

Article

Formulation of a Model Resin System for Benchmarking Processing-Property Relationships in High-Performance Photo 3D Printing Applications

Jianwei Tu^D, Kamran Makarian, Nicolas J. Alvarez^D and Giuseppe R. Palmese *^D

Department of Chemical and Biological Engineering, Drexel University, Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA; jt3229@drexel.edu (J.T.); km3778@drexel.edu (K.M.); nja49@drexel.edu (N.J.A.)

* Correspondence: grp27@drexel.edu; Tel.: +1-215-895-5814

Received: 20 August 2020; Accepted: 14 September 2020; Published: 16 September 2020



Abstract: A well-defined resin system is needed to serve as a benchmark for 3D printing of high-performance composites. This work describes the design and characterization of such a system that takes into account processability and performance considerations. The Grunberg–Nissan model for resin viscosity and the Fox equation for polymer T_g were used to determine proper monomer ratios. The target viscosity of the resin was below 500 cP, and the target final T_g of the cured polymer was 150 °C based on tan- δ peak from dynamic mechanical analysis. A tri-component model resin system, termed DA-2 resin, was determined and fully characterized. The printed polymer post-cure exhibited good thermal properties and high mechanical strength, but has a comparatively low fracture toughness. The model resin will be used in additive manufacturing of fiber reinforced composite materials as well as for understanding the fundamental processing–property relationships in light-based 3D printing.

Keywords: 3D Printing; resin formulation; photo-polymerizable resins

1. Introduction

Three-dimensional (3D) printing is an additive manufacturing process in which successive layers of material are patterned and combined to form 3D shapes. 3D printing technologies are currently experiencing financial growth and are being increasingly adopted across industries. Factors driving this market growth are aggressive research and development and the growing demand for prototyping applications from industries such as healthcare, automotive, defense, and aerospace [1]. In fact, the aerospace 3D printing market was estimated to be USD 1.86 billion as of 2019—only 16.8% of the total 3D printing market—and is expected to grow annually at a rate of 16.9% over the next 7 years to reach USD 6.72 billion in 2027 [2]. For 3D patterning polymeric materials, extrusion or melt type techniques, such as fused deposition modelling and selective laser sintering, are common methods for the fabrication of thermoplastic parts. However, these techniques have the drawback of comparatively low resolution, weak layer adhesion, and slow processing. On the other hand, in light-based methods, the printing resolution and production speed are drastically improved due to the exceptional spatial control and versatility of photo-polymerization reactions [3]. Moreover, the mechanical properties of the printed objects are significantly enhanced due to better layer-to-layer cohesion. Thus, light-based technologies offer attractive routes for 3D printing of polymers and composites. Examples of technologies include stereolithography (SLA), digital light processing (DLP), and continuous liquid interface production (CLIP) [4–6]. In SLA, specific surface regions of photo-sensitive liquid resin undergo localized polymerization by exposure to a scanning spot light source. In DLP, all given portions of a layer are simultaneously photocured, significantly reducing



part production times. The recently developed CLIP process utilizes a continuous building strategy, which further increases part production speed and enhances surface finish.

Light-based methods use photo-sensitive resins that can be cured by a light source, often a UV laser. Typical materials used are acrylic and epoxy resins. Currently, most standard photo-polymerizable resin formulations on the market produce parts with relatively low thermal and mechanical properties and, therefore, cannot be used for additive manufacturing of high-performance composite materials. Moreover, the compositions of commercial resins are proprietary information in most formulations. A list of commercial resins from reputable suppliers and their property information can be found in Table A1 in Appendix A. To develop a fundamental understanding of the processing-property relationships underlying light-based 3D printing methods, a well-defined additive manufacturing resin formulation is needed to serve as the benchmark resin system. A standard resin formulation should have the following characteristics [7]: (i) commercially available component monomers; (ii) good storage stability including long resin shelf life and low monomer volatility; (iii) low viscosity for facile printing; (iv) good final part properties including good dimensional stability and high thermal and mechanical properties.

Bisphenol A glycerolate dimethacrylate (Bis-GMA), also known as the vinyl ester of diglycidyl ether of bisphenol A (VE-DGEBA), is a major component commonly used in dental formulations and in vinyl ester resins [8–10]. Due to the presence of rigid bisphenol-A core in the backbones, the molecule imparts excellent performance characteristics to its final products. The strong intermolecular interactions by hydroxyl groups, however, result in an extremely viscous resin at room temperature [11], so the use of diluent co-monomers becomes necessary for easy handling [12]. Styrene is the most common comonomer in vinyl ester resins, but it cannot be used for 3D printing because it is a hazardous air pollutant (HAP) and a volatile organic compound (VOC, vapor pressure at 25 °C is 6.5 mmHg) [10,13,14]. On the other hand, triethylene glycol dimethacrylate (TEGDMA) has been widely used as a comonomer of Bis-GMA in dental formulations. It significantly reduces the viscosity of the mixture and increases the polymer degree of conversion [15]. However, the addition of TEGDMA causes an undesirable increase in polymerization shrinkage due to its higher double bond concentration and increased overall double bond conversion [16,17]. Neat TEGMDA can shrink by 12.3% compared to 5.2% shrinkage of Bis-GMA after polymerization [18]. For this reason, low viscosity monomers with higher molecular weights were developed to decrease polymerization shrinkage and improve processability. To this end, ethoxylated bisphenol A dimethacrylate (Bis-EMA) has been present in several commercial formulations, partially or totally replacing TEGDMA [19,20]. The molecular structure of Bis-EMA monomer is almost the same as Bis-GMA monomer, except for the absence of hydroxyl groups. It shows intrinsically low viscosity due to the absence of hydroxyl groups that form hydrogen bonding. The lack of hydroxyl groups also results in a more hydrophobic molecule, which makes Bis-EMA suitable for applications where moisture uptake is undesirable. The equilibrium water uptake of neat Bis-EMA polymer is only around 0.6~1.8%, as compared to 2.5~3.1% for Bis-GMA polymer and 6.0~6.3% for TEGDMA polymer [18,21,22].

This work describes the design of a well-defined benchmark resin formulation that in the future will be used to investigate the processing-property relationships in 3D printing. The screening process for resin development was primarily based on viscosity and glass transition temperature (T_g) considerations. Predictive models, namely the Grunberg–Nissan model for resin viscosity and the Fox equation for polymer T_g , were used as guiding tools to determine proper monomer ratios. The preference of di-functional reactive diluents over mono-functional is emphasized in terms of dimensional stability. The benchmark resin formulation is presented and fully characterized herein.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials

Bisphenol A glycerolate dimethacrylate (Bis-GMA, $M_w \sim 512$ g/mol), ethoxylated bisphenol A dimethacrylate (Bis-EMA, $M_w \sim 540$ g/mol), and 1,6-hexanediol dimethacrylate (HDDMA, $M_w = 254$ g/mol) were supplied by Esstech, Inc. (Essington, PA, USA). Isobornyl methacrylate (IBMA, $M_w = 222$ g/mol) and phenylbis(2,4,6-trimethylbenzoyl)phosphine oxide (PPO, or bisacylphosphine oxides, BAPO) were purchased from MilliporeSigma (St. Louis, MO, USA). The molecular structures of the chemicals are given in Scheme 1. All chemicals were used as received.



Scheme 1. The chemical structures of the monomers and the photo-initiator.

2.2. Methods

2.2.1. Resin Formulation and Printing

To prepare photo-polymerizable resins for DLP 3D printing, the monomers with determined weight ratios were evenly mixed, then 0.7 wt.% PPO (based on resin weight) was added as the photo-initiator to the resin. The mixture was stirred in an amber bottle to block UV light exposure until the photo-initiator completely dissolved. Upon degassing, the resin is ready for DLP printing. Samples were printed in an Anycubic Photon DLP printer (Shenzhen, China). The wavelength of the projected light by the printer is 405 nm. The light intensity is $0.45 \pm 0.05 \text{ mW/cm}^2$ determined by a radiometer (ILT2400, International Light Technologies, Peabody, MA, USA). The default print settings for this study were 100 µm layer thickness and 100 s exposure time and 10 s off time between layers. As-printed "green" parts were subject to the following post-processing procedure: samples first undergo photo post-cure in a blue light oven (Form Cure, Formlabs Inc., Somerville, MA, USA) at 80 °C for 2 h, followed by thermal post-cure in a conventional laboratory oven at 120 °C for 3 h, ramping to 180 °C in 60 min and isotherm at 180 °C for 30 min.

2.2.2. Working Curve

To create the working curve, several samples were 3D printed at different values of exposure time to achieve different thicknesses (cure depth). This requires the build platform to be removed from the vat to allow samples to have a variety of thicknesses. Initially, three coin-shaped samples with the approximate diameter of 2 cm were printed simultaneously at a specific exposure time and as a single print layer. Next, their thicknesses were measured using a ratchet micrometer and averaged to provide the average cure depth for that exposure time. This process was repeated for different exposure time durations to obtain different values of cure depth for each case. Finally, the working curve was constructed by plotting the average cure depth values against their corresponding energy doses on a logarithmic axis. Note that for each run, the energy dose is calculated by multiplying the exposure time of that run by the printer's light intensity. The slope and the x-intercept of the logarithmic curve fit are the resin properties known as depth of penetration (D_p) and critical energy dose (E_c) [23].

2.2.3. Characterization

Steady shear viscosity measurements were performed on TA Instruments AR2000 rheometer (New Castle, DE, USA) at 25 °C under steady state shear mode using a cone-plate geometry with shear rate ramping from 0.001 s^{-1} to 100 s^{-1} . For every resin, three viscosity measurements were taken. Fourier transform near infrared (FT-NIR) experiments were performed on a Nicolet Nexus 670 spectrometer (Thermo Electron Corporation, Waltham, MA, USA), operating in transmission mode with a deuterated triglycine sulfate (DTGS) detector. FT-NIR spectra were recorded with 32 scans at a 4 cm⁻¹ resolution in 4000–8000 cm⁻¹ range. Dynamic mechanical analysis (DMA) experiments were performed on bar samples of size 35 mm \times 12.7 mm \times 3.2 mm using a TA Instruments Q800 Dynamic Mechanical Analyzer in a single cantilever mode with the oscillation frequency set to 1 Hz, the amplitude set to 10 μ m, and temperature ramping at a rate of 2 °C/min. Resin densities were measured using an Anton Paar DMA 500 density meter (Graz, Austria), and a density value was obtained as an average over three measurements. Densities of cured polymers were determined using a density-gradient column in accordance with ASTM D1505-18 standard [24]. For each polymer, at least three samples were tested. The liquid system in the column consisted of water and sodium bromide. All mechanical testing was performed on an Instron tester, model #: A1740-3003 (Norwood, MA, USA). Tensile testing was carried out according to ASTM D638-14 standard [25]. At least five dog-bone specimens of type IV were tested at a test speed of 5 mm/min. An extensioneter was applied to obtain accurate strain values, and the tensile modulus was calculated based on data up to 0.25% strain. Flexural properties were determined according to ASTM D790-17 standard [26]. At least three long rectangular bars of size $120 \text{ mm} \times 12.7 \text{ mm} \times 3.2 \text{ mm}$ were tested in a three-point bending configuration and the span-to-depth ratio was kept at 16. Fracture toughness was measured using at least five single-edge-notch-bend (SENB) specimens according to ASTM D5045-14 standard [27]. Pre-cracks were initiated by tapping a fresh razor blade inserted in the notch.

3. Results and Discussion

In many resin formulations, the preferred major component is Bis-GMA resin because of its good final properties. The addition of Bis-EMA resin maintains a low cure shrinkage, but also reduces resin viscosity and final material moisture sensitivity significantly. In this work, a combination of Bis-GMA and Bis-EMA served as the base resin of the formulations. A reactive diluent is needed to further reduce the viscosity to make suitable formulations for DLP printing. Two reactive diluents, isobornyl methacrylate (IBMA) and 1,6-hexanediol dimethacrylate (HDDMA), were selected for the study after screening. HDDMA had been used in this research group as a reactive diluent in vinyl-ester resins [13]. HDDMA is known for its hydrophobic nature. A photo-cured polymer network containing HDDMA was found to uptake three to four times less water compared to the corresponding network containing the same amount of TEGDMA [28]. IBMA was selected for the high T_g of its polymer [29–32]. Both diluents have high boiling points (258 °C for IBMA and 315 °C for HDDMA) and low vapor pressures (0.01 mmHg at 25 °C for IBMA and 0.02 mmHg at 100 °C for HDDMA) [33,34]. The use of monomers with low vapor pressures is essential for a safe work environment and minimizes the composition drift due to possible monomer evaporation during printings. Table 1 summarizes

measured room temperature viscosities of the component monomers, and the T_g s of corresponding neat polymers available from the literature.

Monomer	η (cP)	T_g (tan δ) (°C)		
Bis-GMA	750,000	200-230 1		
Bis-EMA	900	150–180 ²		
IBMA	8	110–150 ³		
HDDMA	6	~100–150 4		
¹ Ref. [35–38]; ² Ref. [39]; ³ Refs. [29–32]; ⁴ Refs. [40,41].				

Table 1. Room temperature viscosities of monomers and the T_{g} s of their respective polymers.

Predictive models for resin viscosity and polymer T_g were used as guiding tools to determine proper monomer ratios. The Fox equation, shown in Equation (1), has been widely used to predict the T_g of a polymer mixture based on the T_g s of the neat components [42]:

$$\frac{1}{T_g} = \sum \frac{\omega_i}{T_{g,i}},\tag{1}$$

where $T_{g,i}$ and ω_i are the glass transition temperature and the mass fraction of component *i*, respectively. The simplest model for predicting the viscosity of liquid mixtures is the Arrhenius equation [43], but the additive model neglects thermodynamic parameters characteristic of the interactions between components and results in inaccurate predictions. The Grunberg–Nissan model, based on a modification of the Arrhenius equation to account for the excess free energy of mixing, shown in Equation (2), is commonly used to describe the viscosity of liquid mixtures [44–46]:

$$\ln \eta = \sum_{i} x_i \ln \eta_i + \sum_{i} \sum_{j} x_i x_j G_{ij}, \qquad (2)$$

where η is the viscosity of a mixture, η_i and x_i are the viscosity and the mole fraction of each component in the mixture, respectively, and G_{ij} is an interaction parameter dependent on the components and temperature. A negative value of G_{ij} indicates favorable mixing.

Binary interaction parameters G_{ij} were determined from the viscosity measurements of binary liquid mixtures of the monomers and calculated using Equation (2). The interaction parameters are found to be relatively constant with regards to monomer mixing ratios (see Table A2). Table 2 lists the averaged interaction parameters between Bis-GMA and Bis-EMA; Bis-GMA and a reactive diluent; and Bis-EMA and a reactive diluent such as G_{12} , G_{13} , and G_{23} , respectively. The interaction parameters show that Bis-GMA mixed favorably with Bis-EMA and HDDMA, but IBMA did not efficiently mix with Bis-GMA or Bis-EMA, likely due to the bulky nature of the isobornyl group that hinders flow [47]. Interestingly, the excess free energy of mixing is close to zero when mixing Bis-EMA with HDDMA.

Table 2. Interaction parameters determined for monomer mixtures.

Interaction Parameter	<i>G</i> ₁₂	G ₁₃	G ₂₃
Bis-GMA/Bis-EMA/IBMA	-2.76	3.85	2.05
Bis-GMA/Bis-EMA/HDDMA	-2.76	-3.68	-0.07

Figure 1a,b, shows the predictions of viscosity and the T_g of final cured material using the Grunberg–Nissan model and the Fox equation, respectively, for ternary mixture system Bis-GMA/Bis-EMA/HDDMA. In Figure 1a, the shaded area denotes a predicted viscosity \leq 500 cP. In Figure 1b, the shaded area denotes a predicted final T_g higher than 150 °C. Figure 1c,d show the overlap area where the predicted viscosity is lower than 500 cP and T_g is higher than 150 °C. The same graphs for Bis-GMA/Bis-EMA/IBMA system are shown in Figure A1 (Appendix C). Note the slightly higher cut-off viscosity, 600 cP. For the T_g predictions, the final T_g s of Bis-GMA, BisEMA, and IBMA polymers are 200 °C, 160 °C, and 140 °C, respectively [29–32,35–39]. The T_g of the HDDMA polymer is not commonly reported in the literature, but it should be higher than the T_g of its acrylate counterpart, 1,6-hexanediol diacrylate (HDDA), which was reported to be 93 °C [29,40]. Only one reference [41] reported 150 °C T_g for the HDDMA polymer. To be conservative, the final T_g of HDDMA polymer is assumed to be 110 °C for predictive calculations. T_g is a primary consideration only once the viscosity values are satisfactory for facile 3D printing. In the predicted overlap areas shown in Figure 1c,d, higher T_{gs} appears on the high viscosity side. Additionally, other factors need to be considered to obtain optimal material properties. Bis-EMA polymer has a relatively low Young's modulus, typically less than 2 GPa [22,48]. Also, impact resistance was reported to decrease as Bis-EMA was added to the Bis-GMA/Bis-EMA copolymer [49]. This can be explained by the decrease in the overall strength of intermolecular interactions; as the hydroxyl group concentration decreases, the number of physical crosslinking sites is reduced. On the other hand, if the Bis-GMA content is increased, more reactive diluent is needed, which will increase cure shrinkage. Therefore, to retain mechanical performance and minimize cure shrinkage, the formulations at the center of the high viscosity side of the overlap areas were selected for this study. These two formulations are called DA-1 and DA-2. Given in Table 3, DA-1 consists of Bis-GMA 33.3 wt.%, Bis-EMA 33.3 wt.%, and IBMA 33.3 wt.%, and DA-2 consists of

Bis-GMA 37.5 wt.%, Bis-EMA 37.5 wt.%, and HDDMA 25 wt.%. The predicted viscosities for the DA-1 and DA-2 resins based on the Grunberg–Nissan model are 620 cP and 450 cP, respectively. Rheological

measurements showed DA-1 and DA-2 have viscosity values of 580 ± 40 cP and 490 ± 50 cP, respectively. The values are summarized in Table 4.



Figure 1. Ternary plots of predicted viscosity and T_g as functions of changing composition of Bis-GMA/Bis-EMA/HDDMA system; the axes are weight percentages, and values are represented by color. (a) Predicted viscosity lower than 500 cP; (b) predicted T_g higher than 150 °C; (c,d) predicted overlap area where viscosity is lower than 500 cP and T_g is higher than 150 °C. The star in (c,d) marks the DA-2 composition.

Formulation		Weight Fraction	
DA-1	Bis-GMA 0.333	Bis-EMA 0.333	IBMA 0.333
DA-2	Bis-GMA 0.375	Bis-EMA 0.375	HDDMA 0.25

Table 3. The DA-1 and DA-2 formulations.

Table 4. The predicted and measured viscosities at 25 °C and final T_g s of the DA-1 and the DA-2 formulations.

Example tion Viscosity, η (cP)		ty, η (cP)	Final T _g (°C)		
Formulation	Predicted	Measured	Predicted	Measured	
DA-1	620	580 ± 40	165	-	
DA-2	450	490 ± 50	160	165 ± 4	

DA-1 and DA-2 green parts were printed using the default print settings (100 µm layer thickness and 100 s exposure time). Green parts created by room temperature DLP printing often need to be post-cured to promote additional conversion. The post-cure process is especially necessary for parts printed with high T_g resins because these resins reach vitrification at low monomer conversions under the printing temperature [50]. When a DA-1 green part was directly placed in a conventional oven for thermal post-cure, cracks developed throughout the part (shown in the right picture of Figure 2) and some delamination was observed between layers. This is due to the unreacted monofunctional isobornyl methacrylate molecules, which diffuse out before they can react because the thermal activation of the methacrylate double bond reaction is relatively slow [47]. Such cracking and delamination phenomena would not happen if mono-functional monomers of higher reactivity were used, such as *p*-methyl styrene and *N*-vinylpyrrolidone. Another way to overcome this problem is to use multifunctional (\geq 2) monomers, proved by the case of DA-2. Direct thermal post-cure of DA-2 green parts did not cause cracks or delamination because most unreacted reactive diluent functionality exist as dangling chain ends. For this reason, the DA-2 formulation was chosen as the standard resin for the study.



Figure 2. Cracks developed during thermal post-cure of a DA-1 green part.

The fractional monomer conversion, α , is determined using Equation (3) from the decreasing integral of the characteristic near-IR absorption band of the methacrylate double bond, shown in Figure 3 [51]:

$$\alpha = 1 - \frac{A_1 (6225 - 6105 \ cm^{-1})}{A_0 (6225 - 6105 \ cm^{-1})},\tag{3}$$

where A_0 and A_1 are the area integrals of the absorption band from 6225–6105 cm⁻¹ of the pristine resin and cured sample, respectively. Note that the calculated conversion is based on the absorbance averaged over the thickness of the tested sample. The fractional conversion is 0.67 for a DA-2 green part. Figure 4 shows the DMA thermogram of a DA-2 green part with a T_g of 22 °C identified as the peak of loss modulus, E''. The T_g value indicates that the vitrification conversion of the DA-2 resin is around 0.67 at room temperature. The tan δ curve shows a bimodal glass transition with a slightly more intense peak at 64 °C and a very broad second one at 120 °C. The E'' curve also shows a shoulder above the main transition. Though this might be interpreted as a heterogeneous network morphology or spatial inhomogeneity of reaction, the likely cause of the behavior is a dark reaction by trapped radicals upon heating past the first T_g resulting in partial revitrification and devitrification upon continued heating. The slight plateau in E' following the first transition supports this explanation. After post-processing, the fractional conversion becomes 0.88. Figure 5 shows the DMA thermogram of a fully post-cured DA-2 sample. Based on three DMA tests, the T_g of post-cured DA-2 material is 97 ± 3 °C and 165 ± 4 °C, identified as the maxima of loss modulus E'' and of tan δ , respectively. The latter number agrees with the predicted 160 °C T_g via the Fox equation.



Figure 3. FT-NIR absorption band around 6225–6105 cm⁻¹ for DA-2 conversion calculation.



Figure 4. DMA thermogram of a DA-2 green part.



Figure 5. DMA thermogram of post-cured DA-2.

Successful printing of objects by stereolithography requires predetermined knowledge of the photo-curing properties of the starting material. Principles laid out by Jacobs to describe the photo-polymerization process were used to create a working curve that provides two key parameters that govern the polymerization of a photo-sensitive resin: depth of penetration, D_p , and critical energy of polymerization, E_c [52]. Knowing D_p and E_c allows users to choose the appropriate settings for light exposure and z-axis increments, which optimizes the curing conditions to achieve the desired results. Here, the working curve is constructed for the DA-2 resin with 0.7 wt.% PPO photo-initiator, shown in Figure 6. Under irradiation wavelength of 405 nm, the depth of penetration D_p is 550 ± 55 µm and the critical energy E_c is 5.6 ± 0.5 mJ/cm². The DA-2 resin has a large D_p , which enables it to 3D print fiber-reinforced composite structures. The depth of penetration can be reduced by adding photo absorbers to allow for a better printing resolution.



Figure 6. The working curve of the DA-2 resin.

The DA-2 resin's density is 1105 g/cm³, and the fully cured DA-2 material (fraction conversion: 0.88) has a density of 1200 g/cm³. Cure shrinkage is, therefore, calculated based on the shrinkage in specific volume to be 7.9%. The tensile, flexural, and fracture toughness properties are measured for the fully cured DA-2 material. Table 5 and Scheme 2 summarize the properties and the composition information of the DA-2 material. Compared to the commercial resins shown in Table A1, DA-2 is a strong material with an elastic modulus around 3 GPa and a flexural strength over 100 MPa. It has a low viscosity and a high depth of penetration for blue lightcuring, both of which make the resin suitable for the additive manufacturing of fiber-reinforced composites. DA-2 has a comparatively low fracture toughness that is typical of free radical polymerization systems. Future work will focus on improving the fracture toughness of the resin, studying the effects of 3D printing parameters, as well as understanding the processing-property relationships in light-based 3D printing technologies.

Table 5. DA-2 property table.	
DA-2 Properties	_

DA-2 Properties				
Viscosity, η	490 ± 50	cP		
Density, resin, ρ_0	1.105 ± 0.001	g/cm ³		
Density, cured, ρ	1.200 ± 0.002	g/cm ³		
Depth of Penetration, D_p ¹	550 ± 55	μm		
Critical Energy, E_c ¹	5.6 ± 0.5	mJ/cm ²		
Glass transition, T_g (E")	97 ± 3	°C		
Glass transition, T_g (tan δ)	165 ± 4	°C		
Tensile modulus, E	2.8 ± 0.1	GPa		
Tensile strain at break, ε	2.5 ± 0.6	%		
Tensile strength, TS	61.9 ± 6.3	MPa		
Flexural modulus, E	3.0 ± 0.1	GPa		
Flexural strength, FS	110 ± 10	MPa		
Fracture toughness, K_{Ic}	0.45 ± 0.02	MPa⋅m ^{1/2}		
Fracture toughness, G_{lc}^2	58.8 ± 0.3	J/m ²		

¹ DA-2 with 0.7 wt.% PPO; ² Calculated using the equation: $G_{Ic} = \frac{(\overline{1-\nu^2})K_{Ic}^2}{E}$, where *E* is the flexural modulus, K_{Ic} is the experimental fracture toughness, and the Poisson's ratio ν is assumed to be 0.35.



Scheme 2. The DA-2 Formulation.

4. Conclusions

The additive manufacturing field lacks a well-defined photo-sensitive resin formulation for high performance composite applications. Current commercial resins produce parts with relatively low thermal and mechanical properties and contain proprietary composition information. The DA-2 formulation was herein developed as a model resin system for high performance 3D printing applications. Predictive models, namely the Grunberg–Nissan model for the prediction of resin mixture viscosity and the Fox equation for polymer T_g , were successfully applied to determine proper monomer ratios to give optimal material properties. The clear resin has a large depth of penetration suitable for the additive manufacturing of fiber-reinforced composites. The depth of penetration can be reduced for 3D printing with the addition of photo absorbers. The high T_g resin has to be post-cured after printing to achieve maximum cure due to early vitrification of the resin at the room printing temperature. The post-cured polymer exhibited good thermal properties and high mechanical strength but has a comparatively low fracture toughness, typically observed in free radical polymerization systems. Future work will focus on improving the fracture toughness of the photo-polymerizable resin, applying the resin to the additive manufacturing of fiber-reinforced composites and understanding the fundamental processing-property relationships underlying light-based 3D printing technologies.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, G.R.P. and N.J.A.; methodology, J.T. and K.M.; formal analysis, J.T. and G.R.P.; writing—original draft preparation, J.T.; writing—review and editing, K.M., N.J.A. and G.R.P.; supervision, G.R.P.; funding acquisition, G.R.P. and N.J.A. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: Research was sponsored by the Army Research Laboratory and was accomplished under Cooperative Agreement Number W911NF-14-2-0227. The views and conclusions contained in this document are those of the authors and should not be interpreted as representing the official policies, either expressed or implied, of the Army Research Laboratory or the U.S. Government. The U.S. Government is authorized to reproduce and distribute reprints for Government purposes not withstanding any copyright notation herein.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Appendix A. Commercial SLA Resin Systems and Their Properties

Resin	Supplier		Composition		Price (USD/L)
Peopoly Model Resin	Peopoly, Inc. Ltd.		Prop	orietary	70
MakerJuice Standard Resin	MakerJu	iice Labs	Prop	orietary	60
Type D PRO UV Resin	DruckWe	ge, GmbH	Proprietary		120
PR48-Clear Resin	CPS Pc	CPS Polymers		source 1	120
VeroClear (RGD810) Resin	Stratas	ys, Ltd.	Prop	orietary	350
Standard Clear (FLGPCL04) Resin	Formla	Formlabs, Inc.		orietary	150
High Temp (FLHTAM02) Resin	Formla	Formlabs, Inc.		orietary	200
	η (cP)	HDT (°C)	E (GPa)	TS (MPa)	IZOD (J/m)
Peopoly Model Resin	600	-	0.83	60	-
MakerJuice Standard Resin	146	-	1.03	52	-
Type D PRO UV Resin	33–57	-	1.12	35	-
PR48-Clear Resin	400	-	1.4	28	-
VeroClear (RGD810) Resin	70–75 ²	45-50	2–3	50-65	20-30
Standard Clear (FLGPCL04) Resin	800–900 ³	73	2.8	65	25
High Temp (FLHTAM02) Resin	N/A	238	2.9	51	24.2

Table A1. Commercial SLA resin systems and their properties.

¹ Ref. [53]; ² Ref. [54]; ³ Ref. [55].

Appendix B. The Experimental Viscosity Data of Binary Resin Mixtures, Calculated Viscosities Based on Arrhenius Equation, and Binary Interaction Parameters

Table A2. The experimental viscosity data of binary resin mixtures, calculated viscosities based on Arrhenius equation, and binary interaction parameters.

Binary Mixture (Weight Ratio)	η_{\exp} (cP)	$\eta_{\mathrm{Arrhenius}}$ (cP)	<i>G</i> ₁₂
Bis-GMA:Bis-EMA = 1:1	14000	28400	-2.83
Bis-GMA:Bis-EMA = 1:2	5000	9200	-2.68
Bis-GMA:Bis-EMA = 2:1	47400	86400	-2.75
Bis-GMA:HDDMA = $1:1$	130	290	-3.68
Bis-GMA:HDDMA = $1:2$	34	62	-3.74
Bis-GMA:HDDMA = $2:1$	840	2080	-3.63
Bis-EMA:HDDMA = 1:1	31	32	-0.09
Bis-EMA:HDDMA = $2:1$	70	73	-0.16
Bis-EMA:HDDMA = $3:1$	121	120	0.03
Bis-GMA:IBMA = 1:1	620	255	4.21
Bis-GMA:IBMA = 1:2	80	61	1.81
Bis-GMA:IBMA = 2:1	3900	1640	3.49
Bis-EMA:IBMA = 1:1	52	33	2.1
Bis-EMA:IBMA = 2:1	113	72	1.82
Bis-EMA:IBMA = 3:1	200	116	2.23



Appendix C. Predicted T_g and Viscosity for Bis-GMA/Bis-EMA/IBMA Ternary System

Figure A1. Ternary plots of predicted viscosity and T_g as functions of changing composition of Bis-GMA/Bis-EMA/IBMA system; the axes are weight percentages, and values are represented by color. (a) Predicted viscosity lower than 600 cP; (b) predicted T_g higher than 150 °C; (c,d) predicted overlap area where viscosity is lower than 600 cP and T_g is higher than 150 °C. The star in (c,d) marks the DA-1 composition.

References

- 1. 3D Printing Market Size & Share, Industry Trends Report. 2027. Available online: https://www.grandviewresearch.com/industry-analysis/3d-printing-industry-analysis/request/rs1 (accessed on 20 May 2020).
- 2. Aerospace 3D Printing Market—Size, Share, Trend & Forecast (2020–2027). Available online: https://www.stratviewresearch.com/346/Aerospace-3D-Printing-Market.html (accessed on 20 May 2020).
- Vitale, A.; Cabral, J.T. Frontal Conversion and Uniformity in 3D Printing by Photopolymerisation. *Materials* 2016, 9, 760. [CrossRef]
- 4. Stansbury, J.W.; Idacavage, M.J. 3D printing with polymers: Challenges among expanding options and opportunities. *Dent. Mater.* **2016**, *32*, 54–64. [CrossRef]
- 5. Liska, R.; Schuster, M.; Inführ, R.; Turecek, C.; Fritscher, C.; Seidl, B.; Schmidt, V.; Kuna, L.; Haase, A.; Varga, F.; et al. Photopolymers for rapid prototyping. *J. Coat. Technol. Res.* **2007**, *4*, 505–510. [CrossRef]
- Tumbleston, J.R.; Shirvanyants, D.; Ermoshkin, N.; Janusziewicz, R.; Johnson, A.R.; Kelly, D.; Chen, K.; Pinschmidt, R.; Rolland, J.P.; Ermoshkin, A.; et al. Continuous liquid interface production of 3D objects. *Science* 2015, 347, 1349–1352. [CrossRef]

- Bird, D.; Caravaca, E.; Laquidara, J.; Luhmann, K.; Ravindra, N.M. Formulation of Curable Resins Utilized in Stereolithography. In *TMS 2019 148th Annual Meeting & Exhibition Supplemental Proceedings*; The Minerals, Metals & Materials Series; Springer: Cham, Switzerland, 2019. [CrossRef]
- 8. Peutzfeldt, A. Resin composites in dentistry: The monomer systems. *Eur. J. Oral Sci.* **1997**, *105*, 97–116. [CrossRef]
- 9. Brill, R.P.; Palmese, G.R. An Investigation of Vinyl- Ester- Styrene Bulk Copolymerization Cure Kinetics Using Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy. *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.* **2000**, *76*, 1572–1582. [CrossRef]
- 10. La Scala, J.J.; Sands, J.M.; Orlicki, J.A.; Robinette, E.J.; Palmese, G.R. Fatty acid-based monomers as styrene replacements for liquid molding resins. *Polymer* **2004**, *45*, 7729–7737. [CrossRef]
- 11. Stansbury, J.W. Dimethacrylate network formation and polymer property evolution as determined by the selection of monomers and curing conditions. *Dent. Mater.* **2012**, *28*, 13–22. [CrossRef]
- 12. Dickens, S.; Stansbury, J.; Choi, K.; Floyd, C. Photopolymerization kinetics of methacrylate dental resins. *Macromolecules* **2003**, *36*, 6043–6053. [CrossRef]
- 13. LaScala-128_TR-4819. Available online: https://apps.dtic.mil/dtic/tr/fulltext/u2/a500583.pdf (accessed on 20 May 2020).
- 14. TR-3023-bcd. Available online: https://apps.dtic.mil/dtic/tr/fulltext/u2/a417370.pdf (accessed on 20 May 2020).
- Floyd, C.J.; Dickens, S.H. Network structure of Bis-GMA- and UDMA-based resin systems. *Dent. Mater.* 2006, 22, 1143–1149. [CrossRef]
- 16. Dewaele, M.; Truffier-Boutry, D.; Devaux, J. Volume contraction in photocured dental resins: The shrinkage-conversion relationship revisited. *Dent. Mater.* **2006**, *22*, 359–365. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Charton, C.; Falk, V.; Marchal, P.; Pla, F.; Colon, P. Influence of T_g, viscosity and chemical structure of monomers on shrinkage stress in light-cured dimethacrylate-based dental resins. *Dent. Mater.* 2007, 23, 1447–1459. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kim, J.W.; Kim, L.U.; Kim, C.K.; Cho, B.H.; Kim, O.Y. Characteristics of Novel Dental Composites Containing 2,2-Bis[4-(2-methoxy-3-methacryloyloxy propoxy) phenyl] propane as a Base Resin. *Biomacromolecules* 2006, 7, 154–160. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Santulli, C. Nanostructured Composites for Dental Fillings. In Micro and Nano Technologies, Nanostructured Polymer Composites for Biomedical Applications; Swain, S.K., Jawaid, M., Eds.; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2019; pp. 277–293. [CrossRef]
- 20. Barszczewska-Rybarek, I.M. A Guide through the Dental Dimethacrylate Polymer Network Structural Characterization and Interpretation of Physico-Mechanical Properties. *Materials* **2019**, *12*, 4057. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 21. Braden, M.; Davy, K.W. Water absorption characteristics of some unfilled resins. *Biomaterials* **1986**, *7*, 474–475. [CrossRef]
- 22. Sideridou, I.; Tserki, V.; Papanastasiou, G. Study of water sorption, solubility and modulus of elasticity of light-cured dimethacrylate-based dental resins. *Biomaterials* **2003**, *24*, 655–665. [CrossRef]
- 23. Bennett, J. Measuring UV curing parameters of commercial photopolymers used in additive manufacturing. *Addit. Manuf.* **2017**, *18*, 203–212. [CrossRef]
- 24. ASTM. *Standard Test Method for Density of Plastics by the Density-Gradient Technique;* ASTM D1505-18; ASTM International: West Conshohocken, PA, USA, 2018. [CrossRef]
- 25. ASTM. Standard Test Method for Tensile Properties of Plastics; ASTM D638-14; ASTM International: West Conshohocken, PA, USA, 2014. [CrossRef]
- 26. ASTM. Standard Test Methods for Flexural Properties of Unreinforced and Reinforced Plastics and Electrical Insulating Materials; ASTM D790-17; ASTM International: West Conshohocken, PA, USA, 2017. [CrossRef]
- 27. ASTM. Standard Test Methods for Plane-Strain Fracture Toughness and Strain Energy Release Rate of Plastic Materials; ASTM D5045-14; ASTM International: West Conshohocken, PA, USA, 2014. [CrossRef]
- 28. Ling, L.; Xu, X.; Choi, G.-Y.; Billodeaux, D.; Guo, G.; Diwan, R.M. Novel F-releasing Composite with Improved Mechanical Properties. *J. Dent. Res.* **2009**, *88*, 83–88. [CrossRef]
- 29. Glass Transition Temperatures. Available online: http://polymerdatabase.com/polymer%20physics/ Polymer%20Tg.html (accessed on 20 May 2020).

- Thermal Transitions of Homopolymers. Available online: https://www.sigmaaldrich.com/technicaldocuments/articles/materials-science/polymer-science/thermal-transitions-of-homopolymers.html (accessed on 6 September 2020).
- 31. Alvarez, F.; Colmenero, J.; Wang, C.H.; Xia, J.L.; Fytas, G. Segmental Dynamics in Bulk Poly(isobornyl methacrylate) and Its Random Copolymer with Poly(methyl methacrylate) near *T*_g. *Macromolecules* **1995**, *28*, 6488–6493. [CrossRef]
- 32. Hadjichristidis, N.; Mays, J.; Ferry, W.; Fetters, L.J. Properties and chain flexibility of poly(dl-isobornyl methacrylate). *J. Polym. Sci. Part B Polym. Phys.* **1984**, *22*, 1745–1751. [CrossRef]
- 33. SIDS INITIAL ASSESSMENT PROFILE. Available online: https://hpvchemicals.oecd.org/ui/handler.axd?id= 512a6b18-79ca-4eab-93c8-9a7acc602670 (accessed on 20 May 2020).
- 34. 1,6-Hexanediol Dimethacrylate | 6606-59-3. Available online: https://www.chemicalbook.com/ ChemicalProductProperty_EN_CB8165477.htm (accessed on 20 May 2020).
- 35. Thompson, D.; Song, J.H.; Wilkes, G.L. Electron beam effects on polymers: Structure-property behavior of radiation-cured bis-GMA. *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.* **1987**, *34*, 1063–1080. [CrossRef]
- 36. Bannach, G.; Cavalheiro, C.C.S.; Calixto, L.; Cavalheiro, E.T.G. Thermoanalytical study of monomers: BisGMA, BisEMA, TEGDMA, UDMA and their mixture. *Braz. J. Therm. Anal.* **2015**, *4*, 28–34. [CrossRef]
- 37. Kalachandra, S.; Turner, D.T. Water sorption of polymethacrylate networks: Bis-GMA/TEGDMA copolymers. *J. Biomed. Mater. Res.* **1987**, *21*, 329–338. [CrossRef]
- 38. Jancar, J.; Wang, W.; DiBenedetto, A.T. On the heterogeneous structure of thermally cured bis-GMA/TEGDMA resins. *J. Mater. Sci. Mater. Med.* **2000**, *11*, 675–682. [CrossRef]
- 39. Steyrer, B.; Busetti, B.; Harakaly, G.; Liska, R.; Stampfl, J. Hot Lithography vs. room temperature DLP 3D-printing of a dimethacrylate. *Addit. Manuf.* **2018**, *21*, 209–214. [CrossRef]
- 40. Ogino, K.; Chen, J.-S.; Ober, C.K. Synthesis and Characterization of Thermally Degradable Polymer Networks. *Chem. Mater.* **1998**, *10*, 3833–3838. [CrossRef]
- 41. Parthasarathy, R.; Misra, A.; Park, J.; Ye, Q.; Spencer, P. Diffusion coefficients of water and leachables in methacrylate-based crosslinked polymers using absorption experiments. *J. Mater. Sci. Mater. Med.* **2012**, *23*, 1157–1172. [CrossRef]
- 42. Fox, T.G. Influence of Diluent and of Copolymer Composition on the Glass Temperature of a Polymer System. *Bull. Am. Phys. Soc.* **1956**, *1*, 123.
- 43. Arrhenius, S. Über die Innere Reibung Verdünnter Wässeriger Lösungen. Z. Phys. Chem. 1887, 1U, 285–298. [CrossRef]
- 44. Grunberg, L.; Nissan, A.H. Mixture Law for Viscosity. *Nature* 1949, 164, 799–800. [CrossRef]
- 45. Marczak, W.; Adamczyk, N.; Łezniak, M. Viscosity of Associated Mixtures Approximated by the Grunberg-Nissan Model. *Int. J. Thermophys.* **2012**, *33*, 680–691. [CrossRef]
- 46. Viswanath, D.S.; Ghosh, T.K.; Prasad, D.H.L.; Dutt, N.V.K.; Rani, K.Y. Viscosities of Solutions and Mixtures. In *Viscosity of Liquids: Theory, Estimation, Experiment, and Data*; Viswanath, D.S., Ghosh, T.K., Eds.; Springer: Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 2007; pp. 407–442.
- 47. Labella, R.; Davy, K.W.M.; Lambrechts, P.; Meerbeek, B.V.; Vanherle, G. Monomethacrylate co-monomers for dental resins. *Eur. J. Oral Sci.* **1998**, *106*, 816–824. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ogliari, F.A.; Ely, C.; Zanchi, C.H.; Fortes, C.B.B.; Samuel, S.M.W.; Demarco, F.F.; Petzhold, C.L.; Piva, E. Influence of chain extender length of aromatic dimethacrylates on polymer network development. *Dent. Mater.* 2008, 24, 165–171. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Pfeifer, C.S.; Silva, L.R.; Kawano, Y.; Braga, R.R. Bis-GMA co-polymerizations: Influence on conversion, flexural properties, fracture toughness and susceptibility to ethanol degradation of experimental composites. *Dent. Mater.* 2009, 25, 1136–1141. [CrossRef]
- 50. Bowman, C.N.; Kloxin, C.J. Toward an Enhanced Understanding and Implementation of Photopolymerization Reactions. *AIChE J.* 2008, 54, 2775–2795. [CrossRef]
- 51. Stansbury, J.W.; Dickens, S.H. Determination of double bond conversion in dental resins by near infrared spectroscopy. *Dent. Mater.* **2000**, *17*, 71–79. [CrossRef]
- 52. Jacobs, P.F. *Rapid Prototyping & Manufacturing: Fundamentals of Stereolithography;* Society of Manufacturing Engineers: Dearborn, MI, USA, 1992.
- 53. Autodesk_Standard_Clear_PR48_Formulation. Available online: https://cdn2.hubspot.net/hubfs/1545937/ Autodesk_Standard_Clear_PR48_Formulation.pdf (accessed on 21 May 2020).

- 54. Kowsari, K.; Akbari, S.; Wang, D.; Fang, N.X.; Ge, Q. High-Efficiency High-Resolution Multimaterial Fabrication for Digital Light Processing-Based Three-Dimensional Printing. *3D Print. Addit. Manuf.* **2018**, *5*, 185–193. [CrossRef]
- 55. Wang, B.; Ding, G.; Chen, K.; Jia, S.; Wei, J.; Wang, Y.; He, R.; Shao, Z. A physical and chemical double enhancement strategy for 3D printing of cellulose reinforced nanocomposite. *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.* **2020**, e49164. [CrossRef]



© 2020 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).