



Supplementary Materials: Push-pull chromophores based on the naphthalene scaffold: Potential candidates for optoelectronic applications

Corentin Pigot ^{1,*}, Guillaume Noirbent ^{1,*}, Thanh-Tuân Bui ², Sébastien Péralta ², Didier Gigmes ¹, Malek Nechab ¹ and Frédéric Dumur ^{1,*}

^{1.} H and ¹³C NMR Spectra of All Chromophores



Figure S1. Chemical structure of 2-butoxy-4-diethylaminobenzaldehyde.



Figure S2.¹H NMR spectrum of 2-butoxy-4-diethylaminobenzaldehyde in CDCl₃.



Figure S3. ¹³C NMR spectrum of 2-butoxy-4-diethylaminobenzaldehyde in CDCl₃.



Figure S4. Chemical structure of EA4.



Figure S5. ¹H NMR spectrum of EA4 in CDCl₃.



Figure S6. ¹³C NMR spectrum of EA4 in CDCl₃.



Figure S7. Chemical structure of PP1.



Figure S8. ¹H NMR spectrum of PP1 in CDCl₃.



Figure S9. ¹³C NMR spectrum of PP1 in CDCl₃.



Figure S10. Chemical structure of PP2.



Figure S11. ¹H NMR spectrum of PP2 in CDCl₃.



Figure S12. ¹³C NMR spectrum of PP2 in CDCl₃.



Figure S13. Chemical structure of PP3.



Figure S14. ¹H NMR spectrum of PP3 in CDCl₃.



Figure S15. ¹³C NMR spectrum of PP3 in CDCl₃.



Figure S16. Chemical structure of PP4.



Figure S17. ¹H NMR spectrum of PP4 in CDCl₃.



Figure S18. ¹³C NMR spectrum of PP4 in CDCl₃.



Figure S19. Chemical structure of PP5.



Figure S20. ¹H NMR spectrum of PP5 in CDCl₃.



Figure S21. ¹³C NMR spectrum of PP5 in CDCl₃.



Figure S22. Chemical structure of PP6.



Figure S23. ¹H NMR spectrum of PP6 in CDCl₃.



Figure S24. ¹³C NMR spectrum of PP6 in CDCl₃.



Figure S25. Chemical structure of PP7.



Figure S26. ¹H NMR spectrum of PP7 in CDCl₃.



Figure S27. ¹³C NMR spectrum of PP7 in CDCl₃.



Figure S28. Chemical structure of PP8.



Figure S29. ¹H NMR spectrum of PP8 in CDCl₃.



Figure S30. ¹³C NMR spectrum of PP8 in CDCl₃.



Figure S31. Chemical structure of PP9.



Figure S32. ¹H NMR spectrum of PP9 in CDCl₃.



Figure S33. ¹³C NMR spectrum of PP9 in CDCl₃.



Figure S34. Chemical structure of PP10.



Figure S35. ¹H NMR spectrum of PP10 in CDCl₃.



Figure S36. ¹³C NMR spectrum of PP10 in CDCl₃.



Figure S37. Chemical structure of PP11.



Figure S38. ¹H NMR spectrum of PP11 in CDCl₃.



Figure S39. ¹³C NMR spectrum of PP11 in CDCl₃.



Figure S40. Chemical structure of PP12.



Figure S41. ¹H NMR spectrum of PP12 in CDCl₃.



Figure S42. ¹³C NMR spectrum of PP12 in CDCl₃.



Figure S43. Chemical structure of PP13.



Figure S44. ¹H NMR spectrum of PP13 in CDCl₃.



Figure S45. ¹³C NMR spectrum of PP13 in CDCl₃.



Figure S46. Chemical structure of PP14.



Figure S47. ¹H NMR spectrum of PP14 in CDCl₃.



Figure S48. ¹³C NMR spectrum of PP14 in CDCl₃.



Figure S49. Chemical structure of PP15.



Figure S50. ¹H NMR spectrum of PP15 in CDCl₃.



Figure S51. ¹³C NMR spectrum of PP15 in CDCl₃.



Figure S52. Chemical structure of PP16.



Figure S53. ¹H NMR spectrum of PP16 in CDCl₃.



Figure S54. ¹³C NMR spectrum of PP16 in CDCl₃.



Figure S55. Chemical structure of PP17.



Figure S56. ¹H NMR spectrum of PP17 in CDCl₃.



Figure S57. ¹³C NMR spectrum of PP17 in CDCl₃.



Figure S58. Chemical structure of PP18.



Figure S59. ¹H NMR spectrum of PP18 in CDCl₃.



Figure S60. ¹³C NMR spectrum of PP18 in CDCl₃.



Figure S61. Chemical structure of PP19.



Figure S62. ¹H NMR spectrum of PP19 in CDCl₃.



Figure S63. ¹³C NMR spectrum of PP19 in CDCl₃.



Figure S64. Chemical structure of PP20.



Figure S65. ¹H NMR spectrum of PP20 in CDCl₃.



Figure S66. ¹³C NMR spectrum of PP20 in CDCl₃.



(a) Variation of the positions of the charge transfer band with Kamlet-Taft empirical parameters for

PP1



(b) Variation of the positions of the charge transfer band with Kamlet-Taft empirical parameters for PP2



(c) Variation of the positions of the charge transfer band with Kamlet-Taft empirical parameters for PP3





(d) Variation of the positions of the charge transfer band with Kamlet-Taft empirical parameters for PP4

(e) Variation of the positions of the charge transfer band with Kamlet-Taft empirical parameters for PP5



(f) Variation of the positions of the charge transfer band with Kamlet-Taft empirical parameters for PP6





(g) Variation of the positions of the charge transfer band with Kamlet-Taft empirical parameters for PP7

(h) Variation of the positions of the charge transfer band with Kamlet-Taft empirical parameters for PP8



(i) Variation of the positions of the charge transfer band with Kamlet-Taft empirical parameters for PP9



(j) Variation of the positions of the charge transfer band with Kamlet-Taft empirical parameters for PP10



(k) Variation of the positions of the charge transfer band with Kamlet-Taft empirical parameters for PP11



(I) Variation of the positions of the charge transfer band with Kamlet-Taft empirical parameters for PP12



(m) Variation of the positions of the charge transfer band with Kamlet-Taft empirical parameters for PP13



(n) Variation of the positions of the charge transfer band with Kamlet-Taft empirical parameters for PP14



(o) Variation of the positions of the charge transfer band with Kamlet-Taft empirical parameters for PP15



(p) Variation of the positions of the charge transfer band with Kamlet-Taft empirical parameters for PP16



(q) Variation of the positions of the charge transfer band with Kamlet-Taft empirical parameters for PP17



(r) Variation of the positions of the charge transfer band with Kamlet-Taft empirical parameters for PP18



(s) Variation of the positions of the charge transfer band with Kamlet-Taft empirical parameters for PP19



(t) Variation of the positions of the charge transfer band with Kamlet-Taft empirical parameters for PP20

Figure S67. Position of the absorption maxima of **PP1–PP20** in 23 solvents of different polarities vs. the Kamlet–Taft parameters π^* .

The position of the UV/Vis absorption maxima with regard to the dipolarity/polarizability π^* and the hydrogen bonding capacity (α and β) of the solvent can be interpreted using the Kamlet–Taft equation:

$$v_{max}(cm^{-1}) = v_{max,0}(cm^{-1}) + a\alpha + b\beta + s\pi^*$$

Table S1. Solvent-independent correlation coefficients *a*, *b* and *s* of the Kamlet-Taft parameters α , β and π^* respectively, correlation coefficient (*R*), significance (*F*), standard deviation (*SD*), and number of solvents (*n*) calculated for the solvatochromism.

| Compounds | Vmax _r 0 | а | b | s | n | F | R^2 | SD |
|-----------|---------------------|----------|----------|-----------|----|-----------------------------|-------|----------|
| PP1 | 24489.934 | 357.133 | -471.288 | 49.179 | 23 | 0.7598 | 0.25 | 540.712 |
| PP2 | 22467.831 | 1108.869 | 93.375 | -215.373 | 23 | 0.29054 | 0.18 | 183.052 |
| PP3 | 22580.360 | 168.834 | 12.122 | -699.601 | 23 | 1.58769×10^{-6} | 0.80 | 132.105 |
| PP4 | 20833.844 | -425.609 | -54.685 | -1523.040 | 23 | 1.96732 × 10 ⁻¹³ | 0.97 | 113.2703 |
| PP5 | 20126.810 | -158.867 | -67.441 | -1251.556 | 23 | 1.11022 × 10 ⁻¹⁵ | 0.98 | 68.897 |
| PP6 | 20052,604 | 520.692 | 351.585 | -778.606 | 23 | 6.72195 × 10⁻⁵ | 0.69 | 168.608 |
| PP7 | 20434.795 | -5.596 | -48.236 | -641.140 | 23 | 0.00102 | 0.58 | 214.138 |
| PP8 | 21730.386 | 421.561 | 130.054 | -994.633 | 23 | 9.46523 × 10 ⁻¹⁰ | 0.91 | 110.523 |
| PP9 | 18986.803 | -20.373 | 66.150 | -1805.218 | 23 | 6.07152 × 10 ⁻¹¹ | 0.92 | 180.033 |
| PP10 | 18066.829 | -164.093 | 51.189 | -1824.052 | 23 | 3.33067 × 10 ⁻¹⁶ | 0.98 | 91.669 |
| PP11 | 26264.952 | 735.171 | 94.088 | -730.096 | 23 | 9.23191 × 10 ⁻⁶ | 0.77 | 133.062 |
| PP12 | 24962.929 | 898.063 | 265.116 | -1260.387 | 23 | 1.82274×10^{-7} | 0.86 | 170.492 |
| PP13 | 23681.457 | 270.105 | 180.216 | -766.0370 | 23 | 1.13931 × 10 ⁻⁸ | 0.88 | 96.726 |
| PP14 | 21884.804 | 176.225 | -34.957 | -1470.310 | 23 | 3.77476 × 10 ⁻¹⁵ | 0.97 | 84.769 |
| PP15 | 21166.273 | 1.088 | -29.560 | -1267.154 | 23 | 3.10862 × 10 ⁻¹⁵ | 0.98 | 72.688 |
| PP16 | 21014.824 | 674.333 | 452.415 | -707.986 | 23 | 9.48667×10^{-4} | 0.59 | 186.545 |
| PP17 | 23681.457 | 270.105 | 180.216 | -766.036 | 23 | 1.13931 × 10 ⁻⁸ | 0.87 | 96.726 |
| PP18 | 22759.007 | 887.402 | 172.603 | -969.369 | 23 | 1.22813 × 10 ⁻⁸ | 0.88 | 121.412 |
| PP19 | 20735.517 | 519.307 | 127.391 | -2710.429 | 23 | 1.66533 × 10 ⁻¹⁵ | 0.98 | 144.944 |
| PP20 | 19094.207 | 887.853 | 170.044 | -1958.662 | 23 | 2.49001 × 10 ⁻¹² | 0.95 | 154.825 |

Results of the Linear Correlation Analyses

The position of the UV/Vis absorption maxima with regard to the dipolarity/polarizability π^* can be interpreted using a simplified version of the Kamlet–Taft equation:

$$v_{max}(cm^{-1}) = v_{max,0}(cm^{-1}) + s\pi^*$$

Table S2. Solvent-independent correlation coefficient *s* of the Kamlet-Taft parameters π^* and number of solvents (*n*) calculated for the solvatochromism.

| Compounds | Vmax,0 | S | n | R^2 |
|-----------|---------|---------|----|-------|
| PP1 | 3.04763 | -0.0114 | 23 | 0.02 |
| PP2 | 2.78773 | -0.0139 | 23 | 0.04 |

| PP3 | 2.80482 | -0.08989 | 23 | 0.84 |
|-------------|---------|----------|----|------|
| PP4 | 2.58232 | -0.19474 | 23 | 0.96 |
| PP5 | 2.49463 | -0.15921 | 23 | 0.99 |
| PP6 | 2.49744 | -0.08315 | 23 | 0.64 |
| PP7 | 2.54369 | -0.09337 | 23 | 0.76 |
| PP8 | 2.69657 | -0.1149 | 23 | 0.90 |
| PP9 | 2.35487 | -0.2223 | 23 | 0.96 |
| PP10 | 2.24101 | -0.22553 | 23 | 0.98 |
| PP11 | 3.25813 | -0.07923 | 23 | 0.74 |
| PP12 | 3.09921 | -0.13629 | 23 | 0.84 |
| PP13 | 2.93963 | -0.0851 | 23 | 0.86 |
| PP14 | 2.71296 | -0.18273 | 23 | 0.98 |
| PP15 | 2.6243 | -0.15805 | 23 | 0.98 |
| PP16 | 2.6127 | -0.0632 | 23 | 0.45 |
| PP17 | 2.65424 | -0.07238 | 23 | 0.63 |
| PP18 | 2.82495 | -0.10615 | 23 | 0.86 |
| PP19 | 2.57337 | -0.32664 | 23 | 0.98 |
| PP20 | 2.37038 | -0.2291 | 23 | 0.95 |

PP1







PP11



PP2











Lumo















Homo



Lumo







Homo



Lumo











Lumo





Figure S68. Optimized geometries and HOMO LUMO electronic distribution of all compounds.







Figure S69. Cyclic voltamograms of push pull compounds (**PP1–PP20**). All cyclic voltamogrammes recorded in 0.1 M TBABF4/ACN, except **PP15** and **PP20** in 0.1 M TBAClO4/CH₂Cl₂.