

Article

Phase-Shifted Carrier Pulse Width Modulation Based on Particle Swarm Optimization for Cascaded H-bridge Multilevel Inverters with Unequal DC Voltages

Xin-Jian Cai^{1,2,3,*}, Zhen-Xing Wu³, Quan-Feng Li⁴ and Shu-Xiu Wang³

- ¹ State Key Laboratory of Advanced Electromagnetic Engineering and Technology, School of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan 430074, Hubei, China
- ² College of Information Science and Engineering, Fujian University of Technology, Fuzhou 350118, Fujian, China
- ³ National Key Laboratory for Vessel Integrated Power System Technology, Wuhan 430205, Hubei, China; E-Mails: 40999794@163.com (Z.-X.W.); wangshuxiu1944@163.com (S.-X.W.)
- ⁴ School of Electrical Engineering, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310058, Zhejiang, China; E-Mail: liquanfeng19870406@163.com
- * Author to whom correspondence should be addressed; E-Mail: cxj2001@fjut.edu.cn; Tel.: +86-180-7114-3922; Fax: +86-27-8839-0066.

Academic Editor: Paul Stewart

Received: 20 June 2015 / Accepted: 19 August 2015 / Published: 8 September 2015

Abstract: High-voltage cascaded H-bridge multilevel (CHBML) inverters usually include many isolated dc voltage sources. Some dc source faults result in a drop in the dc voltage, thereby leading to unequal cell dc voltages. On the other hand, the differences in cell dc source parameters result in unequal dc voltages too. At present, riding through the faults of dc sources and operating under the condition of unequal dc voltages are required to improve the reliability of CHBML inverters. Unfortunately, the conventional phase-shifted carrier pulse width modulation (PSCPWM), which is widely used for CHBML inverters, cannot eliminate low-frequency sideband harmonics when cell dc voltages are not equal. This paper analyzes the principle of sideband harmonic elimination, and proposes an improved PSCPWM based on the particle swarm optimization algorithm. This modulation technique eliminates low-frequency sideband harmonics by calculating and regulating the carrier phases according to different cell dc voltages. The proposed PSCPWM enhances the reliability of the CHBML inverter and extends the range of its application. Simulation and experimental results obtained from the prototype of the CHBML inverter verify the theoretical analysis and the achievements made in this paper.

Keywords: cascaded H-bridge multilevel (CHBML) inverter; particle swarm optimization; phase-shifted carrier pulse width modulation (PSCPWM); unequal dc voltages

1. Introduction

At present, cascaded H-bridge multilevel (CHBML) inverters are widely used for motor drives, static synchronous compensator (STATCOM) and photovoltaic power conversion, because of their high-voltage output, improved electromagnetic compatibility, extreme modularity, and lesser number of components for synthesizing the same number of voltage levels [1–8]. The phase-shifted carrier pulse width modulation (PSCPWM) is a commonly used modulation technique for CHBML inverters because it is easy to use and convenient for controlling the output power of individual H-bridge cells [9–12]. However, the conventional PSCPWM cannot cancel low-frequency sideband harmonics under unequal cell dc voltage conditions.

The numerous isolated dc voltage sources, which are necessary for CHBML inverters with large numbers of voltage levels, reduce the reliability of CHBML inverters. Therefore, the capability of riding through the cell dc source faults is required [13–18]. The cell dc source faults that lead to a drop in the dc voltage result in unequal cell dc voltages, and some differences in cell dc source parameters result in unequal cell dc voltages too. A by-product of unequal cell dc voltages is the degradation of the output voltage waveform quality because the conventional PSCPWM is unable to cancel low-frequency sideband harmonics. On the other hand, high-power CHBML inverters usually operate with a low carrier frequency to reduce the switching power losses. The reduction of the carrier frequency increases the current ripples, which are produced by the sideband harmonics around the carrier and double carrier frequency, in most applications. Therefore, developing an improved PSCPWM, which can eliminate the low-frequency sideband harmonics under the condition of unequal dc voltages, is a key to enhancing the reliability of CHBML inverters.

Recently, many researchers have done a lot of work to improve the output voltage waveform quality of the CHBML inverters in which the dc voltages are unequal and proposed many modulation techniques [19–26]. Some researchers are interested in selective harmonic elimination (SHE) PWM, and have improved SHEPWM for CHBML inverters with unequal dc voltages [19,20]. In [21,22], selective harmonic mitigation (SHM) PWM techniques are developed for CHBML inverters with unequal dc voltages. In [24], a PWM technique using a real-time compensation for the difference in dc voltages is proposed to improve the output voltage quality. In [25], Roodsari and Nowicki presented a fast space vector modulation for the inverters with non-constant dc sources. Unfortunately, the PSCPWM for CHBML inverters with unequal dc voltages has not yet been published. Therefore, this paper proposes an improved PSCPWM that can eliminate the low frequency sideband harmonics under the condition of unequal dc voltages.

The proposed modulation technique regulates the carrier phases according to different dc-voltage. The carrier phases are calculated by solving the nonlinear transcendental equations for low-frequency sideband harmonic elimination. Many methods have been presented to solve such nonlinear transcendental equations. A systematic approach is proposed in [27], and it converts the transcendental equations into an equivalent set of polynomial equations that can be solved by use of the mathematical theory of resultants. However, considering the large degrees of the equivalent polynomial equations describing the problem of the proposed PSCPWM, it is not an effective approach. In [28], the bee algorithm is applied to solving the problem. In [29], the colonial competitive algorithm is developed to solve the transcendental equations. Until now, it is unfortunate that a way to solve the problem of PSCPWM under the condition of unequal dc voltages has not been described in the literature.

This paper proposes an improved PSCPWM technique to eliminate the low-frequency sideband harmonics by regulating the carrier phases. The carrier phases are obtained by using a method that is a mixture of the particle swarm optimization (PSO) algorithm and lookup tables (LUTs). The proposed modulation technique keeps the advantages of PSCPWM and removes the conventional PSCPWM's drawback that it cannot cancel the low frequency sideband harmonics under the condition of unequal cell dc voltages. This modulation technique enhances the reliability of CHBML inverters by improving the capability of riding through the dc voltage source faults.

This paper is organized as follows: Section 2 introduces the topology of a single-phase leg of CHBML inverters and finds out the reason why the conventional PSCPWM cannot cancel the low-frequency sideband harmonics when the cell dc voltages are not equal; Section 3 proposes an improved PSCPWM based on the PSO algorithm that can eliminate the low-frequency sideband harmonics under the condition of unequal dc voltages; Sections 4 and 5 offer simulation and experimental results, respectively; Section 6 summaries the conclusion of this paper.

2. The Topology of Cascaded H-bridge Multilevel (CHBML) Inverters and the Low-Frequency Sideband Harmonic Problem

Each single-phase leg in three-phase CHBML inverters includes several cascaded H-bridge cells consisting of a single-phase full-bridge (H-bridge) dc-to-ac converter and an isolated dc source. The topology of a single-phase leg of CHBML inverter is shown in Figure 1.



Figure 1. Topology of a single-phase leg of a cascaded H-bridge multilevel (CHBML) inverter.

In different applications, the isolated cell dc sources of the CHBML inverter are different. The cell dc sources of grid-connected CHBML inverters that are used in photovoltaic power generation systems are composed of photovoltaic cells and auxiliary circuits, and the cell dc sources used in motor drives are usually composed of some capacitors and a rectifier connected to a multi-winding phase-shifting transformer [12].

To find the reason why the conventional PSCPWM cannot cancel low-frequency sideband harmonics under the condition of unequal cell dc voltages, the inverter output voltage is first analyzed by use of the double Fourier integral analysis [30]. For the H-bridge cell for which the asymmetrical regular sampled PWM is adopted, its output voltage can be expressed as:

$$u_{\rm H}(t) = \frac{4k_{\rm f}U_{\rm dc}}{\pi} J_{\rm l}\left(\frac{m\pi}{2k_{\rm f}}\right) \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2k_{\rm f}} + \frac{\pi}{2}\right) \sin\left(\omega_{\rm m}t - \frac{\pi}{2k_{\rm f}}\right) + \sum_{b=2}^{\infty} \frac{4k_{\rm f}U_{\rm dc}}{b\pi} J_{b}\left(\frac{bm\pi}{2k_{\rm f}}\right) \sin\left(\frac{b\pi}{2k_{\rm f}} + b\frac{\pi}{2}\right) \sin\left(b\omega_{\rm m}t - \frac{b\pi}{2k_{\rm f}}\right) + \sum_{a=2,4}^{\infty} \sum_{b=\pm 1}^{\pm\infty} \frac{4U_{\rm dc}}{d\pi} J_{b}\left(\frac{dm\pi}{2}\right) \sin\left(d\frac{\pi}{2} + b\frac{\pi}{2}\right) \sin\left[a\left(\omega_{\rm s}t - \frac{d}{a}\pi - \theta_{\rm ps}\right) + b\left(\omega_{\rm m}t - \frac{\pi}{2k_{\rm f}}\right)\right]$$

$$(1)$$

In Equation (1), ω_s is the frequency of carrier waveform; ω_m is the frequency of modulation waveform; *m* is the amplitude modulation index; U_{dc} is the cell dc voltage; θ_{ps} is the cell carrier phase; $J_1 (m\pi/2)$, $J_b [bm\pi/(2k_f)]$ and $J_b (dm\pi/2)$ are all Bessel functions of the first kind [31]. The ω_s -to- ω_m ratio k_f and the variable "*d*" are defined as:

$$k_{\rm f} = \omega_{\rm s} / \omega_{\rm m} \tag{2}$$

$$d = a + b / k_{\rm f} \tag{3}$$

It can be observed that the cell output voltage shown in Equation (1) is divided into three parts: fundamental wave, baseband harmonics and sideband harmonics. As the output voltage of the single-phase leg is the summation of the cascaded H-bridge cell output voltages, the Fourier expansion of the phase voltage, which is obtained from Equation (1), is as follows:

$$u_{\rm CH}(t) = \frac{4k_{\rm f}}{\pi} \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2k_{\rm f}} + \frac{\pi}{2}\right) \sin\left(\omega_{\rm m}t - \frac{\pi}{2k_{\rm f}}\right) J_{\rm l}\left(\frac{m\pi}{2k_{\rm f}}\right) \sum_{h=1}^{N} U_{\rm dch} + \sum_{b=2}^{\infty} \frac{4k_{\rm f}}{b\pi} \sin\left(\frac{b\pi}{2k_{\rm f}} + b\frac{\pi}{2}\right) \sin\left(b\omega_{\rm m}t - \frac{b\pi}{2k_{\rm f}}\right) \\ J_{b}\left(\frac{bm\pi}{2k_{\rm f}}\right) \sum_{h=1}^{N} U_{\rm dch} + \sum_{a=2,4}^{\infty} \sum_{b=\pm 1}^{\pm\infty} \frac{4}{d\pi} \sin\left(d\frac{\pi}{2} + b\frac{\pi}{2}\right) J_{b}\left(\frac{dm\pi}{2}\right) \sum_{h=1}^{N} U_{\rm dch} \sin\left[-a\left(\omega_{\rm s}t - \frac{d}{a}\pi - \theta_{\rm psh}\right)\right]$$

$$+ b\left(\omega_{\rm m}t - \frac{\pi}{2k_{\rm f}}\right)]$$

$$(4)$$

In Equation (4), *N* represents the number of the cascaded cells; U_{dch} and θ_{psh} are the dc voltage and the carrier phase of cell *h*, respectively. It can be observed from Equation (4) that the carrier phases have no effect on the fundamental wave. On the other hand, when k_f is great enough, the even order baseband harmonics are negligible. In three phase inverters, the third (*b* = 3) baseband harmonics are eliminated in the output line voltage, and the magnitude of higher odd order (*b* = 5, 7, ...) baseband harmonics is negligible. So this paper does not analyze the baseband harmonics and focuses on the elimination of the sideband harmonics. Then, the expression of the *b*th sideband harmonics around af_s ($f_s = \omega_s/2\pi$) is separated from Equation (4) and shown in Equation (5):

$$M_{ab}\sum_{h=1}^{N} U_{dch} \sin\left[\beta_{ab}(t) - a\theta_{psh}\right]$$
(5)

where:

$$M_{ab} = \frac{4}{d\pi} \sin\left(d\frac{\pi}{2} + b\frac{\pi}{2}\right) J_b\left(\frac{dm\pi}{2}\right)$$
(6)

$$\beta_{ab}(t) = a \left(\omega_{\rm s} t - \frac{d}{a} \pi \right) + b \left(\omega_{\rm m} t - \frac{\pi}{2k_{\rm f}} \right)$$
(7)

Equation (5) can be expressed as the summation of phasors, and it is expressed as:

$$M_{ab} \sum_{h=1}^{N} U_{dch} e^{-ja\theta_{psh}}$$
(8)

It can be found from Equation (8) that the sideband harmonic can be cancelled if Equation (9) is valid:

$$M_{ab}\sum_{h=1}^{N} U_{dch} e^{-ja\theta_{psh}} = 0$$
⁽⁹⁾

If all dc voltages are equal to U_{dce} , the conventional PSCPWM can be used to completely eliminate the low-frequency sideband harmonics only by setting the carrier phases $\theta_{psh} = \pi(h - 1)/N$. Under the condition of unequal cell dc voltages, the low-frequency sideband harmonics around $2f_s$, $4f_s$, ..., $2(N - 1)f_s$ ($f_s = \omega_s/2\pi$) cannot be cancelled by the conventional PSCPWM, because the summation of the low-frequency sideband harmonics of the cascaded H-bridge cells are not zero. As a result, the output power quality is reduced significantly. The magnitude of the low frequency sideband harmonics can be expressed as:

$$M_{ab} \sum_{h=1}^{N} \left(U_{dch} - U_{dcav} \right) e^{-j(ah\pi/N - a\pi/N)} \qquad (a = 2, 4, \dots 2N - 2)$$
(10)

where:

$$U_{\rm dcav} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{h=1}^{N} U_{\rm dch}$$
(11)

Equation (10) shows the relationship between the magnitude of the low-frequency sideband harmonic and the cell dc voltage difference $|U_{dch} - U_{dcav}|$ when $\theta_{psh} = \pi (h - 1)/N$. Based on the analysis of this section, an improved PSCPWM, in which the carrier phases are regulated according to different combinations of unequal dc voltages, is presented in the following section.

3. The Proposed Phase-Shifted Carrier Pulse Width Modulation (PSCPWM) Based on Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO)

As can be seen from the analysis in Section 2, the low-frequency sideband harmonics cannot be cancelled by means of the conventional PSCPWM when the cell dc voltages are not equal. It can be found from (9) that the sideband harmonics around af_s can be eliminated by regulating the carrier phases (θ_{psh}) according to the different dc voltages. And the principle can be expressed as:

$$\left| M_{ab} \sum_{h=1}^{N} U_{dch} e^{-ja\theta_{psh}} \right| = 0$$
(12)

In practical application, one of the cell carrier phases is usually set to zero, so only N - 1 carrier phases can be regulated. The low-frequency sideband harmonics are more difficult to be filtered and usually produce greater current ripples on the load, so eliminating the low-frequency sideband

harmonics is a priority. Since the output voltage sideband harmonics of the H-bridge cell handled by the asymmetrical regular sampled PWM only exist around even times the carrier frequency harmonic, the low-frequency sideband harmonics can be cancelled by regulating the carrier phases, and the principle is expressed as:

$$M_{2b} \sum_{h=1}^{N} U_{dch} \cos(2\theta_{psh}) = 0$$

$$M_{2b} \sum_{h=1}^{N} U_{dch} \sin(2\theta_{psh}) = 0$$

$$M_{4b} \sum_{h=1}^{N} U_{dch} \cos(4\theta_{psh}) = 0$$

$$M_{4b} \sum_{h=1}^{N} U_{dch} \sin(4\theta_{psh}) = 0$$
:
$$M_{Kb} \sum_{h=1}^{N} U_{dch} \cos(K\theta_{psh}) = 0$$

$$M_{Kb} \sum_{h=1}^{N} U_{dch} \sin(K\theta_{psh}) = 0$$
(13)

It can be observed from Equation (13) that K equations need to be satisfied to eliminate the sideband harmonics. Since only N - 1 carrier phases can be regulated freely, the number of equations that can be satisfied is usually less than or equal to N - 1, when U_{dch} are not equal. On the other hand, since the elimination of the sideband harmonics around each time carrier frequency involves two equations, K must be an even number. Therefore, if N is an even number, K = N - 2; if N is an odd number, K = N - 1.

The calculation of carrier phases needs to solve the nonlinear transcendental equations shown in Equation (13). The calculation is usually complex and time-consuming. This paper presents a method that is a mixture of the PSO algorithm and LUTs. The LUTs include different combinations of unequal cell dc voltages and the corresponding carrier phases. In general, the dc voltages of cell *h* listed in the table can be expressed as: U_{dch_nor} , $U_{dch_nor} - \Delta U_{dc_inter}$, $U_{dch_nor} - 2\Delta U_{dc_inter}$,The symbol U_{dch_nor} denotes the nominal dc voltage of cell *h*, ΔU_{dc_inter} denotes the difference of the neighbor dc voltage elements listed in the table. In practical, ΔU_{dc_inter} usually interrelates with the upper limit of the sideband harmonic magnitude.

Under the condition that the cell dc voltages are U_{dc1_0} , U_{dc2_0} , ..., U_{dcN_0} , the cell carrier phases can be calculated, and they are θ_{ps1_0} , θ_{ps2_0} , ..., θ_{psN_0} . When the cell dc voltages deviate and the carrier phases remain, the magnitude of the sideband harmonics can be expressed as:

$$\left| M_{ab} \sum_{h=1}^{N} U_{dch} e^{-ja\theta_{psh_0}} \right| = \left| M_{ab} \sum_{h=1}^{N} \Delta U_{dch} e^{-ja\theta_{psh_0}} \right|$$
(14)

where:

$$\Delta U_{\rm dch} = U_{\rm dch} - U_{\rm dch_0} \tag{15}$$

It is well known that the magnitude of the summation of phasors is less than or equal to the summation of the phasor magnitude. Then an inequality is obtained and expressed as:

$$\left| M_{ab} \sum_{h=1}^{N} \Delta U_{dch} e^{-ja\theta_{psh_0}} \right| \le \left| M_{ab} \right| \sum_{h=1}^{N} \left| \Delta U_{dch} \right|$$

$$\tag{16}$$

When the upper limit of the sideband harmonic magnitude is ε , the maximum value of ΔU_{dch} can be obtained from Equation (16):

$$\Delta U_{\rm dc_max} \le \min(\frac{\varepsilon}{N|M_{ab}|}) \tag{17}$$

and $\Delta U_{dc_{inter}}$ should be less than two times $\Delta U_{dc_{max}}$:

$$\Delta U_{\rm dc_inter} \le 2\Delta U_{\rm dc_max} \le \min(\frac{2\varepsilon}{N|M_{ab}|})$$
(18)

The carrier phases listed in the LUTs are calculated by using the approach based on the PSO algorithm. The PSO algorithm was proposed by Kennedy and Eberhart [32] in 1995. This heuristic method is easy to use and has high searching efficiency. It has been introduced to solve the complex mathematic equations for several modulation techniques, such as SHEPWM and SHMPWM. As Equation (13) refer to a multi-goal optimization, the direct use of the PSO to solve them is difficult. Therefore, Equation (13) is reduced to a single equation that denotes the weighted average of the low-frequency sideband harmonic magnitude at first. On the other hand, it can be observed from Equation (12) that the sideband harmonics around af_s will be eliminated synchronously when the carrier phases are regulated. In other words, all sideband harmonics around af_s are reduced to zero when the 1st (b = 1) low-frequency sideband harmonic around af_s is eliminated by regulating the carrier phases. And the magnitude of the 1st (b = 1) low-frequency sideband harmonics is usually greater than the others. For these reasons, Equation (13) is further reduced to the weighted average of the 1st (b = 1) low-frequency sideband harmonic magnitude as follows:

$$\frac{\sum_{a=2,4}^{k} \gamma_a \sqrt{\left(M_{a1} \sum_{h=1}^{N} U_{dch} \cos(a\theta_{psh})\right)^2 + \left(M_{a1} \sum_{h=1}^{N} U_{dch} \sin(a\theta_{psh})\right)^2}}{\left[\left(m \sum_{h=1}^{N} U_{dch}\right) \left(\sum_{a=2,4}^{k} \gamma_a\right)\right]} = 0$$
(19)

The coefficient γ_a is the weight factor of the sideband harmonic around af_s In general, γ_2 and γ_4 are greater than the others to mitigate the low-frequency sideband harmonics around $2f_s$ and $4f_s$ better. To reduce the sideband harmonics, the objective function obtained from Equation (19) must be minimized, which can be expressed as:

$$f_{\text{objective}} = \frac{\sum_{a=2,4}^{k} \gamma_a \sqrt{\left(M_{a1} \sum_{h=1}^{N} U_{\text{dch}} \cos(a\theta_{\text{psh}})\right)^2 + \left(M_{a1} \sum_{h=1}^{N} U_{\text{dch}} \sin(a\theta_{\text{psh}})\right)^2}}{\left[\left(m \sum_{h=1}^{N} U_{\text{dch}}\right) \left(\sum_{a=2,4}^{k} \gamma_a\right)\right]}$$
(20)

As mentioned in Section 3, the first cell carrier phase θ_{ps1} is usually set to zero. In other words, only N - 1 carrier phases need be calculated. For this reason, each particle position is a N - 1 dimension vector $\theta_i = [\theta_{ps2_i}, \theta_{ps3_i}, ..., \theta_{psN_i}]$, and the *h*th element is the carrier phase of the *h*th cascaded H-bridge cell. Each particle owns a velocity vector $V_i = [V_{ps2_i}, V_{ps3_i}, ..., V_{psN_i}]$. Each particle refines its search by using its velocity vector, its own experience and the experience of the other particles. The best

position of the particle *i* found so far is called the personal best, and the best in entire swarm is called the global best. The major procedure of calculating the carrier phases is as follows:

1) Setting the basic data for the algorithm, such as the number of particles, the maximum iteration number *iter_max*, cogitative parameter φ_1 and social parameter φ_2 , inertia weight factor *w*, and the maximum velocity V_{max} .

2) Setting the initial particle positions $\theta_i(0)$ and velocity vectors $V_i(0)$. Each element of $\theta_i(0)$ is determined as random value between 0 and π , and each element of $V_i(0)$ is initially determined as random value between $-V_{\text{max}}$ and V_{max} .

3) Initializing the personal best positions θ_{i_l} and the global best position θ_g The initial personal best position of the *i*th particle θ_{i_l} is the initial position $\theta_i(0)$. Each particle is evaluated by use of the objective function (20), and the initial global best position θ_g is the best among the initial positions.

4) Updating the velocity vectors and the position vectors according to Equations (21) and (22), respectively:

$$V_i(k+1) = wV_i(k) + \varphi_1 rand(0,1) \left[\theta_{i-1} - \theta_i(k) \right] + \varphi_2 rand(0,1) \left[\theta_g - \theta_i(k) \right]$$
(21)

$$\theta_i \left(k+1 \right) = \theta_i \left(k \right) + V_i \left(k+1 \right) \tag{22}$$

In Equations (21) and (22), *rand*(0,1) represents a random value between 0 and 1.

5) Updating the personal best positions θ_{i_l} and the global best position θ_g The current position of the *i*th particle $\theta_i(k + 1)$ is evaluated by use of the objective function. If the result is better than the personal best position θ_{i_l} , θ_{i_l} is replaced with $\theta_i(k + 1)$. If the result is better than the global best position θ_g , θ_g is replaced with $\theta_i(k + 1)$.

6) Adding 1 to the iteration counter and repeating steps (4)–(6) until the iteration counter reaches *iter_max*.

It can be seen from Equation (19) that the carrier phases must be calculated according to different dc voltages. Take for example the CHBML inverter in which each phase leg is composed of three cascaded H-bridge cells. The dc voltages of the first and third cells are 0.701 times and 1.01 times the nominal dc voltage, respectively, and the dc voltage of the second cell is changing between 0.4 times and 1.0 times the nominal dc voltage when $\Delta U_{dc_{inter}}$ is equal to 0.01 times the nominal dc voltage. The first cell carrier phase θ_{ps1} is zero. Two calculated carrier phases θ_{ps2} and θ_{ps3} that are stored in the LUTs are shown in Figure 2a, when $\Delta U_{dc_{inter}}$ of the dc voltage of cell 2 is equal to 0.01 times the nominal dc voltage. Figure 2b shows the weighted average of the 1st (b = 1) low-frequency sideband harmonic magnitude under the condition: 1) the dc voltage of cell 2 changes continuously; 2) the carrier phases are obtained by looking up the carrier phases shown in Figure 2a.

Another example is the inverter in which each phase leg includes five cascaded H-bridge cells. The dc voltages of the first and 3rd–5th cells are 0.7, 0.99, 1.0 and 1.01 times the nominal dc voltage, respectively, and the second cell dc voltage changes between 0.4 times and 1.0 times the nominal dc voltage. As mentioned previously, the first cell carrier phase θ_{ps1} is zero. Four calculated carrier phases θ_{ps2} , θ_{ps3} , θ_{ps4} and θ_{ps5} that are stored in the LUTs are shown in Figure 3a when $\Delta U_{dc_{inter}}$ of the dc voltage of cell 2 is equal to 0.01 times the nominal dc voltage. Figure 3b shows the weighted average of the 1st (*b* = 1) low-frequency sideband harmonic magnitude under the condition: 1) the dc voltage

of cell 2 changes continuously; 2) the carrier phases are obtained by looking up the carrier phases shown in Figure 3a.



Figure 2. (a) Two calculated carrier phases; and (b) values of the objective function.



Figure 3. (a) Four calculated carrier phases; and (b) values of the objective function.

Figures 2 and 3 show that the carrier phases can be calculated by use of the presented approach based on the PSO algorithm. It can be observed from Figures 2b and 3b that: the weighted average of the magnitude of the 1st low-frequency sideband harmonics can be limited to an acceptable level.

4. Simulation

In order to verify the theoretical analysis and the proposed PSCPWM, a mathematic model of the CHBML inverter is established by means of MATLAB/SIMULINK. The topology of a single phase leg of the three-phase CHBML inverter is shown in Figure 1. Each single-phase leg includes five cascaded H-bridge cells. The fundamental wave frequency is 50 Hz, and the cell carrier wave frequency is 300 Hz. The amplitude modulation index of each cell is 0.99. Two combinations of different cell dc voltages, the carrier phases of the conventional PSCPWM and the proposed PSCPWM, are shown in Table 1.

Contents	Cell 1	Cell 2	Cell 3	Cell 4	Cell 5
$U_{ m dch}$	685 V	395 V	970 V	980 V	985 V
θ_{psh} of the conventional PSCPWM	0	$\pi/5$	$2\pi/5$	$3\pi/5$	$4\pi/5$
θ_{psh} of the proposed PSCPWM	0	0.236	0.887	1.653	2.421
$U_{{ m dc}h}$	685 V	690 V	970 V	980 V	985 V
θ_{psh} of the conventional PSCPWM	0	$\pi/5$	$\pi/5$	$3\pi/5$	$4\pi/5$
θ_{psh} of the proposed PSCPWM	0	0.420	1.065	1.780	2.497

Table 1. DC voltages and carrier phases of the cells. PSCPWM: phase-shifted carrier pulse width modulation.

Figure 4 shows the phase voltage waveform and the corresponding harmonic components obtained from the conventional PSCPWM when the dc voltage of the second cell is 395 V. The phase voltage waveform and the harmonic components of the proposed PSCPWM are shown in Figure 5. Figure 6 shows the conventional PSCPWM phase voltage waveform and harmonic components; Figure 7 shows the proposed PSCPWM phase voltage waveform and harmonic components when the second cell dc voltage is 690 V.



Figure 4. Result of the conventional PSCPWM when the second cell dc voltage is 395 V: (a) output voltage waveform; and (b) harmonics.



Figure 5. Result of the proposed PSCPWM when the second cell dc voltage is 395 V: (a) output voltage waveform; and (b) harmonics.



Figure 6. Result of the conventional PSCPWM when the second cell dc voltage is 690 V: (a) output voltage waveform; and (b) harmonics.



Figure 7. Result of the proposed PSCPWM when the second cell dc voltage is 690 V: (a) output voltage waveform; and (b) harmonics.

As seen from the harmonic components shown in Figures 4 and 6, the conventional PSCPWM cannot eliminate the sideband harmonics around 600 Hz ($2f_s$) and 1200 Hz ($4f_s$) when the dc voltages are not equal. Figures 5 and 7 show that the sideband harmonics around 600 Hz and 1200 Hz can be almost completely eliminated when the proposed PSCPWM is utilized.

In order to further verify the proposed PSCPWM, the simulations with five combinations of unequal cell dc voltages have been made. The five combinations of unequal dc voltages and the carrier phases are listed in Table 2; the carrier phases of the conventional PSCPWM are $\theta_{psh} = (h - 1)\pi/5$. The corresponding magnitude of the significant sideband harmonics around 600 Hz (2*f*_s) and 1200 Hz (4*f*_s) is shown in Table 3. According to Tables 2 and 3, the magnitude of the significant low-frequency sideband harmonics increases as the second cell dc voltage drops, when the conventional PSCPWM is applied. All the significant sideband harmonics around 600 Hz can be almost completely eliminated when the proposed PSCPWM is applied.

No.	Contents	Cell 1	Cell 2	Cell 3	Cell 4	Cell 5
1	$U_{{ m dc}h}$	685 V	636 V	970 V	980 V	985 V
1	$\Theta_{\mathrm{ps}h}$	0	0.403	1.036	1.763	2.487
2	$U_{{ m dc}h}$	685 V	587 V	970 V	980 V	985 V
2	$\theta_{\mathrm{ps}h}$	0	0.377	1.009	1.742	2.473
2	$U_{{ m dc}h}$	685 V	539 V	970 V	980 V	985 V
3	$\Theta_{\mathrm{ps}h}$	0	0.347	0.979	1.721	2.461
4	$U_{{ m dc}h}$	685 V	489 V	970 V	980 V	985 V
4	$\Theta_{\mathrm{ps}h}$	0	0.316	0.950	1.700	2.449
F	$U_{\mathrm{dc}h}$	685 V	440 V	970 V	980 V	985 V
3	$\theta_{\mathrm{ps}h}$	0	0.278	0.918	1.677	2.435

Table 2. Different combinations of unequal cell dc voltages and the carrier phases.

Table 3. Sideband harmonics around 600 Hz and 1200 Hz obtained from the simulations when the conventional PSCPWM and the proposed PSCPWM are used respectively under different combinations of unequal dc voltages.

NT	Modulation techniques	Normalized magnitude of sideband harmonics around 600 Hz and 1200 Hz								
N0.		450 Hz	550 Hz	650 Hz	750 Hz	1050 Hz	1150 Hz	1250 Hz	1350 Hz	
1	Conventional PSCPWM	1.89%	3.43%	1.49%	2.63%	0.48%	0.47%	0.26%	0.16%	
	Proposed PSCPWM	0.012%	0.012%	0.012%	0.013%	0.014%	0.013%	0.013%	0.012%	
2	Conventional PSCPWM	2.07%	3.76%	1.63%	2.89%	0.58%	0.55%	0.30%	0.16%	
	Proposed PSCPWM	0.015%	0.015%	0.015%	0.014%	0.017%	0.017%	0.018%	0.018%	
3	Conventional PSCPWM	2.26%	4.10%	1.78%	3.15%	0.68%	0.64%	0.35%	0.18%	
	Proposed PSCPWM	0.017%	0.016%	0.014%	0.015%	0.017%	0.016%	0.017%	0.019%	
4	Conventional PSCPWM	2.46%	4.46%	1.93%	3.43%	0.80%	0.74%	0.41%	0.21%	
	Proposed PSCPWM	0.018%	0.019%	0.018%	0.017%	0.019%	0.019%	0.018%	0.021%	
5	Conventional PSCPWM	2.66%	4.83%	2.09%	3.71%	0.92%	0.86%	0.47%	0.24%	
	Proposed PSCPWM	0.012%	0.013%	0.014%	0.012%	0.015%	0.022%	0.024%	0.015%	

5. Experiment

Experiments have been carried out on a 2.5 MVA CHBML inverter (lab prototype) to verify the proposed PSCPWM technique. The topology and the parameters of the inverter are identical to those of the simulation model. This inverter includes three phase leg. Each phase leg of the inverter consists of five cells. The input voltage of the second cell of phase C is regulated by a three-phase voltage regulator, and then, the dc voltage of this cell changes between 395 V and 690 V. Figure 8a shows the inverter, the three phase voltage regulator, the oscilloscope and the computer that is used to monitor the inverter. The control system of the inverter consists of the low-cost DSP (TMS320F28335, Texas Instruments, Dallas, TX, USA) and its auxiliary circuit. The main controller, which is installed in the right side of the inverter and shown in Figure 8b, generates the voltage (current) command value and calculates the cell carrier phases. It also has the functions of monitoring, observation, protection, human-machine interface as well as other auxiliary functions of the system. The cell controller installed in the H-bridge cell is used to regulate the cell carrier phase and generate PWM signals.

Besides, it can perform functions of monitoring and protection. The voltage waveform are captured and saved by use of a digital oscilloscope, and the harmonic components are obtained by use of the fast Fourier transformation function of the oscilloscope.



Figure 8. 2.5 MVA CHBML inverter: (a) inverter and major experimental devices; and (b) main controller.

Figure 9 shows the phase voltage waveform and harmonic components of the conventional PSCPWM. Figure 10 shows the phase voltage waveform and harmonic components when the proposed PSCPWM is used. Figures 9 and 10 are all obtained under the conditions: 1) the dc voltage of cell 2 is 395 V; 2) the dc voltages of the first and 3rd–5th cells are 685 V, 970 V, 980 V and 985 V, respectively. Figures 11 and 12 show the experimental results of the conventional PSCPWM and the proposed PSCPWM respectively under the conditions: 1) the dc voltage of cell 2 is 690 V; 2) the dc voltages of the first and 3rd–5th cells are also 685 V, 970 V, 980 V and 985 V, respectively. The carrier phases are listed in Table 1.



Figure 9. Result of the conventional PSCPWM when the second cell dc voltage is 395 V: (a) output voltage waveform; and (b) harmonics.

It can be found from Figures 9 and 11 that the conventional PSCPWM is unable to cancel the sideband harmonics around 600 Hz and 1200 Hz. Figures 10 and 12 show that the proposed PSCPWM can reduce the low-frequency sideband harmonics around 600 Hz and 1200 Hz under different combinations of unequal cell dc voltages. The magnitude of the low-frequency sideband harmonics is less than one percent of the fundamental waveform magnitude.



Figure 10. Result of the proposed PSCPWM when the second cell dc voltage is 395 V: (a) output voltage waveform; and (b) harmonics.



Figure 11. Result of the conventional PSCPWM when the second cell dc voltage is 690 V: (a) output voltage waveform; and (b) harmonics.



Figure 12. Result of the proposed PSCPWM when the second cell dc voltage is 690 V: (a) output voltage waveform; and (b) harmonics.

Furthermore, more experiments have been carried out under the condition that the dc voltage of cell 2 changes between 440 V and 636 V. The five combinations of unequal dc voltages and the carrier phases of the proposed PSCPWM are listed in Table 2 too; the carrier phases of the conventional PSCPWM are $\theta_{psh} = (h - 1)\pi/5$. The corresponding magnitude of the significant sideband harmonics around 600 Hz (2*f*_s) and 1200 Hz (4*f*_s) is shown in Table 4.

Table 4. Sideband harmonics around 600 Hz and 1200 Hz obtained from the prototype experiments when the conventional PSCPWM and the proposed PSCPWM are used respectively under different combinations of unequal dc voltages.

N.	Modulation techniques	Normalized magnitude of sideband harmonics around 600 Hz and 1200 Hz							
INO.		450 Hz	550 Hz	650 Hz	750 Hz	1050 Hz	1150 Hz	1250 Hz	1350 Hz
1	Conventional PSCPWM	1.80%	3.30%	1.33%	2.82%	0.51%	0.43%	0.23%	0.15%
	Proposed PSCPWM	0.55%	0.31%	0.19%	0.55%	0.19%	0.23%	0.19%	0.15%
2	Conventional PSCPWM	1.97%	3.45%	1.38%	2.91%	0.71%	0.55%	0.39%	0.23%
	Proposed PSCPWM	0.52%	0.40%	0.24%	0.52%	0.12%	0.16%	0.12%	0.20%
3	Conventional PSCPWM	2.32%	4.08%	1.64%	3.32%	0.72%	0.68%	0.32%	0.44%
	Proposed PSCPWM	0.40%	0.32%	0.16%	0.69%	0.16%	0.12%	0.16%	0.20%
4	Conventional PSCPWM	2.55%	4.37%	1.82%	3.68%	0.69%	0.56%	0.48%	0.20%
	Proposed PSCPWM	0.49%	0.32%	0.20%	0.73%	0.16%	0.24%	0.20%	0.20%
5	Conventional PSCPWM	2.61%	4.61%	1.81%	3.70%	0.86%	0.78%	0.53%	0.45%
	Proposed PSCPWM	0.49%	0.29%	0.16%	0.83%	0.20%	0.12%	0.20%	0.16%

It can be observed from Figure 10, Figure 12 and Table 4 that all the significant sideband harmonics around 600 Hz and 1200 Hz can be limited to a low level when the proposed PSCPWM is applied. Comparing the experimental results and the simulations of the conventional PSCPWM, which are shown in Figures 4, 6, 9 and Figure 11, Tables 3 and 4, the corresponding sideband harmonics are almost equal. Comparing the experimental results and the simulations of the proposed PSCPWM, which are shown in Figures 5, 7, 10, and 12, Tables 3 and 4, the low-frequency sideband harmonics of the prototype experiments are slightly greater than the simulation results because of the inevitable measuring error of dc voltages and the synchronization error of cell carrier phases.

6. Conclusions

Under the condition of unequal cell dc voltages, the conventional PSCPWM is unable to cancel the low-frequency sideband harmonics, and the output power quality of CHBML inverters is reduced. Based on the principle of sideband harmonic elimination, an improved PSCPWM is proposed to cancel the low-frequency sideband harmonics by regulating the carrier phases according to different cell dc voltages. To obtain the carrier phases, this paper presents a method that is the mixture of the PSO algorithm and the LUTs. The proposed PSCPWM technique not only preserves the merits of the conventional PSCPWM but also reduces the negative consequence of the unequal dc voltages, thereby improving the quality of output voltage waveform, enhancing the fault tolerant capability of the CHBML inverter and extending a range of its application. The proposed PSCPWM is not limited to

CHBML inverters and can also be applied to other types of multilevel inverters, such as hybrid CHBML inverters and modular multilevel converters (MMCs).

Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 51277178), the National High Technology Research and Development Program (863 Program) of China under project No. 2013AA-050404, and the Program for New Century Excellent Talents in University of Ministry of Education of China (Grant No. NECT-11-0871)

Author Contributions

Xin-Jian Cai designed the main parts of this study and wrote this paper; Zhen-Xing Wu, Quan-Feng Li and Shu-Xiu Wang mainly worked on the experiment carried out on the inverter.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Ghazanfari, A.; Mokhtari, H.; Firouzi, M. Simple voltage balancing approach for CHB multilevel inverter considering low harmonic content based on a hybrid optimal modulation strategy. *IEEE Trans. Power Deliv.* **2012**, *27*, 2150–2158.
- 2. Townsend, C.D.; Summers, T.J.; Betz, R.E. Multi-goal heuristic model predictive control technique applied to a cascaded H-bridge StatCom. *IEEE Trans. Power Electr.* **2012**, *27*, 1191–1200.
- 3. Sepahvand, H.; Liao, J.; Ferdowsi, M. Capacitor voltage regulation in single-dc-source cascaded H-bridge multilevel converters using phase-shift modulation. *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electr.* **2013**, *60*, 3619–3626.
- 4. Hatano, N.; Ise, T. Control scheme of cascaded H-bridge STATCOM using zero-sequence voltage and negative-sequence current. *IEEE Trans. Power Deliv.* **2010**, *25*, 543–550.
- Townsend, C.D.; Summers, T.J.; Vodden, J.; Watson, A.J.; Betz, R.E.; Clare, J.C. Optimization of switching losses and capacitor voltage ripple using model predictive control of a cascaded H-bridge multilevel StatCom. *IEEE Trans. Power Electr.* 2013, 28, 3077–3087.
- 6. Ludois, D.C.; Reed, J.K.; Venkataramanan, G. Hierarchical control of bridge-of-bridge multilevel power converters. *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electr.* **2010**, *57*, 2679–2690.
- 7. Moosavi, M.; Farivar, G.; Eini, H.I.; Shekaribi, S.M. A voltage balancing strategy with extended operating region for cascaded H-bridge converters. *IEEE Trans. Power Electr.* **2014**, *29*, 5044–5053.
- 8. Cortes, P.; Wilson, A.; Kouro, S.; Rodriguez, J.; Abu-Rub, H. Model predictive control of multilevel cascaded H-bridge inverters. *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electr.* **2010**, *57*, 2691–2698.
- Townsend, C.D.; Summers, T.J.; Betz, R.E. Impact of practical issues on the harmonic performance of phase-shifted modulation strategies for a cascaded H-bridge StatCom. *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electr.* 2014, *61*, 2655–2664.

- 10. Lezana, P.; Aceiton, R.; Silva, C. Phase-disposition PWM implementation for a hybrid multi-cell converter. *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electr.* **2013**, *60*, 1936–1942.
- 11. Rabinovici, R.; Baimel, D.; Tomasik, J.; Zuckerberger, A. Thirteen-level cascaded H-bridge inverter operated by generic phase shifted pulse width modulation. *IET. Power Electr.* **2013**, *6*, 1516–1529.
- 12. Park, Y.M.; Yoo, J.Y.; Lee, S.B. Practical implementation of PWM synchronization and phase-shift method for cascaded H-bridge multilevel inverters based on a standard serial communication protocol. *IEEE Trans. Ind. Appl.* **2008**, *44*, 634–641.
- Adam, G.P.; Ahmed, K.H.; Finney, S.J.; Bell, K.; Williams, B.W. New breed of network fault-tolerant voltage-source-converter HVDC transmission system. *IEEE Trans. Power Syst.* 2013, 2, 335–346.
- 14. Song, W.; Huang, A.Q. Fault-tolerant design and control strategy for cascaded H-bridge multilevel converter-based STATCOM. *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electr.* **2010**, *57*, 2700–2708.
- 15. Lezana, P.; Aguilera, T.; Rodriguez, J. Fault detection on multicell converter based on output voltage frequency analysis. *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electr.* **2009**, *56*, 2275–2283.
- 16. Khomfoi, S.; Tolbert, L.M. Fault diagnosis and reconfiguration for multilevel inverter drive using AI-based techniques. *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electr.* **2007**, *54*, 2954–2968.
- 17. Turpin, C.; Baudesson, P.; Richardeau, F.; Forest, F.; Meynard, T.A. Fault management of multi-cell converters. *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electr.* 2002, 49, 988–997.
- Barriuso, P.; Dixon, J.; Flores, P.; Moran, L. Fault-tolerant reconfiguration system for asymmetric multilevel converters using bidirectional power switches. *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electr.* 2009, *56*, 1300–1306.
- Dahidah, M.S.A.; Agelidis, V.G. Selective harmonic elimination PWM control for cascaded multilevel voltage source converters: A generalized formula. *IEEE Trans. Power Electr.* 2008, 23, 1620–1630.
- Tolbert, L.M.; Chiasson, J.; McKenzie, K.; Du, Z. Elimination of harmonics in a multilevel converter with nonequal DC sources. In Proceedings of the Eighteenth Annual IEEE Applied Power Electronics Conference and Exposition, Miami Beach, FL, USA, 9–13 February 2003; pp. 589–595.
- Napoles, J.; Watson, A.J.; Padilla, J.J.; Leon, J.I.; Franquelo, L.G.; Wheeler, P.W.; Aguirre, M.A. Selective harmonic mitigation technique for cascaded H-bridge converters with nonequal DC link voltages. *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electr.* 2013, 60, 1963–1971.
- Filho, F.; Maia, H.Z.; Mateus, T.H.A.; Qzpineci, B. Adaptive selective harmonic minimization based on ANNs for cascade multilevel inverters with varying DC sources. *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electr.* 2013, 60, 1955–1962.
- Liu, Y.; Hong, H.; Huang, A.Q. Real-time algorithm for minimizing THD in multilevel inverters with unequal or varying voltage steps under staircase modulation. *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electr.* 2009, 56, 2249–2258.
- Naumanen, V.; Luukko, J.; Silventoinen, P.; Pyrhonen, J.; Saren, H.; Rauma, K. Compensation of DC link voltage variation of a multilevel series-connected H-bridge inverter. *IET. Power Electr.* 2010, *3*, 793–803.

- 25. Roodsari, B.N.; Nowicki, E.P. Fast space vector modulation algorithm for multilevel inverters and its extension for operation of the cascaded H-bridge inverter with non-constant DC sources. *IET Power Electr.* **2013**, *6*, 1288–1298.
- Farokhnia, N.; Vadizadeh, H.; Fathi, S.H.; Anvariasl, F. Calculating the formula of line-voltage THD in multilevel inverter with unequal DC sources. *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electr.* 2011, 58, 3359–3372.
- 27. Chiasson, J.N.; Tolbert, L.M.; McKenzie, K.J.; Du, Z. An unified approach to solving the harmonic elimination equations in multilevel converters. *IEEE Trans. Power Electr.* **2004**, *19*, 478–490.
- Kavousi, A.; Vahidi, B.; Salehi, R.; Bakhshizadeh, M.K.; Farokhnia, N.; Fathi, S.H. Application of the bee algorithm for selective harmonic elimination strategy in multilevel inverters. *IEEE Trans. Power Electr.* 2012, *27*, 1689–1695.
- 29. Etesami, M.H.; Farokhnia, N.; Fathi, S.H. Colonial competitive algorithm development toward harmonic minimization in multilevel inverters. *IEEE Trans. Ind. Inf.* **2015**, *11*, 459–466.
- 30. Odavic, M.; Sumner, M.; Zanchetta, P.; Clare, J.C. A theoretical analysis of the harmonic content of PWM waveforms for multiple-frequency modulators. *IEEE Trans. Power Electr.* **2010**, *25*, 131–141.
- 31. Watson, G.N. Theory of Bessel Functions; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK, 1944.
- 32. Kennedy, J.; Eberhart, R. Particle swarm optimization. In Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Neural Networks, Perth, Australia, 27 November–1 December 1995; pp. 1942–1948.

 \bigcirc 2015 by the authors; licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).