Supplementary Materials for

Application of sulfated tin (IV) oxide solid superacid catalyst to partial coupling reaction of α -pinene to produce less viscous highdensity fuel

Seong-Min Cho¹, Chang-Young Hong², Se-Yeong-Park³, Da-Song Lee¹, June-Ho Choi¹, Bonwook Koo⁴, In-Gyu Choi^{1,5,6*}

- ¹ Department of Forest Sciences, College of Agriculture and Life Sciences, Seoul National University, Seoul 08826, Republic of Korea,
- ² Department of Forest Biomaterials, College of Natural Resources, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, North Carolina 27695, USA,
- ³Department of Forest Biomaterials Engineering, College of Forest & Environment Sciences, Kangwon National University, Chuncheon 24341, Republic of Korea,
- ⁴ Intelligent & Sustainable Materials R&D Group, Korea Institute of Industrial Technology (KITECH), Cheonan 31056, Republic of Korea,
- ⁵ Research Institute of Agriculture and Life Sciences, College of Agriculture and Life Sciences, Seoul National University, Seoul 08826, Republic of Korea,
- ⁶ Institutes of Green-Bio Science and Technology, Seoul National University, Pyeongchang 25354, Republic of Korea.

* Correspondence: cingyu@snu.ac.kr; Tel.: 82-2-880-4785 (I.-G. Choi)

Experimental Details

Materials

All purchased chemicals were used without further purification. Tin (IV) chloride pentahydrate (SnCl₄·5H₂O, 98%) and sulfuric acid (H₂SO₄, 95%) were purchased from SAMCHUN chemical. Ammonium acetate (CH₃COONH₄, 95%) was purchased from DUKSAN chemical. Turpentine (α -pinene≥95% by GC) and ammonia solution (NH₄OH, 28%) were purchased from JUNSEI chemical.

Catalyst characterization

The X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of the catalysts were collected using a D8 ADVANCE equipment (BRUKER, German) with monochromatized Cu K α_1 (λ = 1.5418 Å) radiation source (40 kV and 40 mA), the samples were scanned in steps of 0.02° in the 2 θ range 2°-80° with a rate of 0.5 sec/step.

Field-emission scanning electron microscopy (FE-SEM) was carried out with SUPRA 55VP equipment (Carl Zeiss, Germany). The samples were sputter coated with platinum for 5 nm thickness at 20 mA using Leica EM ACE200 equipment (Leica Microsystems, Germany).

Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was conducted with a TGA Q50 instrument (TA Instruments, USA) under nitrogen gas flowing. The samples were held at 120 °C for 10 min to remove physically adsorbed water. After that, the temperature increased to 800 °C at a rate of 10 °C/min, weight loss being recorded.

The temperature-programmed desorption of ammonia (NH₃-TPD) was performed with BELCAT-II catalyst analyzer (Microtrac BEL, Japan). Briefly, the catalysts were pretreated at 150 °C under flowing He (50 mL/min) for 60 min to remove any volatile compounds and moisture. The pretreated samples were cooled to 50 °C and saturated with 5% NH₃ in He flow (50 mL/min) and, subsequently, purged with He (50 mL/min) at 150 °C for 60 min to remove excessive physiosorbed NH₃. After that, the temperature was ramped up to 800 °C at a rate of 10 °C/min, chemisorbed NH₃ being detected with a thermal conductivity detector (TCD).

Operating condition for GC/FID/MS

In general, 1.0 µL of the diluted sample was injected into injector at 250 °C (splitless). For gas chromatography, oven temperature was initially 50 °C for 5 min, then increased to 250 °C at 5 °C/min, and was maintained at 250 °C for 10 min. Carrier gas was helium at a flow rate of 2 mL/min. For flame ionization detector, temperature was set at 300 °C and the flow rate of make-up gas (helium) was 25 mL/min. For mass spectrometry detector, an electron ionization mode was used and the temperatures of transfer line, ion source, and quadruple mass filter were 280, 230, and 150 °C, respectively.

Supplementary Figures



Figure S1. (**a-b**) Energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) spectra and (**c-d**) elemental quantitative data obtained from intact SnO₂ and SO_{4²}/SnO₂. The samples were calcined at 550 °C. The sulfur atoms which were introduced by sulfuric acid immersion before calcination was only detected from the SO_{4²}/SnO₂ sample. (**e**) Much higher resolution image of SEM-EDS elemental mapping of SO_{4²}/SnO₂.



Figure S2. TGA curves of intact SnO_2 (black line) and SO_{4^2}/SnO_2 (red dashed line).



Figure S3. The yields of the monomeric products along the reaction time at (a) 100°C and (b) 110°C :(**•**) for α -terpinene; (**•**) for *p*-cymene; (**•**) for limonene; (**•**) for γ -terpinene; (**•**) for terpinolene; (**•**) for isoterpinolene; (**•**) for *p*-menth-3,8-diene; (*****) for α -pinene.



Figure S4. General chromatogram of dimeric products extracted from GC/FID result. They were classified by their specific m/z 272 peak in mass fragmentation pattern as in previous papers [1].

References

[1] (a) Harvey, B. G.; Wright, M. E.; Quintana, R. L. Energy & Fuels, 2009, 24(1), 267-273; (b) Zou, J. J.; Chang, N; Zhang, X.; Wang, L. ChemCatChem, 2012, 4, 1289-1297; (c) Meylemans, H. A.; Quintana, R. L.; Harvey, B. G. Fuel, 2012, 97, 560-568; (d) Arias-Ugarte, R.; Wekesa, F. S.; Schunemann, S.; Findlater, M. Energy & Fuels, 2015, 29, 8162-8167.