Article

Enhancement of Gamma-ray Shielding Properties in Cobalt-Doped Heavy Metal Borate Glasses: The Role of Lanthanum Oxide Reinforcement

Ghada ALMisned ¹, Wiam Elshami ² , Shams A. M. Issa ^{3,4} , Gulfem Susoy ⁵ , Hesham M. H. Zakaly ^{4,6,*} , Merfat Algethami ⁷, Y. S. Rammah ⁸, Antoaneta Ene ^{9,*} , S. A. Al-Ghamdi ³ , Awad A. Ibraheem ¹⁰ and H. O. Tekin ^{2,11,*}

- ¹ Department of Physics, College of Science, Princess Nourah Bint Abdulrahman University, Riyadh 11671, Saudi Arabia; gaalmisned@pnu.edu.sa
- ² Medical Diagnostic Imaging Department, College of Health Sciences, University of Sharjah, Sharjah 27272, United Arab Emirates; welshami@sharjah.ac.ae
- ³ Physics Department, Faculty of Science, University of Tabuk, Tabuk 47512, Saudi Arabia; sh_issa@ut.edu.sa (S.A.M.I.); saalghamdi@ut.edu.sa (S.A.A.-G.)
- ⁴ Physics Department, Faculty of Science, Al-Azhar University, Assiut 71524, Egypt
- ⁵ Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, Istanbul University, Istanbul 34134, Turkey; glfmsusoy972@gmail.com
- ⁶ Institute of Physics and Technology, Ural Federal University, 620002 Ekaterinburg, Russia
- ⁷ Physics Department, Faculty of Science, Taif University, P.O. Box 11099, Taif 21944, Saudi Arabia; M.algethami@tu.edu.sa
- ⁸ Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, Menoufia University, Shebin El-Koom 32511, Egypt; dr_yasser1974@yahoo.com
- ⁹ INPOLDE Research Center, Department of Chemistry, Physics and Environment, Faculty of Sciences and Environment, Dunarea de Jos University of Galati, 47 Domneasca Street, 800008 Galati, Romania
- ¹⁰ Physics Department, King Khalid University, Abha 62529, Saudi Arabia; awibrahem@kku.edu.sa
- ¹¹ Medical Radiation Research Center (USMERA), Uskudar University, Istanbul 34672, Turkey
- * Correspondence: h.m.zakaly@gmail.com or h.m.zakaly@azhar.edu.eg (H.M.H.Z.); aene@ugal.ro or Antoaneta.Ene@ugal.ro (A.E.); htekin@sharjah.ac.ae (H.O.T.)

Citation: ALMisned, G.; Elshami, W.; Issa, S.A.; Susoy, G.; Zakaly, H.M.; Algethami, M.; Rammah, Y.; Ene, A.; Al-Ghamdi, S.A.; Ibraheem, A.A.; et al. Enhancement of Gamma-ray Shielding Properties in Cobalt-Doped Heavy Metal Borate Glasses: The Role of Lanthanum Oxide Reinforcement. *Materials* **2021**, *14*, 7703. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ma14247703>

Academic Editor: It-Meng (Jim) Low

Received: 29 October 2021

Accepted: 7 December 2021

Published: 13 December 2021

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Abstract: The direct influence of La^{3+} ions on the gamma-ray shielding properties of cobalt-doped heavy metal borate glasses with the chemical formula $0.3\text{CoO}-(80-x)\text{B}_2\text{O}_3-19.7\text{PbO}-x\text{La}_2\text{O}_3$: $x = 0, 0.5, 1, 1.5$, and $2 \text{ mol\%}$ was examined herein. Several significant radiation shielding parameters were evaluated. The glass density was increased from 3.11 to 3.36 g/cm^3 with increasing La^{3+} ion content from 0 to $2 \text{ mol\%}$. The S5 glass sample, which contained the highest concentration of La^{3+} ions ($2 \text{ mol\%}$), had the maximum linear (μ) and mass (μ_m) attenuation coefficients for all photon energies entering, while the S1 glass sample free of La^{3+} ions possessed the minimum values of μ and μ_m . Both the half value layer ($T_{1/2}$) and tenth value layer (TVL) of all investigated glasses showed a similar trend of $(T_{1/2}, \text{TVL})_{S1} > (T_{1/2}, \text{TVL})_{S2} > (T_{1/2}, \text{TVL})_{S3} > (T_{1/2}, \text{TVL})_{S4} > (T_{1/2}, \text{TVL})_{S5}$. Our results revealed that the S5 sample had the highest effective atomic number (Z_{eff}) values over the whole range of gamma-ray energy. S5 had the lowest exposure (EBF) and energy absorption (EABF) build-up factor values across the whole photon energy and penetration depth range. Our findings give a strong indication of the S5 sample's superior gamma-ray shielding characteristics due to the highest contribution of lanthanum oxide.

Keywords: lead borate glasses; gamma ray; mass attenuation; radiation resistance

1. Introduction

Gamma radiation is commonly used in medicine and in industry [1]. The biological effect of radiation is well known; consequently, massive efforts are being made in the field of radiation shielding. Lead-based shields were commonly used, but there is growing

interest in other materials to reduce toxicity and improve shielding efficiency. Borate glass is a promising material in the field of radiation shielding and protection due to its chemical and physical properties. Oxides can be added to improve the optical features, mechanical characteristics, and shielding properties of borate glasses. Many studies have investigated the effect of adding Na_2O , CaO , Nd_2O_3 , and Gd_2O_3 to borate glass [2–4]. Similarly, the addition of lanthanum oxide (La_2O_3) showed an improvement in optical glass properties [5,6]. La_2O_3 , commonly known as lanthana, is an odorless white powder that is slightly soluble in acids and water. La_2O_3 is an essential rare-earth compound used in many areas, such as optical glasses, fluorescent lamps, dielectric and conductive ceramics, and X-ray intensifying screens. Furthermore, La_2O_3 provides good process compatibility as it is not crystallized after heat treatment at temperatures up to 900°C [7]. Because of its high dielectric constant ($k \approx 27$) and relatively large band gap ($E_g = 5.8\text{ eV}$), La_2O_3 is well recognized as a gate dielectric material. On the other hand, La_2O_3 is hygroscopic, has poor thermal stability, and has poor interface properties. These factors reduce the k value and generate a positive fixed charge, resulting in deterioration of its dielectric properties [8,9]. Therefore, adding other elements to La_2O_3 will improve its properties; previous studies used silicon, aluminum, and nitrogen to enhance the chemical and electrical characteristics [10,11]. Nevertheless, La_2O_3 is a possible candidate for modifying the physical and optical properties of glasses and glass-ceramics. For example, La_2O_3 improves the water resistance of borate optical glasses. Lithium borate glasses have received the most attention because lithium has a promising future in high-energy-density batteries and other electrochemical applications due to its light weight and most electropositive nature [5,12].

Current studies in the literature clearly reveal that the scientific community is very interested in the manufacture of different types of oxide glasses. Characterization of these produced glasses in terms of critical material properties, such as radiation resistance, is a well-established technique for acquiring a better understanding of the nature of glass and its components, from the base to the reinforcing elements. In this research, several kinds of lanthanum-oxide-reinforced glasses with varying compositions were investigated:

- S1: $0.3\text{CoO}-80\text{B}_2\text{O}_3-19.7\text{PbO}$ ($\rho = 3.11\text{ g/cm}^3$);
- S2: $0.3\text{CoO}-79.5\text{B}_2\text{O}_3-0.5\text{La}_2\text{O}_3-19.7\text{PbO}$ ($\rho = 3.18\text{ g/cm}^3$);
- S3: $0.3\text{CoO}-79\text{B}_2\text{O}_3-1\text{La}_2\text{O}_3-19.7\text{PbO}$ ($\rho = 3.24\text{ g/cm}^3$);
- S4: $0.3\text{CoO}-78.5\text{B}_2\text{O}_3-1.5\text{La}_2\text{O}_3-19.7\text{PbO}$ ($\rho = 3.29\text{ g/cm}^3$);
- S5: $0.3\text{CoO}-78\text{B}_2\text{O}_3-2\text{La}_2\text{O}_3-19.7\text{PbO}$ ($\rho = 3.36\text{ g/cm}^3$).

The purpose of this paper was to examine the impact of an increasing quantity of lanthanum oxide reinforcement in the glass composition on the glass's gamma-ray shielding capabilities. The conclusions of this investigation may be beneficial for the literature regarding glass, particularly for glassy alloys, including lanthanum oxide.

2. Materials and Methods

In this study, a group of lanthanum-oxide-reinforced heavy metal borate glasses with the chemical formula $0.3\text{CoO}-(80-x)\text{B}_2\text{O}_3-19.7\text{PbO}-x\text{La}_2\text{O}_3$: $x = 0, 0.5, 1, 1.5$, and $2\text{ mol}\%$ were investigated in terms of their extensive gamma-ray shielding parameters. Previously, Abul-Magd et al. [13] studied the effect of the rare-earth compound lanthanum oxide on the structural, mechanical, and optical properties of a glassy system composed of cobalt-doped heavy metals. Our objective was to conduct a theoretical follow-up analysis on those glass samples in order to provide new skills and information to the scientific community by analyzing their gamma-ray shielding properties.

2.1. Fundamental Shielding Parameters

The linear attenuation coefficient term (μ) for photons of given energy in a certain material is the result of numerous physical processes that result in photon emission from the beam. A material's linear attenuation coefficient is determined by its density. By normalizing the linear attenuation coefficient (μ) with the absorber density (ρ), the dependency

on the absorber density is removed. Because it is independent of the absorber density and physical state, the mass attenuation coefficient defined by μ/ρ is more fundamental than the linear coefficient value. The photons are transmitted in accordance with the Beer–Lambert law when a gamma ray interacts with a sample of thickness x (cm) with respect to the narrow beam geometry [14,15].

$$I = I_0 e^{-\mu x} \quad (1)$$

The I_0 and I values in Equation (1) denote the gamma-ray intensity before and after passing through a sample of thickness x (cm). Further, μ (cm^{-1}) is the linear attenuation coefficient of the sample and t (cm) is the physical thickness of the shielding material.

The linear attenuation coefficient can be defined from the point of mass attenuation coefficient as follows:

$$\mu = \left(\frac{\mu}{\rho} \right) \rho = (\mu)_s \rho \quad (2)$$

where $(\mu)_s = \left(\frac{\mu}{\rho} \right)$ ($\text{cm}^2 \text{g}^{-1}$) is the mass attenuation coefficient and ρ (g/cm^3) is the density of the sample.

The mass attenuation coefficient of a composite or mixture is equal to the total of the weights of each of the constituent elements [16,17].

$$(\mu)_s = \left(\frac{\mu}{\rho} \right)_{\text{mix}} = \sum_i w_i \left(\frac{\mu}{\rho} \right)_i \quad (3)$$

This expression is the mixing rule, also known as Bragg's rule. In this equation, w_i is the weight fraction and $(\mu/\rho)_i$ is the mass attenuation coefficient of the i th element. The fraction by weight w_i of a chemical compound is calculated using the equation below [18,19].

$$w_i = \frac{n_i A_i}{\sum_i n_i A_i} \quad (4)$$

The half value layer (HVL), tenth value layer (TVL), and mean free path (MFP) are the other essential metrics for determining a material's shielding capabilities.

$$\text{HVL} = \frac{0.693}{\mu} \quad (5)$$

$$\text{TVL} = \frac{\ln 10}{\mu} \quad (6)$$

$$\text{MFP} = \frac{1}{\mu} \quad (7)$$

The HVL and TVL refer to the material thicknesses that attenuate one-half and one-tenth of the photon strength, respectively. The MFP is the average distance that a photon with a certain energy can travel without any interaction [20,21].

Using the XCOM program, the $\left(\frac{\mu}{\rho} \right)$ values of the samples were calculated.

The direct technique was used to determine Z_{eff} , which is a crucial parameter to be aware of when considering gamma protection properties [22,23]. The Z_{eff} value is the parameter measured to show the meaning of the gamma ray and x-ray material absorption fractions of materials prepared for radiation shielding, and it can be calculated from the following equation [24,25].

$$Z_{\text{eff}} = \frac{\sum_i f_i A_i \left(\frac{\mu}{\rho} \right)_i}{\sum_j \frac{f_j A_j}{Z_{ij}} \left(\frac{\mu}{\rho} \right)_j} \quad (8)$$

In this equation, for the i th and j th elements, f_i and f_j are the respective fractional abundances according to the number of atoms, Z_i and Z_j denote the respective atomic numbers, and A_i and A_j are the respective weights [26,27].

Additionally, the effective electron density (N_{eff}) can be estimated using Z_{eff} as follows:

$$N_{\text{eff}} = \frac{N_A}{M} Z_{\text{eff}} \sum n_i \quad (9)$$

where N_A is Avogadro's number and M is the atomic mass of the glass.

In all areas where radiation is used or maintained, reliable and highly sensitive information about the EABF and EBF parameters is needed. The EBF value represents the degree of probable air interactions between the radiation source and the detector. EABF, on the other hand, is a parameter that refers to how much energy is absorbed in the substance with which the radiation interacts [28–31]. The EABF and EBF values of the examined glasses were obtained using the GP fitting approach, as previously reported in several papers [32–34]. These two parameters are almost equal in terms of determining the ratio of un-collided/un-scattered photons. The GP fitting parameters obtained by interpolation of the equivalent atomic number (Z_{eq}) were used to calculate the EABF and EBF factors with the help of the following equations:

$$Z_{\text{eq}} = \frac{Z_1(\log R_2 - \log R) + Z_2(\log R - \log R_1)}{\log R_2 - \log R_1} \quad (10)$$

where Z_1 and Z_2 indicate the atomic numbers of the samples for the ratios R_1 and R_2 , respectively, and

$$C = \frac{C_1(\log Z_2 - \log Z_{\text{eq}}) + C_2(\log Z_{\text{eq}} - \log Z_1)}{\log Z_2 - \log Z_1} \quad (11)$$

where C_1 and C_2 denote the GP fitting parameters for the elements with atomic numbers Z_1 and Z_2 , respectively.

2.2. Monte Carlo Simulations and Theoretical Calculations of Gamma-ray Shielding Properties

The mass attenuation coefficients of the S1, S2, S3, S4, and S5 glasses were effectively computed using the general-purpose Monte Carlo tool MCNPX (Los Alamos National Laboratory) [35] (version 2.7.0). First, input data for MCNPX were prepared using the following fundamental components:

- Card for a cell;
- Card for a surface;
- Source data.

Within a lead (Pb) shield block, a point source was positioned (see Figure 1). Following that, the glass specimens' elemental compositions (in weight percent) and densities (in grams per cubic centimeter) were calculated. Alternatively, as illustrated in Figure 1, the simulated point isotropic source can be considered as an extension of the overall gamma-ray transmission arrangement. The elemental mass fractions of the S1, S2, S3, S4, and S5 glasses under examination are listed in Table 1.

Notably, the MCNPX INPUT file [36–38] used to specify the elemental composition of glass specimens contained an M_n variable. We were able to determine the importance of photon and electron interactions (IMP: p, e) in the cell using the results of the initial cell description technique. To tally the attenuated gamma rays produced, S1, S2, S3, S4, and S5 glasses were linked to the opposite side of the detection area (F4 Tally-Mesh). This type of tally mesh is advantageous for determining the average photon flux. After each run was repeated, a total of 10^8 particles with varying photon energies were collected for each glass sample. When all simulations were run, the MCNPX model had an uncertainty rate of less than 1%. To assess the consistency of the obtained mass attenuation coefficients from

the MCNPX code, we used the well-known Phy-X/PSD [39] online calculation platform. Our findings showed that both results were in good harmony in terms of their quantitative values. Accordingly, the mass attenuation coefficients of the investigated glasses were used for the determination of other critical gamma-ray shielding parameters.

Figure 1. 3-D model of the modelled MCNPX simulation setup (obtained from VISED_X_22S).

Table 1. Elemental mass fractions (wt.%) and densities of the glasses [10].

Element	S1	S2	S3	S4	S5	Density (g/cm ³)
Co	0.0018	0.0017	0.0017	0.0017	0.0017	3.11
O	0.4164	0.4112	0.4060	0.4010	0.3961	3.18
B	0.1732	0.1699	0.1667	0.1636	0.1606	3.24
Pb	0.4086	0.4035	0.3984	0.3935	0.3887	3.29
La		0.0137	0.0271	0.0402	0.0529	3.36

3. Results and Discussion

The gamma-ray attenuation characteristics of five glass samples strengthened with lanthanum oxide were explored in this research. Table 1 summarizes the molar and elemental mass fractions and densities of the specimens examined. We used two distinct approaches to determine the linear attenuation coefficients (μ) of the glass samples: Monte Carlo simulations and theoretical calculations. The densities of the S1, S2, S3, S4, and S5 glass specimens are shown in Figure 2. As shown in the graph, the glass density increased from 3.11 g/cm³ to 3.36 g/cm³. The S5 sample, with the highest concentration of lanthanum oxide in its structure, had the highest glass density.

Given that the linear attenuation coefficient is a density-dependent characteristic, it is assumed that a relation exists here between density and the linear attenuation coefficient values, and, hence, the amount of lanthanum oxide. The shifting linear attenuation coefficients (cm^{−1}) as a function of the incident photon energy are depicted in Figure 3. In the graph, it can be observed that as the photon energy increased, the linear attenuation coefficients quickly decreased, reaching as low as 0.06 MeV in certain cases. Most of the photon–matter interactions occur in the low-energy area, where the photoelectric effect dominates, with cross-sectional changes proportional to $Z^{(4-5)}$. The lowest-energy region exhibits the highest linear attenuation coefficients. Furthermore, the incoming photon energy, shown by the symbol $E^{3.5}$, is well defined and inversely proportionate. However, the pair creation process dominates, and the cross section for this process is connected to Z^2 at energies greater than 1.022 MeV; as a result, it was discovered that the μ values increased somewhat in this area. Taking into consideration the variations in chemical composition of the glass specimens, Compton scattering becomes increasingly significant

for medium-level energies beyond the energy level of 0.06 MeV. Since there is a linear link between the cross section of Compton scattering and atomic number Z , the μ values of the glasses declined slowly and were stable below 1 MeV. Nevertheless, as the glass density changed gradually, no significant variations in the linear attenuation coefficients were seen. We observed an interesting effect of lanthanum oxide on the photon resistance of glass samples at various energies. Our results reveal that the S5 sample, which contained the highest concentration of lanthanum oxide, had the maximum linear attenuation coefficients for all entering photon energies. This is explained by the S5 sample's glass density, containing the largest amount of lanthanum oxide in the glass structure. Meanwhile, another critical statistic for gamma-ray shielding, namely, the mass attenuation coefficient (μ_m), was calculated.

Figure 2. Variation of the glass density (g/cm^3).

Figure 3. Variation in the linear attenuation coefficients ($1/\text{cm}$) of the investigated glasses as a function of incident photon energy (MeV).

Figure 4 depicts the shifting trend in mass attenuation coefficients as a function of incoming photon energy. When the energy was increased from 0.015 MeV to 15 MeV, the mass attenuation coefficients dropped. This might be a result of difficulties encountered during the absorption of high-energy gamma rays with a large penetration factor. On the other hand, S5 exhibits the greatest μ_m values over the whole gamma-ray energy range studied. This might be explained by the fact that S5's glass structure had the largest amount of La (see Table 1). Apart from increasing the glass density of S5, our results indicated that around 5.12 weight percent La reinforcement enhanced the elemental characteristics of S5 in terms of density-independent gamma-ray attenuation, namely, the mass attenuation coefficient (μ_m).

Figure 4. Variation in the mass attenuation coefficients (cm^2/g) of the investigated glasses as a function of incident photon energy (MeV).

The half value layer term (also known as the HVL) is significant in radiation shielding research since it allows for the quantification of the material thickness required to halve the initial gamma-ray intensity. This is because radiation studies necessitate that shielding requirements be determined in advance based on the type and energy of the radiation used. As a result, the amount of the half value layer required for each type of prospective shielding material should be determined on the basis of a more complete understanding of gamma-ray attenuation capabilities during the incident gamma ray's contact with the attenuator specimen. The fluctuation trend of the half value layer (cm) values of the examined glasses as a function of incident photon energy is depicted in Figure 5. As expected, the required half value layer grows with increasing gamma-ray energy. This is a frequently seen effect of increased gamma-ray energy and, hence, penetrating dominance of accompanying gamma-ray photons. In another sense, larger shields may be capable of deflecting powerfully penetrating gamma rays. Our findings indicate that the S5 sample meets the absolute minimum requirements for glass thickness. This is yet another strong indication of the S5 sample's superior gamma-ray shielding characteristics due to the highest contribution of lanthanum oxide. Another significant parameter in the radiation shielding field is the tenth value layer (TVL), which is the material thickness needed to attenuate 10% of the initial incident gamma-ray intensity.

Figure 5. Variation in the half value layer (cm) values of the investigated glasses as a function of incident photon energy (MeV).

As shown in Table 2, the half value layer ($T_{1/2}$) values of the investigated S5 samples were compared with those of Glass1 (Cr_2O_3 -doped BS glass) [40], Glass2 (obsidian glass doped with CeO_2) [41], Glass3 ($\text{BaO-TiO}_2\text{-SiO}_2\text{-B}_2\text{O}_3$ glass) [42], Glass4 ($\text{Li}_2\text{O-K}_2\text{O-B}_2\text{O}_3\text{-PbO}$ glass) [43], Glass5 ($\text{Li}_2\text{O-K}_2\text{O-B}_2\text{O}_3\text{-HMO}$ ($\text{HMO} = \text{SrO/TeO}_2\text{/PbO/Bi}_2\text{O}_3$)) [44], Glass6 ($\text{xBaO-(0.30-x) MgO-0.10Na}_2\text{O-0.10Al}_2\text{O}_3\text{-0.50B}_2\text{O}_3$ glass) [45], and standard shielding materials (ordinary concrete: OC [46], and hematite-serpentine concrete: HSC [47]); the $T_{1/2}$ values of the S5 samples were lower than those of all other samples, even OC and HSC.

Figure 6 depicts the variation of the TVL in centimeters of the investigated glasses at selected energy levels. From Figure 6, it is clear that the change in TVL for all studied glasses (S1–S5) with low energy was small and their values tended to be close to zero in accordance with the photoelectric effect (PE) cross section dominance. With increasing energy, the values of TVL were enhanced due to the dominance of both processes of Compton scattering (CS) and pair production (PP) interactions. The S1 glass sample with $\rho = 3.11 \text{ g/cm}^3$ had the maximum TVL values, while the S5 glass sample with $\rho = 3.36 \text{ g/cm}^3$ had the minimum values at all selected energies. Therefore, the TVL values of the investigated glasses exhibited a reverse trend to the μ_m . Thus, $(\text{TVL})_{\text{S1}} > (\text{TVL})_{\text{S2}} > (\text{TVL})_{\text{S3}} > (\text{TVL})_{\text{S4}} > (\text{TVL})_{\text{S5}}$. This result confirms that the S5 sample can be considered superior for gamma-ray shielding among the investigated samples. Gamma rays, upon reaching the atomic structure, are projected to engage progressively with the electrons of attenuator materials. This is a natural way for incident energy to be dispersed. However, the mean distance traveled by the gamma rays between two sequential interactions must be known. Fortunately, the mean free path (MFP) is a convenient parameter that concisely defines the mean distance between two sequential encounters. In other words, a smaller mean free path indicates a better shielding substance.

Table 2. Comparison of the half value layer (cm) values of the investigated S5 glass sample, different glass samples, and standard shielding materials as a function of incident photon energy (MeV).

Energy MeV	Cr ₂ O ₃ Doped BS Glass	Obsidian Glass Doped with CeO ₂	BaO-TiO ₂ -SiO ₂ -B ₂ O ₃ Glass	Li ₂ O-K ₂ O-B ₂ O ₃ -PbO Glass	Li ₂ O-K ₂ O-B ₂ O ₃ -HMO (HMO = SrO/TeO ₂ /PbO/Bi ₂ O ₃)	xBaO-(0.30-x)MgO-0.10Na ₂ O-0.10Al ₂ O ₃ -0.50B ₂ O ₃ Glass	OC	HSC	ILC	S5
0.015	0.06999	0.01193	0.02506	0.01789	0.04208	0.01431	0.04339	0.01311	0.00819	0.00431
0.02	0.16263	0.02700	0.05557	0.02694	0.03728	0.03155	0.10046	0.02950	0.01844	0.00578
0.03	0.48514	0.08548	0.16504	0.07857	0.11118	0.09529	0.30814	0.09301	0.05865	0.01645
0.04	0.90467	0.18801	0.09281	0.16464	0.23530	0.03963	0.60803	0.20492	0.13169	0.02912
0.05	1.29146	0.33098	0.16032	0.28368	0.40383	0.07014	0.92174	0.36290	0.23959	0.05149
0.06	1.59083	0.45375	0.24766	0.42856	0.60044	0.11223	1.19271	0.55220	0.37682	0.08159
0.08	1.97435	0.78133	0.46435	0.75322	1.00373	0.23103	1.57142	0.94984	0.69461	0.16469
0.1	2.20245	1.06830	0.70521	0.42251	1.34681	0.39192	1.80291	1.29198	1.00007	0.08727
0.15	2.55314	1.54137	1.23868	0.90447	1.90600	0.88913	2.14202	1.84225	1.54005	0.22900
0.2	2.81264	1.81964	1.61220	1.37635	2.23682	1.36937	2.37485	2.16102	1.85716	0.43151
0.3	3.24638	2.18700	2.08431	2.09286	2.68077	2.07147	2.74889	2.58396	2.25913	0.91485
0.4	3.62308	2.46855	2.41137	2.58742	3.02579	2.53654	3.07150	2.91330	2.55906	1.38074
0.5	3.96650	2.71454	2.67917	2.96740	3.32676	2.89115	3.36401	3.20123	2.81693	1.78212
0.6	4.28767	2.94004	2.91751	3.28797	3.60324	3.18990	3.63650	3.46617	3.05218	2.12452
0.8	4.87972	3.35196	3.34302	3.83417	4.10858	3.70145	4.13916	3.95012	3.48116	2.68617
1	5.42603	3.73004	3.72850	4.31099	4.57193	4.15112	4.60294	4.39535	3.87447	3.14895
1.5	6.65908	4.57423	4.58515	5.33685	5.61216	5.11781	5.64834	5.38995	4.74804	4.03937
2	7.72495	5.28429	5.31191	6.17919	6.50486	5.89570	6.54876	6.22634	5.47198	4.64420
3	9.51059	6.41277	6.50536	7.52587	7.98139	7.08310	8.04572	7.56504	6.59537	5.42648
4	10.93855	7.25804	7.44252	8.55353	9.14832	7.93067	9.23181	8.57325	7.40447	5.88173
5	12.10378	7.89853	8.19022	9.35246	10.08779	8.54238	10.19199	9.33846	7.99386	6.14438
6	13.05219	8.38415	8.78821	9.97226	10.83892	8.98621	10.96492	9.92337	8.42200	6.28726
8	14.47857	9.03085	9.66371	10.83906	11.95002	9.53598	12.10822	10.71325	8.95527	6.37191
10	15.45685	9.40454	10.23140	11.36501	12.68374	9.80251	12.87456	11.17529	9.22487	6.31923
15	16.81245	9.76914	10.95281	11.93931	13.65135	9.93753	13.89992	11.63975	9.40269	6.01955

Figure 6. Variation in the tenth value layer (cm) values of the investigated glasses as a function of incident photon energy (MeV).

The difference in MFP (cm) values for the tested glasses as a function of incident photon energy is depicted in Figure 7. The MFP is a significant statistic in the field of radiation research, especially in studies of radiation shielding. This is because the findings provide unique information in terms of a more accurate estimate of the mean distance

for an adjacent incident gamma ray's interaction with the material environment. As a consequence, one may argue that decreasing the value results in a more attenuating environment for energetic gamma rays. We determined the MFP values of all the glass samples examined in this research.

Figure 7. Variation in the mean free path (cm) values of the investigated glasses as a function of incident photon energy (MeV).

In addition to the superior gamma-ray attenuation parameters obtained for the S5 sample, a similar trend in the MFP values was observed. For the S5 sample, minimal MFP values were found at all photon energies investigated. The fluctuation in the effective atomic number (Z_{eff}) values of the examined glasses as a function of incident photon energy is depicted in Figure 8.

Figure 8. Variation in the effective atomic number (Z_{eff}) values of the investigated glasses as a function of incident photon energy (MeV).

The effective atomic number is a critical quantity that offers precise information about the effective atomic number for attenuation at specified energy values. S5 was observed to have the maximum effective atomic number values at all energies examined, as illustrated in Figure 8. This can be explained by the increased amount of lanthanum oxide reinforcement, which increased the glasses' overall atomic number from S1 to S5. As a result, the S5 sample's total atomic number changed significantly due to differences in the glass structure between the reduced (B_2O_3) and increased (L_2O_3) replacements. Additionally, the average atomic number of the two substitute materials can be determined. The variation in the net atomic numbers of the glasses tested is the primary explanation for the differences in their effective numbers. Our results reveal that the S5 sample had the highest Z_{eff} values over the whole range of gamma-ray energy. Additionally, the effective electron density (N_{eff}), which presents the number of electrons per unit mass, was evaluated for the S1–S5 glasses. The change in the effective electron number (N_{eff}) values of the S1–S5 glasses is shown in Figure 9 as a function of incident photon energy (MeV).

Figure 9. Variation in the effective electron number (N_{eff}) values of the investigated glasses as a function of incident photon energy (MeV).

From Figure 9, in the low region of photon energy, N_{eff} changed in a non-monotonic trend with photon energy until reaching a sudden jump near the absorption edge of Pb (0.0880 MeV). This behavior can be attributed to the PE process. In the photon energy zone from 0.1 to 1 MeV, a quick decrease in the N_{eff} values was observed in all investigated samples; this trend is related to the CS process, which dominates in this region. In the energy zone greater than 2 MeV, an increase in the N_{eff} values was observed and attributed to the PP process, which dominates in this region. Our data indicate definitively that the S5 sample, which contained the highest concentration of lanthanum oxide, had a significant advantage in terms of gamma-ray attenuation. A total of 2 mole percent replacement of B_2O_3 for L_2O_3 resulted in a 0.23 g/cm^3 density shift. Furthermore, this modification altered the gamma-ray shielding properties of the glass samples evaluated. The term “Build-up factor” is required for an effective evaluation of gamma attenuation and may affect the measurement's quality. Gamma ray measurement is required for nuclear technology since it is used in industry, medicine, agriculture, education, research, and military applications. Additionally, it is necessary for the building of radiation protective structures that protect human health. When gamma radiation travels via shielding material, two types of radiation

are produced: un-collided photons and colliding photons. As a result, the accumulation factor is an essential statistic for gamma ray measurement. It is defined as the ratio of the total number of particles at a given point to the total number of particles that have not collided at that location. Figures 10 and 11 illustrate the variation in the EBF and EABF values for S5 glass samples. Gamma rays are absorbed mostly in the low- and high-energy bands, which account for the majority of absorption. On the other hand, at intermediate energies, Compton scattering is the dominant mechanism of photon–matter interaction. As a result, in the low-energy zone, the Compton region has the greatest EBF values. Not only was the S5 sample deficient in terms of overall EBF, it was also the only sample with regional variance in EABF. S5 had the lowest EBF and EABF values across the whole photon energy and penetration depth range, according to our findings.

A closer look at the variation in the EBF values of all glass samples at 15 MeV for 40 MFP is also presented in Figure 12. It can be seen that the EBF values decreased linearly from sample S1 to S5 at 15 MeV for 40 MFP. One may conclude that the number of colliding photons grew as the glass density and lanthanum content rose. This is also explained by the direct influence of increasing lanthanum oxide reducing EBF values and thereby increasing the gamma-ray attenuation capabilities of the examined glasses. However, as seen from Figure 12, the mean differences were not so high. This can be explained by the similar elemental compositions and densities of the glass samples. However, our results indicated that increasing La contribution had a monotonic effect on all gamma-ray shielding parameters.

Figure 10. Variation in the exposure build-up factor (EBF) values of the S5 glass sample as a function of incident photon energy (MeV).

Figure 11. Variation in the energy absorption build-up factor (EABF) values of the S5 glass sample as a function of incident photon energy (MeV).

Figure 12. Differences in the exposure build-up factor values of the S1, S2, S3, S4, and S5 samples for 40 mean free path at 15 MeV photon energy (MeV).

Finally, it is important to discuss the verification of the obtained findings, which were utilized to calculate the gamma-ray shielding parameters for the S1, S2, S3, S4, and S5 glasses. To begin, the mass attenuation coefficients of the examined glasses were computed using the MCNPX general-purpose code, as detailed in earlier sections. To ensure the integrity of our findings, we compared the mass attenuation coefficients obtained via MCNPX to those calculated using standard NIST data, Phy-X/PSD. Table 3 compares the mass attenuation coefficients determined for various energies. As can be seen, there is a high degree of numerical consistency for each particular energy value. However, we discovered some minor variations between the values from MCNPX and Phy-X/PSD. This

difference may be related to the tools' conceptual differences, with MCNPX being an input-based simulation code and Phy-X/PSD being an online computation platform. In other words, a simulation environment and associated tools should be created in MCNPX code, together with their associated characteristics (see Figure 1). The dimensions chosen, the variance reduction strategies employed, and the general performance of the computer may all have an effect on the overall simulation. On the other hand, the libraries and physics lists that are utilized may have an effect on the numerical results. As shown in Table 3, however, mass attenuation coefficients were reported with a high degree of correlation. On the other hand, multiple prior investigations have shown the compatibility of the MCNPX simulation code with experimental results [48–52]. As a result, the MCNPX simulation data may be regarded to be verified with standard databases and to have a satisfactory degree of consistency.

Table 3. A numerical comparison of the mass attenuation coefficients of S1, S2, S3, S4, and S5 glasses obtained from Phy-X/PSD and MCNPX.

Energy (MeV)	S1		S2		S3		S4		S5	
	Phy-X/ PSD	MCNPX	Phy-X/ PSD	MCNPX	Phy-X/ PSD	MCNPX	Phy-X/ PSD	MCNPX	Phy-X/ PSD	MCNPX
0.015	46.550	47.795	46.884	47.985	47.210	47.324	47.528	18.128	47.838	48.120
0.02	35.757	36.124	35.732	36.251	35.708	35.802	35.684	36.123	35.661	35.684
0.03	12.598	13.058	12.582	13.124	12.567	12.615	12.553	12.625	12.539	12.541
0.04	6.014	6.125	6.291	6.325	6.562	6.594	6.826	6.914	7.084	7.106
0.05	3.407	3.512	3.563	3.592	3.714	3.728	3.862	3.895	4.006	4.108
0.06	2.160	2.174	2.256	2.271	2.349	2.351	2.440	2.459	2.528	2.529
0.08	1.085	1.108	1.129	1.156	1.171	1.180	1.212	1.135	1.253	1.256
0.1	2.357	2.412	2.359	2.415	2.360	2.417	2.362	2.401	2.364	2.403
0.15	0.902	0.921	0.902	0.923	0.901	0.925	0.901	0.927	0.901	0.931
0.2	0.480	0.497	0.479	0.499	0.479	0.501	0.478	0.503	0.478	0.509
0.3	0.227	0.233	0.226	0.235	0.226	0.237	0.226	0.238	0.225	0.241
0.4	0.150	0.159	0.150	0.161	0.150	0.163	0.150	0.165	0.149	0.168
0.5	0.116	0.124	0.116	0.126	0.116	0.127	0.116	0.129	0.116	0.131
0.6	0.098	0.109	0.097	0.110	0.097	0.112	0.097	0.115	0.097	0.117
0.8	0.077	0.081	0.077	0.082	0.077	0.083	0.077	0.085	0.077	0.089
1	0.066	0.070	0.066	0.072	0.066	0.073	0.066	0.074	0.066	0.076
1.5	0.051	0.054	0.051	0.056	0.051	0.058	0.051	0.060	0.051	0.062
2	0.045	0.047	0.045	0.047	0.044	0.049	0.044	0.051	0.044	0.053
3	0.038	0.040	0.038	0.041	0.038	0.042	0.038	0.043	0.038	0.045
4	0.035	0.038	0.035	0.039	0.035	0.041	0.035	0.042	0.035	0.043
5	0.033	0.035	0.033	0.035	0.033	0.035	0.034	0.035	0.034	0.036
6	0.033	0.034	0.033	0.034	0.033	0.035	0.033	0.036	0.033	0.037
8	0.032	0.034	0.032	0.035	0.032	0.036	0.032	0.037	0.032	0.037
10	0.032	0.031	0.032	0.032	0.032	0.033	0.033	0.033	0.033	0.035
15	0.034	0.036	0.034	0.036	0.034	0.037	0.034	0.038	0.034	0.039

4. Conclusions

In this study, we aimed to evaluate different types of gamma-ray shielding parameters using advanced simulation methods. The hypothesis of a recent simulation study was to observe an enhancement in gamma-ray shielding properties with increasing lanthanum oxide reinforcement in the glass structure. Accordingly, the influence of lanthanum oxide on the gamma-ray shielding properties of cobalt-doped heavy metal borate glasses with chemical formula $0.3\text{CoO}-(80-x)\text{B}_2\text{O}_3-19.7\text{PbO}-x\text{La}_2\text{O}_3$; $x = 0, 0.5, 1, 1.5$, and 2 mole% was examined using MCNPX general purpose Monte Carlo code and the Phy-X/PSD online platform. Several significant radiation shielding parameters were evaluated. The glass density was increased from 3.11 to 3.36 g/cm³ with increasing La³⁺ ion content from 0 to 2 mole%. The mass attenuation coefficients for all glasses were evaluated via the MCNPX code and Phy-X/PSD online calculation platform. Our findings showed that both results

were in good harmony in terms of their quantitative values. The S5 glass sample, which contained the highest concentration of La^{3+} ions (2 mole%), had the maximum linear (μ) and mass (μ_m) attenuation coefficients for all entering photon energies, while the S1 glass sample, free of La^{3+} ions, possessed the minimum values of μ and μ_m . The half value layer ($T_{1/2}$), tenth value layer (TVL), and mean free path (MFP) of all investigated glasses showed a similar trend of $(T_{1/2}, \text{TVL}, \text{MFP})_{S1} > (T_{1/2}, \text{TVL}, \text{MFP})_{S2} > (T_{1/2}, \text{TVL}, \text{MFP})_{S3} > (T_{1/2}, \text{TVL}, \text{MFP})_{S4} > (T_{1/2}, \text{TVL}, \text{MFP})_{S5}$. Our results revealed that the S5 sample had the highest effective atomic number (Z_{eff}) values over the whole range of gamma-ray energy. S5 had the lowest EBF and EABF values across the whole photon energy and penetration depth range. Our simulation findings give a strong indication of the S5 sample's superior gamma-ray shielding characteristics due to its highest contribution of lanthanum oxide. On the other hand, it can be concluded that advanced simulations for radiation transport studies such as Monte Carlo simulations can be utilized for an initial assessment of candidate glass shields to determine their gamma-ray attenuation properties before manufacture.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, H.O.T., W.E., H.M.H.Z., G.A., and S.A.M.I.; methodology, W.E. and G.A.; software, H.O.T., H.M.H.Z. and A.E.; validation, S.A.M.I., Y.S.R., M.A., S.A.A.-G., A.A.I. and A.E.; formal analysis, Y.S.R., H.M.H.Z. and G.S.; investigation, H.O.T.; resources, G.S. and W.E.; data curation, S.A.M.I. and A.E.; writing—original draft preparation, H.O.T., G.S., Y.S.R. and W.E.; writing—review and editing, H.M.H.Z., A.A.I., S.A.M.I., M.A., S.A.A.-G., A.A.I. and A.E.; visu-alization, Y.S.R. and G.S.; supervision, H.M.H.Z. and G.A.; project administration, H.O.T. and S.A.M.I.; Researcher H.M.H.Z. supported by a scholarship under the Joint (Executive Program between Egypt and Russia). The APC was covered by “Dunarea de Jos” University of Galati, Romania. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This work funding by Deanship of Scientific Research at King Khalid University through research grant no R.G.P.1/1/42.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: The data presented in this study are available on request from the corresponding author.

Acknowledgments: The authors extend their appreciation to the Deanship of Scientific Research at King Khalid University for funding this work through the research group program under grant number R.G.P.1/1/42.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Harrell, C.R.; Djonov, V.; Fellabaum, C.; Volarevic, V. Risks of Using Sterilization by Gamma Radiation: The Other Side of the Coin. *Int. J. Med. Sci.* **2018**, *15*, 274. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
2. Rammah, Y.S.; Kilic, G.; El-Mallawany, R.; Issever, U.G.; El-Agawany, F.I. Investigation of optical, physical, and gamma-ray shielding features of novel vanadyl boro-phosphate glasses. *J. Non Cryst. Solids* **2020**, *533*, 119905. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
3. Rammah, Y.S.; Zakaly, H.M.H.; Issa, S.A.M.; Tekin, H.O.; Hessien, M.M.; Saudi, H.A.; Henaish, A.M.A. Fabrication, physical, structural, and optical investigation of cadmium lead-borate glasses doped with Nd^{3+} ions: An experimental study. *J. Mater. Sci. Mater. Electron.* **2021**, 1–11. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
4. Tekin, H.O.; Kassab, L.R.P.; Kilicoglu, O.; Magalhães, E.S.; Issa, S.A.M.; da Silva Mattos, G.R. Newly developed tellurium oxide glasses for nuclear shielding applications: An extended investigation. *J. Non Cryst. Solids* **2020**, *528*, 119763. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
5. Olarinoye, I.O.; Rammah, Y.S.; Alraddadi, S.; Sriwunkum, C.; Abd El-Rehim, A.F.; Zahran, H.Y.; Al-Buriahi, M.S. The effects of La_2O_3 addition on mechanical and nuclear shielding properties for zinc borate glasses using Monte Carlo simulation. *Ceram. Int.* **2020**, *46*, 29191–29198. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
6. Zakaly, H.M.; Abouhaswa, A.S.; Issa, S.A.M.; Mostafa, M.Y.A.; Pyshkina, M.; El-Mallawany, R. Optical and nuclear radiation shielding properties of zinc borate glasses doped with lanthanum oxide. *J. Non Cryst. Solids* **2020**, *543*, 120151. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
7. Jun, J.H.; Jun, J.-H.; Wang, C.-H.; Won, D.-J.; Choi, D.-J. Structural and Electrical Properties of a La_2O_3 Thin Film as a Gate Dielectric. *Artic. J. Korean Phys. Soc.* **2002**, *41*, 998–1002.

8. Abouhaswa, A.S.; Zakaly, H.M.H.; Issa, S.A.M.; Pyshkina, M.; El-Mallawany, R.; Mostafa, M.Y.A. Lead borate glasses and synergistic impact of lanthanum oxide additive: Optical and nuclear radiation shielding behaviors. *J. Mater. Sci. Mater. Electron.* **2020**, *31*, 14494–14501. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
9. Gedam, R.S.; Ramteke, D.D. Electrical, dielectric and optical properties of La_2O_3 doped lithium borate glasses. *J. Phys. Chem. Solids* **2013**, *74*, 1039–1044. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
10. Wong, H.; Yang, B.L.; Kakushima, K.; Ahmet, P.; Iwai, H. Effects of aluminum doping on lanthanum oxide gate dielectric films. *Vacuum* **2012**, *86*, 929–932. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
11. Meza-Rocha, A.N.; Zaleta-Alejandre, E.; Cabañas-Moreno, J.G.; Gallardo-Hernández, S.; Rivera-Álvarez, Z.; Aguilar-Frutis, M.; Falcony, C. Characterization of Lanthanum-Aluminum Oxide Thin Films Deposited by Spray Pyrolysis. *ECS J. Solid State Sci. Technol.* **2014**, *3*, N1–N6. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
12. Rammah, Y.S.; Abouhaswa, A.S.; Sayyed, M.I.; Tekin, H.O.; El-Mallawany, R. Structural, UV and shielding properties of ZBPC glasses. *J. Non Cryst. Solids* **2019**, *509*, 99–105. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
13. Abul-Magd, A.A.; Abu-Khadra, A.S.; Abdel-Ghany, A.M. Influence of La_2O_3 on the structural, mechanical and optical features of cobalt doped heavy metal borate glasses. *Ceram. Int.* **2021**, *47*, 19886–19894. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
14. Shultis, J.K.; Faw, R.E. Radiation shielding technology. *Health Phys.* **2005**, *88*, 297–322. [\[CrossRef\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)
15. Medhat, M.E. Application of gamma-ray transmission method for study the properties of cultivated soil. *Ann. Nucl. Energy* **2012**, *40*, 53–59. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
16. Sayyed, M.I.; Tekin, H.O.; Kılıcoglu, O.; Agar, O.; Zaid, M.H.M. Shielding features of concrete types containing sepiolite mineral: Comprehensive study on experimental, XCOM and MCNPX results. *Results Phys.* **2018**, *11*, 40–45. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
17. Ilik, E.; Kilic, G.; Issever, U.G.; Issa, S.A.M.; Zakaly, H.M.H.; Tekin, H.O. Cerium (IV) oxide reinforced Lithium-Borotellurite glasses: A characterization study through physical, optical, structural and radiation shielding properties. *Ceram. Int.* **2022**, *48*, 1152–1165. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
18. Tekin, H.O.; Ebru, E.; Guclu, A.; Manici, T.; Tekin, H.O.; Sayyed, M.I.; Altunsoy, E.E.; Manici, T. Shielding properties and effects of WO_3 and PbO on mass attenuation coefficients by using MCNPX code. *Artic. Dig. J. Nanomater. Biostructures* **2017**, *12*, 861–867.
19. Tekin, H.O.; Issa, S.A.M.; Kavaz, E.; Guclu, E.E.A. The direct effect of Er_2O_3 on bismuth barium telluro borate glasses for nuclear security applications. *Mater. Res. Express* **2019**, *6*, 115212. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
20. Almatari, M.; Agar, O.; Altunsoy, E.E.; Kılıcoglu, O.; Sayyed, M.I.; Tekin, H.O. Photon and neutron shielding characteristics of samarium doped lead alumino borate glasses containing barium, lithium and zinc oxides determined at medical diagnostic energies. *Results Phys.* **2019**, *12*, 2123–2128. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
21. Agar, O.; Tekin, H.O.; Sayyed, M.I.; Korkmaz, M.E.; Culfa, O.; Ertugay, C. Experimental investigation of photon attenuation behaviors for concretes including natural perlite mineral. *Results Phys.* **2019**, *12*, 237–243. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
22. El-Agawany, F.I.; Kavaz, E.; Perişanoğlu, U.; Al-Buriah, M.; Rammah, Y.S. Sm_2O_3 effects on mass stopping power/projected range and nuclear shielding characteristics of TeO_2 – ZnO glass systems. *Appl. Phys. A Mater. Sci. Process* **2019**, *125*, 1–12. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
23. Saudi, H.A.; Tekin, H.O.; Zakaly, H.M.H.; Issa, S.A.M.; Susoy, G.; Zhukovsky, M. The impact of samarium (III) oxide on structural, optical and radiation shielding properties of thallium-borate glasses: Experimental and numerical investigation. *Opt. Mater.* **2021**, *114*, 110948. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
24. Akman, F.; Geçibesler, I.H.; Demirkol, I.; Çetin, A. Determination of effective atomic numbers and electron densities for some synthesized triazoles from the measured total mass attenuation coefficients at different energies. *Can. J. Phys.* **2019**, *97*, 86–92. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
25. Obaid, S.S.; Sayyed, M.I.; Gaikwad, D.K.; Pawar, P.P. Attenuation coefficients and exposure buildup factor of some rocks for gamma ray shielding applications. *Radiat. Phys. Chem.* **2018**, *148*, 86–94. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
26. Akman, F.; Kaçal, M.R.; Akman, F.; Soylu, M.S. Determination of effective atomic numbers and electron densities from mass attenuation coefficients for some selected complexes containing lanthanides. *Can. J. Phys.* **2017**, *95*, 1005–1011. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
27. Kassab, L.R.P.; da Silva Mattos, G.R.; Issa, S.A.M.; Bilal, G.; Bordon, C.D.S.; Kilic, G.; Zakaly, H.M.H.; Tekin, H.O. Optical and physical behaviours of newly developed germanium-tellurium (GeTe) glasses: A comprehensive experimental and in-silico study with commercial glasses and ordinary shields. *J. Mater. Sci. Mater. Electron.* **2021**, *32*, 22953–22973. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
28. Ekinci, N.; Kavaz, E.; Özdemir, Y. A study of the energy absorption and exposure buildup factors of some anti-inflammatory drugs. *Appl. Radiat. Isot.* **2014**, *90*, 265–273. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
29. Yildiz Yorgun, N. Gamma-ray shielding parameters of $\text{Li}_2\text{B}_4\text{O}_7$ glasses: Undoped and doped with magnetite, siderite and Zinc-Borate minerals cases. *Radiochim. Acta* **2019**, *107*, 755–765. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
30. Yorgun, N.Y.; Kavaz, E. Gamma photon protection properties of some cancer drugs for medical applications. *Results Phys.* **2019**, *13*. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
31. Mostafa, A.M.A.; Issa, S.A.M.; Zakaly, H.M.H.; Zaid, M.H.M.; Tekin, H.O.; Matori, K.A.; Sidek, H.A.A.; Elsamani, R. The influence of heavy elements on the ionizing radiation shielding efficiency and elastic properties of some tellurite glasses: Theoretical investigation. *Results Phys.* **2020**, *19*, 103496. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
32. Sathiyaraj, P.; Samuel, E.J.J.; Valeriano, C.C.S.; Kurudirek, M. Effective atomic number and buildup factor calculations for metal nano particle doped polymer gel. *Vacuum* **2017**, *143*, 138–149. [\[CrossRef\]](#)

33. Sharaf, J.M.; Saleh, H. Gamma-ray energy buildup factor calculations and shielding effects of some Jordanian building structures. *Radiat. Phys. Chem.* **2015**, *110*, 87–95. [CrossRef]
34. Kavaz, E.; Perişanoğlu, U.; Ekinci, N.; Özdemir, Y. Determination of energy absorption and exposure buildup factors by using G-P fitting approximation for radioprotective agents. *Int. J. Radiat. Biol.* **2016**, *92*, 380–387. [CrossRef]
35. RSICC Computer Code Collection, MCNPX User's Manual Version 2.4.0. MonteCarlo N-Particle Transport Code System for Multiple and High Energy Applications. 2002. Available online: <https://www.google.com/search?q=RSICC+Computer+Code+Collection%2C+MCNPX+User%27s+Manual+Version+2.4.0.+MonteCarlo+N-Particle+Transport+Code+System+for+Multiple+and+High+Energy+Applications%2C> (accessed on 31 December 2020).
36. Uosif, M.A.M.; Mostafa, A.M.A.; Issa, S.A.M.; Tekin, H.O.; Alrowaili, Z.A.; Kilicoglu, O. Structural, mechanical and radiation shielding properties of newly developed tungsten lithium borate glasses: An experimental study. *J. Non Cryst. Solids* **2020**, *532*, 119882. [CrossRef]
37. Issa, S.A.M.; Ahmad, M.; Tekin, H.O.; Saddeek, Y.B.; Sayyed, M.I. Effect of Bi₂O₃ content on mechanical and nuclear radiation shielding properties of Bi₂O₃-MoO₃-B₂O₃-SiO₂-Na₂O-Fe₂O₃ glass system. *Results Phys.* **2019**, *13*, 102165. [CrossRef]
38. Kavaz, E.; Ekinci, N.; Tekin, H.O.; Sayyed, M.I.; Aygün, B.; Perişanoğlu, U. Estimation of gamma radiation shielding qualification of newly developed glasses by using WinXCOM and MCNPX code. *Prog. Nucl. Energy* **2019**, *115*, 12–20. [CrossRef]
39. Şakar, E.; Özpolat, Ö.F.; Alım, B.; Sayyed, M.I.; Kurudirek, M. Phy-X/PSD: Development of a user friendly online software for calculation of parameters relevant to radiation shielding and dosimetry. *Radiat. Phys. Chem.* **2020**, *166*, 108496. [CrossRef]
40. Aktas, B.; Yalcin, S.; Dogru, K.; Uzunoglu, Z.; Yilmaz, D. Structural and radiation shielding properties of chromium oxide doped borosilicate glass. *Radiat. Phys. Chem.* **2019**, *156*, 144–149. [CrossRef]
41. Yalcin, S.; Aktas, B.; Yilmaz, D. Radiation shielding properties of Cerium oxide and Erbium oxide doped obsidian glass. *Radiat. Phys. Chem.* **2019**, *160*, 83–88. [CrossRef]
42. Mhareb, M.H.A.; Alajerami, Y.S.M.; Sayyed, M.I.; Dwaikat, N.; Alqahtani, M.; Alshahri, F.; Saleh, N.; Alonizan, N.; Ghrib, T.; Al-Dhafar, S.I. Radiation shielding, structural, physical, and optical properties for a series of borosilicate glass. *J. Non Cryst. Solids* **2020**, *550*, 120360. [CrossRef]
43. Almuqrin, A.H.; Kumar, A.; Jeong, J.F.M.; Al-Harbi, N.; Hannachi, E.; Sayyed, M.I. Li₂O-K₂O-B₂O₃-PbO glass system: Optical and gamma-ray shielding investigations. *Optik* **2021**, *247*, 167792. [CrossRef]
44. Al-Harbi, F.F.; Prabhu, N.S.; Sayyed, M.I.; Almuqrin, A.H.; Kumar, A.; Kamath, S.D. Evaluation of structural and gamma ray shielding competence of Li₂O-K₂O-B₂O₃-HMO (HMO = SrO/TeO₂/PbO/Bi₂O₃) glass system. *Optik* **2021**, *248*, 168074. [CrossRef]
45. Singh, S.; Kaur, R.; Rani, S.; Sidhu, B.S. Physical, structural and nuclear radiation shielding behaviour of xBaO-(0.30-x)MgO-0.10Na₂O-0.10Al₂O₃-0.50B₂O₃ glass matrix. *Mater. Chem. Phys.* **2022**, *276*, 125415. [CrossRef]
46. Bashter, I.I. Calculation of radiation attenuation coefficients for shielding concretes. *Ann. Nucl. Energy* **1997**, *24*, 1389–1401. [CrossRef]
47. Bashter, I.I.; Makarious, A.S.; Abdo, E.S. Investigation of hematite-serpentine and ilmenite-limonite concretes for reactor radiation shielding. *Ann. Nucl. Energy* **1996**, *23*, 65–71. [CrossRef]
48. AlMisned, G.; Akman, F.; Abushanab, W.S.; Tekin, H.O.; Kaçal, M.R.; Issa, S.A.M.; Polat, H.; Oltulu, M.; Ene, A.; Zakaly, H.M.H. Novel Cu/Zn Reinforced Polymer Composites: Experimental Characterization for Radiation Protection Efficiency (RPE) and Shielding Properties for Alpha, Proton, Neutron, and Gamma Radiations. *Polymers* **2021**, *13*, 3157. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
49. Sayyed, M.I.; Akman, F.; Geçibesler, I.H.; Tekin, H.O. Measurement of mass attenuation coefficients, effective atomic numbers, and electron densities for different parts of medicinal aromatic plants in low-energy region. *Nucl. Sci. Tech.* **2018**, *29*, 1–10. [CrossRef]
50. Akman, F.; Sayyed, M.I.; Kaçal, M.R.; Tekin, H.O. Investigation of photon shielding performances of some selected alloys by experimental data, theoretical and MCNPX code in the energy range of 81 keV–1333 keV. *J. Alloys Compd.* **2019**, *772*, 516–524. [CrossRef]
51. Kaçal, M.R.; Polat, H.; Oltulu, M.; Akman, F.; Agar, O.; Tekin, H.O. Gamma shielding and compressive strength analyses of polyester composites reinforced with zinc: An experiment, theoretical, and simulation based study. *Appl. Phys. A Mater. Sci. Process.* **2020**, *126*, 1–15. [CrossRef]
52. Ilik, E.; Kavaz, E.; Kilic, G.; Issa, S.A.M.; Zakaly, H.M.H.; Tekin, H.O. A closer-look on Copper(II) oxide reinforced Calcium-Borate glasses: Fabrication and multiple experimental assessment on optical, structural, physical, and experimental neutron/gamma shielding properties. *Ceram. Int.* **2021**, in press. [CrossRef]