

Review



Dose–Volume Constraints fOr oRganS at Risk in Radiotherapy (CORSAIR): An "All-in-One" Multicenter–Multidisciplinary Practical Summary

Silvia Bisello ^{1,2,*,†}, Savino Cilla ^{3,†}, Anna Benini ^{1,2}, Raffaele Cardano ^{1,2}, Nam P. Nguyen ⁴, Francesco Deodato ⁵, Gabriella Macchia ⁵, Milly Buwenge ¹, Silvia Cammelli ^{1,2}, Tigeneh Wondemagegnehu ⁶, A. F. M. Kamal Uddin ⁷, Stefania Rizzo ⁸, Alberto Bazzocchi ⁹, Lidia Strigari ¹⁰ and Alessio G. Morganti ^{1,2}

- ¹ Department of Experimental, Diagnostic and Specialty Medicine-DIMES, Alma Mater Studiorum University of Bologna, 40128 Bologna, Italy
- ² Radiation Oncology, IRCCS Azienda Ospedaliero-Universitaria di Bologna, 40128 Bologna, Italy
- ³ Medical Physics Unit, Gemelli Molise Hospital-Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore, 86100 Campobasso, Italy
- ⁴ Department of Radiation Oncology, Howard University College of Medicine, Washington, DC 20059, USA
- Radiation Oncology Unit, Gemelli Molise Hospital-Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore, 86100 Campobasso, Italy
- ⁶ Department of Radiation Oncology, Addis Ababa University, Addis Ababa 1000, Ethiopia
- ⁷ Department of Radiation Oncology, United Hospital Limited, Dhaka 1205, Bangladesh
- Service of Radiology, Imaging Institute of Southern Switzerland, Ente Ospedaliero Cantonale (EOC),
 6500 Lugano, Switzerland
- ⁹ Diagnostic and Interventional Radiology, IRCCS Istituto Ortopedico Rizzoli, 40136 Bologna, Italy
- ¹⁰ Medical Physics Unit, IRCCS Azienda Ospedaliero-Universitaria di Bologna, 40128 Bologna, Italy
- * Correspondence: silvia.bisello11@gmail.com
- ⁺ These authors contributed equally to the manuscript and share the first authorship.

Abstract: Background: The safe use of radiotherapy (RT) requires compliance with dose/volume constraints (DVCs) for organs at risk (OaRs). However, the available recommendations are sometimes conflicting and scattered across a number of different documents. Therefore, the aim of this work is to provide, in a single document, practical indications on DVCs for OaRs in external beam RT available in the literature. Material and Methods: A multidisciplinary team collected bibliographic information on the anatomical definition of OaRs, on the imaging methods needed for their definition, and on DVCs in general and in specific settings (curative RT of Hodgkin's lymphomas, postoperative RT of breast tumors, curative RT of pediatric cancers, stereotactic ablative RT of ventricular arrythmia). The information provided in terms of DVCs was graded based on levels of evidence. Results: Over 650 papers/documents/websites were examined. The search results, together with the levels of evidence, are presented in tabular form. Conclusions: A working tool, based on collected guidelines on DVCs in different settings, is provided to help in daily clinical practice of RT departments. This could be a first step for further optimizations.

Keywords: literature review; radiotherapy; organs at risk; dose-volume constraints; guideline

Citation: Bisello, S.; Cilla, S.; Benini, A.; Cardano, R.; Nguyen, N.P.; Deodato, F.; Macchia, G.; Buwenge, M.; Cammelli, S.; Wondemagegnhu, T.; et al. Dose–Volume Constraints fOr oRganS at Risk in Radiotherapy (CORSAIR): An "All-in-One" Multicenter-Multidisciplinary Practical Summary. *Curr. Oncol.* **2022**, *29*, 7021–7050. https://doi.org/10.3390/ curroncol29100552

Received: 5 August 2022 Accepted: 22 September 2022 Published: 27 September 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Radiation therapy (RT) is an effective cancer treatment. However, like any other therapy, RT is associated with the risk of side effects. In particular, RT can produce both early (acute) and delayed (late) damage to organs at risk (OaRs). Therefore, since the early applications of RT, interest has grown in ways to reduce radiation-induced toxicity.

In particular, starting from the 1970s, progressively more detailed indications on safe dose limits became available in the literature. In fact, after the pioneering work of Rubin and Cassaret [1] and the historical so-called Emami's paper [2], the Quantitative Analyses of Normal Tissue Effects in the Clinic (QUANTEC) guidelines [3–5], based on dose/volume constraints (DVCs), were published in 2010. Subsequently, with the growing interest in hypofractionated treatments, especially delivered with stereotactic techniques, several recommendations were published on DVCs to be used with this dose fractionation [6–10]. In addition, specific DVC guidelines were published in particular clinical settings, such as Hodgkin's lymphomas [11], breast carcinomas [12–14], and pediatric cancers [15–20]. Finally, in the last few years, in parallel with the introduction of stereotactic ablative RT of ventricular arrythmia (STAR) [21–23], specific DVCs for this treatment were also proposed [6,8,21,22,24]. Therefore, a large number of guidelines or recommendations is now available to guide RT prescription and treatment plan evaluation and comparison.

Unfortunately, this information is contained in a plethora of sometimes conflicting publications. Therefore, a quick consultation to find clear and unambiguous indications is not always easy. Therefore, the aim of this work is to present, in a single document, practical indications on DVCs for different clinical settings and dose fractionations.

2. Materials and Methods

For the purposes of this project (CORSAIR: dose–volume constraints for organs at risk in radiotherapy), a multidisciplinary working group was established, including radiation oncologists, medical physicists, and radiologists. Colleagues from other Italian, American, African, and Asian centers were added to a first original nucleus made up of staff from our center (Bologna University). In particular, the contribution of some colleagues from developing countries was requested in order to verify the possibility of clearly and correctly interpreting the recommendations also in low-medium-resourced settings.

A literature search was performed in March 2022, using PubMed and without time limits, with different combinations of the following keywords: "dose/volume", "constraints", "organs at risk", and "radiotherapy". Only papers in English were considered. Moreover, the bibliographic list of one hundred and twenty publications was screened in order to identify other relevant sources. Furthermore, for convenience, only recommendations based on simple indications of dose and volume limit values (or percentages) were included in this collection. In addition, we consulted the National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) guidelines in all cases in which, in the indication of radiotherapy, the DCVs of specific OaRs were presented and precisely in the case of lymphomas [11], lung cancers [25] and tumors of the esophagus [26], stomach [27], and anus [28]. The Global Quality Assurance of Radiation Therapy Clinical Trials Harmonization Group (GHG) contouring guidelines were used as the reference list and nomenclature system of the OaRs [29]. Furthermore, the OaR anatomical descriptions and landmarks were extracted from the same document and shown with the DVCs in tabular form. The anatomical descriptions were classified by level of reliability in their use for DVC evaluation. The classification was performed as follows: α : international guidelines or expert consensus in RT contouring, β: validated anatomical description for RT contouring from single institution, γ : anatomical or radiological descriptions from dedicated books or papers, δ : anatomical definition for RT contouring used in planning studies.

The results recorded during this research were independently verified by three authors from different centers and then summarized in tabular form. In the tables we referred to a different modality of fractionation and in particular to conventional fractionation, moderate hypofractionation, and ultra-hypofractionation. However, it should be considered that the definitions of fractionation refer to the dose per fraction administered to the tumor, which is generally different from that to the OaRs. Therefore, particular caution is required in the use of information contained in the tables, as well as the radiobiological knowledge on the impact of the different fractions and the clinical experience in this topic. In particular, six different tables related to different RT treatment settings were drawn up. In addition, together with the recommended DVC values, we reported the grade of recommendation (for example: mandatory, recommended, optimal, or acceptable) if included in the reference publication. Moreover, the optimal imaging technique for delineating the specific OaR was included in the tables if included in the selected publications. In addition, in order to provide users with a critical assessment of the DVCs, we categorized the source of recommendation as follows: (A) international guidelines; (B) literature reviews on clinical or planning studies; (C) data from the results of clinical or planning studies; (D) expert opinions or DVCs used in prospective trials. Moreover, when different sources presented different values of the same DVC, we included in the tables only the one with the highest level of evidence. Therefore, we included recommendations with "B-D" source of recommendation only in case of lack of level "A" DVCs.

Finally, common abbreviations in the literature were used in the tables: V = volume receiving a dose \geq Gy, D = dose received by % of the organ volume, D = dose received by γ cm3 (the cubic centimeters) of the organ volume, DMAX = maximum dose received by the organ, DMEAN = mean dose received by the organ. Volumes and doses were expressed as percentage (%) or absolute values (cm³ or Gy, respectively).

3. Results

Six hundred and seventy-five papers/documents/websites were examined. The results of our search are shown in Table 1 (DVCs for all treatments), Table 2 (anatomical description of organs at risk). Supplementary Table S1 (DVCs for emerging OaRs), Supplementary Table S2 (DVCs for RT of Hodgkin's lymphoma), Supplementary Table S3 (DVCs for RT of breast cancers), Supplementary Table S4 (DVCs for RT of pediatric tumors), and Supplementary Table S5 (DVCs for stereotactic ablative RT of ventricular arrythmia) are available as Supplementary Material.

Table 1. General dose–volume constraints for adult patients (organ nomenclature based on the Global Quality Assurance of Radiation Therapy Clinical Trials Harmonization Group (GHG) contouring guidelines [29]).

Constraints (Conven-		Constraints (Hypofractionation)			
Organ	tional Fractionation) *	1 Fraction	3 Fractions	5 Fractions	8 Fractions
	D _{MEAN} < 40 Gy [30] (C)				
Anal Canal	[31] (B)				
	$V_{20 Gy} < 75\%$ [32] (C)				
Anterior Descend-	$V_{\rm rec} < 10\%$ [22] (C)				
ing Artery	$V_{15}Gy \le 10.76[55](C)$				
	For bladder cancer	Dose to		Dose to bladder-wall:	
	treatment:	bladder- wall: Dose to bladder-	D _{MAX(0.1 cm3)} < 38 Gy [6]		
	Dмах < 65 Gy;		(A);		
	For prostate cancer	$D_{MAX(0.1 \text{ cm}3)} <$	$D_{MAX(0.1 \text{ cm}3)} < Wall.$	D15 cm3 <18.3 Gy;	
Bladder	treatment:	18.4 Gy [6] $D_{MAX(0.1 \text{ cm}3)} < 28.2 \text{ Gy}$		[7] (A)	
	$V_{80 Gy} < 15\%;$	(A);	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \end{bmatrix} (A),$	For primary prostate	
	$V_{75 Gy} < 25\%;$	$V_{11.4 Gy} < 15$	$V_{11.4 \text{ Gy}} < 15$ $D_{15 \text{ cm}3} < 16.8 \text{ Gy};$	SBRT only:	
	$V_{70 Gy} < 35\%;$	cm ³	[7] (A)	$V_{18.1 Gy} < 40\%;$	
	$V_{65 Gy} < 50\%;$	[8] (A)		$V_{37 Gy} < 10 \text{ cm}^{3}(\text{mandatory});$	

	[5] (A):			$V_{37 Gy} < 5 \text{ cm}^{3}(\text{optimal})$ [7]	
	For anal cancer treat-			(A)	
	mont.			(11)	
	$V_{50} < 5\%$				
	$V_{50} Gy < 3.70$				
	$V_{40} Gy < 50\%$				
	$V_{35} Gy < 50 / 6 [20] (A)$				
	$V_{50} G_y < 31 - 32\% Or$				
	$V_{50 \text{ Gy}} < 31 \text{ Cm}^3 [34] (D);$				
Bone Mandible	$D_{MAX} < 70-73.5 \text{ Gy}(man-$				
	datory);				
	$V_{55} Gy < 20\% (optimal) [35]$				
	(A)				
				For primary prostate	
	$V_{15 Gy} < 120 \text{ cm}^3$;			SBRT only:	
Bowel	$V_{45 Gv} < 195 \text{ cm}^3 [5] (A)$			$V_{18.1 Gy} < 5 cm^3;$	
				$V_{30 \text{ Gy}}$ < 1 cm^{3} (mandatory)	
				[7] (A)	
	$V_{45 Gy} < 5\% \text{ or } < 20 \text{ cm}^{3}$	$D_{MAX(0.1 \text{ cm}3)} <$			
	$V_{25,Cy} < 35\% \text{ or } 150$	$18.4~Gy_{(manda-}$	$D_{MAX(0.1 \text{ cm}3)} < 28.2 \text{ Gy}$	$D_{MAX(0.1 \text{ cm}3)} < 38 \text{ Gy} [6]$	
Bowel Large	cm ³ .	tory) [6] (A);	[6] (A);	(A);	
bower Large	$V_{20} < 50\% \text{ or } 200 \text{ cm}^3$	$V_{14.3 Gy} < 20$	D20 cm3 <24 Gy(optimal);	$D_{20 \text{ cm}3} < 25 \text{ Gy}(\text{optimal}); [8]$	
	[28] (A)	$cm^{3}(optimal)$ [8]	[8] (A)	(A)	
	[20] (A)	(A)			
		$D_{MAX(0.1 \text{ cm}3)} <$		$D_{X} = 0 \leq 25 C_{X}$	
	Dmax ≤ 55 Gy;	$15.4~Gy_{(manda-}$	$D_{MAX(0.5 \text{ cm}3)} < 25.2$ $Gy_{(mandatory);}$ $D \ 5 \ cm3 < 17.7 \ Gy_{(manda-tory)}$ fory) [6] (A);	DMAX(0.5 cm3) > 55 Gy(manda-	
	$\begin{array}{l} V_{50~{\rm Gy}} \leq 10~{\rm cm^{3}(optimal)};\\ V_{15~{\rm Gy}} \leq 120~{\rm cm^{3}(optimal)};\\ V_{50~{\rm Gy}} \leq 10\%;\\ V_{45~{\rm Gy}} \leq 15\%~[36]~({\rm A}); \end{array}$	tory)		$D_{MAX(0.5 \text{ cm}3)} < 30 \text{ Gy}_{(\text{opti-})}$	
Bowel Small		$V_{11.9 Gy}$ < 5			
		cm ³		mal);	
		(mandatory)		$D_{10 \text{ cm}3} < 25 \text{ Gy}(\text{optimal});$	
		[6] (A);		[6] (A)	
Bowel Space	$V_{45 Gy} < 195 cm^3 [5] (A)$				
•		D _{MAX(0.1 cm3)} <			
		15			_
		Gv(mandatory)			DMAX(0.1 cm3)
		[6] (A):	$D_{MAX(0.1 \text{ cm}^3)} < 24$		< 35
	DMAX(0.1 cm3) < 60 Gy(opti-	$D_{MAX(0,1,cm^2)} \leq$	GW(mandatory)	$D_{MAX(0.1 \text{ cm}3)} < 30.5$	$Gy_{(optimal)};$
Brachial Plevus	mal);	17.5	[6 25] (A)	Gy(optimal);	[25] (A)
Diacinal i lexus	D _{MAX(0.1 cm3)} <	CV(mandalam)	$V_{20,4} = \frac{3}{2} \text{ cm}^3$	$D_{MAX(0.1 \text{ cm}3)} < 32 \text{ Gy}(\text{manda}-$	DMAX(0.1 cm3)
	66 Gy(mandatory) [35] (A)	$(1251(\Lambda))$	(A)	tory) [6] (A)	< 39
		$\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (A),$			Gy(mandatory)
		$\sqrt{14} \text{Gy} < 3$			[6] (A)
		CIII ^o (optimal),			
		whole brain			
		less GIV:			
		$D_{50\%} < 5 \text{ Gy}$			
	$V_{60 \text{ Gy}} \le 3 \text{ cm}^3 [37] (A);$	$D_{10 \text{ cm}3} < 12$	$D_{20 \text{ cm}3} < 20 \text{ Gy}(\text{optimal})$	$D_{20 \text{ cm}3} < 24 \text{ Gv}_{(\text{optimal})}$ [6]	
Brain	Dмах < 72 Gy;	Gy	[6] (A);	(A)	
	[5] (A)	[7] (A)	$V_{14 Gy} < 7 cm^3 [38] (C)$	(/)	
		Brain			
		including			
		target:			

		$V_{12 Gy} < 10-15$			
		cm ³ ;			
		[6] (A)			
		DMAX(0.035 cm3)			
	Brainstem PRV:	$< 15 \text{ Gy}_{(manda-}$	D _{Max} (0.035 cm3) < 23.1	$D_{MAX(0.035 \text{ cm}3)} < 31 \text{ Gy}(\text{man}-$	
	Dмах <54 Gy;	tory);	Gy(mandatory);	datory);	
Brainstem	$D_{1-10 \text{ cm}3} < 59 \text{ Gy} \text{ (pe-}$	DMAX(0.035 cm3)	$D_{MAX(0.035 \text{ cm}3)} < 18$	DMAX (0.035 cm3) < 23 Gy(opti-	
	ripheral edge)	< 10	Gy(optimal);	mal);	
	[5,34,39] (A)	Gy(optimal);	[6] (A)	[6] (A)	
		[6] (A)			
		DMAX(0.035 cm3)	DMAX (0.035 cm3) < 24	$D_{MAX} (0.035 \text{ cm}^3) \le 32 \text{ GV}(\text{man}^3)$	
		$< 16 \text{ Gy}_{(manda-}$	Gy(mandatory)	datory)	
Cauda Equina		tory) [6] (A);	[6] (A);	[6](A):	
Cuurun Equinia		$V_{14 Gy} < 5$	D5 cm3 < 21.9 Gy(opti-	$D_5 \text{ cm}^3 < 30 \text{ GV}(\text{optimal})$:	
		cm ³ (optimal);	mal);	[8] (A)	
		[8] (A)	[8] (A)		
		DMAX(0.01 cm3)	$D_{MAX(0.1 \text{ cm}3)} < 36.9 \text{ Gy}$		$D_{MAX(0.5 \text{ cm}^3)}$
		<30 Gy(optimal)	(optimal) [6] (A);	$D_{MAX(0.1 \text{ cm}3)} < 43$	<39 Gv ·
Chestwall		[6,25](A);	$D_{MAX(0.1 \text{ cm}3)} < 30 \text{ Gy}$	$Gy_{(optimal)}[6](A);$	$D_{30 \text{ cm}^3} < 35$
Chestwan		$V_{22 Gy} < 1$	[25] (A);	D ₃₀ cm ₃ < 32 Gy	Gv
		cm ³ (optimal);	D _{30 cm3} < 30 Gy [7]	[7] (A)	[7] (A)
		[8] (A)	(A)		[']('')
		$D_{MEAN} < 9 Gy$			
		(mandatory)			
	Ideally one side;	[7] (A);	DMEAN < 17 1(optimall)	$D_{MEAN} < 25 G_{V(optimal)}$ [6]	
Cochlea	Dmean < 45 Gy	$D_{MEAN} < 4 Gy$	[6] (A); D _{MAX} < 20 Gy [10] (B)	(A)·	
coefficu	[5,39] (A)	(optimal)		$D_{MAX} < 27.5 Gv [10] (B)$	
	[40] (B)	[6] (A);	DMAX (20 Gy [10] (D)	D MAX $(27.0 Gy [10] (D)$	
		D _{MAX} < 12 Gy			
		[10] (B)			
		$D_{MAX(0.1 \text{ cm}3)} <$	$D_{MAX(0.1 \text{ cm}^3)} < 50$		
Common Bile Duct		$30~Gy_{(manda-}$	Gv(ontimal)	$D_{MAX(0.1 \text{ cm}3)} < 50 \text{ Gy}(\text{opti-}$	
Common Dife Duct		tory)	[6] (A):	mal) [6] (A);	
		[6] (A);	[*] (//		
Cricopharyngeal In-	D _{MAX} < 62 Gy [41] (C)				
let					
Cricopharyngeal	D _{MAX} < 62 Gy [41] (C)				
muscle		_			
		DMAX(0.1 cm3) <		$D_{MAX(0.1 \text{ cm}3)} < 35 \text{ Gy}(\text{manda}-$	
		12.4 Gy(manda-	$D_{MAX(0.1 \text{ cm}3)} < 22.2$	tory);	
		tory);	GV(mandatory);	$D_{MAX(0.1 \text{ cm}3)} < 33 \text{ Gy}(opti-$	
	$D_{MAX} \leq 55 \text{ Gv};$	D _{10 cm3} <	D ₁₀ cm ₃ < 11.4 GV(manda-	mal);	
Duodenum	$V_{50 \text{ Gy}} \leq 10 \text{ cm}^{3}(\text{optimal});$	9 Gy	tory)	$D_{10 \text{ cm}3} < 25 \text{ Gy}$	
	$V_{50 Gy} \le 10\%;$	(mandatory)	[6] (A);	(optimal) $[6](A);$	
	$V_{45 Gy} \le 15\%$ [36] (A)	[6] (A);	$D_5 \text{ cm}^3 < 16.5 \text{ GV}(\text{manda})$	$D_{1 cm3} < 33 Gy;$	
	, ··· L-·J ()	$D_{5 \text{ cm}3} <$	tory);	$D_{5 cm3} < 25 Gy;$	
		11.2 Gy	[7] (A)	D9 cm3 < 15 Gy	
		(optimal)	L J \ /	(optimal);	
	D	[8] (A)		[7] (A)	
Esophageal inlet	$D_{MAX} < 45 Gy_{(optimal)};$				
Esophageal iniet	$D_{MAX} < 55 \text{ Gy}_{(mandatory)}$				

	[35] (A)				
Esophagus	$D_{MEAN} < 34 \text{ Gy};$ $V_{35 \text{ Gy}} < 50\%;$ $V_{50 \text{ Gy}} < 40\%;$ $V_{70 \text{ Gy}} < 20\% [5] (A);$	$D_{MAX(0.1 cm3)} < 15.4$ Gy(mandatory) [6,25](A); $D_5 cm3 < 11.9$ Gy (optimal); [8] (A)	$\begin{array}{l} D_{\text{MAX}(0.1\ \text{cm}3)} < 25.2 \\ Gy_{(\text{mandatory})} [6] (A); \\ D_{\text{MAX}(0.1\ \text{cm}3)} < 27\ Gy \\ [25] (A); \\ D_{0.5\ \text{cm}3} < 17.7 \\ Gy_{(\text{optimal})}; \\ [7,8] (A) \\ V_{21\ \text{Gy}} < 5\ \text{cm}^3 [10] (B) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} D_{MAX(0.1\ cm3)} \\ <35\ Gy_{(mandatory)}[6](A); \\ D_{MAX(0.5\ cm3)} \\ <32\ Gy_{(optimal)}; [7,8](A) \\ V_{27.5\ Gy} < 5\ cm^3; \\ V_{19.5\ Gy} < 10\ cm^3[10](B); \\ Avoid\ 105\%\ of\ PTV \\ prescription\ [25](A) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} D_{MAX(0.1\ cm3)} \\ < 40 \\ Gy_{(mandatory)} \\ [6](A) \end{array}$
Esophagus Superior	Dmax<55 Gy(mandatory); Dmax <45 Gy(optimal); [35] (A)	$D_{MAX(0.01 \text{ cm}3)} <$ 15.4 Gy(manda- tory) [6] (A); D ₅ cm ₃ < 11.9 Gy (optimal); [8] (A)	$\begin{array}{l} D_{MAX(0.1\ cm3)} < 25.2 \\ Gy_{(mandatory)} [6] (A); \\ D_{0.5\ cm3} < 17.7\ Gy_{(optimal);} \\ [7,8] (A) \end{array}$	Dmax(0.5 cm3) <35 Gy(mandatory) [6] (A); Dmax(0.5 cm3) <32 Gy(optimal); [7,8] (A)	DMAX(0.5 cm3) < 40 Gy(mandatory) [6] (A);
Eye Anterior	Lens D _{MAX} <4 Gy [35] (A) Cornea D _{MAX} < 40 Gy [42] (B)	D _{MAX(0.035 cm3)} < 1.5 Gy [6,7] (A)	$D_{MAX} < 7 Gy_{(mandatory);}$ $D_{MAX} < 3 Gy_{(optimal);}$ [10] (B)	D _{MAX} < 7 Gy (mandatory); D _{MAX} < 3 Gy(optimal); [10] (B)	
Eye	Macula D _{MAX} < 45 Gy [39] (A); Retina D _{MAX} < 45–50 Gy [43] (A) [40] (B)	D _{MAX(0.1 cm3)} < 8 Gy [6,7] (A)			
Eye Posterior	Retina D _{MAX} < 45 Gy [43] (A)	D _{мах} <5 Gy [10] (В)	DMAX < 15 Gy(manda- tory); DMAX < 5 Gy(optimal); [10] (B)	D _{MAX} < 15 Gy _{(mandatory);} D _{MAX} < 5 Gy _(optimal) ; [10] (B)	
Femoral Head- Neck	V44 Gy < 5%; V40 Gy < 35%; V30 Gy < 50% [28] (A)	D _{10 cm3} < 14 Gy _(optimal) [6,8] (A)	D _{10 cm3} < 21.9 Gy _(opti-mal) [6,7] (A)	$\begin{array}{c} D_{10\ cm3} < 30\ Gy_{(optimal)}\\ [6,7]\ (A)\\ \hline For\ primary\ prostate\\ SBRT\ only:\\ V_{14.5\ Gy} < 5\%\\ (mandatory)\\ [7]\ (A) \end{array}$	
Genitals	$\begin{array}{l} V_{40~Gy} < 5\%; \\ V_{30~Gy} < 35\%; \\ V_{20~Gy} < 50\% \ [28] \ (A) \end{array}$				
Glottis	$\begin{array}{l} D_{MEAN} < 50 \ Gy (mandatory); \\ V_{50 \ Gy} < 27 \% (mandatory); \\ D_{MEAN} < 44 \ Gy \\ (optimal) \ [5] \ (A) \ [34] \ (B); \\ D_{MAX} < 73.5 \ Gy \\ \ [35] \ (A) \end{array}$				
Great Vessels		D _{MAX(0.1 cm3)} < 30 Gy(mandatory) [6] (A);	$\begin{array}{l} D_{MAX(0.1\ cm3)} < 45 \\ Gy_{(mandatory)} \\ [6,7] (A); \\ D_{10\ cm3} < 39\ Gy_{(optimal)}; \\ [8] (A); \end{array}$	$D_{MAX (0.1 cm3)} \le 53$ $Gy_{(mandatory)} [6,7] (A);$ $D_{10 cm3} \le 47 Gy_{(optimal)}; [8]$ (A)	$D_{MAX(0.1 cm3)}$ < 65 $Gy_{(mandatory)}$;

		D _{10 cm3} < 31 Gy(optimal); [8] (A)		Avoid 105% of PTV prescription [25] (A)	DMAX(0.1 cm3) < 60 GV(optimal)
		D _{MAX} < 37 Gy [25] (A);			[6] (A);
Heart	$\begin{array}{l} D_{\text{MEAN}} < 26 - 30 \ \text{Gy} \\ V_{25 \ \text{Gy}} < 10\%; \\ V_{30 \ \text{Gy}} \leq 30\%; \\ [5,26] \ (\text{A}) \end{array}$	D _{MAX} (0.03 cm3) < 22 Gy(mandatory) [8,25]; D ₁₅ cm3 < 16 Gy(optimal); [8] (A)	$\begin{array}{c} D_{MAX(0.5\ cm3)} < 26\\ Gy(mandatory);\\ D_{MAX(0.5\ cm3)} < 24\\ Gy(optimal);\\ [7]\ (A)\\ D_{MAX} < 30\ Gy(mandatory)\\ [25]\ (A)\ ;\\ D_{15\ cm3} < 24\ Gy\\ [8]\ (A);\\ V_{21\ Gy} < 5\ cm^3[10]\ (B) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} D_{MAX(0.5\ cm3)} < 29\ Gy_{(mandatory)}; D_{MAX(0.5\ cm3)} < 27\\ Gy_{(optimal)};\\ [7]\ (A)\\ D_{15\ cm3} < 32\ Gy\\ [8]\ (A)\\ Avoid\ 105\%\ of\ PTV\\ prescription\ [25]\ (A) \end{array}$	DMAX(0.5 cm3) <60 Gy(man- datory); DMAX(0.5 cm3) <50 Gy(optimal); [7] (A)
Heart and Pulmo- nary Artery	$\begin{array}{l} D_{MEAN} < 26 - 30 \ Gy \\ V_{25 \ Gy} < 10\%; \\ V_{30 \ Gy} \leq 30\%; \\ [5,26] \ (A) \end{array}$	$D_{MAX(0.1 cm3)} <$ 22 Gy(manda- tory) [6] (A); D _{15 cm3} < 16 Gy(optimal); [8] (A)	$\begin{array}{l} D_{MAX(0.1\ cm3)} < 30 \\ Gy_{(mandatory)}; \\ D_{MAX(0.1\ cm3)} < 26 \\ Gy_{(optimal)} \\ [6] (A); \\ D_{15\ cm3} < 24\ Gy \\ [8] (A) \end{array}$	$D_{MAX(0.1 cm3)} < 38 Gy_{(manda-tory)};$ $D_{MAX(0.1 cm3)} < 29 Gy_{(opti-mal)}$ [6] (A); D15 cm ³ < 32 Gy [8] (A)	DMAX(0.1 cm3) < 46 Gy(mandatory)- ; DMAX(0.1 cm3) < 40 Gy(opti- mal) [6] (A);
Hippocampus	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$				
Jejunum-Ileum	$D_{MAX} \le 55 \text{ Gy};$ $V_{50 \text{ Gy}} \le 10 \text{ cm}^{3}(\text{optimal});$ $V_{15 \text{ Gy}} \le 120 \text{ cm}^{3}(\text{optimal});$ $V_{50 \text{ Gy}} \le 10\%;$ $V_{45 \text{ Gy}} \le 15\% \text{ [36] (A)};$	$D_{MAX(0.1 cm3)} = 15.4 Gy_{(man-datory)} V_{11.9 Gy} < 5 cm^3$ (mandatory) [6] (A)	$D_{MAX(0.5 cm3)} < 25.2$ $Gy_{(mandatory)}$ $D_5 cm3 < 17.7 Gy_{(manda-tory)}$ [6] (A)	$D_{MAX(0.5 \text{ cm3})} < 35 \text{ Gy}_{(mandatory)};$ $D_{MAX(0.5 \text{ cm3})} < 30$ $Gy_{(optimal)};$ $D_{10 \text{ cm3}} < 25 \text{ Gy}_{(optimal)}$ $[6] (A)$	
Kidneys	$D_{MEAN} < 18 \text{ Gy } [26,36]$ (A) $V_{20 \text{ Gy}} \le 33\% [26] \text{ (A);}$ If solitary kidney: $V_{18 \text{ Gy}} < 15\%;$ $V_{14 \text{ Gy}} < 30\% [49] \text{ (A)}$	$V_{10 Gy} < 33\%$ (mandatoryi) [6] (A) $V_{8.4 Gy} < 200$ cm^3 [10] (B)	D200 cm3 < 16 Gy(manda- tory) [7] (A); V10 Gy < 33% (mandatory) [6] (A)	$If solitary kidney: V_{10 Gy} < 45\%_{(mandatory)}; V_{10 Gy} < 10\%$ (optimal) [6] (A) DMEAN < 10 Gy_{(optimal)}[7] (A) V_{17.5 Gy} < 200 cm^3[10] (B)	

Kidney Cortex		D200 cm3 < 8.4 Gy [6,8] (A) If solitary kidney: V10 Gy < 33%(mandatory); [6] (A)	Dmean < 8.5 Gy(optimal) [6] (A) D200 cm3 < 16 Gy [6,8] (A) If solitary kidney: V10 Gy < 33%(mandatory); [6] (A)	$\begin{array}{c} D_{MEAN} < 10 \ Gy_{(optimal)} [6] \\ (A) \\ D_{200 \ cm3} < 17.5 \ Gy \ [6,8] \\ (A) \\ If \ solitary \\ kidney: \\ V_{10 \ Gy} < 45\%_{(mandatory)}; \\ V_{10 \ Gy} < 10\%_{(optimal)} \\ [6] \ (A) \end{array}$	
Lacrimal Gland	Dmax < 40 Gy [40] (B); Dmean ≤ 26 Gy [35] (A)	D _{MAX} < 5 Gy [10] (В)	$\begin{array}{l} D_{\text{MAX}} < 15 \ Gy_{(\text{mandatory})}; \\ D_{\text{MAX}} < 5 \ Gy_{(\text{optimal})}; \\ [10] \ (B) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} D_{\text{MAX}} < 15 \ Gy_{(\text{mandatory});} \\ D_{\text{MAX}} < 5 \ Gy_{(\text{optimal});} \\ [10] \ (B) \end{array}$	
Larynx	Dmax < 50 Gy [35] (A); Dmax < 66 Gy; Dmean < 50 Gy; V50 gy < 27% [5] (A)	$V_{10.5 Gy} < 4$ $cm^{3;}$ $V_{20.2 Gy} <$ $0.035 cm^{3}$ [10] (B)			
Lens	D _{MAX} <4 Gy [35] (A)	D _{MAX(0.035 cm3)} < 1.5 Gy [6] (A)			
Lips	DMEAN 30 GY(optimal); DMEAN 50 GY(mandatory) [42] (B)	i			
Liver	D _{MEAN} < 25 Gy [26,36] (А); V30 Gy ≤ 33% [26] (А)	$\begin{array}{c} D_{700\ cm3} < 9.1\\ Gy;\\ [6,8]\ (A)\\ V_{12\ Gy} < 30\%;\\ V_{5\ Gy} < 50\%;\\ V_{2.5\ Gy} < 70\%;\\ [10]\ (B)\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} D_{MEAN} < 15 \ Gy(mandator);\\ D_{MEAN} < 13 \ Gy(optimal);\\ D_{700 \ cm3} < 17 \ Gy(mandator);\\ D_{700 \ cm3} < 15 \ Gy(optimal)\\ [6] \ (A);\\ D_{50\%} < 15 \ Gy\\ (optimal); \ [7] \ (A) \end{array}$	DMEAN < 15.2 Gy(mandatory); DMEAN < 13 Gy(optimal; V10 Gy < 70%(optimal) [6,7] (A); D700 cm3 < 15 Gy; [6] (A)	
Lumbo-sacral Plexus	Pudendal Nerve D _{MAX} < 60 Gy [50] (C)	DMAX(0.1 cm3) < 16 Gy(manda- tory); D5 cm3 < 14.4 Gy(optimal); [6,7] (A)	$D_{MAX(0.1 cm3)} < 24 Gy$ mandatory); $D_5 cm3 < 22 Gy(optimal);$ [6,7] (A)	$D_{MAX(0.1 \text{ cm}3)} < 32 \text{ Gy}_{(mandatory)};$ $D_5 \text{ cm}3 < 30 \text{ Gy}_{(optimal)};$ [6,8] (A)	
Lung	$\begin{array}{l} V_{40 \; Gy} \leq 10\%; \\ V_{30 \; Gy} \leq 15\%; \\ V_{20 \; Gy} \leq 20\%; \\ V_{10 \; Gy} \leq 40\%; \\ V_{5 \; Gy} \leq 50\%; \\ D_{MEAN} < 20 \; Gy \\ [26] \; (A) \end{array}$	Lungs and Lungs–ITV: $V_{20 Gy} < 15\%$ (mandatory); DMEAN < 8 Gy (optimal); $V_{20 Gy} < 10\%$ (optimal); [6] (A) D1500 cm3 < 7 Gy;	Lungs and Lungs–ITV: $V_{20 Gy} < 15\%$ (mandatory); DMEAN < 8 Gy (optimal); $V_{20 Gy} < 10\%$ (optimal); [6] (A) D1500 cm3 < 11.6 Gy; D1000 cm3 < 12.4 Gy; [8] (A)	Lungs and Lungs–ITV: $V_{20 Gy} < 15\%$ (mandatory); DMEAN < 8 Gy (optimal); $V_{20 Gy} < 10\%$ (optimal); [6] (A) D1500 cm3 < 12.5 Gy; D1000 cm3 < 13.5 Gy; [8] (A)	Lungs and Lungs– ITV: $V_{20 Gy} <$ 15% (mandatory); DMEAN < 8 Gy (optimal); $V_{20 Gy} <$ 10%

		D _{1000 cm3} < 7.4 Gy; [8] (A)			(optimal); [6] (A)
Oralia Chia and	D _{MAX} < 55 Gy	[0] (14)			
Optic Chiasm	[39] (A)				
Optic Nerve	D _{мах} < 55 Gy [39] (А)	DMAX(0.035 cm3) < 10 Gy(manda- tory); DMAX(0.035 cm3) < 8 Gy(optimal); [6] (A)	$\begin{array}{c} D_{\text{MAX}(0.035\ \text{cm}3)} < 20\ \text{Gy} \\ & \text{(mandatory);} \\ D_{\text{MAX}(0.035\ \text{cm}3)} < 15 \\ & \text{Gy}(\text{optimal}); \\ & \text{[6]}\ (A) \\ V_{10.5\ \text{Gy}} < 0.5\ \text{cm}^3 \\ & \text{[10]}\ (B) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} D_{MAX(0.035\ cm3)} < 25\ Gy\\ (mandatory);\\ D_{MAX(0.035\ cm3)} < 22.5\ Gy\\ (optimal);\\ [6]\ (A)\\ V_{12.5\ Gy} < 0.5\ cm^3\\ [10]\ (B) \end{array}$	
	$V_{\rm 30Gy}{<}73\%$ and limit				
Oral Cavity	D _{MEAN} to uninvolved oral cavity [34] (B)				
	$D_{MEAN} < 8.8 Gv [51]$				
Ovaries	(D); DMEAN < 15 Gy [52] (D); V _{7.5 Gy} < 26% [53] (C)	;			
Pancreas	Limit V45 Gy [54] (C); Dmean < 25 Gy [55] (D)				
Parotid Gland	$D_{MEAN} < 25$ Gy for both glands; $D_{MEAN} < 20$ Gy for sin- gle gland [5,42] (A); V_{30} Gy < 50%; V_{40} Gy < 33% (contralateral) [35] (A)				
Penile Bulb	V90 Gy < 50%; V60-70 Gy < 70% [5] (A)	DMAX(0.03 cm3) < 34 Gy(manda- tory); DMAX(3 cm3) < 14 Gy(optimal); [8] (A)	DMAX(3 cm3) < 42 Gy(mandatory); DMAX(3 cm3) < 21.9 Gy(optimal); [7,8] (A)	$\begin{array}{l} D_{MAX(3\ cm3)} < 50\ Gy(manda-tory);\\ D_{MAX(3\ cm3)} < 30\ Gy(optimal);\\ [7,8]\ (A)\\ For\ primary\ prostate\\ SBRT\ only:\\ V_{29.5\ Gy} < 50\%\\ (mandatory)\\ [7]\ (A) \end{array}$	
Pharyngeal con-	Dmean < 50 Gy				
strictor muscles	[35,42] (A)				
Pharyngeal con- strictor muscles In- ferior	D _{меал} < 50 Gy [35,42] (А)				
Pharyngeal con- strictor muscle Middle	D _{MEAN} < 50 Gy [35,42] (A) V _{50-60 Gy} < 70% [34] (B)				

Dharmen anal ann	$D \rightarrow \epsilon = 0.0$				
Pharyngeal con-	$D_{\text{MEAN}} < 50 \text{ Gy}$				
strictor muscle Su-	[33,42] (A)				
perior	$V_{50-60 \text{ Gy}} < 70\% [34] (B)$				
	Pituitary:	$D_{MEAN} < 9 Gy$			
Pituitary Fossa	Dmax<50 Gy	[56] (B) †			
	[39] (A) [40] (B)				
	Dмах < 50 Gy				
Pituitary Gland	[39] (A)				
	[40] (B)				
			$D_{\rm MAX} = 0 < 30 C_{\rm M}$	DMAX(0.1 cm3) < 38 Gy	DMAX(0.1 cm3)
		$D_{MAX(0.1 cm3)} <$	$D_{MAX}(0.1 \text{ cm}3) < 50 \text{ Gy}$	mandatory);	< 40
		20.2 Gy	$\Gamma(1 (\Lambda))$	DMAX(0.1 cm3) < 35	Gy(mandatory)
		mandatory) [6,25]	[6] (A);	Gy(optimal);	;
Proximal Bronchus		(A);	$D_{MAX(0.5 \text{ cm}3)} < 30$	[6] (A);	[6] (A);
		D _{4 cm3} < 10.5	Gy(optimal);	$D_{4 \text{ cm}3} < 16.5;$	DMAX(0.5 cm3)
		Gv;	[7,25] (A);	[8] (A);	< 32
		[8] (A)	$D_{4 \text{ cm}3} < 15;$	Avoid 105% of PTV	GV(optimal);
			[8] (A)	prescription [25] (A)	[7] (A)
				$D_{MAX(0.1 \text{ cm}3)} < 38 \text{ Gv} [6]$	[-]()
			$D_{MAX(0.1 \text{ cm cm}^3)} < 28.2$	(A):	
	$V_{50 Gy} < 50\%;$	$D_{MAX(0.01 \text{ cm}3)} <$		$D_{20 \text{ cm}^3} < 25 \text{ Gv}$	
		18.4 Gy(manda- tory)		[8] (A)	
	$V_{60 Gy} < 35\%;$		$C_{\rm WAX(0.1 cm cm cm s)} < 20.2$	For primary prostate	
Rectum	$V_{65 Gy}$ < 25%;	[6] (A);	$D_{20} \propto 24 C_{\rm H}$	SBRT only:	
	$V_{70 Gy} < 20\%;$	$D_{20 \text{ cm}3} < 14.3$	$D_{20} \text{ cm}_3 < 24 \text{ Gy}$	$V_{101,C} < 50\%$	
	V75 Gy < 15% [5] (A)	Gy	[0] (A)	$V_{18.1 Gy} < 30 / 6$	
		[8] (A)		$V_{29} G_{y} < 20\%$	
				V 36 Gy ≤ 1 CIII ³ (mandatory)	
				[7](A)	
Ketina	$D_{MAX} < 45 - 50 \text{ Gy}$				
-	[37] (A)	D < 10.4			
		$D_{20} \text{ cm}^{3} \le 18.4$			
		Gy	D _{20 cm3} < 28.2 Gy(manda-	D (00 C	
		(mandatory);	tory); $D_{20 \text{ cm}3} < 38 \text{ Gy}(\text{mandatory});$ $D_{20 \text{ cm}3} < 25 \text{ Gy}(\text{optimal});$	$D_{20 \text{ cm}3} < 38 \text{ Gy}(\text{mandatory});$	
Sigmoid Colon		$D_{20 \text{ cm}3} < 14.3$			
		Gy	[8] (A)	[8] (A)	
		(optimal);			
		[8] (A)			
		D _{MAX(0.01 cm3)} <	D _{MAX(0,1 cm3)} <33	_	DMAX(0.1 cm3)
		26	GV(optimal):	$D_{MAX(0.1 \text{ cm}3)} < 39.5$	< 48
	$D_{0.03 \text{ cm}^3} \le 25 \text{ Gv}$	Gy(mandatory)	$D_{10 \text{ cm}^3} < 30 \text{ GV}(\text{optimal})$	Gy(mandatory);	GV(optimal):
Skin	[43](A) +	[6,25] (A);	[6] (A):	$D_{10 \text{ cm}3}$ < 36.5 Gy (mandatory)	$D_{10 \text{ cm}^3} < 44$
		$D_{10 \text{ cm}3} < 23$	$D_{MAX} < 24 Gv [25]$	[6] (A);	GV(optimal)
		Gy(mandatory)	(A)	D _{мах} < 32 Gy [25] (А)	$[6](\Delta)$
		[6] (A)	(17)		
		DMAX(0.035 cm3)			DMAX(0.035
		< 14	DMAX(0.035 cm3) < 20.3		_{cm3)} < 32
	Due 15 50 C	$Gy_{(mandatory)}$	$Gy_{(mandatory)}[6](A);$	$DMAX(0.035 \text{ cm}3) \le 25.3$	$Gy_{(mandatory)}$
Spinal Canal	$D_{MAX} \leq 40-00 \text{ Gy}$	[6,25] (A)	DMAX(0.1 cm3) < 18	Gy (mandatory) [0] (A);	[6] (A)
-	[3,26] (A)	DMAX(0.035 cm3)	Gy(optimal);	$DMAX \leq 3U(mandatory) GY$	DMAX(0.1 cm3)
		< 12.4	[7,8,25] (A)	[7,25] (A)	< 25
		C-W(antimal):	/ /		Gw(optimal)
		\mathbf{O} y (optimal),			

		[6] (A)			[7] (A)
		DMAX(0.035 cm3) <14 Gy(mandatory)	DMAX(0.035 cm3) < 20.3 Gy(mandatory)	DMAX(0.035 cm3) < 25.3	$\frac{D_{MAX(0.035)}}{G_{Mandatory}}$
Spinal Cord	D _{мах} < 45–50 Gy [5,26] (А)	[6,25] (A) D _{MAX(0.035 cm3)} < 12.4 Gy(optimal); [6] (A)	[6] (A); D _{MAX(0.1 cm3)} < 18 Gy(optimal); [7,8,25] (A)	Gy _(mandatory) [6] (A); D _{MAX} < 30 _(mandatory) Gy [7,25] (A)	[6] (A) D _{MAX(0.1 cm3)} < 25 Gy(optimal); [7] (A)
Spleen	$\begin{array}{l} D_{\text{MEAN}} < 8.8 \ \text{Gy}; \\ V_{5 \ \text{Gy}} < 30.0\%; \\ V_{10 \ \text{Gy}} < 30.0\% \\ V_{15 \ \text{Gy}} < 20.0\% \\ V_{20 \ \text{Gy}} < 20.0\% \\ [46,57,58] \ (\text{C}) \end{array}$				
Stomach	$\begin{array}{l} D_{MAX} < 54 \ Gy; \\ D_{MEAN} < 45 \ Gy \ [26] \ (A); \\ V_{45 \ Gy} \leq 75 \ cm3_{(optimal)}; \\ V_{50 \ Gy} \leq 10\%; \\ V_{45 \ Gy} \leq 15\% \ [36] \ (A); \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} D_{MAX(0.1\ cm3)} < \\ 12.4 \\ Gy_{(mandatory)} \\ [6,25] (A); \\ D_{10\ cm3} < 11.2 \\ Gy \\ (optimal) \\ [6,8] (A) \end{array}$	$D_{MAX(0.1 cm3)} < 22.2$ $Gy_{(mandatory)};$ $D_{10 cm3} < 16.5$ $Gy_{(mandatory)}$ [6] (A)	$\begin{array}{l} D_{MAX(0.1\ cm3)} < 35 \\ Gy({\rm mandatory}); \\ D_{MAX(0.1\ cm3)} < 33 \\ Gy({\rm optimal}); \\ D_{10\ cm3} < 25\ Gy({\rm optimal}); \\ D_{50\ cm3} < 12\ Gy \\ ({\rm optimal})\ [6]\ (A) \end{array}$	
Submandibular Gland	D _{MEAN} < 35 Gy [35] (A)				
Supraglottic larynx	D _{MAX} < 66 Gy [35] (A)				
Temporal Lobe	DMAX < 65 Gy; DMAX(1 cm3) < 60 Gy(manda- tory) [35] (A)				
Testis	In case of TBI: Dmax < 6 Gy Dmean < 5 Gy [59,60] (D)			For primary prostate SBRT only: Avoid beam entry [7] (A)	
Thyroid Gland	$V_{45 Gy} < 50\% [35] (A);$ $D_{MEAN} < 45 \ Gy \ or \ spar- ing at least 5 \ cm^3 \ of the thyroid < 45 \ Gy [34] (B)$				
Trachea		$D_{MAX(0.1 cm3)} <$ 20.2 Gy(manda- tory) [6,25] (A); D _{4 cm3} < 10.5 Gy [8] (A)	$\begin{array}{l} D_{MAX(0.1\ cm3)} < 30 \\ Gy_{(mandatory)} \\ [6,25] \ (A); \\ D_{4\ cm3} < 15\ Gy \ [8] \ (A) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} D_{MAX(0.1\ cm3)} < 38\ Gy\\ & \text{mandatory};\\ D_{MAX(0.1\ cm3)} < 35\\ & Gy(\text{optimal})\\ & [6]\ (A);\\ D_{4\ cm3} < 16.5\ Gy;\ [8]\ (A)\\ Avoid\ 105\%\ of\ PTV\\ prescription\ [25]\ (A) \end{array}$	DMAX(0.1 cm3) < 40 Gy(mandatory) [6] (A); DMAX(0.5 cm3) < 32 Gy(optimal); [7] (A)
Ureters		$D_{MAX(0.1 \text{ cm}3)} < 25 C = 1(1 (A))$	$D_{MAX(0.1 \text{ cm}3)} < 40 \text{ Gy}$	$D_{MAX(0.5 \text{ cm}3)} < 45 \text{ Gy}$	
Urethra	In case of EBRT + BRT: D _{0.1 cm3} ≤ 120 Gy _{EQD2} [61] (A)	ээ Gy [6] (А)	[6] (A)	[7] (A) V _{47 Gy} < 20% [10] (B)	

	$D_{10 \text{ cm}3} \le 120 \text{ Gy EQD2};$	
	$D_{30 \text{ cm}^3} \le 105 \text{ Gy EQD2};$	
	[61,62] (A)	
	In case of	
	EBRT + BRT:	For primary prostate
	$D_{0.1 \text{ cm}3} \le 120 \text{ Gy EQD2}$	SBRT only:
Prostatic Urethra	[61] (A)	D _{50%} < 42 Gy
	$D_{10 \text{ cm}3} \le 120 \text{ Gy EQD2};$	(optimal)
	$D_{30 \text{ cm}^3} \le 105 \text{ Gy EQD2};$	[7] (A)
	[61,62] (A)	
	Legend: BRT: Brachytherapy, G Dose; DVH: Dose–volume His total dose in 2-Gy fractions; G WBRT: Hippocampal avoidand ing; OAR: Organ at Risk; PCI: stereotactic body radiation the in the tables: V = Volume recei dose received by γ cm ³ (the cu ceived by the organ, DMEAN pressed as percentage (%) or al cate the source of recommenda review on clinical or planning pert opinions or used in prosp et al. ([3]; † From a literature is knife radio-surgery was 8% at s	CNS: central nervous system; DMax: Maximum Dose; DMean: Mean togram; EBRT: External Beam Radiation Therapy, EQD2: equivalent TV: Gross Tumour Volume; Gy: Gray; GyE: Gray Equivalent; HA-ce — whole-brain radiation therapy; MRI: Magnetic resonance imagprophylactic cranial irradiation; PRV: planning risk volume; SBRT: rapy; TBI: Total Body Irradiation. Common abbreviations were used wing a dose \geq Gy, D = dose received by % of the organ volume, D = abic centimeters) of the organ volume, DMAX = maximum dose reference and dose received by the organ. Volumes and doses were exbsolute values (cm ³ or Gy, respectively). The letters in brackets indiation, classified as follows: (A) international guidelines; (B) literature studies; (C) data from results of clinical or planning studies; (D) exective trials. * considered 180–200 cGy/fraction, as defined by Marks review. Pooled Incidence of New hormone deficiency after gamma 5-years. ‡ constraint to avoid local permanent alopecia.
	Table 2. Anatomical descriptio	on of organs at risk (organ nomenclature based on the Global Quality

Table 2. Anatomical description of organs at risk (organ nomenclature based on the Global Quality Assurance of Radiation Therapy Clinical Trials Harmonization Group (GHG) contouring guidelines [29]).

Organ	Imaging Tech- nique	Anatomical Description
		Consider from the anorectal junction to the anal verge [63] [γ].
Anal Canal {Canal_Anal}		- Internal anal sphincter: thin muscle, which encircles the anal canal from anal mucosa (inner) to external anal sphincter (outer).
		- External anal sphincter: surrounds the internal anal sphincter, cranially merged with elevator ani muscles; limited by the central perineal tendon [30] [δ].
Anterior		Descending in the anterior inter-ventricular groove to the apex of the heart. Proximal: The proximal 1/5th of the vessel, from the end of the left main coronary artery passing anteriorly behind the pulmonary artery.
Descending Artery		Mid: The mid 2/5th of the vessel descending anterolaterally in the anterior interventricular groove
(7 1_07 10)		Distal: The distal 2/5th of the vessel running in the interventricular groove and extending to the apex [29,44,45] [α].
		Divide into: thoracic aorta (ascending aorta, aortic arch, descending aorta) and abdominal aorta.
Aorta {Aorta}		Thoracic aorta: from aortic valve (III intercostal space), the diaphragmatic crurae (anterior to the T12 vertebral body).
		Abdominal aorta: from diaphragmatic crura to common iliac arteries bifurcation (L4 vertebral body) [63] [γ].
Aortic valve		The aortic valve is located between the left ventricle and aorta. It is a semilunar valve, posterior to the pulmonary valve. It is composed of three cups: the left

		posterior (origin of left coronary), anterior (origin of the right coronary), and right posterior [63] [γ].
Bichat's Fat pad		It is located on either side of the face, between the buccinator muscle and the mas- seter, the zygomaticus major, and the zygomaticus minor muscles. Composed in three lobes, anterior, intermediate, and posterior. It also has four ex- tensions: sublevator, melolabial, buccal, and pterygoid, whose names derived from their location and proximal muscles [64] $[\gamma]$.
Bladder {Bladder}	MRI or CT	Inferiorly from its base and superiorly to the dome. Include all the layers and any content [65] [α].
Bone Mandible {Bone_Mandible}	CT-bone window	Consider the entire mandible bone, from the temporo-mandibular joint to the symphysis mandibular. Exclude the teeth from the contour [66] [α].
Bone Marrow {Bone_Marrow}		 Pelvic Bone Marrow (BM) is divided into three subsites: Ilium: from the iliac crests extending to the superior border of the femoral heads; Lower pelvis: consisting of the pubes, ischia, acetabula, and proximal femora extending from the superior border of the femoral heads to the inferior border of the ischial tuberosities; Lumbosacral spine (LSS): from the most superior vertebral body contained in the planning treatment volume (usually L5) inferiorly to include the entire sacrum [67] [δ].
Bowel {Bowel}	CT with oral contrast	Consider duodenum, jejunum and ileum (small bowel), and large bowel (caecum, colon until sigmoid colon) as single volume. Delineate the bowel loops from the pylorus to the recto-sigmoid junction, limiting closely to the external bowel wall. Include bowel contents in the contour [29] [α].
Bowel Large {Bowel_Large}		Include portions of all the ascending, transverse, descending, and sigmoid colon. Caudal limit: rectosigmoid junction. The presence of haustra, sacculations, and appendices epiploicae may help to distinguish large bowel from small bowel. Contour all the mucosal layers and include bowel contents [29,65] $[\alpha]$.
Bowel Small {Bowel_Small}	CT with oral contrast	It includes duodenum, jejunum, and ileum. Contour from pylorus to the ileocaecal junction. Track the bowel slice by slice without the intertwining mesentery. The presence of valvulae conniventes and bowel contents may help to distinguish from large bowel. Contour all the mucosal layers and include bowel contents. The use of oral contrast may help to distinguish bowel loops [29,65] [α].
Bowel Space {Spc_Bowel}		The bowel space represents the volume occupied by bowel loops from the level of the pylorus to the recto-sigmoid junction. Incorporate all portions of the peritoneal cavity aside from non-bowel structures. Useful if no oral contrast is used. Non-recommended in place of small and large bowel contouring if D_{max} to the large and small bowel is clinically relevant [29,65,68] [α].
Brachial Plexus {BrachialPlex_L BrachialPlex_R BrachialPlexs}		Proximal: ventral rami of cervical nerve roots at the level of C5. Distal: nerve roots al the level of T1. Then located between the anterior and middle scalene muscles to the subclavian artery; it continues then laterally into the axilla. Delineate each brachial plexus separately. BrachialPlexs, the sum of two volumes, may also be considered [29,66] [α].

Brain		Include Cerebellum, Cerebrospinal fluid, and small brain vessels; exclude the
{Brain}		brainstem and large cerebellar vessels (sigmoid sinus, transverse sinus, and supe-
		rior sagittal sinus) [29,37,66] $[\alpha]$.
		Divided into three parts: midbrain, pons, and medulla oblongata.
Brainstem		Midbrain: from the nigral substance at the cerebral peduncle to the upper border
{Brainstem}	MRI	of the pons. Include the quadrigeminal plate.
()		Pons: caudal to midbrain, an oval-shaped structure on sagittal views.
		Medulla oblongata: from the pons to the dens of C2 [29,37,39] [α].
		Cranial: Upper border of palpable/visible breast tissue; maximally up to the
		inferior edge of the sternoclavicular joint.
		Caudal: Most caudal CT slice with visible breast.
Breast		Ventral: 5 mm under skin surface.
{Breast_L		Dorsal: Major pectoral muscle or costae and intercostal muscles.
Breast_R		Medial: Lateral to the medial perforating mammalian vessels; maximally to the
Breasts}		edge of the sternal bone.
		Lateral: Lateral breast fold; anterior to the lateral thoracic artery
		Exclude skin and rib from contour.
		Breasts may be considered as sum of two volumes [29,69] [α].
		Consider the thecal sac within the spinal
		canal.
		Cranial edge: at the level of L1–2.
Cauda Equipa	T1-weighted	Caudal edge: at the level of S1.
Cauda Equinal	and T2-	Do not contour individual intrathecal nerves within the Thecal Sac.
CaudaEquillas	weighted MRI	If including the bony canal, consider caudal to the termination of the Thecal Sac,
		up to the inferior aspect of the S5 vertebra.
		No Planning organ-at-risk volume is required
		[29] [<i>α</i>] [70,71] [δ].
		Contour each structure separately.
Chostwall		Include the intercostal muscles and other muscles, from lateral edge of the
Chestwall I		sternum, until the lateral edge of vertebral body.
Chestwall P		Exclude the skin from the contour.
Chestwall		Consider a possible auto-segmentation from the corrected lung edges with a 2 cm
Cliestwall}		expansion in the lateral, anterior, and posterior directions.
		Chestwall may be also considered as sum of the two volumes [29,72] [α].
Carbler		Consider each structure separately.
Cochlea	CT have	Located in a bony cavity in the petrous portion of the temporal bone.
{Cochiea_L	CI-bone	It has a spiral structure and continues cranially with the semicircular canals,
Cochlea_K	windows	laterally with the internal auditory canal.
Cocniea}		Cochlea may also be considered as sum of the two volumes [29,37,39] [α].
Common Bile		
Duct		Cranial: first bifurcation or at the entry to the portal triad.
{BileDuct_Com-		Caudal: union with the pancreatic duct to form the ampulla of Vater [29,68] [α].
mon}		
		The left coronary artery is divided into Left Main Coronary artery (LMCA), Left
Coronary vocale		Anterior Descending Artery (A_LAD, divided in three segments), and (CxCA,
		divided in two segments). The right coronary artery (RCA) is divided in 4
Coronary vessels		segments and the posterior descending artery (PDA). [73] (A)
		Consider the specific anatomical descriptions reported for individual coronary ar-
		teries.
Cricopharyngeal		It included the cricopharyngeal
Inlet		muscle and the proper esophageal inlet.

{Inlet_Cricophar}		For the cricopharyngeal inlet:
		cranial edge: arytenoid cartilage,
		caudal edge: consider 10mm caudal to the lower edge of the cricoid cartilage,
		anterior edge: cricoid cartilages (posterior limit),
		posterior edge: prevertebral muscle,
		lateral edge: thyroid cartilage, fatty tissue, and thyroid gland [29,66] [α] [74] [β].
		Cranial edge: arytenoid cartilages.
Cricopharyngeal		Caudal edge: cricoid cartilages.
muscle		Anterior edge: cricoid cartilage (posterior limit).
Muse Cricophar		Posterior edge: pre-vertebral muscles.
(widse_cricopilar)		Lateral edge: thyroid cartilage thyroid gland
		[29] [<i>α</i>] [74] [β].
		First part: consider the pylorus and it is suspended by the hepatoduodenal
		ligament.
		Posterior limit: Common Bile Duct, Portal Vein, and Inferior Vena Cava.
Duadanum		Second (descending) part: attached to the head of the pancreas.
(Duodenum		Third (transverse) portion: at the level of L3, it is placed between aorta and
{Duodenum}		Inferior Vena Cava (anteriorly) and the Superior Mesenteric Artery and Vein
		(posteriorly).
		Fourth (ascending) part: at the level of L3, it is limited distally by the ligament of
		Treitz, marking the end of the duodenum. [29,68] $[\alpha]$
F 1 1:14	CT-	Consider from the caudal edge of the cricoid cartilage, it extends 10mm cranio-
Esophageal inlet	mediastinal	caudally to the
{Inlet_Esophagus}	windows	cervical esophagus [29,66] [α] [41] [δ].
	CT-	Include all the layers of the wall.
Esophagus	mediastinal	Begin at the level of cricoid cartilage until the gastroesophageal junction until it
{Esophagus}	windows	ends at the stomach [29,72] [α].
Esophagus	CT-	
Superior	mediastinal	Cranial Limit: 1 cm caudal to the lower edge of the cricoid cartilage.
{Esophagus S}	windows	Caudal limit: caudal edge of C7 [66,72] [α].
Eve Anterior		Consider the anterior segment of the eye, it includes: cornea, iris, ciliary body,
{Eve A L	MRI or CT	and lens.
Eve A R		Do not include the extra-ocular muscles in the contour [29,66] [α].
		Eve: consider the whole of the outside of the globe, include sclera and cornea [39]
		[α].
Eve		Retina: neurosensorial membrane located at the posterior part of the eveball. It is
{Eve L		the deepest of the three layers that form the wall of the eveball (sclera,
Eve R	MRI or CT	uvea/choroid, and retina).
Eves}		Anterior border of the retina: insertion of the medial rectus muscle and the lateral
, ,		rectus muscle, posterior to the ciliary body. Exclude the optic nerve from the con-
		tour [37] $[\alpha]$.
		Consider the posterior segment of the eve: it includes the anterior hyaloid
Eye Posterior		membrane, vitreous humor, retina, and choroid.
{Eye_P_L	MRI or CT	See the anatomic description of Retina.
Eye_P_R}		Do not include the optic nerve and extra-ocular muscles [66] [α].
Femoral Head-		
Neck		Cranial: top of the ball of the femur:
{FemurHeadNeck	CT-bone	Caudal: the lowest level of the ischial tuberosity (right or left) and the cranial
L	windows	edge of lesser trocanters.
_– FemurHead-		Contour each femoral head and neck separately [29.65.75] [α].
Neck_R}		

Femur		The femur is the only bone in the upper leg. It is classified as a long bone and is normally divided into diaphysis (or body) and two epiphyses (ends). The proximal end contains the head, neck, two trochanters, and adjacent struc- tures. The body of the femur is thick and almost cylindrical in shape. The lower end of the femur is the thickest and ends with two condyles that articu- late with the tibia. [63] [γ] The diaphysis cross-sectioned by the beam entrances. Reduce the femur dose us- ing VMAT [76] [δ].
Genitals {Genitals}	MRI	In males: include the penis, scrotum, and area including skin and fat anterior to the pubic symphysis. In females: include the clitoris, labia majora and minora, and area including skin and fat anterior to pubic symphysis. Cranial limit: caudal edge of the pubic symphysis [29,75] [α].
Glottis {Glottis}		Include the vocal cords and paraglottic fat. Cranial edge: arytenoid cartilages, caudal edge: thyroid cartilage (anterior part) Posterior edge: cricoid cartilage and arytenoid cartilages (anterior border). Exclude the air from the contour [29,66] [α] [74] [β].
Great Vessels {GreatVes}	CT— mediastinal windows	They are defined as major arteries and veins that convey blood to the heart or away from the heart. They include: aorta, pulmonary artery, pulmonary veins, superior vena cava, and inferior vena cava. The branches and tributaries of these named vessels (e.g. brachiocephalic trunk, bra-chiocephalic veins) may be included. The use of intravenous contrast may help to distinguish from adjacent mediasti- nal structures [29] [α] [α] [α].
Heart {Heart}	CT— mediastinal windows	Contour the heart along with the pericardial sac. Cranial edge (or base): at the bifurcation of the pulmonary trunk and right pulmonary artery. Caudal edge: apex of the heart. Exclude major vessels from the contour [29,44] [α].
Heart and Pulmonary Artery {Heart + A_Pulm}	CT— mediastinal windows	See the anatomic description of Heart. Consider as cranial limit the cranial aspect of the pulmonary artery [29] [α].
Hearth—PTV {Heart-PTV}		Consider the anatomical description of {Heart}. Then, subtract the Planning Tar- get Volume (PTV) to estimate the dose to the residual Heart. Consider this Vol- ume for Stereotactic Arrhythmia Radioablation (STAR) [22] [δ].
Hippocampus {Hippocampus_L Hippocampus_R Hippocampi}	T1-weighted MRI	Composed by a posterior corpus and anterior head delimited by the lateral ventricle. Medial edge: quadrigeminal cistern. Lateral edge: temporal horn of the lateral ventricle. Dorsal edge: uncus. Contour each hippocampus separately. Hippocampi may be considered as volume sum for reporting purposes [29,37] [α] [40,78] [β].
Hypothalamus {Hypothalamus}		Composed by two separate volumes on each side of the third ventricle. Superior boundaries: anterior and the posterior commissure. Inferior boundary: base of the third ventricle. Posterior boundary: interpeduncular fossa.

		Medial Border: third ventricle or the visible Cerebrospinal Fluid space.
		Lateral border: not clearly visible, consider 3 mm from the third ventricle. Include the mammillary bodies in the contour [37] [α].
Implantable Cardi-		Do not place the ICD in the direct beam
overter Defibrilla-		The absorbed does to be received by the device should be estimated before treat
tor		ment [79] [a]
(ICD)		inent [79] [4].
		Consider the structure from the ligament of Treitz (duodenojejunal junction) to
Jejunum-lleum		the ileocaecal junction.
{Jejunum_lleum}		Contour closely to the outer boundary.
		Include bowel contents [29,68] [α] [63] [γ].
		Outline kidney separately to evaluate individual dose.
Kidneys		Consider only the organ, located from the level of the 112 and L3 vertebral
{Kidney_L		DOCIES.
Kidney_R		Exclude the surrounding adipose tissue, any cysts, and the adrenal gland.
Kidneys}		Kidneys may be considered as a sum of the two volumes, which can be created to
		Ruleys may be considered as a sum of the two volumes, which can be created to calculate total kidney does [29.68] $[\alpha]$
Kidney Cortex		Consider the outer layer of renal parenchyma, under the cansule
{Kidney-Cortex}		Include also the renal columns [29] [α] [63] [γ]
[Runey conex]		I ocated in the orbit superior-lateral to the eye medially to the zygomatic process
Lacrimal Gland	CT-soft	of the frontal bone
{Glnd Lacrimal L	tissue	Medial border: superior rectus muscle
Glnd Lacrimal R	windows	Inferior border: lateral rectus muscle.
0	() indication ()	Contour each gland separately [29.37] $[\alpha]$.
		Composed by supraglottic and glottic components, such as: epiglottis,
		supraglottic adductor muscles, aryepiglottic folds,
		arytenoid cartilages, and the true and false vocal cords.
T		Cranial edge: tip of the epiglottis,
Larynx		Caudal edge: thyroid cartilage (caudal limit).
{Larynx}		Anterior edge: hyoid bone, preepiglottic
		space, and thyroid cartilage.
		Posterior edge: The inferior pharyngeal constrictor muscles, pharyngeal lumen,
		and cricoid cartilage [29,66] [α].
		Proximal: from the LMCA in the left atrioventricular groove, it runs approxi-
		mately 1.5 cm. Consider as caudal limit when it reaches the position between left
Left Circumplex		ventricle and left atrium.
Coronary Artery		Distal: from the proximal part it runs in the left atrioventricular groove in close re-
(CxCA)		lation to left atrium, cranially, and the inferior segment of left ventricle, caudally,
		to the crux of the heart
		[45,73] [α].
Left Main Coro-		It arises from the aorta above the aortic valve, then it passes between the pulmo-
nary artery		nary trunk and the superior part of left atrium for $10-25$ mm and it divides into
(LMCA)		left anterior descended artery (LADCA) and left circumflex artery (CXCA) [45,/3]
		$[\alpha]$.
		Crantal, consider the point after the diructation of LMCA.
		Cauual, it merges with the diaprilagin. Ventral: consider an imaginary straight line from the anterior interventricular
Left ventricle		groove to the interatrial sentum
		Broove to the interaction septem. Dorsal: left atrium and left atrioventricular groove cranially. Consider the
		pericardium caudally
		peneuranan caudany.

		Left: pericardium. Right: Cranially aorta to below the aortic ostium and caudally consider the left part of the crux [73] [α].
Lens {Lens_L Lens R}	СТ	It is a visible biconvex avascular structure, located between the vitreous humor. The position is not fixed and can vary during treatment [29,37] [α] [40] [β].
Lips {Lips}	Radiopaque marker on the surface	Cranial edge: nasal columella (cranial limit). Caudal edge: mandibular body (cranial border). Lateral edge: lateral commissure. Include the inner surface of the lip in the contour [29,66] [α] [63] [γ].
Liver {Liver}		Consider all the 8 hepatic segments. Exclude gallbladder from liver contour. Exclude the Inferior vena cava when it is discrete and separate from the liver. Include Portal Vein when segment I is on its left. Exclude Portal Vein when segment I is posterior. Include in the contour branches of portal triad [29,68] $[\alpha]$.
Lumbo-sacral Plexus {LumbSacPlex_L LumbSacPlex_R LumbSacPlexs}		At the level of L4–L5 vertebral body: anterior and lateral edges: psoas muscle, common iliac vessels; posterior and medial edges: L4–L5 vertebral body, neural foramina. At the level of S1–S2: anterior and medial edges: psoas muscle; lateral edge: iliacus muscle, sacroiliac joint; posterior edge: sacral ala; medial edge: S1–S2 and neural foramina. Level of superior aspect of piriformis muscle: anterior edge: iliac vessels; posterior edge: piriformis muscle. Level of ischial spine: anterior and medial edges: obturator internus muscle and ischial spine; lateral edge: piriformis muscle; posterior edge: gluteus maximus [71] [δ]. Define LumbSacPlexs if the entire lumbo-sacral plexus with bilateral nerve roots is considered. Otherwise, contour LumbSacPlex_L/R separately to denote struc- ture laterality [29] [α].
Lung {Lung_L Lung_R Lungs}	CT—lung windows	Limit the contour to the air-inflated lung parenchyma without inclusion of any fluid visible on CT. Include small sized vessels (<1 cm or beyond the hilar region); exclude the proximal bronchial tree. Do not include the trachea/bronchus. Automated contouring tools may be used, with reviewing and editing of the auto-contoured structure often required. Considered lungs as sum of structures. Normally, the lung dose limits are referred to DVHs of both lungs, with exclusion of the target volume [29,72] [α].
Mitral valve		It is a bicuspid valve and it is located between the left atrium and the left ventricle of the heart. It is composed by two cusps (or leaflets): an anteromedial leaflet and a posterolateral leaflet. A fibrous ring (anulus) surrounds the structure [63] [γ].
Optic Chiasm {OpticChiasm}	T1-weighted MRI	It is located in the subarachnoid space of the suprasellar cistern. Inferior border: pituitary gland. Posterior border: pituitary stalk. Lateral border: internal carotid artery.

		It originates anteriorly with the optic nerves and it continues dorsally to the optic
		tracts
		[37,39,66] [<i>α</i>].
Optic Norvo		Contour each optic nerve separately.
Optic Nerve	T1-weighted	Consider this structure from the posterior part of the eye to the optic chiasm
{OpticNrv_L	MRI	passing through the optic canal to enter the skull
Opticivrv_K}		[37,39,80] [α].
		Cranial and posterior edge: hard palate.
Oral Cavity		Caudal edge: tubercle of hyoid bone.
{Cavity Oral}		Anterior and lateral edge: inner surface of mandibular and maxillar bone.
< <u>,</u> _ ,		Include also: oral tongue, soft palate, uvula, and the base of tongue [29,66] [α].
		Contour each ovary separately.
Ovaries		Located in the ovarian fossae, proximate to the lateral pelvic wall.
{Ovarv L	T2-weighted	The right ovary is usually medial to ileocaecal junction, caecum, and appendix.
Ovary R	MR	The left ovary is adjacent to the sigmoid colon.
Ovaries}		Posteriorly they face the peritoneum.
<u> </u>		Ovaries may be considered as a sum of the two structures [29.65] [α] [81.82] [γ].
		Located at the level of the L1-L3 vertebral bodies.
		The pancreatic head can be identified to the right of the superior mesenteric
Pancreas		artery
{Pancreas}		The uncinate process is placed posteriorly to the superior mesenteric vein
(i uncicuo)		The pancreatic body lays between the coeliac trunk and superior mesenteric ar-
		tery anterior to the porta [29.68] $[\alpha]$
		Contour each gland senarately
		Anterior: surface of the masseter muscle: the deep lobe of the parotid gland may
		avtend alongside the medial horder of the mandible and the medial ptervgoid
		muscle
Parotid Cland		Modial: the parapharyngeal space
Parotid I		Lateral: subgutaneous fat and the platusma
Parotid P	CT	Superior: the external auditory canal and masterid process
Parotidal		Caudal: postorior submandibular space, inferior to the mandibular angle
ratotius		The external carotid artery, the retromandibular usin and the extragranial facial
		nerve are enclosed in the paretid gland
		Volume and position of the gland can be variable
		Consider Deretide a sum of two volumes for does reporting nurnesses [20.66] [a]
	T2 sustable d	Consider Parolids a sum of two volumes for dose reporting purposes [29,66] [a].
	12-weighted	Consider the portion of the hulberry grandiagum of the ponic immediately inferior
Penil Bulb	IVINI,	Consider the portion of the bubbous sponglosum of the penis infinediately interior
{PenileBulb}	or CT with	To the GO diaphraght, influed anteriory by the drethra.
	contrast in the	Do not extend into the shart of pendulous portion of the penis. [29,65] [α]
	urethra	This called also meninguided on a it is a filme series on a containing the boart and the
D		It is called also pericardial sac; it is a fibro-serous sac containing the heart and the
Pericardium		roots of the great vessels. It is composed by an outer layer (fibrous pericardium)
		and an inner layer (serous pericardium) [63] $[\gamma]$.
		include the whole muscle constrictor structure, such as the superior, middle, and
DI 1		interior pharyngeal constrictor muscle.
Pnaryngeal		Craniai edge: pterygoid plates (caudal limit)
constrictor		Caudai edge: arytenoid cartilages (caudal limit).
muscles		Posterior eage: pre-vertebral muscle.
{Musc_Constrict}		Lateral edge: medial pterygoid muscle (cranially); hyoid bone and thyroid
		cartilages (caudally).
		Anterior edge: pterygoid hamulus [29] $[\alpha]$ [74] $[\beta]$.

Pharyngeal		Cranial edge: hyoid bone (caudal limit)
constrictor		Caudal edge: arytenoid cartilages (caudal limit).
muscles Inferior		Posterior edge: pre-vertebral muscle.
{Musc_Con-		Lateral edge: thyroid cartilage (superior horn)
strict_I}		Anterior edge: thyroid cartilage (posterior border) [29] [α] [74] [β].
		It originates at the horns of the hyoid bone.
Pharyngeal		Cranial edge: C3 vertebral body (upper edge)
constrictor muscle		Caudal edge: hyoid bone (caudal limit).
Middle		Anterior edge: base tongue and hyoid bone.
{Musc_Con-		Posterior edge: prevertebral space.
strict M}		Exclude pharyngeal lumen from the volume
- /		[29] $[\alpha]$ [74] [β].
		Cranial edge: ptervgoid plates (caudal limit)
Pharvngeal		Caudal edge: C2 vertebral body (caudal limit).
constrictor muscle		Posterior edge: pre-vertebral muscles.
Superior		Anterior edge: ptervgoid hamulus, ptervgoid-mandibular raphe, and base of
{Musc Con-		tongue
strict S}		Exclude pharyngeal lumen from the volume
5410(_0)		[29] $\left[\alpha\right]$ [74] [6].
		It is defined as the inner bony limit of the sella turcica and can be considered as
Pituitary Fossa		an alternative anatomical structure for the pituitary gland
{Fossa_Pituitary}		[29.37.66] $\left[\alpha\right]$
		Located upon the hypophysial fossa of the sphenoid hone
		Surrounded by a small bony cavity (sella turcica) covered by a dural fold
Pituitary Gland	MRI	(dianhragm sellae)
{Pituitary}	WIN	Connected to the hypothalamus by its pituitary stalk [29.37] $\left[\alpha\right]$
		For the second event of the representation of the product of the representation of the
		Consider the volume from the latest 2 cm of the trachea and the carina
	CT-	Follow the bronchial tree and include right and left mainstem bronchi, right and
Provimal Brochus		left upper lobe bronchi, intermedius bronchus, right
{Bronchus Prov}	mediastinal	middle lobe bronchus lingular bronchus and the right and left lower lobe
(bronends i rox)	window	hronchi
		End lobar bronchi contour at the level of segmental bifurcation [29,72] [α]
		It is also called pulmonic valve, a semilunar valve, located between the right ven-
Pulmonary valvo		tricle and pulmonary artery. It is composed by three somilunar cusps — two ante-
i unionary varve		rior and one posterior, projecting into the lumon of pulmonary trunk [63] [v]
		Granial: consider sigmaid junction when the rectum loses its round shape in the
Poctum		avial plane and connects with the sigmoid
(Rectum)		Caudal: the approactal junction at the lowest level of the isobial tuberosity (right or
INECTUIN		Loft) [29.65] [a]
		Contour and structure concretely
Retina		Lt is structure located in the nectorier well of the swe
{Retina_L		Consider the nesterior well of the ave and contour from the incertion of the lateral
Retina_R		consider the posterior wall of the eye and contour from the insertion of the lateral
Retinas}		Potings can be considered as sum of two volumes [20] [c]
		Remas can be considered as sum of two volumes [29] [α].
Disht Car		richt contricular groove between
A relation		right ventricle and right atrium, with caudal limit before it reaches the position
Artery		near the pericardium.
(KCA)		iviladie: from the distal part of proximal-KCA, it runs in the atrioventricular
		groove, to the acute heart border.

		Distal: from the acute hearth border, continues in the atrioventricular groove pos- teriorly to the crux cordis. Posterior descending artery: from distal RCA it continues between left and right ventricles [45.73] [α]
Sigmoid Colon {Colon_Sigmoid}		Consider the last part of the colon, caudal to descending colon. Cranial border: where the descending colon turns toward the left to reach the middle line at the level of the third piece of the sacrum. Caudal border: recto-sigmoid junction. Contour the outer boundary of the bowel and includes any bowel contents [29] [α] [α] [α] [α]] [γ].
Skin {Skin}		The skin is the 5mm inner rind of the external body contour. Please note actual skin thickness will vary dependent on region of interest [29,37] [α] [β 3] [γ].
Soft Tissues and Skeletal system		Consider muscle and subcutaneous fat close to target volume area [20] [α].
Spinal Canal {SpinalCanal}	CT—bone windows	Consider the volume according to the inner limits of the spinal canal using bone windows [29] [α]. Divided into cervical, thoracic, and lumbar parts. Cranial edge: cortico-medullary junction at the foramen magnum (at the level of C2) Caudal edge: most caudal slice where the spinal canal is visualized, usually at the level of the L5-S1 [66,72] [α] [63] [γ].
SpinalCord {SpinalCordl}	T1-weighted MRI	It is considered the true spinal cord. Cranial edge: level of the tip of the dens of the C2 vertebra, where it continues with the brainstem. Caudal edge: lumbar cistern approximately at the level of L1 vertebral body in adults [29,66] [α].
Spleen {Spleen}	CT—soft windows	Anterior border: stomach. Posterior-lateral border: left 9th to 11th ribs and diaphragm. Medial border: left kidney. Caudal border: left colic flexure. Exclude the peritoneum surrounding the spleen from the contour [29] [α] [63] [γ].
Stomach {Stomach}	CT—oral contrast recommended	Composed by cardia, fundus, body, and antrum and pylorus. Cardia: caudal to the Gastro-esophageal junction. Fundus: the most cephalad portion of the stomach. Body: central, largest portion of the stomach. Antrum: most distal portion, continue into the pylorus [68] [<i>a</i>].
Submandibular Gland {Glnd_Submand_ L Glnd_Submand_R Glnd_Submands}		Cranial: Medial pterygoid muscle, mylohyoid muscle. Caudal: Fatty tissue. Anterior: mylohyoid muscle, hyoglossus muscle. Posterior: Parapharyngeal space, sternocleidomastoid muscle. Lateral: medial pterygoid muscle, mandibular bone, platysma. Medial: mylohyoid muscle, hyoglossus muscle, superior and middle pharyngeal constrictor muscle, and digastric muscle. Contour each gland separately. Glnd_Submands can be considered as sum of two volumes for dosimetric purposes [29,66] [α].
Supraglottic larynx		It encompasses the epiglottis, supraglottic adductor muscles, aryepiglottic folds, arytenoid cartilages, and false vocal cords.

{Larynx_SG}		Cranial edge: tip of the epiglottis. Caudal edge: arytenoid cartilages (cranial limit)
		Anterior edge: hyoid bone, preepiglottic space, and thyroid cartilage.
		and cricoid cartilage
		Medial edge: pharyngeal lumen [29.66] [a]
		[74] [6].
		It is located in the anterior right superior mediastinum and it is formed from the
Superior Vena		confluence of the left and right brachiocephalic veins. It passes behind the first
cava		intercostal space, then it ends the sinus venarum of the right atrium (33) $[\gamma]$.
		Posterior edge: consider an imaginary line dividing cranium into anterior 2/3 and
		post 1/3.
Temporal Lobe		Anterior edge: Cerebrospinal Fluid space posterior to greater wing of sphenoid.
{Lobe_Temporal_L		Superior edge: Sylvian fissure.
Lobe_Temporal_R		Inferior edge: base of the skull/tentorium cerebelli.
}		Lateral edge: temporal bone and Cerebrospinal Fluid located medially.
		Medial edge: inferiorly CSF surrounding brainstem and tentorium cerebelli,
		superiorly midbrain and lateral ventricles [29] [α] [63] [γ].
Temporo-		Consider the mandibular head, mandibular fossa of temporal bone, and articular
mandibular -joint		eminence form the temporomandibular joint.
{Temporo_mandib		Located anteriorly to the tragus of the ear [83] $[\gamma]$.
ula_joint}		
Testis		Contour each testis separately.
{Testis_L		Contour the volume along with the tunica vaginalis and epididymis.
Testis_R}		Exclude the spermatic cord from the contour
		[27] [4] [05] [7].
		Well defined limits because It has considerable contrast compared to its
		surrounding tissues
Thyroid Gland		Cranial edge: piriform sinus
{Glnd Thyroid}		Caudal edge: at level of the C5–7 vertebral bodies
(Onta_Inyrola)		Anterior edge: sternocleidomastoid muscles
		Posterior-medial edge: the cervical vessels, cricoid cartilage, and esophagus
		[29.66] $[\alpha]$.
	07	Cranial limit: cricoid cartilage (caudal edge)
Trachea	CT-	Caudal limit: 2cm cranial to the carina.
{Trachea}	mediastinal	Include in the volume lumen and trachealis muscle
	windows	[29,72] [<i>α</i>].
		It is also called right atrioventricular valve and it is located at the superior portion
Triguanid malua		of the right ventricle. It is composed by three cusps or leaflets: anterior, posterior,
Theuspiù valve		and septal cusps. Each leaflet is connected through chordae tendineae to the
		papillary muscles of the right ventricle [63] [γ].
		Defined from the ureteropelvic junction of the kidneys.
Ureters		In the abdomen they are located in the retroperitoneum and they lay anteriorly to
{Ureter L		the psoas muscle. At the level of the ischial spine, the ureter turns anterior and
Ureter R		medial and enter the bladder on the posterior bladder aspect in the trigone
Ureters}		(ureterovesical junction).
,		Ureters can be considered as volume sum
TT (1	TO	$[29] [\alpha] [63] [\gamma].$
(I treathere)	12-weighted	It origins from the internal urethral orifice at the bladder neck and continues
{Uretnra}	IVIKI	caudany to the external urguiral ornice.

Prostatic UrethraT2-weightedThe cranial and caudal borders are defined by the limits of the prostate gland.{Urethra_Prostatc}MRIContour all muscle layers and use the sagittal view for a better identification
[29] [α] [63] [γ].Lower Vagina: from introitus to posterior-inferior border of symphysis.

VaginaMid vagina: from posterior-inferior border of symphysis to urethra-vesical
junction.

Upper vagina: from urethra-vesical junction to cervical orifice [84] [γ]. **Legend:** CSF: cerebrospinal fluid; CT: computed tomography scar; DVH: Dose–volume histogram; MRI: Magnetic resonance imaging. The official nomenclature proposed by the Global Harmonization group [29], where present, is given in {..}. The anatomical descriptions were classified by level of reliability in their use for DVC evaluation as follows: α –international guidelines or expert consensus in RT contouring, β –validated anatomical description for RT contouring from single institution, γ –anatomical or radiological descriptions from dedicated books or papers, δ –anatomical definition for RT contouring used in planning studies.

4. Discussion

The initial aim of this work was to produce practical guidelines within our institution and, thus, to provide radiation oncologists with a quick and user-friendly synopsis without (or with little) ambiguity. We subsequently decided to share this work and to involve a multidisciplinary and international team of authors to write this paper.

In drafting these guidelines, we avoided entering different values (from different sources) for the same DVC. For this reason, we identified criteria for selecting the data to be included. Therefore, we scored the source of recommendation as previously reported.

Obviously, this choice reduced the amount of the presented information but we hope that the tables will be used as an index to the literature where further relevant details can easily be found. Furthermore, grading the evidence provides the reader with an estimate of the single recommendation relevance.

Obviously, the use of these recommendations cannot be "automatic" but necessarily requires management by operators with knowledge and experience on the details needed for an informed and expert use of dose/volume constraints. For example, the evaluation of dose/volume histograms for OaRs must take into account various aspects, such as patient age and comorbidities, spatial characteristics of the radiotherapy dose distribution, treatment aims, and any symptoms that are manageable or not with other treatments.

This collection of DVCs for OaRs has obvious limitations. Not all available information was included, only some has been selected. To this end we used well-defined objective criteria which, however, were inevitably the result of a subjective and somehow arbitrary choice for the authors. Therefore, we are aware that different criteria would have led to recommendations different from those presented here. In addition, many DVCs came from publications, such as the QUANTEC guidelines, based on the results of clinical studies where RT was mainly delivered with the 3D technique. However, it is known that different and new techniques may require different and new DVCs. For example, in the Allen et al. experience, where the DVC V_{20 Gy} was met, 6 out of 13 patients with pleural mesothelioma undergoing intensity-modulated RT died from radiation-induced pneumonitis. From the analysis of their data, the authors concluded that in the setting of thoracic irradiation with modulated RT, the V5 Gy parameter must be evaluated in addition to the DVCs established at the time of their publication [85]. Therefore, great caution is required when using "new" RT techniques with "old" DVCs. Furthermore, our bibliographic research only included papers, thus, excluding other potentially useful sources, as in the case of the textbook published by Rancati and Fiorino in 2019 [86], which offers an in-depth update of the QUANTEC initiative. In addition, other potentially useful bibliographic sources, such as those relating to the HyTEC initiative (Hygh dose per fraction, hypofractionated treatment effects in the clinic) [87,88], were deliberately excluded. In fact, our choice was to provide the most practical indications and, therefore, to include only recommendations based on dose and volume limit values or percentages.

Furthermore, both the recommendations from guidelines and from other sources may be based on previous publications and it is difficult, and sometimes impossible, to verify that these references are completely correct and, above all, provide precise indications on the clinical reference setting.

Similarly, Ferini et al. effectively summarized a large series of ever-changing dosimetric issues about the rectum, including contradictory perspectives on how to consider such an OAR (parallel vs. serial) and, consequently, conflicting DVCs [89]. In this scenario, there is also the need to understand whether some medical interventions can improve the rectal tolerance to high-radiation exposure [90,91]

Furthermore, the introduction of new algorithms to calculate dose distribution can also lead to unexpected OaR overdosing [92]. On the other hand, new techniques can improve the tolerance of some tissues. For example, Table 1 reports 72 Gy as the D_{max} value for the brain. Instead, some studies based on intensity-modulated RT or volumetric-modulated arc therapy showed the brain's tolerability of doses up to 80 Gy [93,94]. Furthermore, many indications have rather low levels of evidence and have to be simply considered as "expert opinions". For example, all suggestions presented in Supplementary Table S3 for breast cancers have the minimum level of evidence (D). More generally, the categorization of the source of recommendation we propose has further limitations. In fact, we arbitrarily considered recommendations from guidelines as those with the highest level of evidence, considering this, even if a compromise, the best possible choice. However, as is well known, the same guidelines can be based on levels of evidence that we classify as of lower level and it is not certain that the recommendations of the guidelines are updated based on the latest data from the literature.

Finally, the "practical" choice of producing "synoptic" guidelines further limited the presented information. For example, only the DVCs for RT delivered in one, three, five, and eight fractions were arbitrarily selected for ultra-hypofractionated treatments.

Additionally, no DVC indication is made for RT schedules combining different radiation dose sizes, as in the cases of a stereotactic boost to a limited tumor site after a more extended normofractionated irradiation [95,96] or of a large spatially fractionated dose administration before a homogeneously delivered hypofractionated palliative course [97].

5. Conclusions

In conclusion, we summarized, in a single document, information on the definition of the main OaRs, on the imaging modalities suggested for their optimal identification and on the selected DVCs. The authors hope to have provided a concise but useful guideline for clinical practice in RT.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/curroncol29100552/s1, Table S1: (DVCs for emerging OaRs), Table S2: (DVCs for RT of Hodgkin's lymphoma), Table S3: (DVCs for RT of breast cancers), Table S4: (DVCs for RT of pediatric tumors), Table S5: (DVCs for stereotactic ablative RT of ventricular arrythmia) [3,11,13–18,20–24,35,40,67,98–121].

Author Contributions: S.B., S.C. (Savino Cilla), L.S. and A.G.M. had the idea for the article; S.B., A.B. (Anna Benini) and R.C. performed the literature search and data collection; F.D., G.M., S.C. (Silvia Cammelli) and M.B. revised collected data for each body district independently; N.P.N., T.W., L.S., A.F.M.K.U., S.R. and A.B. (Alberto Bazzocchi) revised the final data; S.B., A.B. (Anna Benini) and A.G.M. drafted the manuscript; all authors revised the proof and contributed with intellectual content. All authors revised and accepted the final proof. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Acknowledgments: We would like to express our gratitude to all those who helped us during the writing of this manuscript.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Rubin, P.; Casarett, G. Clinical radiation pathology as applied to curative radiotherapy Front. Radiat. Ther. Oncol. 1968, 22, 767–778.
- Emami, B.; Lyman, J.; Brown, A.; Cola, L.; Goitein, M.; Munzenrider, J.E.; Shank, B.; Solin, L.J.; Wesson, M. Tolerance of Normal Tissue to Therapeutic Irradiation. *Int. J. Radiat. Oncol. Biol. Phys.* 1991, 21, 109–122. https://doi.org/10.1016/0360-3016(91)90171-Y.
- Marks, L.B.; Ten Haken, R.K.; Martel, M.K. Guest Editor's Introduction to QUANTEC: A Users Guide. Int. J. Radiat. Oncol. Biol. Phys. 2010, 76, S1–S2.
- Bentzen, S.M.; Constine, L.S.; Deasy, J.O.; Eisbruch, A.; Jackson, A.; Marks, L.B.; Ten Haken, R.K.; Yorke, E.D. Quantitative Analyses of Normal Tissue Effects in the Clinic (QUANTEC): An Introduction to the Scientific Issues. *Int. J. Radiat. Oncol. Biol. Phys.* 2010, 76, S3–S9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrobp.2009.09.040.
- Marks, L.B.; Yorke, E.D.; Jackson, A.; Ten Haken, R.K.; Constine, L.S.; Eisbruch, A.; Bentzen, S.M.; Nam, J.; Deasy, J.O. Use of Normal Tissue Complication Probability Models in the Clinic. *Int. J. Radiat. Oncol. Biol. Phys.* 2010, 76, S10–S19. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrobp.2009.07.1754.
- Diez, P.; Hanna, G.G.; Aitken, K.L.; van As, N.; Carver, A.; Colaco, R.J.; Conibear, J.; Dunne, E.M.; Eaton, D.J.; Franks, K.N.; et al. UK 2022 Consensus on Normal Tissue Dose-Volume Constraints for Oligometastatic, Primary Lung and Hepatocellular Carcinoma Stereotactic Ablative Radiotherapy. *Clin. Oncol.* 2022, 34, 288–300. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clon.2022.02.010.
- Hanna, G.G.; Murray, L.; Patel, R.; Jain, S.; Aitken, K.L.; Franks, K.N.; van As, N.; Tree, A.; Hatfield, P.; Harrow, S.; et al. UK Consensus on Normal Tissue Dose Constraints for Stereotactic Radiotherapy. *Clin. Oncol.* 2018, 30, 5–14. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clon.2017.09.007.
- Benedict, S.H.; Yenice, K.M.; Followill, D.; Galvin, J.M.; Hinson, W.; Kavanagh, B.; Keall, P.; Lovelock, M.; Meeks, S.; Papiez, L.; et al. Stereotactic Body Radiation Therapy: The Report of AAPM Task Group 101. *Med. Phys.* 2010, 37, 4078–4101. https://doi.org/10.1118/1.3438081.
- Milano, M.T.; Grimm, J.; Niemierko, A.; Soltys, S.G.; Moiseenko, V.; Redmond, K.J.; Yorke, E.; Sahgal, A.; Xue, J.; Mahadevan, A.; et al. Single- and Multifraction Stereotactic Radiosurgery Dose/Volume Tolerances of the Brain. *Int. J. Radiat. Oncol. Biol. Phys.* 2021, 110, 68–86. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrobp.2020.08.013.
- Grimm, J.; LaCouture, T.; Croce, R.; Yeo, I.; Zhu, Y.; Xue, J. Dose Tolerance Limits and Dose Volume Histogram Evaluation for Stereotactic Body Radiotherapy. J. Appl. Clin. Med. Phys. 2011, 12, 267–292. https://doi.org/10.1120/jacmp.v12i2.3368.
- NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology-Hodgkin Lymphoma. Version 1.2023 September 16, 2022. https://www.nccn.org/guidelines/guidelines-detail?category=1&id=1439
- Coles, C.E.; Griffin, C.L.; Kirby, A.M.; Titley, J.; Agrawal, R.K.; Alhasso, A.; Bhattacharya, I.S.; Brunt, A.M.; Ciurlionis, L.; Chan, C.; et al. Partial-Breast Radiotherapy after Breast Conservation Surgery for Patients with Early Breast Cancer (UK IMPORT LOW Trial): 5-Year Results from a Multicentre, Randomised, Controlled, Phase 3, Non-Inferiority Trial. *Lancet* 2017, 390, 1048– 1060. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(17)31145-5.
- Meattini, I.; Marrazzo, L.; Saieva, C.; Desideri, I.; Scotti, V.; Simontacchi, G.; Bonomo, P.; Greto, D.; Mangoni, M.; Scoccianti, S.; et al. Accelerated Partial-Breast Irradiation Compared with Whole-Breast Irradiation for Early Breast Cancer: Long-Term Results of the Randomized Phase III APBI-IMRT-Florence Trial. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 2020, 38, 4175–4183. https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.20.00650.
- Murray Brunt, A.; Haviland, J.S.; Wheatley, D.A.; Sydenham, M.A.; Alhasso, A.; Bloomfield, D.J.; Chan, C.; Churn, M.; Cleator, S.; Coles, C.E.; et al. Hypofractionated Breast Radiotherapy for 1 Week versus 3 Weeks (FAST-Forward): 5-Year Efficacy and Late Normal Tissue Effects Results from a Multicentre, Non-Inferiority, Randomised, Phase 3 Trial. *Lancet* 2020, 395, 1613–1626. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30932-6.
- Palmer, J.D.; Tsang, D.S.; Tinkle, C.L.; Olch, A.J.; Kremer, L.C.M.; Ronckers, C.M.; Gibbs, I.C.; Constine, L.S. Late Effects of Radiation Therapy in Pediatric Patients and Survivorship. *Pediatr. Blood Cancer* 2021, 68, e28349. https://doi.org/10.1002/pbc.28349.
- Milano, M.T.; Vargo, J.A.; Yorke, E.D.; Ronckers, C.M.; Kremer, L.C.; Chafe, S.M.J.; van Santen, H.M.; Marks, L.B.; Bentzen, S.M.; Constine, L.S.; et al. Primary Hypothyroidism in Childhood Cancer Survivors Treated With Radiation Therapy: A PENTEC Comprehensive Review. *Int. J. Radiat. Oncol.* 2021, S0360-3016(21)00128-0. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrobp.2021.02.001.
- Mahajan, A.; Stavinoha, P.L.; Rongthong, W.; Brodin, N.P.; McGovern, S.L.; El Naqa, I.; Palmer, J.D.; Vennarini, S.; Indelicato, D.J.; Aridgides, P.; et al. Neurocognitive Effects and Necrosis in Childhood Cancer Survivors Treated With Radiation Therapy: A PENTEC Comprehensive Review. *Int. J. Radiat. Oncol. Biol. Phys.* 2021, S0360-3016(21)00127-9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrobp.2020.11.073.
- Milgrom, S.A.; van Luijk, P.; Pino, R.; Ronckers, C.M.; Kremer, L.C.; Gidley, P.W.; Grosshans, D.R.; Laskar, S.; Okcu, M.F.; Constine, L.S.; et al. Salivary and Dental Complications in Childhood Cancer Survivors Treated With Radiation Therapy to the Head and Neck: A Pediatric Normal Tissue Effects in the Clinic (PENTEC) Comprehensive Review. *Int. J. Radiat. Oncol. Biol. Phys.* 2021, S0360-3016(21)00443-0. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrobp.2021.04.023.

- Lo, A.C.; Ronckers, C.; Aznar, M.C.; Avanzo, M.; van Dijk, I.; Kremer, L.C.M.; Gagliardi, G.; Howell, R.M.; Rancati, T.; Constine, L.S.; et al. Breast Hypoplasia and Decreased Lactation From Radiation Therapy in Survivors of Pediatric Malignancy: A PENTEC Comprehensive Review. *Int. J. Radiat. Oncol. Biol. Phys.* 2021, S0360-3016(21)02725-5. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrobp.2021.08.032.
- Hodgson, D.C.; Dieckmann, K.; Terezakis, S.; Constine, L. Implementation of Contemporary Radiation Therapy Planning Concepts for Pediatric Hodgkin Lymphoma: Guidelines from the International Lymphoma Radiation Oncology Group. *Pract. Radiat. Oncol.* 2015, *5*, 85–92. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.prro.2014.05.003.
- 21. Reis, C.Q.M.; Little, B.; Lee MacDonald, R.; Syme, A.; Thomas, C.G.; Robar, J.L. SBRT of Ventricular Tachycardia Using 4pi Optimized Trajectories. J. Appl. Clin. Med. Phys. 2021, 22, 72–86. https://doi.org/10.1002/acm2.13454.
- Blanck, O.; Buergy, D.; Vens, M.; Eidinger, L.; Zaman, A.; Krug, D.; Rudic, B.; Boda-Heggemann, J.; Giordano, F.A.; Boldt, L.H.; et al. Radiosurgery for Ventricular Tachycardia: Preclinical and Clinical Evidence and Study Design for a German Multi-Center Multi-Platform Feasibility Trial (RAVENTA). *Clin. Res. Cardiol.* 2020, 109, 1319–1332. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00392-020-01650-9.
- 23. Chiu, M.H.; Mitchell, L.B.; Ploquin, N.; Faruqi, S.; Kuriachan, V.P. Review of Stereotactic Arrhythmia Radioablation Therapy for Cardiac Tachydysrhythmias. *CJC Open* **2021**, *3*, 236–247.
- 24. De la Pinta, C.; Besse, R. Stereotactic Ablative Body Radiotherapy for Ventricular Tachycardia: An Alternative Therapy for Refractory Patients. *Anatol. J. Cardiol.* **2021**, *25*, 858.
- 25. NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology—Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer. Version 5. 2022. Available online: https://www.nccn.org/guidelines/guidelines-detail?category=1&id=1450 (accessed on 26 September 2022).
- NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology Esophageal and Esophagogastric Junction Cancers. Version 4. 2022. Available online: https://www.nccn.org/guidelines/guidelines-detail?category=1&id=1433 (accessed on 7 September 2022).
- 27. NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology—Gastric Gancer. Version 2. 2022. Available online: https://www.nccn.org/guidelines/guidelines-detail?category=1&id=1434 (accessed on 11 January 2022).
- NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology Anal Cancer. Version 2. 2022. Available online: https://www.nccn.org/guidelines/guidelines-detail?category=1&id=1414 (accessed on 2 September 2022).
- Mir, R.; Kelly, S.M.; Xiao, Y.; Moore, A.; Clark, C.H.; Clementel, E.; Corning, C.; Ebert, M.; Hoskin, P.; Hurkmans, C.W.; et al. Organ at Risk Delineation for Radiation Therapy Clinical Trials: Global Harmonization Group Consensus Guidelines: GHG OAR Consensus Contouring Guidance. *Radiother. Oncol.* 2020, 150, 30–39. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radonc.2020.05.038.
- Dapper, H.; Rodríguez, I.; Münch, S.; Peeken, J.C.; Borm, K.; Combs, S.E.; Habermehl, D. Impact of VMAT-IMRT Compared to 3D Conformal Radiotherapy on Anal Sphincter Dose Distribution in Neoadjuvant Chemoradiation of Rectal Cancer. *Radiat.* Oncol. 2018, 13, 237. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13014-018-1187-7.
- Jadon, R.; Higgins, E.; Hanna, L.; Evans, M.; Coles, B.; Staffurth, J. A Systematic Review of Dose-Volume Predictors and Constraints for Late Bowel Toxicity Following Pelvic Radiotherapy. *Radiat. Oncol.* 2019, 14, 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13014-019-1262-8.
- Peng, X.; Zhou, S.; Liu, S.; Li, J.; Huang, S.; Jiang, X.; Lin, M.; Huang, S.; Lin, C.; Qian, C.; et al. Dose-Volume Analysis of Predictors for Acute Anal Toxicity after Radiotherapy in Prostate Cancer Patients. *Radiat. Oncol.* 2019, 14, 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13014-019-1374-1.
- 33 Atkins, K.M.; Chaunzwa, T.L.; Lamba, N.; Bitterman, D.S.; Rawal, B.; Bredfeldt, J.; Williams, C.L.; Kozono, D.E.; Baldini, E.H.; Nohria, A.; et al. Association of Left Anterior Descending Coronary Artery Radiation Dose with Major Adverse Cardiac Events and Mortality Patients with Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer. JAMA Oncol. 2021, 7, 206-219. in https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaoncol.2020.6332.
- Brodin, N.P.; Tomé, W.A. Revisiting the Dose Constraints for Head and Neck OARs in the Current Era of IMRT. *Oral Oncol.* 2018, *86*, 8–18. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oraloncology.2018.08.018.
- 35. Merlotti, A.; Alterio, D.; Vigna-Taglianti, R.; Muraglia, A.; Lastrucci, L.; Manzo, R.; Gambaro, G.; Caspiani, O.; Miccichè, F.; Deodato, F.; et al. Technical Guidelines for Head and Neck Cancer IMRT on Behalf of the Italian Association of Radiation Oncology—Head and Neck Working Group. *Radiat. Oncol.* 2014, *9*, 264. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13014-014-0264-9.
- Brunner, T.B.; Haustermans, K.; Huguet, F.; Morganti, A.G.; Mukherjee, S.; Belka, C.; Krempien, R.; Hawkins, M.A.; Valentini, V.; Roeder, F. ESTRO ACROP Guidelines for Target Volume Definition in Pancreatic Cancer. *Radiother. Oncol.* 2021, 154, 60–69. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radonc.2020.07.052.
- Eekers, D.B.; In't Ven, L.; Roelofs, E.; Postma, A.; Alapetite, C.; Burnet, N.G.; Calugaru, V.; Compter, I.; Coremans, I.E.M.; Høyer, M.; et al. The EPTN Consensus-Based Atlas for CT- and MR-Based Contouring in Neuro-Oncology. *Radiother. Oncol.* 2018, 128, 37–43. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radonc.2017.12.013.
- Inoue, H.K.; Seto, K.I.; Nozaki, A.; Torikai, K.; Suzuki, Y.; Saitoh, J.I.; Noda, S.E.; Nakano, T. Three-Fraction CyberKnife Radiotherapy for Brain Metastases in Critical Areas: Referring to the Risk Evaluating Radiation Necrosis and the Surrounding Brain Volumes Circumscribed with a Single Dose Equivalence of 14 Gy (V14). *J. Radiat. Res.* 2013, 54, 727–735. https://doi.org/10.1093/jrr/rrt006.
- Niyazi, M.; Brada, M.; Chalmers, A.J.; Combs, S.E.; Erridge, S.C.; Fiorentino, A.; Grosu, A.L.; Lagerwaard, F.J.; Minniti, G.; Mirimanoff, R.O.; et al. ESTRO-ACROP Guideline "Target Delineation of Glioblastomas." *Radiother. Oncol.* 2016, 118, 35–42. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radonc.2015.12.003.
- 40. Scoccianti, S.; Detti, B.; Gadda, D.; Greto, D.; Furfaro, I.; Meacci, F.; Simontacchi, G.; Di Brina, L.; Bonomo, P.; Giacomelli, I.; et al. Organs at Risk in the Brain and Their Dose-Constraints in Adults and in Children: A Radiation Oncologist's Guide for Delineation in Everyday Practice. *Radiother. Oncol.* 2015, 114, 230–238. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radonc.2015.01.016.

- Li, B.; Li, D.; Lau, D.H.; Farwell, D.G.; Luu, Q.; Rocke, D.M.; Newman, K.; Courquin, J.; Purdy, J.A.; Chen, A.M. Clinical-Dosimetric Analysis of Measures of Dysphagia Including Gastrostomy-Tube Dependence among Head and Neck Cancer Patients Treated Definitively by Intensity-Modulated Radiotherapy with Concurrent Chemotherapy. *Radiat. Oncol.* 2009, *4*, 52. https://doi.org/10.1186/1748-717X-4-52.
- 42. Basu, T.; Bhaskar, N. Overview of Important "Organs at Risk" (OAR) in Modern Radiotherapy for Head and Neck Cancer (HNC). In *Cancer Survivorship*; IntechOpen: London, UK, 2019.
- Lambrecht, M.; Eekers, D.B.P.; Alapetite, C.; Burnet, N.G.; Calugaru, V.; Coremans, I.E.M.; Fossati, P.; Høyer, M.; Langendijk, J.A.; Romero, A.M.; et al. Radiation Dose Constraints for Organs at Risk in Neuro-Oncology; the European Particle Therapy Network Consensus. *Radiother. Oncol.* 2018, 128, 26–36.
- Feng, M.; Moran, J.M.; Koelling, T.; Chughtai, A.; Chan, J.L.; Freedman, L.; Hayman, J.A.; Jagsi, R.; Jolly, S.; Larouere, J.; et al. Development and Validation of a Heart Atlas to Study Cardiac Exposure to Radiation Following Treatment for Breast Cancer. *Int. J. Radiat. Oncol. Biol. Phys.* 2011, 79, 10–18. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrobp.2009.10.058.
- Duane, F.; Aznar, M.C.; Bartlett, F.; Cutter, D.J.; Darby, S.C.; Jagsi, R.; Lorenzen, E.L.; McArdle, O.; McGale, P.; Myerson, S.; et al. A Cardiac Contouring Atlas for Radiotherapy. *Radiother. Oncol.* 2017, 122, 416–422. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radonc.2017.01.008.
- Liu, J.; Zhao, Q.; Deng, W.; Lu, J.; Xu, X.; Wang, R.; Li, X.; Yue, J. Radiation-Related Lymphopenia Is Associated with Spleen Irradiation Dose during Radiotherapy in Patients with Hepatocellular Carcinoma. *Radiat. Oncol.* 2017, 12, 90. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13014-017-0824-x.
- Gondi, V.; Hermann, B.P.; Mehta, M.P.; Tomé, W.A. Hippocampal Dosimetry Predicts Neurocognitive Function Impairment after Fractionated Stereotactic Radiotherapy for Benign or Low-Grade Adult Brain Tumors. *Int. J. Radiat. Oncol. Biol. Phys.* 2013, 85, 348–354. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrobp.2012.11.031.
- Pinkham, M.B.; Bertrand, K.C.; Olson, S.; Zarate, D.; Oram, J.; Pullar, A.; Foote, M.C. Hippocampal-Sparing Radiotherapy: The New Standard of Care for World Health Organization Grade II and III Gliomas? J. Clin. Neurosci. 2014, 21, 86–90. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jocn.2013.04.005.
- 49 Goodman, K.A.; Regine, W.F.; Dawson, L.A.; Ben-Josef, E.; Haustermans, K.; Bosch, W.R.; Turian, J.; Abrams, R.A. Radiation Therapy Oncology Group Consensus Panel Guidelines for the Delineation of the Clinical Target Volume in the Postoperative Treatment of Pancreatic Head Cancer. Int. J. Radiat. Oncol. Biol. Phys. 2012, 83. 901-908. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrobp.2012.01.022.
- Yeoh, E.; Botten, R.; Di Matteo, A.; Tippett, M.; Hutton, J.; Fraser, R.; Dinning, P.G.; Wattchow, D. Pudendal Nerve Injury Impairs Anorectal Function and Health Related Quality of Life Measures ≥2 Years after 3D Conformal Radiotherapy for Prostate Cancer. Acta Oncol. 2018, 57, 456–464. https://doi.org/10.1080/0284186X.2017.1400690.
- Kovtun, K.A.; Yeo, W.P.; Phillips, C.H.; Viswanathan, A.; Baldini, E.H. Ovary-Sparing Radiation Planning Techniques Can Achieve Ovarian Dose Reduction for Soft Tissue Sarcoma of the Buttock and Thigh. *Sarcoma* 2017, 2017, 2796925. https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/2796925.
- Vyfhuis, M.A.L.; Fellows, Z.; McGovern, N.; Zhu, M.; Mohindra, P.; Wong, J.; Nichols, E.M. Preserving Endocrine Function in Premenopausal Women Undergoing Whole Pelvis Radiation for Cervical Cancer. *Int. J. Part. Ther.* 2019, *6*, 10–17. https://doi.org/10.14338/ijpt-d-19-00061.1.
- 53. Du, Z.; Qu, H. The Relationship between Ovarian Function and Ovarian Limited Dose in Radiotherapy Postoperation of Ovarian Transposition in Young Patients with Cervical Cancer. *Cancer Med.* **2017**, *6*, 508–515. https://doi.org/10.1002/cam4.924.
- Polanowski, P.; Wydmański, J.; Tukiendorf, A.; Składowski, K. The Analysis of Absorbed Dose by Pancreas during Gastric Cancer Radiotherapy. *Radiother. Oncol.* 2020, 151, 20–23. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radonc.2020.07.009.
- Gemici, C.; Yaprak, G.; Ozdemir, S.; Baysal, T.; Seseogullari, O.O.; Ozyurt, H. Volumetric Decrease of Pancreas after Abdominal Irradiation, It Is Time to Consider Pancreas as an Organ at Risk for Radiotherapy Planning 11 Medical and Health Sciences 1103 Clinical Sciences. *Radiat. Oncol.* 2018, 13, 238. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13014-018-1189-5.
- Palmisciano, P.; Ogasawara, C.; Ogasawara, M.; Ferini, G.; Scalia, G.; Haider, A.S.; Bin Alamer, O.; Salvati, M.; Umana, G.E. Endocrine Disorders after Primary Gamma Knife Radiosurgery for Pituitary Adenomas: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Pituitary* 2022, 25, 404–419.
- Chadha, A.S.; Liu, G.; Chen, H.C.; Das, P.; Minsky, B.D.; Mahmood, U.; Delclos, M.E.; Suh, Y.; Sawakuchi, G.O.; Beddar, S.; et al. Does Unintentional Splenic Radiation Predict Outcomes After Pancreatic Cancer Radiation Therapy? *Int. J. Radiat. Oncol. Biol. Phys.* 2017, *97*, 323–332.
- Zschaeck, S.; Blümke, B.; Wust, P.; Kaul, D.; Bahra, M.; Riess, H.; Klein, F.; Sinn, M.; Pelzer, U.; Budach, V.; et al. Dose-Escalated Radiotherapy for Unresectable or Locally Recurrent Pancreatic Cancer: Dose Volume Analysis, Toxicity and Outcome of 28 Consecutive Patients. *PLoS ONE* 2017, *12*, e0186341. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0186341.
- 59. Uehara, T.; Monzen, H.; Tamura, M.; Inada, M.; Otsuka, M.; Doi, H.; Matsumoto, K.; Nishimura, Y. Feasibility Study of Volumetric Modulated Arc Therapy with Halcyon[™] Linac for Total Body Irradiation. *Radiat. Oncol.* 2021, 16, 236. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13014-021-01959-3.
- 60. De Felice, F.; Marchetti, C.; Marampon, F.; Cascialli, G.; Muzii, L.; Tombolini, V. Radiation Effects on Male Fertility. *Andrology* **2019**, *7*, 2–7.
- 61. Hoskin, P.J.; Colombo, A.; Henry, A.; Niehoff, P.; Paulsen Hellebust, T.; Siebert, F.A.; Kovacs, G. GEC/ESTRO Recommendations on High Dose Rate Afterloading Brachytherapy for Localised Prostate Cancer: An Update. *Radiother. Oncol.* **2013**, *107*, 325–332.

- 62. Henry, A.; Pieters, B.R.; André Siebert, F.; Hoskin, P. GEC-ESTRO ACROP Prostate Brachytherapy Guidelines. *Radiother. Oncol.* **2022**, *167*, 244–251. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radonc.2021.12.047.
- 63. Susan, S.G. Anatomy The Anatomical Basis of Clinical Practice; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2020.
- 64. Zhang, H.M.; Yan, Y.P.; Qi, K.M.; Wang, J.Q.; Liu, Z.F. Anatomical Structure of the Buccal Fat Pad and Its Clinical Adaptations. *Plast. Reconstr. Surg.* **2002**, *109*, 2509–2518. https://doi.org/10.1097/00006534-200206000-00052.
- 65. Gay, H.A.; Barthold, H.J.; O'Meara, E.; Bosch, W.R.; El Naqa, I.; Al-Lozi, R.; Rosenthal, S.A.; Lawton, C.; Lee, W.R.; Sandler, H.; et al. Pelvic Normal Tissue Contouring Guidelines for Radiation Therapy: A Radiation Therapy Oncology Group Consensus Panel Atlas. *Int. J. Radiat. Oncol. Biol. Phys.* 2012, *83*, e353–e362. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrobp.2012.01.023.
- 66. Brouwer, C.L.; Steenbakkers, R.J.H.M.; Bourhis, J.; Budach, W.; Grau, C.; Grégoire, V.; Van Herk, M.; Lee, A.; Maingon, P.; Nutting, C.; et al. CT-Based Delineation of Organs at Risk in the Head and Neck Region: DAHANCA, EORTC, GORTEC, HKNPCSG, NCIC CTG, NCRI, NRG Oncology and TROG Consensus Guidelines. *Radiother. Oncol.* 2015, 117, 83–90. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radonc.2015.07.041.
- Mell, L.K.; Kochanski, J.D.; Roeske, J.C.; Haslam, J.J.; Mehta, N.; Yamada, S.D.; Hurteau, J.A.; Collins, Y.C.; Lengyel, E.; Mundt, A.J. Dosimetric Predictors of Acute Hematologic Toxicity in Cervical Cancer Patients Treated with Concurrent Cisplatin and Intensity-Modulated Pelvic Radiotherapy. *Int. J. Radiat. Oncol. Biol. Phys.* 2006, 66, 1356–1365. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrobp.2006.03.018.
- Jabbour, S.K.; Hashem, S.A.; Bosch, W.; Kim, T.K.; Finkelstein, S.E.; Anderson, B.M.; Ben-Josef, E.; Crane, C.H.; Goodman, K.A.; Haddock, M.G.; et al. Upper Abdominal Normal Organ Contouring Guidelines and Atlas: A Radiation Therapy Oncology Group Consensus. *Pract. Radiat. Oncol.* 2014, 4, 82–89. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.prro.2013.06.004.
- Offersen, B.V.; Boersma, L.J.; Kirkove, C.; Hol, S.; Aznar, M.C.; Sola, A.B.; Kirova, Y.M.; Pignol, J.P.; Remouchamps, V.; Verhoeven, K.; et al. ESTRO Consensus Guideline on Target Volume Delineation for Elective Radiation Therapy of Early Stage Breast Cancer, Version 1.1. *Radiother. Oncol.* 2016, *118*, 205–208. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radonc.2015.12.027.
- 70. Dunne, E.M.; Lo, S.S.; Liu, M.C.; Bergman, A.; Kosztyla, R.; Chang, E.L.; Chang, U.K.; Chao, S.T.; Dea, N.; Faruqi, S.; et al. Thecal Sac Contouring as a Surrogate for the Cauda Equina and Intracanal Spinal Nerve Roots for Spine Stereotactic Body Radiation Therapy (SBRT): Contour Variability and Recommendations for Safe Practice. *Int. J. Radiat. Oncol. Biol. Phys.* 2022, *112*, 114–120. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrobp.2021.08.023.
- 71. Yi, S.K.; Mak, W.; Yang, C.C.; Liu, T.; Cui, J.; Chen, A.M.; Purdy, J.A.; Monjazeb, A.M.; Do, L. Development of a Standardized Method for Contouring the Lumbosacral Plexus: A Preliminary Dosimetric Analysis of This Organ at Risk among 15 Patients Treated with Intensity-Modulated Radiotherapy for Lower Gastrointestinal Cancers and the Incidence of Rad. *Int. J. Radiat. Oncol. Biol. Phys.* **2012**, *84*, 376–382. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrobp.2011.11.074.
- 72. Kong, F.M.; Ritter, T.; Quint, D.J.; Senan, S.; Gaspar, L.E.; Komaki, R.U.; Hurkmans, C.W.; Timmerman, R.; Bezjak, A.; Bradley, J.D.; et al. Consideration of Dose Limits for Organs at Risk of Thoracic Radiotherapy: Atlas for Lung, Proximal Bronchial Tree, Esophagus, Spinal Cord, Ribs, and Brachial Plexus. *Int. J. Radiat. Oncol. Biol. Phys.* 2011, *81*, 1442–1457. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrobp.2010.07.1977.
- Milo, M.L.H.; Offersen, B.V.; Bechmann, T.; Diederichsen, A.C.P.; Hansen, C.R.; Holtved, E.; Josipovic, M.; Lörincz, T.; Maraldo, M.V.; Nielsen, M.H.; et al. Delineation of Whole Heart and Substructures in Thoracic Radiation Therapy: National Guidelines and Contouring Atlas by the Danish Multidisciplinary Cancer Groups. *Radiother. Oncol.* 2020, 150, 121–127. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radonc.2020.06.015.
- Christianen, M.E.M.C.; Langendijk, J.A.; Westerlaan, H.E.; Van De Water, T.A.; Bijl, H.P. Delineation of Organs at Risk Involved in Swallowing for Radiotherapy Treatment Planning. *Radiother. Oncol.* 2011, 101, 394–402. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radonc.2011.05.015.
- 75. Ng, M.; Leong, T.; Chander, S.; Chu, J.; Kneebone, A.; Carroll, S.; Wiltshire, K.; Ngan, S.; Kachnic, L. Australasian Gastrointestinal Trials Group (AGITG) Contouring Atlas and Planning Guidelines for Intensity-Modulated Radiotherapy in Anal Cancer. Int. J. Radiat. Oncol. Biol. Phys. 2012, 83, 1455–1462. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrobp.2011.12.058.
- 76. Ferini, G.; Valenti, V.; Puliafito, I.; Illari, S.I.; Marchese, V.A.; Borzì, G.R. Volumetric Modulated Arc Therapy Capabilities for Treating Lower-Extremity Skin Affected by Several Merkel Cell Carcinoma Nodules: When Technological Advances Effectively Achieve the Palliative Therapeutic Goal While Minimising the Risk of Potential Toxicities. *Medicina* 2021, 57, 1379. https://doi.org/10.3390/medicina57121379.
- 77. Ekene, O.; Nakesha, K.; Eric Heuer, C.B. The Heart and Great Vessels. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med. 2018, 8, a031922.
- 78. Chera, B.S.; Amdur, R.J.; Patel, P.; Mendenhall, W.M. A Radiation Oncologist's Guide to Contouring the Hippocampus. *Am. J. Clin. Oncol. Cancer Clin. Trials* **2009**, *32*, 20–22. https://doi.org/10.1097/COC.0b013e318178e4e8.
- Wolbarst, A.B. Management of Radiation Oncology Patients with Implanted Cardiac Pacemakers: Report of AAPM Task Group No. 34. *Med. Phys.* 1994, 21, 85–90. https://doi.org/10.1118/1.597259.
- Eekers, D.B.P.; Di Perri, D.; Roelofs, E.; Postma, A.; Dijkstra, J.; Ajithkumar, T.; Alapetite, C.; Blomstrand, M.; Burnet, N.G.; Calugaru, V.; et al. Update of the EPTN Atlas for CT- and MR-Based Contouring in Neuro-Oncology. *Radiother. Oncol.* 2021, 160, 259–265. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radonc.2021.05.013.
- 81. Hauth, E.A.M.; Jaeger, H.J.; Libera, H.; Lange, S.; Forsting, M. Magnetic Resonance Imaging of the Ovaries of Healthy Women: Determination of Normal Values. *Acta radiol.* **2006**, *47*, 986–992. https://doi.org/10.1080/02841850600885419.
- 82. Olson, M.C.; Posniak, H.V.; Tempany, C.M.; Dudiak, C.M. MR Imaging of the Female Pelvic Region. Radiographics 1992, 12, 445–465.

- 83. Sommer, O.J.; Aigner, F.; Rudisch, A.; Gruber, H.; Fritsch, H.; Millesi, W.; Stiskal, M. Cross-Sectional and Functional Imaging of the Temporomandibular Joint: Radiology, Pathology, and Basic Biomechanics of the Jaw. *RadioGraphics* **2003**, *23*, e14.
- 84. Baggish, M.S.; Mickey, K.M. Atlas of Pelvic Anatomy and Gynecologic Surgery, 2nd ed.; Saunder: Tokyo, Japan, 2006.
- 85. Allen, A.M.; Czerminska, M.; Jänne, P.A.; Sugarbaker, D.J.; Bueno, R.; Harris, J.R.; Court, L.; Baldini, E.H. Fatal Pneumonitis Associated with Intensity-Modulated Radiation Therapy for Mesothelioma. *Int. J. Radiat. Oncol. Biol. Phys.* **2006**, *65*, 640–645. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrobp.2006.03.012.
- 86. Rancati, T.; Fiorino, C. Modelling Radiotherapy Side Effects; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, USA, 2019.
- Grimm, J.; Jackson, A.; Kavanagh, B.D.; Marks, L.B.; Yorke, E.; Xue, J. The HyTEC Project. Med. Phys. 2021, 48, 2699–2700. https://doi.org/10.1002/mp.14913.
- Grimm, J.; Marks, L.B.; Jackson, A.; Kavanagh, B.D.; Xue, J.; Yorke, E. High Dose per Fraction, Hypofractionated Treatment Effects in the Clinic (HyTEC): An Overview. *Int. J. Radiat. Oncol. Biol. Phys.* 2021, 110, 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrobp.2020.10.039.
- 89. Ferini, G.; Pergolizzi, S. A Ten-Year-Long Update on Radiation Proctitis among Prostate Cancer Patients Treated with Curative External Beam Radiotherapy. *Vivo* **2021**, *35*, 1379–1391.
- Ferini, G.; Tripoli, A.; Molino, L.; Cacciola, A.; Lillo, S.; Parisi, S.; Umina, V.; Illari, S.I.; Marchese, V.A.; Cravagno, I.R.; et al. How Much Daily Image-Guided Volumetric Modulated Arc Therapy Is Useful for Proctitis Prevention with Respect to Static Intensity Modulated Radiotherapy Supported by Topical Medications among Localized Prostate Cancer Patients? *Anticancer Res.* 2021, *41*, 2101–2110. https://doi.org/10.21873/anticanres.14981.
- Ferini, G.; Tripoli, A.; Umina, V.; Borzì, G.R.; Marchese, V.A.; Illari, S.I.; Cacciola, A.; Lillo, S.; Parisi, S.; Valenti, V. Radiation Proctitis: The Potential Role of Hyaluronic Acid in the Prevention and Restoration of Any Damage to the Rectal Mucosa among Prostate Cancer Patients Submitted to Curative External Beam Radiotherapy. *Gastroenterol. Insights* 2021, 12, 446–455. https://doi.org/10.3390/gastroent12040043.
- Cilla, S.; Digesù, C.; Macchia, G.; Deodato, F.; Sallustio, G.; Piermattei, A.; Morganti, A.G. Clinical Implications of Different Calculation Algorithms in Breast Radiotherapy: A Comparison between Pencil Beam and Collapsed Cone Convolution. *Phys. Medica* 2014, 30, 473–481. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmp.2014.01.002.
- Monjazeb, A.M.; Ayala, D.; Jensen, C.; Case, L.D.; Bourland, J.D.; Ellis, T.L.; McMullen, K.P.; Chan, M.D.; Tatter, S.B.; Lesser, G.J.; et al. A Phase i Dose Escalation Study of Hypofractionated IMRT Field-in-Field Boost for Newly Diagnosed Glioblastoma Multiforme. *Int. J. Radiat. Oncol. Biol. Phys.* 2012, *82*, 743–748. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrobp.2010.10.018.
- 94. Ferro, M.; Ferro, M.; Macchia, G.; Cilla, S.; Buwenge, M.; Re, A.; Romano, C.; Boccardi, M.; Picardi, V.; Cammelli, S.; et al. Post-Operative Accelerated-Hypofractionated Chemoradiation With Volumetric Modulated Arc Therapy and Simultaneous Integrated Boost in Glioblastoma: A Phase I Study (ISIDE-BT-2). Front. Oncol. 2021, 10, 626400. https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2020.626400.
- 95. Vadalà, R.E.; Santacaterina, A.; Sindoni, A.; Platania, A.; Arcudi, A.; Ferini, G.; Mazzei, M.M.; Marletta, D.; Rifatto, C.; Risoleti, E.V.I.; et al. Stereotactic Body Radiotherapy in Non-Operable Lung Cancer Patients. *Clin. Transl. Oncol.* **2016**, *18*, 1158–1159.
- 96. Parisi, S.; Ferini, G.; Cacciola, A.; Lillo, S.; Tamburella, C.; Santacaterina, A.; Bottari, A.; Brogna, A.; Ferrantelli, G.; Pontoriero, A.; et al. A Non-Surgical COMBO-Therapy Approach for Locally Advanced Unresectable Pancreatic Adenocarcinoma: Preliminary Results of a Prospective Study. *Radiol. Medica* 2022, 127, 214–219. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11547-021-01441-w.
- 97. Ferini, G.; Parisi, S.; Lillo, S.; Viola, A.; Minutoli, F.; Critelli, P.; Valenti, V.; Illari, S.I.; Brogna, A.; Umana, G.E.; et al. Impressive Results after "Metabolism-Guided " Lattice Irradiation in Patients Submitted to Palliative Radiation Therapy : Preliminary Results of LATTICE_01 Multicenter Study. *Cancers* 2022, 14, 3909.
- 98. Hoffmann, L.; Knap, M.M.; Khalil, A.A.; Lutz, C.M.; Sloth Møller, D. The NARLAL2 Dose Escalation Trial: Dosimetric of Changes Organs 2018, 473-479. Implications Inter-Fractional in at Risk. Acta Oncol. 57. https://doi.org/10.1080/0284186X.2017.1366049.
- Cacciola, A.; Lillo, S.; Parisi, S.; Tamburella, C.; Brogna, A.; Ferini, G.; Blandino, A.; Minutoli, F.; Pergolizzi, S. Intensity-Modulated Radiotherapy Does Not Induce Volumetric Changes of the Bichat Fat Pad in Nasopharyngeal Cancer. *Strahlenther. Und Onkol.* 2022,198, 1–6. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00066-022-01974-7.
- Li, N.; Liu, X.; Zhai, F.; Liu, B.; Cao, X.; Li, S.; Zhang, M.; Liu, M. Association between Dose-Volume Parameters and Acute Bone Marrow Suppression in Rectal Cancer Patients Treated with Concurrent Chemoradiotherapy. *Oncotarget* 2017, *8*, 92904–92913. https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.21646.
- Bazan, J.G.; Luxton, G.; Mok, E.C.; Koong, A.C.; Chang, D.T. Normal Tissue Complication Probability Modeling of Acute Hematologic Toxicity in Patients Treated with Intensity-Modulated Radiation Therapy for Squamous Cell Carcinoma of the Anal Canal. Int. J. Radiat. Oncol. Biol. Phys. 2012, 84, 700–706. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrobp.2011.12.072.
- 102. Gortzak, Y.; Lockwood, G.A.; Mahendra, A.; Wang, Y.; Chung, P.W.M.; Catton, C.N.; O'Sullivan, B.; Deheshi, B.M.; Wunder, J.S.; Ferguson, P.C. Prediction of Pathologic Fracture Risk of the Femur after Combined Modality Treatment of Soft Tissue Sarcoma of the Thigh. *Cancer* 2010, *116*, 1553–1559. https://doi.org/10.1002/cncr.24949.
- Holt, G.E.; Griffin, A.M.; Pintilie, M.; Wunder, J.S.; Catton, C.; O'Sullivan, B.; Bell, R.S. Fractures Following Radiotherapy and Limb-Salvage Surgery for Lower Extremity Soft-Tissue Sarcomas: A Comparison of High-Dose and Low-Dose Radiotherapy. J. Bone Jt. Surg. 2005, 87, 315–319.
- 104. Pai, H.H.; Thornton, A.; Katznelson, L.; Finkelstein, D.M.; Adams, J.A.; Fullerton, B.C.; Loeffler, J.S.; Leibsch, N.J.; Klibanski, A.; Munzenrider, J.E. Hypothalamic/Pituitary Function Following High-Dose Conformal Radiotherapy to the Base of Skull:

Demonstration of a Dose-Effect Relationship Using Dose-Volume Histogram Analysis. Int. J. Radiat. Oncol. Biol. Phys. 2001, 49, 1079–1092. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0360-3016(00)01387-0.

- 105. Murakami, N.; Kasamatsu, T.; Sumi, M.; Yoshimura, R.; Harada, K.; Kitaguchi, M.; Sekii, S.; Takahashi, K.; Yoshio, K.; Inaba, K.; et al. Vaginal Tolerance of CT Based Image-Guided High-Dose Rate Interstitial Brachytherapy for Gynecological Malignancies. *Radiat. Oncol.* 2014, 9, 31. https://doi.org/10.1186/1748-717X-9-31.
- 106. Kirchheiner, K.; Nout, R.A.; Lindegaard, J.C.; Haie-Meder, C.; Mahantshetty, U.; Segedin, B.; Jürgenliemk-Schulz, I.M.; Hoskin, P.J.; Rai, B.; Dörr, W.; et al. Dose-Effect Relationship and Risk Factors for Vaginal Stenosis after Definitive Radio(Chemo)Therapy with Image-Guided Brachytherapy for Locally Advanced Cervical Cancer in the EMBRACE Study. *Radiother. Oncol.* 2016, 118, 160–166. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radonc.2015.12.025.
- 107. Wirth, A.; Mikhaeel, N.G.; Aleman, B.M.P.; Pinnix, C.C.; Constine, L.S.; Ricardi, U.; Illidge, T.M.; Eich, H.T.; Hoppe, B.S.; Dabaja, B.; et al. Involved Site Radiation Therapy in Adult Lymphomas: An Overview of International Lymphoma Radiation Oncology Group Guidelines. *Int. J. Radiat. Oncol. Biol. Phys.* 2020, 107, 909–933. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrobp.2020.03.019.
- 108. Ferini, G.; Molino, L.; Tripoli, A.; Valenti, V.; Illari, S.I.; Marchese, V.A.; Cravagno, I.R.; Borzi, G.R. Anatomical Predictors of Dosimetric Advantages for Deep-Inspiration-Breath-Hold 3D-Conformal Radiotherapy among Women with Left Breast Cancer. *Anticancer Res.* 2021, 41, 1529–1538. https://doi.org/10.21873/anticanres.14912.
- 109. Thomsen, M.S.; Berg, M.; Zimmermann, S.; Lutz, C.M.; Makocki, S.; Jensen, I.; Hjelstuen, M.H.B.; Pensold, S.; Hasler, M.P.; Jensen, M.B.; et al. Dose Constraints for Whole Breast Radiation Therapy Based on the Quality Assessment of Treatment Plans in the Randomised Danish Breast Cancer Group (DBCG) HYPO Trial. *Clin. Transl. Radiat. Oncol.* 2021, 28, 118–123. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ctro.2021.03.009.
- 110. Tolia, M. Assessment of Contralateral Mammary Gland Dose in the Treatment of Breast Cancer Using Accelerated Hypofractionated Radiotherapy. *World J. Radiol.* 2011, *3*, 233–240. https://doi.org/10.4329/wjr.v3.i9.233.
- Rudra, S.; Al-Hallaq, H.A.; Feng, C.; Chmura, S.J.; Hasan, Y. Effect of RTOG Breast/Chest Wall Guidelines on Dose-Volume Histogram Parameters. J. Appl. Clin. Med. Phys. 2014, 15, 127–137. https://doi.org/10.1120/jacmp.v15i2.4547.
- 112. Noël, G.; Antoni, D. Organs at Risk Radiation Dose Constraints. *Cancer* 2022, 26, 59–75. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.canrad.2021.11.001.
- 113. De Rose, F.; Fogliata, A.; Franceschini, D.; Navarria, P.; Villa, E.; Iftode, C.; D'Agostino, G.; Cozzi, L.; Lobefalo, F.; Mancosu, P.; et al. Phase II Trial of Hypofractionated VMAT-Based Treatment for Early Stage Breast Cancer: 2-Year Toxicity and Clinical Results. *Radiat. Oncol.* 2016, 11, 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13014-016-0701-z.
- Rice, L.; Goldsmith, C.; Green, M.M.L.; Cleator, S.; Price, P.M. An Effective Deep-Inspiration Breath-Hold Radiotherapy Technique for Left-Breast Cancer: Impact of Post-Mastectomy Treatment, Nodal Coverage, and Dose Schedule on Organs at Risk. *Breast Cancer Targets Ther.* 2017, 9, 437–446. https://doi.org/10.2147/BCTT.S130090.
- 115. Gentile, M.S.; Yeap, B.Y.; Paganetti, H.; Goebel, C.P.; Gaudet, D.E.; Gallotto, S.L.; Weyman, E.A.; Morgan, M.L.; MacDonald, S.M.; Giantsoudi, D.; et al. Brainstem Injury in Pediatric Patients With Posterior Fossa Tumors Treated With Proton Beam Therapy and Associated Dosimetric Factors. *Int. J. Radiat. Oncol. Biol. Phys.* 2018, 100, 719–729. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrobp.2017.11.026.
- 116. Shrestha, S.; Bates, J.E.; Liu, Q.; Smith, S.A.; Oeffinger, K.C.; Chow, E.J.; Gupta, A.C.; Owens, C.A.; Constine, L.S.; Hoppe, B.S.; et al. Radiation Therapy Related Cardiac Disease Risk in Childhood Cancer Survivors: Updated Dosimetry Analysis from the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study. *Radiother. Oncol.* 2021, 163, 199–208. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radonc.2021.08.012.
- 117. Hol, M.L.; Indelicato, D.J.; Rotondo, R.L.; Vega, R.B.M.; Uezono, H.; Lockney, N.A.; Sandler, E.; Bradley, J.A. Dose-Effect Analysis of Early Changes in Orbital Bone Morphology After Radiation Therapy for Rhabdomyosarcoma. *Pr. Radiat Oncol* **2020**, *10*, 53–58.
- 118. Arunagiri, N.; Kelly, S.M.; Dunlea, C.; Dixon, O.; Cantwell, J.; Bhudia, P.; Boterberg, T.; Janssens, G.O.; Gains, J.E.; Chang, Y.C. ing; et al. The Spleen as an Organ at Risk in Paediatric Radiotherapy: A SIOP-Europe Radiation Oncology Working Group Report. *Eur. J. Cancer* 2021, 143, 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejca.2020.10.025.
- Weil, B.R.; Madenci, A.L.; Liu, Q.; Howell, R.M.; Gibson, T.M.; Yasui, Y.; Neglia, J.P.; Leisenring, W.M.; Smith, S.A.; Tonorezos, E.S.; et al. Late Infection-Related Mortality in Asplenic Survivors of Childhood Cancer: A Report from the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study. J. Clin. Oncol. 2018, 36, 1571–1578.
- Romano, E.; Simon, R.; Minard-Colin, V.; Martin, V.; Bockel, S.; Espenel, S.; Fresneau, B.; Metayer, L.; Levy, A.; Guerin, F.; et al. Analysis of Radiation Dose/Volume Effect Relationship for Anorectal Morbidity in Children Treated for Pelvic Malignancies. *Int. J. Radiat. Oncol. Biol. Phys.* 2021, 109, 231–241. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrobp.2020.08.033.
- 121. Solan, A.N.; Solan, M.J.; Bednarz, G.; Goodkin, M.B. Treatment of Patients with Cardiac Pacemakers and Implantable Cardioverter-Defibrillators during Radiotherapy. Int. J. Radiat. Oncol. Biol. Phys. 2004, 59, 897–904. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrobp.2004.02.038.