-Genetic Features of Endophytic Bacteria in Bilberry Leaves

Enzymatic activity was tested in all bacterial endophytes isolated from bilberry leaves (Table 2). The results showed that amylase was detected in 44% of the tested isolates, proteases in 56%, and catalases in 88%. The study showed that seven bacterial endophytes isolated from bilberry leaves were able to produce amylase, protease, and catalase. All bacterial endophytes tested had at least one enzymatic activity, except for one bacterial strain, *Weissella hellenica* Bil-FIN2_10, which lacked amylase, protease, and catalase activity.

Table 2. Enzymatic activity and the presence of the genes *acdS* (1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate deaminase (ACCD)) and *AcPh* (acid phosphatase) in endophytic bacteria isolated from bilberry leaves of the Baltic-Nordic region.

Endophytic Bacteria Strains in Different Geographic Locations	Amylolytic Activity, mm	Proteolytic Activity, mm	Catalase Reaction	Gene <i>acdS</i>	Gene <i>AcPho</i>
<i>Bacillus</i> sp. Bil-LT1_1	11.9 ± 0.1	10.0 ± 0.1	+	+	-
<i>Bacillus</i> sp. Bil-LT1_2			+	-	-
<i>Rothia amarae</i> Bil-LT4_1	12.0 ± 0.2	11.8 ± 0.2	+	-	-
Bacterium strain Bil-LT4_3		9.8 ± 0.1	+	-	-
<i>Bacillus</i> sp. Bil-LT4_7	9.0 ± 0.2	10.2 ± 0.1	+	-	-
<i>Micrococcus</i> sp. Bil-LT4_8		9.3 ± 0.1	+	-	-
<i>Bacillus</i> sp. Bil-LV3_1	12.5 ± 0.1	14.2 ± 0.2	+	-	-
<i>Paenibacillus tundrae</i> Bil-LV3_3	10.1 ± 0.2	11.9 ± 0.2	+	-	-
<i>Paenibacillus</i> sp. Bil-LV3_4	12.1 ± 0.1	10.2 ± 0.1	+	-	-
<i>Paenibacillus</i> sp. Bil-LV3_6		10.2 ± 0.1	-	-	-
<i>Bacillus</i> sp. Bil-FIN2_3	12.3 ± 0.2	14.3 ± 0.3	-	+	+
<i>Staphylococcus</i> sp. Bil-FIN2_5			+	-	-
<i>Staphylococcus</i> sp. Bil-FIN2_6	11.9 ± 0.2		+	-	-
<i>Lysinibacillus</i> sp. Bil-FIN2_7			+	-	-
<i>Kocuria kristinae</i> Bil-FIN2_9			+	+	-
<i>Weissella hellenica</i> Bil-FIN2_10			-	-	-
<i>Micrococcus terreus</i> Bil-FIN2_12		9.5 ± 0.1	+	-	+
<i>Kocuria kristinae</i> Bil-FIN2_13		8.8 ± 0.1	+	-	+
<i>Paenibacillus</i> sp. Bil-NOR3_17		10.1 ± 0.2	+	-	-
<i>Paenibacillus</i> sp. Bil-NOR3_18			+	-	-
<i>Corynebacterium</i> sp. Bil-NOR3_13	12.2 ± 0.1		+	-	-
<i>Micrococcus</i> sp. Bil-NOR3_14	10.3 ± 0.2	14.5 ± 0.2	+	-	-
<i>Staphylococcus</i> sp. Bil-NOR3_11	10.1 ± 0.1		+	-	-
<i>Pseudomonas monteilii</i> Bil-NOR3_15			+	-	-
<i>Sporosarcina aquimarina</i> Bil-NOR3_16			+	-	-

The results of enzymatic activity (the halo zones on agar in millimeters) are expressed as a mean ± standard deviation; “+” positive or “-” negative enzymatic reaction and “+” presence or “-” absence of gene.

Two genes contributing to PGP traits were screened in the endophytic bacterial community. The genes responsible for the synthesis of ACC deaminases (*acdS*) and/or acid phosphatase (*AcPho*) were found in five (20%) isolates of endophytic bacteria (Table 2) belonging to the *Micrococcaceae* and *Bacillaceae* families. Four isolates of endophytic bacteria with genes responsible for overcoming salinity stress and helping absorb insoluble phosphorus from forest soils were found in Finnish leaf samples, and one was found in samples collected in Lithuania. One isolate, *Bacillus* sp. Bil-FIN2_3, yielded specific PGR fragments of the expected size for both genes. Isolates of *Bacillus* sp. Bil-LT1_1 and *Kocuria kristinae* Bil-FIN2_9 produced specific PCR products of the expected size (~850 bp) of the *acdS* gene. Two bacterial strains from Finland, *Micrococcus terreus* Bil-FIN2_12 and *Kocuria kristinae* Bil-FIN2_13, gave an amplified fragment of the expected size (~734 bp) for the *AcPho* gene, indicating the potential ability of these isolates to produce acid phosphatase.

4. Discussion

V. myrtillus is the most widespread vegetation type in coniferous forests in the Baltic-Nordic region. Although bilberry plants grow in low-pH soil and have a shallow and weak

root system, deficiency symptoms of nutrition elements N and P have not been observed in Norway. Previous research has shown that assimilation of these elements is mainly due to the symbiosis with ericoid mycorrhiza [24]. Given that endophytic bacterial diversity depends on the genotype of the host plant, the bacteria can also have bioactive functions and roles related to the quality of life and survival of the host plant [3,25].

Therefore, studies on endophytic microorganisms of various plants are becoming significant, and this knowledge is being applied in many areas, such as the biomedical field, the food industry, ecological organic agronomy, and others [7,26,27]. In this study, we used the bacterial culture method and the 16S rRNA gene sequencing technique to analyze the diversity of cultured endophytic bacterial communities isolated from *V. myrtillus* leaves collected from different countries of the North-Baltic region (Figure 1). A collection of 25 different endophytic bacteria was collected (Table 1 and Figure 2). In our study, diversity of the endophytic community was observed, similar to most cultivated plants. *Actinobacteria*, *Firmicutes*, and *Proteobacteria* are the main phyla of endophytic communities in *Zea mays* L., *Medicago sativa* L., *Piper nigrum* L., *Vitis* spp. L., and *Oryza* spp. L. plants [9]. *Bacillaceae*, *Peenibacillaceae*, *Staphylococcaceae*, and *Micrococcaceae* were the major families of endophytic bacterial communities. Bacteria strains belonging to *Pseudomonaceae*, *Lactobacillaceae*, and *Planococcaceae* were found in Norwegian leaves samples and *Corynebacteriaceae* strains were found in Finnish leaves samples. The novelty of our research is the identification of strain of *Micrococcus tereus* that was not previously found in plants but was present in forest soil [28] and the halophilic bacteria *Sporosarcina aquimarina* found in our study and previously described only by Janarthine and Eganathan [29] in research on endophytic microorganisms of mangrove *Avicennia marina*. The strain *Lysinibacillus* sp. Bil-Fin2_7 should be investigated in the future for its ability to aerobically reduce selenite according to Zhang et al. [19]. *Kocuria kristinae* was found in bilberry samples from Finland and is not uncommon in cultural plants but is characteristic of the leaves of strawberries grown in the fields [30], as an endophyte of banana shoots [31], switchgrass [32], and grapes [33]. With higher abiotic stress, plants have a greater need for adaptation, and the endophytic community becomes more diverse, leading to increased productivity of plant host metabolites to abiotic and biotic stress [34,35]. In our study, biodiversity of endophytes was greatest in the northern Norway region, and in the warmer regions it decreased.

To better understand the benefits and importance of the endophytic bacterial community for bilberry plants, a study on the production of enzymes and genes associated with plant growth-promoting effects was conducted (Table 2). Some genera of plant endophytic bacteria can produce different types of extracellular enzymes [36–38] and can enhance growth properties and modify metabolism and phytohormone signals in the host plants [39]. In the present study, the isolates tested had good bioactivity properties. In biochemical studies, bacterial endophytes showed extracellular enzymatic activity and amylase, protease, and catalase activity, producing a clear halo zone on LB agar plates. Catalase and protease activities were detected in most bacterial endophytes. Amylase, protease, and catalase activities were confirmed in seven isolates of endophytic bacteria (28% of the tested isolates) (Table 2). Vijayalakshmi et al. [40] reported the enzymatic activity of bacterial endophytes in medicinal plants. They found that most of the strains exhibited reasonable enzyme activity for amylase, protease, and cellulase on LB agar plates amended with 1% substrate. The presence of many enzymatic activities in bacterial strains from samples collected in Lithuania (Bil-LT1_1, Bil-LT4_1, and Bil-LT4_7), Latvia (Bil-LV3_1, Bil-LV3_3 and Bil-LV3_4), and Norway (Bil-NOR3_14) confirmed that some species of *Bacillus*, *Peenibacillus*, and *Micrococcus* tended to synthesize different enzymes during sporulation. The enzymes amylases and proteases were exhibited by endophytes in bilberry leaves, suggesting a potential role in metabolizing sugar and amino acids. Catalase is mostly found in almost all living organisms that metabolize oxygen. A positive catalase reaction was characteristic of 88% of bacterial isolates from bilberry leaves. The same results were obtained by Sudewi et al. [41], where positive catalase test results were predominant in endophytic bacteria isolated from rice roots (89%). Catalase is useful in a variety of indus-

trial and medical processes [42], for which bilberry leaf strains can be used. Amylolytic and proteolytic activities were observed in 56% and 44% of isolated bacteria from bilberry leaves, respectively, indicating the need for further investigation of these bacteria and their possible use in future use. In this study, the bacterial-specific *acdS* and *AcPho* genes were selected for their known benefits to host plants, and for their ability to overcome salinity stress and help absorb insoluble phosphorus from forest soils. The genes *acdS* and *AcPho* were established in five bacterial strains. According to PCR studies, three isolates had the ACC gene, including *Bacillus* sp. Bil-LT1_1, *Bacillus* sp. Bil-FIN2_3, and *Kocuria kristinae* Bil-FIN2_9. Microorganisms with the *acdS* gene were able to produce ACC deaminase, in which the ethylene precursor ACC was converted into α -ketobutyrate, indirectly reducing ethylene levels in plants and modulating the plant's resistance to salinity stress [43]. Endophytic bacteria with ACC deaminase activity belong to a wide range of bacterial genera, including *Acinetobacter*, *Achromobacter*, *Agrobacterium*, *Alcaligenes*, *Azospirillum*, *Bacillus*, *Burkholderia*, *Enterobacter*, *Pseudomonas*, *Ralstonia*, *Serratia*, and *Rhizobium* bacteria [44,45]. Thus, only three strains of bilberry leaves could produce ACC deaminase. Phosphate solubilization was mostly observed in endophytic bacteria. For example, approximately 59–100% of endophytic populations from cactus, strawberry, sunflower, soybean, and other legumes were mineral phosphate solubilizers [3]. In our study, the *AcPho* gene was found in *Bacillus* sp. Bil-FIN2_3, *Micrococcus terreus* Bil-FIN2_12, and *Kocuria kristinae* Bil-FIN2_13, and, according to other studies, these strains could dephosphorylate various organic phosphodiesterases [46] and play an important role in mineralizing plant organic phosphorous [47]. It is likely that *V. myrtillus* plants from acidic soils in Lithuania and Latvia also need endophytes to help them adapt and to carry out phosphorus metabolism.

5. Conclusions

A community of 25 microorganisms was found in the leaves of bilberry (*Vaccinium myrtillus* L.). The study showed that isolates with proteolytic and amylases activity indicated the possible expression of these enzymes and their potential role in the degradation of starch and protein organic matter in the ecosystem. Ninety-six percent of endophytic bacteria strains of *V. myrtillus* L. had positive enzymatic activity and 20% had functional plant growth-promoting traits. The accumulation of this new collection of microorganisms and the primary genetic-enzymatic analysis opens opportunities for the study of some isolates against pathogenic organisms and for reducing the effects of salinity stress on other plants.

Author Contributions: Original idea and conceptualization A.Š. All the authors have participated in the research investigation, data analysis, original draft preparation, review and editing. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: European Regional Development Fund through Interreg Baltic Sea Region Program; European Regional Development Fund through Interreg Nord.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: The sequencing data of this study are publicly available through the NCBI GenBank (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/>, accessed on 31 August 2021).

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no competing interest.

References

1. Santoyo, G.; Moreno-Hagelsieb, G.; del Carmen Orozco-Mosqueda, M.; Glick, B.R. Plant growth-promoting bacterial endophytes. *Microbiol. Res.* **2016**, *183*, 92–99. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
2. Qin, S.; Xing, K.; Jiang, J.H.; Xu, L.H.; Li, W.J. Biodiversity, bioactive natural products and biotechnological potential of plant-associated endophytic actinobacteria. *Adv. Appl. Microbiol.* **2011**, *89*, 457–473. [CrossRef]
3. Afzal, I.; Shinwaria, Z.K.; Sikandar, S.; Shahzad, S. Plant beneficial endophytic bacteria: Mechanisms, diversity, host range and genetic determinants. *Microbiol. Res.* **2019**, *221*, 36–49. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
4. Coombs, J.T.; Franco, C.M.M. Isolation and identification of actinobacteria from surface-sterilized wheat roots. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **2003**, *69*, 5603–5608. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

5. Glick, B.R. Bacteria with ACC deaminase can promote plant growth and help to feed the world. *Microbiol. Res.* **2014**, *169*, 30–39. [CrossRef]
6. Mora, Y.; Díaz, R.; Vargas-Lagunas, C.; Peratta, H.; Guerrero, G.; Aguilar, A.; Encarnación, S.; Girard, L.; Mora, L. Nitrogen-fixing rhizobial strains isolated from common bean seeds: Phylogeny, physiology, and genome analysis. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **2014**, *80*, 5644–5654. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
7. Gouda, S.; Das, G.; Sen, S.K.; Shin, H.S.; Patra, J.K. Endophytes: A Treasure House of Bioactive Compounds of Medicinal Importance. *Front. Microbiol.* **2016**, *7*, 1538. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
8. Rosenblueth, M.; Martínez-Romero, E. Bacterial endophytes and their interactions with hosts. *Mol. Plant Microbe Interact.* **2006**, *19*, 827–837. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
9. Miliute, I.; Buzaite, O.; Baniulis, D.; Stanys, V. Bacterial endophytes in agricultural crops and their role in stress tolerance: A review. *Zemdirbyste* **2015**, *102*, 465–478. Available online: <https://hdl.handle.net/20.500.12259/52375> (accessed on 26 November 2021). [CrossRef]
10. Anyasi, R.O.; Atagana, H.I. Endophyte: Understanding the microbes and its applications. *Pak. J. Biol. Sci.* **2019**, *22*, 154–167. Available online: <https://scialert.net/abstract/?doi=pjbs.2019.154.167> (accessed on 26 November 2021). [CrossRef] [PubMed]
11. Xu, W.; Wang, F.; Zhang, M.; Ou, T.; Wang, R.; Strobel, G.; Xiang, Z.; Zhou, Z.; Xie, J. Diversity of cultivable endophytic bacteria in mulberry and their potential for antimicrobial and plant growth-promoting activities. *Microbiol. Res.* **2019**, *229*, 126328. [CrossRef]
12. Senthilkumar, M.; Anandham, R.; Madhaiyan, M.; Venkateswaran, V.; Sa, T. Endophytic 895 bacteria: Perspectives and applications in agricultural crop production. In *Bacteria in Agrobiology: Crop 896 Ecosystems*; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2011; pp. 61–96.
13. Dastogeer, K.M.G.; Tumpa, F.H.; Sultana, A.; Akter, M.A.; Chakraborty, A. Plant microbiome—an account of the factors that shape community composition and diversity. *Curr. Plant Biol.* **2020**, *23*, 100161. [CrossRef]
14. Bujor, O.; Le, B.C.; Volf, I.; Popa, V.I.; Dufour, C. Seasonal variations of the phenolic constituents in bilberry (*Vaccinium myrtillus* L.) leaves, stems and fruits, and their antioxidant activity. *Food Chem.* **2016**, *213*, 58–68. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
15. Ziemlewska, A.; Zagórska-Dziok, M.; Nizioł-Łukaszewska, Z. Assessment of cytotoxicity and antioxidant properties of berry leaves as by-products with potential application in cosmetic and pharmaceutical products. *Sci. Rep.* **2021**, *11*, 3240. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
16. Hardoim, P.R.; van Overbeek, L.S.; Elsas, J.D. Properties of bacterial endophytes and their proposed role in plant growth. *Trends Microbiol.* **2008**, *16*, 463–471. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
17. Hardoim, P.R.; van Overbeek, L.S.; Berg, G.; Pirttilä, A.M.; Company, S.; Campisano, A.; Döring, M.; Sessitsch, A. The Hidden World within Plants: Ecological and Evolutionary Considerations for Defining Functioning of Microbial Endophytes. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* **2015**, *79*, 293–320. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
18. Singh, M.; Kumar, A.; Singh, R.; Pandey, K.D. Endophytic bacteria: A new source of bioactive compounds. *3 Biotech.* **2017**, *7*, 315. [CrossRef]
19. Zhang, J.; Wang, Y.; Shao, Z.; Li, J.; Zan, S.; Zhou, S.; Yang, R. Two selenium tolerant *Lysinibacillus* sp. strains are capable of reducing selenite to elemental Se efficiently under aerobic conditions. *J. Environ. Sci.* **2019**, *77*, 238–249. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
20. Baker, G.C.; Smith, J.J.; Cowan, D.A. Review and re-analysis of domain-specific 16S primers. *J. Microbiol. Methods* **2003**, *55*, 541–555. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
21. Ondov, B.D.; Bergman, N.H.; Phillippy, A.M. Interactive metagenomic visualization in a Web browser. *BMC Bioinform.* **2011**, *12*, 385. [CrossRef]
22. Fouda, A.; Eid, A.M.; Elsaied, A.; El-Belely, E.F.; Barghoth, M.G.; Azab, E.; Gobouri, A.A.; Hassan, S.E. Plant Growth-Promoting Endophytic Bacterial Community Inhabiting the Leaves of *Pulicaria incisa* (Lam.) DC Inherent to Arid Regions. *Plants* **2021**, *10*, 76. [CrossRef]
23. Lyngwi, N.A.; Nongkhilaw, M.; Kalita, D.; Joshi, S.R. Bioprospecting of Plant Growth Promoting Bacilli and Related Genera Prevalent in Soils of Pristine Sacred Groves: Biochemical and Molecular Approach. *PLoS ONE* **2016**, *11*, e0152951. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
24. Myers, M.D.; Leake, J.R. Phosphodiesterases as mycorrhizal P sources. II. Ericoid mycorrhiza and the utilization of nuclei as a phosphorus and nitrogen source by *Vaccinium macrocarpon*. *New Phytologist.* **1996**, *132*, 445–451. [CrossRef]
25. Papik, J.; Folkmanova, M.; Polivkova-Majorova, M.; Suman, J.; Uhlik, O. The invisible life inside plants: Deciphering the riddles of endophytic bacterial diversity. *Biotechnol. Adv.* **2020**, *44*, 107614. [CrossRef]
26. Radha, S.; Ashok, D.K. Diversity and Applications of Endophytic Actinobacteria of Plants in Special and Other Ecological Niches. *Front. Microbiol.* **2018**, *9*, 1767. [CrossRef]
27. Ek-Ramos, M.J.; Gomez-Flores, R.; Orozco-Flores, A.A.; Rodríguez-Padilla, C.; González-Ochoa, G.; Tamez-Guerra, P. Bioactive Products From Plant-Endophytic Gram-Positive Bacteria. *Front. Microbiol.* **2019**, *10*, 463. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
28. Zhang, J.-Y.; Liu, X.-Y.; Liu, S.-J. *Agrococcus terreus* sp. nov. and *Micrococcus terreus* sp. nov., isolated from forest soil. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* **2010**, *60*, 1897–1903. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
29. Janarthine, S.R.S.; Eganathan, P. Plant Growth Promoting of Endophytic *Sporosarcina aquimarina* SJA16103 Isolated from the Pneumatophores of *Avicennia marina* L. *Int. J. Microbiol.* **2012**, *2012*, 532060. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
30. Kukkurainen, S.; Leino, A.; Vähämäki, S.; Kärkkäinen, H.R.; Ahanen, K.; Sorvari, S.; Rugienius, R.; Toldi, O. Occurrence and Location of Endophytic Bacteria in Garden and Wild Strawberry. *HortScience* **2005**, *40*, 348–352. [CrossRef]

31. Thomas, P.; Soly, T.A. Endophytic Bacteria Associated with Growing Shoot Tips of Banana (*Musa* sp.) cv. Grand Naine and the Affinity of Endophytes to the Host. *Microb. Ecol.* **2009**, *58*, 952–964. Available online: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/27770582> (accessed on 26 November 2021). [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
32. Xia, Y.; Greissworth, E.; Mucci, C.; Williams, M.A.; Debolt, S. Characterization of culturable bacterial endophytes of switchgrass (*Panicum virgatum* L.) and their capacity to influence plant growth. *Glob. Change Biol. Bioenergy* **2013**, *5*, 674–682. [[CrossRef](#)]
33. Pacifico, D.; Squartini, A.; Crucitti, D.; Barizza, E.; Lo Schiavo, F.; Muresu, R.; Carimi, F.; Zottini, M. The Role of the Endophytic Microbiome in the Grapevine Response to Environmental Triggers. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2019**, *10*, 1256. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
34. Wani, Z.A.; Ashraf, N.; Mohiuddin, T.; Riyaz-Ul-Hassan, S. Plant-endophyte symbiosis, an eco-logical perspective. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* **2015**, *99*, 2955–2965. [[CrossRef](#)]
35. Miliute, I.; Buzaite, O.; Gelvonauskiene, D.; Sasnauskas, A.; Stanys, V.; Baniulis, D. Plant growth promoting and antagonistic properties of endophytic bacteria isolated from domestic apple. *Zemdirbyste* **2016**, *103*, 77–82. [[CrossRef](#)]
36. Ponnuraj, K.; Nessi, C.; Setlow, P.; Jedrzejewski, M.J. Structural studies of a novel germination protease from spores of *Bacillus megaterium*. *J. Struct. Biol.* **1999**, *125*, 19–24. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
37. Kamasaka, H.; Sagimoto, K.; Takata, H.; Nishimura, T.; Kuirk, T. *Bacillus stearothermophilus* Neopullulase selective hydrolysis of amulose to maltose in the presence of amylopectin. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **2002**, *6*, 1658–1664. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
38. Traving, S.J.; Thygesen, U.H.; Riemann, L.; Stedmon, C.A. A model of extracellular enzymes in free-living microbes: Which strategy pays off? *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **2015**, *81*, 7385–7393. [[CrossRef](#)]
39. Sturz, A.V.; Christie, B.R.; Nowak, J. Bacterial endophytes: Potential role in developing sustain-able systems of crop production. *CRC Crit Rev Plant Sci* **2000**, *19*, 1–30. [[CrossRef](#)]
40. Vijayalakshmi, R.; Kairunnisa1, K.; Sivvaswamy, N.S.; Dharan, S.S.; Natarajan, S. Enzyme production and antimicrobial activity of endophytic bacteria isolated from medicinal plants. *Indian J. Technol.* **2016**, *9*, 1–8. [[CrossRef](#)]
41. Sudewi, S.; Ala, A.; Baharuddin, F.M. The isolation, characterization endophytic bacteria from roots of local rice plant Kamba in, Central Sulawesi, Indonesia. *Biodiversitas* **2020**, *21*, 1614–1624. [[CrossRef](#)]
42. Babiker, B.M.; Ahmed, M.A.E.; Ibrahim, H.M. Isolation & identification of catalase producing *Bacillus* spp.: A comparative study. *Int. J. Adv. Res.* **2016**, *4*, 1206–1211. Available online: https://www.journalijar.com/uploads/493_IJAR-8701.pdf (accessed on 26 November 2021).
43. Bouffaud, M.L.; Renoud, S.; Dubost, A.; Moënné-Loccoz, Y.; Muller, D. Aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate deaminase producers associated to maize and other Poaceae species. *Microbiome* **2018**, *6*, 114. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
44. Zahir, Z.A.; Ghani, U.; Naveed, M.; Nadeem, S.M.; Asghar, H.N. Comparative effectiveness of *Pseudomonas* and *Serratia* sp. containing ACC-deaminase for improving growth and yield of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) under salt-stressed conditions. *Arch. Microbiol.* **2009**, *191*, 415–424. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
45. Kang, B.G.; Kim, W.T.; Yun, H.S.; Chang, S.C. Use of plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria to control stress responses of plant roots. *Plant Biotechnol. Rep.* **2010**, *4*, 179–183. [[CrossRef](#)]
46. Neal, A.L.; Blackwell, M.; Akkari, E.; Guyomar, S.; Clark, I.; Hirsch, P.R. Phylogenetic distribution, biogeography and the effects of land management upon bacterial non-specific Acid phosphatase Gene diversity and abundance. *Plant Soil* **2018**, *427*, 175–189. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
47. Rodriguez, H.; Fraga, R. Phosphate solubilizing bacteria and their role in plant growth promotion. *Biotechnol. Adv.* **1999**, *17*, 319–339. [[CrossRef](#)]