

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

**Table S1:** Values of risk parameters used for calculating HQs and ILCRs for children and adults exposed to PHEs associated with ACFD of mosques.

Factor	Description	Value		References
		Children	Adults	
C	Concentration of PHEs in dusts (mg/kg)			Present study
IngR	Ingestion rate of dust (mg/day)	200	100	USEPA [1]; Gope et al. [2]
EF	Exposure frequency (days/year)	(2.5h/24h)*350 =38.02 day/year	(2.5h/24h)*350 =38.02 day/year	Peng et al. [3]; Zheng et al. [4]; ESAG [5]
ED	Exposure duration (years)	6	24	USEPA [6]; USEPA [7,8]
BW	Average body weight (kg)	15	70	Hu et al. [9]; Lu et al. [10]; USEPA [11]
AT	Average time (non-carcinogenic effects) (days)	ED x 365	ED x 365	USEPA [12]
AT	Average time (carcinogenic effects) (days)	70 yr x365	70 yr x365	USEPA [12]
CF	Conversion factor (kg/mg)	1 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	Li et al. (2011)
InhR	Inhalation rate of dust (m <sup>3</sup> /day)	7.63	12.8	Li et al. [13]; USEPA [6]
PEF	Particular emission factor (m <sup>3</sup> /kg)	1.36x10 <sup>9</sup>	1.36x10 <sup>9</sup>	USEPA [7,8]
SA	Exposed surface area of skin (cm <sup>2</sup> )	1600	4350	Zheng et al. [4]; ESAG [5]
AF	Skin adherence factor (mg/cm <sup>2</sup> )	0.2	0.7	USEPA [1]
ABF	Absorption factor (Dermal)	0.001	0.001	Wei et al. [14]; USEPA [7,8]

**Table S2:** Reference Doses ( $RfD$ ,  $mg\ kg^{-1}\ day^{-1}$ ) of different PHEs used to calculate HQs.

	Fe	Mn	Zn	Pb	Cd	V	Co.	Ni	As	Cr	Cu	References
$RfD_{ing}$	8.40E+00	4.70E-02	3.00E-01	3.50E-03	1.00E-03	7.00E-03	2.00E-02	2.00E-02	3.00E-04	3.00E-03	4.00E-02	Ali et al., [15]; Shabbaj et al., [16],
$RfD_{inh}$	2.20E-04	1.43E-05	3.00E-01	3.52E-03	1.00E-03	7.00E-03	5.71E-06	2.06E-02	3.01E-04	2.86E-05	4.02E-02	Li et al., [17]; Alghamdi et al., [18]
$RfD_{dermal}$	7.00E-02	1.84E-03	6.00E-02	5.25E-04	1.00E-05	7.00E-05	1.60E-02	5.40E-03	1.23E-04	5.00E-05	1.20E-02	

**Table S3:** Carcinogenic Slope Factor (CSF,  $(mg\ kg^{-1}\ day^{-1})^{-1}$ ) of different PTEs used to calculate CR [19].

	CSF			References
	$CSF_{Ing.}$	$CSF_{Inh.}$	$CSF_{Dermal}$	
Cr	0.50	42.00	20.00	Zheng et al. [20]; Kamunda et al. [21]; Ying et al. [22]; Adimalla [23]
Ni	1.70	0.84	42.50	Huang et al. [24]; Lu et al. [25]; Adimalla [23]
Cd	0.38	0.38	6.10	Lu et al. [25]; Kamunda et al. [21]
Pb	0.01	0.04	0.01	Pavilonis et al. [26]; Kamunda et al. [21]; Bello et al. [27]; Johnbull et al. [28]
Co	-	9.80	-	Lu et al. [25]; Zheng et al. [20]; Bello et al. [27]
As	1.50	1.50	7.50	Lu et al. [25]; Ying et al. [22]; Pavilonis et al. [26]

**Table S4.** Geo-accumulation index ( $I_{geo}$ ), contamination factor ( $C_f$ ), degree of contamination ( $C_d$ ) and pollution load index ( $PLI$ ) of PHEs in ACFD of Jubail, Dammam metropolitan and Jeddah mosques

		Potentially harmful elements (PHEs)										
		As	Cd	Co	Cr	Cu	Fe	Mn	Ni	Pb	V	Zn
Jubail mosques	I <sub>geo</sub> <sup>a</sup>	0.67	1.86	-3.06	-1.73	0.96	-2.94	-1.89	-1.36	1.44	-2.84	2.86
	I <sub>geo</sub> <sup>b</sup>	0.35	0.86	-0.59	-0.45	2.41	-1.36	-1.01	0.40	1.17	-0.87	2.65
Dammam metropolitan mosques	I <sub>geo</sub> <sup>a</sup>	0.75	2.01	-2.47	-1.55	1.34	-2.94	-2.39	-1.21	1.53	-2.64	2.99
	I <sub>geo</sub> <sup>b</sup>	0.43	1.01	-0.07	-0.28	2.78	-1.32	-1.52	0.54	1.25	-0.68	2.78
Jeddah mosques	I <sub>geo</sub> <sup>a</sup>	0.46	2.25	-1.89	-0.81	1.38	-2.47	-2.06	-1.18	2.56	-1.74	2.99
	I <sub>geo</sub> <sup>b</sup>	0.14	1.25	0.57	0.46	2.82	-0.84	-1.17	0.58	2.27	0.20	2.78
Jubail mosques	C <sub>f</sub> <sup>a</sup>	2.39	5.44	0.18	0.45	2.93	0.19	0.41	0.58	4.09	0.21	10.92
	C <sub>f</sub> <sup>b</sup>	1.91	2.72	1.00	1.10	7.96	0.58	0.74	1.99	3.37	0.82	9.43
Dammam metropolitan mosques	C <sub>f</sub> <sup>a</sup>	2.53	6.06	0.26	0.51	3.79	0.20	0.29	0.64	4.34	0.24	11.89
	C <sub>f</sub> <sup>b</sup>	2.02	3.03	1.43	1.23	10.33	0.60	0.52	2.18	3.57	0.94	10.28
Jeddah mosques	C <sub>f</sub> <sup>a</sup>	2.07	7.16	0.41	0.85	3.90	0.28	0.37	0.66	8.81	0.45	11.94
	C <sub>f</sub> <sup>b</sup>	1.66	3.58	2.23	2.07	10.61	0.84	0.67	2.24	7.24	1.72	10.32
Jubail mosques	C <sub>d</sub> <sup>a</sup>							27.85				
	C <sub>d</sub> <sup>b</sup>							31.61				
Dammam metropolitan mosques	C <sub>d</sub> <sup>a</sup>							30.83				
	C <sub>d</sub> <sup>b</sup>							36.13				
Jeddah mosques	C <sub>d</sub> <sup>a</sup>							37.00				
	C <sub>d</sub> <sup>b</sup>							43.17				
Jubail mosques	PLI <sup>a</sup>							3.35				
	PLI <sup>b</sup>							349.91				
Dammam metropolitan mosques	PLI <sup>a</sup>							6.19				
	PLI <sup>b</sup>							563.53				
Jeddah mosques	PLI <sup>a</sup>							30.47				
	PLI <sup>b</sup>							2378.53				

<sup>a</sup> based on the global crustal average data

<sup>b</sup> based on the local background soil

**Table S5.** Classification values and qualitative description of enrichment factor, geo-accumulation index, contamination factor and ecological risk indices

Pollution and risk indicators	Classification values	Qualitative designation of SW dust
Enrichment factor (EF) <sup>a</sup>	<1	No enrichment
	1–2	Light enrichment
	2–5	Moderate enrichment
	5–20	Significant enrichment
	20–40	Strong enrichment
	>40	Extreme enrichment
Geo-accumulation index ( $I_{geo}$ ) <sup>b</sup>	$I_{geo} \leq 0$	Uncontaminated
	$0 < I_{geo} \leq 1$	Uncontaminated to moderately contaminated
	$1 < I_{geo} \leq 2$	Moderately contaminated
	$2 < I_{geo} \leq 3$	Moderately to heavily contaminated
	$3 < I_{geo} \leq 4$	Heavily contaminated
	$4 < I_{geo} \leq 5$	Heavily to extremely contaminated
	$I_{geo} > 5$	Extremely contaminated
Contamination factor ( $C_f$ ) <sup>c</sup>	$C_f < 1$	Low contamination
	$C_f = (1-3)$	Moderate contamination
	$C_f = (3-6)$	Considerable contamination
	$C_f = (>6)$	Very high contamination
Contamination degree ( $C_d$ ) <sup>d</sup>	$C_d < 8$	Low degree of contamination
	$8 < C_d \leq 16$	Moderate degree of contamination
	$16 < C_d \leq 32$	Moderate to a strong degree of contamination
	$C_d > 32$	Very strong degree of contamination
Pollution load index (PLI) <sup>e,f</sup>	$PLI < 1$	No pollution
	$1 \leq PLI < 2$	Slight pollution
	$2 \leq PLI < 3$	Moderate pollution
	$3 \leq PLI$	Heavy pollution
Ecological risk (ER and ERI) <sup>f, g</sup>	$ER < 40$ ; $ERI < 150$	Lower potential ecological risk
	$40 \leq ER < 80$ ; $150 \leq ERI < 300$	Moderate level of potential ecological risk
	$80 \leq ER < 160$ ; $300 \leq ERI < 600$	Considerable potential ecological risk
	$160 \leq ER < 320$ ; $ERI \geq 600$	Very high potential ecological risk
	$ER \geq 320$	Dangerous

<sup>a</sup> Li et al. [29]; <sup>b</sup> Zhang et al. [30]; <sup>c</sup> Liu et al. [31]; <sup>d</sup>Yaylali-Abanuz [32]; <sup>e</sup> Gope et al. [33]; <sup>f</sup> Mohammadi et al. [34]; <sup>g</sup>Zhang et al. [30]

## References

1. United States Environmental Protection, Agency (USEPA). Supplemental Guidance for Developing Soil Screening Levels for Superfund Sites; Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response (OSWER): Washington, DC, USA, 2011.
2. Gope, M., Masto, R.E., George, J., Hoque, R.R., Balachandran, S., 2017. Bioavailability and health risk of some potentially toxic elements (Cd, Cu, Pb and Zn) in street dust of Asansol, India. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety*, 138, 231–241.
3. Peng, C.; Chen, W.; Liao, X.; Wang, M.; Ouyang, Z.; Jiao, W.; Bai, Y. Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in urban soils of Beijing: Status, sources, distribution and potential risk. *Environ. Pollut.* 2011, 159, 802–808.
4. Zheng, N., Liu, J., Wang, Q., Liang, Z., 2010. Health risk assessment of heavy metal exposure to street dust in the zinc smelting district, Northeast of China. *Sci. Total Environ.* 408, 726–733.
5. ESAG. Environmental Site Assessment Guideline; DB11/T656–2009; Adelaide Airport: Adelaide, Australia, 2009. (In Chinese).
6. United States Environmental Protection, Agency (USEPA). Child-Specific Exposure Factors Handbook; EPA-600-P-00e002B; National Center for Environmental Assessment: Washington, DC, USA, 2002.
7. United States Environmental Protection, Agency (USEPA). Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund: Volume III—Part A, Process for Conducting Probabilistic Risk Assessment; EPA540-R-02-002; U.S. Environmental Protection Agency: Washington, DC, USA, 2001a.
8. United States Environmental Protection, Agency (USEPA). Child-Specific Exposure Factors Handbook; EPA-600-P-00-002B; National Center for Environmental Assessment: Washington, DC, USA, 2001b.
9. Hu, X.; Zhang, Y.; Luo, J.; Wang, T.J.; Lian, H.Z.; Ding, Z.H. Bioaccessibility and health risk of arsenic, mercury and other metals in urban street dusts from a mega-city, Nanjing, China. *Environ. Pollut.* 2011, 159, 1215–1221.
10. Lu, X., Wang, ., Li, LY, Lei, K., Huang, L., Kang, D. Multivariate statistical analysis of heavy metals in street dust of Baoji NW China. *J Hazard Mater* 2010;173:744-749.
11. United States Environmental Protection, Agency (USEPA). Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund, Vol.I: Human Health Evaluation Manual (PartA); EPA/540/1-89/002; Office of Emergency and Remedial Response: Washington, DC, USA, 1989
12. USEPA. Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund. Volume I: Human Health Evaluation Manual (Part E, Supplemental Guidance for Dermal Risk Assessment). EPA/540/R/99; 2004.
13. Li, R.Z.; Zhou, A.J.; Tong, F.; Wu, Y.D.; Zhang, P.; Yu, J. Distribution of metals in urban dusts of Hefei and health risk assessment. *Chin. J. Environ. Sci.* 2011, 32, 2661–2668.
14. Wei, X., Gao, B., Wang, P., Zhou, H., Lu, J., 2015. Pollution characteristics and health risk assessment of heavy metals in street dusts from different functional areas in Beijing, China. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.* 112, 186–192.

15. Ali, MU, Liu, G, Yousaf, B, Abbas, Q, Ullah, H, Munir, MAM, Fu, B. Pollution characteristics and human health risks of potentially (eco) toxic elements (PTEs) in road dust from metropolitan area of Hefei, China. *Chemosphere* 2017;181:111-121.
16. Shabbaj, II, Alghamdi, MA, Shamy, M, Hassan, SK, Alsharif, MM, Khoder, MI. Risk Assessment and Implication of Human Exposure to Road Dust Heavy Metals in Jeddah, Saudi Arabia. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2018;15:36.
17. Li, H-H, Chen, L-J, Yu, L, Guo, Z-B, Shan, C-Q, Lin, J-Q, Gu, Y-G, Yang, Z-B, Yang, Y-X, Shao, J-R, Zhu, X-M, Cheng, Z. Pollution characteristics and risk assessment of human exposure to oral bioaccessibility of heavy metals via urban street dusts from different functional areas in Chengdu, China. *Sci Tot Environ* 2017;586:1076-1084.
18. Alghamdi, MA, Hassan, SK, Alzahrani, NA, Almeahmadi, FM, Khoder MI. Risk assessment and implications of schoolchildren exposure to classroom heavy metals particles in Jeddah, Saudi Arabia. *Int J Environ Res Pub Health* 2019;16:5017.
19. Patel, DK, Jain, MK. Contamination and health risk assessment of potentially harmful elements associated with roadside dust in Dhanbad India. *Stochastic Environ Res Risk Assess* 2022;36:389-407.
20. Zheng, X, Zhao, W, Yan, X, Shu, T, Xiong, Q, Chen, F. Pollution characteristics and health risk assessment of airborne heavy metals collected from Beijing Bus Stations. *Intl J Environ Res Pub Health* 2015;12:9658-9671.
21. Kamunda, C, Mathuthu, M, Madhuku, M. Health risk assessment of heavy metals in soils from Witwatersrand Gold Mining Basin, South Africa. *Intl J Environ Res Public Health* 2016;13:663.
22. Ying, L, Shaogang, L, Xiaoyang, C. Assessment of heavy metal pollution and human health risk in urban soils of a coal mining city in East China. *Hum Ecol Risk Assess* 2016;22:1359-1374.
23. Adimalla, N. Heavy metals contamination in urban surface soils of Medak province, India, and its risk assessment and spatial distribution. *Environ Geochem Health* 2019;42:59-75.
24. Huang, SL, Li, Q, Xu, DS. Heavy metal pollution in Suzhou urban soils and its health risk assessment. *Adv Mater Res* 2012;534:244-248.
25. Lu, X, Zhang, X, Li, LY, Chen, H. Assessment of metals pollution and health risk in dust from nursery schools in Xi'an, China. *Environ Res* 2014;128:27-34.
26. Pavilonis, B, Grassman, J, Johnson, G, Diaz, Y, Caravanos, J. Characterization and risk of exposure to elements from artisanal gold mining operations in the Bolivian Andes. *Environ Res* 2017;154:1-9.
27. Bello, S, Muhammad, BG, Bature, B. Total excess lifetime cancer risk estimation from enhanced heavy metals concentrations resulting from tailings in Katsina Steel Rolling Mill, Nigeria. *J Mater Sci Eng* 2017;6:338.
28. Johnbull, O, Abbassi, B, Zytner, RG. Risk assessment of heavy metals in soil based on the geographic information system - Kriging technique in Anka, Nigeria. *Environ Eng Res* 2019;24:150-158.
29. Li, N., Han, W., Tang, J., Bian, J., Sun, S., Song, T., 2018. Pollution characteristics and human health risks of elements in road dust in Changchun, China. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* 15(9), 1843.

30. Zhang, J, Hua, P, Krebs. P. Influences of land use and antecedent dry-weather period on pollution level and ecological risk of heavy metals in road-deposited sediment. *Environ Pollut* 2017;228:158-68.
31. Liu, E., Wang, X., Liu, H., Liang, M., Zhu, Y., Li, Z., 2019. Chemical speciation, pollution and ecological risk of toxic metals in readily washed off road dust in a megacity (Nanjing), China. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety* 173:381-92.
32. Yaylali-Abanuz, G., 2011. Heavy metal contamination of surface soil around Gebze industrial area, Turkey. *Microchem J.* 99(1), 82-92.
33. Gope, M., Masto. R.E., George. J., Balachandran. S., 2018. Tracing source, distribution and health risk of potentially harmful elements (PHEs) in street dust of Durgapur, India. *Ecotoxicol Environ Saf* 154, 280-293.
34. Mohammadi A, Hajizadeh Y, Taghipour H, Arani AM, Mokhtari M, Fallahzadeh H., 2018. Assessment of metals in agricultural soil of surrounding areas of Urmia Lake, northwest Iran: A preliminary ecological risk assessment and source identification. *Human and Ecological Risk Assessment* 24, 2070-2087.