

Addressing Current Challenges in OSL Dosimetry Using MgB₄O₇:Ce,Li: State of the Art, Limitations and Avenues of Research

Lily Bossin ^{1,*}, Igor Plokikh ^{1,2}, Jeppe Brage Christensen ¹, Dariusz Jakub Gawryluk ², Yuuki Kitagawa ³, Paul Leblans ⁴, Setsuhisa Tanabe ⁵, Dirk Vandenbroucke ⁴ and Eduardo Gardenali Yukihara ¹

¹ Department of Radiation Safety and Security, Paul Scherrer Institute, Forschungsstrasse 111, 5232 Villigen, Switzerland; eduardo.yukihara@psi.ch (E.G.Y.)

² Laboratory for Multiscale Materials Experiments, Paul Scherrer Institute, Forschungsstrasse 111, 5232 Villigen, Switzerland

³ National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology (AIST), Ikeda, Osaka 563-8577, Japan

⁴ Radiology Division, Agfa NV, 2640 Mortsel, Belgium

⁵ Graduate School of Human and Environmental Studies, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8501, Japan

* Correspondence: lily.bossin@psi.ch; Tel.: +41-56-310-54-30

1 OSL and TL intensity - comparison with BeO




Figure S1: Intensity comparison of the mass normalised signals of MgB₄O₇:Ce,Li and BeO under continuous-wave blue stimulation (a), green stimulation (b) and thermal stimulation (c) following β irradiation 350 mGy. Detection unit: PMT 9235QB; a,b) U-340 filter, c) BG-39 .

*E-mail: lily.bossin@psi.ch

2 Time-resolved luminescence - comparison with BeO




Figure S2: Photon arrival time distribution curves of $\text{MgB}_4\text{O}_7:\text{Ce},\text{Li}$ and BeO following blue stimulation pulse 10 μs , following β irradiation 350 mGy. Detection unit: PMT 9235QB; U-340 filter.