

*Correction*

# Correction: Lara-Banda, M., et al. Alternative to Nitric Acid Passivation of 15-5 and 17-4PH Stainless Steel Using Electrochemical Techniques. *Materials* 2020, **13**, 2836

María Lara-Banda <sup>1</sup>, Citlalli Gaona-Tiburcio <sup>1</sup>, Patricia Zambrano-Robledo <sup>1</sup>, Marisol Delgado-E <sup>1</sup>, José A. Cabral-Miramontes <sup>1</sup>, Demetrio Nieves-Mendoza <sup>2</sup>, Erick Maldonado-Bandala <sup>2</sup>, Francisco Estupiñan-López <sup>1</sup>, José G. Chacón-Nava <sup>3</sup> and Facundo Almeraya-Calderón <sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Universidad Autonoma de Nuevo Leon, FIME—Centro de Investigación e Innovación en ingeniería Aeronáutica (CIIA), Av. Universidad s/n. Ciudad Universitaria, San Nicolás de los Garza, Nuevo León 66455, Mexico; marialarabanda@yahoo.com.mx (M.L.-B.); citlalli.gaonatbr@uanl.edu.mx (C.G.-T.); patricia.zambranor@uanl.edu.mx (P.Z.-R.); marisol\_1706@hotmail.com (M.D.-E.); jose.cabralmr@uanl.edu.mx (J.A.C.-M.); francisco.estupinanlp@uanl.edu.mx (F.E.-L.)

<sup>2</sup> Facultad de Ingeniería Civil, Universidad Veracruzana, Xalapa, Veracruz 91000, Mexico; dnieves@uv.mx (D.N.-M.); eemalban@gmail.com (E.M.-B.)

<sup>3</sup> Centro de Investigación en Materiales Avanzados (CIMAV), Miguel de Cervantes 120, Complejo Industrial Chihuahua, Chihuahua, Chih 31136, Mexico; jose.chacon@cimav.edu.mx

\* Correspondence: falmeraya.uanl.ciiia@gmail.com

Received: 17 November 2020; Accepted: 19 November 2020; Published: 24 November 2020



The author wishes to make the following correction to this paper [1]. Due to mislabeling, replace:

**Table 3.** Electrochemical noise parameters at various conditions in 5 wt. % NaCl at 49 °C.

Passivated Agent	Stainless Steel Inoxidable	Time (min)	R <sub>n</sub> ( $\Omega/\text{cm}^2$ )	i <sub>corr</sub> ( $\text{mA}/\text{cm}^2$ )	LI	Corrosion Type
Citric acid	15-5PH	60	$8.01 \times 10^4$	$6.49 \times 10^4$	0.0862	Mixed
		90	$5.00 \times 10^5$	$1.04 \times 10^4$	0.0308	Mixed
	17-4PH	60	$5.76 \times 10^4$	$4.51 \times 10^4$	0.2492	Localized
		90	$3.27 \times 10^5$	$1.59 \times 10^4$	0.0900	Mixed
Nitric acid	15-5PH	60	$2.35 \times 10^6$	$1.1 \times 10^5$	0.1871	Localized
		90	$1.51 \times 10^6$	$1.72 \times 10^5$	0.1077	Localized
	17-4PH	60	$1.03 \times 10^6$	$2.52 \times 10^5$	0.1485	Localized
		90	$1.34 \times 10^6$	$1.94 \times 10^4$	0.1727	Localized

**Table 4.** Potentiodynamic polarization parameters in stainless steels passivated at 49 °C, in 5 wt. % NaCl.

Passivated Agent	Stainless Steel	Time (min)	$E_{corr}$ (mV)	$E_{pit}$ (mV)	$i_{corr}$ (mA/cm <sup>2</sup> )	C. R. (mm/year)
Citric Acid	15-5PH	60	−323	42	$5.26 \times 10^{-5}$	$5.54 \times 10^{-7}$
		90	−266	147	$4.50 \times 10^{-5}$	$4.75 \times 10^{-7}$
	17-4PH	60	−335	91	$9.22 \times 10^{-5}$	$9.64 \times 10^{-7}$
		90	−360	97	$5.38 \times 10^{-5}$	$5.63 \times 10^{-7}$
Nitric Acid	15-5PH	60	−228	467	$2.16 \times 10^{-5}$	$2.28 \times 10^{-7}$
		90	−228	765	$2.27 \times 10^{-5}$	$2.39 \times 10^{-7}$
	17-4PH	60	−271	439	$3.51 \times 10^{-5}$	$3.67 \times 10^{-7}$
		90	−279	323	$4.41 \times 10^{-5}$	$4.61 \times 10^{-7}$

with

**Table 3.** Electrochemical noise parameters at various conditions in 5 wt. % NaCl at 49 °C.

Passivated Agent	Stainless Steel	Time (min)	Rn ( $\Omega/cm^2$ )	$i_{corr}$ (mA/cm <sup>2</sup> )	LI	Corrosion Type
Citric acid	15-5PH	60	$8.01 \times 10^{-4}$	$6.49 \times 10^{-4}$	0.0862	Mixed
		90	$5.00 \times 10^{-5}$	$1.04 \times 10^{-4}$	0.0308	Mixed
	17-4PH	60	$5.76 \times 10^{-4}$	$4.51 \times 10^{-4}$	0.2492	Localized
		90	$3.27 \times 10^{-5}$	$1.59 \times 10^{-4}$	0.0900	Mixed
Nitric acid	15-5PH	60	$2.35 \times 10^{-6}$	$1.1 \times 10^{-5}$	0.1871	Localized
		90	$1.51 \times 10^{-6}$	$1.72 \times 10^{-5}$	0.1077	Localized
	17-4PH	60	$1.03 \times 10^{-6}$	$2.52 \times 10^{-5}$	0.1485	Localized
		90	$1.34 \times 10^{-6}$	$1.94 \times 10^{-4}$	0.1727	Localized

**Table 4.** Potentiodynamic polarization parameters in stainless steels passivated at 49 °C, in 5 wt. % NaCl.

Passivated Agent	Stainless Steel	Time (min)	$E_{corr}$ (mV)	$E_{pit}$ (mV)	$i_{corr}$ (mA/cm <sup>2</sup> )	C. R. (mm/year)
Citric Acid	15-5PH	60	−323	42	$5.26 \times 10^{-5}$	$5.54 \times 10^{-7}$
		90	−266	147	$4.50 \times 10^{-5}$	$4.75 \times 10^{-7}$
	17-4PH	60	−335	91	$9.22 \times 10^{-5}$	$9.64 \times 10^{-7}$
		90	−360	97	$5.38 \times 10^{-5}$	$5.63 \times 10^{-7}$
Nitric Acid	15-5PH	60	−228	467	$2.16 \times 10^{-5}$	$2.28 \times 10^{-7}$
		90	−228	765	$2.27 \times 10^{-5}$	$2.39 \times 10^{-7}$
	17-4PH	60	−271	439	$3.51 \times 10^{-5}$	$3.67 \times 10^{-7}$
		90	−279	323	$4.41 \times 10^{-5}$	$4.61 \times 10^{-7}$

The authors would like to apologize for any inconvenience caused to the readers by these changes.

## Reference

- Lara-Banda, M.; Gaona-Tiburcio, C.; Zambrano-Robledo, P.; Delgado-E, M.; Cabral-Miramontes, J.; Nieves-Mendoza, D.; Maldonado-Bandala, E.; Estupiñan-López, F.; Chacón-Nava, J.; Almeraya-Calderón, F. Alternative to Nitric Acid Passivation of 15-5 and 17-4PH Stainless Steel Using Electrochemical Techniques. *Materials* **2020**, *13*, 2836. [[CrossRef](#)]

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



© 2020 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).