





Influence of Innovative Woodchipper Speed Control Systems on Exhaust Gas Emissions and Fuel Consumption in Urban Areas

Łukasz Warguła ^{1,*}, Mateusz Kukla ¹, Piotr Lijewski ², Michał Dobrzyński ² and Filip Markiewicz ²

- ¹ Institute of Machine Design, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Poznan University of Technology, Piotrowo 3, PL-60965 Poznan, Poland; mateusz.kukla@put.poznan.pl
- ² Institute of Internal Combustion Engines and Drives, Faculty of Civil Engineering and Transport, Poznan University of Technology, Piotrowo 3, PL-60965 Poznan, Poland; piotr.lijewski@put.poznan.pl (P.L.); michał.dobrzynski@put.poznan.pl (M.D.); filip.markiewicz@put.poznan.pl (F.M.)
- * Correspondence: lukasz.wargula@put.poznan.pl; Tel.: +48-(61)-665-20-42

Received: 15 June 2020; Accepted: 28 June 2020; Published: 30 June 2020

Abstract: This paper discusses the determination of fuel consumption and exhaust gas emissions when shredding branches in urban areas. It aimed to determine the hourly emission of exhaust gases to the atmosphere during such work and to identify the designs that can reduce it. The research was carried out with a cylinder woodchipper driven by a low-power (9.5 kW) combustion engine. There were three configurations of the tested drive unit: The factory setting (A) with a carburettor fuel supply system, modernized by us to include an electronic injection system (B). This system (B) was expanded with an adaptation system patented by the authors (P. 423369), thus creating the third configuration (C). The research was carried out when shredding cherry plum (Prunus cerasifera Ehrh. Beitr. Naturk. 4:17. 1789 (Gartenkalender 4:189-204. 1784)) branches with a diameter of 80 mm, which presented a large load for the machine. The machine was operated by one experienced operator. The average operating conditions during the tests were as follows: Branch delivery frequency of about 4 min⁻¹ and mass flow rate of about 0.72 t h⁻¹. During the tests with the use of PEMS (portable emissions measurement system, here Axion RS from Global MRV), we analyzed the emissions of compounds, such as CO, CO₂, HC, and NO_x, and determined the fuel consumption based on the carbon balance. The research showed that the use of an injection system (B) reduced fuel consumption from 1.38 to 1.29 l h^{-1} (by 6.7%) when compared to the carburettor system (A). Modernization of the injection system (B) with an adaptive system (C) reduced fuel consumption from 1.38 to 0.91 l h^{-1} (by 34%) when compared to the carburettor system (A). An hour of shredding with a cylinder chopper emits the following amounts of flue gases: design A (HC 0.013 kg h⁻¹; CO 0.24 kg h⁻¹; CO₂ 2.91 kg h⁻¹; NO_x 0.0036 kg h⁻¹), design B (HC 0.0061 kg h⁻¹; CO 0.20 kg h⁻¹; CO₂ 2.77 kg h⁻¹; NO₈ 0.0038 kg h⁻¹), and design C (HC 0.017 kg h⁻¹; CO 0.22 kg h⁻¹; CO₂ 1.79 kg h⁻¹; NO_x 0.0030 kg h⁻¹). The adaptive system entails significant reductions in non-HC emissions, which indicates that the system needs to be improved with respect to fuel-air mixture control for its enrichment of the low-to-high-speed change. The admissible emission limits for harmful compounds in exhaust gas for the tested group of propulsion units are in accordance with the provisions in force in the European Union from 2019 for the tested propulsion units during operation, with a full CO load about 6100 g h^{-1} and HC + NOx about 80 g h^{-1} . The tested propulsion units emitted significantly less pollution under real operating conditions (because they did not work under full load throughout the entire test sample).

Keywords: woodchipper; small engine; fuel consumption; agriculture in urban areas; PEMS; exhaust emissions; adaptive systems; actual operating conditions

1. Introduction

Air pollution is a current global problem [1]. Exceeding the permissible emission values for harmful compounds and dust concerns large cities in particular [2–4] but also occurs locally in the nearest vicinity of the operated machines [5–7]. It is one of the reasons of dysphoria [8,9], loss of physical motion capacity [10], and health damage [11,12], and also contributes to the development of oncological diseases [13] and premature death [14,15]. Scientists are increasingly recognizing the relationship between human health and air pollution. Research is also conducted among professionals who are prone to pollution by exhaust gases from machine operation due to the nature of their work. Such research concerns various industries, including agriculture, e.g., tractor operators [5]; forestry, e.g., chainsaw operators [16]; people working in the mining industry [17]; and people working in the vicinity of power generators [18]. All these studies show that people working in such conditions are exposed to elevated concentrations of pollutants, which may translate into increased pathogenic tendencies [19].

In recognition of the problem related to air pollution, emission limits for harmful exhaust gas compounds for vehicles and non-road machines have been introduced [20]. In the European Union, vehicles and non-road machinery must comply with the stage standards, which divide the combustion drives in terms of application, output power, and emission indexes, according to the latest regulations (Regulation 2016/1628/EU) [21]. Meeting the emission standards forces the manufacturers to use innovative solutions for fuel supply systems [22,23], exhaust after-treatment systems [24–26], and fuel injection control algorithms [27,28] and to search for new fuel options [29–32]. However, there are groups of machines for which the regulations provide for higher emission limit values [20] and their drives have a low technical advancement level [33]. These regulations refer to spark ignition engines used in non-road machines [21]. Such a design is characterized by a carburettor fuel supply system [34], lack of a system regulating the spark lead angle [35], and lack of an exhaust gas cleaning system. These engines are commonly used in low-power shredders used in urbanized areas. Innovations in this group of drives include the use of injection fuels [36–39], systems that adapt the drive to the operating conditions [40,41], improved durability [42], and the use of alternative fuels (LPG [43–47], ethanol [48,49], and methanol [50]).

A very important aspect of testing emissions of harmful exhaust gas compounds includes the measurements under real operating conditions, which often show imperfections in the tests on test stands [51]. The research on emissions in agriculture and forestry concerns such machines as, e.g., the farm tractor [52], harvester, sugar beet harvester [53], and gasoline sawing machine [6,54], as well as low-power (0.7–1.2 kW) combustion engines used in gasoline mowers [55] or lawnmowers [56].

There is ongoing research on reducing the emission of agricultural machines, such as hybrid-powered robotic tractors for precision agriculture [57,58], biodiesel-based fuel mixture for agricultural tractors [59,60], and alcohol-based alternative fuels [61].

The reduction in emissions of harmful exhaust gas compounds in urbanized areas is particularly important as in these areas, pollutants from various other sources accumulate, e.g., natural [62], from animal breeding [63], generated during the construction of buildings [29], secreted by materials used for construction and maintenance [64], transport [65], and fires [66].

Research on woodchippers mostly focuses on machines used for industrial production of biomass, or forest management. The drive units tested in the available scientific publications are powered by diesel engines with power from 130 to 900 kW [67,68]. The research results for both low-power (209 kW) and medium-power (559 kW) woodchippers have already been discussed in the literature [69], but these still drive units with much higher power than defined by the European standard for spark ignition engines in non-road applications [21]. The term "small engines" applies exclusively to spark ignition engines when used in chipping machines (due to operating conditions) with power of less than 19 kW [21].

For this group of drives, we are running research on innovative designs in fuel-air mixture control [39] and improving the adjustment of branch shredder operating conditions to torque demand [40,41,68,70]. We assumed that the resulting designs should reduce fuel consumption and

the emission of harmful compounds. This article mostly concentrated on the measurement of fuel consumption and harmful emissions under real operating conditions for three designs (one commercial and two prototypes). Moreover, we noticed that there is little research on the emission of harmful exhaust gas compounds during work in urban areas related to green area management, which would improve the air quality in cities [71]. Determining the hourly emissions of air pollutants from a woodchipper can improve the modelling of the balance associated with the contribution of green infrastructure in urban areas to improve air quality.

2. Materials and Methods

Fuel consumption and exhaust emissions (CO, HC, NO_x) were tested for a Red Dragons RS-100 cylindrical woodchipper. The woodchipper is designed for shredding branches with a diameter of up to 100 mm and is a classic example of a machine used for shredding branches of fruit and decorative trees. We tested the machine with a drive motor in three constrictions (Figure 1). In the first configuration (A), a commercial German GX 390 (License: American Honda Motor Company, Inc., Torrance, CA, United States) (9.5 kW) combustion engine was used. It has a carburettor fuel supply system and no advance angle changing system.



Figure 1. Cylindrical woodchipper: 1: small engine with a carburettor fuel supply system; 2: small engine with an injector fuel supply system, with an adaptive system as an option; 3: optical detection system in the feed channel.

In the second configuration (B), the drive unit was modernized with a proprietary injection-ignition system developed by the authors [39]. This is an indirect injection system with mixture composition regulation in closed-loop feedback and a variable advance angle. The system scheme is shown in Figure 2 and its specification is presented in Table 1. The detailed structure description is also available in scientific publications, for example [35,37,39]. According to the review articles characterizing small combustion units for non-road applications made in 2018 [33,34], the developed system is characterized by an innovative approach for this group of engines. Commercial propulsion units considered to be one of the most innovative in this engine group (e.g., Honda

iGX390 engine (American Honda Motor Company, Inc., Torrance, CA, United States)) are still equipped with a fuel delivery system as in a carburettor (no injection). In these solutions, only the throttle valve position is adjusted electronically. Despite this, the symbol "i" is introduced in the designation of the power unit (engine with the classic GX390 carburettor system), which could suggest an injection system. Scientists are still researching systems and components that reduce exhaust emissions from small engines. Mahmoudzadeh Andwari et al. in 2018 published simulation studies related to the development of a GDI (Gasoline Direct Injection) combustion chamber direct injection system for small engines [36]. Whereas Niinikoski et al. in 2016 presented the test results of a system with electronic fuel injection (EFI) designed for small engines. In the developed system, the mixture composition was regulated on the basis of the throttle position and rotational speed signals [38]. The system developed by the authors and tested in this article also includes the fuel-air mixture control signal from the intake air temperature sensor and the oxygen content sensor in exhaust gases, enabling closed-loop operation with feedback.



Figure 2. Schematic of the innovative injection-ignition system used in the German GX390 with a maintenance-free detection and adaptive chipper drive control system.

Table 1. Technical specification of German GX390 engine in configuration: A: carburettor fuel supply system; B: electronic injection system; C: electronic injection system with adaptive system.

Deverseter	Characteristics and Configuration				
Parameter	А	В	С		
Swept volume	389 cm ³	389 cm ³	389 cm ³		
Engine maximal power	9.5 kW/13 HP at 3600 rpm	9.5 kW/13 HP at 3800 rpm	9.5 kW/13 HP at 3800 rpm		
Engine maximal torque	26.5 Nm at 2500 rpm	27.5 Nm at 2600 rpm	27.5 Nm at 2600 rpm		
Bore/Stroke	88 mm/64 mm	88 mm/64 mm	88 mm/64 mm		
Engine Type	Four-stroke, OHV (Over Head Valve)	Four-stroke, OHV (Over Head Valve)	Four-stroke, OHV (Over Head Valve)		
Number of cylinders	1	1	1		

	Electronic, without	Electronic integrated with	Electronic integrated with
Ignition	ignition timing	the injection system with	the injection system with
	adjustment [35]	ignition timing	ignition timing
Injection	Carburattor	EFI	EFI
Adaptable to	No	No	Yes
working conditions			

The third configuration (C) constitutes an upgrade of the injection-ignition system (B) with an adaptive system [70]. The adaptive system adjusts the drive's torque. When waiting for the branch, a chipper with such a drive operates at low speed (idle operation). Once the optical system detects a branch in the feed channel, the speed increases to allow the drive to work with the maximum power necessary to shred the branches. This is a patented solution (P. 423369) [72]. The solution developed by the authors, as opposed to commercial ones, allows a reduction of the fuel consumption by regulating the rotational speed depending on the demand for torque during the shredding process. In a chipper with a classic speed control, the machine is idling at a high rotational speed. This makes it possible to achieve the maximum torque, the value of which depends on the load caused by the branch. This operation is characterized by a greater number of combustion cycles due to the higher rotational speed, and thus increases fuel consumption. A decrease in the rotational speed value during idling results in a reduction in the combustion cycles' number and thus a reduction in fuel consumption. In such conditions, however, the engine characteristics have much less power; hence, in order to carry out shredding processes, it is necessary to detect the branches in the feed channel and increase the rotational speed again. The characteristics of the basic design are presented in Table 1. The system was powered with 95 gasoline, whose characteristics are presented in Table 2.

Table 2.	Properties	of	tested	fuels,	where	MON:	research	octane	number;	RON:	motor	octane
number [73].											

Fuel	Gasoline 95
Density under ordinary conditions [kg·(m ³) ⁻¹]	720–775
Fuel calorific value [MJ·kg ⁻¹]	42.6
Boiling temperature [°C]	40-210
Excess air coefficient λ up to the ignitability boundaries	0.4 - 1.4
Octane number MON (RON)	85 (95)
Air fuel ratio (AFR) for stoichiometric mix	14.7:1

The branches of cherry plum (*Prunus cerasifera* Ehrh. Beitr. Naturk. 4:17. 1789 (Gartenkalender 4:189-204. 1784)) were shredded. Their diameter was ca. 80 mm, length 3 m, and moisture content ca. about 25%. The humidity of selected branches was checked before and during the tests; the branches came from different places in the branch pile. The humidity test was carried out using a METTLER TOLEDO HR83 moisture analyzer (Mettler Toledo, Columbus, OH, United States). The test results for 30 samples are presented in Table 3. Branches with such parameters take about 4.5 s to be chipped in a cylindrical chopper [41]. Branches of similar diameters and lengths generated a similar machine load, which translated into a more uniform chipping time. Such branches should be considered typical. They put a heavy load on the machine. The tested specimens were representative of hardwood species in accordance with the Janka classification [74]. The Janka hardness test measures the resistance of a sample of wood to denting and wear. It measures the force required to drive a steel drive of a 11.28-mm (0.444 in) diameter halfway into a sample of wood [75,76]. The branches were stashed at a distance of 2 m from the chipper and were fed by one experienced operator. During the test, based on signals from the optical system in the feed channel, the branch feeding frequency was recorded (position 3 in Figure 1).

Samle No.	Humidity [%]	Samle No.	Humidity [%]	Samle No.	Humidity [%]
1	24.5	11	26.6	21	24.0
2	25.1	12	25.3	22	25.1
3	23.4	13	23.7	23	24.7
4	24.3	14	24.8	24	23.3
5	26.7	15	23.3	25	23.9
6	25.2	16	27.2	26	26.5
7	25.0	17	26.3	27	24.8
8	26.1	18	25.1	28	27.3
9	25.5	19	25.0	29	25.3
10	24.9	20	24.9	30	25.0
The average v	value of humidity	$\bar{x} = 25.09\%$	Standard	deviation: σ	= 1.07%

Table 3. The examined branches' humidity measurement test results.

The woodchipper shown in Figure 1 has three separate drive systems, all of them assembled at the same time (A, B, C). The drive unit was switched by changing the connection between the drive and the working unit using a variable gear with a V-belt. The adaptive system was turned on and off using specialized software in the injection system controller.

The measurements were performed under real operating conditions of the internal combustion engine. The tested machine performed a typical work cycle. During the test, for designs (A) and (B), the engine worked with high speed both during an idle run (about 3600 rpm) and under load. The use of an adaptive system increased the number of operating states. For this design (C), the idle speed was low (about 1800 rpm), with quick acceleration to high speed under load and the return to low speed. For each design, the test took 1 h. The concentration of harmful compounds and exhaust gas flow were measured continuously after the engine heat-up was completed. In the study, the start-up and warm-up times were omitted because the main research objective was to assess the influence of the adaptive system, which is used only during shredding. The tested machines had the air-fuel ratio (AFR) characteristic for them and were almost new (they worked for about 10 h). Exhaust emissions were tested using Axion RS+, a typical PEMS (portable emissions measurement system) from Global MRV. Table 4 presents its technical specification. In the research, we analyzed the emissions of hydrocarbon (HC), carbon monoxide (CO), carbon dioxide (CO₂), and nitrogen oxides (NO_x). Based on the carbon balance, we determined fuel consumption according to Equation (1) [77]:

$$FC = \frac{0.1155}{\rho_f} \left[(0.866 \cdot HC) + (0.429 \cdot CO) + (0.273 \cdot CO_2) \right], \tag{1}$$

where FC is the fuel consumption (dm³·(100 km)⁻¹); HC, CO, CO₂ is the emission of harmful ingredients (g·km⁻¹); and ρ_f is the fuel density at 15 °C (g·(cm³)⁻¹).

The device used measured the concentrations, which are expressed in vol % or in ppm, and on the basis of those values are expressed emissions that are more measurable. Such results enable the generated pollution amount mass estimation in selected areas and by specific machines. Measurement of the concentration and mass exhaust stream is a common method for determining toxic emissions and fuel consumption. HC

O2

Gas	Measurement Range	Accuracy	Characteristic
Propane	0–4000 [ppm]	±8 [ppm] abs. or ±3 [%]	1 [ppm]
CO	0–10 [%]	±0.02 [ppm] abs. or ±3 [%]	0.01 vol. [%]
CO ₂	0–16 [%]	±0.3 [ppm] abs. or ±3 [%]	0.01 vol. [%]
NOx	0–4000 [ppm]	±25 [ppm] abs. or ±4 [%]	1 [ppm]

±0.1 [ppm] abs. or ±3 [%]

Table 4. Characteristics of Axion RS, a portable exhaust emissions analyzer [77].

3. Results

For the three tested designs, the results show the emission of harmful compounds, such as HC (Figure 3), CO (Figure 4), CO₂ (Figure 5), and NO_x (Figure 6) together with fuel consumption during the shredding process (Figure 7) as a function of time: (A) The carburettor fuel supply system, (B) injector fuel supply system, and (C) injector fuel supply system equipped with an adaptive drive control system. To assess the shredding conditions, we also recorded the branch feeding frequency (Figure 8).

0-25 [%]



Figure 3. HC emission as a time function during the chipping process: A: Carburettor fuel supply system; B: Injector fuel supply system; C: Injector fuel supply system equipped with an adaptive drive control system.

0.01 vol. [%]



Figure 4. CO emission as a time function during the chipping process: A: Carburettor fuel supply system; B: Injector fuel supply system; C: Injector fuel supply system equipped with an adaptive drive control system.



Figure 5. CO₂ emission as a time function during the chipping process: A: Carburettor fuel supply system; B: Injector fuel supply system; C: Injector fuel supply system equipped with an adaptive drive control system.



Figure 6. NO_x emission as a time function during the chipping process: A: Carburettor fuel supply system; B: Injector fuel supply system; C: Injector fuel supply system equipped with an adaptive drive control system.



Figure 7. Fuel consumption as a time function during the chipping process: A: Carburettor fuel supply system; B: Injector fuel supply system; C: Injector fuel supply system equipped with an adaptive drive control system.



Figure 8. Characteristic of the signal from the optical sensor in the feed channel: A: Carburettor fuel supply system; B: Injector fuel supply system; C: Injector fuel supply system equipped with an adaptive drive control system.

The characteristics shown in Figure 3 indicate that the change in the engine load as a result of feeding a branch affects the HC emissions. Design C proved the most susceptible to changes in this parameter, and at the same time, it exhibited the highest emissions (Figure 9). The HC emissions are due to the unburnt fuel particles in the exhaust gas. The operation of the adaptation system necessitates an increase in the rotational speed of the working unit when a branch is detected, which results in the enrichment of the fuel-air mixture. As seen in Figure 9, the design necessitates modifications. It is necessary to focus on adjusting the mixture composition when the rotational speed changes from low to high because a large number of fuel particles are unburned. Design B, the injection system without an adaptive system, exhibited the lowest HC emissions (Figure 9). Disturbances of the fuel-air mixture caused by changes in the engine load as a result of shredding increase HC emissions. Their level, however, does not exceed the emission generated by design A, which is least susceptible to changes of this parameter (Figure 3).



Figure 9. Comparison of emissions from the tested systems: A: Carburettor fuel supply system; B: Injector fuel supply system; C: Injector fuel supply system equipped with adaptive drive control system; Type of emission: I–HC, II–CO, III–CO₂, IV–NO_x.

Carbon monoxide (CO) emissions are the product of the incomplete combustion of carbon, being a component of hydrocarbon fuel. CO emissions are unavoidable in internal combustion engines since the combustion chamber never allows for the complete combustion of carbon. Otherwise, only CO₂ could be found in the exhaust gas. The CO emission characteristics as a function of time show that designs B and C are prone to significant changes in CO emissions depending on the system load (Figure 4), but the mean emission levels are lower than for design A (Figure 9).

Carbon dioxide (CO₂) emissions result from the combustion of hydrocarbon fuels and they take place only when the mixture is completely burned. These emissions can be reduced by reducing fuel consumption or using low-carbon fuels. The CO₂ emission characteristics indicate that design B, equipped with an injection system, is the most susceptible to load changes. Significantly lower oscillations in this parameter were observed for the two remaining designs (Figure 5). However, the mean CO₂ emission under test conditions is the lowest for design C, followed by design B. Design A, on the other hand, is characterized by the highest carbon dioxide emission (Figure 9). As fuel consumption is strongly linked to CO₂ emissions, the fuel consumption characteristics (Figure 7) are very close to those for CO₂ emissions and similar conclusions can be drawn.

The characteristics of NO_x emissions are shown in Figure 6. For nitrogen oxides (NO_x) to be created, the combustion temperature must be high and there must be free oxygen molecules. Hence, NO_x emissions are most often associated with the combustion of a lean mixture, i.e., with an excess of air over fuel compared to a stoichiometric mixture. In the graph shown in Figure 6, you can see that design C was the least susceptible to changes in the NO_x emission characteristics. It also emitted the smallest amounts of this chemical compound under the tested operating conditions (Figure 9). Design B was most susceptible to NO_x changes, where an increase in the engine load resulted in the mixture changing from stoichiometric to lean, which can be observed as a periodic increase in the NO_x value in the exhaust gas (Figure 6).

Based on signals from optical sensors in the feeding channel (item 3 in Figure 1), we determined the branch feeding frequency under specific measurement conditions (Figure 8). Table 5 shows the results. The branch feeding frequency in all samples was close to 4 min⁻¹.

Table 5. Branch feeding frequency in measurement samples, where A: carburettor fuel supply system; B: injector fuel supply system; C: injector fuel supply system equipped with an adaptive drive control system.

Tested Design	Α	В	С
Mean branch feeding frequency	3.8 min ⁻¹	4.1 min ⁻¹	3.9 min ⁻¹

The second parameter characterizing the chipping machines' working conditions is the average mass flow rate of the shredded material. This value was determined on the basis of shredded branches' mass measurements as a time function using a portable scale (Radlastplattform up to 1500 kg, meeting the requirements of ISO 9001). The mass flow rate measurement results depending on the different configurations of the machine are presented in Table 6.

Table 6. Cylindrical chipper mass flow rate during tests, where A: carburettor fuel supply system; B: injector fuel supply system; C: injector fuel supply system equipped with an adaptive drive control system.

Tested Design	Α	В	С
Cylindrical chipper mass flow rate	0.72 t·h ⁻¹	0.70 t·h ⁻¹	0.75 t·h ⁻¹

4. Discussion

For efficient control of the drive unit and to evaluate the reaction of the tested design to changes in the operating conditions, it is important to measure the emission of the generated exhaust gas compounds as a function of time. The results may indicate areas that require improvements in the design. The results of the exhaust emission tests with their average values per specific time interval are equally important (Figure 9). They enable a comparison of the data on selected designs and provide information on the impact of selected machines on global or local exhaust emissions. Comparison of the tested designs are presented (A, B, C) in Figure 10, with the percentage comparison according to the following Equations (2–4):

A/B relative to A =
$$-\frac{x_A - x_B}{x_A} \cdot 100\%$$
, (2)

A/C relative to A =
$$-\frac{x_A - x_C}{x_A} \cdot 100\%$$
, (3)

B/C relative to B =
$$-\frac{x_B - x_C}{x_B} \cdot 100\%$$
, (4)

where x_A is the selected value for the carburettor fuel supply system, x_B is the selected value for the injector fuel supply system, and x_C is the selected value for the injector fuel supply system equipped with an adaptive drive control system. Equations (2–4) are written in such a form that drops in the value are negative and increases in the value are positive.



Figure 10. Analysis of the impact of individual systems on the relative changes of selected values; compounds emission: I–HC, II–CO, III–CO₂, IV–NO_x; V–Fuel consumption, VI–Fuel cost.

The use of the injection system (B) significantly reduced the mass emissions of HC (53.4%), CO (15.4%), and CO₂ (4.9%) compared to the carburettor system design (A). On the other hand, adding an adaptive system (C) to design B contributed to the reduction of CO (8.4%), CO₂ (38.3%), and NO_x (18.3%) emissions. The factory design with the carburettor system (A) emitted less NO_x (4.6%) in relation to design B and less HC (34.1%) in relation to design C. The addition of an adaptive system (C) to the injection system (B) aimed at reducing fuel consumption by improving the adjustment of the machine operation mode (drive rotational speed) to the operation conditions, which was met [70] and confirmed later in this article. However, the measurements of exhaust emissions showed a significant increase in the generation of HC (187.5%) and CO (8.7%). This is related to an increase in the rotational speed of the working unit by enrichment of the fuel-air mixture and incomplete combustion of carbon from fuel hydrocarbons. This shows that when increasing the rotational speed, the adaptive system can reduce the amount of fuel fed. The remaining emission measurements showed a reduction of CO₂ (35.1%) and NO_x (21.9%) in the exhaust gas. This suggests that the system burned less fuel and operated on a lean mixture for a shorter period. Based on the exhaust emissions, we can conclude that the adaptive system requires less hydrocarbon fuel and is a potentially beneficial solution but requires improvements in the mixture adjustment during engine speed changes to reduce HC emissions.

For example, HC, CO, and NO_x emissions can be reduced by using a three-function catalytic converter. Nitrogen oxides are reduced in catalytic reactions and decomposed into nitrogen and oxygen. The latter is used to oxidize carbon monoxide and hydrocarbons to carbon dioxide and water. The catalytic reactor requires appropriate thermodynamic conditions and maintenance of the stoichiometric properties of the fuel-air mixture to achieve high efficiency. Such a solution is beneficial for a feedback injection system design (such as design B), where mixture adjustment is set

to a stoichiometric and is controlled and corrected. In 2008, Trzeciak demonstrated that a three-functional catalytic reactor should work mainly with a stoichiometric mixture because the reactor efficiency exceeds 90% in such conditions [78]. Sets of catalytic reactors, which are a combination of three functional and oxidizing reactors arranged in series, are also used. An additional air stream is fed into the space between the reactors. In the first phase, such a system primarily reduces nitrogen oxides, and in the second phase, it oxidizes carbon oxides and hydrocarbons, which generates carbon dioxide and water. What is advantageous in this solution is that the fuel-air mixture does not need to be stoichiometric. Such a system may be preferred for carburettor (A) and carburettor (C) designs, where the rotational speed changes frequently. This constitutes a transient state of engine operation, and only in the steady state is the fuel-mixture control in the feedback (the steady state is characterized by a constant load and speed).

For design C, whose biggest disadvantage lies in high HC emission (resulting from a rich fuel-air mixture), it may be beneficial to use a three-functional catalytic reactor, since according to the characteristics presented in Figure 11, such a system can significantly reduce HC emissions [79].

Stoichiometric mixture



Figure 11. Flue gas emissions depending on the composition of the air-fuel mixture, where A: with flue-gas treatment system (three-functional catalytic system); B: without flue-gas treatment system; own analysis based on [79].

Apart from the emission of harmful exhaust gas compounds, fuel consumption is also important when comparing the tested designs. Fuel consumption per hour of machine operation can be expressed in Equation (5) or Equation (6), as described by the following correlations:

$$G_e = \frac{v_p \cdot \rho_p}{t} = \frac{m_p}{t} [\text{kg} \cdot \text{h}^{-1}], \qquad (5)$$

$$G_e = \frac{m_p}{\rho_p} \cdot \frac{1}{t} \, [\text{m}^3 \cdot \text{h}^{-1}], \tag{6}$$

where V_p is the volume of fuel consumed during the measurement in [m³], ρ_p is the fuel density under measurement conditions in [kg·(m³)⁻¹], *t* is the fuel consumption time during the measurement in [h], and m_p is the mass of fuel consumed during the measurement in [kg]. To facilitate analysis and comparison with other test results, we presented them in two units (Figure 12). Figure 10 presents a percentage comparison of the fuel consumption for selected designs according to Equations (2–4) (V graph). The use of the injection system (B) reduced fuel consumption by 6.7% from 1.38 to 1.29 l·h⁻¹ when compared to the carburettor system (A). Modernization of the injection system (B) with an adaptive system (C) reduced fuel consumption by 34% from 1.38 to 0.91 l·h⁻¹ compared to the carburettor system (A), and by 29.3% from 1.29 to 0.91 l·h⁻¹ compared a non-modernized system (B).



Figure 12. Comparison of fuel consumption from the tested systems: A: Carburettor fuel supply system; B: Injector fuel supply system; C: Injector fuel supply system equipped with an adaptive drive control system.

We also analyzed the operating costs of the cylindrical chopper resulting from fuel consumption. It is easier to estimate the operating costs with volumetric fuel consumption, as the tested fuel (gasoline 95) is widely sold in liters across the EU. A liter of 95 lead-free petrol at 15 °C weighs an average of 0.76 kg (measurement of compliance with PN-EN ISO 12185 and PE-EN ISO 3675). The fuel consumption values during chipper operation hours are shown in Figure 12. Fuel prices depend on many variables and change daily. Moreover, they vary from country to country or even across regions [80–82]. We established a mean price in EUR per 1 L of fuel in Europe as of 10 January 2020 (Figure 13). The mean fuel price was $1.28 \in 1^{-1}$. On this basis, we determined that the cost of fuel consumption in Europe per hour of chipper operation, depending on the type of the power unit, ranges from 1.77 (design A) to $1.17 \in h^{-1}$ (design C), as shown in Figure 14.

Figure 15 presents a comparison of the exhaust emissions from machines that are used for plant management in urbanized areas, e.g., a gasoline sawing machine [83], a lawnmower [56], a gasoline mower [55], tractor for spraying weeds, spraying trees with insecticide preparations, or soil recultivation [57].



Figure 13. The price of gasoline in selected European countries as of 10 January 2020.



Figure 14. Cost per hour of chipper operation with the selected drive system.



Figure 15. Emissions of pollutants during the operation of machines used for agricultural, horticultural, or fruit farming work in urbanized areas, where: 9.5 kW cylindrical chipper: A: Carburettor fuel supply system; B: Injector fuel supply system; C: Injector fuel supply system equipped with an adaptive drive control system; tractor with a combustion engine (33.6 kW): D: weed spraying, E: tree spraying, F: recultivating greenery; tractor with a hybrid drive (33.6 kW): G: spraying weeds, H: spraying trees, I: recultivating greenery [57]; J: gasoline sawing machine (2.5 kW) working at full power [83]; grass mower: K, L, M, N—with 2 stroke engine, O, P, R, S—with 4 stroke engine [56]; gasoline mowers: T–0.7 kW, U–0.7 kW, W–0.8 kW, X–0.95 kW, Y–1.2 kW [55].

Exhaust gas emission is most often expressed in $g \cdot km^{-1}$ or $g \cdot (kWh)^{-1}$. The data collected in the literature review refer to various physical quantities. To make them comparable, it is necessary to transform them. Thus, exhaust emissions (*EE*) expressed in $[g \cdot h^{-1}]$ were determined based on the nominal power of the machine *P* given in kW and the exhaust emissions depending on the power of the machine *E* expressed in $g \cdot (kWh)^{-1}$. For this purpose, we used the relationship defined by Equation (7):

$$EE = E \cdot P. \tag{7}$$

In other words, the procedure described for determining the value *EE* assumes an hour of operation of the machine at full power.

Regardless of the applied fuel supply system, exhaust fume emissions from a cylindrical chipper with a power of about 9.5 kW are characterized by lower hourly emissions of NO_x, HC, and CO than the average hourly emission for machines used in agriculture, horticulture, or fruit growing in urbanized areas. It is, however, characterized by higher CO₂ emission than the average hourly emission in these machines.

Fuel consumption in chippers used for shredding branches with a diameter of up to 100 mm under actual operating conditions ranges from 0.91 to 1.38 l·h⁻¹. For comparison, chippers on biomass plantations with power from 103 to 420 kW consume from 4.36 to 59.52 l·h⁻¹ [84] fuel (diesel).

The permissible limits for the harmful compounds in exhaust gas emission for the tested group of propulsion units according to the provisions in force in the European Union from 2019 are presented in Table 7 [21]. These engines are subject to the NRS-vr/vi-1b category, according to Stage V emission standards for non-handheld SI engines below 56 kW (NRS).

Table 7. Stage V emission standards for non-handheld SI engines below 19 kW (NRS) and engine displacement greater than or equal to 225 cm³.

Calagorit	Derver (D)	Engine Dignle com ont (1)	Date	Permitted Emission		
Category	Power (P)	Engine Displacement (V)		CO	HC + NO _x	
NRS-vr/vi-1b	<i>P</i> < 19 kW	$V \geq 225 \text{ cm}^3$	2019	610 g/kWh	8 g/kWh	

Therefore, a comparison of permissible emissions and approximate emissions from the tested engines was made (Table 8). The results indicate that the drives in question do not exceed the limits allowed in the European Union during one hour of operation (Figure 16). However, it should be borne in mind that the engines tested in real operating conditions were not characterized by the maximum load.

Table 8. Theoretical permitted exhaust emissions in the European Union for 10 kW engines during an hour of operation.

Category Power (P) Engine Displacem	Dowor (D)	Engine Dignle compant (V)	Data	Permitted Emission		
	Engine Displacement (V)	Date	СО	HC + NO _x		
NRS-vr/vi-1b	≈10 kW	390 cm ³	2019	6100 g·h ⁻¹	80 g∙h⁻¹	



Figure 16. Comparison of emissions; A–Carburettor fuel supply system, B–Injector fuel supply system, C–Injector fuel supply system equipped with an adaptive drive control system, D–Permitted by European standard.

5. Conclusions

Limiting air emissions is important for all machines. It is particularly important in areas where air pollution exceeds the concentration levels allowable for human life and health. Such areas mainly include urbanized areas, where any reduction of air pollutant emissions matters. One of the ways to reduce them is through innovation in low-power engines of woodchippers used for shredding branches in urbanized areas. In Europe, spark ignition combustion engines with power up to 19 kW are subject to relatively liberal regulations [20,21], allowing for the use of drives with carburettor power systems [33], which have been discontinued in vehicles since the 1990s in favor of injection systems [85]. The research discussed in this paper showed that a commercial drive unit (with a capacity of 389 cm³ and power of 9.5 kW) used in the shredding machine consumes 6.7% more fuel than the drive unit with an injection system developed by us. Moreover, we developed an adaptation system [70] designed for the injection system (patent application P. 423369 [72]), which allows a reduction of fuel consumption in real conditions of use by 34% compared to a commercial unit. The systems are characterized by limitation of the emissions of exhaust gas compounds related to carbon combustion (CO, CO₂, NO₈), but they exhibit increased emission of hydrocarbons (HC), which is indicative of incomplete combustion of fuel particles and, what follows, from the fuel mixture being too rich in some areas of work. However, we envisage solving this problem by improving the control of the mixture composition, using exhaust gas treatment systems, or using fuels with lower carbon content. Such solutions may be used commercially in the future. However, this would require the cooperation of legislative bodies and engineers, as without the legislative pressure, manufacturers will not use more expensive solutions to reduce emissions. Depending on the drive unit design, an hour of operation of the tested machine resulted in the following emissions: HC 0.013 kg h⁻¹, CO 0.24 kg h⁻¹, CO₂ 2.91 kg h⁻¹, and NO_x 0.0036 kg h⁻¹ (design with carburettor system); HC 0.0061 kg h⁻¹, CO 0.20 kg h⁻¹, CO₂ 2.77 kg h⁻¹, and NO_x 0.0038 kg h⁻¹ (design with injection system); and HC 0.017 kg h⁻¹, CO 0.22 kg h⁻¹, CO₂ 1.79 kg h⁻¹, and NO_x 0.0030 kg h⁻¹ (design with injection and adaptive systems). Reducing the energy consumption of the plant shredding processes [86] is still a valid scientific problem that translates directly into the quality of the natural environment and human health.

The research presented in the paper is in line with the global trend of measurements in real operating conditions; therefore, according to the authors, they should be continued. For the studied small engine category, measurements in real operating conditions are at an early stage of development. In the future, all experience gained regarding the test method can be used to develop testing procedures, including approval ones. Further research is planned for the cylinder woodchipper drive, including the use of alternative fuels, bio fuels, compressed natural gas (CNG),

and liquefied petroleum gas (LPG). In addition, experiments for devices' various loads are also planned, e.g., from wood of different hardness or humidity.

6. Patents

The design solution described in this paper is subject to a patent application in Poland: Ł. Warguła, P. Krawiec, K.J. Waluś, 2017: The system and method of speed control of woodchipper drives (original text in Polish: Układ i sposób sterowania prędkością obrotową napędu rębaka do drewna), Poznan University of Technology, Poznań, Poland, application number: P. 423369, date of filing 06.11.2017.

In addition, the design developed on the basis of the above-mentioned patent application was rewarded with a silver medal at the XII International Invention and Innovation Show INTARG, 4–5.06.2019, Katowice, Poland, and a silver medal at the International Warsaw Invention Show IWIS 14–16.10.2019, Warsaw, Poland.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, Ł.W., P.L. and M.K.; methodology, Ł.W., P.L., M.D. and F.M.; software, Ł.W. and M.D.; validation, Ł.W., K.M. and P.L.; formal analysis, Ł.W., P.L. and M.K.; investigation, Ł.W. and P.L.; resources, Ł.W., M.D. and F.M.; data curation, Ł.W. and P.L.; writing—original draft preparation, Ł.W., M.K. and P.L.; writing—review and editing, M.K., P.L. and Ł.W.; visualization, M.K., F.M. and Ł.W.; supervision, Ł.W. and P.L.; project administration, Ł.W.; funding acquisition, Ł.W. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Acknowledgments: Research was performed as part of the project "Interdisciplinary Dean's Grant (IDG) of Poznan University of Technology" determined in the subject for the 2020 year–air quality in Poznan and how to improve it. Project title: "Design and research of mobile machines chipping wastes from urban agriculture processes for innovative construction solutions limiting the impact on the natural environment and machine operators" (33/32/SIGR/3334) financed by the Poznan University of Technology.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Feng, H.; Zou, B.; Wang, J.; Gu, X. Dominant variables of global air pollution-climate interaction: Geographic insight. *Ecol. Indic.* 2019, 99, 251–260.
- Stepanova, N.V.; Fomina, S.F. Risks for Population Health from Atmospheric Air Pollution in the City of Kazan. *Proceedings* 2019, 6, 3.
- Hinojosa-Baliño, I.; Infante-Vázquez, O.; Vallejo, M. Distribution of PM_{2.5} Air Pollution in Mexico City: Spatial Analysis with Land-Use Regression Model. *Appl. Sci.* 2019, *9*, 2936.
- 4. Enkhbat, E.; Geng, Y.; Zhang, X.; Jiang, H.; Liu, J.; Wu, D. Driving Forces of Air Pollution in Ulaanbaatar City Between 2005 and 2015: An Index Decomposition Analysis. *Sustainability* **2020**, *12*, 3185.
- 5. Lovarelli, D.; Bacenetti, J. Exhaust gases emissions from agricultural tractors: State of the art and future perspectives for machinery operators. *Biosyst. Eng.* **2019**, *186*, 204–213.
- 6. Dimou, V.; Kantartzis, A.; Malesios, C.; Kasampalis, E. Research of exhaust emissions by chainsaws with the use of a portable emission measurement system. *Int. J. For. Eng.* **2019**, *30*, 228–239.
- 7. Neri, F.; Foderi, C.; Laschi, A.; Fabiano, F.; Cambi, M.; Sciarra, G.; Aprea, M.C.; Cenni, A.; Marchi, E. Determining exhaust fumes exposure in chainsaw operations. *Environ. Pollut.* **2016**, *218*, 1162–1169.
- Zijlema, W.L.; Wolf, K.; Emeny, R.; Ladwig, K.H.; Peters, A.; Kongsgård, H.; Hveem, K.; Kvaløy, K.; Yli-Tuomi, T.; Partonen, T.; et al. The association of air pollution and depressed mood in 70,928 individuals from four European cohorts. *Int. J. Hyg. Environ. Health* 2016, 219, 212–219.
- 9. Le Boennec, R.; Salladarré, F. The impact of air pollution and noise on the real estate market. The case of the 2013 European Green Capital: Nantes, France. *Ecol. Econ.* **2017**, *138*, 82–89.
- 10. Lichter, A.; Pestel, N.; Sommer, E. Productivity effects of air pollution: Evidence from professional soccer. *Labour Econ.* **2017**, *48*, 54–66.
- 11. Sack, C.S.; Kaufman, J.D. Air pollution levels and children's lung health. How low do we need to go? *Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med.* **2016**, *193*, 819–820, doi:10.1164/rccm.201512-2436ED.

- 12. Sanidas, E.; Papadopoulos, G.H.; Velliou, M.; Tsioufis. K.; Barbetseas. J.; Papademetriou, V. Air pollution and arterial hypertension. A new risk factor is in the air. *J. Am. Soc. Hyperten.* **2017**, *11*, 709–715.
- Cheng, I.; Tseng, C.; Wu, J.; Yang, J.; Conroy, S.M.; Shariff-Marco, S.; Li, L.; Hertz, A.; Gomez, S.L.; Marchand, L.L.; et al. Association between ambient air pollution and breast cancer risk: The multiethnic cohort study. *Int. J. Cancer* 2020, *146*, 699–711.
- 14. WHO Regional Office for Europe and OECD. *Eco-Nomic Cost of the Health Impact of Air Pollution in Europe: Clean Air, Health and Wealth;* WHO Regional Office for Europe and OECD: Copenhagen, Denmark, 2015.
- 15. Badyd, A.J.; Grellier, J.; Dąbrowiecki, P. Ambient PM2.5 exposure and mortality due to lung cancer and cardiopulmonary diseases in Polish cities. *Adv. Exp. Med. Biol.* **2017**, *944*, 9–17, doi:10.1007/5584_2016_55.
- 16. Hooper, B.; Parker, R.; Todoroki, C. Exploring chainsaw operator occupational exposure to carbon monoxide in forestry. *J. Occup. Environ. Hyg.* **2017**, *14*, D1–D12.
- Peters, S.; de Klerk, N.; Reid, A.; Fritschi, L.; Musk, A.B.; Vermeulen, R. Estimation of quantitative levels of diesel exhaust exposure and the health impact in the contemporary Australian mining industry. *Occup. Environ. Med.* 2017, 74, 282–289.
- Oguntoke, O.; Adeyemi, A. Degradation of urban environment and human health by emissions from fossil-fuel combusting electricity generators in Abeokuta metropolis, Nigeria. *Indoor Built Environ.* 2017, 26, 538–550.
- Brüske-Hohlfeld, I.; Möhner, M.; Ahrens, W.; Pohlabeln, H.; Heinrich, J.; Kreuzer, M.; Jöckel, K.-H.; Wichmann, H.E. Lung cancer risk in male workers occupationally exposed to diesel motor emissions in Germany. Am. J. Ind. Med. 1999, 36, 405–414.
- Waluś, K.J.; Warguła, Ł.; Krawiec, P.; Adamiec, J.M. Legal regulations of restrictions of air pollution made by non-road mobile machinery—The case study for Europe: A review. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* 2018, 25, 3243–3259.
- Regulation (EU). On Requirements for Emission Limit Values of Gaseous and Particulate Pollutants and Type-approval with Respect to Internal Combustion Engines for Mobile Machines Non-road, Amending Regulations (EU) No 1024/2012 and (EU) No 167/2013 and Amending and Repealing Directive 97/68/WE. No 2016/1628 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 14 September 2016. *Off. J. Eur. Union* 2016, *L252*, 53–117.
- 22. Tsai, W.-C. Optimization of Operating Parameters for Stable and High Operating Performance of a GDI Fuel Injector System. *Energies* **2020**, *13*, 2405.
- Tornatore, C.; Marchitto, L.; Costagliola, M.A.; Valentino, G. Experimental Comparative Study on Performance and Emissions of E85 Adopting Different Injection Approaches in a Turbocharged PFI SI Engine. *Energies* 2019, 12, 1555.
- Yamamoto, K.; Takemoto, M. Reductions of PAH and Soot by Center Air Injection. *Environments* 2014, 1, 42–53.
- Zhou, J.; Zhou, S.; Zhu, Y. Characterization of Particle and Gaseous Emissions from Marine Diesel Engines with Different Fuels and Impact of After-Treatment Technology. *Energies* 2017, 10, 1110.
- Shi, Z.; Wu, C.; Gu, Y.; Liang, Y.; Xu, G.; Liu, H.; Zhang, J.; Hou, H.; Zhang, J.; Guo, Z. Preparation and characterization of mesoporous CuO/ZSM-5 catalysts for automotive exhaust purification. *Sci. Adv. Mater.* 2019, *11*, 1198–1205.
- Serrano, J.R.; Piqueras, P.; de la Morena, J.; Sanchis, E.J. Late Fuel Post-Injection Influence on the Dynamics and Efficiency of Wall-Flow Particulate Filters Regeneration. *Appl. Sci.* 2019, *9*, 5384.
- Zhang, L.; Su, T.; Zhang, Y.; Ma, F.; Yin, J.; Feng, Y. Numerical Investigation of the Effects of Split Injection Strategies on Combustion and Emission in an Opposed-Piston, Opposed-Cylinder (OPOC) Two-Stroke Diesel Engine. *Energies* 2017, 10, 684.
- Ahmed, S.A.; Zhou, S.; Zhu, Y.; Tsegay, A.S.; Feng, Y.; Ahmad, N.; Malik, A. Effects of Pig Manure and Corn Straw Generated Biogas and Methane Enriched Biogas on Performance and Emission Characteristics of Dual Fuel Diesel Engines. *Energies* 2020, 13, 889.
- Szpica, D.; Czaban, J. Investigating of the combustion process in a diesel engine fueled with conventional and alternative fuels. In Proceedings of the Transport Means 2019: 23rd International Scientific Conference, Palanga, Lithuania, 2–4 October 2019; Kaunas University of Technology: Palanga, Lithuania, 2019; Part 1; pp. 176–181.
- Boretti, A. Numerical Analysis of High-Pressure Direct Injection Dual-Fuel Diesel-Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) Engines. *Processes* 2020, 8, 261.

- Szpica, D. Investigating fuel dosage non-repeatability of low-pressure gas-phase injectors. *Flow Meas. Instrum.* 2018, 59, 147–156.
- Warguła, Ł.; Waluś, K.J.; Krawiec, P.; Polasik, J. Electronic control injection-ignition systems in propulsion of non-road mobile machinery. J. Mech. Trans. Eng. 2018, 70, 61–78.
- Warguła, Ł.; Waluś, K.J.; Krawiec, P. Small engines spark ignited (SI) for non-road mobile machinery—Review. In Proceedings of the Transport Means 2018: 22nd International Scientific Conference, Trakai, Lithuania, 3–5 October 2018; Kaunas University of Technology: Trakai, Lithuania, 2018; Part 2; pp. 585–591.
- Warguła, Ł.; Waluś, K.J.; Krawiec, P.; Kukla, M. Research of the ignition advance angle characteristics on the example of a German GX 390 combustion engine. *Autobusy Tech. Eksploat. Syst. Transp.* 2017, 12, 1387–1391.
- Andwari, A.M.; Said, M.F.M.; Aziz, A.A.; Esfahanian, V.; Salavati-Zadeh, A.; Idris, M.A.; Perang, M.R.M.; Jamil, H.M. Design, Modeling and Simulation of a High-Pressure Gasoline Direct Injection (GDI) Pump for Small Engine Applications. J. Mech. Eng. 2018, 1, 107–120.
- Warguła, Ł.; Krawiec, P.; Waluś, K.J.; Kukla, M. Conceptual design of adaptation of the mechanical fuel injection unit assembly for electronic control. *Autobusy Tech. Eksploat. Syst. Transp.* 2017, 12, 1381–1386.
- Niinikoski, J.; Ewalds, J.; Heikkinen, E.; Kotilainen, J.; Kääriäinen, M.; Tammi, K.; Kiviluoma, P.; Korhonen, A.; Kuosmanen, P. Methods for Reducing Emissions of Small Internal Combustion Engines. In Proceedings of the 11th International DAAAM Baltic Conference, Industrial Engineering, Tallinn, Estonia, 20–22 April 2016.
- Warguła, Ł. Innovative injection-ignition system in a non-road small engine—Construction system. In Proceedings of the Transport Means 2019: 23rd International Scientific Conference, Palanga, Lithuania, 2–4 October 2019; Kaunas University of Technology: Palanga, Lithuania, 2019; Part 2; pp. 931–935.
- Warguła, Ł.; Wojtkowiak, D.; Waluś, K.J.; Krawiec, P.; Wieczorek, B. The analysis of the efficiency of the control system of Wood chipper's driver with spark-ignition engine based on Skoda combustion engine 1.4 59kW. In Proceedings of the Transport Means 2017: 21st International Scientific Conference, Juodkrante, Lithuania, 20–22 September 2017; Kaunas University of Technology: Juodkrante, Lithuania, 2017; Part 2; pp. 452–458.
- Warguła, Ł.; Waluś, J.K.; Krawiec, P. Determination of working conditions of mobile chipping wood machines in the aspect of innovative drive control systems. *Sylwan* 2019, 163, 765–772, doi:10.26202/sylwan.2019036.
- Kim, H.C.; Park, K.; Nam, M.H.; Park, W.S. Analysis of component damage probability of a small engine based on penetration experiments and M & S. J. Mech. Sci. Technol. 2020 34, 765–775.
- Li, L.; Wang, Z.; Deng, B.; Han, Y.; Wang, H. Combustion and Emissions Characteristics of a Small Spark-Ignited LPG Engine; SAE Technical Paper; No. 2002-01-1738. 2002. Available online: https://www.sae.org/publications/technical-papers/content/2002-01-1738/ (accessed on 29 June 2020).
- Li, L.; Liu, Z.; Wang, H.; Deng, B.; Wang, Z.; Xiao, Z.; Su, Y.; Jiang, B. Development of a Gas.-Phase LPG Injection System for a Small SI Engine; SAE Technical Paper; No. 2003-01-3260. 2003. Available online: https://www.sae.org/publications/technical-papers/content/2003-01-3260/ (accessed on 29 June 2020).
- Sulaiman, M.Y.; Ayob, M.R.; Meran, I. Performance of single cylinder spark ignition engine fueled by LPG. Procedia Eng. 2013, 53, 579–585.
- Bin Mohd Zain, M.S.; bin Mohamed Soid, S.N.; Majid, M.F.B.M.A.; bin Zahelem, M.N. Performance Characteristics of a Small Engine Fueled by Liquefied Petroleum Gas. In *Advanced Engineering for Processes and Technologies. Advanced Structured Materials*; Ismail, A., Abu Bakar, M., Öchsner, A., Eds.; Springer: Cham, Switzerland, 2019; Volume 102, pp. 207–214.
- Sabariah, M.S.; Nabilah, A.S.; Rosli, A.B.; Junaidi, Z.Z.; Mustafar, M.T. Analysis and simulation of combustion and emission on small engine. In *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*; IOP Publishing: Bristol, UK, 2019; Volume 469, p. 012076.
- Schirmer, W.N.; Olanyk, L.Z.; Guedes, C.L.B.; Quessada, T.P.; Ribeiro, C.B.; Capanema, M.A. Effects of air/fuel ratio on gas emissions in a small spark-ignited non-road engine operating with different gasoline/ethanol blends. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* 2017, 24, 20354–20359.
- Ribeiro, C.B.; Martins, K.G.; Gueri, M.V.D.; Pavanello, G.P.; Schirmer, W.N. Effect of anhydrous ethanol/gasoline blends on performance and exhaust emissions of spark-ignited non-road engines. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* 2018, 25, 24192–24200.

- 50. Gong, C.; Li, Z.; Huang, K.; Liu, F. Research on the performance of a hydrogen/methanol dual-injection assisted spark-ignition engine using late-injection strategy for methanol. *Fuel* **2020**, *260*, 116403.
- Rymaniak, Ł.; Lijewski, P.; Kamińska, M.; Fuć, P.; Kurc, B.; Siedlecki, M.; Kalociński, T.; Jagielski, A. The role of real power output from farm tractor engines in determining their environmental performance in actual operating conditions. *Comput. Electron. Agric.* 2020, 173, 105405.
- Merkisz, J.; Lijewski, P.; Fuc, P.; Siedlecki, M.; Ziolkowski, A. Development of the methodology of exhaust emissions measurement under RDE (Real Driving Emissions) conditions for non-road mobile machinery (NRMM) vehicles. In *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*; IOP Publishing: Bristol, UK, 2016; Volume 148, p. 012077.
- Merkisz, J.; Lijewski, P.; Fuć, P.; Rymaniak, Ł.; Ziółkowski, A.; Weymann, S. Measurement of exhaust emissions from farm machinery under actual operating conditions with the PEMS equipment-selected issues. *Mech. Agric. Conserv. Resour.* 2017, 63, 151–156.
- Dimou, V.; Anezakis, V.D.; Demertzis, K.; Iliadis, L. Comparative analysis of exhaust emissions caused by chainsaws with soft computing and statistical approaches. *Int. J. Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2018, 15, 1597–1608.
- Zardini, A.A.; Suarez-Bertoa, R.; Forni, F.; Montigny, F.; Otura-Garcia, M.; Carriero, M.; Astorga, C. Reducing the exhaust emissions of unregulated pollutants from small gasoline engines with alkylate fuel and low-ash lube oil. *Environ. Res.* 2019, 170, 203–214.
- Priest, M.W.; Williams, D.J.; Bridgman, H.A. Emissions from in-use lawn-mowers in Australia. *Atmos. Environ.* 2000, 34, 657–664.
- 57. Gonzalez-de-Soto, M.; Emmi, L.; Benavides, C.; Garcia, I.; Gonzalez-de-Santos, P. Reducing air pollution with hybrid-powered robotic tractors for precision agriculture. *Biosyst. Eng.* **2016**, *143*, 79–94.
- Gonzalez-de-Soto, M.; Emmi, L.; Gonzalez-de-Santos, P. Hybrid-Powered Autonomous Robots for Reducing Both Fuel Consumption and Pollution in Precision Agriculture Tasks. In Agricultural Robots-Fundamentals and Applications. 2018. Available online: https://www.intechopen.com/books/agricultural-robots-fundamentals-and-applications (accessed on 29 June 2020).
- Bhaskar, S.V. Experimental Analysis on Exhaust Emissions of Diesel Engine using Madhuca indica Biodiesel and its Diesel Blends. Int. J. Res. Appl. Sci. Eng. Technol. 2018, 6, 3348–3353.
- Şahin, S.; Öğüt, H. Investigation of the effects of linseed oil biodiesel and diesel fuel blends on engine performance and exhaust emissions. *Int. J. Automot. Eng. Technol.* 2018, 7, 149–157.
- Yusri, I.M.; Mamat, R.; Najafi, G.; Razman, A.; Awad, O.I.; Azmi, W.H.; Ishak, W.F.W.; Shaiful, A.I.M. Alcohol based automotive fuels from first four alcohol family in compression and spark ignition engine: A review on engine performance and exhaust emissions. *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* 2017, 77, 169–181.
- Chuvilin, E.; Sokolova, N.; Davletshina, D.; Bukhanov, B.; Stanilovskaya, J.; Badetz, C.; Spasennykh, M. Conceptual Models of Gas Accumulation in the Shallow Permafrost of Northern West Siberia and Conditions for Explosive Gas Emissions. *Geosciences* 2020, *10*, 195.
- Zhang, C.; Yang, L.; Zhao, J.; Liu, B.; Han, M.Y.; Zhang, Z. White-light emission from an integrated upconversion nanostructure: Toward multicolor displays modulated by laser power. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2015, 54, 11531–11535.
- 64. Dong, H.; Wang, Q.; Shen, J.; Zeng, B.; Harbin, P.C. Effects of temperature on volatile organic compounds and odor emissions of polyvinyl chloride laminated MDF. *Wood Res.* **2019**, *64*, 999–1009.
- Gohil, D.B.; Pesyridis, A.; Serrano, J.R. Overview of Clean Automotive Thermal Propulsion Options for India to 2030. *Appl. Sci.* 2020, 10, 3604.
- Krawiec, P.; Warguła, Ł.; Dziechciarz, A.; Małozięć, D.; Ondrušová, D. Evaluation of chemical compound emissions during thermal decomposition and combustion of V-belts. *Przemysł Chem.* 2020, 99, 92–98, doi:10.15199/62.2020.1.12.
- Warguła, Ł.; Kukla, M.; Krawiec, P.; Wieczorek, B. Reduction in Operating Costs and Environmental Impact Consisting in the Modernization of the Low-Power Cylindrical Wood Chipper Power Unit by Using Alternative Fuel. *Energies* 2020, 13, 2995.
- Warguła, Ł.; Kukla, M.; Krawiec, P.; Wieczorek, B. Impact of Number of Operators and Distance to Branch Piles on Woodchipper Operation. *Forests* 2020, *11*, 598.
- 69. Laitila, J.; Routa, J. Performance of a small and medium sized professional chippers and the impact of storage time on Scots pine (Pinus sylvestris) stem wood chips characteristics. *Silva. Fenn.* **2015**, *49*, doi:10.14214/sf.1382.

- 70. Warguła, Ł.; Krawiec, P.; Waluś, K.J.; Kukla, M. Fuel Consumption Test Results for a Self-Adaptive, Maintenance-Free Wood Chipper Drive Control System. *Appl. Sci.* **2020**, *10*, 2727.
- Garcia, D.A. Green areas management and bioengineering techniques for improving urban ecological sustainability. *Sustain. Cities Soc.* 2017, 30, 108–117.
- Warguła, Ł.; Krawiec, P.; Waluś, K.J. The System and Method of Speed Control. of Wood Chipper Drive; Original Title in Polish: Układ i Sposób Sterowania Prędkością Obrotową Napędu Rębaka do Drewna; Poznan University of Technology: Poznań, Poland, 2017; p. 423369.
- 73. Merkisz, J.; Dobrzyński, M.; Kozak, M.; Lijewski, P.; Fuć, P. Environmental Aspects of the Use of CNG in Public Urban Transport. *Altern. Fuels Tech. Environ. Cond.* **2016**, doi:10.5772/62978.6.
- 74. Warguła, Ł.; Kaczmarzyk, P.; Dziechciarz, A. The assessment of fire risk of non-road mobile wood chopping machines. J. Res. Appl. Agric. Eng. 2019, 64, 58–64.
- 75. Bektas, I.; Alma, M.H.; As, N. Determination of the relationships between Brinell and Janka hardness of eastern beech (Fagus orientalis Lipsky). *For. Prod. J.* **2001**, *51*, 84.
- Green, D.W.; Begel, M.; Nelson, W. Janka Hardness Using Nonstandard Specimens; US Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Forest Product Laboratory: Washington, DC, USA, 2006; p. 303.
- Lijewski, P.; Fuć, P.; Markiewicz, F.; Dobrzański, M. Problems of exhaust emissions testing from machines and mobile devices in real operating conditions. *Combust. Engines* 2019, 179, 292–296, doi:10.19206/CE-2019-449.
- Trzeciak, K. Car Diagnostics, Original Title in Polish: Diagnostyka Samochodów Osobowych; WKŁ: Warszawa, Poland, 2008; ISBN 978-83-206-1700-9.
- Herner, H.; Hans-Jurgen, R. Electrical Engineering and Electronics in Motor Vehicles, Original Title in Polish: Elektrotechnika i Elektronika w Pojazdach Samochodowych; WKŁ: Warszawa, Poland, 2013; ISBN 978-83-206-1921-8.
- Rahman, S. Another perspective on gasoline price responses to crude oil price changes. *Energy Econ.* 2016, 55, 10–18.
- Sjoquist, D.L.; Tang, X. Differential Effects of Federal and State Gasoline Taxes on Gasoline Consumption. In Proceedings of the Annual Conference on Taxation and Minutes of the Annual Meeting of the National Tax Association, January 2017; Volume 110, pp. 1–10. Available online: https://ideas.repec.org/a/hpe/journl/y2019v229i2p11-32.html (accessed on 29 June 2020)
- 82. Noel, M.D. Calendar synchronization of gasoline price increases. J. Econ. Manag. Strategy 2019, 28, 355–370.
- 83. Lijewski, P.; Merkisz, J.; Fuć, P. Research of exhaust emissions from a harvester diesel engine with the use of portable emission measurement system. *Croat. J. For. Eng. J. Theory Appl. For. Eng.* **2013**, *34*, 113–122.
- Manzone, M. Energy consumption and CO₂ analysis of different types of chippers used in wood biomass plantations. *Appl. Energy* 2015, 156, 686–692.
- Wajand, J.A.; Wajand, J.T. Medium and High. Speed Piston Internal Combustion Engines, Original Title in Polish: Tłokowe Silniki Spalinowe Średnio i Szybkoobrotowe; Wydawnictwo Naukowo-Techniczne: Warszawa, Poland, 2000.
- Pecenka, R.; Lenz, H.; Jekayinfa, S.O.; Hoffmann, T. Influence of Tree Species, Harvesting Method and Storage on Energy Demand and Wood Chip Quality When Chipping Poplar, Willow and Black Locust. *Agriculture* 2020, 10, 116.



© 2020 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).