



Anders Rhiger Hansen \* D, Daniel Leiria, Hicham Johra and Anna Marszal-Pomianowska 🛽

Department of the Built Environment, Aalborg University, 2450 Copenhagen, Denmark

\* Correspondence: arhansen@build.aau.dk

Abstract: Extensive research demonstrates the importance of user practices in understanding variations in residential heating demand. Whereas previous studies have investigated variations in aggregated data, e.g., yearly heating consumption, the recent deployment of smart heat meters enables the analysis of households' energy use with a higher temporal resolution. Such analysis might provide knowledge crucial for managing peak demand in district heating systems with decentralized production units and increased shares of intermittent energy sources, such as wind and solar. This study exploits smart meter heating consumption data from a district heating network combined with socio-economic information for 803 Danish households. To perform this study, a multiple regression analysis was employed to understand the correlations between heat consumption and socio-economical characteristics. Furthermore, this study analyzed the various households' daily profiles to quantify the differences between the groups. During an average day, the higher-income households consume more energy, especially during the evening peak (17:00-20:00). Blue-collar and unemployed households use less during the morning peak (5:00-9:00). Despite minor differences, household groups have similar temporal patterns that follow institutional rhythms, like working hours. We therefore suggest that attempts to control the timing of heating demand do not rely on individual households' ability to time-shift energy practices, but instead address the embeddedness in stable socio-temporal structures.

**Keywords:** peak energy usage; energy demand; energy flexibility; district heating; occupant behavior; energy practices; smart heat meters

# 1. Introduction

The building sector is responsible for nearly 45% of global CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, and the energy used for domestic hot water (DHW) production and the heating of spaces constitutes the largest share of these emissions [1]. Individual heat pumps and collective heating systems, also known as district heating (DH) systems, are sustainable, cost- and energy-effective methods for supplying heat to buildings, especially in densely populated areas [2]. However, the foundation of the decarbonization process of electrical grids and DH systems is the growing use of intermittent renewable energy (RE), such as solar energy and wind [3,4]. Increasing the share of RE challenges the operation of energy systems and requires greater insight into fluctuations in production as well as demand. Where energy production previously tended to follow energy demand [5,6], for example, by activating fossil-fuel boilers during peak-load periods, the demand side now needs to offer more temporal flexibility to match the variability in RE production [7]. This new approach to controlling and operating energy systems calls for in-depth insight into the patterns and mechanisms of energy demand. Demand response tools such as price incentives [8] and energy scheduling [9,10] depend on an understanding of the energy practices of users in order to reduce uncertainties as well as align comfort expectations and demand patterns. Knowing how energy peaks are constituted, and which occupant practices contribute the most to creating peaks, becomes increasingly important for energy system operators



**Citation:** Hansen, A.R.; Leiria, D.; Johra, H.; Marszal-Pomianowska, A. Who Produces the Peaks? Household Variation in Peak Energy Demand for Space Heating and Domestic Hot Water. *Energies* **2022**, *15*, 9505. https://doi.org/10.3390/en15249505

Academic Editor: Mohamed Benbouzid

Received: 4 November 2022 Accepted: 11 December 2022 Published: 14 December 2022

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). seeking to balance energy supply and demand [11–13]. As the building envelope becomes more energy efficient (a result of stricter requirements in national building regulations), the share of DHW in total household energy demand is increasing [14–16]. Furthermore, the timing of DHW usage can cause significant peak demand at very specific periods, especially in the morning or in the evening when households use a significant quantity of hot water for baths and showers [17,18]. This may impair the stability and reliability of energy grids. The metered heat data reflect practices related to space heating, such as heating and comfort practices [19,20], as well as DHW usage, such as showering and personal hygiene [18], where the shower and kitchen taps are found to constitute around 90% of the total DHW usage [21]. Thus, the data result from a complex interaction between occupants, building physics, and heating systems, particularly the components responsible for the indoor temperature adjustment and the use of DHW (see Figure 1).



**Figure 1.** Conceptual representation of interactions between various factors influencing residential heat demand. One should note that the share of DHW and space heating in the total building heat demand varies significantly from buildings to buildings, depending on the occupants' habits and the energy performance of the building envelope [14,16,21].

Recent studies estimate that explanations for variation in residential heating demand can be found more or less equally in buildings and occupants [16,22]. Household characteristics, such as income, demographics, and family composition, are found to explain some of the energy use variations related to occupants [23–29]. The deployment of smart meters and the collection of hourly energy use data provide a unique opportunity to gain a deeper understanding of energy consumption dynamics during the day. Several studies have shown the potential of such high-temporal-resolution energy consumption data for better understanding temporal patterns in energy demand. For example, various clustering techniques have been applied to identify typical groups of load patterns [30–35] and to investigate heterogeneity regarding building and occupant characteristics in daily load patterns [17,36–39]. An effort was also made to make the smart heat data accessible to the research environment [40] and thereby foster an interest in this dynamic heat data, which from 2027 will be available for all buildings connected to DH networks [41]. However, to the authors' knowledge, no previous studies have investigated daily residential heating consumption together with socio-economic characteristics. This paper taps into the potential of the hourly smart heat meter data correlated with the socio-economic data of the

3 of 23

households, delivering an in-depth understanding of how heat consumption is shaped by household characteristics.

#### Novelty and Contribution of the Present Study

This paper contributes in the following three ways:

Development of the unique hourly-based dataset combining the household's dynamic energy use for heating (readings from smart heat meters) with data from administrative registers, including building characteristics and socio-economic characteristics of the household occupants, such as occupation (blue- and white-collar, pensioner, unemployed); age of the youngest child (no child, pre-school child (0 to 6 years), young child (7 to 12 years), teenager (13 to 19 years)); age of the oldest adult (18 to 40 years, 41 to 50 years, 51 to 60 years, 61 to 70 years, 71 years or older); household income (DKK <300,000, DKK 300,000 to 399,999, DKK 400,000 to DKK 499,999 500,000 to 599,999, DKK 600,000 to 699,999, DKK <700,000).

Application of a novel methodological approach to investigate the correlation of hourly and daily variations in residential heating use for space heating and DHW with the novel dataset (including smart heat meters readings and detailed information on household and building characteristics from administrative registers) for each month of the Danish heating season (i.e., from October to March).

Delivery of new knowledge on what contributes to domestic heating peaks and to what degree peaks can be explained by household characteristics, specifically the four features of occupation, household composition, age, and income.

This study builds on the assumption that household categories related to, for example, occupation and income, reflect variations in household energy practices. This assumption is supported by previous studies on the temporality of energy practices [42,43], which describe social-temporal rhythms of showering [18], space heating [44], and family practices [45].

The paper is structured as follows: Section 2 presents a review of relevant studies previously conducted on the topic. Section 3 continues with a description of the dataset and methodology used. Section 4 presents the results, with four subsections dedicated to the socio-economic parameters and a final subsection focusing on morning and evening peaks. Finally, the results are discussed and related to future policy and research.

#### 2. Background

To what extent variations in residential heating are explained by building characteristics versus occupants' behavior is a well-established discussion in energy research [19,46]. A recent study replicating the method of a former study suggests that occupants and buildings are equally important [16,47]. Other studies support the importance of occupant behavior and practices in residential heating demand [22,25,27,48–50]. This is especially useful in attempts to explain the discrepancy between predicted and actual energy use [51–53]. Although the division between occupants and buildings appears simplified, it makes one point clear: *what* occupants do and *how* they interact with the built environment in everyday household practices are crucial for understanding household energy consumption patterns [25,46].

Numerous studies have sought to understand how occupant characteristics and their variations affect the amount of energy used for heating in residential buildings [54]. Several studies show how energy consumption relates to activities such as opening windows or regulating thermostats [55–58], and how residential heating consumption is correlated with socio-economic characteristics, such as income, education, and occupation [23,25,27–29,59], as well as with household characteristics, such as age, children, and gender [24,60]. The importance of household characteristics in combination with contextual factors, such as the impact of energy prices, price subsidies, and weather, is also well-established empirically [61–66]. Analysis of a national survey conducted among English homes also suggests variation in the timing of heating among households [67].

4 of 23

Where the studies mentioned above rely primarily on quantitative methods, there is a rich social science literature applying qualitative methods to describe how social conventions of thermal comfort shape heating practices in everyday life [19,20,67–73], or what could be referred to as home comfort [20,74]. It is also in line with these studies that the existing primary knowledge on the link between (temporality of) everyday practices and (timing of) energy consumption is found, for example, related to showering and DHW use [18,75], laundry routines, and energy use [76–79] or smart home control [69]. In addition, a range of studies directly addresses the relationship between everyday energy practices and peak demand [12], for example, by referring to 'family peak periods' [45] or flexibility of everyday activities [80,81]. Together, these studies suggest that temporal patterns of energy demand reflect what could be referred to as socio-temporal rhythms [42], which are closely linked to societal or institutional rhythms [11,82].

This paper builds on these qualitative studies' understanding of energy consumption as reflective of energy practices and combines this understanding with quantitative measures of timing and intensity of energy demand.

With smart meter data, it is possible to get closer to the actual actions of the occupants, for example, their daily energy patterns. Several studies have used such high temporal-resolution data, primarily for studying electricity demand [35,37,83,84] and even in combination with time-use data [85]. Recent studies also analyze hourly data on heating consumption using smart meter registrations [31,86,87]. One study uses smart meter data from district heating systems to investigate the correlation between temporal clusters and household characteristics (e.g., the presence of multiple adults, teenagers, and children) and indicates fairly constant load profiles across the different groups [38]. In combination, these studies underline the usefulness of exploiting high-resolution data to investigate temporal patterns in energy demand.

To gain further knowledge on which types of households contribute the most to heating demand peaks, we use detailed information on households to identify groups according to occupation, family composition, and income. Moreover, we focus directly on daily load profiles and peak demand.

#### 3. Data and Methods

This paper consists of (1) descriptive analysis of hourly data, where average hourly heating consumption is used to create daily profiles for various household types, and (2) multivariable analysis of morning and evening peak heating consumption, where correlations in use during the two peak periods and household types were modeled using regression techniques. These two steps were intended to exploit the available data and communicate the patterns in the best way according to the aim of the study.

The energy monitoring data used in this study have been collected for previous research projects [30,31]. The data consisted of information on heat usage for 1665 buildings connected to the DH network in a small town in the northern region of Denmark. The data were provided by the DH utility company. All installed smart meters gathered the cumulative heat (combined space heating and domestic hot water) usage. Measurements were recorded at an hourly rate. The recording period was from 00:00—5 November 2018 to 00:00—7 October 2019. The months from 1 October 2018 to 1 March 2019, which constitute the Danish heating season, were selected for this study. To focus on everyday patterns in energy consumption, weekends and Danish holidays were removed from the data (see also Figure 3 in Section 4).

The smart heat data were combined with data on household characteristics from Danish administrative registers provided by Statistics Denmark (Description (https://www.dst.dk/en/TilSalg/Forskningsservice (accessed on 1 November 2022)) and overview (https://econ.au.dk/the-national-centre-for-register-based-research/danish-registers (accessed on 1 November 2022)). Merging these datasets was possible using address codes, which were anonymized by Statistics Denmark on a secure server to which the authors have access. This enables statistical analysis of micro-level data on a range of personal and

household information, for example, from the Civil Registration Register (CPR) [88] and the Building and Housing Register (BBR) [89], which are provided in an anonymized form under a range of restrictions for the researchers [90,91].

After merging the different datasets and selecting only households living in singlefamily dwellings, the final dataset comprised 803 units. Figure 2 is a flow chart illustrating the data structure and the analysis process with the different data resolution levels. The daily profiles (Sections 4.1–4.4) were based on data from 803 households (n) with 2497 time points (T) each, which resulted in a total of 2,005,091 observations (N). The models on peak energy demand (Section 4.5) were based on 803 households (n) with an average of 103.8 time points (T), which resulted in a total of 83,338 observations (N). Finally, the comparison of the sample of 803 households with the full Danish population of 1,140,419 households was based on information for the year 2019 (the full population used for comparison was restricted to single-family homes and townhouses and other minor corrections similar to the sample).



Figure 2. Overview of data structure and the applied analysis process.

The household variables were based on data from the Danish administrative registers, which contain rich information about household occupants, e.g., income, occupation, and family composition. The household variables were divided into three groups.

First, the households were categorized according to occupation. The variables are presented in Table 1. Based on the socio-economic classification in the Danish registers

(SOCIO13) (https://www.dst.dk/en/Statistik/dokumentation/nomenklaturer/socio (accessed on 1 November 2022)) and the classification of professions or jobs (DISCO-08 (https://www.dst.dk/en/Statistik/dokumentation/nomenklaturer/disco (accessed on 1 November 2022)), which refers to the International Standard Classification of Occupations (ISCO-08) [92], the occupation categories were intended to indicate household variations in morning and evening routines, for example by indicating showering practices and other practices related to space heating and DHW (see, for example, [18,75] on the temporality of DHW demand practices).

**Table 1.** Presentation and description of occupational variables with share (%) of the total sample. Each household can have several characteristics, so the percentages do not sum to 100%.

Variable Name	Description	Examples	Reference <sup>1</sup>	Sample (%)	Population (%)
Blue-collar (physical job)	At least one person in the household has a job requiring physical work or other sorts of manual or routine labor.	Working with machinery, maintenance, construction, crafts, transport, manufacturing, agriculture, or fishery.	DISCO major groups: 6, 7, 8, 9.	19.7%	24.3%
White-collar (office job)	At least one member of the household has a job in clerical or another type of office work.	Working with administrative tasks, specialized services, engineering, and technicians.	DISCO major groups: 1, 2, 3, 4.	66.6%	56.1%
Pensioner	At least one member of the household receives retirement benefits.	Includes senior pension and early retirement benefits.	Socio-Economic Classification (SOCIO13) <sup>2</sup> : 321, 322, 323.	32.3%	38.7%
Unemployed	At least one member of the household receives unemployment benefits.	Includes unemployed receiving sick pay or social security.	Socio-Economic Classification (SOCIO13): 210, 220, 330.	4.6%	7.2%
Number of households					1,140,419

<sup>1</sup> See https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/International\_Standard\_Classification\_of\_Occupations (accessed on 1 November 2022) for an overview of ISCO major groups. <sup>2</sup> https://www.dst.dk/en/Statistik/dokumentation/nomenklaturer/socio (accessed on 1 November 2022).

Second, households were categorized according to family composition, i.e., age and presence of children in the household. The intention was to reflect variations in everyday practices and temporal rhythms related, e.g., related to 'family peaks' [45] and 'busy spots' during the day [42,43]. Therefore, the categories were rather detailed, with four types of households according to the presence of children, and five categories of age based on the oldest member of the household. Table 2 presents these categories with descriptions.

Third, households were categorized according to income. The variable consists of six groups representing different degrees of household financial resources (see Table 3). It was constructed by summing the individual annual disposable incomes of each adult household member. Disposable income refers to income after taxes for each adult household member.

Variable Name	Description		Categories	Sample (%)	Population (%)
	Child in the household	1	No child (Ref.)	54.6	66.7
C1 $(11)$	based on age of the	2	Pre-school child (0 to 6 years)	20.9	10.9
Child	voungest shild	3	Young child (7 to 12 years)	14.1	10.6
	youngest child	4	Teenager (13 to 19 years)	10.5	11.8
	Age of oldest adult in	1	18 to 40 years (Ref.)	20.4	13.0
		2	41 to 50 years	23.4	19.6
Age		3	51 to 60 years	18.3	22.2
	the household	4	61 to 70 years	15.3	19.4
		5	71 years or older	22.5	25.8
	Number of households				1,140,419

**Table 2.** Presentation and description of household composition variables with share (%) of the total sample. Each household can have several characteristics, so the percentages do not sum to 100%.

**Table 3.** Presentation and description of household composition variables with share (%) of the total sample. Each household can have several characteristics, so the percentages do not sum to 100%.

Variable Name	Description		Categories	Sample (%)	Population (%)
Income	Six groups categorized by total annual disposable household income (income after taxes).	1 2 3 4 5 6	Less than DKK 300,000 (app. EUR 40,000) DKK 300,000 to 399,999 (app. EUR 54,000) DKK 400,000 to 499,999 (app. EUR 67,000) DKK 500,000 to 599,999 (app. EUR 81,000) DKK 600,000 to 699,999 (app. EUR 94,000) DKK 700,000 or higher	11.5 15.1 14.1 19.3 16.2 23.9	21.7 14.6 13.5 13.3 11.5 25.4
Number of households			803	1,140,419	

Finally, the physical attributes of houses, including construction year and house size, were used to control for the correlations with the household variables in the models in Section 4.5. The full list can be found in Appendix A. Previous studies have used similar control variables based on Danish registers [25,37,93].

The last part of the analysis (Section 4.5) aimed to model household variation in the morning (5:00–9:00) and evening (17:00–20:00) peak heating demand. The models were based on time-series data, where each household had multiple observations according to the number of hours. These multiple observations are assumed to cluster and correlate within households (units) over time, thereby being strongly interdependent and having serially correlated errors [94]. To account for this serial correlation, a panel regression model was applied, and as we were in the variation between households, we used the 'between estimator', which refers to an ordinary least square estimator applied to averaged estimates over time within households [95] (we used the Stata function *xtreg* with the specification of between effects (*be*)).

The data enforced some limitations on the analysis. For example, the sample included only households with district heating. Therefore, some bias related to the correlation between the type of primary energy source used for heating and socio-economic groups might exist. Around half of the Danish households living in single-family homes or townhouses are supplied with DH (Statistics Denmark, table BOL105), and compared with the full Danish population, Tables 1–3 show that the sample appears relatively representative according to occupation, household composition, and income.

### 4. Results

We start the analysis by looking at how heating load patterns vary according to various aspects of temporal rhythms. Figure 3 displays daily energy loads based on average values for each hour across different categories. Thus, it highlights important differences between



weekends versus weekdays (Monday to Friday), working days versus holidays (Danish school holidays), and heating season (October to April) versus all-year data.

**Figure 3.** Daily heating load profiles for all week, weekdays, and weekends based on average hourly consumption. N = 6,475,392, n = 803.

As seen in Figure 3, the morning peak occurs much later on the weekend than on workdays, and the same pattern is found for holidays. Moreover, the general heating load is lower outside the heating season.

We chose to focus on the most regular heating patterns. Therefore, we limited the rest of the analysis to the periods when it is expected that the household practices are the most regular, which we assume to be on working weekdays during the heating season. This means we choose to analyze weekdays (Monday to Friday) in the heating season (October to April) exclusive of Danish school holidays.

The rest of the result section is divided into five parts. Sections 4.1–4.4 use data on an hourly resolution to describe variations in daily heat profiles across various house-hold groups. Tables with selected data used for the figures can be found in Appendix B. Section 4.5 presents the results of panel models on average hourly consumption during morning and evening peaks to estimate differences in heating use.

#### 4.1. Occupation

The first household groups that we compare relate to occupation. Figure 4 shows that households with white-collar workers tend to have a higher morning peak, whereas households with pensioners have the latest morning peak and, in general, the flattest daily profile. Households with unemployment almost have the same morning peak as households with blue-collar workers, but the profile during the day is slightly higher. There seems to be a negligible difference during the evening peak.



**Figure 4.** Heat usage profile for an average day for occupational groups based on average hourly consumption. N = 2,005,091; n = 803.

# 4.2. Age

The second household category we compare is based on the age of the household. In Figure 5, we compare the daily heat profiles according to the categorizations of the oldest occupant represented in the household. The comparison shows that the group aged 41 to 50 years has the most substantial morning peak with 4.5 kWh at 7 h. The younger group (aged 18 to 40) and the slightly older group (aged 51 to 60) follow with an average peak demand of just below 4 kWh. As with the pensioner group in Figure 4, the oldest group (aged 71 or older) has the latest morning peak and highest load during the day, whereas the group aged 61 to 70 has the flattest and generally lowest load profile.

#### 4.3. Children

The third occupant group we compare reflects the presence of children in the household. Here, we compare households based on the youngest child in the household. Figure 6 shows that households with no children seem to have a flatter daily profile than other households. In particular, the morning peak appears much lower, at 3.4, compared to a peak of 4.6 for the group with young children (aged 7 to 12). The morning peaks of households with teenagers (aged 13 to 19) and households with pre-school children (aged 0 to 6) as the youngest in the household are similar. However, the evening peak for households with teenagers appears slightly different, with a slightly lower peak at 3.1 kWh at 20 h, compared to 3.3 kWh at 19 h for households with younger children.



**Figure 5.** Heat usage profile for an average day for age groups based on the oldest occupant in the household based on average hourly consumption. N = 2,005,091; n = 803.



**Figure 6.** Heat usage profile for an average day for groups comparing the age of children based on the youngest child in households based on average hourly consumption. N = 2,005,091; n = 803.

#### 4.4. Income

The fourth and final comparison uses five total household disposable income groups to identify differences in heating use profiles related to financial consumption capacity. Figure 7 clearly shows that the higher-income groups tend to consume more during the morning and evening peaks. The highest income groups (above DKK 500k) have morning



peaks of around 4 kWh at 7 h and evening peaks of around 3.3 at 19 h. The lowest income groups (less than DKK 400k) tend to have flatter daily profiles with smaller and somewhat later morning peaks.

**Figure 7.** Heat usage profile for an average day for groups comparing income groups based on total annual household disposable income based on average hourly consumption. N = 2,005,091; n = 803.

#### 4.5. Modeling Variation Morning and Evening Peak

In the presentation of the differences in load profiles in Sections 4.1–4.5, the comparison of one variable does not take a variation on another characteristic into account. In other words, the average load profiles do not control for other socio-economic or building variables. Therefore, profiles of lower-income households resemble those of unemployed and pensioners, which indicates that these categories contain some of the same households. To distinguish the importance of each of the characteristics, we employ a multiple regression analysis, which includes multiple variables at the same time and, in addition, controls for building characteristics.

Table 4 presents the estimates of the regression model. It shows that before controlling for building variables, blue-collar households tended to consume less during the morning peak (5:00-9:00), whereas households tended to consume more as their income was higher (*M1*). When controlling for building characteristics (*M2*), the blue-collar estimate was no longer significant, but the correlation with income persisted, although the impact became less significant. Instead, the unemployed households now seemed to consume less during the morning peak at a lower significance level.

	M1		M2	
Blue-collar (1 = Yes)	-0.191 **	(0.081)	-0.092	(0.064)
White-collar (1 = Yes)	-0.075	(0.111)	0.020	(0.088)
Pensioner (1 = Yes)	-0.132	(0.149)	-0.081	(0.119)
Unemployed (1 = Yes)	-0.172	(0.144)	-0.204 *	(0.116)
Child (youngest)				
No child	Re	f.	Re	ef.
Pre-school child (0–6 years)	-0.036	(0.124)	-0.070	(0.098)
Young child (7–12 years)	0.096	(0.120)	-0.037	(0.095)
Teenager (13–19 years)	-0.161	(0.118)	-0.108	(0.094)
Age (oldest)				
18 to 40 years	Re	f.	Ref.	
41 to 50 years	0.105	(0.110)	0.118	(0.088)
51 to 60 years	-0.050	(0.130)	-0.056	(0.104)
61 to 70 years	0.105	(0.157)	0.068	(0.126)
71 years or older	0.349 *	(0.185)	0.116	(0.149)
Total income				
Less than DKK 300,000	Re	f.	Ref.	
DKK 300,000 to 399,999	-0.014	(0.115)	-0.036	(0.093)
DKK 400,000 to 499,999	0.339 ***	(0.123)	0.144	(0.101)
DKK 500,000 to 599,999	0.624 ***	(0.134)	0.347 ***	(0.110)
DKK 600,000 to 699,999	0.599 ***	(0.142)	0.274 **	(0.118)
DKK 700,000 or higher	0.741 ***	(0.137)	0.395 ***	(0.117)
Building control variables included			Ye	25
Constant	2.924 ***	(0.170)	3.382 ***	(0.186)
<b>R<sup>2</sup></b> (between variance)	0.1	.0	0.4	45
N (observations)	83,3	38	83,338	
n (households)	80	3	80	03
T (avg. observations per household)	103	5.8	103.8	

**Table 4.** Between-effect panel regression model for morning peak (5:00–9:00). Complete table found in Appendix C. \*\*\* p < 0.01, \*\* p < 0.05, \* p < 0.1; standard error in parentheses.

Table 5 presents the correlations between household characteristics and heating consumption during the evening peak (17:00–20:00). Before controlling for building characteristics (E1), the oldest age group (71 years or older) seemed to consume more, and the higher-income households again also tended to consume more. When taking variation due to the building into account (E2), only the correlation with the highest income groups (above DKK 500,000) remained significant and positive.

	E1		E	2
Blue-collar (1 = Yes)	-0.063	(0.085)	0.018	(0.062)
White-collar (1 = Yes)	-0.089	(0.118)	0.017	(0.084)
Pensioner (1 = Yes)	-0.119	(0.158)	-0.022	(0.114)
Unemployed (1 = Yes)	-0.153	(0.153)	-0.102	(0.111)
Child (youngest)				
No child	Re	f.	Re	ef.
Pre-school child (0–6 years)	0.049	(0.131)	0.020	(0.094)
Young child (7–12 years)	0.058	(0.127)	-0.084	(0.092)
Teenager (13–19 years)	-0.141	(0.125)	-0.095	(0.090)
Age (oldest)				
18 to 40 years	Ref.		Ref.	
41 to 50 years	0.106	(0.116)	0.157 *	(0.084)
51 to 60 years	0.155	(0.138)	0.094	(0.100)
61 to 70 years	0.235	(0.167)	0.094	(0.121)
71 years or older	0.499 **	(0.196)	0.185	(0.143)
Total income				
Less than DKK 300,000	Re	f.	Ref.	
DKK 300,000 to 399,999	0.009	(0.122)	0.008	(0.089)
DKK 400,000 to 499,999	0.309 **	(0.131)	0.132	(0.096)
DKK 500,000 to 599,999	0.493 ***	(0.142)	0.242 **	(0.106)
DKK 600,000 to 699,999	0.455 ***	(0.151)	0.228 **	(0.114)
DKK 700,000 or higher	0.417 ***	(0.145)	0.235 **	(0.113)
Building control variables included			Ye	es
Constant	2.600 ***	(0.180)	3.031* **	(0.179)
R <sup>2</sup> (between variance)	0.0	)4	0.5	52
N (observations)	83,3	382	83,3	382
n (households)	80	3	80	03
T (avg. observations per household)	103.8		103.8	

**Table 5.** Between-effect panel regression model for evening peak (17:00–20:00). Complete table found in Appendix D. \*\*\* p < 0.01, \*\* p < 0.05, \* p < 0.1; standard error in parentheses.

In both Tables 4 and 5, the building characteristics explained most of the variation in heating consumption. For the morning peak, the explained variation between households increased from 0.10 to 0.43 after adding building variables, and for the evening peak, the explained variation increased from 0.04 to 0.52. Because we chose to use detailed occupational categories in this analysis, we also had to accept a few cases of multicollinearity. This means that the variance inflation factor (VIF) was above five for white-collar and unemployed households, and the highest age group in both the morning and evening peak models, as well as the highest income group, were slightly higher than five in the morning peak model.

### 5. Discussion

This study investigated the types of households that contribute the most to morning and evening peaks of space heating and DHW usage. By combining smart meter data on hourly heat consumption for 803 households with household information from administrative registers, the analyses indicate that temporal variations in heating demand are stable across different types of households. This is in line with previous studies [38], and it underlines the importance of socio-temporal rhythms, for example, related to working hours and school hours [11,42,82], for structuring the timing of energy demand.

The results also indicate important variations among household groups. For example, white-collar (office jobs) households tend to have higher morning peaks (5:00–9:00) than blue-collar (physical labor) households and unemployed households, and pensioner households tend to have later morning peaks and flatter daily heating load profiles. These tendencies might be explained by variations in morning routines, where for example, the timing of showering routines relates to the type of work and the need for showering in the morning (before office jobs) or in the evening (after physically active jobs) [18].

Households with children have strong morning peaks, but households with young children (7–12 years) seem to have the highest morning peak, compared to households with teenagers (13–19 years) or pre-school children (0–6 years). This might be explained by strong institutional rhythms, especially for early-school children, and thereby reflecting socio-temporal rhythms [11,42,82] or what could be referred to as family peak periods [45].

However, when controlling for building characteristics, these correlations are insignificant, and only the positive correlation with higher income remains significant. Additionally, unemployed households now tend to consume less during morning peaks, although at a lower significance level. This suggests that although variations in daily rhythms across occupation and family composition exist, these seem less important than the factors of household income and building characteristics.

The analysis of evening peak demand for heating supports this. In general, the evening heating demand contained less variation than the morning (i.e., the timing and size of the peak are remarkably stable across the groups). It should be noted that for all household groups, the evening peak occurs at 19 o'clock. The evening meal, therefore, seems to occur around the same time in the 803 households analyzed. Still, higher-income groups seem to contribute the most to the evening peak, also when controlling for other household characteristics and building characteristics. Again, this relates to family peak periods [45].

Where previous studies suggest that socio-economic household variation related, for example, to occupation and family composition, correlates with the amount of energy used for heating [23,25,59], our results question whether mechanisms explaining levels of (aggregated) heating consumption also apply to the timing of (hourly) heating consumption, with the exception of the correlation with household income.

#### 6. Conclusions, Policy, and Research Implications

As the percentage of RE in energy supply increases, energy systems, such as DH systems, require a greater understanding of household energy demand dynamics. In particular, the timing of household energy demand seems important, and this study used high-resolution consumption data to contribute to providing new evidence on the timing of energy demand across different household types.

The results of this study support well-described theories suggesting that the timing of household energy demand (i.e., at which time household activities are performed) reflects societal rhythms, for example, school hours, opening hours, and working hours. This study suggests a strong convergence between societal rhythms and daily load patterns of diverse types of households. For example, the different characteristics of households did not affect daily patterns of heat demand very much. Based on this, we suggest focusing on collective energy *practices* rather than individual *customers*. This means focusing on what people generally do in their homes (and when) rather than relying on specific assumptions about consumers and their behavior. The timing of energy demand practices seems largely determined by external factors that the household cannot change. These factors could also be referred to as collective norms of energy practices, for example, when morning and evening peaks fit regular school and work hours. In other words, there might be little room

for occupants to change their daily rhythms deliberately and thereby time-shift heating demand.

New evidence on how peak heat demand reflects occupant practices might be valuable for utility companies' energy demand management. In this case, income level and job type reflect variations in user practices, which for example, influence energy demand patterns and choices made by the households.

A recent study comparing temporal aspects of everyday practices in several European countries during the COVID-19 lockdown suggests strong similarities across cultural contexts [96]. Like this study, we suggest that efforts to promote energy demand flexibility should focus on the intersection of everyday practices, institutional time structures, and societal temporal rhythms rather than individual behaviors and occupants' ability to change the timing of their everyday practices.

This study is based on one case in the northern part of Jutland in Denmark. This approach needs to be replicated in other contexts to collect better evidence on the relation between occupants (characteristics) and peak heat demand (timing). Furthermore, the effect of opening hours or office hours could be tested by comparing cases where these variables already differ. Further research is needed to better understand the mechanisms suggested in this study.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, A.R.H., D.L., H.J. and A.M.-P.; Methodology, A.R.H.; Formal analysis, A.R.H., D.L., H.J. and A.M.-P.; Data curation, D.L., A.R.H.; Writing—original draft, A.R.H., D.L., H.J. and A.M.-P.; Visualization, D.L.; Funding acquisition, A.M.-P. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** The research was conducted as part of the project InterHUB, which received internal funding from Aalborg University.

**Data Availability Statement:** The data used for this research is not available for distributing. Access to data was through Statistics Denmark's secure servers.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

### Nomenclature

- BBR Building and Housing Register
- CPR Civil registration register
- DHW Domestic hot water
- DH District heating
- RE Renewable energy

	11				
Variable Name	Description		Categories	Sample (%)	Population (%)
	Five group	1	Built before 1961 (Ref.)	6.6	38.3
	categorization of year of	2	Built 1961 to 1972	35.1	24.6
Construction year	construction, which	3	Built 1973 to 1978	33.6	13.9
	partly reflect energy	4	Built 1979 to 2006	12.2	17.5
	efficiency [93,97]	5	Built after 2006	12.5	5.8
	Three groups of house	1	Area less than 130 m <sup>2</sup>	24.4	39.2
Area	sizes are based on the	2	Area 130 $m^2$ to 160 $m^2$ (Ref.)	44.0	30.7
	residential area (m <sup>2</sup> ).	3	Area more than 160 m <sup>2</sup>	31.6	30.1
Rooms		1	Fewer than 5 rooms	38.4	45.9
	Categorization of the	2	5 rooms (Ref.)	40.5	27.9
	number of rooms.	3 More than 5 rooms		21.2	26.2
Townhouse	The building is a townhous	e (1 = Yes	s), and not a single-family home	8.1	13.4
Multiple bathrooms	The building unit has mor	re than or	ne bathroom installed (1 = Yes)	34.3	31.6
Multiple toilets	The building unit has m	nore than	one toilet installed (1 = Yes)	68.5	55.9
D (i	The house has a	1	No registered renovation/extension (Ref.)	65.8	64.2
Kenovation	registered renovation or	2	Until 1978	10.5	12.5
	extension. 3		After 1978	23.8	23.3
Attic floor	The building unit has	a register	ed attic floor area (1 = Yes).	11.8	36.5
Basement	The building unit has	a register	ed basement area (1 = Yes).	9.2	28.3
Number of households				803	1,140,419

# Appendix A

# Appendix B

		Blue-Col	lar Whi	te-Collar	Pensioner	Unemployed
	Peak (kW)	3.7		4.1	3.3	3.5
5–9	Energy (kWh)	13.3		14.4	12.4	13.2
	% of daily use	18.6		19.5	17.1	18.0
	Peak (kW)	3.2		3.2	3.1	3.1
17–20	Energy (kWh)	9.2		9.3	9.1	9.1
	% of daily use	12.9		12.6	12.4	12.5
		18–40 y	41–50 y	51–60 y	<b>61–70</b> y	71 y+
	Peak (kW)	3.9	4.5	3.8	3.4	3.4
5–9	Energy (kWh)	13.8	15.3	13.6	12.7	12.7
	% of daily use	19.3	20.4	18.8	17.9	17.0
	Peak (kW)	3.2	3.3	3.1	3.1	3.2
17–20	Energy (kWh)	9.1	9.4	9.2	8.9	9.3
	% of daily use	12.7	12.6	12.7	12.5	12.5

		No Child	Pre-School Child (0–6 y)	Young Child (7–12 y)	Teenager (13–19 y)
	Peak (kW)	3.4	4.0	4.6	4.2
5–9	Energy (kWh)	13.0	14.2	15.6	13.9
	% of daily use	17.8	19.6	20.3	19.5
	Peak (kW)	3.1	3.3	3.1	3.1
17–20	Energy (kWh)	9.1	9.4	9.6	8.9
	% of daily use	12.5	12.9	12.5	12.5

		Less than DKK 300K	DKK 300K to DKK 399.999	DKK 400K to DKK 499.999	DKK 500K to DKK 599.999	DKK 600K to DKK 699.999	DKK 700.000 or higher
	Peak (kW)	3.1	3.1	3.4	4.6	4.0	4.6
5–9	Energy (kWh)	12.0	11.7	13.0	14.0	11.2	15.5
	% of daily use	17.1	17.2	17.9	18.8	15.0	20.5
	Peak (kW)	2.9	2.9	3.1	3.1	3.2	3.3
17–20	Energy (kWh)	8.7	8.6	9.2	9.5	9.4	9.5
	% of daily use	12.4	12.6	12.6	12.7	12.6	12.5

# Appendix C

	Μ	M1		12
Blue-collar (1 = Yes)	-0.191 **	(0.081)	-0.092	(0.064)
White-collar (1 = Yes)	-0.075	(0.111)	0.020	(0.088)
Pensioner (1 = Yes)	-0.132	(0.149)	-0.081	(0.119)
Unemployed (1 = Yes)	-0.172	(0.144)	-0.204 *	(0.116)
Child (youngest)				
No child	Ref.		Re	ef.
Pre-school child (0–6 years)	-0.036	(0.124)	-0.070	(0.098)
Young child (7–12 years)	0.096	(0.120)	-0.037	(0.095)
Teenager (13–19 years)	-0.161	(0.118)	-0.108	(0.094)
Age (oldest)				
18 to 40 years	Re	f.	Re	ef.
41 to 50 years	0.105	(0.110)	0.118	(0.088)
51 to 60 years	-0.050	(0.130)	-0.056	(0.104)
61 to 70 years	0.105	(0.157)	0.068	(0.126)
71 years or older	0.349 *	(0.185)	0.116	(0.149)

Total income				
Less than DKK 300,000	Re	ef.	Re	f.
DKK 300,000 to 399,999	-0.014	(0.115)	-0.036	(0.093)
DKK 400,000 to 499,999	0.339 ***	(0.123)	0.144	(0.101)
DKK 500,000 to 599,999	0.624 ***	(0.134)	0.347 ***	(0.110)
DKK 600,000 to 699,999	0.599 ***	(0.142)	0.274 **	(0.118)
DKK 700,000 or higher	0.741 ***	(0.137)	0.395 ***	(0.117)
Construction year			_	
Before 1961			Re	f.
1961 to 1972			-0.223 *	(0.117)
1973 to 1978			-0.450 ***	(0.120)
1979 to 2006			-0.888 ***	(0.132)
After 2006			-1.096 ***	(0.148)
Area				
Less than 130 m <sup>2</sup>			-0.243 ***	(0.069)
130 m <sup>2</sup> to 160 m <sup>2</sup>			Ref.	
More than 160 m <sup>2</sup>			0.335 ***	(0.062)
Rooms				
Fewer than 5			0.020	(0.060)
5 (Ref.)			Re	f
More than 5			0.216 ***	(0.067)
Townhouse (1 = Yes)			-0.508 ***	(0.100)
More bathrooms (1 = Yes)			0.065	(0.063)
More toilets (1 = Yes)			0.115 *	(0.066)
Renovation				
No registered renovation			Re	f
Until 1978			0.207 **	(0.087)
After 1978			0.062	(0.061)
Attic floor (1 = Yes)			-0.199 **	(0.091)
Basement (1 = Yes)			0.463 ***	(0.084)
Constant	2.924 ***	(0.170)	3.382 ***	(0.186)
R <sup>2</sup> (between variance)	0.1	10	0.4	5
N (observations)	83,3	338	83,3	38
n (households)	80	3	80	3
T (avg. observations per household)	103.8		103.8	

Note Between-effect panel regression model for morning peak (5 h to 9). \*\*\* p < 0.01, \*\* p < 0.05, \* p < 0.1; standard error in parentheses.

# Appendix D

	E	1	E2	2
Blue-collar (1 = Yes)	-0.063	(0.085)	0.018	(0.062)
White-collar (1 = Yes)	-0.089	(0.118)	0.017	(0.084)
Pensioner (1 = Yes)	-0.119	(0.158)	-0.022	(0.114)
Unemployed (1 = Yes)	-0.153	(0.153)	-0.102	(0.111)
Child (youngest)				
No child	Re	ef.	Re	f.
Pre-school child (0–6 years)	0.049	(0.131)	0.020	(0.094)
Young child (7–12 years)	0.058	(0.127)	-0.084	(0.092)
Teenager (13–19 years)	-0.141	(0.125)	-0.095	(0.090)
Age (oldest)				
18 to 40 years	Re	ef.	Re	f
41 to 50 years	0.106	(0.116)	0.157 *	(0.084)
51 to 60 years	0.155	(0.138)	0.094	(0.100)
61 to 70 years	0.235	(0.167)	0.094	(0.121)
71 years or older	0.499 **	(0.196)	0.185	(0.143)
Total income				
Less than DKK 300,000	Re	ef.	Re	f
DKK 300,000 to 399,999	0.009	(0.122)	0.008	(0.089)
DKK 400,000 to 499,999	0.309 **	(0.131)	0.132	(0.096)
DKK 500,000 to 599,999	0.493 ***	(0.142)	0.242 **	(0.106)
DKK 600,000 to 699,999	0.455 ***	(0.151)	0.228 **	(0.114)
DKK 700,000 or higher	0.417 ***	(0.145)	0.235 **	(0.113)
Construction year				
Before 1961			Re	f
1961 to 1972			-0.153	(0.112)
1973 to 1978			-0.391 ***	(0.115)
1979 to 2006			-0.875 ***	(0.126)
After 2006			-1.609 ***	(0.142)
Area				
Less than 130 m <sup>2</sup>			-0.220 ***	(0.066)
130 m <sup>2</sup> to 160 m <sup>2</sup>			Re	f
More than 160 m <sup>2</sup>			0.340 ***	(0.060)
Rooms				
Fewer than 5			0.052	(0.055)
5 (Ref.)			Re	f
More than 5			0.167 ***	(0.065)
Townhouse (1 = Yes)			-0.575 ***	(0.095)
More bathrooms (1 = Yes)			0.054	(0.060)
More toilets (1 = Yes)			0.085	(0.064)

Renovation				
No registered renovation			Ref.	
Until 1978			0.291 ***	(0.083)
After 1978			0.040	(0.059)
Attic floor (1 = Yes)			-0.135	(0.087)
Basement (1 = Yes)			0.482 ***	(0.080)
Constant	2.600 ***	(0.180)	3.031 ***	(0.179)
<b>R<sup>2</sup></b> (between variance)	0.04		0.52	
N (observations)	83,382		83,382	
n (households)	803		803	
T (avg. observations per household)	103.8		103.8	

Note Between-effect panel regression model for evening peak (17:00–20:00). \*\*\* p < 0.01, \*\* p < 0.05, \* p < 0.1; standard error in parentheses.

### References

- 1. Abergel, T.; Delmastro, C. Is Cooling the Future of Heating? IEA Commentary 2020. Available online: https://policycommons. net/artifacts/1427480/is-cooling-the-future-of-heating/2042231/ (accessed on 1 November 2022).
- Mazhar, A.R.; Liu, S.; Shukla, A. A state of art review on the district heating systems. *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* 2018, 96, 420–439. [CrossRef]
- Connolly, D.; Lund, H.; Mathiesen, B.V.; Werner, S.; Möller, B.; Persson, U.; Boermans, T.; Trier, D.; Østergaard, P.A.; Nielsen, S. Heat Roadmap Europe: Combining district heating with heat savings to decarbonise the EU energy system. *Energy Policy* 2014, 65, 475–489. [CrossRef]
- 4. Lund, H.; Werner, S.; Wiltshire, R.; Svendsen, S.; Thorsen, J.E.; Hvelplund, F.; Mathiesen, B.V. 4th Generation District Heating (4GDH): Integrating smart thermal grids into future sustainable energy systems. *Energy* **2014**, *68*, 1–11. [CrossRef]
- Sioshansi, F. (Ed.) Chapter 5—What is flexible demand; what demand is flexible? In Variable Generation, Flexible Demand; Academic Press: Cambridge, MA, USA, 2021; pp. 107–124. ISBN 978-0-12-823810-3.
- 6. Coutard, O.; Shove, E. Infrastructures, Practices and the Dynamics of Demand. In *Infrastructures in Practice—The Dynamics of Demand in Networked Societies*; Routledge: New York, NY, USA, 2019.
- 7. Guelpa, E.; Verda, V. Demand response and other demand side management techniques for district heating: A review. *Energy* **2021**, *219*, 119440. [CrossRef]
- 8. Zheng, W.; Hill, D.J. Incentive-based coordination mechanism for distributed operation of integrated electricity and heat systems. *Appl. Energy* **2021**, *285*, 116373. [CrossRef]
- Mohsen Hosseini, S.; Carli, R.; Jantzen, J.; Dotoli, M. Multi-block ADMM Approach for Decentralized Demand Response of Energy Communities with Flexible Loads and Shared Energy Storage System. In Proceedings of the 2022 30th Mediterranean Conference on Control and Automation (MED), Athens, Greece, 28 June–1 July 2022; pp. 67–72.
- 10. Hosseini, S.M.; Carli, R.; Dotoli, M. Robust Optimal Energy Management of a Residential Microgrid Under Uncertainties on Demand and Renewable Power Generation. *IEEE Trans. Autom. Sci. Eng.* **2021**, *18*, 618–637. [CrossRef]
- 11. Blue, S.; Shove, E.; Forman, P. Conceptualising flexibility: Challenging representations of time and society in the energy sector\*. *Time Soc.* **2020**, *29*, 923–944. [CrossRef]
- 12. Strengers, Y. Peak electricity demand and social practice theories: Reframing the role of change agents in the energy sector. *Energy Policy* **2012**, *44*, 226–234. [CrossRef]
- 13. Torriti, J.; Hanna, R.; Anderson, B.; Yeboah, G.; Druckman, A. Peak residential electricity demand and social practices: Deriving flexibility and greenhouse gas intensities from time use and locational data. *Indoor Built Environ.* **2015**, *24*, 891–912. [CrossRef]
- Marszal-Pomianowska, A.; Zhang, C.; Pomianowski, M.; Heiselberg, P.; Gram-Hanssen, K.; Rhiger Hansen, A. Simple methodology to estimate the mean hourly and the daily profiles of domestic hot water demand from hourly total heating readings. *Energy Build.* 2019, 184, 53–64. [CrossRef]
- 15. Pomianowski, M.Z.; Johra, H.; Marszal-Pomianowska, A.; Zhang, C. Sustainable and energy-efficient domestic hot water systems: A review. *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* **2020**, *128*, 109900. [CrossRef]
- Van den Brom, P.; Hansen, A.R.; Gram-Hanssen, K.; Meijer, A.; Visscher, H. Variances in residential heating consumption— Importance of building characteristics and occupants analysed by movers and stayers. *Appl. Energy* 2019, 250, 713–728. [CrossRef]
- 17. Do Carmo, C.M.R.; Christensen, T.H. Cluster analysis of residential heat load profiles and the role of technical and household characteristics. *Energy Build.* **2016**, *125*, 171–180. [CrossRef]
- Gram-Hanssen, K.; Christensen, T.H.; Madsen, L.V.; do Carmo, C. Sequence of practices in personal and societal rhythms— Showering as a case. *Time Soc.* 2019, 29, 256–281. [CrossRef]

- 19. Gram-Hanssen, K. Residential heat comfort practices: Understanding users. Build. Res. Inf. 2010, 38, 175–186. [CrossRef]
- 20. Madsen, L.V. The Comfortable Home and Energy Consumption. *Hous. Theory Soc.* **2017**, *35*, 329–352. [CrossRef]
- Marszal-Pomianowska, A.; Jensen, R.L.; Pomianowski, M.; Larsen, O.K.; Jørgensen, J.S.; Knudsen, S.S. Comfort of Domestic Water in Residential Buildings: Flow, Temperature and Energy in Draw-Off Points: Field Study in Two Danish Detached Houses. *Energies* 2021, 14, 3314. [CrossRef]
- Huebner, G.M.; Hamilton, I.; Chalabi, Z.; Shipworth, D.; Oreszczyn, T. Explaining domestic energy consumption—The comparative contribution of building factors, socio-demographics, behaviours and attitudes. *Appl. Energy* 2015, 159, 589–600. [CrossRef]
- Estiri, H. Building and household X-factors and energy consumption at the residential sector: A structural equation analysis of the effects of household and building characteristics on the annual energy consumption of US residential buildings. *Energy Econ.* 2014, 43, 178–184. [CrossRef]
- 24. Estiri, H.; Zagheni, E. Age matters: Ageing and household energy demand in the United States. *Energy Res. Soc. Sci.* 2019, 55, 62–70. [CrossRef]
- 25. Hansen, A.R. The social structure of heat consumption in Denmark: New interpretations from quantitative analysis. *Energy Res. Soc. Sci.* **2016**, *11*, 109–118. [CrossRef]
- 26. Harold, J.; Lyons, S.; Cullinan, J. The determinants of residential gas demand in Ireland. Energy Econ. 2015, 51, 475–483. [CrossRef]
- Santin, O.G.; Itard, L. Occupants' behaviour: Determinants and effects on residential heating consumption. *Build. Res. Inf.* 2010, 38, 318–338. [CrossRef]
- Hansen, A.R. 'Sticky' energy practices: The impact of childhood and early adulthood experience on later energy consumption practices. *Energy Res. Soc. Sci.* 2018, 46, 125–139. [CrossRef]
- 29. Hansen, A.R.; Jacobsen, M.H. Like parent, like child: Intergenerational transmission of energy consumption practices in Denmark. *Energy Res. Soc. Sci.* 2020, *61*, 101341. [CrossRef]
- 30. Johra, H.; Leiria, D.; Heiselberg, P.; Marszal-Pomianowska, A.; Tvedebrink, T. Treatment and analysis of smart energy meter data from a cluster of buildings connected to district heating: A Danish case. *E3S Web Conf.* **2020**, *172*, 12004. [CrossRef]
- Leiria, D.; Johra, H.; Marszal-Pomianowska, A.; Pomianowski, M.Z.; Kvols Heiselberg, P. Using data from smart energy meters to gain knowledge about households connected to the district heating network: A Danish case. *Smart Energy* 2021, *3*, 100035. [CrossRef]
- Ma, Z.; Yan, R.; Nord, N. A variation focused cluster analysis strategy to identify typical daily heating load profiles of higher education buildings. *Energy* 2017, 134, 90–102. [CrossRef]
- Wang, C.; Du, Y.; Li, H.; Wallin, F.; Min, G. New methods for clustering district heating users based on consumption patterns. *Appl. Energy* 2019, 251, 113373. [CrossRef]
- Yang, Y.; Li, R.; Huang, T. Smart Meter Data Analysis of a Building Cluster for Heating Load Profile Quantification and Peak Load Shifting. *Energies* 2020, 13, 4343. [CrossRef]
- 35. Trotta, G. An empirical analysis of domestic electricity load profiles: Who consumes how much and when? *Appl. Energy* **2020**, 275, 115399. [CrossRef]
- Calikus, E.; Nowaczyk, S.; Sant'Anna, A.; Gadd, H.; Werner, S. A data-driven approach for discovering heat load patterns in district heating. *Appl. Energy* 2019, 252, 113409. [CrossRef]
- 37. Trotta, G.; Gram-Hanssen, K.; Lykke Jørgensen, P. Heterogeneity of Electricity Consumption Patterns in Vulnerable Households. *Energies* **2020**, *13*, 4713. [CrossRef]
- Gianniou, P.; Liu, X.; Heller, A.; Nielsen, P.S.; Rode, C. Clustering-based analysis for residential district heating data. *Energy* Convers. Manag. 2018, 165, 840–850. [CrossRef]
- Gianniou, P.; Reinhart, C.; Hsu, D.; Heller, A.; Rode, C. Estimation of temperature setpoints and heat transfer coefficients among residential buildings in Denmark based on smart meter data. *Build. Environ.* 2018, 139, 125–133. [CrossRef]
- 40. Schaffer, M.; Tvedebrink, T.; Marszal-Pomianowska, A. Three years of hourly data from 3021 smart heat meters installed in Danish residential buildings. *Sci. Data* 2022, *9*, 420. [CrossRef]
- 41. European Union. Directive (EU) 2018/2002 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 11 December 2018 Amending Directive 2012/27/EU on Energy Efficiency (Text with EEA Relevance); European Union: Brussels, Belgium, 2018; Volume 328.
- 42. Southerton, D. *Time, Consumption and the Coordination of Everyday Life;* Consumption and Public Life; Palgrave Macmillan: London, UK, 2020; ISBN 978-0-230-57251-5.
- Southerton, D. 'Squeezing Time' Allocating Practices, Coordinating Networks and Scheduling Society. *Time Soc.* 2003, 12, 5–25. [CrossRef]
- 44. Jalas, M.; Rinkinen, J. Stacking wood and staying warm: Time, temporality and housework around domestic heating systems. *J. Consum. Cult.* **2013**, *16*, 43–60. [CrossRef]
- 45. Nicholls, L.; Strengers, Y. Peak demand and the 'family peak' period in Australia: Understanding practice (in)flexibility in households with children. *Energy Res. Soc. Sci.* 2015, 9, 116–124. [CrossRef]
- 46. Gram-Hanssen, K. Efficient technologies or user behaviour, which is the more important when reducing households' energy consumption? *Energy Effic.* 2013, *6*, 447–457. [CrossRef]
- 47. Sonderegger, R.C. Movers and stayers: The resident's contribution to variation across houses in energy consumption for space heating. *Energy Build.* **1978**, *1*, 313–324. [CrossRef]

- 48. Santin, O.G. Behavioural Patterns and User Profiles related to energy consumption for heating. *Energy Build.* **2011**, *43*, 2662–2672. [CrossRef]
- 49. Santin, O.G.; Itard, L.; Visscher, H. The effect of occupancy and building characteristics on energy use for space and water heating in Dutch residential stock. *Energy Build.* 2009, *41*, 1223–1232. [CrossRef]
- 50. Sardianou, E. Estimating space heating determinants: An analysis of Greek households. *Energy Build.* **2008**, *40*, 1084–1093. [CrossRef]
- 51. Laskari, M.; de Masi, R.-F.; Karatasou, S.; Santamouris, M.; Assimakopoulos, M.-N. On the impact of user behaviour on heating energy consumption and indoor temperature in residential buildings. *Energy Build*. **2022**, 255, 111657. [CrossRef]
- 52. Majcen, D.; Itard, L.; Visscher, H. Statistical model of the heating prediction gap in Dutch dwellings: Relative importance of building, household and behavioural characteristics. *Energy Build.* **2015**, *105*, 43–59. [CrossRef]
- 53. Sunikka-Blank, M.; Galvin, R. Introducing the prebound effect: The gap between performance and actual energy consumption. *Build. Res. Inf.* **2012**, *40*, 260–273. [CrossRef]
- Heydarian, A.; McIlvennie, C.; Arpan, L.; Yousefi, S.; Syndicus, M.; Schweiker, M.; Jazizadeh, F.; Rissetto, R.; Pisello, A.L.; Piselli, C.; et al. What drives our behaviors in buildings? A review on occupant interactions with building systems from the lens of behavioral theories. *Build. Environ.* 2020, 179, 106928. [CrossRef]
- 55. Hansen, A.R.; Gram-Hanssen, K.; Knudsen, H.N. How building design and technologies influence heat-related habits. *Build. Res. Inf.* **2018**, *46*, 83–98. [CrossRef]
- Karjalainen, S. Gender differences in thermal comfort and use of thermostats in everyday thermal environments. *Build. Environ.* 2007, 42, 1594–1603. [CrossRef]
- 57. Peffer, T.; Pritoni, M.; Meier, A.; Aragon, C.; Perry, D. How people use thermostats in homes: A review. *Build. Environ.* 2011, 46, 2529–2541. [CrossRef]
- 58. Shipworth, M. Thermostat settings in English houses: No evidence of change between 1984 and 2007. *Build. Environ.* 2011, 46, 635–642. [CrossRef]
- 59. Estiri, H. The indirect role of households in shaping US residential energy demand patterns. *Energy Policy* **2015**, *86*, 585–594. [CrossRef]
- 60. Yang, S.; Shipworth, M.; Huebner, G. His, hers or both's? The role of male and female's attitudes in explaining their home energy use behaviours. *Energy Build.* **2015**, *96*, 140–148. [CrossRef]
- 61. Alberini, A.; Gans, W.; Velez-Lopez, D. Residential consumption of gas and electricity in the U.S.: The role of prices and income. *Energy Econ.* **2011**, *33*, 870–881. [CrossRef]
- 62. Hansen, A.R. Heating homes: Understanding the impact of prices. Energy Policy 2018, 121, 138–151. [CrossRef]
- Labandeira, X.; Labeaga, J.M.; López-Otero, X. A meta-analysis on the price elasticity of energy demand. *Energy Policy* 2017, 102, 549–568. [CrossRef]
- 64. Lim, S.-Y.; Min, J.-S.; Yoo, S.-H. Price and Income Elasticities of Residential Heat Demand from District Heating System: A Price Sensitivity Measurement Experiment in South Korea. *Sustainability* **2021**, *13*, 7242. [CrossRef]
- 65. Schmitz, H.; Madlener, R. Heterogeneity in price responsiveness for residential space heating in Germany. *Empir. Econ.* **2020**, *59*, 2255–2281. [CrossRef]
- 66. Trotta, G.; Hansen, A.R.; Sommer, S. The price elasticity of residential district heating demand: New evidence from a dynamic panel approach. *Energy Econ.* **2022**, *112*, 106163. [CrossRef]
- Huebner, G.M.; McMichael, M.; Shipworth, D.; Shipworth, M.; Durand-Daubin, M.; Summerfield, A. Heating patterns in English homes: Comparing results from a national survey against common model assumptions. *Build. Environ.* 2013, 70, 298–305. [CrossRef]
- 68. Laakso, S.; Jensen, C.L.; Vadovics, E.; Apajalahti, E.-L.; Friis, F.; Szőllőssy, A. Towards sustainable energy consumption: Challenging heating-related practices in Denmark, Finland, and Hungary. J. Clean. Prod. 2021, 308, 127220. [CrossRef]
- 69. Larsen, S.P.A.K.; Gram-Hanssen, K. When Space Heating Becomes Digitalized: Investigating Competencies for Controlling Smart Home Technology in the Energy-Efficient Home. *Sustainability* **2020**, *12*, 6031. [CrossRef]
- 70. Madsen, L.V.; Gram-Hanssen, K. Understanding comfort and senses in social practice theory: Insights from a Danish field study. *Energy Res. Soc. Sci.* 2017, 29, 86–94. [CrossRef]
- 71. Madsen, L.V. Materialities shape practices and notions of comfort in everyday life. Build. Res. Inf. 2018, 46, 71-82. [CrossRef]
- 72. Huebner, G.M.; Cooper, J.; Jones, K. Domestic energy consumption—What role do comfort, habit, and knowledge about the heating system play? *Energy Build*. **2013**, *66*, 626–636. [CrossRef]
- 73. Laakso, S.; Matschoss, K.; Apajalahti, E.-L. What is clean and comfortable?: Challenging norms and conventions in everyday life toward sustainability. *Eur. J. Cult. Polit. Sociol.* **2021**, *9*, 273–298. [CrossRef]
- Ellsworth-Krebs, K.; Reid, L.; Hunter, C.J. Home Comfort and "Peak Household": Implications for Energy Demand. *Hous. Theory* Soc. 2019, 38, 1–20. [CrossRef]
- 75. Browne, A.L.; Medd, W.; Anderson, B. Developing Novel Approaches to Tracking Domestic Water Demand Under Uncertainty— A Reflection on the "Up Scaling" of Social Science Approaches in the United Kingdom. Water Resour. Manag. 2013, 27, 1013–1035. [CrossRef]
- 76. Anderson, B. Laundry, energy and time: Insights from 20 years of time-use diary data in the United Kingdom. *Energy Res. Soc. Sci.* **2016**, *22*, 125–136. [CrossRef]

- 77. Jack, T. Laundry routine and resource consumption in Australia: Laundry routines and consumption. *Int. J. Consum. Stud.* 2013, 37, 666–674. [CrossRef]
- Khalid, R.; Christensen, T.H.; Gram-Hanssen, K.; Friis, F. Time-shifting laundry practices in a smart grid perspective: A cross-cultural analysis of Pakistani and Danish middle-class households. *Energy Effic.* 2019, 12, 1691–1706. [CrossRef]
- Mylan, J.; Southerton, D. The Social Ordering of an Everyday Practice: The Case of Laundry. Sociology 2017, 52, 1134–1151. [CrossRef]
- Powells, G.; Bulkeley, H.; Bell, S.; Judson, E. Peak electricity demand and the flexibility of everyday life. *Geoforum* 2014, 55, 43–52. [CrossRef]
- Smale, R.; van Vliet, B.; Spaargaren, G. When social practices meet smart grids: Flexibility, grid management, and domestic consumption in The Netherlands. *Energy Res. Soc. Sci.* 2017, 34, 132–140. [CrossRef]
- 82. Blue, S. Institutional rhythms: Combining practice theory and rhythmanalysis to conceptualise processes of institutionalisation. *Time Soc.* **2019**, *28*, 922–950. [CrossRef]
- Andersen, F.M.; Gunkel, P.A.; Jacobsen, H.K.; Kitzing, L. Residential electricity consumption and household characteristics: An econometric analysis of Danish smart-meter data. *Energy Econ.* 2021, 100, 105341. [CrossRef]
- Munné-Collado, I.; Aprà, F.M.; Olivella-Rosell, P.; Villafáfila-Robles, R. The Potential Role of Flexibility During Peak Hours on Greenhouse Gas Emissions: A Life Cycle Assessment of Five Targeted National Electricity Grid Mixes. *Energies* 2019, 12, 4443. [CrossRef]
- Satre-Meloy, A.; Diakonova, M.; Grünewald, P. Cluster analysis and prediction of residential peak demand profiles using occupant activity data. *Appl. Energy* 2020, 260, 114246. [CrossRef]
- 86. Hedegaard, R.E.; Kristensen, M.H.; Pedersen, T.H.; Brun, A.; Petersen, S. Bottom-up modelling methodology for urban-scale analysis of residential space heating demand response. *Appl. Energy* **2019**, *242*, 181–204. [CrossRef]
- Kristensen, M.H.; Hedegaard, R.E.; Petersen, S. Long-term forecasting of hourly district heating loads in urban areas using hierarchical archetype modeling. *Energy* 2020, 201, 117687. [CrossRef]
- 88. Pedersen, C.B. The Danish Civil Registration System. Scand. J. Public Health Suppl. 2011, 7, 22–25. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 89. Christensen, G. The Building and Housing Register. Scand. J. Public Health Suppl. 2011, 7, 106–108. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 90. Statistics Denmark. The Danish System for Access to Micro Data. 2014. Available online: https://www.dst.dk/Site/Dst/SingleFiles/GetArchiveFile.aspx?fi=5452354440&fo=0&ext=israel2016 (accessed on 1 November 2022).
- 91. Statistics Denmark. Data Confidentiality Policy. 2020. Available online: https://www.dst.dk/Site/Dst/SingleFiles/ GetArchiveFile.aspx?fi=formid&fo=dataconfidentiality--pdf&ext=\{2\} (accessed on 1 November 2022).
- 92. International Labour Office. International Standard Classification of Occupations: Structure, Group Definitions and Correspondence Tables; International Labour Office: Geneva, Switzerland, 2012.
- Kristensen, M.H.; Petersen, S. District heating energy efficiency of Danish building typologies. *Energy Build*. 2021, 231, 110602. [CrossRef]
- Wooldridge, J.M. Introductory Econometrics: A Modern Approach; South-Western College Pub: Cincinnati, OH, USA, 2003; ISBN 0-324-11364-1.
- 95. Wooldridge, J.M. *Econometric Analysis of Cross Section and Panel Data*, 2nd ed.; MIT Press: Cambridge, MA, USA; London, UK, 2010; ISBN 978-0-262-23219-7.
- Greene, M.; Hansen, A.; Hoolohan, C.; Süßbauer, E.; Domaneschi, L. Consumption and shifting temporalities of daily life in times of disruption: Undoing and reassembling household practices during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Sustain. Sci. Pract. Policy* 2022, 18, 215–230. [CrossRef]
- 97. Kragh, J.; Wittchen, K.B. Development of Two Danish Building Typologies for Residential Buildings. *Energy and Buildings* 2014, 68, 79–86. [CrossRef]