

# Thermal properties and combustion related problems prediction of agricultural crop residues

Xuejun Qian <sup>1,2,\*</sup>, Jingwen Xue <sup>3</sup>, Yulai Yang <sup>1,2</sup>, and Seong W. Lee <sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Industrial and Systems Engineering Department, Morgan State University, 1700 East Cold Spring Lane, Baltimore, MD 21251, USA

<sup>2</sup> Center for Advanced Energy Systems and Environmental Control Technologies, School of Engineering, Morgan State University, 1700 East Cold Spring Lane, Baltimore, MD 21251, USA

<sup>3</sup> Civil Engineering Department, School of Engineering, Morgan State University, 1700 East Cold Spring Lane, Baltimore, MD 21251, USA

\* Correspondence: [xuqia1@morgan.edu](mailto:xuqia1@morgan.edu) (X. Q.); Tel.: +1-443-885-2772

## Supplemental Materials

Table S1. Fuel and Ash Analysis along with HHV Results of Agricultural Crop Residues

No .	Type	C (wt%)	H (wt%)	O (wt%)	N (wt%)	S (wt%)	Cl (wt%)	Ash (wt%)	K (mg/kg)	Na (mg/kg)	Si (mg/kg)	P (mg/kg)	Ca (mg/kg)	Mg (mg/kg)	HHV (MJ/kg)	Reference
1	WS	46.60	6.00	-	0.40	913 mg/k g	2300 mg/k g	4.5	6970	201	11100	385	3010	688	-	Sommersacher, 2013
2	WS	44.90	5.60	-	0.60	1485 mg/k g	3046 mg/k g	6.6	6629	282.9	17618	442	3785	945	-	Sommersacher, 2013
3	WS	27.30	49.00	22.14	0.47	0.04	0.0542	-	0.19%	0.04%	0.65%	0.01%	0.03%	0.04%	-	Blander, 1997
4	WS	40.67	4.89	35.56	0.49	0.11	0.1	5.08	35219	-	-	-	28517	7245	16.03 (HHV), 14.64 (LHV)	Bradna, 2016
5	WS	51.67	6.21	40.45	1.44	0.23	8.25%	14.8	20.90%	0.60%	53.00%	2.78%	7.46%	3.59%	-	Xue, 2020
6	WS	44.80	5.51	40.20	0.65	0.05	0.31	5.2	6700	-	-	0.05%	-	-	17.7 (HHV), 16.4 (LHV)	Pesenjanski, 2016
7	WS	44.92	5.46	41.77	0.44	0.16	0.23	7.02	25.60%	1.71%	55.32%	1.26%	6.14%	1.06%	17.94 (HHV)	Jekins, 1998
8	WS	44.80	7.01	-	0.56	0.17	-	6.04	1.75%	0.06%	3.01%	-	0.27%	0.13%	-	Li, et al., 2007
9	WS	41.31	5.78	50.98	-	0.72	1.78%	13.65	16.12%	-	33.37%	4.23%	20.68%	8.50%	-	Wang, 2018
10	WS	39.99	5.15	38.96	0.30	0.10	-	2.688	56.07%	3.99%	2.08%	14.11%	14.87%	4.35%	21.156(HHV), 18.31 (LHV)	Zhang, 2014
11	WS	49.00	5.94	43.70	0.77	0.17	0.544	7.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	19.555 (HHV), 18.181 (LHV)	Bakker, 2013
12	WS	45.70	6.00	40.90	1.40	0.16	0.74	5.8	1.51	0.07	1.29	0.13	0.23	0.06	18.4 (HHV)	Trinh, 2013
13	WS	37.20	5.57	37.30	1.14	0.20	-	17.04	-	-	-	-	-	-	14.86 (HHV)	Montero, 2016

14	WS	45.50	5.10	34.10	1.80	-	-	13.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	17.0 (HHV)	Demirbas, 1997
15	WS	41.60	6.10	52.10	0.14	0.06	-	1.3	-	-	-	14381pp m	-	22534pp m	20.3 (HHV)	Naik, 2010
16	WS	42.95	5.35	46.99	-	-	-	6.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	17.99 (HHV)	Yin, 2011
1	RS	43.30	6.00	39.10	0.40	0.20	83.6 g/kg	-	230.7 g/kg	3.5 g/kg	-	14.8 g/kg	18.6 g/kg	21.4 g/kg	17.249 (HHV), 15.931 (LHV)	Baetge, 2018
2	RS	45.10	6.20	32.10	0.60	0.30	57.3 g/kg	-	226.5 g/kg	13.3 g/kg	-	20.1 g/kg	39.4 g/kg	11.6 g/kg	17.330 (HHV), 15.968 (LHV)	Baetge, 2018
3	RS	38.24	5.20	36.26	0.87	0.18	0.58	18.67	12.30%	0.96%	74.67%	1.41%	3.01%	1.75%	15.09 (HHV)	Jekins, 1998
4	RS	49.50	6.20	41.50	0.20	0.10	0.02	0.4	0.05%	1.90%	75%	2.70%	1.50%	1.90%	18.0 (HHV)	Liu et al., 2011
5	RS	40.30	5.10	45.10	0.50	0.09	0.61	15	15.30%	0.40%	69.90%	1.50%	3.50%	1.60%	14.7 (LHV)	Skrifvars, 2005
6	RS	48.70	5.92	44.20	1.05	0.14	0.49	18.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	18.824(HHV), 17.511 (LHV)	Bakker, 2013
7	RS	37.19	4.80	57.60	0.20	0.30	-	6.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	12.3 (HHV)	Nizamuddin, 2019
8	RS	44.40	7.40	47.07	1.13	0.00	-	13.3	19350.0	860.8%	39310	940.6	4917	4074	15.03 (HHV), 13.58 (LHV)	Duan, 2015
9	RS	33.70	3.91	36.26	0.71	0.03	0.3	13.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	14.08 (HHV)	Hung, 2020
10	RS	46.24	6.21	46.23	1.32	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	16.16 (HHV)	Huang, 2012
11	RS	28.55	3.98	65.71	1.15	0.61	-	20.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	13.48 (HHV)	Danish, 2015
12	RS	42.04	6.26	39.00	1.23	0.64	-	10.83	38.92%	2.16%	44.72%	1.63%	9.23%	1.96%	19.441 (LHV)	Okasha, 2007
13	RS	42.57	5.84	49.33	2.13	0.13	0.6	9.2	1.131wt %	0.540wt %	2.082wt %	-	0.12wt %	0.068wt %	-	Kai, 2019
14	RS	41.25	5.59	36.71	1.12	0.16	10.63 %	9.6	34.55%	5.81%	39.28%	1.73%	0.85%	3.76%	-	Pan, 2020
15	RS	41.15	6.93	50.71	0.97	0.24	0.39	15.6	1.13wt%	0.05wt%	2.29wt%	0.21wt%	3.4wt%	0.12wt%	-	Xue, 2017
16	RS	47.92	6.27	44.38	1.17	0.26	0.34	13.9	2.26wt%	-	4.14wt%	0.10wt%	0.64wt %	0.21wt%	-	Zhang, 2012
17	RS	39.01	6.59	-	0.64	-	-	16.81	-	-	-	-	-	-	14.17 (HHV)	Said,2013
18	RS	40.64	6.80	-	0.40	-	-	15.52	-	-	-	-	-	-	14.67 (HHV)	Said,2013
19	RS	37.74	6.49	-	1.23	-	-	18.66	-	-	-	-	-	-	14.06 (HHV)	Said,2013
20	RS	41.24	6.99	-	0.63	-	-	13.25	-	-	-	-	-	-	14.96 (HHV)	Said,2013
1	RH	38.83	4.75	35.47	0.52	0.05	0.12	20.26	3.71%	0.21%	91.42%	0.43%	3.21%	0.01%	15.84(HHV)	Jenkins, 1998
2	RH	42.80	6.20	37.00	0.70	0.20	7.9 g/kg	-	35.0 g/kg	2.7 g/kg	-	10.4 g/kg	14.2 g/kg	6.3 g/kg	17.920 (HHV), 16.558 (LHV)	Baetge, 2018

3	RH	41.10	6.00	39.80	0.40	0.30	10.9 g/kg	-	35.1 g/kg	2.4 g/kg	-	58.1 g/kg	11.0 g/kg	9.2 g/kg	17.517 (HHV), 16.199 (LHV)	Baetge, 2018
4	RH	43.50	6.00	37.80	0.40	0.20	6.9 g/kg	-	30.1 g/kg	2.5 g/kg	-	7.6 g/kg	10.8 g/kg	6.0 g/kg	17.774 (HHV), 16.456 (LHV)	Baetge, 2018
5	RH	40.10	4.70	54.50	0.50	0.05	0.06	19.7	1.85%	0.01%	95.65%	0.55%	0.50%	0.30%	15.5 (LHV)	Skrifvars, 2005
6	RH	40.23	5.23	37.51	-	-	-	15.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	15.2 (HHV)	Shen, 2012
7	RH	39.09	5.44	29.69	0.50	0.05	-	13.150	1.00%	2.14%	92.92%	0.34%	0.22%	0.24%	17.42 (HHV)	Park, 2005
8	RH	38.24	5.56	32.13	0.37	0.04	-	13.500	0.85%	0.87%	86.21%	0.29%	0.27%	0.27%	17.51(HHV)	Park, 2005
9	RH	37.77	5.29	31.66	0.31	0.02	-	15.440	0.99%	1.06%	90.55%	0.31%	0.28%	0.28%	16.52 (HHV)	Park, 2005
10	RH	37.31	5.58	30.08	0.27	0.00	-	17.110	0.63%	0.40%	87.88%	0.19%	0.30%	0.21%	16.31 (HHV)	Park, 2005
11	RH	36.75	5.45	31.18	0.37	0.03	-	16.440	0.87%	0.94%	87.60%	0.27%	0.28%	0.28%	16.25 (HHV)	Park, 2005
12	RH	36.61	5.43	34.23	0.34	0.03	-	13.340	0.77%	0.40%	87.39%	0.18%	0.20%	0.16%	16.6 (HHV)	Park, 2005
13	RH	32.74	5.84	37.16	0.32	0.02	-	13.480	0.76%	0.71%	89.92%	0.32%	0.28%	0.29%	15.98 (HHV)	Park, 2005
14	RH	38.27	5.74	30.20	0.29	0.02	-	15.650	0.83%	0.54%	90.96%	0.21%	0.39%	0.30%	16.68 (HHV)	Park, 2005
15	RH	38.50	5.20	34.61	0.45	-	-	21.240	-	-	-	-	-	-	14.69 (HHV)	Yin, 2011
16	RH	38.20	5.60	33.70	-	-	-	22.500	-	-	-	-	-	-	16.47 (HHV)	Yin, 2011
17	RH	38.20	3.15	41.38	0.89	0.19	-	16.2	2%	-	96%	-	1%	0%	11.66 (LHV)	Yang, 2017
18	RH	42.30	6.10	37.50	1.10	0.04	0.2	12.8	5.4%	0.2%	87.7%	3.7%	1.3%	0.8%	15.0 (LHV), 16.3 (HHV)	Armesto, 2002
1	CS	43.11	5.73	42.42	0.92	0.31	-	7.51	14682	334	-	-	5980	4173	17.19 (HHV)	Zhang, 2018
2	CS	44.79	5.48	44.30	0.34	0.04	0.06	2.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	18.49 (HHV)	Poudel, 2014
3	CS	43.56	5.86	46.35	1.14	0.35	-	-	15283	2028	-	1.02	4600	4100	-	Lu, 2014
4	CS	44.16	5.85	45.99	1.18	0.37	-	-	12615	1706	-	3.08	3700	2700	-	Lu, 2014
5	CS	39.15	5.88	49.44	1.61	0.38	-	-	17333	1450	-	2.4	6700	4200	-	Lu, 2014
6	CS	48.90	6.01	44.40	0.61	0.05	0.41	6.6	18.89%	-	43.04%	0.57%	12.36%	7.96%	18.06 (HHV), 16.84 (LHV)	Danje, 2011
7	CS	47.40	5.01	38.09	0.77	0.31	-	8.18	-	-	-	-	-	-	18.45 (HHV)	Kumar, 2008

8	CS	44.73	5.87	40.44	0.60	0.07	0.64	7.65	16.10%	0.14%	36.70%	2.10%	12.80%	3.90%	17.68 (HHV), 16.4 (LHV)	Masia, 2007
9	CS	43.70	5.56	43.30	0.61	0.01	0.6	5.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Kumar, 2008
10	CS	39.81	5.08	47.37	0.94	0.23	12.68 %	6.58	46.73%	-	18.18%	7.55%	7.12%	4.59%	13.49(LHV)	Yang, 2017
11	CS	45.48	5.52	41.52	0.69	0.04	984 ug/g	6.73	20.22%	1.47%	54.12%	1.97%	5.61%	4.22%	17.93 (HHV), 16.73 (LHV)	Morey, 2009
12	CS	45.70	6.00	42.00	0.60	0.09	0.36	5.3	8100	120	8300	690	3500	3700	18.12 (HHV), 16.82 (LHV)	Xiong, 2008
13	CS	41.09	6.85	-	1.62	0.20	-	3.85	1.85wt%	0.08%	2.49%	-	0.50%	0.50%	-	Li, et al., 2007
14	CS	33.52	5.67	54.69	0.52	0.07	-	5.53	-	-	-	-	-	-	17.31 (HHV)	Tumuluru, 2012
15	CS	43.92	6.01	40.44	0.42	0.07	-	5.13	-	-	-	-	-	-	17.31 (HHV)	Tumuluru, 2015

Note: WS = wheat straw, RS = rice straw, RH = rice husk, CS = corn stalk, HHV = Higher Heating Value, LHV = Lower Heating Value.

## Reference

- Armesto, L., Bahillo, A., Veijonen, K., Cabanillas, A., & Otero, J. (2002). Combustion behaviour of rice husk in a bubbling fluidised bed. *Biomass and Bioenergy*, 23(3), 171-179.
- Baetge, S., & Kaltschmitt, M. (2018). Rice straw and rice husks as energy sources—comparison of direct combustion and biogas production. *Biomass Conversion and Biorefinery*, 8(3), 719-737.
- Bakker, R. R. C., Elbersen, H. W., Poppens, R. P., & Lesschen, J. P. (2013). *Rice straw and wheat straw-potential feedstocks for the biobased economy*. NL Agency.
- Blander, M., & Pelton, A. D. (1997). The inorganic chemistry of the combustion of wheat straw. *Biomass and Bioenergy*, 12(4), 295-298.
- Bradna, J., Malat  k, J., & H  jek, D. (2016). The properties of wheat straw combustion and use of fly ash as a soil amendment. *Agronomy Research*, 14(4), 1257-1265.
- Danish, M., Naqvi, M., Farooq, U., & Naqvi, S. (2015). Characterization of South Asian agricultural residues for potential utilization in future ‘energy mix’. *Energy Procedia*, 75, 2974-2980.
- Danje, S. (2011). *Fast pyrolysis of corn residues for energy production* (Doctoral dissertation, Stellenbosch: Stellenbosch University).
- Demirba  , A. (1997). Calculation of higher heating values of biomass fuels. *Fuel*, 76(5), 431-434.
- Duan, F., Chyang, C. S., Zhang, L. H., & Yin, S. F. (2015). Bed agglomeration characteristics of rice straw combustion in a vortexing fluidized-bed combustor. *Bioresource technology*, 183, 195-202.
- Huang, Y. F., Chen, W. R., Chiueh, P. T., Kuan, W. H., & Lo, S. L. (2012). Microwave torrefaction of rice straw and pennisetum. *Bioresource technology*, 123, 1-7.
- Jenkins, B., Baxter, L. L., Miles Jr, T. R., & Miles, T. R. (1998). Combustion properties of biomass. *Fuel processing technology*, 54(1-3), 17-46.
- Kai, X., Meng, Y., Yang, T., Li, B., & Xing, W. (2019). Effect of torrefaction on rice straw physicochemical characteristics and particulate matter emission behavior during combustion. *Bioresource technology*, 278, 1-8.
- Kumar, A., Wang, L., Dzenis, Y. A., Jones, D. D., & Hanna, M. A. (2008). Thermogravimetric characterization of corn stover as gasification and pyrolysis feedstock. *Biomass and Bioenergy*, 32(5), 460-467.

- Li, X., Wang, S., Duan, L., Hao, J., Li, C., Chen, Y., & Yang, L. (2007). Particulate and trace gas emissions from open burning of wheat straw and corn stover in China. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 41(17), 6052-6058.
- Liu, Z., Xu, A., & Long, B. (2011). Energy from combustion of rice straw: status and challenges to China. *Energy and Power Engineering*, 3(03), 325.
- Lu, W., Ronghou, L., Chen, S., Wenfei, C., Yiwe, T., Renzhan, Y., & Yuanfei, M. (2014). Classification and comparison of physical and chemical properties of corn stalk from three regions in China. *International Journal of Agricultural and Biological Engineering*, 7(6), 98-106.
- Masiá, A. T., Buhre, B. J. P., Gupta, R. P., & Wall, T. F. (2007). Characterising ash of biomass and waste. *Fuel Processing Technology*, 88(11-12), 1071-1081.
- Montero, G., Coronado, M. A., Torres, R., Jaramillo, B. E., García, C., Stoytcheva, M., ... & Valenzuela, E. (2016). Higher heating value determination of wheat straw from Baja California, Mexico. *Energy*, 109, 612-619.
- Morey, R. V., Hatfield, D. L., Sears, R., Haak, D., Tiffany, D. G., & Kaliyan, N. (2009). Fuel properties of biomass feed streams at ethanol plants. *Applied Engineering in Agriculture*, 25(1), 57-64.
- Naik, S., Goud, V. V., Rout, P. K., Jacobson, K., & Dalai, A. K. (2010). Characterization of Canadian biomass for alternative renewable biofuel. *Renewable energy*, 35(8), 1624-1631.
- Nizamuddin, S., Qureshi, S. S., Baloch, H. A., Siddiqui, M. T. H., Takkalkar, P., Mubarak, N. M., ... & Tanksale, A. (2019). Microwave hydrothermal carbonization of rice straw: Optimization of process parameters and upgrading of chemical, fuel, structural and thermal properties. *Materials*, 12(3), 403.
- Okasha, F. (2007). Staged combustion of rice straw in a fluidized bed. *Experimental Thermal and Fluid Science*, 32(1), 52-59.
- Pan, Z., Zhang, S., Liu, X., Zhang, H., & Zhu, S. (2020). Effect of Sludge-Based Additive on Ash Characteristic and Potassium Fixation during the Rice Straw Combustion Process. *Energy & Fuels*, 34(3), 3367-3375.
- Park, S. J., Kim, M. H., & Shin, H. M. (2005). Chemical compositions and thermal characteristics of rice husk and rice husk ash in Korea. *Journal of Biosystems Engineering*, 30(4), 235-241.
- Pešenjanski, I., Miljković, B., & Vićević, M. (2016). Pyrolysis kinetic modelling of wheat straw from the Pannonian region. *Journal of Combustion*, 2016.
- Poudel, J., & Oh, S. C. (2014). Effect of torrefaction on the properties of corn stalk to enhance solid fuel qualities. *Energies*, 7(9), 5586-5600.
- Said, N., Bishara, T., García-Maraver, A., & Zamorano, M. (2013). Effect of water washing on the thermal behavior of rice straw. *Waste Management*, 33(11), 2250-2256.
- Shen, J., Zhu, S., Liu, X., Zhang, H., & Tan, J. (2012). Measurement of heating value of rice husk by using oxygen bomb calorimeter with benzoic acid as combustion adjuvant. *Energy Procedia*, 17, 208-213.
- Skrifvars, B. J., Yrjas, P., Kinni, J., Siefen, P., & Hupa, M. (2005). The fouling behavior of rice husk ash in fluidized-bed combustion. 1. Fuel characteristics. *Energy & fuels*, 19(4), 1503-1511.
- Sommersacher, P., Brunner, T., Obernberger, I., Kienzl, N., & Kanzian, W. (2013). Application of novel and advanced fuel characterization tools for the combustion related characterization of different wood/kaolin and straw/kaolin mixtures. *Energy & fuels*, 27(9), 5192-5206.
- Trinh, T. N., Jensen, P. A., Dam-Johansen, K., Knudsen, N. O., Sørensen, H. R., & Hvilsted, S. (2013). Comparison of lignin, macroalgae, wood, and straw fast pyrolysis. *Energy & Fuels*, 27(3), 1399-1409.
- Tumuluru, J. S., Wright, C. T., Boardman, R. D., & Kremer, T. (2012). Proximate and ultimate compositional changes in corn stover during torrefaction using thermogravimetric analyzer and microwaves. In *2012 Dallas, Texas, July 29-August 1, 2012* (p. 1). American Society of Agricultural and Biological Engineers.
- Van Hung, N., Maguyon-Detras, M. C., Migo, M. V., Quilloy, R., Balingbing, C., Chivenge, P., & Gummert, M. (2020). Rice straw overview: availability, properties, and management practices. *Sustainable Rice Straw Management*, 1.

- Wang, X., Hu, Z., Adeosun, A., Liu, B., Ruan, R., Li, S., & Tan, H. (2018). Particulate matter emission and K/S/Cl transformation during biomass combustion in an entrained flow reactor. *Journal of the Energy Institute*, 91(6), 835-844.
- Xiong, S., Burvall, J., ORberg, H., Kalen, G., Thyrel, M., Ohman, M., & Boström, D. (2008). Slagging characteristics during combustion of corn stovers with and without kaolin and calcite. *Energy & fuels*, 22(5), 3465-3470.
- Xue, Z., Zhong, Z., & Lai, X. (2020). Investigation on gaseous pollutants emissions during co-combustion of coal and wheat straw in a fluidized bed combustor. *Chemosphere*, 240, 124853.
- Xue, Z., Zhong, Z., Zhang, B., Zhang, J., & Xie, X. (2017). Potassium transfer characteristics during co-combustion of rice straw and coal. *Applied Thermal Engineering*, 124, 1418-1424.
- Yang, W., Zhu, Y., Cheng, W., Sang, H., Yang, H., & Chen, H. (2017). Characteristics of particulate matter emitted from agricultural biomass combustion. *Energy & Fuels*, 31(7), 7493-7501.
- Yin, C. Y. (2011). Prediction of higher heating values of biomass from proximate and ultimate analyses. *Fuel*, 90(3), 1128-1132.
- Zhang, D., Wang, F., Shen, X., Yi, W., Li, Z., Li, Y., & Tian, C. (2018). Comparison study on fuel properties of hydrochars produced from corn stalk and corn stalk digestate. *Energy*, 165, 527-536.
- Zhang, Y., Ghaly, A. E., & Li, B. (2014). Influences of Physical and Thermochemical Properties on the Exergy of Cereal Straws. *J Fundam Renewable Energy Appl*, 4(134), 2.
- Zhang, Z. H., Song, Q., Yao, Q., & Yang, R. M. (2012). Influence of the atmosphere on the transformation of alkali and alkaline earth metallic species during rice straw thermal conversion. *Energy & fuels*, 26(3), 1892-1899.