



Effect of bay leaves essential oil concentration on the properties of biodegradable CMC-based edible films

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA Statistical evaluation of results

S.1. One-way ANOVA analysis

The statistical one-way ANOVA analysis, using the software STATGRAPHICS Centurion XV, version 15.2.11 (StatPoint, Inc.), was conducted for all the properties (dependent variables) analysed for the formulated films. This study was performed considering the variance of these variables respect to two fixed factors, i.e., the type of solvent used during the bay leaves extraction process and the concentration of extract incorporated to each film.

For both set of analyses, in ANOVA study the F-test evaluated whether there are significant differences amongst the means of the variables. The more the means of the dependent variable differ between the groups of the independent variable, the higher the value of F, indicating that there are more differences and therefore a stronger relationship among the variables. Moreover, when P < 0.05, differences were considered significant at the 95.0% confidence level.

To determine which means are significantly different from which others, a Multiple Range Tests were also carried out. As result, homogenous groups of data considering different levels can be identified, and thus stablishing how each factor (level) affect to each variable. In this work, the Fisher's least significant difference (LSD) method was used to discriminate among means for Multiple Range Tests (MRT).

S.1.1. ANOVA analyses considering the type of solvent

Two different solvents were used for the extraction of bay leaves: ethanol and methanol. Considering that blank film samples contained only CMC (without extract), this means that ANOVA of each variable was conducted for 3 levels of the factor SOLVENT (no extract, ethanol extracted, and methanol extracted). **Table S1** shows the results of ANOVA and MRT for each analysed variable (39 pairs of comparisons) with the type of solvent.

S.1.2. ANOVA analyses considering the extract concentration in the film

Each CMC film formulation was prepared at 7 different concentrations of extract (0, 1, 5, 10, 15, 20 and 30% wt. in the film). Thus, ANOVA of each variable was conducted for 7 levels of the factor BEO CONCENTRATION. **Table S2** shows the results of ANOVA and MRT for each analysed variable (39 pairs of comparisons) with the amount of extract incorporated in the film.

S.2. Multiple Variable Analysis

A Multiple-Variable Analysis was carried out using the software STATGRAPHICS Centurion XV, version 15.2.11 (StatPoint, Inc.) in order to evaluate possible interactions or/and correlations between all the analysed parameters (except for the type of solvent used during BEO obtaining). The results are displayed in **Figure S1** and detailed in **Table S3**, where Pearson product moment correlations between each pair of analysed variables are shown. For computing each coefficient 39 pairs of data values were used. These correlation coefficients range between -1 and +1 and represent the strength of the linear relationship between the variables (inverse and direct relationships, respectively). The number in parentheses corresponds to the P-value which tests the statistical

significance of the estimated correlations. P-values below 0.05 indicate statistically significant non-zero correlations at the 95.0% confidence level.

VARIABLE	F- RATIO	P- VALUE	LEVELS OF THE FACTOR BEO CONCENTRATION % wt. (*)						
			0	1	5	10	15	20	30
Thickness	6.00	0.0003							
Moisture	2.40	0.0500							
Water solubility	4.32	0.0027							
WVP	9.79	0.0000							
Tensile strength	4.70	0.0016							
Elongation at break	18.20	0.0000							
Transparency	29.91	0.0000							
UV light barrier	62.88	0.0000							

Table S1. ANOVA table and MRT results for each variable evaluated at 7 levels of the factor BEOCONCENTRATION.

(*) Within each row (variable), levels containing the same colour form a group of means within which there are no statistically significant differences, i.e., the behaviour of variables results statistically homogeneous within this group of means.

	TENS.	TENS. ELONG. TRANSP		UV LIGHT	BEO	THICKNES	MOISTUR	WATER	WVD
	STREN.	AT BREAK	TRANSF.	PROTECT.	CONCENT.	S	E	SOLUB.	wvr
TENS.		0.5252 (0.0006)	0.4285 (0.0065)	-0.3312 (0.0394)	-0.5648 (0.0002)	-0.2345 (0.1507)	0.4394 (0.0051)	0.0247 (0.8813)	0.2547 (0.1177)
ELONG. AT	0.5252 (0.0006)		0.7954 (0)	-0.3312 (0.0394)	-0.8454 (0)	-0.6812 (0)	0.2093 (0.2011)	0.0212 (0.8981)	0.5085 (0.0009)
TRANSP.	0.4285 (0.0065)	0.7954 (0		-0.8563 (0)	-0.7875 (0)	-0.8334 (0)	0.2000 (0.2221)	-0.1306 (0.4281)	0.3914 (0.0138)
UV LIGHT	-0.3312 (0.0394)	-0.3312 (0.0394)	-0.8563 (0)		0.7330 (0)	0.6408 (0)	-0.2687 (0.0982)	0.3901 (0.0141)	-0.3992 (0.0118)
BEO	-0.5648 (0.0002)	-0.8454 (0)	-0.7875 (0)	0.7330 (0)		0.5931 (0.0001)	-0.4199 (0.0078)	0.0892 (0.5894)	-0.5461 (0.0003)

Table S2. Correlations found between each pair of analysed variables.

THICKNESS	-0. (0.	2345 1507)	-0.	6812 (0)	-0.8 (3334 0)	0.6	408))	0.59 (0.00	931 001)			0.143 (0.382	38 24)	0.116 (0.481	0 8)	-0.2232 (0.1719)
MOISTURE	0.4 (0.9	4394 0051)	0.2 (0.2	2093 2011)	0.2 (0.2	2000	-0.2 (0.0	.687 982)	-0.4 (0.00	199)78)	0.14	38 24)			0.004 (0.979	2 6)	0.3238 (0.0443)
WATER	0.0 (0.3	0247 8813)	0.0 (0.8	0212 8981)	-0.1 (0.4	1306 281)	0.3 (0.0	901 141)	0.08	392 394)	0.11 (0.48	60 18)	0.004 (0.979	42 96)			-0.0552 (0.7387)
ΜVΡ	0.1 (0.	2547 1177)	0. <u>:</u> (0.0	5085 0009)	0.3 (0.0	914 9138)	-0.3 (0.0	9992 118)	-0.5 (0.00	461 003)	-0.22 (0.17	.32 19)	0.323 (0.044	38 43)	-0.055 (0.738	52 7)	
TENSI	LE GHT	/		; ; ; ; ; ;		.:			! • .						· · · ·		
· · · ·	•••• ••••	ELONGA AT BRI	ATION EAK		11	1_	ی ا بور اس بر	*	. • .				: ::: · /// · · · ·	·** 	·		· • • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			· · · ·	TRANSPA	RENCY	1		•	 				 	۹۹۹ ۱۰۰ ۱۰	· · · · · · · ·		· ··· · · · ···" · ···
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · ·	! .	2 2 3 2	. 4 1 1 1	•7 •5	PROT AG/ UV	ECTION AINST LIGHT	." 1	••••	···•. •	₩. • •				**************************************	<i>7</i> 7 1	······ ······ ······
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	 	•			••••			CONCEN	TRATION			•==					
	· · · 	· ' .!	: 1 ;;		你	1:		;! ;!	!! !	тніс	KNESS						·•
	· · · ·	• • •		•	:	<i>'</i> ?		;;;; · .	: :		ו••	MOI	STURE	رد مع د . ۲		• • •	11. 11. 11.
	:: :	::'	÷ /	;: :*'{	.i,	1:	^ن تر سرد د ۲	*** **	: I					N SOL	VATER .UBILITY	7. 	** :, /
		,,													,		WVP

Figure S1. Scatterplot Matrix displaying interactions between different dependent variables (properties) of the analysed films and the factor BEO CONCENTRATION (% wt. in the film).

Table S3. ANOVA table and MRT results for each variable evaluated at 3 levels of the factor SOLVENT.

3 of 4

VARIABLE	F-	P-	LEVELS OF THE FACTOR SOLVENT(*)						
	KAHO	VALUE	No solvent	Ethanol	Methanol				
Thickness	4.28	0.0215							
Moisture	2.99	0.0631							
Water solubility	3.88	0.0298							
WVP	0.37	0.6949							
Tensile strength	1.04	0.3646							
Elongation at break	4.14	0.0240							
Transparency	7.54	0.0018							
UV light barrier	16.61	0.0000							

(*) Within each row (variable), levels containing the same colour form a group of means within which there are no statistically significant differences, i.e., the behaviour of variables results statistically homogeneous within this group of means.



Figure S2. *Escherichia coli* growth in (**a**) control culture media and (**b**) in the presence of 15E-BEO film. *Candida glabrata* growth in (**c**) control culture media and (**d**) in the presence of 15M-BEO film.