



# Article Effect of H<sub>2</sub>S Corrosion on the Fracture Toughness of the X80 Pipeline Steel Welded Joint

Xueli Wang <sup>1,2</sup>, Dongpo Wang <sup>1,\*</sup>, Caiyan Deng <sup>1</sup> and Chengning Li <sup>1</sup>

- Key Laboratory of Advanced Joining Technology of Tianjin, Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Tianjin University, Road Weijin 92, Tianjin 300072, China; wangxl@pipechina.com.cn (X.W.); dengcv@tju.edu.cn (C.D.); licn@tju.edu.cn (C.L.)
- <sup>2</sup> Pipe China North Pipeline Company, Road Xinkai 408, Langfang 065000, China
- \* Correspondence: wangdp@tju.edu.cn

Abstract: To analyze the causes and mechanisms affecting the fracture toughness of X80 pipeline steel welded joints against  $H_2S$ , the fracture toughness of different zones of X80 pipeline steel welded joints in both air and saturated  $H_2S$  solution was investigated. The fracture toughness of welded joints degraded significantly in the saturated  $H_2S$  solution, where the crack tip opening displacement (CTOD) characteristic value in the coarse grain heat-affected zone (CGHAZ) and weld metal (WM) was only 8% and 12% of that in air, respectively. However, the sub-critical grain heat-affected zone (SCHAZ) showed better resistance to  $H_2S$  corrosion, with the CTOD characteristic value reaching 42% of that in air. The resistance of the welded joint to  $H_2S$  corrosion was sensitive to microstructures. The grain boundary ferrite (GBF) presented in WM, and the angle of grain boundary orientation in CGHAZ was not conducive to hindering crack propagation. Moreover, the formation of the resultant hydrogen cracks owing to the  $H_2S$  corrosion also reduced the fracture toughness of the welded joint.

**Keywords:** hydrogen sulfide; pipeline steel; fracture toughness; coarse grain heat-affected zone; subcritical grain heat-affected zone

## 1. Introduction

The service safety of high-grade pipeline steel-welded joints has become one of the important issues that need to be addressed owing to the occurrence of fracture failure accidents, with the rising demand for oil and gas resources [1-7]. The fracture toughness of welded joints was significantly influenced by the microstructure and service environment. The CGHAZ near the fusion line (FL) experiences excessive growth of grain size owing to a longer period of high-temperature welding thermal cycling (about 1200 °C) in the welding process [8]. The high residual stress is simultaneously introduced to the welded joints owing to the welding thermal cycle [9-12]. On the other hand, pipeline steel is inevitably exposed to corrosive medium (H<sub>2</sub>S), thus facing problems such as H<sub>2</sub>S/CO<sub>2</sub> corrosion, electrochemical corrosion, hydrogen embrittlement (HE), hydrogen-induced cracking (HIC), and sulfide stress corrosion cracking (SSCC) [3,13–16]. Yuxin Chen et al. [17] investigated the influence of  $H_2S$  interaction with prestrain on the mechanical properties of high-strength X80 steel and found that fractography exhibited brittle fracture for  $H_2S$ introduced specimens and necking phenomenon decreased significantly compared with H<sub>2</sub>S-free specimens. Lijun Gan et al. [18] investigated hydrogen trapping and hydrogeninduced cracking of welded X100 pipeline steel in H<sub>2</sub>S environments and the results showed that the welded joint with an inhomogeneous microstructure had a higher trap density and was more susceptible to HIC due to being two orders of magnitude larger in the concentration of irreversible hydrogen than that of the base metal, though all presented poor HIC resistance for both the base metal and the welded joint. Dejun Kong et al. [13] investigated stress corrosion of X80 pipeline steel welded joints by slow strain test in NACE H<sub>2</sub>S solutions and the results showed that the sensibility index of SCC in NACE



Citation: Wang, X.; Wang, D.; Deng, C.; Li, C. Effect of H<sub>2</sub>S Corrosion on the Fracture Toughness of the X80 Pipeline Steel Welded Joint. *Materials* 2022, 15, 4458. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/ma15134458

Academic Editor: Shinichi Tashiro

Received: 8 May 2022 Accepted: 15 June 2022 Published: 24 June 2022

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). solution (saturated  $H_2S$ ) was 56.94%, and the plastic loss was the most serious, an obvious stress corrosion tendency appearing. Wei Zhao et al. [19] studied the corrosion behavior of reheated CGHAZ of X80 pipeline steel in  $H_2S$ -containing environments and found that the intercritically reheated CGHAZ had the lowest corrosion resistance because of the coarse necklace-shaped martensite–austenite (M/A) constituents. Yonghe Yang et al. [7] investigated the fracture toughness of the materials in welded joint of X80 pipeline steel and the results showed that the fusion zone (FZ) was the fracture risk zone of the X80 steel weldment owing to the occurrence of hard-brittle (M/A) constituents. Alan Tribe et al. [20] studied the fracture toughness of friction stir-welded API X80 and found that fracture toughness of the weld metal increased linearly with decreases in heat input. The present research focused on the  $H_2S$  corrosion behaviors and fracture toughness of high-strength pipeline steel-welded joints is an aspect that has been rarely reported.

In this paper, the fracture toughness of X80 pipeline steel-welded joints in saturated H<sub>2</sub>S solution were studied. Gas metal arc welding (GMAW) and Lincoln Pipeliner 80Ni1 welding wire were used for the X80 joints weld. The evolution of microstructure in different zones of the joints was analyzed. CTOD samples of the different zones was prepared and the CTOD experiments were carried out in hydrogen sulfide environment. Further, the causes of fracture toughness deterioration in X80 pipeline steel weld joints in H<sub>2</sub>S-containing environments were revealed.

#### 2. Experimental Procedures

### 2.1. Materials and Welding

X80 pipeline steel (base material, BM) with a diameter of 1422 mm was used in this study. The GMAW with the position automatic pipeline welder A610 under the shielding gas of 80% Ar and 20% CO<sub>2</sub> was employed for the welding process. Lincoln Pipeliner 80Ni1 welding wire with a diameter of 1.0 mm was applied and the wire feeding speed was 400 mm/min during the welding process. The welding voltage and current were 22 V and 140 A, respectively. The welding groove structure and the cross-section of the weld joints are shown in Figure 1. The macroscopic morphology of the weld metal (WM) showed that the layer distribution is uniform. The welding heat input led to the formation of CGHAZ adjacent to the FL. The fine grain heat-affected zone (FGHAZ), inter-critical heat-affected zone (ICHAZ), and sub-critical heat-affected zone (SCHAZ) transitioned from the WM to the BM in sequence. The SCHAZ was 5 mm from the FL.



**Figure 1.** Macroscopic appearance of the X80 pipeline steel-welded joint. (**a**) welding groove structure; (**b**) cross-section of the weld joint.

#### 2.2. Experimental Methods

CTOD test was carried out at the WM center, CGHAZ, and SGHAZ. The test operation and sample geometry were conducted according to BSEN ISO-15653 (2018), ISO-12135, and

API-1104 (2018). The sampling location is shown in Figure 2;  $B \times B$  (B = W = 17 mm) type specimens with a length of 120 mm ( $\geq$ 4.6 W) were used. The notch type of the WZ was NP (N: normal to weld direction, P: parallel to weld direction), while the notch type of the CGHAZ and SGHAZ specimens was NQ (N: normal to weld direction, Q: weld thickness direction). The lengths of the mechanical gap and pre-cracks were 4.50 mm and 4.00 mm, respectively. Total crack length was 0.5 times the sample width, which ranged from 0.45 W to 0.7 W. Fatigue pre-cracking was conducted on GPS200 high-frequency fatigue tester. CTOD test was conducted on the electronic universal testing machine which was equipped with a hydrogen sulfide environment chamber, as shown in Figure 3. A CTOD extensometer was used to measure the crack tip opening displacement. The specimens with pre-fabricated fatigue cracks were soaked in saturated H<sub>2</sub>S solution for one week before the CTOD test, and the corrosive environment was maintained by passing H<sub>2</sub>S into the hydrogen sulfide environment chamber during the CTOD test. Micro-hardness test was conducted in a Vickers hardness tester at an indentation load = 98 N and dwell time = 15 s to analyze the variation in hardness of the welded joint.



Figure 2. The locations of CTOD samples.



Figure 3. The equipment of hydrogen sulfide environment CTOD test.

The samples were cut across the cross-section of the welded joint, and etched in 4% nitric acid alcohol solution. Microstructural features were examined using the optical microscope (OM), and the JEOL JSM-7800 scanning electron microscope (SEM) equipped with an energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) analyzer and electron backscatter diffraction (EBSD). Besides, an accelerating voltage of 20 kV, working distance of 15 mm, and a step size of 0.15  $\mu$ m were exerted to attain orientation maps via EBSD.

#### 3. Results and Discussion

#### 3.1. Microstructure of X80 Welded Joint

The microstructure of X80 welded joint at different locations is shown in Figure 4. The WM was dominated by large columnar grains composed of staggered acicular ferrite (AF), grain boundary ferrite (GBF), and a small amount of bainite as shown in Figure 4a,d. The CGHAZ directly affects the overall performance of the welded joint. Figure 4b,e exhibit that the microstructure of CGHAZ was mainly coarse polygonal ferrite (PF) and granular bainite (GB), as well as some lath bainite (LB) and M/A constituents. Figure 4c,f depict that SCHAZ underwent a tempering-like process affected by welding heat input, mainly containing fine PF and AF.



**Figure 4.** OM of the welded joint at different locations: (**a**) WM, (**b**) CGHAZ, (**c**) SCHAZ; SEM of the welded joint at different locations: (**d**) WM, (**e**) CGHAZ, (**f**) SCHAZ.

#### 3.2. Micro-Hardness

Figure 5 shows the hardness distributions of the X80 welded joint, the hardness of WM was about 254 HV, and the microstructure of WZ was mainly AF (Figure 4a,d). The FGHAZ had the highest hardness of 260 HV owing to its grain refinement. The hardness of CGHAZ was about 257 HV, which was slightly lower than that of the FGHAZ. The hardness of SCHAZ was about 215 HV, which was slightly lower than that of the BM. From the FGHAZ to SCHAZ, the hardness decreased obviously.



Figure 5. Hardness distribution of the X80 welded joint.

## 3.3. Results of CTOD and Micro-Hardness Tests

The loading curve of the CTOD test in air and saturated H<sub>2</sub>S solution are shown in Figure 6, and the results of CTOD characteristic values are shown in Figure 7. The CTOD characteristic values  $\delta_0$  are obtained from Equations (1) and (2):

$$\delta_0 = \left[\left(\frac{S}{W}\right) \frac{F}{\left(BB_NW\right)^{0.5}} \times \left(\frac{a_0}{W}\right)^2\right]^2 \left[\frac{(1-V^2)}{mR_{p0.2}E}\right] + \tau \cdot C_{V_p} \frac{0.43(W-a_0)V_p}{0.43(W-a_0)+a_0},\tag{1}$$

where 
$$C_{V_p} = -1.74 \left\{ \left( \frac{a_0}{W} \right) - 0.45 \right\}^2 + 1$$
 (2)



Figure 6. The loading curve of the CTOD test: (a) air environment, (b) hydrogen sulfide medium.



Figure 7. CTOD characteristic values.

The symbols in Equations (1) and (2) can be found in the BSEN ISO-15653 (2018) and ISO-12135. Under both test conditions, the fracture toughness of WM was lower than that of the CGHAZ and SCHAZ. In addition, the fracture toughness of the welded joint under the influence of  $H_2S$  was significantly lower compared with the CTOD test results under air environment condition.

From the CTOD test results (Figure 7), the CTOD characteristic values of the WM, CGHAZ, and SCHAZ in the air environment were 0.25 mm, 0.73 mm, and 0.76 mm, respectively. However, the CTOD characteristic values of the WZ, CGHAZ, and SGHAZ in the H<sub>2</sub>S-containing environment were about 0.03 mm, 0.06 mm, and 0.32 mm, respectively. The fracture toughness of the welded joint at different locations in the H<sub>2</sub>S-containing environment were decord and SCHAZ and SCHAZ had almost equal CTOD characteristic values in the air environment. However, in the H<sub>2</sub>S-containing environment, the fracture toughness of the WM and CGHAZ degraded significantly and the CTOD characteristic value of WZ and CGHAZ was only 12% and 8% of that in air, respectively. The SCHAZ in the H<sub>2</sub>S-containing environment was 42% of that in air.

## 3.4. Crack Propagation Behaviors of the Joint in H<sub>2</sub>S and Air

Fracture toughness can be measured with the energy required for crack initiation and propagation. In principle, the improvements in fracture toughness of welded joint can be presented in the crack behaviors, such as crack blunting, crack deviation, and crack stoppage. Therefore, to fully understand the effect of H<sub>2</sub>S corrosion on the fracture toughness of welded joint at different locations, the characteristics of crack propagation on CTOD specimens were observed.

Figure 8 shows propagation paths of the main cracks observed from the typical CTOD profiles in the H<sub>2</sub>S-containing environment. The crack propagation path in WZ was quite smooth as shown in Figure 8a, indicating the low crack propagation resistance of WZ under the H<sub>2</sub>S-containing environment. Figure 8b,c show that the crack deviation occurred in CGHAZ and SCHAZ, which suggests that propagation of the cracks in CGHAZ, especially in SCHAZ, needs to consume more energy.



**Figure 8.** Typical CTOD profiles in the H<sub>2</sub>S-containing environment: (a) WM, (b) CGHAZ, (c) SCHAZ.

Figure 9 shows the propagation behaviors of secondary cracks in the H<sub>2</sub>S-containing environment and air environment. The cracks at the WM were inclined to propagate within the coarse GBF and be arrested on AF for both testing environments (Figure 9a,d). The WM in the H<sub>2</sub>S-containing environment showed more secondary cracks within GBF compared with the WM in an air environment. The cracks at the CGHAZ tended to propagate inside the PF (Figure 9b,e). The cracks at the CGHAZ tested in the H<sub>2</sub>S-containing environment were arrested on the phase boundaries, while the cracks at the CGHAZ tested in an air environment were arrested on the AF. The cracks at the SCHAZ tended to propagate along the rolling strip and be arrested at the zones of grain refinement.



**Figure 9.** EBSD maps of secondary cracks in the H<sub>2</sub>S-containing environment: (**a**) WM, (**b**) CGHAZ, (**c**) SCHAZ; EBSD maps of secondary cracks in air environment: (**d**) WM, (**e**) CGHAZ, (**f**) SCHAZ.

Figure 10 shows the KAM maps around the propagation paths of secondary cracks with respect to the WM, CGHAZ, and SCHAZ in air, as well as in the H<sub>2</sub>S-containing environment. For WZ and CGHAZ, as shown in Figure 10a,b,d,e, the propensity of the plasticity around the secondary crack path was highest immediately adjacent to the the crack-plane, gradually decreasing with an expansion in distance from the crack under both environment conditions. Moreover, in the presence of H<sub>2</sub>S, the dramatic reduction of crack-wake plasticity was prominent for WZ and CGHAZ, with this difference reflected on the KAM maps. This suggests that the crack propagated without any intense plasticity expansion in the H<sub>2</sub>S-containing environment for WZ and CGHAZ. On the contrary, Figure 10c,f show that the plastic strain distributions in SCHAZ were intense and homogeneous, indicating that the crack propagation in SCHAZ underwent intense plasticity expansion under both environment condition.



**Figure 10.** KAM maps of secondary cracks in the H<sub>2</sub>S-containing environment: (**a**) WM, (**b**) CGHAZ, (**c**) SCHAZ; KAM maps of secondary cracks in air environment: (**d**) WM, (**e**) CGHAZ, (**f**) SCHAZ.

The fracture models for hydrogen-assisted crack propagation have been well-established in by experimental and analytical evidence [21,22]. The well-known hydrogen-enhanced localized plasticity (HELP) model, whereby hydrogen locally accelerates dislocation emission and motion, causes the crack to propagate via an extremely localized ductile fracture accompanying the narrower extension of the plastic zone. Therefore, compared with SCHAZ, the WZ and CGHAZ were more sensitive to HE in the H<sub>2</sub>S-containing environment.

#### 3.5. Fracture Toughness Deterioration of the Joint in H<sub>2</sub>S Containing Environment

Crack propagation behaviors of the welded joint in the  $H_2S$ -containing environment were significantly influenced by the  $H_2S$  corrosion during loading. In the  $H_2S$ -containing environment, the welded joint would react with the S element and the reduction in fracture toughness of the welded joint is thought to be associated with the hydrogen embrittlement phenomenon. Hydrogen atoms in H<sub>2</sub>S diffuse into the welded joint in the form of protons, and these hydrogen atoms tend to accumulate at locations such as grain boundaries and oxides [23]. Mousavi Anijdan et al. [23] studied the hydrogen cracking phenomenon in API X65 pipeline steels in an H<sub>2</sub>S-containing environment, and found that H<sub>2</sub>S reacts with the material in the following ways (Equations (3)–(6)), leading to the occurrence of hydrogen embrittlement.

$$H_2S \to 2H^+ + S^{2-},$$
 (3)

$$Fe + 2H^+ \to Fe^{2+} + 2H$$
, (4)

$$Fe^{2+} + S^{2-} \to FeS$$
 (5)

$$H_2S + Fe \rightarrow FeS + 2H,$$
 (6)

As shown in Figures 11 and 12, The enrichment of S was found near the crack tip. During the CTOD test,  $H_2S$  continuously penetrated through the pre-fabricated fatigue crack toward the crack tip, which makes it easier for  $H_2S$  to accumulate at grain boundaries, dislocations, and inclusions around the cracks, resulting in a large enrichment of hydrogen atoms at these defect locations and the formation of HE. This mechanism is more inclined to take place under applied loads, causing damage of materials [24].





Since the presence of hydrogen is difficult to detect, the enrichment of S in the vicinity of the crack (Figure 12) reflects that hydrogen atoms do play a role in the propagation of the cracks, which was supposed to induce the occurrence of HE and lead to a reduction in the fracture toughness of the welded joint in the H<sub>2</sub>S-containing environment. Wang et al. [25] investigated the corrosion resistance of X80 pipeline steel submerged arc-welded joints to H<sub>2</sub>S in terms of electrochemical corrosion tests and the results showed that the FGHAZ dominated by PF had the best H<sub>2</sub>S corrosion resistance, followed by the WM composed of fine AF, while the CGHAZ consisted of GF and some M-A constituents had the worst H<sub>2</sub>S corrosion resistance. Moreover, it was reported that the bainite in the CGHAZ had the lowest open-circuit potential and it was most susceptible to being corroded during the

 $H_2S$  electrochemical corrosion process [25]. The occurrence of GB and LB was thought to cause CGHAZ to exhibit the weakest resistance to  $H_2S$  corrosion. As mentioned above (Figure 11), the CGHAZ generated corrosion products with many holes in the corrosion process, which facilitates the cracks propagating along the direction of external forces and further reduced the crack propagation resistance for CGHAZ. The WZ exhibited a significantly deteriorative fracture toughness in the  $H_2S$ -containing environment due to the presence of coarse GBF. The coarse GBF has lower yield strength and higher subjected plastic strain, so the secondary cracks tend to propagate in the GBF. Besides, the crack propagation resistance of WZ further deteriorated due to the effect of  $H_2S$  corrosion, resulting in the continuous decrease of fracture toughness in the  $H_2S$ -containing environment.



**Figure 12.** EDS analysis near the cracks: (**a**) SEM image; (**b**) element content (Test location as the red '+' shown in Figure 12a).

Figure 13 shows the distributions of grain orientation angles in different locations of the welded joint. The number of low angle grain boundaries ( $\leq 10^{\circ}$ ) was the highest in the CGHAZ, and low angle grain boundaries are related to substructures of dislocations. The increase in low angle grain boundaries facilitates the improvement of strength and toughness [8]. However, grain boundaries larger than 15° have better crack propagation resistance [26,27]. Many scholars have found that high angle grain boundaries in the microstructure could effectively impede crack propagation [15,26]. The results of the grain orientation angle distribution in different regions derived from EBSD revealed that the CG-HAZ had the lowest number of high angle grain boundaries, which to some extent reduced the ability of this zone to hinder crack propagation. In addition, as shown in Figure 14, severe coarsening of grain sizes in CGHAZ and the presence of inhomogeneous M/A constituents and GF also deteriorated the fracture toughness of the CGHAZ. The SCHAZ also has a large number of low angle grain boundaries. However, the microstructure of the SCHAZ was homogeneous ferrite grains compared with the WM and CGHAZ, and there were no coarse GBF and M/A constituents. The SCHAZ still maintained the rolling direction of the BM, although it had been influenced by the welding heat input, making it exhibit the inhomogeneity of crack propagation resistance. Therefore, The SCHAZ displayed the best resistance to H<sub>2</sub>S corrosion and crack propagation.

**Figure 13.** The distributions of grain orientation angles in different locations of the welded joint: (**a**,**d**) WM; (**b**,**e**) CGHAZ; (**c**,**f**) SCHAZ.



**Figure 14.** The distributions of grain sizes in different locations of the welded joint: (**a**) WM; (**b**) CGHAZ; (**c**) SCHAZ.

## 4. Conclusions

- 1. The CTOD characteristic values of the WM, CGHAZ, and SCHAZ in air were 0.25 mm, 0.73 mm, and 0.76 mm, respectively. However, the CTOD characteristic values of the WZ, CGHAZ, and SGHAZ in the H<sub>2</sub>S-containing environment were about 0.03 mm, 0.06 mm, and 0.32 mm. The fracture toughness of the WZ and CGHAZ degraded significantly in the saturated H<sub>2</sub>S solution, where the CTOD characteristic value in the CGHAZ and WZ was only 8% and 12% of that in air, respectively. The SGHAZ showed better resistance to H<sub>2</sub>S corrosion, with the CTOD characteristic value reaching 42% of that in air.
- 2. The H<sub>2</sub>S continuously penetrated through the pre-fabricated fatigue crack toward the crack tip, which made H<sub>2</sub>S accumulate at the grain boundaries, dislocations, and inclusions around the cracks, thus leading to a large enrichment of hydrogen atoms at these defect locations and the formation of hydrogen embrittlement. This caused the WZ and CGHAZ to exhibit the dramatic reduction of crack-wake plasticity in the H<sub>2</sub>S-containing environment.



3. The cracks tended to propagate within GBF for WZ due to the lower H<sub>2</sub>S corrosion resistance of GBF. The microstructure of CGHAZ was mainly PF and GB, as well as some LB and M/A constituents. The lowest number of high angle grain boundaries and severe coarsening of grain size significantly reduced the crack propagation resistance for CGHAZ. The microstructure of the SCHAZ was homogeneous ferrite grains maintaining the rolling direction of the BM compared with the WM and CGHAZ, making SCHAZ exhibit better resistance to H<sub>2</sub>S corrosion and crack propagation.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, D.W. and C.L.; methodology, X.W.; investigation, C.D.; data curation, X.W.; writing—original draft preparation, X.W.; writing—review and editing, X.W. and D.W.; project administration, D.W.; supervision, C.L. funding acquisition, D.W. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research was funded by National Natural Science Foundation of China, grant number 52075366.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### References

- 1. Alamilla, J.L.; Sosa, E.; Sánchez-Magaña, C.A.; Andrade-Valencia, R.; Contreras, A. Failure analysis and mechanical performance of an oil pipeline. *Mater. Des.* **2013**, *50*, 766–773. [CrossRef]
- Liang, P.; Li, X.; Du, C.; Chen, X. Stress corrosion cracking of X80 pipeline steel in simulated alkaline soil solution. *Mater. Des.* 2009, 30, 1712–1717. [CrossRef]
- Singh, V.; Singh, R.; Arora, K.S.; Mahajan, D.K. Hydrogen induced blister cracking and mechanical failure in X65 pipeline steels. *Int. J. Hydrog. Energy* 2019, 44, 22039–22049. [CrossRef]
- Dunne, D.P.; Hejazi, D.; Saleh, A.A.; Haq, A.J.; Calka, A.; Pereloma, E.V. Investigation of the effect of electrolytic hydrogen charging of X70 steel: I. The effect of microstructure on hydrogen-induced cold cracking and blistering. *Int. J. Hydrog. Energy* 2016, 41, 12411–12423. [CrossRef]
- 5. Xue, H.B.; Cheng, Y.F. Characterization of inclusions of X80 pipeline steel and its correlation with hydrogen-induced cracking. *Corros. Sci.* **2011**, *53*, 1201–1208. [CrossRef]
- Bai, F.; Ding, H.; Tong, L.; Pan, L. Microstructure and properties of the interlayer heat-affected zone in X80 pipeline girth welds. Prog. Nat. Sci.-Mater. 2020, 30, 110–117. [CrossRef]
- Yang, Y.; Shi, L.; Xu, Z.; Lu, H.; Chen, X.; Wang, X. Fracture toughness of the materials in welded joint of X80 pipeline steel. *Eng. Fract. Mech.* 2015, 148, 337–349. [CrossRef]
- 8. Zhou, P.; Wang, B.; Wang, L.; Hu, Y.; Zhou, L. Effect of welding heat input on grain boundary evolution and toughness properties in CGHAZ of X90 pipeline steel. *Mater. Sci. Eng. A* 2018, 722, 112–121. [CrossRef]
- Hensel, J.; Nitschke-Pagel, T.; Dilger, K. Effects of residual stresses and compressive mean stresses on the fatigue strength of longitudinal fillet-welded gussets. *Weld World* 2016, 60, 267–281. [CrossRef]
- Lopez-Jauregi, A.; Esnaola, J.A.; Ulacia, I.; Urrutibeascoa, I.; Madariaga, A. Fatigue analysis of multipass welded joints considering residual stresses. Int. J. Fatigue 2015, 79, 75–85. [CrossRef]
- 11. Shen, F.; Zhao, B.; Li, L.; Chua, C.K.; Zhou, K. Fatigue damage evolution and lifetime prediction of welded joints with the consideration of residual stresses and porosity. *Int. J. Fatigue* **2017**, *103*, 272–279. [CrossRef]
- 12. Wang, D.; Zhang, H.; Gong, B.; Deng, C. Residual stress effects on fatigue behaviour of welded T-joint: A finite fracture mechanics approach. *Mater. Des.* **2016**, *91*, 211–217. [CrossRef]
- Kong, D.-j.; Wu, Y.-z.; Long, D. Stress Corrosion of X80 Pipeline Steel Welded Joints by Slow Strain Test in NACE H<sub>2</sub>S Solutions. J. Iron Steel Res. Int. 2013, 20, 40–46. [CrossRef]
- 14. Mohtadi-Bonab, M.A.; Szpunar, J.A.; Razavi-Tousi, S.S. A comparative study of hydrogen induced cracking behavior in API 5L X60 and X70 pipeline steels. *Eng. Fail. Anal.* **2013**, *33*, 163–175. [CrossRef]
- 15. Park, G.T.; Koh, S.U.; Jung, H.G.; Kim, K.Y. Effect of microstructure on the hydrogen trapping efficiency and hydrogen induced cracking of linepipe steel. *Corros. Sci.* 2008, *50*, 1865–1871. [CrossRef]
- 16. Wan, H.; Du, C.; Liu, Z.; Song, D.; Li, X. The effect of hydrogen on stress corrosion behavior of X65 steel welded joint in simulated deep sea environment. *Ocean Eng.* **2016**, *114*, 216–223. [CrossRef]
- 17. Chen, Y.; Zheng, S.; Zhou, J.; Wang, P.; Chen, L.; Qi, Y. Influence of H<sub>2</sub>S interaction with prestrain on the mechanical properties of high-strength X80 steel. *Int. J. Hydrog. Energy* **2016**, *41*, 10412–10420. [CrossRef]

- Gan, L.; Huang, F.; Zhao, X.; Liu, J.; Cheng, Y.F. Hydrogen trapping and hydrogen induced cracking of welded X100 pipeline steel in H<sub>2</sub>S environments. *Int. J. Hydrog. Energy* 2018, 43, 2293–2306. [CrossRef]
- 19. Zhao, W.; Zou, Y.; Matsuda, K.; Zou, Z. Corrosion behavior of reheated CGHAZ of X80 pipeline steel in H<sub>2</sub>S-containing environments. *Mater. Des.* **2016**, *99*, 44–56. [CrossRef]
- 20. Tribe, A.; Nelson, T.W. Study on the fracture toughness of friction stir welded API X80. *Eng. Fract. Mech.* 2015, 150, 58–69. [CrossRef]
- 21. Nagumo, M.; Takai, K. The predominant role of strain-induced vacancies in hydrogen embrittlement of steels: Overview. *Acta Mater.* **2019**, *165*, 722–733. [CrossRef]
- Takakuwa, O.; Ogawa, Y.; Okazaki, S.; Nakamura, M.; Matsunaga, H. A mechanism behind hydrogen-assisted fatigue crack growth in ferrite-pearlite steel focusing on its behavior in gaseous environment at elevated temperature. *Corros. Sci.* 2020, 168, 1–10. [CrossRef]
- Mousavi Anijdan, S.H.; Arab, G.; Sabzi, M.; Sadeghi, M.; Eivani, A.R.; Jafarian, H.R. Sensitivity to hydrogen induced cracking, and corrosion performance of an API X65 pipeline steel in H<sub>2</sub>S containing environment: Influence of heat treatment and its subsequent microstructural changes. *J. Mater. Res. Technol.* 2021, 15, 1–16. [CrossRef]
- Gao, Z.; Gong, B.; Xu, Q.; Wang, D.; Deng, C.; Yu, Y. High cycle fatigue behaviors of API X65 pipeline steel welded joints in air and H<sub>2</sub>S solution environment. *Int. J. Hydrog. Energy* 2021, 46, 10423–10437. [CrossRef]
- Wang, J.B.; Xiao, G.C.; Zhao, W.; Zhang, B.R. Microstructure and Corrosion Resistance to H<sub>2</sub>S in the Welded Joints of X80 Pipeline Steel. *Metals* 2019, 9, 1325. [CrossRef]
- Hoyos, J.J.; Masoumi, M.; Pereira, V.F.; Tschiptschin, A.P.; Paes, M.T.P.; Avila, J.A. Influence of hydrogen on the microstructure and fracture toughness of friction stir welded plates of API 5L X80 pipeline steel. *Int. J. Hydrog. Energy* 2019, 44, 23458–23471. [CrossRef]
- 27. Park, J.; Suh, D.W.; Bhadeshia, H.K.D.H. Promoting the coalescence of bainite platelets. Scripta Mater. 2012, 66, 951–953. [CrossRef]