



Article Analysis of Pollution Characteristics and Emissions Reduction Measures in the Main Cotton Area of Xinjiang

Chunsheng Fang 1,2,3, Zhuoqiong Li 1, Weihao Shi 1 and Ju Wang 1,2,3,*

- ¹ College of New Energy and Environment, Jilin University, Changchun 130012, China
- ² Key Laboratory of Groundwater Resources and Environment, Ministry of Education, Jilin University, Changchun 130012, China
- ³ Jilin Province Key Laboratory of Water Resources and Environment, Jilin University, Changchun 130012, China
- * Correspondence: wangju@jlu.edu.cn; Tel.: +86-131-0431-7228

Abstract: With cotton production in Xinjiang increasing annually, the impact on the environment of agricultural waste produced to improve production has been reflected. This study selected Bozhou of Xinjiang, the main cotton producing region in northern Xinjiang, as the research object, and collected hourly concentration data of six pollutants from 2017 to 2021, and analyzed the spatial and temporal distribution characteristics of each pollutant. At the same time, Morlet wavelet analysis was used to further analyze the variation period of PM2.5 (PM particles with aerodynamic diameters less than 2.5µm) concentration. The Weather Research and Forecasting model coupled with the Community Multiscale Air Quality (WRF-CMAQ) model was used to evaluate the emissions reduction measures for the most polluted month. The results showed that the concentration of particulate matter (PM particles with aerodynamic diameters less than 2.5µm and 10µm) decreased from the southern mountains to the north; moreover, the concentrations of CO (carbon monoxide), NO₂ (nitrogen dioxide), and SO₂ (sulfur dioxide) in the suburbs were higher than those in the urban center. The concentration of O3 (Ozone) was the highest in summer, while the concentrations of other pollutants were high in autumn and winter. Under the time scale of a = 13, 24, PM25 had significant periodic fluctuation. The health risk values of PM2.5 and PM10 in this study were within the scope of the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) criteria, but it is still necessary to keep a close watch on them. In the context of emissions reduction measures, agricultural sources reduced by 20%, residential sources by 40%, industrial sources by 20%, and transportation sources by 20%; no change in the power source remains. Under these conditions, the daily average value of each pollutant met the first level of the national ambient air quality standard. The research results provide a reference for the local government to formulate heavy pollution emissions reduction policies.

Keywords: WRF-CMAQ; Xinjiang main cotton region; distribution characteristics of pollutants; Emission reduction measures

1. Introduction

The Xinjiang Uygur Autonomous Region in the northwest of China is the largest high-quality cotton producing province in China, and the cotton output has increased rapidly in the past decade [1]. The cotton yield in Xinjiang in 2021 was 5.129 million tons, accounting for 89.5% of the total national output [2]. Borla Mongol Autonomous Prefecture (hereinafter referred to as Borla) is located at 79 ° 53 ′ –83 ° 53 ′ E, 44 ° 02 ′ –45 ° 23 ′ N, in the hinterland of Eurasia. The mountains in the west, north, and south belong to the continental arid climate of the northern temperate zone. As the main cotton-producing area in northern Xinjiang, Bozhou has 91100 hectares of cotton. However, excessive use

Citation: Fang, C.; Li, Z.; Shi, W.; Wang, J. Analysis of Pollution Characteristics and Emissions Reduction Measures in the Main Cotton Area of Xinjiang. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2023**, *20*, 2273. https://doi.org/10.3390/ ijerph20032273

Academic Editor: Paul B. Tchounwou

Received: 29 December 2022 Revised: 23 January 2023 Accepted: 25 January 2023 Published: 27 January 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/license s/by/4.0/). of pesticides and straw burning were the most prominent problems in the cotton planting. Some pesticides entered the atmosphere in the form of ammonia volatilization pollutants, which generate greenhouse gas emissions, O₃, and acid rain hazards [3,4]. Under the stable layer of low temperature and calm wind in autumn and winter, the burning of crop straw causes the concentration of particulate matter to exceed the standard in a specific time period, which will cause harm to human health and the ecological environment [5,6]. Particulate matter is the most harmful to human health [7]. According to epidemiological studies, particles enter blood circulation through the alveoli, cause respiratory diseases, and increase mortality and cancer risk [8–11].

Therefore, it is particularly important to take emissions reduction measures promptly for heavy pollution. At present, the research on emissions reduction effects is mainly based on field observations and air quality model simulations. The observation method has some limitations. For example, it is impossible to predict and evaluate the measures that have not been implemented, and it is impossible to exclude the impact of meteorological conditions [12]. W. He et al. [13] used the CMAQ model to analyze the impact of vehicle emission control measures, and combined policy scenarios on ambient air quality. Chen et al. [14] calculated the contribution rate of emissions reduction to PM_{2.5} using KZ filtering and the WRF-CMAQ model. The results showed that the controlling man-made contribution rate declined about 80% in Beijing, and emissions reduction was crucial to the improvement in air quality in Beijing from 2013 to 2017. Yu et al. [15] used the WRF-CMAQ model to assess the impact of meteorological and emission control measures on the improvement in air quality in Guangzhou and assessed the contributions of various sources to emissions reduction.

This study selected Bozhou, the main cotton producing region in northern Xinjiang as the research object, and collected hourly data of six kinds of pollutants from 2017 to 2021. We analyzed the spatial and temporal distribution characteristics of each pollutant. At the same time, Morlet wavelet analysis was used to further analyze the change period of PM_{2.5} concentration. Then, we conducted a health risk assessment. Finally, the WRF-CMAQ model was used to evaluate the impact of emissions reduction measures on air quality. This study expects to provide some basis for improving the environmental air quality control and emissions reduction measures of heavily polluted weather in Bozhou. It could also provide a case reference for the study of regional pollutant change characteristics.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Area and Data Source

The monitoring data came from the stations of the China National Environmental Monitoring Network (https://www.aqistudy.cn/historydata/) (accessed on 5 October 2022). This monitoring website has provided the air quality index (AQI) at different locations in China since 2014, as well as the daily average concentration data of PM_{2.5}, PM₁₀, SO₂, NO₂, and CO. The two environmental monitoring stations and one meteorological station in Bozhou are the Western Suburb of Bole City (XJQ), the Municipal Environmental Protection Bureau (HBJ), and the meteorological station (BZQXZ), respectively. All meteorological data were from the China Meteorological Data Service Center (http://data.cma.cn)(accessed on 6 October 2022). Figure 1 shows the locations of urban monitoring stations and meteorological stations near Bozhou.



Figure 1. National environmental quality monitoring stations, weather stations and modeling domains.

2.2. Model

Joint Weather Research and Prediction Model (WRF) version V4.1.2 and Community Multi-Scale Air Quality Simulation System (CMAQ) version 5.3.2 were used in this study. Driven by the meteorological field generated by the WRF model, the CMAQ model is used to simulate the different physical and chemical processes of gaseous pollutant and particulate matter (PM) composition. The meteorological data used in the WRF-CMAQ model adopt the Environmental Prediction Final Analysis (NCEP-FNL) reanalysis data. The emissions inventory uses the high-resolution anthropogenic Emissions MEIC Inventory (2017) developed by Tsinghua University. The MEIC is an emission inventory model framework based on bottom-up technology. The resolution is 0.25° × 0.25°, and contains emissions information from five different sectors, namely the power, industry, residential, transport, and agriculture sectors.

Figure 1 shows the study area and three nested areas. WRF-CMAQ simulation was conducted on three nested domains. Domain 1 covered the northern Xinjiang region of Xinjiang Province, with a grid resolution of 27 km × 27 km; domain 2 covered Ili, Karamay, and Bozhou in Xinjiang, with a grid resolution of 9 km × 9 km; and domain 3 covered Bozhou City, and the grid resolution was 3 km × 3 km. In WRF simulation, the vertical grid structure consisted of 29 layers, with a center longitude and latitude of 84.69 ° E and 41.89 ° N. The simulation period was January, April, July, and October 2017. The CMAQ model was configured using the AER06 aerosol module and CB06 gaseous chemical mechanism. In order to eliminate the impact of initial conditions, the model ran 5 days in advance.

2.3. Wavelet Analysis of Time Series

In order to further explore the time series of pollutant concentration changes and reveal different change cycles in the whole time series, this study used wavelet analysis [16] to analyze the daily average PM_{2.5} concentration data of Bozhou in 2017.

The wavelet basis functions are expressed in Equation (1)

$$\psi_{a,b}(t) = |a|^{-1/2} \psi\left(\frac{t-b}{a}\right)$$
(1)

Among them, $a, b \in R$, and $a \neq 0$. In the above equation, a represents the time scale, mainly used to show the length of the wavelet period; b is the translation parameter, which mainly shows the passage of wavelet in time.

The continuous wavelet transform (CWT) is show in Equation (2)

$$W_f(a,b) = |a|^{-1/2} \int_R f(t) \overline{\psi}\left(\frac{t-b}{a}\right) dt$$
⁽²⁾

signal; and $\overline{\psi}\left(\frac{t-b}{a}\right)$ is the complex conjugate function of $\left(\frac{t-b}{a}\right)$.

The wavelet square difference is mainly obtained by integrating the square value of the wavelet coefficient. The formula is show in Equation (3)

$$Var(a) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |W_f(a,b)|^2 db$$
(3)

The transform curve of wavelet squares with the time scale parameter a is the wavelet squares graph, and the scale a corresponding to its maximum value is the main period of time series variation.

2.4. Health Risk Assessment

The health risk assessment of pollutants with non-carcinogenic effects was used to assess the health risk of particulate matter in the urban atmospheric environment [17], the calculation formula is as follows:

$$R = \frac{C}{Rfc} \times 10^6 \tag{4}$$

In the above formula, *R* represents the health risk of a certain hazard, *C* is the monthly average concentration of PM_{2.5} or PM10, and *Rfc* is the reference concentration of the substance to be evaluated. The daily average concentration of fine particles in national ambient air quality standard is the RFC value [18].

3. Results and Discussion

This section is divided by subheadings. It provides a concise and precise description of the experimental results, their interpretation, as well as the experimental conclusions that were drawn.

3.1. Difference in Spatial Distributions of Pollutant Concentrations

Two ambient air quality monitoring stations were located in the center of Bole. Due to the small number of points, this study calculated the spatial concentration distribution of pollutants using the annual average concentration of each station, in combination with the air quality monitoring stations in Yili, Karamay, and Tacheng nearby Bozhou. Figure 2 shows the spatial distribution characteristics of pollutants in Bozhou from 2017 to 2021. The pollutant concentration shows a decreasing trend from 2017 to 2021, and the main pollutant was particulate matter. The minimum average concentrations of PM2.5 and PM10 in 2020 were 21.95 µg/m³ and 59.41µg/m³. Bozhou city is part of the Tianshan fold belt, surrounded by mountains on three sides in the northwest and south. Due to the rapid heat emissions of the hillside, the original warm air at the foot of the mountain is lifted by sinking cold air; the temperature inversion phenomenon is common in winter, which leads to high atmospheric pollutants [19]. The burning of crop straw in the autumn and winter seasons also leads to an increase in particulate matter concentration. The concentration of particulate matter decreased from south to north. Since 2017, Bozhou has implemented the air pollution prevention plan, promoted the pollution control of coal-fired boilers, and promoted the adjustment of energy structure [20]. The concentration of particulate matter has decreased in recent years.

According to Ghosh D. et al. [21], the seasonal variation in surface CO concentration is high in the winter, and the CO concentration in the central urban area is higher than that in the suburb. The results of this study are consistent with those of others. This distribution is due to the fact that traffic flow in central urban areas is higher than that in marginal urban areas. Most CO emissions come from fuel-burning private cars, and traffic flow also increases the concentration of NO₂ to a certain extent. The average concentration of CO in 2021 was lower than that in 2017. The concentration of O₃ increased from west to east because there was a large area of cotton planting east of the city, which released many precursors of O_3 , such as VOCs, which are conducive to the generation of O_3 [22,23]. Sayram Lake is located in the southwest suburb of Bozhou, which is a natural scenic spot, so the concentration of O_3 is low all year round.

The spatial concentration of SO₂ was consistent with that of CO and NO₂, and the concentration in the suburbs was higher than that in the center of the city. This may be because the cotton planting area and coal-fired boilers are located in the suburbs of the city, resulting in higher concentrations of SO₂ in the atmosphere in remote areas closer to the west. The concentration of SO₂ and NO₂ was high. In recent years, in Bozhou, factory fuel has been gradually replaced by clean energy, and the soot generated is desulfurized before chimney discharge, which also results in decreased SO₂ concentration discharged from the factory chimney to a large extent, so the SO₂ concentration in the atmospheric troposphere decreases year by year.



Figure 2. Spatial distribution map of six pollutants in Bozhou from 2017 to 2021 (CO units: mg/m³, others are μ g/m³).

3.2. Temporal Variation Characteristics of Pollutants

3.2.1. Annual and Monthly Variation Characteristics

Figure 3 shows the annual average concentration changes in pollutants, and the average monthly concentration changes of pollutants in Bozhou City, from 2017 to 2021. Overall, in the five years from 2017 to 2021, the concentrations of all pollutants showed a downward trend. Since 2017, Bozhou has conducted an air pollution prevention action plan, accelerated the elimination of coal-fired boilers, and promoted the upgrading of industrial enterprises. Therefore, the concentration of most pollutants declined in 2017–2021.

The monthly average concentration changes in six pollutants in Bozhou City from 2017 to 2021 are shown in Figure 3. According to the local temperature, cotton in Xinjiang is planted around May every year. When planting cotton, local farmers apply base fertilizer to the cultivated land, which mainly includes phosphorus fertilizer, urea, and nitrogen fertilizer. Therefore, the concentrations of NO2 and SO2 increased from May to June. In the process of cotton growth, a quantity of pesticides such as insecticide and defoliant are applied until September and October for picking and purchasing. However, a large amount of chemical fertilizer is applied to the cotton planting area. This process leads to a considerable part of nitrogen fertilizer entering the atmosphere in the form of nitrification-denitrification and ammonia volatilization [24]. Therefore, the concentrations of NO2 and SO₂ also show an upward trend from July and September. These pollutants are easy to be oxidized, which leads to the destruction of the ozone layer on the one hand, and an important source of acid deposition on the other hand. Acid rain causes harm to crops, buildings, and human health [25]. September to October is the cotton picking and purchasing season in Xinjiang. The picking process and the large-scale use of harvesting machines leads to a temporary increase in the concentration of PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀ in the air. Bozhou is located in the north of Xinjiang, where the landform is mainly arid desert. It is one of the sources of large particles of PM10, close to the source of Siberian dust storms, and has frequent sandstorms in spring and autumn [26].



Figure 3. Variation chart of the concentrations of six pollutants, and average monthly concentrations of pollutants in Bozhou from 2017 to 2021.

3.2.2. Seasonal Hourly Mean Change

It can be seen from the above analysis that the spatial distributions of pollutants in 2017–2021 are different, so the seasonal hourly average concentration of pollutants in 2017–2021 was selected to analyze the seasonal hourly change in pollutants. As shown in the Figure 4, the air pollutants in Bozhou City had obvious change characteristics. The seasonal average concentrations of PM_{2.5}, PM₁₀, SO₂, NO₂, and CO were the highest in winter, and their mass concentrations were 44.17μ g/m³, 99.13μ g/m³, 20.60μ g/m³, 34.72μ g/m³, and 1.07 mg/m³, respectively. Spring and autumn were next, and summer was the lowest. These results are consistent with those of Wang li et al. [27]. According to the hourly average concentration values, SO₂ showed obvious diurnal variation characteristics in winter, reaching the highest value of 20.60μ g/m³ at 17:00. This was because the

average temperature in the Bozhou area is the lowest in the year in winter-especially at night when the heating intensity increases, the use of coal increases – and because of the gradual accumulations of NO, NO2, VOC, and CO in winter. Thus, the emissions of pollutants related to it increased at night, leading to a reduction in air quality. The proportions of PM2.5 and PM10 were relatively high in winter. Coal burning and crop straw burning in winter had a relatively high contribution to particulate matter. Moreover, the geographical location of Bozhou, under the stable stratification of low temperature and calm winds in winter, was more conducive to the accumulation of pollutants that endanger human health. The average mass concentration of O₃ in spring and summer was significantly higher than that in autumn and winter, and showed obvious diurnal variation characteristics. This is consistent with the research results of Tui et al. [28]. During the period from 11:00 to 14:00 in summer, the concentration of O₃ rose rapidly, reaching a peak of 111μ g/m³ at 18:00; then, with the weakening of solar radiation, the temperature decreased, and so did the concentration of O₃. The higher temperature in summer, accompanied by strong solar radiation and long daily sunshine, increased the ozone concentration, while low temperatures in autumn and winter, weak solar radiation, and no sunshine time inhibited the photochemical reaction, leading to a reduction in O₃ concentration [29,30].



Figure 4. Seasonal variations in the concentrations of six pollutants in Bozhou (CO units: mg/m^3 , others are $\mu g/m^3$).

3.3. PM_{2.5} Concentration Variation Cycle Study

In this study, the time series of PM_{2.5} daily average concentrations in 2021 was selected for Morlet wavelet analysis, to further analyze the change cycle and future trends in pollutant concentrations. The distribution of PM_{2.5} concentrations in the time domain and the regularity of periodic changes in each time scale can be seen from the contour map of the real part of the wavelet coefficients. The main period of concentration change can be seen from the wavelet variance diagram of the wavelet coefficients of the original time series of PM_{2.5} daily average concentrations.

Figure 5 shows the multi-time scale characteristics of PM_{2.5} daily average concentration values. On the whole, the annual concentration values showed a trend of alternating cycle changes, and the distribution intensity on each time scale showed a certain difference. As the time scale increased, the pollutant concentration change cycle continued to extend, and the fluctuation energy continued to increase. From the contour map of wavelet coefficients, it was found that the periodic variation in PM_{2.5} concentration was regional, on the scale of 7–17 days and 21–37 days. The rule of contour and color change in the early and late stages were obvious, while the medium-term periodicity was weak.

It can be seen from the wavelet variance chart (Figure c) that there are three peaks, two of which are more obvious; that is, when the time scale of a = 13 and 24, the wavelet variance had a maximum, which is consistent with the rule shown in the contour map. When the time scale of a=24, the time scale corresponded to the maximum peak, and the energy fluctuation in the wavelet energy map was the largest, which was the first main cycle of PM_{2.5} concentration change, showing the largest time series fluctuation energy and the strongest periodic oscillation.



Figure 5. Wavelet coefficient diagram (**a**); wavelet energy diagram (**b**); wavelet variance diagram (**c**).

3.4. Health Risk Assessment

Based on the health risk assessment and environmental monitoring data, we calculated the health risk values of PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀ in Bozhou in 2021. The results are shown in Figure 6. The health risk value of PM_{2.5} in the environment was $0.27 \times 10^{-6} - 1.24 \times 10^{-6}$, the PM₁₀ health risk value was $0.61 \times 10^{-6} - 2.33 \times 10^{-6}$. The PM₁₀ health risk value was generally higher than that for PM_{2.5}. In addition, the health risk values of both from January to December showed a trend of decreasing first, and then increasing. At the same time, the high

values both appeared in January and December. The PM₁₀ health risk value increased slightly in April. However, both were within the acceptable risk range established by the USEPA (1.00×10⁻⁶ ~1.00×10⁻⁴). According to epidemiological studies, particulate matter can enter blood circulation through the alveoli, cause respiratory diseases, and increase mortality and cancer risk [31,32]. The health risk values of PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀ in this study were within the scope of the USEPA, but it is still necessary to monitor these closely. The government should take emissions reduction measures for serious air pollution and high health risk values for January and December.



Figure 6. The health risk assessment values of PM2.5 and PM10.

3.5. Model Validation

3.5.1. Verification of WRF Meteorological Simulation Results

Since the meteorological input data provided by the WRF model directly affect the uncertainty of CMAQ simulation results, the WRF model was first verified. The typical seasonal months of January, April, July, and October in 2017 were selected for the simulation period, and T2 and WS10 were verified. The model performance was evaluated according to three statistical indicators: correlation coefficient (R), mean score deviation (MFB), and mean score error (MFE). The comparison of simulation results and monitoring results is shown in Table 1 and Figure 7. R conforms to the critical value of the correlation coefficient; MFB and MFE results conform to the standards proposed by the US Environmental Protection Agency, and are slightly higher than the standards in July. The model performance results showed that the WRF model could simulate temperature and wind speed well. The missing data of the meteorological station were less than 1%. In general, the simulation results from real weather conditions provided reliable weather input for the CMAQ.



Figure 7. Time series of temperature and wind speed in Bozhou in January, April, July, and October 2017.

T	A	T. 1.	0.1.1
tober 2017.			
Table 1. Statistical indicators for WKF model eval	uation in Dozito	u ili Jalluary, A	pin, july, and OC-

		January	April	July	October
T2	R	0.77	0.93	0.86	0.79
	MFB	-14.8%	6%	9%	-13.9%
	MFE	-16%	12%	13%	-15%
WS10	R	0.29	0.65	0.12	0.27
	MFB	15%	-8.78%	16%	14%
	MFE	34.8%	20%	38%	35%

3.5.2. Verification of CMAQ Model Results

Figure 8 shows the comparison results of model simulation and monitoring results in Bozhou City from January 2 to 30, 2017. Table 2 summarizes the statistical results of the PM_{2.5} simulation performance, including correlation coefficient (R), mean fractional deviation (MFB), and mean fractional error (MFE). The MFB and MFE results met the standards proposed by the US Environmental Protection Agency. The missing data of the air quality monitoring station were less than 10%. In general, the model captured the time variation characteristics of the PM_{2.5} concentration at the observation station. However, the model sometimes underestimated the PM_{2.5} concentration, and the simulation value reached 65% of the monitoring value. This is because part of the first and second nested grids were outside the Chinese border, where there were no specific emission inventory data, leading to low simulation results. The verification results showed that the CMAQ model could accurately verify the change in PM_{2.5} concentration of pollutants in January.



Figure 8. Time series of Bozhou PM2.5 in January 2017.

Table 2. Statistical indicators of Bozhou CMAQ model evaluation in January 2017.

PM _{2.5} 0.35 -21% 28%		R	MFB	MFE
	PM2.5	0.35	-21%	28%

3.6. Assessment of Emission Reduction Measures

According to the previous analysis, the average annual concentration of pollutants in Bozhou City reached GB3095 standard in 2017, but in January, the most seriously polluted month, the daily average concentration was still not up to the standard due to crop straw burning, winter heating, and dust weather. Therefore, this study takes emission reduction measures for January, the most polluted month in 2017, and the specific reduction ratio is shown in the Table 3. In the BAS scenario, agricultural sources are reduced by 10%, residential sources by 30%, industrial sources by 10%, transportation sources by 10%, and power sources remain unchanged. In the BET optimization scenario, agricultural sources are reduced by 20%, residential sources by 40%, industrial sources by 20%, and transportation sources by 20%. The power source remains unchanged. To explore the impact of various emissions reduction scenarios on the air quality concentration of Bozhou in January 2017.

Table 3. Emissions reduction scenarios in Bozhou.

	AG	AR	IN	PP	TR
BAS	10%	30%	10%		10%
BET	20%	40%	20%		20%

In January of the model simulation, particulate matter was the main pollutant. There were 57% of the days with a PM_{2.5} concentration greater than $35\mu g/m^3$, 43% of PM₁₀ days were greater than $50\mu g/m^3$, wherein the maximum daily concentration of PM_{2.5} was $59.17\mu g/m^3$; the maximum daily concentration of PM₁₀ was $77.31\mu g/m^3$. The concentration

of other pollutants met the national Class 1 standard. As shown in the Figure 9, in the BAS scenario, after reducing various sources, PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀ decreased by 14–19% and 10–17%, respectively. A total of 30% of the days still exceeded the standard, and the highest daily concentrations of PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀ were $49.61\mu g/m^3$ and $66.49\mu g/m^3$, respectively, which were still higher than the national pollutant concentration level 1 standard. SO₂ decreased by 15–30%, NO₂ by 4–14%, and CO by 16–21%, among which, the concentration of O₃ was negatively correlated with the concentration of PM_{2.5}, with a slight increase of 3–16%. The concentration of O₃ met the national standard. In the BET scenario, the daily concentrations of PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀ were less than 35 $\mu g/m^3$ and 50 $\mu g/m^3$, respectively, in which PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀ decreased by 28–40% and 20–34%, respectively. The reduction ratio of SO₂ was 30–54%, that of NO₂ was 10–28%, that of CO was 32–41%, and that of O₃ was 10–30%. Under the BET scenario, all pollutants met the first level of the national ambient air quality standard.



Figure 9. Variation chart of daily average of Bozhou PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀ emission reduction scenarios and simulations in January 2017.

4. Limitation and Future Direction

There are also some limitations in this study. Firstly, we only used emission information from five sectors. The inventory, through continuous in-depth study in the future, will include more emissions information, such as biomass fuels (straw). Secondly, the setting of the time allocation coefficient of the emission inventory in this study was still not perfect. In future research, different time allocation coefficients will be set for different months in order to further study regional composite pollution. In the future, we will further refine the setting of emissions reduction plans, set up multiple emissions reduction scenarios for different industries, different regions, and different times, and evaluate the emissions reduction effect of emissions reduction scenarios.

5. Conclusions

This study analyzed the change characteristics of air pollutants in Bozhou, and further analyzed the change period of PM_{2.5} concentration using Morlet wavelet analysis. The WRF-CMAQ model was used to take emissions reduction measures in January 2017, when Bozhou was heavily polluted. The results are as follows.

The concentration of particulate matter decreased from the southern mountains to the north, and the concentrations of CO, NO₂, and SO₂ in the suburbs were higher than those in the urban center. The pollutant concentration showed a downward trend from 2017 to 2021. The annual average concentrations of all kinds of pollutants in 2021 were the lowest. The heavy application of pesticides and fertilizers in cotton planting areas led to a small increase in SO₂ and NO₂ concentrations from May to October. The concentration of O₃ in summer was the highest, while the concentration of other pollutants was high in autumn and winter, and low in spring and summer. Under the time scale of a = 13, 24, the

PM_{2.5} had significant periodic fluctuation. The health risk values of PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀ in this study were within the scope of United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) criteria, but they still need to be monitored closely. In January 2017, emissions reduction measures were taken for the most seriously polluted areas. Under the BAS scenario, the pollutant concentrations of PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀ still exceeded the standard for 30% of the days. Under the BET scenario, all pollutant concentrations met the first level of the national ambient air quality standard. Under the emissions reduction measures, air quality significantly improved, and the research results can provide a reference for the local government to formulate heavy pollution emissions reduction policies.

Author Contributions: Methodology, C.F.; Formal analysis, Z.L.; Investigation, W.S. and J.W.; Resources, J.W.; Data curation, C.F. and Z.L.; Writing – original draft, Z.L. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: Supported by Graduate Innovation Fund of Jilin University and Science and Technology Innovation Project of Shenyang Design and Research Institute Co., LTD., China Coal Science and Industry Group (NKJ006-2022).

Informed Consent Statement: Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Acknowledgments: The authors wish to thank all members of the 142 and the 537 research groups for their help and guidance for this study, and thank Wu Tong of China Coal Technology and Engineering Group Shenyang Engineering Company who provided part of data for this paper.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

- 1. Announcement of the State Statistical Bureau of Statistics on cotton production in 2021. Available online: https://www.stas.gov.cn/xxgk/sjfb/zxfb2020/202212/t20221227_1891259.html (accessed on 12 October 2022).
- Statistical Bureau of Xinjiang Uygur Autonomous Region. Statistical Yearbook of Xinjiang; China Statistics Press: Beijing, China, 2021.
- Sharma, G.D.; Shah, M.I.; Shahzad, U.; Jain, M.; Chopra, R. Exploring the nexus between agriculture and greenhouse gas emissions in BIMSTEC region: The role of renewable energy and human capital as moderators. *J. Environ. Manag.* 2021, 297, 113316.
- 4. Patience, A.S.; Wang, Y.J.; Wang, H.Y.; Bi, Y.Y.; Zhou, K.; Wang, Y.; Wang, H.; Feng, X. Crop straw utilization and field burning in Northern region of Ghana. J. Clean. Prod. 2020, 261, 121191.
- 5. Cao, G.L.; Zhang, X.Y.; Wang, Y.Q.; Zheng, F.C. Estimation of emissions from field burning of crop straw in China. *Chin. Sci. Bull.* **2008**, *53*, 784–790.
- Wang, Y.; Hu, M.; Wang, Y.; Qin, Y.; Chen, H.; Zeng, L.; Jianrong, L.; Xiaofeng, H.; Lingyan, H.; Ruiqin, Z.; et al. Characterization and influence factors of PM₂₅ emitted from crop straw burning. *Acta Chim. Sin.* 2016, 74, 356.
- Xie, R.; Sabel, C.E.; Lu, X.; Zhu, W.; Kan, H.; Nielsen, C.P.; Wang, H. Long-term trend and spatial pattern of PM₂₅ induced premature mortality in China. *Environ. Int.* 2016, *97*, 180–186.
- 8. Kim, K.H.; Jahan, S.A.; Kabir, E. A review on human health perspective of air pollution with respect to allergies and asthma. *Environ. Int.* **2013**, *59*, 41–52.
- Bekki, K.; Ito, T.; Yoshida, Y.; He, C.; Arashidani, K.; He, M.; Sun, G.; Zeng, Y.; Sone, H.; Kunugita, N.; et al. PM_{2.5} collected in china causes inflammatory and oxidative stress responses in macrophages through the multiple pathways. *Environ. Toxicol. Pharmacol.* 2016, 45, 362–369.
- Pope, C.A.; Burnett, R.T.; Krewski, D.; Jerrett, M.; Shi, Y.; Calle, E.E.; Thun, M.J. Cardiovascular Mortality and Exposure to Airborne Fine Particulate Matter and Cigarette Smoke: Shape of the Exposure-Response Relationship. *Circulation* 2009, 120, 941– 948.
- 11. Di, Q.; Dai, L.; Wang, Y.; Zanobetti, A.; Choirat, C.; Schwartz, J.D.; Dominici, F. Association of short-term exposure to air pollution with mortality in older Adults Association of short-term. *JAMA* **2017**, *318*, 2446–2456.
- 12. Ma, S.; Xiao, Z.; Zhang, Y.; Wang, L.; Shao, M. Assessment of Meteorological Impact and Emergency Plan for a Heavy Haze Pollution Episode in a Core City of the North China Plain. *Aerosol Air Qual. Res.* **2020**, *20*, 26–42.
- Che, W.; Zheng, J.; Wang, S.; Zhong, L.; Lau, A. Assessment of motor vehicle emission control policies using Model-3/CMAQ model for the Pearl River Delta region, China. *Atmos. Environ.* 2011, 45, 1740–1751.
- Chen, Z.Y.; Chen, D.L.; Kwan, M.P.; Chen, B.; Gao, B.B.; Zhuang, Y.; Li, R.Y.; Xu, B. The control of anthropogenic emissions contributed to 80% of the decrease in PM_{2.5} concentrations in Beijing from 2013 to 2017. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 2019, 19, 13519– 13533.

- Yu, M.F.; Zhu, Y; Lin, C.J.; Wang, S.X.; Xing, J; Jang, C; Huang, J.Z.; Huang, J.Y.; Jin, J.B.; Yu, L. Effects of air pollution control measures on air quality improvement in Guangzhou, China. *J. Environ. Manag.* 2019, 244, 127–137. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2019.05.046.
- 16. Wang, M. Analysis of Spatio-Temporal Distribution Characteristics and Related Factors of Atmospheric PM_{2.5} in Shanghai; Shanghai Jiao Tong University: Shanghai, China, 2017.
- Yongjiang, Z.; Maoli, D.; Yingying, L.; Aiwen, L.; Rong, L. Study on the health risk assessment of atmospheric PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} in the ecological protection and development area of Chongqing. *Environ. Impact Assess.* 2017, 39, 65–69.
- 18. Ambient air quality standards (GB3095-2012). Available online: https://www.mee.gov.cn/ywgz/fgbz/bz/bzwb/dqhjbh/dqhjzbz/201203/t20221227_1891259.html (accessed on 12 October 2022).
- 19. Xiaorong, Z.; Yanhong, L.; Ma, Y. Temporal and spatial variation characteristics of NO₂ and SO₂ in the troposphere of the "Wuchang Fifth" urban circle in Xinjiang. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2021**, *44*, 133–142.
- 20. 2017 Implementation Plan for Air Pollution Prevention and Control in Autonomous Prefecture. Available online: http://www.xjboz.gov.cn/info/1115/31948.htm (accessed on 14 October 2022).
- Ghosh, D.; Basu, S.; Ball, A.K.; Lal, S.; Sarkar, U. Spatio-temporal variability of CO over the Eastern Indo-Gangetic Plain (IGP) and in parts of South-East Asia: A MERRA-2-based study. *Air Qual. Atmosphere Heal.* 2019, 12, 1153–1167. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11869-019-00728.
- 22. Cai, L.Y.; Zhuang, M.Z.; Ren, Y. Spatiotemporal characteristics of NO₂, PM_{2.5} and O-3 in a coastal region of southeastern China and their removal by green spaces. *Int. J. Environ. Heal. Res.* **2020**, *32*, 1–17. https://doi.org/10.1080/09603123.2020.1720620.
- 23. Vingarzan, R. A review of surface ozone background levels and trends. *Atmos. Environ.* 2004, 38, 3431–3442.
- 24. Feng, J.; Quan, J.; Liao, H.; Li, Y.; Zhao, X. An air stagnation index to qualify extreme haze events in northern China. J. Atmos. Sci. 2018, 75, 3489–3505.
- Russo, A.; Trigo, R.M.; Martins, H.; Mendes, M.T. NO₂, PM₁₀ and O₃ urban concentrations and its association with circulation weather types in Portugal. *Atmos. Environ.* 2014, *89*, 768–785.
- Song, Y.; Wang, X.; Maher, B.A.; Li, F.; Xu, C.; Liu, X.; Sun, X.; Zhang, Z. The spatial-temporal characteristics and health impacts of ambient fine particulate matter in China. J. Clean. Prod. 2016, 112, 1312–1318.
- Wang, L.L.; Xiong, Q.L.; Wu, G.F.; Gautam, A; Jiang, J.F.; Liu, S; Zhao, W.J.; Guan, H.L. Spatio-Temporal Variation Characteristics of PM₂₅ in the Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei Region, China, from 2013 to 2018. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Heal.* 2019, 16, 4276. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph16214276.
- Tui, Y.; Qiu, J.X.; Wang, J; Fang, C.S. Analysis of Spatio-Temporal Variation Characteristics of Main Air Pollutants in Shijiazhuang City. Sustainability 2021, 13, 941. https://doi.org/10.3390/su13020941.
- 29. Lv, R.; Zhang, J.; Xue, Y.; Jiang, X.; Huang, F. The Relevance between the Polluton Characteristics of O₃ and Meteorolo gical Factors in Chengde. *Acta Sci. Nat. Univ. Nankaiensis* **2020**, *53*, 85–90.
- 30. Geng, F.H.; Zhang, Q.; Tie, X.X.; Huang, M.Y.; Ma, X.C.; Deng, Z.Z.; Yu, Q.; Quan, J.; Zhao, C. Aircraft measurements of O₃, NO_x, CO, VOC_s, and SO₂ in the Yangtze River Deltaregion. *Atmos. Environ.* **2021**, *43*, 584–593.
- Xia, S.Y.; Huang, D.S.; Jia, H.; Zhao, Y.; Li, N.; Mao, M.Q.; Lin, H.; Li, Y.X.; He, W.; Zhao, L. Relationship between atmospheric pollutants and risk of death caused by cardiovascular and respiratory diseases and malignant tumors in Shenyang, China, from 2013 to 2016: An ecological research. *Chin. Med. J.* 2019, 132, 2269–227
- 32. Lei, R.Q.; Zhu, F.R.; Cheng, H.; Liu, J.; Shen, C.W.; Zhang, C.; Xu, Y.C.; Xiao, C.C.; Li, X.R.; Zhang, J.Q.; et al. Short-term effect of PM_{2.5}/O₃ on non-accidental and respiratory deaths in highly polluted area of China. *Atmos. Pollut. Res.* **2019**, *10*, 1412–1419.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.