

# Association between known risk factors and colorectal cancer risk in Indigenous people participating in the Ontario Familial Colon Cancer Registry

S. Jamal MPH,\* A.J. Sheppard PhD,\*<sup>†</sup> M. Cotterchio PhD,\*<sup>†</sup> and S. Gallinger MD MSc<sup>‡§</sup>

# ABSTRACT

**Introduction** Colorectal cancer is one of the most common cancers in Ontario and imposes a high burden on many Indigenous populations. There are two aims for this short communication:

- Highlight colorectal risk factor findings from a population-based case–control study
- Highlight trends and challenges of colorectal cancer research in Indigenous populations in Ontario

**Methods** Prevalences of cigarette smoking, obesity, fruit and vegetable consumption, and family history of colorectal cancer were estimated using the Indigenous identifier in the Ontario Familial Colon Cancer Registry for 1999–2007 and then compared for cases and controls using age-adjusted odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIS).

**Results** The registry search identified 66 Indigenous cases and 23 Indigenous controls. Cigarette smoking (OR: 1.88; 95% CI: 0.63 to 5.60) and obesity (OR: 2.16; 95% CI: 0.72 to 6.46) were higher in cases, but not statistically significantly so.

**Conclusions** Findings were consistent with previous literature describing Indigenous populations. A small sample size and poor Indigenous identification questions make it challenging to comprehensively understand cancer risk factors and burden in Indigenous populations.

Key Words Indigenous health, cancer prevention

Curr Oncol. 2020 August:27(4)e395-e398

www.current-oncology.com

## INTRODUCTION

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is the 2nd most commonly diagnosed cancer and the 2nd leading cause of cancer death in Ontario<sup>1</sup>. Lack of ethnic identifiers in Ontario health databases make it challenging to capture cancer burden, risk factors, or screening information for Indigenous populations. The Indigenous populations in Canada consist of 3 groups: First Nations, Inuit, and Métis. In Ontario, the First Nations group constitutes the greatest proportion, representing 63% of the Indigenous population in the province<sup>2</sup>. The incidence of CRC is significantly higher in First Nations people in Ontario and has shown a gradual upward trend from 1991 to 2010, while rates in the general provincial population have stabilized<sup>3</sup>. Mortality from CRC is higher and 5-year survival is poorer for First Nations people compared with other people in Ontario<sup>3</sup>. First Nations people in British Columbia and nationally also have a higher burden of CRC compared with their non-Indigenous counterparts<sup>4,5</sup>. Little is known about the CRC burden in Inuit and Métis populations in Ontario; however, limited national data show a higher incidence of CRC in Inuit compared with other populations<sup>6,7</sup>. Conversely, Métis people in Canada, particularly Métis women, have a lower incidence of CRC<sup>8</sup>.

The higher burden of CRC for many Indigenous populations might be attributable to higher prevalences of established risk factors associated with cancer and other chronic conditions<sup>9</sup>. In particular, higher prevalences of cigarette smoking, binge drinking, and obesity have been

**Correspondence to:** Sehar Jamal, Ontario Health (Cancer Care Ontario), 505 University Avenue, Toronto, Ontario M5G 2P1. E-mail: sehar.jamal@ontariohealth.ca **DOI:** https://doi.org/10.3747/co.27.6039

observed in First Nations and Inuit populations than in non-Indigenous populations<sup>10–12</sup>.

This short communication has two objectives:

- To briefly highlight the Indigenous findings from an Ontario population-based case–control study and the factors that might contribute to the alarming increase in CRC occurring in Indigenous people in Ontario
- To discuss the challenges of CRC research in Indigenous populations

## **METHODS**

A subset of data collected by the Ontario Familial Colon Cancer Registry (OFCCR) between 1999 and 2007 was used to examine CRC risk factors in Indigenous people.

The OFCCR is a multi-phase case-control study that identified incident cases of CRC in the population-based Ontario Cancer Registry and an age-group-matched control population. The matching did not consider ethnicity, because that variable was obtained after participation. The invitation package sent to cases and controls included a self-administered questionnaire asking about demographics and known CRC risk factors before the cancer diagnosis date (or a referent date for controls). Control participants were identified by randomly selecting households based on a telephone listing. Details about the recruitment of case and control participants, including data collection methods used by the OFCCR for phase 1 (1997-2000) can be found in Cotterchio et al.13. The Research Ethics Board of the University of Toronto approved this secondary data analysis of the OFCCR.

#### **Indigenous Identifier**

Respondents self-identified as Indigenous in the questionnaire. The ethnicity identification question included an option for "First Nations (e.g. Indian, Inuit)." Persons participating in the OFCCR and identifying as any other ethnicity were excluded from the present analyses. It is important to note that most respondents in this survey identified as First Nations, but are referred to as "Indigenous," given the wording of the question.

#### **Statistical Analysis**

Prevalence estimates for demographics and risk factors were calculated for Indigenous case and control participants. Variables assessed included cigarette smoking (having ever smoked cigarettes for 3 months or longer), body mass index (obesity), fruit and vegetable consumption (at least 5 servings of fruit or vegetables daily), and family history of CRC (first-degree relative). Age-adjusted odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were also calculated for those risk factors using age at diagnosis for case participants and age at survey for control participants. Statistical significance was determined by overlapping of CIs.

## RESULTS

Table I shows the demographics, prevalence estimates, and age-adjusted ORs for several risk factors for Indigenous case and control participants. For 1999–2007, 66 Indigenous case participants with CRC and 23 Indigenous control participants were located in the OFCCR. Higher prevalences of smoking cigarettes (OR: 1.88: 95% CI: 0.63 to 5.60) and

 TABLE I
 Colorectal cancer case-control comparison for Indigenous individuals

Indicator	Cases	Controls	Age-adjusted	
			OR	95% CI
Patients (n)	66	23		
Sex [n (%)]				
Men	31 (47)	11 (48)		
women	35 (53)	12 (52)		
Age group [n (%)]				
≤50 Years	30 (45)	6 (26)		
>50 Years	36 (55)	17 (74)		
Education [n (%)]				
High school or less	37 (56)	10 (43)	1	
College (at least some)	21 (32)	12 (52)	0.496	0.065 to 3.764
Graduate	3 (5)	1 (4)	0.401	0.135 to 1.189
Positive family history	18 (27)	2 (13)	4.678	0.946 to 23.120
Ever smoked cigarettes <sup>a</sup>	46 (70)	15 (65)	1.881	0.632 to 5.60
Body mass index <sup>b</sup>				
<25	19 (29)	9 (40)	1	
≥25	47 (71)	14 (63)	2.158	0.721 to 6.457
Fruit and vegetable consumption <sup>c</sup>	15 (23)	6 (26)	1.369	0.436 to 4.292

<sup>a</sup> For 3 months or more.

<sup>b</sup> In kg/m<sup>2</sup>, 2 years preceding the study.

<sup>c</sup> At least 5 servings daily.

OR = odds ratio; CI = confidence interval.

being overweight or obese (OR: 2.16: 95% CI: 0.72 to 6.46) were observed for case participants compared with control participants. In both groups, the proportion of individuals consuming at least 5 vegetables or fruits daily was similar.

For more than a quarter (27%) of Indigenous case participants, at least 1 first-degree relative had a history of CRC; among Indigenous control participants, the proportion was only 13% (OR: 4.67; 96% CI: 0.94 to 23.12).

# DISCUSSION

The study findings suggest higher prevalences of smoking, obesity, and family history of CRC in Indigenous case participants than in Indigenous control participants; however, the results are not statistically significant. Although our study has a small sample size, findings are consistent with other literature. Previous studies have also shown significantly higher prevalences of smoking and obesity in Indigenous populations in Canada, the United States (Alaska), Australia, and New Zealand<sup>9,11,12,14-17</sup>. Specifically in Ontario, one study found that, compared with non-Aboriginal people, off-reserve First Nations and Métis men were about twice as likely to be smokers, and First Nations women were 3.5 times and Métis women were 2.5 times as likely to be smokers. Compared with non-Aboriginal people, First Nations and Métis people were also twice as likely to be obese<sup>9</sup>. Sample size to estimate the smoking prevalence in Ontario Inuit is too small; however, in Inuit Nunangat (Inuit homelands), almost two thirds of the Indigenous population were smokers compared with only 23% of non-Aboriginal adults<sup>11</sup>.

Findings from previous OFCCR analyses encompassing all Ontario participants reported that approximately 60% of case and control participants have smoked cigarettes, compared with the 65%–75% of Indigenous case and control participants in the present study using the OFCCR<sup>18</sup>. That observation suggests that, compared with the general Ontario OFCCR population, a slightly higher absolute proportion of Indigenous people in the OFCCR smoke. Although the Cotterchio *et al.*<sup>18</sup> OFCCR findings for the general population covered 1997–2002, compared with the present Indigenous OFCCR analysis, which covers 1999–2007, there was some overlap.

Given the alarming increase in the CRC incidence in First Nations and Inuit populations, a priority must be placed on mitigating risk factors and increasing CRC screening uptake<sup>3,5,6,19</sup>. Data about CRC screening are limited. Although the OFCCR questionnaire contained questions about screening by fecal occult blood test and colonoscopy, the sample size was too small to report findings (a large group was missing). In Ontario, the organized CRC screening program for people more than 50 years of age was not developed until 2007, after recruitment for the present study. It is therefore likely that many respondents might not have been participating in CRC screening.

A previous self-reported survey from 2007–2011 found that about half the respondents (First Nations, Métis, and non-Aboriginals) were overdue for CRC screening (that is, had not participated in fecal occult blood testing in the preceding 2 years or in colonoscopy or sigmoidoscopy in the preceding 10 years)<sup>9</sup>. Similarly, more than two thirds of Inuit

adults living in Inuit Nunangat were overdue for CRC screening<sup>11</sup>. Previous publications have found a higher CRC incidence in First Nations people less than 50 years of age than in non–First Nations people<sup>3,5</sup>. In Ontario, the CRC screening guidelines suggest screening every 2 years for adults 50–74 years. However, if evidence suggests an earlier age of onset in First Nations people, screening guidelines might have to be reconsidered for that population, as has been done for African Americans and Alaska Natives by some organizations in the United States<sup>20,21</sup>. More investigation into age at diagnosis and screening guideline changes is needed.

## **Future Work**

For years, Ontarians have been volunteering in a longitudinal cohort study called the Ontario Health Study. To date, about 5000 participants have self-identified as Aboriginal, representing 2% of the entire provincial sample. The study aims to understand modifiable and genetic risk factors associated with many health conditions, including cancer, and therefore represents a unique opportunity to examine the research questions posed earlier in this paper, while addressing many of the limitations described in next subsection.

#### **Strengths and Limitations**

Although this case–control study of Indigenous participants in the OFCCR had a small sample size, findings were consistent with the previous literature.

Given the small sample size, one limitation is the lack of ability to report on other risk factors and screening behaviours relevant to CRC. Another limitation of the OFCCR for Indigenous findings includes the poor ethnic identification question. Given that the original OFCCR survey questions were developed in 1997, the question incorrectly grouped First Nations and Inuit and excluded Métis. Historically, surveys have had poor ethnic identification for Indigenous populations, with surveys often grouping all 3 populations under a pan-Aboriginal or pan-Indigenous category. That misidentification weakens the survey findings. Additionally, some surveys-the Canadian Community Health Survey, for instance-capture only the off-reserve First Nations population, missing almost 50% of First Nations in Ontario who live on reserve<sup>22</sup>. The lack of ethnic identifiers in health databases, paired with poor ethnic identification questions, makes it challenging to gather information about cancer risk factors and surveillance in Indigenous populations. It also often leads to underestimation of cancer cases or risk factors, especially if self-report of identity is being relied on<sup>23</sup>.

## **CONCLUSIONS**

Overall, information about cancer risk factors and screening behaviours among Indigenous people, particularly Métis and Inuit, in Ontario is limited. More research into follow-up from cancer screening and abnormal tests in all 3 groups is needed.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Cary Greenberg (Mount Sinai Hospital, Toronto, ON) for extracting the Indigenous dataset from the full OFCCR dataset. This work was supported by Alethea Kewayosh of the Indigenous

Cancer Care Unit at Ontario Health (Cancer Care Ontario). The Ontario site of the Colon Cancer Family Registry [CCFR (https:// www.coloncfr.org/)] is supported in part by funding from the U.S. National Cancer Institute of the U.S. National Institutes of Health [NIH (award U01 CA167551)]. Additional support for case ascertainment was provided in part from the Ontario Cancer Registry (OCR). The content of this manuscript does not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the U.S. NIH or of the OFCCR, nor does mention of trade names, commercial products, or organizations imply endorsement by the U.S. Government, the OCR, or the CCFR.

#### CONFLICT OF INTEREST DISCLOSURES

We have read and understood *Current Oncology*'s policy on disclosing conflicts of interest, and we declare that we have none.

#### AUTHOR AFFILIATIONS

\*Ontario Health (Cancer Care Ontario), <sup>†</sup>Dalla Lana School of Public Health, University of Toronto, <sup>‡</sup>Lunenfeld–Tanenbaum Research Institute, Sinai Health System, and <sup>§</sup>Department of Surgery, University Health Network, Toronto, ON.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Ontario Health (Cancer Care Ontario) [OH(CCO)]. Ontario Cancer Statistics 2018. Toronto, ON: OH(CCO); 2018.
- 2. Statistics Canada. Focus on Geography Series, 2016 Census [Web resource]. Ottawa, ON: Statistics Canada; 2018. [Available at: https://www12.statcan.gc.ca/census-recensement/ 2016/as-sa/fogs-spg/Index-eng.cfm; cited 16 July 2020]
- 3. Ontario Health (Cancer Care Ontario) [OH(CCO)]. *Cancer in First Nations People in Ontario: Incidence, Mortality, Survival and Prevalence.* Toronto, ON: OH(CCO); 2017.
- 4. Mazereeuw MV, Withrow DR, Nishri D, Tjepkema M, Marrett LD. Cancer incidence among First Nations adults in Canada: follow-up of the 1991 Census Mortality Cohort (1992–2009). *Can J Public Health* 2018;109:700–9.
- 5. McGahan CE, Linn K, Guno P, *et al.* Cancer in First Nations people living in British Columbia, Canada: an analysis of incidence and survival from 1993 to 2010. *Cancer Causes Control* 2017;28:1105–16.
- 6. Kelly J, Lanier A, Santos M, *et al.* on behalf of the Circumpolar Inuit Cancer Review Working Group. Cancer among the circumpolar Inuit, 1989–2003. II. Patterns and trends. *Int J Circumpolar Health* 2008;67:408–20.
- 7. Inuit Tapiriit Kanatami. Inuit in Canada: a statistical profile. Ottawa, ON: Inuit Tapiriit Kanatami; 2008.
- 8. Mazereeuw MV, Withrow DR, Nishri ED, Tjepkema M, Vides E, Marrett LD. Cancer incidence and survival among Métis adults in Canada: results from the Canadian census follow-up cohort (1992–2009). *CMAJ* 2018;190:E320–6.
- 9. Withrow DR, Amartey A, Marrett LD. Cancer risk factors and screening in the off-reserve First Nations, Métis and non-Aboriginal populations of Ontario. *Chronic Dis Inj Can* 2014;34:103–12.

- Ontario Health (Cancer Care Ontario) [OH(CCO)]. Cancer in First Nations in Ontario: Risk Factors and Screening. Toronto, ON: OH(CCO); 2016.
- 11. Tungasuvvingat Inuit, Ontario Health (Cancer Care Ontario) [OH(CCO)]. *Cancer Risk Factors and Screening Among Inuit in Ontario and Other Canadian Regions*. Toronto, ON: Tungasuvvingat Inuit and OH(CCO); 2017.
- Mazereeuw MV, Yurkiewich A, Jamal S, Cawley C, Jones CR, Marrett LD. Cancer risk factors and screening in First Nations in Ontario. *Health Promot Chronic Dis Prev Can* 2017;37:186–93.
- 13. Cotterchio M, McKeown-Eyssen G, Sutherland H, *et al.* Ontario Familial Colon Cancer Registry: methods and first-year response rates. *Chronic Dis Can* 2000;21:81–6.
- 14. Elias B, Kliewer EV, Hall M, *et al.* The burden of cancer risk in Canada's Indigenous population: a comparative study of known risks in a Canadian region. *Int J Gen Med* 2011;4:699–709.
- 15. United States, Alaska, Department of Health and Social Services. *Health Risks in Alaska Among Adults: Alaska Behavioral Risk Factor Survey 2009.* Juneau, AK: Alaska Department of Health and Social Services; 2012.
- 16. Markwick A, Ansari Z, Sullivan M, Parsons L, McNeil J. Inequalities in the social determinants of health of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people: a cross-sectional population-based study in the Australian state of Victoria. *Int J Equity Health* 2014;13:91.
- 17. Richardson A, Hayes J, Frampton C, Potter J. Modifiable lifestyle factors that could reduce the incidence of colorectal cancer in New Zealand. *NZ Med J* 2016;129:13–20.
- Cotterchio M, Manno M, Klar N, McLaughlin J, Gallinger S. Colorectal screening is associated with reduced colorectal cancerrisk: a case–control study within the population-based Ontario Familial Colorectal Cancer Registry. *Cancer Causes Control* 2005;16:865–75.
- Decker KM, Kliewer EV, Demers AA, *et al.* Cancer incidence, mortality, and stage at diagnosis in First Nations living in Manitoba. *Curr Oncol* 2016;23:225–32.
- 20. Carethers JM. Screening for colorectal cancer in African Americans: determinants and rationale for an earlier age to commence screening. *Dig Dis Sci* 2015;60:711–21.
- United States, Alaska, Department of Health and Social Services. Safe and Healthy Me – Screening: Know Your Health Risks [Web page]. Juneau, AK: Alaska Department of Health and Social Services; 2019. [Available at http:// dhss.alaska.gov/dph/Chronic/Pages/SafeAndHealthyMe/ screening/default.aspx; cited 28 July 2019]
- 22. Indigenous and Northern Affairs Canada. *Registered Indian Population by Sex and Residence 2014 – Statistics and Measurement Directorate.* Ottawa, ON: Indigenous and Northern Affairs Canada; 2015.
- 23. Sarfati D, Garvey G, Robson B, *et al.* Measuring cancer in Indigenous populations. *Ann Epidemiol* 2018;28:335–42.