

Table S1. List of species present in study plots, allometric models used for foliage biomass estimation (Table S3) and alien status.

Species	Allometric Models	Alien Status
<i>Acer campestre</i> L.	<i>Acer</i>	native
<i>Acer ginnala</i> Maxim.	<i>Acer</i>	alien
<i>Acer negundo</i> L.	<i>Acer</i>	invasive
<i>Acer platanoides</i> L.	<i>Acer</i>	native
<i>Acer pseudoplatanus</i> L.	<i>Acer</i>	native
<i>Aesculus hippocastanum</i> L.	Broadleaved	alien
<i>Alnus glutinosa</i> (L.) Gaertn.	<i>Alnus</i>	native
<i>Amelanchier alnifolia</i> Nutt.	<i>Amelanchier</i>	alien
<i>Amelanchier spicata</i> K.Koch	<i>Amelanchier</i>	alien
<i>Berberis aquifolium</i> Pursh	<i>Cornus</i>	alien
<i>Betula pendula</i> Roth	<i>Betula</i>	native
<i>Betula pubescens</i> Ehrh.	<i>Betula</i>	native
<i>Carpinus betulus</i> L.	<i>Carpinus</i>	native
<i>Cornus sanguinea</i> L.	<i>Cornus</i>	native
<i>Corylus avellana</i> L.	<i>Corylus</i>	native
<i>Cotoneaster lucidus</i> Schltdl.	<i>Prunus serotina</i>	alien
<i>Crataegus laevigata</i> DC.	<i>Prunus serotina</i>	native
<i>Crataegus monogyna</i> Jacq.	<i>Prunus serotina</i>	native
<i>Crataegus rhipidophylla</i> Gand.	<i>Prunus serotina</i>	native
<i>Euonymus europaeus</i> L.	<i>Cornus</i>	native
<i>Fagus sylvatica</i> L.	<i>Fagus</i>	native
<i>Frangula alnus</i> Mill.	<i>Frangula</i>	native
<i>Fraxinus excelsior</i> L.	<i>Fraxinus</i>	native
<i>Juniperus communis</i> L.	<i>Juniperus</i>	native
<i>Larix decidua</i> Mill.	<i>Larix</i>	native
<i>Ligustrum vulgare</i> L.	<i>Cornus</i>	native
<i>Lonicera xylosteum</i> L.	<i>Cornus</i>	native
<i>Malus sylvestris</i> Mill.	<i>Prunus serotina</i>	native
<i>Philadelphus coronarius</i> L.	<i>Philadelphus</i>	alien
<i>Picea abies</i> (L.) H.Karst.	<i>Picea</i>	native
<i>Pinus strobus</i> L.	<i>Pinus</i>	alien
<i>Pinus sylvestris</i> L.	<i>Pinus</i>	native
<i>Populus ×canadensis</i> Moench	Broadleaved	alien
<i>Populus tremula</i> L.	<i>Populus</i>	native
<i>Prunus avium</i> (L.) L.	<i>Prunus serotina</i>	native
<i>Prunus insititia</i> L.	<i>Prunus serotina</i>	alien
<i>Prunus mahaleb</i> L.	<i>Prunus serotina</i>	alien
<i>Prunus padus</i> L.	<i>Prunus serotina</i>	native
<i>Prunus serotina</i> Ehrh.	<i>Prunus serotina</i>	invasive
<i>Prunus spinosa</i> L.	<i>Prunus serotina</i>	native
<i>Pyrus communis</i> L.	<i>Prunus serotina</i>	native
<i>Quercus petraea</i> (Matt.) Liebl.	<i>Quercus</i>	native
<i>Quercus robur</i> L.	<i>Quercus</i>	native
<i>Quercus rubra</i> L.	<i>Quercus</i>	invasive
<i>Rhamnus cathartica</i> L.	<i>Cornus</i>	native
<i>Ribes alpinum</i> L.	<i>Ribes</i>	alien
<i>Ribes rubrum</i> L.	<i>Ribes</i>	alien

<i>Ribes uva-crispa</i> L.	<i>Ribes</i>	native
<i>Robinia pseudoacacia</i> L.	<i>Robinia</i>	invasive
<i>Rosa canina</i> L.	<i>Rosa</i>	native
<i>Sambucus nigra</i> L.	<i>Sambucus</i>	native
<i>Sorbus aucuparia</i> L.	<i>Sorbus</i>	native
<i>Symphoricarpos albus</i> (L.) C.Koch	<i>Symphoricarpos</i>	alien
<i>Syringa vulgaris</i> L.	<i>Cornus</i>	alien
<i>Tilia cordata</i> Mill.	Broadleaved	native
<i>Tilia platyphyllos</i> Scop.	Broadleaved	native
<i>Ulmus glabra</i> Huds.	<i>Ulmus</i>	native
<i>Ulmus laevis</i> Pall.	<i>Ulmus</i>	native
<i>Ulmus minor</i> Mill.	<i>Ulmus</i>	native

Table S2. Mean + SE values of SLA obtained from databases for species present in study plots.

Species	N	Mean	SE
<i>Acer campestre</i>	33	12.658	0.580
<i>Acer ginnala</i>	1	25.000	0.000
<i>Acer negundo</i>	18	23.284	1.256
<i>Acer platanoides</i>	50	16.886	0.766
<i>Acer pseudoplatanus</i>	54	15.172	0.695
<i>Aesculus hippocastanum</i>	7	13.700	0.000
<i>Alnus glutinosa</i>	42	15.785	0.595
<i>Amelanchier alnifolia</i>	4	16.810	3.420
<i>Amelanchier spicata</i>	2	19.608	0.000
<i>Betula pendula</i>	105	15.029	0.303
<i>Betula pubescens</i>	29	13.067	0.366
<i>Carpinus betulus</i>	64	22.094	1.248
<i>Castania sativa</i>	10	14.340	0.722
<i>Cornus sanguinea</i>	21	18.932	0.268
<i>Corylus avellana</i>	40	21.983	0.402
<i>Cotoneaster lucidus</i> *	2	12.026	1.895
<i>Crataegus laevigata</i>	18	20.708	0.000
<i>Crataegus monogyna</i>	117	10.974	0.059
<i>Crataegus rhipidophylla</i> **	-	15.841	-
<i>Euonymus europea</i>	10	14.395	0.000
<i>Fagus sylvatica</i>	134	18.833	0.430
<i>Frangula alnus</i>	21	15.183	0.124
<i>Fraxinus excelsior</i>	71	13.419	0.511
<i>Juniperus communis</i>	14	6.796	0.291
<i>Larix decidua</i>	93	12.216	0.393
<i>Ligustrum vulgare</i>	17	11.937	0.122
<i>Lonicera xylosteum</i>	27	26.325	0.053
<i>Berberis aquifolium</i>	18	9.050	0.000
<i>Malus sylvestris</i>	19	18.234	0.376
<i>Philadelphus coronarius</i>	1	24.78	0.000
<i>Picea abies</i>	58	5.296	0.254
<i>Pinus sylvestris</i>	119	4.989	0.072
<i>Pinus strobus</i>	12	13.432	2.028
<i>Populus tremula</i>	78	13.876	0.331
<i>Prunus avium</i>	33	13.435	0.771
<i>Prunus insititita</i>	19	15.540	0.000

<i>Prunus mahaleb</i>	55	16.988	0.117
<i>Prunus padus</i>	33	21.082	0.197
<i>Prunus serotina</i>	73	19.222	0.512
<i>Prunus spinosa</i>	73	13.249	0.105
<i>Pyrus communis</i>	2	6.807	0.601
<i>Quercus petraea</i>	60	11.519	0.531
<i>Quercus robur</i>	83	16.879	0.220
<i>Quercus rubra</i>	171	15.456	0.200
<i>Rhamnus catharticus</i>	9	17.414	0.000
<i>Ribes alpinum</i>	9	16.672	0.100
<i>Ribes rubrum</i>	29	30.020	0.122
<i>Ribes uva-crispa</i>	31	20.658	0.228
<i>Robinia pseudoacacia</i>	35	20.672	0.791
<i>Rosa canina</i>	18	13.484	0.106
<i>Sambucus nigra</i>	36	20.896	0.123
<i>Sorbus aucuparia</i>	46	11.656	0.387
<i>Symboricarpus albos</i>	29	20.618	0.000
<i>Syringa vulgaris</i>	36	12.746	0.082
<i>Tilia cordata</i>	39	24.853	1.804
<i>Tilia platyphyllos</i>	29	33.231	1.116
<i>Ulmus glabra</i>	60	19.146	0.949
<i>Ulmus laevis</i>	14	19.120	0.000
<i>Ulmus minor</i>	26	24.709	0.410

* — values for *C. acutifolius*, ** — averaged from *C. monogyna* and *C. laevigata*.

Table S3. Allometric equations determining foliage biomass of particular tree species recorded on the study plots. Equations adopted were established for habitat conditions similar to those of this study. Abbreviations: DBH—diameter at breast height; CF—correction factor to reverse transformation of log-log models. Taxa group is referenced to particular species in **Table S1**.

Taxa Group	Unit	Source	R ²	N	DBH min [cm]	DBH max [cm]	Formula	a	b	CF
<i>Acer</i>	kg	(Forrester et al., 2017)	0.789	70	0	88	$Y = \exp(a) \cdot D^b \cdot CF$	-4.062500	2.066200	1.003181
<i>Alnus</i>	kg	(Forrester et al., 2017)	0.578	231	0	28.3	$Y = \exp(a) \cdot D^b \cdot CF$	-4.469500	1.762300	0.974649
<i>Amelanchier</i>	g	(Brown, 1976)	0.830	39	0.4	4.5	$\ln(Y) = a + b \cdot \ln(D)$	1.691000	2.111000	-
<i>Betula</i>	kg	(Forrester et al., 2017)	0.903	231	0	38	$Y = \exp(a) \cdot D^b \cdot CF$	-4.137000	1.886100	1.163206
Broadleaved	kg	(Forrester et al., 2017)	0.847	1824	0	88	$Y = \exp(a) \cdot D^b \cdot CF$	-4.228600	1.862500	1.063653
<i>Carpinus betulus</i>	kg	Jagodziński, unpubl.	0.875	38	0	20	$Y = a \cdot D^b$	0.019964	1.952606	-
<i>Cornus sanguinea</i>	kg	Jagodziński, unpubl.	0.734	52	0	8	$Y = a \cdot D^b$	0.138201	1.125622	-
<i>Corylus avellana</i>	kg	Jagodziński, unpubl.	0.668	96	0	16	$Y = a \cdot D^b$	0.097152	0.926850	-
<i>Fagus</i>	kg	(Forrester et al., 2017)	0.883	330	0	73	$Y = \exp(a) \cdot D^b \cdot CF$	-4.481300	1.907300	1.087518
<i>Frangula alnus</i>	kg	Jagodziński, unpubl.	0.704	74	0	87	$Y = a \cdot D^b$	0.037851	0.949334	-
<i>Fraxinus</i>	kg	(Forrester et al., 2017)	0.872	158	0	69	$Y = \exp(a) \cdot D^b \cdot CF$	-4.850200	2.406400	0.809231
<i>Juniperus communis</i>	kg	Jagodziński, unpubl.	0.617	30	0	7	$Y = a \cdot D^b$	0.454480	0.241148	-
<i>Larix</i>	kg	(Jagodziński et al., 2018)	0.767	96	2	58	$Y = a \cdot D^b$	0.004600	2.103600	-
<i>Philadelphus</i>	g	(Brown, 1976)	0.710	28	0.5	2.9	$\ln(Y) = a + b \cdot \ln(D)$	1.921000	2.778000	-
<i>Picea</i>	kg	(Forrester et al., 2017)	0.906	1007	0	77	$Y = \exp(a) \cdot D^b \cdot CF$	-2.795700	1.868800	1.020027
<i>Pinus</i>	kg	(Jagodziński et al., 2019)	0.841	549	0	65	$Y = a \cdot D^b$	0.030200	1.742000	-
<i>Populus</i>	kg	(Forrester et al., 2017)	0.910	165	0	45	$Y = \exp(a) \cdot D^b \cdot CF$	-4.145400	1.948000	1.011724
<i>Prunus</i>	kg	(Forrester et al., 2017)	0.545	99	0	50	$Y = \exp(a) \cdot D^b \cdot CF$	-4.105800	1.321200	0.956410
<i>Prunus serotina</i>	kg	Jagodziński, unpubl.	0.937	50	0	15	$Y = a \cdot D^b$	0.049727	1.868954	-
<i>Quercus</i>	kg	(Forrester et al., 2017)	0.911	99	0	68	$Y = \exp(a) \cdot D^b \cdot CF$	-4.466300	2.137500	0.966327
<i>Ribes</i>	g	(Brown, 1976)	0.630	37	0.4	1.4	$\ln(Y) = a + b \cdot \ln(D)$	2.164000	2.538000	-
<i>Robinia</i>	kg	(Forrester et al., 2017)	0.936	99	0	24	$Y = \exp(a) \cdot D^b \cdot CF$	-2.798500	1.127800	1.020691
<i>Robinia</i>	kg	(Zasada, 2017)	-	22	7	46	$Y = a \cdot D^b$	0.001500	1.528800	-
<i>Rosa canina</i>	kg	(Blujdea et al., 2012)	0.744	14	1.5	7.7	$Y = \exp(a) \cdot D^b \cdot CF$	-4.093800	2.363200	1.323800
<i>Sambucus nigra</i>	kg	Jagodziński, unpubl.	0.479	60	0	10	$Y = a \cdot D^b$	0.180506	0.798760	-
<i>Sorbus aucuparia</i>	kg	Jagodziński, unpubl.	0.792	64	0	9	$Y = a \cdot D^b$	0.023015	1.665331	-
<i>Symphoricarpos</i>	g	(Brown, 1976)	0.680	31	0.2	1.2	$Y = \exp(a) \cdot D^b \cdot CF$	1.848000	1.721000	-
<i>Ulmus</i>	kg	(Alberti et al., 2005)	0.980	10	0	31	$Y = a \cdot D^b$	0.130000	1.120000	-

<i>Ulmus</i> —larger trees	kg	(Forrester et al., 2017)	0.969	3521	0	88	$Y = \exp(a) \cdot D^b \cdot CF$	-2.165300	2.414300	1.041484
----------------------------	----	--------------------------	-------	------	---	----	----------------------------------	-----------	----------	----------

References

1. Alberti, G.; Candido, P.; Peressotti, A.; Turco, S.; Piussi, P.; Zerbi, G. Aboveground biomass relationships for mixed ash (*Fraxinus excelsior* L. and *Ulmus glabra* Hudson) stands in Eastern Prealps of Friuli Venezia Giulia (Italy). *Ann. For. Sci.* **2005**, *62*, 831–836. doi:10.1051/forest:2005089.
2. Annighöfer, P.; Mölder, I.; Zerbe, S.; Kawaletz, H.; Terwei, A.; Ammer, C. Biomass functions for the two alien tree species *Prunus serotina* Ehrh. and *Robinia pseudoacacia* L. in floodplain forests of Northern Italy. *Eur. J. For. Res.* **2012**, *131*, 1619–1635.
3. Blujdea, V.N.B.; Pilli, R.; Dutca, I.; Ciuvat, L.; Abrudan, I.V. Allometric biomass equations for young broadleaved trees in plantations in Romania. *For. Ecol. Manag.* **2012**, *264*, 172–184. doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2011.09.042.
4. Brown, J.K. Estimating shrub biomass from basal stem diameters. *Can. J. For. Res.* **1976**, *6*, 153–158. doi:10.1139/x76-019.
5. Conti, G.; Gorné, L.D.; Zeballos, S.R.; Lipoma, M.L.; Gatica, G.; Kowaljow, E.; Whitworth-Hulse, J.I.; Cuchietti, A.; Poca, M.; Pestoni, S.; et al. Developing allometric models to predict the individual aboveground biomass of shrubs worldwide. *Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr.* **2019**, *28*, 961–975. doi:10.1111/geb.12907.
6. Dyderski, M.K.; Jagodziński, A.M. Functional traits of acquisitive invasive woody species differ from conservative invasive and native species. *NeoBiota* **2019**, *41*, 91–113. doi:10.3897/neobiota.41.31908.
7. Enquist, B.J.; Condit, R.; Peet, R.K.; Schildhauer, M.; Thiers, B.M. Cyberinfrastructure for an integrated botanical information network to investigate the ecological impacts of global climate change on plant biodiversity. *PeerJ Preprints* **2016**, *4*, e2615v2. doi:10.7287/peerj.preprints.2615v2.
8. Forrester, D.I.; Tachauer, I.H.H.; Annighöfer, P.; Barbeito, I.; Pretzsch, H.; Ruiz-Peinado, R.; Stark, H.; Vacchiano, G.; Zlatanov, T.; Chakraborty, T.; et al. Generalized biomass and leaf area allometric equations for European tree species incorporating stand structure, tree age and climate. *For. Ecol. Manag.* **2017**, *396*, 160–175. doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2017.04.011.
9. GBIF, 2019. Global Biodiversity Information Facility (WWW Document). URL <http://www.gbif.org/>.
10. Jagodziński, A.M.; Dyderski, M.K.; Gęsikiewicz, K.; Horodecki, P. Effects of stand features on aboveground biomass and biomass conversion and expansion factors based on a *Pinus sylvestris* L. chronosequence in Western Poland. *Eur. J. For. Res.* **2019**, *138*, 673–683. doi:10.1007/s10342-019-01197-z.
11. Jagodziński, A.M.; Dyderski, M.K.; Gęsikiewicz, K.; Horodecki, P. Tree- and Stand-Level Biomass Estimation in a *Larix decidua* Mill. Chronosequence. *Forests* **2018**, *9*, 587. doi:10.3390/f9100587.
12. Kleyer, M.; Bekker, R.M.; Knevel, I.C.; Bakker, J.P.; Thompson, K.; Sonnenschein, M.; Poschlod, P.; van Groenendael, J.M.; Klimeš, L.; Klimešová, J.; et al. The LEDA Traitbase: A database of life-history traits of the Northwest European flora. *J. Ecol.* **2008**, *96*, 1266–1274. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2745.2008.01430.x.
13. Kuehne, C.; Nosko, P.; Horwath, T.; Bauhus, J. A comparative study of physiological and morphological seedling traits associated with shade tolerance in introduced red oak (*Quercus rubra*) and native hardwood tree species in southwestern Germany. *Tree Physiol.* **2014**, *34*, 184–193. doi:10.1093/treephys/tpt124.
14. Starfinger, U.; Kowarik, I.; Rode, M.; Schepker, H. From desirable ornamental plant to pest to accepted addition to the flora?—The perception of an alien tree species through the centuries. *Biol. Invasions* **2003**, *5*, 323–335. doi:10.1023/B:BINV.0000005573.14800.07.
15. Zasada, M., 2017. Raport końcowy z tematu badawczego “Ekologiczne, gospodarcze i urzędniowe konsekwencje występowania wybranych gatunków drzew obcych w Polsce” realizowanego w latach 2013–2017 przez Samodzielną Pracownię Dendrometrii i Nauki o Produktowności Lasu na zlecenie Dyrekcji Generalnej Lasów Państwowych w Warszawie. SGGW, Warszawa.