

Article



# Feasibility Study on Energy Audit and Data Driven Analysis Procedure for Building Energy Efficiency: Bench-Marking in Korean Hospital Buildings

# Dong Kon Hwang<sup>1</sup>, Jinkyun Cho<sup>2,\*</sup> and Junghwan Moon<sup>3</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Mechanical & Information Engineering, University of Seoul, 02504 Seoul, Korea
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Building and Plant Engineering, Hanbat National University, 34158 Daejeon, Korea
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Building System Technology, Daelim University College, 13916 Anyang, Korea
- \* Correspondence: jinkyun.cho@hanbat.ac.kr; Tel.: +82-42-821-1183

Received: 02 June 2019; Accepted: 29 July 2019; Published: 3 August 2019

Abstract: Growths in population, increasing demand for health care services and comfort levels, together with patients on the rise in time spent inside hospitals, assure the upward trend that energy demand will continue in the future. Since the hospital buildings operate 24 hours, 365 days a year for the treatment and restoration of patients, they are approximately 2-3 times more energy-intensive than normal buildings. For this reason, energy efficiency in hospitals is one of the prime objectives for energy policy at regional, national and international levels. This study aims to find how meaningful energy performance, reflecting good energy management and energy conservation measures (ECMs), can be operated for hospital buildings, a category encompassing complex buildings with different systems and large gaps between them. Energy audit allows us to obtain knowledge from the healthcare facility, in order to define and tune data driven analysis rules. The use of benchmarking in the energy audit of healthcare facilities enables immediate comparison between hospitals. Data driven energy analysis also allows ascertaining their expected energy consumption and estimating the possible savings margin by using the building energy flow chart. In the 2015–2017 periods, bench-marking of four public hospitals in Seoul were audited for the energy consumption related to weather conditions, total area, bed numbers, employee numbers, and analyzed for building energy flow by zones, energy sources, systems and equipment. This is a practice-based learning in a hospital project. The results reveal that the average annual energy consumption of a hospital under normal conditions, and energy efficiency factors are divided into energy baselines, energy consumption goals for energy saving and energy usage trends for setting ECMs, respectively. The indicator dependent on the area of inpatients (number of beds) proved to be the most suitable as a reference to quantify the energy consumption of a hospital.

**Keywords:** hospital facility; ECMs: Energy conservation measures; energy audit; energy analysis; building energy flow chart

# 1. Introduction

# 1.1. Background and objectives

Globally, a new obligation of greenhouse gas reduction and energy saving is assigned through a climate change agreement. At the 2015 United Nations Climate Change Conference (COP 21), South Korea announced a 37% reduction goal based on the 2030 greenhouse gas emission forecast (BAU), whereby the main target is a reduction of energy use in the building. The energy consumption in the building sector is about 25% of total energy use in South Korea [1], and 20–40%

based on that of the OECD countries [2]. In Seoul, it is 55.9% in 2013, which is larger than the usual proportion of building energy use in advanced countries and South Korea [3]. Since the hospital buildings operate 24 hours, 365 days a year for the treatment and restoration of patients, they are approximately 2-3 times more energy-intensive than normal buildings [4]. It is extremely difficult to reduce the energy consumption, because spaces that have various operating hours and usage patterns co-exist, such as wards, outpatient clinic, operating rooms, ICU (intensive care unit), offices, and convenience facilities, there is a characteristic that the cooling/heating load ratio and fluctuation are complex. HVAC (heating, ventilation, and air condition) is the main end use with a weight close to 50%, lighting follows with 15% and medical devices with 10% [5]. Nevertheless, in most cases, hospital buildings' HVAC systems are designed and operated relatively simply in comparison with the use and size, and the patient-oriented environment is maintained, the energy saving technique is carried out manually [6]. The energy performance of buildings needs to be monitored and maintained. A well-developed energy management system in the building can help to identify failures in a timely manner and reduce excessive energy consumption. This study attempts to introduce awareness on potential energy savings in hospitals. This research constructs an energy audit procedure and systematizes the evaluation method to investigate the building energy flow from the operation of HVAC systems lighting and medical devices. The data driven analysis methodology used in this study is based on the benchmarking analysis.

#### 1.2. Research methodology and procedure

This is a practice-based learning in a hospital project. The differences and special features of this study is that four different forms of hospital facilities were evaluated based on the same energy factors of usage category and the same evaluation method for the energy flow chart. With this accomplishment, the reliability of comparison has made it possible to overcome previous experimental and simulation researches. In order to evaluate the efficiency of HVAC, lighting and medical devices that can be used in hospital buildings, a basic energy flow analysis methodology was set up, and various sources of energy data were analyzed. Furthermore, the method of analysis that was carried out in this study highlights how to plan and conduct an energy audit and data driven analysis toward utilizing the BEMS (building energy management system). As a preliminary step, the study requires developments of the energy audit and data driven analysis procedure. Based on this procedure, an easy to use relevant checking factor for the energy audit of hospital buildings was developed. Additionally it helps in understanding the building energy demands in the operating stage of hospital building diagnosis. Finally, targeting four hospitals located in Seoul, energy usage characteristics are derived by on-site investigations and comparison of operation data analysis results. In addition, this study proposes a stage of classifying the ECMs suitable for hospital buildings.

#### 2. Related work

The building type is critical in how energy end uses are distributed and in their energy intensity (Table 1) [7]. This makes it essential to develop independent studies by building types. Hospitals are the most energy intensive typologies with typically average energy use intensity of over 423 kWh/m2 of the annual energy consumption. Hotels and retails, offices and schools follow. In Seoul, because there are many large buildings such as hospitals, hotels, office buildings, and department buildings, the energy consumption proportion of high energy consumption buildings (facilities consuming the energy of more than 2000 TOE annually) is very big, as shown in Figure 1.

Table 1. Average energy use intensity by building type in Korea. [7].

| Year | Energy                                 | Offices | Retails | Schools | Hotels | Hospitals | Dwellings |
|------|--|---------|---------|---------|--------|-----------|-----------|
| 2007 | Total energy use (kWh/m <sup>2</sup> ) | 281     | 414     | 210     | 487    | 490       | 162       |
|      | Electricity consumption<br>(kWh/m²)    | 179     | 293     | 121     | 223    | 203       | 41        |
| 2010 | Total energy use (kWh/m <sup>2</sup> ) | 245     | 274     | 210     | 390    | 467       | 145       |
|      | Electricity consumption<br>(kWh/m²)    | 140     | 170     | 106     | 147    | 172       | 34        |
| 2013 | Total energy use (kWh/m²)              | 222     | 231     | 190     | 341    | 423       | 127       |
|      | Electricity consumption<br>(kWh/m²)    | 150     | 174     | 120     | 151    | 192       | 37        |





2

1

Figure 1. Energy consumption characteristics by building types in Seoul, Korea. [8].

4 Among them, the hospital building is one of highest energy consumption building types and 5 has the highest primary energy intensity of 72.9 kgoe/m<sup>2</sup> [8]. Reviewing the literature, some 6 examples of research on the data analysis for improving energy efficiency in hospital buildings can 7 be found. For the energy audit and analysis process for energy saving, many studies have been 8 carried out based on office buildings among the residential and non-residential buildings. However, 9 for hospital buildings that have high energy consumption, relatively few studies have been 10 conducted compared to the importance with respect to the energy audit method and management 11 process considering the special characteristics and operating condition. Cho et al. [9,10] analyzed the 12 characteristics and performance of the inpatient HVAC system of the hospital building in the aspect 13 of combined concept that focused on the system configuration and climate characteristics; and at the 14 same time, analyzed the energy effect according to the FCU (fan coil unit)+DOAS (dedicated 15 outdoor air system), chilled beam+DOAS, and CAV (constant air volume) and VAV (variable air 16 volume) systems. Moreover, a simulation-based methodology was derived, which can analyze the 17 energy consumption effect according to the components of the HVAC system of the hospital 18 building. Based on this, the energy characteristics and performance of the HVAC system were 19 analyzed and the effect on energy was also analyzed for the respective composing systems and 20 climate regions. Biglia et al. [11] implemented a process of investigating the energy reduction 21 performance of the multi-heat sources system of the hospital building based on a CHP (Combined 22 Heat and Power) system by combining dynamic energy simulation and experimental data based on 23 an energy hub modeling framework. To apply an energy efficiency improvement technique to 24 Chinese hospitals, Wang et al. [12] analyzed specific problems in economic, technical, and political 25 aspects for 20 major hospitals, and studied the technology application method from the 26 administrator perspective through the survey evaluation. Christiansen et al. [13] analyzed the 27 electric energy consumption of the hospital and large medical devices by considering the special 28 characteristics of the hospital. To estimate the energy demand of medical devices, data of more than 29 20,000 h were measured and analyzed for operating rooms, ICUs, and medical treatment rooms, and 30 proposed a methodology for electric power usage prediction based on time and detailed operating

31 hour reviews. Furthermore, to investigate the energy saving through various control techniques, 32 Congradac et al. [14] selected a methodology for achieving independence and high efficiency of the 33 energy consumption evaluation and proposed specific settings and an execution plan of the 34 management method as a tool for energy consumption estimation. Ascione et al. [15] developed an 35 algorithm to solve the problem of theoretical methodology for energy improvement and cost 36 optimization for optimization of the multi-system in stages for the energy audit of the hospital 37 building. González et al. [16] analyzed data of 2005–2014 for 20 hospitals in Spain to derive the 38 correlation between the hospital energy consumption, climate condition, GDP, building envelop 39 area, number of beds, and number of employees: The annual energy consumption was 0.27 40 MWh/m<sup>2</sup>, 10 MWh/worker, and 35 MWh/bed. Teke and Timur [17] analyzed the complex economic 41 efficiency of HVAC system including applicable VRF, CHP, waste heat recovery exchanger, and 42 steam heat recovery technology for energy reduction potentials and energy efficiency related to the 43 hospital HVAC system. Vanhoudt et al. [18] performed a long-term experimental evaluation of 44 energy and cost reduction for the heat pump and water heat storage system using groundwater of 45 hospitals in Belgium.

46 Through monitoring for more than three years, it was analyzed that the energy consumption 47 was decreased by 71% compared to the gas boiler and water chiller unit, and CO<sub>2</sub> reduction of 1280 48 tons was derived. Ma et al. [19] analyzed the energy consumptions and characteristics of 119 public 49 buildings located in north China. The results showed that among the offices, hospitals, and schools, 50 the hospitals were using the energy more than two times, and it was evaluated that the HVAC, 51 lighting density, and building envelope had the largest effect on the energy consumption. 52 Furthermore, in a study related to the facility system control of the hospital, Papantoniou et al. [20] 53 applied a Web-based real-time multi-system optimization technique based on a weather prediction 54 model, which is an optimal control algorithm of artificial neural net BOC (building optimization and 55 control), to the installed BEMS (building energy management system) in hospitals in Greece. Lee and 56 Cheng [21] performed a statistical analysis on the energy reduction effect evaluation for 305 EMS 57 (energy management system) case studies (105 BEMS, 200 EMS) reported from 1976 to 2014. As a 58 result, 39.5% of average reduction was shown in the lightings and about 14.1% reduction effect was 59 derived in the HVAC systems. Shen et al. [22] selected a typical comprehensive hospital in the hot 60 summer and cold winter area as a case, analyzed the actual energy demand of the hospital building 61 through the measured data, and put forward reasonable suggestions on the energy consumption 62 mode and system improvement plan of the hospital in combination with the operating conditions. 63 Thinate et al. [23] studied on the initial energy assessment and the finding of the baseline setting of 64 the energy consumption of buildings in the commercial, emphasizing on the hospital groups. 65 Multiple linear regression analysis is introduced to analyze factors affecting energy consumption, 66 which leads to the baseline setting analysis of energy consumption of large hospital buildings. 67 Alonso et al. [24] proposed a data-driven analysis for improving the efficiency in multiple-chiller 68 plants of a hospital. Data analysis, based on aggregation operations, filtering and data projection, 69 allows obtaining knowledge from chillers and the whole plant, in order to define and tune 70 management rules. González et al. [25] studied on benchmarking in the management of healthcare 71 facilities enables immediate comparison between hospitals. The indicator dependent on the number 72 of beds proved to be the most suitable as a reference to quantify the energy consumption of a 73 hospital. González et al. [26] analyzed the impact of maintenance management on the energy 74 consumption of a hospital and to look for existing relationships between the time spent on 75 maintenance operations and the energy consumption of the building.

As shown above, technologies have been applied and evaluated for energy savings of hospitals, but because these studies reflected the special characteristics of corresponding buildings, it is difficult to apply them commonly and obtain the same effects. Therefore, the management target facility system selection and management standard of the hospital building should be examined first and a universal energy audit method of the hospital building should be systematized and its process 81 should be developed by clarifying the energy-related factors according to the facility system 82 composition.

## 83 3. Development of energy audit method for hospital buildings

84 The energy audit of the hospital building requires the collection of energy data based on the 85 investigation results of the target hospital, and analysis of the consumption pattern and performance 86 by energy usage scenario based on the analysis scope determined by using the collected specific 87 data. In other words, energy-saving operation factors are discovered based on the energy 88 performance analysis results of the target hospital, and similarities with other hospital buildings and 89 generalizable items are derived. As shown in Figure 2, there are three steps for the general 90 procedure of the data-driven energy analysis for hospital buildings; step 1 for the fact finding 91 survey, step 2 for energy analysis by BEMS and step 3 for energy saving items.





Figure 2. General procedure of data-driven energy analysis for hospital buildings.

## 94 3.1. Stage 1: On-site investigation and preliminary energy audit

95 With the BEMS, there is a deluge of building energy data. This data has been leveraged for a 96 variety of applications. First, it is necessary to determine the validity of collectible data for the 97 energy audit of the hospital building, and the scope of data collection and analysis should be set up 98 through the BEMS installation level of the target building. The BEMS level can be classified by the 99 energy data collection form from the remote energy monitoring. To this end, it is important to 100 investigate the method that can achieve the reduction of energy usage and operating cost in a short 101 period through on-site visitation at the target hospital building. Therefore, through the overall 102 current status investigation for the target building and interviews with the building users and 103 managers, a process of conceptually understanding the building and the energy performance of the 104 building should be performed. Based on this, the major equipment status, energy usage pattern by 105 the purpose of use, operating method of equipment, zoning, the purpose of use, number of people 106 visiting, and usage characteristics according to time should be identified. Table 2 shows the BEMS 107 level classification and installation criteria for the selection and analysis of the target hospital. The 108 BEMS installation criteria are for the function requirement that building managers need both to 109 understand the energy usage of their buildings and to control and improve their buildings' energy 110 performance. According to the BEMS level, the possible scope of the energy audit is determined for 111 each energy source and purpose of use, or specifically, for each device and zone. The point of view 112 from the energy measuring level 1 to level 4 is moving from an easy to struggle, this is from the 113 energy source to the equipment responsible for that energy consumption. And level 4 is more 114 difficult to measure the energy consumption by zones such as outpatient, operating theater, etc.

| Level            | Energy analysis                               | Data collection  | Main function   |
|------------------|---|--|---|
| 1                | By energy sources<br>(electricity, gas, etc.) | BAS<br>Basic energy-saving control                           | BAS energy-saving function<br>- Enthalpy control<br>- Optimum start/stop control<br>- Operating number control<br>- CO2 control |
| 2                | By systems<br>(heating, cooling etc.)         | BAS + EMS<br>Energy management system                        | Level 1 + Energy trend analysis<br>- Energy consumption<br>- Energy demand trend<br>- Energy change analysis                    |
| 3                | By equipment<br>(chiller, boiler, etc.)       | BAS + EMS + $\alpha$<br>Energy performance<br>management     | Level 1 + 2 + Equipment performance<br>- Energy over-consumption<br>- Fault detection   |
| 4                | By zones<br>(ward, outpatient,<br>etc.)       | BAS + EMS + $\alpha$ + $\beta$<br>Energy optimization system | Level 1 + 2 + 3 + Optimization<br>control   |
|                  |   | <b>BEMS</b> installation criteria                            | a   |
| 1 Data           | a collection and display                      | 2 Information monitoring                                     | ③ View data   |
| ④ Ana<br>status  | lysis of energy usage                         | ⑤ Analysis of facility efficient                             | <ul> <li>6 Providing in/outdoor<br/>information</li> </ul>  |
| ⑦ Prec<br>consum | liction of energy<br>option                   | ⑧ Energy cost inquiry and analysis                           | Interlocking control system   |

5 **Table 2.** Classification of building energy management system (BEMS) level and installation criteria.

According to the type and scope of the data acquired through automatic controls, the energy analysis method and control level can be extended from simple maneuvers/operating number control to the scope of energy trend analysis, prediction, deterioration energy audit, and optimal control.

## 120 3.2. Stage 2: Data collection from remote energy monitoring

121 After the remote monitoring scope is determined based on the preliminary investigation, the 122 next stage is important, i.e., the process of collecting the history data of process and operation 123 information, which affect the energy consumption of the hospital, and the measurement data on 124 energy flows through component equipment (systems). As shown in Figure 3, the possible data 125 collection and query scopes are clearly defined by energy sources of the target building (LNG, 126 electricity, district heating, etc.), by equipment (chiller, boiler, AHU (air handling unit) and lighting, 127 etc.), by purpose of use/system (cooling, heating, hot water, ventilation, lighting, etc.), and by 128 hospital zones (inpatient, outpatient service, operating rooms, ICU, emergency center, common 129 facility, etc.). Moreover, the data are collected by classifying them into the real-time evaluation and 130 period evaluation based on the evaluation time of performance-related factors measured and 131 collected by the remote monitoring system.







Figure 3. Data collection procedure from the remote energy monitoring system.

## 134 3.3. Stage 3: Selection of energy-related factors and performance evaluation items

135 To diagnose-evaluate the energy of the hospital, the energy-related factors of HVAC should be 136 set up and the measurement method should be considered for the factors not measured in the BEMS. 137 Table 3 corresponds to the most basic factors for building energy usage analysis. These factors are 138 the same as the computation factors required for building energy simulation. Ultimately, a series of 139 energy flows from the energy sources is completely identified with respect to the heating/cooling plant, AHU, terminal unit, building thermal load (indoor environment), and effect of outdoor 140 141 environment. Figure 4 shows the component hierarchy for the detailed energy analysis by 142 equipment, system, or purpose of use according to the energy flow [27].

143

Table 3. Identify relevant factors for the energy audit of hospital buildings.

|                              | Energy-related factors   |   |  |  |
|------------------------------|--|---|--|--|
|                              | ① Temperature (DB/WB)  | 2 Humidity (RH)   |  |  |
|                              | <ol> <li>Set temperature (DB)</li> <li>Intake outdoor airflow rate</li> </ol>  | (2) Set related humidity (RH)   |  |  |
| Air-conditioning             | <ol> <li>Supply air (SA)<br/>temp./enthalpy</li> <li>Air flow rate (CMH)</li> <li>Coil leaving water temp.</li> <li>Hot/chilled water flow rate</li> </ol>   | <ul> <li>(2) Return air (RA)<br/>temp./enthalpy</li> <li>(4) OA flow rate (damper) (%)</li> <li>(6) Coil entering water temp.</li> </ul>  |  |  |
| Fans                         | ① Fan power  |   |  |  |
| Chiller / Boiler             | <ol> <li>Equipment leaving water<br/>temp.</li> <li>Hot/chilled water flow rate</li> </ol>   | <ul> <li>2 Equipment entering water<br/>temp.</li> <li>4 System efficiency/COP</li> </ul>   |  |  |
|                              | ① Outdoor wet-bulb<br>temperature  | ② Leaving condenser water temp.   |  |  |
| Cooling tower                | ③ Entering condenser water temp.   | ④ Cooling tower fan power   |  |  |
| Water distribution           | ① Pump power   |   |  |  |
|                              | (1) Gas(LNG) consumption   | (2) Electricity consumption   |  |  |
| 1. SHF, sensible hea         | t factor $\rightarrow$ Initial input required by   | y design data   |  |  |
| 2. <i>Qsp</i> : Space therma | l load + Energy demand   |   |  |  |
| 3. Qven: Ventilation         | loads - Fresh air intake   |   |  |  |
| 4. Cooling loads $\propto P$ | Air flow rate × SA/KA enthalpy dif   | terence   |  |  |
| 5. Heating loads $\propto P$ | Air flow rate × SA/KA temperature  | e allierence  |  |  |
| - $        -$                | Air-conditioning<br>Fans<br>Chiller / Boiler<br>Cooling tower<br>Vater distribution<br>. SHF, sensible hea<br>. QSP: Space therma<br>. QVEN: Ventilation<br>. Cooling loads & A<br>. Heating loads & A<br>. Humidity loads & | (1) Temperature (DB/WB)(1) Set temperature (DB)(3) Intake outdoor airflow rate(1) Supply air (SA)<br>temp./enthalpy(3) Air flow rate (CMH)(5) Coil leaving water temp.<br>(7) Hot/chilled water flow rate(1) Fan power(1) Equipment leaving water<br>temp.<br>(3) Hot/chilled water flow rate(1) Outdoor wet-bulb<br>temperature<br>(3) Entering condenser water<br>temp.Cooling tower(1) Pump power<br>(1) Gas(LNG) consumptionSHF, sensible heat factor $\rightarrow$ Initial input required b<br>Qsp: Space thermal load + Energy demand<br>Qven: Ventilation loads - Fresh air intake<br>Cooling loads $\propto$ Air flow rate $\times$ SA/RA enthalpy dif<br>Heating loads $\propto$ Afr flow rate $\times$ OA/SA absolute I |  |  |

7. Qcon: Secondary system load: Cooling/heating system load = AHU coil capacity  $\propto$ <br/>Hot/chilled water flow rate × coil temperature difference<br/>8. *EAD*: Air distribution energy, *LAD*: Air distribution loss<br/>9. QHP/QcP: Primary system load  $\propto$  Hot/chilled water flow rate<br/>× Equipment leaving/entering water temperature difference × Heat loss<br/>10. EHP/EcP: Input energy  $\propto$  Primary system load × System efficiency (COP)<br/>11.  $\eta HP/\eta cP$ : System efficiency (Cooling/Heating)<br/>12. Range = Entering condenser water temp. - Leaving condenser water temp.<br/>13. Approach = Leaving condenser water temp. - Air wet bulb temp.<br/>14. Efficiency = Range ÷ (Range + Approach)<br/>15. *Ecr*: Cooling Tower efficiency energy (Cooling/Heating)<br/>16. *EwD*: Water distribution energy<br/>17,  $\eta cT$ : System efficiency<br/>18. Energy consumption: Calculated input energy × Primary energy factor<br/>19 EUI (Energy Use Intensity) = Energy consumption ÷ A/C area





144

Figure 4. Energy use aggregation levels of energy sub-systems in hospital buildings [27].

# 147 3.4. Stage 4: Detailed energy analysis method of hospital building

148 Since hospital buildings have very high daily and annual operating hours and large energy 149 consumption of HVAC systems compared to other types of buildings, it is necessary to make plans 150 in the design stage to shorten the operating hours of maximum load and facilitate effective responses 151 for partial load operation. Therefore, analyses of operation data are required whereby the physical 152 characteristics and equipment status of medical facility are taken into account. The energy analyses 153 are classified basically into the real-time evaluation and period evaluation. The real-time evaluation 154 determines the normal/abnormal operation status through past operation statuses of equipment and 155 various measurement factors by performing the analysis of measured data for continuous operation 156 of more than certain hours or operations of more than a certain number of times outside of margin of 157 error.

158 The Judgment criteria are classified generally into the empirical value, initial value, rated value, 159 standard value, and theoretical value, and they should be compared with the basic unit statistics and 160 benchmark indices of medical facility. The period evaluation is the factor analysis that determines 161 more accurate performance of equipment through complex analysis of items. It analyzes the energy 162 loads and demand profiles based on the process/service analysis provided from the system by 163 checking whether a recurrence pattern or seasonal consumption exists. Furthermore, it has a process 164 of trend analysis that determines more accurate performance of equipment through complex 165 analysis between the period evaluation items. The trend analysis method of the corresponding factor 166 for determination of the hospital building energy consumption performs the equipment-oriented 167 detailed evaluation that can identify the energy consumption patterns by equipment. In Table 4, the 168 energy-related factors and performance evaluation items were derived by equipment, and the 169 variation ranges were defined through the measured values, calculated values based on the

#### Energies 2019, 12, 3006

170 measured values, and reference values. Moreover, as shown in Table 5 and Table 6, the trend 171 analysis items examined for energy use estimation facilitate the trend analysis and analysis by item 172 based on the real collected operation data. The amount of energy used can be predicted by 173 examining the changes in energy consumption based on the set values of factors. Basically, the 174 normal/abnormal operation should be determined first, and the analysis period is set weekly, 175 monthly, or annually according to the load rate (40%, 60%, 80% and 100%), and the variation ranges 176 of factors are examined to improve the appropriate energy efficiency. Here, the data processing 177 method such as determining the ranges of including or discarding data, and the linking method with 178 the BEMS program should be investigated.

| 1 | 7 | 9 |
|---|---|---|
|---|---|---|

Table 4. Energy-related factors and performance evaluation items (by equipment).

| Equipment                   | Energy-related factors                                      | Μ  | easure | Reference value      | Ranges  |
|-----------------------------|---|----|--------|----------------------|---------|
| (1) Direct-fired absorption | CHW flow rate (Gchu)  | S  | m³/h   | Initial measured     | ±20%    |
| chiller & heater (cooling)  | Entering CHW temp. ( <i>te-chw</i> )                        | S  | °C     | Rated (7 °C)         | -       |
|                             | Leaving CHW temp. ( <i>tl-chu</i> )                         | S  | °C     | Setting              | ±20%    |
|                             | CW flow rate (Gew)  | S  | m³/h   | Initial measured     | ±20%    |
|                             | Entering CW temp. ( <i>te-cw</i> )                          | S  | °C     | Setting (37 °C)      | >36 °C  |
|                             | Leaving CW temp. ( <i>t</i> <sub>1-cw</sub> )               | S  | °C     | Rated                | <21 °C  |
|                             | Power consumption $(A_w)$                                   | S  | kW     | Rated                | -       |
|                             | Gas consumption $(A_w)$                                     | S  | Nm³/h  | Rated                | -       |
|                             | Chiller COP   | SC | -      | Rated                | -       |
|                             | Heat load rate  | SC | %      | -                    | 50-100% |
|                             | Heat balance  | SC | %      | -                    | -       |
|                             | IPLV  | SC | -      | -                    | -       |
|                             | Annual COP profile  | SC | -      | by entering CW temp. | -       |
| (2) Direct-fired absorption | HW flow rate (G <sub>hw</sub> )                             | S  | m³/h   | Initial measured     | ±20%    |
| chiller & heater (heating)  | Entering HW temp. (te-hw)                                   | S  | °C     | Rated (55 °C)        |         |
|                             | Leaving HW temp. ( <i>t1-hw</i> )                           | S  | °C     | Setting              | ±20%    |
|                             | Power consumption $(A_w)$                                   | S  | kW     | Rated                | ±20%    |
|                             | Gas consumption $(A_w)$                                     | S  | Nm³/h  | Rated                | -       |
|                             | Heater efficiency   | SC | -      | Rated                | -       |
|                             | Heat load rate  | SC | %      | -                    | 50-100% |
|                             | IPLV  | SC | -      | -                    | -       |
|                             | Annual efficiency profile                                   | SC | -      | -                    | -       |
| (3) Centrifugal             | CHW flow rate (Gchu)  | S  | m³/h   | Initial measured     | ±20%    |
| and screw chillers          | Entering CHW temp. ( <i>te-chw</i> )                        | S  | °C     | Rated (7 °C)         | -       |
|                             | Leaving CHW temp. ( <i>tl-chw</i> )                         | S  | °C     | Setting              | ±20%    |
|                             | CW flow rate ( $G_{cw}$ )                                   | S  | m³/h   | Initial measured     | ±20%    |
|                             | Entering CW temp. ( <i>te-cw</i> )                          | S  | °C     | Setting (37 °C)      | >36 °C  |
|                             | Leaving CW temp. ( <i>t</i> <sub><i>l</i>-<i>cw</i></sub> ) | S  | °C     | Rated                | <21 °C  |
|                             | Power consumption $(A_{w'})$                                | S  | kW     | Rated                | -       |
|                             | Chiller COP   | SC | -      | Rated                | -       |
|                             | Heat load rate  | SC | %      | -                    | 50-100% |
|                             | Heat balance  | SC | %      | -                    | -       |
|                             | IPLV  | SC | -      | -                    | -       |
|                             | Annual COP profile  | SC | -      | by entering CW temp. | -       |
| (4) Cooling tower           | CW flow rate (Gew)  | S  | m³/h   | Initial measured     | ±20%    |
|                             | Entering CW temp. ( <i>te-cw</i> )                          | S  | °C     | Setting (37°C)       | >36 °C  |
|                             | Leaving CW temp. ( <i>tl-cw</i> )                           | S  | °C     | Rated                | <21 °C  |
|                             | Entering DB temp. ( <i>te-DB</i> )                          | S  | °C     | -                    | -       |
|                             | Entering WB temp. ( <i>te-WB</i> )                          | S  | °C     | -                    | -       |
|                             | Fan power consumption ( $A_{w'}$ )                          | S  | kW     | Rated                | -       |
|                             | Range   | SC | -      | -                    | -       |

| rer efficiency<br>rate $(G_{hw})$<br>$V$ temp. $(t_{e-hw})$<br>$V$ temp. $(t_{l-hw})$<br>aption $(A_{w''})$<br>efficiency<br>wad rate<br>LV<br>iency profile<br>$V$ rate $(G_{e})$ | SC<br>S<br>S<br>S<br>SC<br>SC<br>SC<br>SC<br>SC   | -<br>°C<br>°C<br>N m <sup>3</sup> /h<br>-<br>%<br>-   | -<br>Initial measured<br>Rated (60°C)<br>Setting<br>Rated<br>Rated<br>-   | -<br>±20%<br>-<br>±20%<br>-<br>-<br>50–100%   |
|--|---|---|---|---|
| rate ( $G_{hw}$ )<br>$V$ temp. ( $t_{e-hw}$ )<br>$V$ temp. ( $t_{1-hw}$ )<br>nption ( $A_{w}$ ")<br>fficiency<br>wad rate<br>LV<br>iency profile                                   | S<br>S<br>S<br>SC<br>SC<br>SC<br>SC   | m <sup>3</sup> /h<br>°C<br>°C<br>N m <sup>3</sup> /h<br>-<br>%<br>-   | Initial measured<br>Rated (60°C)<br>Setting<br>Rated<br>Rated<br>-  | ±20%<br>-<br>±20%<br>-<br>-<br>50–100%  |
| V temp. $(t_{e-hw})$<br>V temp. $(t_{l-hw})$<br>nption $(A_{w}^{*})$<br>ifficiency<br>ad rate<br>LV<br>iency profile   | S<br>S<br>SC<br>SC<br>SC<br>SC  | °C<br>°C<br>N m³/h<br>-<br>%<br>-   | Rated (60°C)<br>Setting<br>Rated<br>Rated   | -<br>±20%<br>-<br>-<br>50–100%  |
| $V$ temp. $(t_{1+hw})$<br>nption $(A_{w})$<br>ifficiency<br>bad rate<br>LV<br>iency profile<br>$V$ rate $(G_{v})$  | S<br>SC<br>SC<br>SC<br>SC   | °C<br>N m³/h<br>-<br>%<br>-   | Setting<br>Rated<br>Rated<br>-  | ±20%<br>-<br>-<br>50–100%   |
| Apption $(A_{w}^{-})$<br>and rate<br>LV<br>iency profile<br>At rate $(G_{w})$  | S<br>SC<br>SC<br>SC<br>SC   | N m³/h<br>-<br>%<br>-   | Rated<br>Rated  | -<br>-<br>50–100%   |
| fficiency<br>ad rate<br>LV<br>iency profile  | SC<br>SC<br>SC<br>SC  | -<br>%<br>-   | Rated   | -<br>50–100%  |
| ad rate<br>LV<br>iency profile   | SC<br>SC<br>SC  | %   | -   | 50-100%   |
| LV<br>iency profile  | SC<br>SC  | -   |   |   |
| iency profile  | SC  |   | -   | -   |
| $v_{rate}(C_{r})$  |   | -   | -   | -   |
| in rate (Gs)   | S   | kg/h  | Initial measured  | ±20%  |
| essure ( $P_s$ )   | S   | kg/cm <sup>2</sup>  | Rated   | -   |
| nption (Aw")   | S   | Nm³/h   | Rated   | -   |
| fficiency  | SC  | -   | Rated   | -   |
| ad rate  | SC  | %   | -   | 50-100%   |
| LV   | SC  | -   | -   | -   |
| iency profile  | SC  | -   | -   | -   |
| rate (G <sub>sa</sub> )  | S   | m³/h  | Initial measured  | ±20%  |
| rate ( $G_{ra}$ )  | S   | m³/h  | Initial measured  | ±20%  |
| rate (Goa)   | S   | m³/h  | Setting   | -   |
| ow rate (Gehw)   | S   | m³/h  | Initial measured  | ±20%  |
| w rate (G <sub>hw</sub> )  | S   | m³/h  | Initial measured  | ±20%  |
|  | S   | °C  | Setting (7 °C)  | -   |
| V temp. ( <i>te-chw</i> )  | S   | °C  | Setting   | ±20%  |
| V temp. (te-chw)<br>V temp. (tl-chw)   | S   | °C  | Setting (55 °C)   | -   |
| V temp. (te-chw)<br>V temp. (tl-chw)<br>I temp. (te-hw)  |   | °C  | Setting   | ±20%  |
| V temp. (te-chw)<br>V temp. (tl-chw)<br>V temp. (te-hw)<br>I temp. (tl-hw)   | S   |   |   |   |
| 0  | rate $(G_{ra})$<br>rate $(G_{oa})$<br>ow rate $(G_{chw})$<br>ow rate $(G_{hw})$<br>W temp. $(t_{e-chw})$<br>N temp. $(t_{l-chw})$<br>V temp. $(t_{e-hw})$ | rate ( $G_{ra}$ )Srate ( $G_{ou}$ )Sow rate ( $G_{chw}$ )Sow rate ( $G_{hw}$ )SW temp. ( $t_{e-chw}$ )SN temp. ( $t_{l-chw}$ )SV temp. ( $t_{e-hw}$ )SV temp. ( $t_{l-hw}$ )S | rate ( $G_{ra}$ )S $m^3/h$ rate ( $G_{oa}$ )S $m^3/h$ ow rate ( $G_{chw}$ )S $m^3/h$ ow rate ( $G_{hw}$ )S $m^3/h$ ow rate ( $G_{hw}$ )S $^{\circ}C$ $W$ temp. ( $t_{e-chw}$ )S $^{\circ}C$ $V$ temp. ( $t_{e-hw}$ )S $^{\circ}C$ | rate $(G_{ra})$ Sm³/hInitial measuredrate $(G_{oa})$ Sm³/hSettingow rate $(G_{chw})$ Sm³/hInitial measuredow rate $(G_{hw})$ Sm³/hInitial measuredow rate $(G_{hw})$ S°CSetting $(7 °C)$ W temp. $(t_{e-chw})$ S°CSettingV temp. $(t_{e-hw})$ S°CSettingV temp. $(t_{e-hw})$ S°CSetting $(55 °C)$ V temp. $(t_{e-hw})$ S°CSetting |

# 180

 Table 5. Energy-related factors and performance evaluation items (for energy trend analysis).

| Equipment    | Energy-related factors                        | X-axis                | Y-axis                | Data type            |
|--------------|---|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| (1) Chiller  | Entering CHW temp. versus heat load rate      | Heat load rate        | Entering CHW<br>temp. | Monthly              |
| (Common)     | COP versus heat load rate                     | Heat load rate        | COP                   | Monthly              |
|              |   | Heat load rate        | COP                   | by CW temp.          |
|              | Entering CW temp. versus COP                  | Entering CW temp.     | COP                   | by heat load<br>rate |
|              |   | Entering CW temp.     | COP                   | Monthly              |
|              | Entering CHW temp. versus COP                 | Entering CHW<br>temp. | COP                   | by heat load<br>rate |
|              | COP versus outdoor air temp.                  | Outdoor air temp.     | COP                   | Monthly              |
|              | COP versus leaving CHW temp.                  | Leaving CHW temp.     | COP                   | -                    |
| (2) Boiler   | Entering HW temp. versus heat load rate(H)    | Heat load rate        | Entering HW temp.     | Monthly              |
| Hot-water(H) | Efficiency versus leaving HW<br>temp.(H)      | Leaving HW temp.      | Efficiency            | -                    |
| Steam(S)     | Entering HW temp. versus<br>efficiency(H)     | Entering HW temp.     | Efficiency            | by heat load<br>rate |
|              | Efficiency versus steam pressure (S)          | Steam pressure        | Efficiency            | -                    |
|              | Efficiency versus heat load rate              | Heat load rate        | Efficiency            | Monthly              |
|              | Efficiency versus outdoor air temp.           | Outdoor air temp.     | Efficiency            | Monthly              |
| (3) Cooling  | (Chiller) heat load rate versus<br>efficiency | Heat load rate        | Efficiency            | -                    |

| tower   | Efficiency versus entering WB temp.              | Efficiency                  | Entering WB temp.  | - |
|---------|--|-----------------------------|--------------------|---|
|         | Ranged versus entering WB temp.                  | Entering CW temp.           | Entering WB temp.  | - |
| (4) AHU | Coil entering/leaving $\varDelta T$ (CHW & HW)   | Entering/leaving $\Delta T$ | Operating time     | - |
|         | AFT versus outdoor air temp.                     | Outdoor air temp.           | AFT                | - |
|         | Water entering/leaving $\Delta T$ (CHW & HW)     | Entering/leaving $\Delta T$ |                    | - |
|         | versus air entering/leaving $\Delta T$ (SA & RA) |                             | SA & RA $\Delta T$ |   |

**Table 6.** Energy-related factors and performance evaluation items (for energy consumption analysis).

| Energy-related factors                    | X-axis | Y-axis (1)       | Y-axis (2) |     |
|---|--------|------------------|------------|-----|
| Each any innert Tatal an area consumption | Total  | operating Energy |            |     |
| Each equipment Total energy consumption   | hour   | consumption      | -          |     |
| En over consumption                       | Harry  | Energy           | Average    | air |
| Energy consumption                        | nour   | consumption      | temp.      |     |
| versus average daily outdoor air temp.    | -      | -                | -          |     |
| Energy consumption for the relevant       | t      | Energy           |            |     |
| year                                      | nour   | consumption      | -          |     |
| En anon consumption for the most seen     | Vaar   | Energy           |            |     |
| Energy consumption for the past year      | rear   | consumption      | -          |     |

183 In the next stage, the effect of the factors on the energy performance should be verified. First, 184 the mutual energy consumption effect between the factors according to the simplified system 185 configuration can be investigated by using an energy simulation tool. In other words, the changes in 186 energy consumption due to changes set values of the factors can be effectively determined. Lastly, 187 for the building energy consumption estimation, by comparing the variation trend between the 188 operation data and the simulation tool based on the changes of the same factors, their similarity is 189 investigated. Next, by using the energy simulation tool, the energy consumption of the building can 190 be estimated according to the changes of the factors by equipment.

191 3.5. Stage 5: Discovering energy saving operation factors according to the characteristics of medical facility

192 To estimate the practical and objective energy consumption reduction, the procedure and 193 method based on the prescribed measurement method are used. By discovering the ECMs suitable 194 for hospital buildings and evaluating the annual energy savings based on the statistical analysis 195 technique, the expected benefits of the energy saving item application can be derived [28]. The 196 energy consumption amount of the reference year is selected as a baseline. An energy flow map that 197 links the supply source and usage by energy source is composed, and the energy use status is 198 evaluated with respect to the monitored locations for the supply-consumption amount management. 199 Based on the constructed energy flow, the balance is examined between the supply and 200 consumption by the purpose of use and by place of use. Furthermore, by investigating the problems 201 and appropriateness of the energy balance between supply and consumption, the improvement 202 factors are discovered and the energy reduction technology is selected. To this end, a priority matrix 203 method can be applied, which can evaluate the priorities of ECMs. ECMs can be approached mainly 204 in the aspects of efficiency management, control management, and load management. Moreover, by 205 considering the requirements of hospitals, the priorities of respective energy saving factors can be 206 derived based on the major weighted scales of energy consumption, energy cost, indoor 207 environment, additional cost, and applicability. The weighted values should be established by 208 discussing based on the characteristics of the project.

## 209 4. Analysis of energy consumption and effect of hospital buildings by case study

In order to investigate the status of the hospital facility and energy usage pattern, a case study was conducted to select for medium-sized hospitals. After analyzing the status of facilities including detailed use of the facilities, utilization rate and operation time, we set the basic directions for finding energy saving factors according to the characteristics of hospital facilities based on energy usage patterns and operation methods.

## 215 *4.1. Status of target hospitals*

216 Four hospitals located in Seoul with a large scale of 30,000-100,000 square meters for analysis of 217 energy consumption were selected, which were completed from 1991 to 2011 as shown in Table 7. 218 There was no hospital that exceeded the actual service life due to continuous renovation and 219 expansion. Energy sources included electricity, city gas, local heat sources and a few renewable 220 energy sources. In general, the heating and cooling systems are determined by the energy sources 221 such as electricity, city gas, district heating, etc. Since the steam was required to supply for various 222 purposes in a hospital facility, a steam boiler was usually installed. The main air conditioning 223 system adopted a fan coil unit (FCU) and air handling unit (AHU) to meet the minimum outdoor air 224 requirements. These hospital buildings can be divided into ward, outpatient, operating room, 225 central plant, emergency room, public area, and an office. Each room has a different floor area with 226 the purpose of use. Usage time is also different with the purpose of the room, and it is generally 227 operated in the daytime (8–12 hours) or for 24 hours. Table 8 shows the ratio of the hospital building 228 area to the floor area. As a result of the total hospital floor area of four hospitals, the 24-hour 229 wardroom and 10-hour outpatient department occupied the largest area. Specifically, the wardroom 230 area of hospital A, B, C and D accounted for 24.6%, 24.1%, 69.1% and 42.9% of the total area, 231 respectively. For the area of the outpatient department, hospital A, B, C and D accounted for 25.4%, 232 26.9%, 13.5% and 15.1% of the total area, respectively. The next largest areas of hospital buildings 233 were the central plant, the public area, the operating room, the business department, the funeral 234 department, and the emergency department. It is necessary to review the energy saving techniques 235 and to determine the priority of them based on the energy consumption of each facility on site as 236 well as the efficiency and effectiveness. Generally, the system occupying a large area becomes a 237 priority target.

|                       |  | 1  | 5   |  |
|-----------------------|--|--|---|--|
| Category              | Hospital A                                 | Hospital B   | Hospital C  | Hospital D                                 |
| Building features     |  |  |   |  |
| Year built            | 2011                                       | 1991   | 2004  | 2011                                       |
| Location              | Seoul, Korea                               | Seoul, Korea   | Seoul, Korea  | Seoul, Korea                               |
| Total area            | 100121 m <sup>2</sup>                      | 75000 m <sup>2</sup>   | 30306 m <sup>2</sup>                                    | 39263 m <sup>2</sup>                       |
| Number of floors      | B4F / 13F                                  | B3F / 8F   | B2F / 7F  | B4F / 8F                                   |
| Energy source         | Electricity, city gas and district heating | Electricity and city gas   | Electricity and city gas                                | Electricity, city gas and district heating |
| Heating plant         | District heating                           | Direct-fired<br>absorption<br>chiller/heater                         | Heat (steam)<br>exchanger                               | District heating                           |
| Cooling plant         | HW driven<br>absorption<br>chiller         | Direct-fired<br>absorption<br>chiller/heater &<br>Absorption chiller | Absorption chiller                                      | Absorption chiller                         |
| Domestic hot<br>water | District heating                           | Heat (steam)<br>exchanger  | Heat (steam)<br>exchanger                               | District heating                           |
| Steam                 | Once-through steam boiler                  | Furnace smoke tube<br>& Once-through<br>steam boiler                 | Furnace smoke<br>tube<br>& Once-through<br>steam boiler | Furnace smoke<br>tube steam boiler         |
| Major HVAC<br>system  | FCU+AHU                                    | FCU+AHU  | FCU+AHU   | FCU+AHU                                    |
| System features       |  |  | 61 20   |  |

Table 7. Re

Table 7. Reference hospitals for the case study.

239

 Table 8. Zonal floor area ratio of reference hospitals.

| Category         | Department                | Hospital A | Hospital B | Hospital C | Hospital D |
|------------------|---------------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
|                  | Inpatient (ward room)     | 24.6% (2)  | 24.1% (2)  | 69.1% (1)  | 42.9% (1)  |
| 24h zone         | Emergency department      | 4.1%       | 5.0%       | 0.7%       | 1.3%       |
|                  | Funeral services          | 5.4%       | 2.8%       | 5.8% (3)   | -          |
|                  | Outpatient services       | 25.4% (1)  | 26.9% (1)  | 13.5% (2)  | 15.1% (3)  |
| 10h zone         | Operation theatre         | 12.6% (4)  | 7.6%       | 3.2%       | 3.1%       |
|                  | Central supply department | 15.2% (3)  | 12.2% (4)  | 3.1%       | 8.9% (4)   |
|                  | Public area & lobby       | 5.8%       | 16.3% (3)  | 4.6% (4)   | 21.4% (2)  |
|                  | Office                    | 6.8%       | 3.4%       | -          | 4.3%       |
|                  | Laboratory                | -          | 1.6%       | -          | 2.9%       |
| Zone<br>features |                           |            |            |            |            |

|  | Inpatient | Outpatient | Operation<br>theatre | Central supply | Public area | Office |
|--|-----------|------------|----------------------|----------------|-------------|--------|
|--|-----------|------------|----------------------|----------------|-------------|--------|

## 240 4.2. Total energy consumption

The baseline of the total energy consumption of hospitals was collected and analyzed by energy source for the last three years from 2015 to 2017. Although there may be a difference between hospitals, the average energy consumption per unit area of the baseline was 76.1 kgoe/m<sup>2</sup>. Table 9 shows the energy consumption by the total energy and floor area. Hospital A consumes 4104 TOE per year, 78.3% of electricity, 12.6% of district heating and 9.1% of gas. The average energy use per unit area was 41.0 kgoe/m<sup>2</sup>, which is less than half of the average value of medical facilities.

| <u> 1</u> | 7 |
|-----------|---|
| 24        | 1 |

Table 9. Total energy use and energy analysis by energy sources (Level 1).

| Category   |                                  | Electricity |         | District heat |         | Gas(LNG)        |         | Baseline |          |
|------------|----------------------------------|-------------|---------|---------------|---------|-----------------|---------|----------|----------|
|            |                                  | kWh         | kgoe    | kWh           | kgoe    | Nm <sup>3</sup> | kgoe    | kgoe     | kWh      |
|            | Total energy use                 | 14031729    | 3213266 | 6453567       | 516192  | 363730          | 374278  | 4103736  | 46789881 |
| Hospital A | Energy use per (m <sup>2</sup> ) | 140.1       | 32.1    | 64.5          | 5.2     | 3.6             | 3.7     | 41.0     | 467.3    |
|            | Ratio by energy source           | -           | (78.3%) | -             | (12.6%) | -               | (9.1%)  | (100%)   | -        |
| Hospital B | Total energy use                 | 22140947    | 5070277 | -             | -       | 1906235         | 1961516 | 7031793  | 83725000 |
|            | Energy use per (m <sup>2</sup> ) | 295.2       | 67.6    | -             | -       | 25.4            | 26.2    | 93.8     | 1116.3   |
|            | Ratio by energy source           | -           | (72.1%) | -             | -       |                 | (27.9%) | (100%)   | -        |
| Hospital C | Total energy use                 | 3596299     | 823552  | -             | -       | 794723          | 817770  | 1641322  | 19203902 |
|            | Energy use per (m <sup>2</sup> ) | 118.7       | 27.2    | -             | -       | 26.2            | 27.0    | 54.2     | 633.7    |
|            | Ratio by energy source           | -           | (50.2%) | -             | -       | -               | (49.8%) | (100%)   | -        |
| Hospital D | Total energy use                 | 5198799     | 1190525 | 4891800       | 391274  | 165989          | 170802  | 1752601  | 19199607 |
|            | Energy use per (m <sup>2</sup> ) | 132.4       | 30.3    | 124.6         | 10.0    | 4.2             | 4.4     | 44.6     | 489.0    |
|            | Ratio by energy source           | -           | (67.9%) | -             | (22.3%) | -               | (9.7%)  | (100%)   | -        |

248 In hospital B, energy consumption has increased by more than 3% each year for the past three 249 years, and the energy consumption per unit area is 131 kgoe/m<sup>2</sup> using 72.1% of power and 27.9% 250 more than 1.7 times the energy use. In the case of the elderly care hospital C, the energy 251 consumption per unit area is 54.2 kgoe/m<sup>2</sup> which is 71.2% of the average of the medical facilities, and 252 the total energy use is 1641 TOE with 50.2% of electricity and 49.8% of gas. Finally, hospital D 253 consumed 67.9% of electricity, 22.3% of district heating, 9.7% of gas, and consumed 1753 TOE per 254 year, 44.6 kgoe/m<sup>2</sup> (59% of the average). It is difficult to find a normalized pattern of energy 255 consumption because the four target hospitals operate differently considering their medical 256 functional characteristics. Thus, it is necessary to specify the detailed analysis of the energy use rate 257 by facilities and the effective energy flow chart analysis.

## 258 4.3. Detailed energy consumption

259 First, an energy flow map was created for the monthly energy supply and demand of the target 260 hospital and the detailed energy use status was assessed by the actual measurement for supply and 261 demand sides. Then, the energy flow of gas, electricity, and district heating by sources was analyzed. 262 Finally, the energy use ratio and contribution ratio by the system were calculated. Figure 5 shows an 263 example of analyzing various energy flows and system load (energy) contribution of hospital A. 264 Based on this energy flow chart, the energy balance of the supply and consumption for each use can 265 be examined, and the problem of energy flow can be identified. The final step is to determine the 266 improvement and energy reduction technologies.



Figure 5. Energy flow charts for a reference hospital A: (a) Electricity and (b) district heat and city
 gas.

# 269 (1) Electricity consumption for use

As shown in Figure 6, hospital A used 33.8% of its total electricity in lighting/plug, 37.6% in emergency power and 10.8% in UPS, 7.7% in medical equipment, and 6.9% in machinery power, respectively. Hospital B used 33.5% of total electricity in lighting/plug, 25.5% in air-conditioning, and 15.1% in medical equipment. Hospital C showed similar weight in the order of 27.7% in air conditioning, 27% in lighting/plug, 23% in medical examination and diagnosis equipment and 22.3% in mechanical power similar to hospital B. Hospital D used 42.6% of total electricity in lighting/plug, 23.5% in mechanical power, 14.3% in medical equipment and 15.3% of emergency power.







Figure 6. Power usage ratio by systems in reference hospitals.

## 278 (2) Thermal energy consumption for the hospital facility

279 Since the thermal energy consumption of a hospital building should be measured directly by 280 the equipment that supplies the heat source from the central plant, it is very difficult to calculate the 281 amount of heating and cooling energy for each system. Thus, the energy flow map can be used to 282 assess energy usage by each equipment more specifically. Based on the system configuration and 283 equipment list of the four hospitals, the energy consumption ratio of each system was analyzed by 284 the total energy used in the central plant. Figure 7 shows the predicted usage rate of air conditioning, 285 heating and cooling energy by zone. Hospital A consumed 33.4% of the total thermal energy in the 286 inpatient zone because of the long operating time compared with the area, and 18.3% in 10 h of the 287 outpatient zone and 14.2% in 10 h of the central supply department, respectively. Hospital B showed 288 the highest energy consumption 54.4% in the inpatient zone, 12.2% in the public zone and 9.7% in 289 the outpatient zone, respectively. The small hospital C and medium hospital D consumed the 290 highest energy of 75.2% in the inpatient zone due to the large floor area and long usage time. 291 Furthermore, energy consumptions in the outpatient zone were 9.1% in hospital C and 6.0% in 292 hospital D.





Figure 7. Thermal (heating/cooling) load contribution rate by zones.

295 As shown in Figure 8, the energy consumption of hospital A using the district heating system 296 was analyzed for 54.6% of the total district energy in domestic hot water, 31.8% in heating and 13.5% 297 in cooling, respectively. For electricity, 48.1% of the total electricity in circulation pump, 35.2% of 298 AHU fan, 10.1% in heat and cooling equipment, 3.8% in other air-conditioning equipment and 2.9% 299 in the FCU fan, respectively. Hospital D consumed the energy around 4.5% of the total district 300 energy in cooling, 28.7% in domestic hot water (28.7%) and heating (25.8%). This hospital also 301 consumed the electricity 68.9% in the circulating pump, 22.1% in the heat and cooling equipment, 302 0.8% in the AHU and FCU fan, 0.5% in other air-conditioning equipment, respectively. Hospital B 303 based on the gas heat source consumed 38.4% of the total gas energy in the once-through steam 304 boiler, 34.7% in the furnace smoke tube boiler and 26.9% in the absorption heat pump, respectively. 305 In addition, the electricity of this hospital consumed 67.2% in the circulation pump, 13.8% in heating 306 and cooling equipment, 16.6% in the AHU fan, and 2.3% in the FCU fan, respectively. Finally, the 307 gas consumption of hospital C was 76.3% in the furnace smoke tube boiler and 23.7% in the 308 once-through steam boiler. For electricity, this hospital consumed 40.6% in the circulation pump, 309 30.5% in AHU fan, 27.6% in heating and cooling equipment, 0.7% in the FCU fan, and 0.6% in other 310 air-conditioning equipment, respectively.

## 311 *4.4. The differences in energy consumption*



312

Figure 8. Power and thermal energy usage ratio by equipment in reference buildings.

313 Big differences can be seen in the current energy consumptions in each hospital. As a result of 314 the case study on the analysis of the gross and detailed energy consumption in four hospital 315 buildings, the analysis of the energy total amount and the detailed energy usage, there are various 316 obstacles to apply energy saving techniques. In terms of the diversity of the hospital buildings, those 317 are divided into three categories according to the size of the building such as the number of beds, the 318 purpose of use (configuration of the facility area), the energy source, the usage ratio and the 319 equipment configuration. Thus, there is a limit to apply uniform energy saving techniques to these 320 buildings. Table 10 shows the diversity of energy consumption structure by case study. In addition, 321 there are limitations in the system of energy conservation activities of managers and users such as 322 energy consumption level of the hospital, application subject, application target, and application 323 method, scope of application and reduction target in the system of energy saving operation. 324 Therefore, it is important to effectively utilize various energy conservation activities of the medical 325 facility in order to achieve the reduction target by effectively setting it for the hospital, and it is 326 important to accurately understand the energy consumption structure. Energy conservation 327 activities should be the process of realizing energy saving operation according to the characteristics 328 of hospital building thermal load (energy consumption), the use of facilities, and operating pattern.

329

| Category          |   | Hospital A               | Hospital B               | Hospital C               | Hospital D               |
|-------------------|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Total Area        |   | 100,121 m <sup>2</sup>   | 75,000 m <sup>2</sup>    | 30,306 m <sup>2</sup>    | 39,263 m <sup>2</sup>    |
| Zonal floor       | 1 | Outpatient (25.4%)       | Outpatient (26.9%%)      | Inpatient (69.1%)        | Inpatient (42.9%)        |
| area ratio        | 2 | Inpatient (24.6%)        | Inpatient (24.1%%)       | Outpatient (13.5%)       | Public area (21.4%)      |
|                   | 3 | Central supply (15.2%)   | Public area (16.3%)      | Funeral services (5.8%)  | Outpatient (15.1%)       |
| Energy use        | 1 | Electricity (78.3%)      | Electricity (72.1%)      | Electricity (50.2%)      | Electricity (67.9%)      |
| by energy sources | 2 | District heat (12.6%)    | LNG (27.9%)              | LNG (49.8%)              | District heat (22.3%)    |
|                   | 3 | LNG (9.1%)               | -                        | -                        | LNG (9.7%)               |
| Energy use        | 1 | Inpatient (33.4%)        | Inpatient (54.4%)        | Inpatient (75.2%)        | Inpatient (78.0%)        |
| by zone           | 2 | Outpatient (18.3%)       | Public area (12.2%)      | Funeral services (9.2%)  | Outpatient (6.0%)        |
|                   | 3 | Central supply (14.2%)   | Outpatient (9.7%)        | Outpatient (9.1%)        | Public area (5.2%)       |
| Power usage ratio | 1 | Lighting/plug (33.8%)    | Lighting/plug (33.5%)    | HVAC (27.7%)             | Lighting/plug (17.2%)    |
| by systems        | 2 | HVAC (6.9%)              | HVAC (25.0%)             | Lighting/plug (27.0%)    | HVAC (4.5%)              |
|                   | - | Medical devices (9.2%)   | Medical devices (15.1%)  | Medical devices (23.0%)  | Medical devices (14.3%)  |
| Total energy      |   | 4,104 TOE                | 7,032 TOE                | 1,641 TOE                | 1,753 TOE                |
| (baseline)        |   | 41.0 kgoe/m <sup>2</sup> | 93.8 kgoe/m <sup>2</sup> | 54.2 kgoe/m <sup>2</sup> | 44.6 kgoe/m <sup>2</sup> |
|                   |   |                          |                          |                          |                          |

Table 10. Comparative assessment of energy usage for reference hospitals.

Hospital average: 76.1 kgoe/m<sup>2</sup>

## 330 5. Discussion and Conclusion

331 The use of benchmarking in the energy audit of hospital facilities enables immediate 332 comparison between hospitals. Data driven analysis allows ascertaining their expected energy 333 consumption and estimating the possible savings margin. In the 2015–2017 periods, energy audits of 334 four public hospitals in Seoul analyzed the energy consumption related to weather conditions, total 335 area, bed numbers, employee numbers, and by zones, energy sources, systems and equipment. In 336 this study, energy efficiency factors are divided into energy baselines (Baseline), energy 337 consumption goals for energy saving goals and energy consumption pattern for setting ECMs, 338 respectively. The results of this study are as follows:

- (1) The method of the energy audit and data-driven analysis for the hospital building energy saving is largely required to find the energy saving operation factor according to the characteristics of the medical facility through the setting of the energy evaluation standard of the target facility system and the smart energy analysis of the hospital building.
- (2) Detailed information on the field survey and preliminary evaluation items and methods,
  remote measurement energy data collection, energy related factors and performance
  evaluation items, and detailed analysis method of the energy of the hospital building is
  presented.
- (3) As a result of analyzing the energy structure of four hospitals in Seoul, energy consumption
  highly varied with the size of building, the area of the used facility, the type of energy
  source, the usage ratio and the main equipment configuration.
- (4) Various energy reduction activities in a hospital building should be prioritized to achieve
   the reduction target effectively in accordance with the hospital.
- (5) As future work, it will be necessary to develop an energy saving operation guideline that
   can perform effective energy saving activities of the hospital through a procedure to
   analyze the concrete energy consumption structure of the hospital building.

The indicator dependent on the area of the inpatient (number of beds) proved to be the most suitable as a reference to quantify the energy consumption of a hospital. Although there are many technical methods for improving energy efficiency, a hospital's energy auditors should begin by considering the more simple measures. Improvements or changes made in one energy system can often affect other energy systems. Therefore, thorough systems analysis and consideration is necessary before any modifications are implemented. Data driven monitoring, as much as control of

- 361 the energy situation, is the key to success. It will provide information from which the technical
- 362 management can detect malfunctions and make recommendations for further improvements.
- 363 Author Contributions: D.K.H. and J.C. contributed to the research idea, J.C. conducted the analysis, D.K.H.
- 364 supervised the analysis, J.C. and J.M. wrote the paper, and J.M. reviewed the paper.
- 365 Funding: This research received no external funding.
- 366 **Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.
- 367 References
- Korea Energy Economics Institute. 2014 Energy Consumption Survey; Korea Ministry of Trade, Industry &
   Energy: Sejong, Korea, 2015.
- DOE/EIA-0484, International Energy Outlook 2013; U.S. Energy Information Administration: Washington,
   DC, USA, 2013.
- Korea Energy Economics Institute. 2013 Yearbook of Regional Energy Statistics; Korea Ministry of Trade,
   Industry & Energy: Sejong, Korea, 2014.
- Energy Information Administration. *Commercial Building Energy Consumption Survey*; U.S. Department of
   Energy: Washington, DC, USA, 2003.
- Fe'rez-Lombard, L.; Ortiz, J.; Pout, C. A review on buildings energy consumption information. *Energy Build.* 2008, 40, 394–398.
- Bonnema, E.; Studer, D.; Parker, A.; Pless, S.; Torcellini, P. Large Hospital 50% Energy Savings: Technical Support Document; National Renewable Energy Laboratory: Golden, CO, USA, 2010.
- 380 7. 2018 Korea Energy Agency Handbook; Korea Energy Agency: Ulsan, Korea, 2018.
- Korea Energy Economics Institute. *Survey on Energy Consumption in Buildings;* Korea Ministry of Trade,
   Industry & Energy: Sejong, Korea, 2015.
- Cho, J.; Moon, J.; Rhee, K.; Kang H. Energy consumption characteristics of patient room HVAC systems for large hospital buildings in worldwide climate zones. *J. Archit. Inst. Korea* 2015, *31*, 171–180.

10. Cho, J.; Moon, J.; Kang H. Energy Performance Analysis for Energy Saving Potentials of a Hospital
Building: A Case Study Methodology Based on Annual Energy Demand Profiles. *Korean J. Air Cond. Refrig. Eng.* 2017, 29, 29–37.

- Biglia, A.; Caredda, F.V.; Fabrizio, E.; Filippic, M.; Mandas, N. Technical-economic feasibility of CHP
  systems in large hospitals through the Energy Hub method: The case of Cagliari AOB. *Energy Build*. 2017,
  147, 101–112.
- Wang, T.; Li, X.; Liao, P.C.; Fang, D. Building energy efficiency for public hospitals and healthcare
   facilities in China: Barriers and drivers. *Energy* 2016, 103, 588–597.
- Christiansen, N.; Kaltschmitt, M.; Dzukowski, F. Electrical energy consumption and utilization time
   analysis of hospital departments and large scale medical equipment. *Energy Build*. 2016, *131*, 172–183.
- Congradac, V.; Prebiracevic, B.; Petrovacki, N. Methods for assessing energy savings in hospitals using
   various control techniques. *Energy Build*. 2014, 69, 85–92.
- Ascione, F.; Bianco, N.; Stasio, C.; Mauro, G.M.; Vanoli, G.P. Multi-stage and multi-objective optimization
   for energy retrofitting a developed hospital reference building: A new approach to assess cost-optimality.
   *Appl. Energy* 2016, 174, 37–68.
- 400 16. González, A.; García-Sanz-Calcedo, J.; Salgado, D.R. A quantitative analysis of final energy consumption
  401 in hospitals in Spain. *Sustain. Cities Soc.* 2018, *36*, 169–175.
- Teke, A.; Timur, O. Assessing the energy efficiency improvement potentials of HVAC systems
  considering economic and environmental aspects at the hospitals. *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* 2014, 33,
  224–235.
- Vanhoudt, D.; Desmedt, J.; Bael, J.V.; Robeyn, N.; Hoes, H. An aquifer thermal storage system in a
  Belgian hospital: Long-term experimental evaluation of energy and cost savings. *Energy Build*. 2011, 43,
  3657–3665.
- 408 19. Ma, H.; Du, N.; Yu, S.; Lu, W.; Zhang, Z.; Deng, N.; Li, C. Analysis of typical public building energy
  409 consumption in northern China. *Energy Build.* 2017, *136*, 139–150.

- Papantoniou, S.; Kolokotsa, D.; Kalaitzakis, K. Building optimization and control algorithms
  implemented in existing BEMS using a web based energy management and control system. *Energy Build*.
  2015, 98, 45–55.
- 413 21. Lee, D.; Cheng C. Energy savings by energy management systems: A review. *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.*414 2016, 56, 760–777.
- Shen, C.; Zhao, K.; Ge, J.; Zhou, Q. Analysis of Building Energy Consumption in a Hospital in the Hot
  Summer and Cold Winter Area. *Energy Procedia* 2019, *158*, 3735–3740.
- 417 23. Thinate, N.; Wongsapai, W.; Damrongsak, D. Energy Performance Study in Thailand Hospital Building,
  418 *Energy Procedia* 2017, 141, 255–259.
- Alonso, S.; Morán, A.; Prada, M.Á.; Reguera, P.; José, J.F.; Domínguez, M. A Data-Driven Approach for
  Enhancing the Efficiency in Chiller Plants: A Hospital Case Study. *Energies* 2019, *12*, 827,
  doi:10.3390/en12050827.
- 422 25. González, A.; García-Sanz-Calcedo, J.; Salgado, D.R. Evaluation of Energy Consumption in German
  423 Hospitals: Benchmarking in the Public Sector. *Energies* 2018, *11*, 2279, doi:10.3390/en11092279.
- 424 26. García-Sanz-Calcedo, J.; Gómez-Chaparro, M. Quantitative analysis of the impact of maintenance
  425 management on the energy consumption of a hospital in Extremadura (Spain). *Sustain. Cities Soc.* 2017, 30,
  426 217–222.
- 427 27. Cho, J.; Shin, S.; Kim, J.; Hong, H. Development of an energy evaluation methodology to make multiple
  428 predictions of the HVAC&R system energy demand for office buildings. *Energy Build*. 2014, *76*, 169–183.
- 429 28. ASHRAE. Advanced Energy Design Guide for Large Hospitals; American Society of Heating, Refrigerating and
   430 Air-Conditioning Engineers, Inc: Atlanta, GA, USA, 2012.



© 2019 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

431