

Table S1. Mixed-model table of abundance during mobbing trials and the influence of habitat, season, and caller species.

Source	Type III Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Intercept	2258.626	1	2,258.62 6	83.149	<0.001
Habitat	750.443	2	375.221	13.813	<0.001
Error	461.779	17	27.163		
Effect		Value	F	Hypothesis df	Error df
Season	Wilks' Lambda	0.274	21.239	2	16
Season * Habitat	Wilks' Lambda	0.438	4.084	4	32
Species	Wilks' Lambda	0.225	27.480	2	16
Species * Habitat	Wilks' Lambda	0.479	3.556	4	32
Season * Species	Wilks' Lambda	0.454	4.211	4	14
Season * Species * Habitat	Wilks' Lambda	0.471	1.600	8	28
					0.17

* = interaction.

Table S2. Mixed-model table of species richness during mobbing trials and the influence of habitat, season, and caller species.

Source	Type III Sum of Squares	Df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Intercept	418.611	1	418.611	216.828	<0.001
Habitat	83.339	2	41.670	21.584	<0.001
Error	32.820	17	1.931		
Effect		Value	F	Hypothesis df	Error df
Season	Wilks' Lambda	0.188	34.602	2	16
Season * Habitat	Wilks' Lambda	0.381	4.955	4	32
Species	Wilks' Lambda	0.238	25.647	2	16
Species * Habitat	Wilks' Lambda	0.774	1.094	4	32
Season * Species	Wilks' Lambda	0.328	7.158	4	14
Season * Species * Habitat	Wilks' Lambda	0.589	1.059	8	28
					0.41

* = interaction.

Table S3. Mixed model of distance of the responding birds from the speaker during mobbing trials and the influence of caller species and habitat in the dense forest (DF) and in the open forest (OF).

Source	Type III Sum of Squares	Df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Intercept	8016.127	1	8016.127	296.993	<0.001
Habitat	27.487	1	27.487	1.018	0.34
Error	269.910	10	26.991		
Effect	Value	F	Hypothesis df	Error df	Sig.
Species	Wilks' Lambda	0.176	21.093	2	9 <0.001
Species * Habitat	Wilks' Lambda	0.703	1.900	2	9 0.21

* = interaction.

Table S4. Differences between observed and expected numbers of responding birds to mobbing calls in the winter in the dense forest (DF) and in the open forest (OF).

Species Name	Observed Birds in the Survey	Number of Observed Birds in Mobbing Trials	Expected Number of Birds in Mobbing Trials	Chi-Square	Difference between Observed to Expected
Dense Forest (DF) $p < 0.001$					
<i>Chloris chloris</i>	1%	0	2	2.072	NA
<i>Erithacus rubecula</i>	7%	37	17	25.163	+55%
<i>Fringilla coelebs</i>	25%	78	58	6.882	+26%
<i>Garrulus glandarius</i>	1%	1	2	0.554	-107%
<i>Parus major</i>	4%	12	8	1.662	+31%
<i>Phylloscopus collybita</i>	26%	38	60	8.120	-58%
<i>Scolopax rusticola</i>	2%	0	4	4.144	NA
<i>Streptopelia decaocto</i>	3%	0	6	6.216	NA
<i>Sylvia atricapilla</i>	0	1	0	NA	+100%
<i>Sylvia curruca</i>	0	2	0	NA	+100%
<i>Sylvia melanocephala</i>	29%	55	66	1.927	-21%
<i>Turdus merula</i>	3%	6	6	0.007	-4%
Total	100%	230	230	56.75	
Open Forest (OF) $p < 0.001$					
<i>Carduelis carduelis</i>	0%	1	0	NA	+100%
<i>Chloris chloris</i>	1%	0	1	1.437	NA
<i>Cinnyris osea</i>	0%	1	0	NA	+100%
<i>Columba palumbus</i>	23%	0	47	47.438	NA
<i>Corvus cornix</i>	1%	0	1	1.437	NA
<i>Erithacus rubecula</i>	8%	35	16	23.283	+55%
<i>Fringilla coelebs</i>	19%	63	40	12.859	+36%
<i>Garrulus glandarius</i>	3%	4	6	0.532	-44%
<i>Hippolais languida</i>	0%	2	0	NA	+100%
<i>Parus major</i>	5%	20	10	9.814	+50%
<i>Phylloscopus collybita</i>	13%	24	26	0.135	-8%
<i>Pycnonotus xanthopygos</i>	0%	3	0	NA	+100%
<i>Streptopelia decaocto</i>	1%	0	1	1.437	NA
<i>Sylvia atricapilla</i>	0%	6	0	NA	+100%
<i>Sylvia curruca</i>	0%	1	0	NA	+100%

<i>Sylvia melanocephala</i>	21%	44	43	0.017	+2%
<i>Turdus merula</i>	7%	3	14	9.001	-379%
Total	100%	207	207	107.39	