Supplementary Material

Psychological Therapies Used for the Reduction of Habitual Cigarette Smoking Cigarette Consumption: A Systematic Review

Sandra-Milena Carrillo-Sierra [1,*], Lorena Cárdenas-Cáceres [1], John Anderson Cadrazco-Urquijo [1], Angie Natalia Salazar-Gómez [1], Diego Rivera-Porras [1] and Valmore Bermúdez [2]

[1] Facultad de Ciencias Jurídicas y Sociales, Centro de Investigación en Estudios Fronterizos, Universidad Simón Bolívar, Cúcuta 540001, Colombia; l_cardenas1@unisimon.edu.co (L.C.-C.); j_cadrazco@unisimon.edu.co (J.A.C.-U.); a_salazar7@unisimon.edu.co (A.N.S.-G.); diego.rivera@unisimon.edu.co (D.R.-P.)
[2] Facultad de Ciencias de la Salud, Centro de Investigaciones en Ciencias de la Vida, Universidad Simón Bolívar, Barranquilla 080001, Colombia; valmore.bermudez@unisimon.edu.co
* Correspondence: sandra.carrillo@unisimon.edu.co

Attachment 1. JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist for systematic reviews and research syntheses.

JBI CRITICAL APPRAISAL CHECKLIST FOR SYSTEMATIC REVIEWS AND RESEARCH SYNTHESES

Reviewer_____Date_____

Author_____Year_____Record Number_____

	Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1. Is the review question clearly and explicitly stated?	☐	☐	☐	☐
2. Were the inclusion criteria appropriate for the review question?	☐	☐	☐	☐
3. Was the search strategy appropriate?	☐	☐	☐	☐
4. Were the sources and resources used to search for studies adequate?	☐	☐	☐	☐
5. Were the criteria for appraising studies appropriate?	☐	☐	☐	☐
6. Was critical appraisal conducted by two or more reviewers independently?	☐	☐	☐	☐
7. Were there methods to minimize errors in data extraction?	☐	☐	☐	☐
8. Were the methods used to combine studies appropriate?	☐	☐	☐	☐
9. Was the likelihood of publication bias assessed?	☐	☐	☐	☐
10. Were recommendations for policy and/or practice supported by the reported data?	☐	☐	☐	☐
11. Were the specific directives for new research appropriate?	☐	☐	☐	☐

Overall appraisal: Include ☐ Exclude ☐ Seek further info ☐

Comments (Including reason for exclusion)

_____

_____