



### Article The Effects of Winter Parks in Cold Regions on Cognition Recovery and Emotion Improvement of Older Adults: An Empirical Study of Changchun Parks

Tianjiao Yan <sup>1,2</sup>, Hong Leng <sup>1,2,\*</sup> and Qing Yuan <sup>1,2</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> School of Architecture, Harbin Institute of Technology, Harbin 150001, China
- <sup>2</sup> Key Laboratory of Cold Region Urban and Rural Human Settlement Environment Science and Technology, Ministry of Industry and Information Technology, Harbin 150001, China

Abstract: Urban parks are one of the primary settings for older adults to exercise, and their health benefits have been confirmed by a large number of studies. However, with the increased social attention to mental health, there is not enough research on the short-term mental health recovery of older adults in parks. Meanwhile, the health recovery effects of winter parks in special climate areas have not been well explored. This study aimed to explore the effects of winter parks in cold regions on the short-term mental health recovery of older adults and the potential predictors of these effects, including individual status, park characteristics, and behavioral characteristics. This study divided short-term mental health recovery into cognitive recovery and emotional improvement, and selected the digit span test and 10 kinds of emotional expression as the experimental methods, recruited 92 older adults from 6 parks in Changchun, and compared the pre-test and post-test results for evaluation. The results showed that winter parks in cold cities still had short-term cognitive recovery and emotional improvement effects on older adults. The main park characteristic factors affecting the overall cognitive recovery were the evergreen vegetation area and the existence of structures, and that which affected the overall emotional improvement was the main pathway length. Furthermore, individual conditions, including gender, age, physical health, living and customary conditions, and park characteristics, including park type, park area, main pathway length, square area, equipment area, evergreen vegetation area, the presence of water, and structures, all related to shortterm mental health recovery effects. Among behavioral characteristics, stay time in parks and MVPA (Moderate and Vigorous Physical Activity) times were also related to certain effects, but behavior type was not.

Keywords: winter parks; cold regions; older adults; cognition recovery; emotion improvement

### 1. Introduction

China has entered an aging society and will become one of the fastest-aging countries [1,2]. From 2010 to 2040, it is estimated that the proportion of China's population over 60 years old will increase from 12.4% to 28%. It is estimated that by 2050, China will become a world giant with 320 million older adults [3,4]. At present, while facing the challenge of aging, the health problems of older adults are also ignored. The major health problems faced by them in China are diseases caused by cardiovascular and mental health problems (such as depression and Alzheimer's disease) [5]. Among them, mental health problems have attracted more and more attention from all walks of life. The current situation of mental health among older adults in China, jointly published by the Institute of Psychology of the Chinese Academy of Sciences and the Social Sciences Literature Press, expresses that nearly one third of older adults in China have depression, and the overall prevalence of MCI (Mild Cognitive Impairment) among them over 65 is 20.8% [6]. It also points out that outdoor exercise is good medicine for the recovery of the mental health of older adults.



Citation: Yan, T.; Leng, H.; Yuan, Q. The Effects of Winter Parks in Cold Regions on Cognition Recovery and Emotion Improvement of Older Adults: An Empirical Study of Changchun Parks. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2023**, *20*, 2135. https://doi.org/10.3390/ ijerph20032135

Academic Editor: Paul B. Tchounwou

Received: 6 December 2022 Revised: 16 January 2023 Accepted: 18 January 2023 Published: 24 January 2023



**Copyright:** © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/).

<sup>\*</sup> Correspondence: hitlaura@126.com

The role of urban parks in promoting residents' physical and mental health has long been the consensus of researchers. Among them, the potential for mental health recovery in parks includes both long-term and short-term effects. The former is that residents who live closer to parks and interact with parks more have better mental health performance [7]. The latter is that urban parks can help tourists restore perception, relieve pressure, and improve mood through natural elements or places and opportunities for sports activities and social interaction [8–11]. These recovery potentials have been explained by theories of restorative environments, such as the Stress Reduction Theory (SRT) [12] or the Attention Restoration Theory (ART) [13].

As the travel circle and mode of older adults are relatively fixed, parks have become the most frequently selected space carrier close to nature. Older adults visit parks more frequently than young people [14]. Some studies showed that the mental health of the elderly living near the park was better due to the promotion of sports and social activities and the provision of a good ecological environment and communication space [15–17]. However, few studies have explored the relationship between park characteristics and the short-term psychological recovery effect on older adults.

For older adults, the short-term psychological recovery effects of green space include cognitive recovery and emotional improvement [18]. These are also the keys to solving the prominent psychological problems of older adults mentioned above. The existing research methods mainly focus on observation, while the experimental methods have gradually increased in recent years [19], including laboratory experiments and field experiments. The former carries out a perception recovery test and physiological index measurement [20-22] in the form of VR, while the latter measures physiological, attentional, and emotional indexes before and after the experiment in the form of field roaming [18,23,24]. The physiological indicators are mainly blood pressure, heart rate, EEG (Electroencephalogram), et al. [25,26]. Cognitive recovery experiments are usually carried out in the laboratory, using methods such as the digital span test (DST) [27], short cognitive reaction time (SRT) test [18], and NCPC (Necker Cube Pattern Control) task [24]. Russell [28] proposed a circumplex model for emotion classification, and he believed that emotions can be divided into two dimensions: pleasure and arousal. The Edinburgh Mental Well-Being Scale [29], SF-8 [30], POMS (Profile of Mood States) and PANAS (Positive and Negative Affect Schedule) [31,32] are often used to measure emotion, including confusion, vigor, fatigue, anger, tension, and depression. A few studies also selected or increased the expression of targeted emotional dimensions [26]. For example, in Church's et al. [33] study, residents' perceptions of relaxation, enjoyment, and revitalization after park use were measured. Wolf and Wohlfart [34] measured the levels of health and well-being improvements after park use. As for technical methods, data acquisition methods have become diversified, such as SOPARC (System for Observing Play and Recreation in Communities) [35] and GPS trajectory [31,36]. Although there are more and more relevant research methods, there are few experiments [20,23,25,26,36] on elderly adults in general, and their particularity is not considered enough.

Relevant studies analyzed the recovery effect of parks on individual physiology, cognition, and emotion from the aspects of individual status, space type and characteristics, and use behavior [31,36]. Firstly, scholars confirmed that differences in individual attribute characteristics, such as gender, age, residence status, physical health, economic income, and education level, can affect their activity behavior and health status [30,31,37–40]. Secondly, some academics have also focused on the impact of spatial types and characteristics on the mental recovery effect [21–23,31]. Some studies have compared the natural environment with the built environment and found that older adults prefer the natural environment [20]. Moreover, the more natural the features, the better their recoverability [23,41]. In terms of spatial characteristics, a few scholars believed that environmental recoverability was usually affected by park scale and park characteristics [7,42]. They paid more attention to indicators based on physical activity levels, such as trail length, the square of a certain scale, equipment area, and the presence of water [7,43–45]. Thirdly, in the aspect of user behavior, activity duration and intensity were given more attention [31,46]. The behaviors in parks can be divided into passive, active and mixed according to spontaneity [47]; low, medium, and high-intensity behaviors by exercise intensity [31]. However, it is worth noting that the exploration of space and behavior characteristics in different regions and seasons is not deep enough.

To summarize, there are two obvious problems with the current research on the restorative effects of older adults and parks. On the one hand, empirical research in the field of mental health is not deep enough [48], especially with respect to methods with strong usability for older adults. On the other hand, the existing research lacks the excavation of special climate areas. For example, in winter cities, the extreme climate will indeed limit the travel range and modes of older adults to a certain extent, but their biophilia will not be reduced, and it is unknown whether parks have restorative effects on the mental health of older adults in winter.

Therefore, this study started from the point where parks meet the mental health recovery of older adults, that is, the attention and emotion experiment, and then focused on the cold climate background and tried to answer the following two questions: 1. In winter, do parks in cold regions still have short-term mental restorative effects on older adults? 2. What potential factors will lead to these effects?

### 2. Materials and Methods

### 2.1. Study Sites

Changchun is a typical city in the severe cold regions of China, with an area of about 24,744 km<sup>2</sup> and a population of about 9.07 million. It is worth noting that the aging problem in Changchun is serious. According to the seventh census data of Changchun Municipal Bureau of Statistics, by 2020, the population over 60 years old in Changchun will have reached 1.89 million, accounting for 20.85% of the total population. Parks with activities for older adults are mainly concentrated in the central area of Changchun. The areas with a high density of elderly population and all medium-sized and non-theme parks were investigated. Among them, six parks meeting the requirements were selected as research sites. Changchun Park, Shengli Park, Laodong Park, Daishan Park, Jinjiang Park, and Kuancheng Central Park were selected and abbreviated as CC, SL, LD, DS, JJ, and KC parks, respectively. These abbreviations were used below (Table 1 and Figure 1).

Table 1. Basic information of sample parks.

Park Name	District	Park Type	Year of Construction	Area (ha)
CC Park	Lvyuan District	Comprehensive Park	1999	66
SL Park	Kuancheng District	Comprehensive Park	1915	24.5
LD Park	Erdao District	Comprehensive Park	1936	16.5
DS Park	Qikai District	Community Park	1997	8.5
]] Park	Qikai District	Square	2003	18.8
KC Park	Kuancheng District	Square	2007	15.26

Source: Open data query from Bureau of Forestry and Landscaping of Changchun.

### 2.2. Study Procedure

Researchers recruited participants at the main entrances of these parks. The selection criteria were: older adults over 60 years old; no communication barrier or intellectual deficiency; and older adults who are prepared to use parks rather than just pass through. The research process was as follows: first, on the premise of consulting the consent of senior participants, we explained the research process, issued GPS locators, and tested their cognitive and emotional performance, then let them carry out nonintervention activities in these parks. After the activities, they took back the instruments and asked them to tell their exercise content; at the same time, they acquired the cognitive and emotional results again and finally recorded the basic personal information and gave small gifts. Each subject carried a GPS handheld locator to complete the whole process of the park visit, and a complete track record was formed at the end of the experiment. Based on the pace, accurate location, and other information obtained, this study can further determine the behavioral characteristics of each subject in combination with interviews.

Data collection was carried out on sunny days in January and March 2022 (average temperature was about -11 °C), which have common climate characteristics of cold cities in winter. The survey period was from 8:00 a.m. to 11:00 a.m. because older adults most often used parks during this period.



**Figure 1.** Site selection of sample parks. (Source: Modified from the data provided by Changchun Urban and Rural Planning and Design Institute.)

### 2.3. Measures

### 2.3.1. Cognition and Emotion Measurements

The digit span test was chosen to measure attention, including the forward digit span (DSF) and backward digit span (DSB) tests. Testers recorded the test numbers in advance, and the subjects repeated the numbers according to the audio. The specific guide words and numbers are shown in Figure 2a. If they failed twice in succession, testers stopped the test and scored. Finally, this study evaluated the cognitive recovery level of the parks by comparing the scores of the test before and after entering the parks.

Based on POMS and PANAS, considering Russell's circumplex model and combined with the degree of pleasure and arousal of emotions, 10 common emotional expressions were selected, including positive emotions, such as "alert", "excited", "delighted", "contented", and "relaxed", and negative emotions, such as "tense", "stressed", "bored", "depressed", and "tired". Furthermore, the experimental scheme was further improved by combining a visual simulation evaluation method [49,50], and gave a score of 1–9 for the degree of feeling various emotions (Figure 2b). 1 means not at all, and 9 means extremely. Similarly, this study used the emotional differences of older adults before and after entering the parks to evaluate the level of emotional improvement in these parks. Moreover, a validity test was conducted. The KMO is 0.714, indicating that the validity is high and can be further analyzed.



Figure 2. (a) Cognition test method; (b) Emotion measurement method.

2.3.2. Determination of Park Characteristics and Behaviors

In the study of Zhai, et al. [31] in non-winter, older adults respectively spent 35.9% and 11.2% of their park visit time on pathways wider than 3.5 m and pathways narrower than 3.5 m, and 25% of their stay time on open squares more than  $1000 \text{ m}^2$ . Therefore, this study used these two standards for statistics. And natural space area, the presence of water and equipment space were also very important in that study. For winter, evergreen vegetation area (evergreen trees were calculated with the crown width of 1 m) was used instead of natural space area as the potential impact factor. In addition, the results in behavior observation showed that such behaviors as playing cards and chess are mainly relied on structures. Therefore, the presence of water and structures, the total length of pathways (width >3.5 m), the total square area (>1000 m<sup>2</sup>), the fitness equipment space area, and the evergreen vegetation area were obtained through data query of garden and field survey.



Figure 3. Maps of sample parks and older adults' sample trajectories.

		Variable Type	Data Source		
	P1: Total area (ha)	Categorical (<10 ha, 10–20 ha and >20 ha)/Continuous			
	P2: Total pathway (width >3.5 m) length (km)	Categorical (<1 km, 1–2 km and >2 km)/Continuous	Auto CAD map/site visit		
	P3: Total square (>1000 m <sup>2</sup> ) area (ha)	Categorical (<0.5 ha, 0.5–1 ha and >1 ha)/Continuous			
Park characteristics	P4: Total fitness equipment space area (ha)	Categorical (<0.4 ha, 0.4–0.6 ha and >0.6 ha)/Continuous			
	P5: Evergreen vegetation area (ha)	Categorical (<1 ha, 1–2 ha and >2 ha)/Continuous			
	P6: Presence of water	Categorical (0 = without, 1 = with)			
	P7: Presence of structure	Categorical (0 = without, 1 = with)			
Pohoviou about storiction	B1: Park stay time (min) B2: Total MVPA time (min)	Continuous Continuous	Pedometer/ behavior observation		
benavior characteristics	B3: Behavior type	Categorical (1 = fitness, 2 = entertainment, 3 = leisure)	Questionnaire		

Table 2. Park design characteristics and behavior characteristics.

Behavior data was obtained through trajectories and supplemented by interviews, including the division of behavior types and the measurement of stay time and total MVPA time (Table 2). According to the purpose and content, the behaviors of older adults can be divided into fitness behaviors, entertainment behaviors, and leisure behaviors. About exercise intensity, this study combined the concept of MET, i.e., the ratio of work metabolic rate to rest metabolic rate. For example, walking is estimated to be 3 METs and running is estimated to be 6 METs. The behavior associated with MET > 3 is usually defined as moderate and vigorous physical activity (MVPA) [31,51,52].

### 2.3.3. Statistical Analysis

IBM SPSS statistical software (Version 20.0, IBM, Armonk, NY, USA) was used for statistical analysis. Descriptive statistics were used to determine the characteristics of the collected sample data and the design characteristics of these parks. Furthermore, categorical variables and continuous variables were distinguished, and the differences of recovery effects of various personal characteristics, park characteristics, and behavioral characteristics were tested by independent sample *t*-test, Pearson analysis, and one-way ANOVA. Furthermore, this study integrated the scores of DSF and DSB test to evaluate the overall situation of cognitive recovery and used "( $\Delta$  positive emotion– $\Delta$  negative emotion)/10" to evaluate the improvement of emotion. The regression models were established with the park characteristics and behavior characteristics as dependent variables, and the causal relationships between these factors were discussed.

### 3. Results

### 3.1. Descriptive Statistics

Test data from 92 older adults can be used for subsequent analysis. The participants included 49 women (53.3%) and 43 men (46.7%), covering 62–81 older adults (Mean = 70.29, SD = 4.766). In China, older adults are generally divided into three age groups: 60–69 young older adults, 70–79 middle-aged older adults, and >79 the very older adults, because this is more in line with their life and psychological state [53]. Therefore, according to this standard, this study divided the age stage, and the sample of 60–69 and 70–79 older adults accounted for 51.1% and 44.6%. Among them, the proportion of women in the 60–69, 70–79, and >79 age groups were 55.3%, 51.2%, and 50%, respectively. Generally speaking, the sex ratio of all the subjects and the age ratio bounded by 70 years old are relatively balanced. In order to further discuss the influencing factors, the investigation of physical health status, living conditions, and living cities were added. Physical health status is measured by "whether suffering from chronic diseases". Living conditions contain "1 = living with spouse", "2 = living with spouse and children", "3 = living with children"

and "4 = living alone", of which older adults living alone account for 10.9%. Living cities can be divided into "1 = located", meaning long-term living, and "0 = relocated", meaning floating with their children. In other words, local older adults and the floating older adults account for 68.5% and 31.5%, respectively (Table 3).

		Frequency	Percent			Frequency	Percent
C1. Cardan	1. Male	43	46.7	S3: Suffering from	1. Yes	50	54.3
51: Gender	2. Female	49	53.3	chronic diseases	0. No	42	45.7
	1.60–69	47	51.1		1. Living with spouse	61	66.3
S2: Age	2.70–79	41	44.6	S4: Living conditions	<ol><li>Living with spouse and children</li></ol>	11	12.0
	3. >79	4	4.3	off. Elving contaitions	3. Living with children	10	10.9
	1. Everyday	18	19.6	_	4. Living alone	10	10.9
S6: Park visit frequency	2. 3–4 times per week 3. 1–2 times per week	32 33	34.8 35.8	S5: Living city	1. Located 0. Relocated	63 29	68.5 31.5
	4. Very occasionally	9	9.8		0. Without	1	16.7
R2: Bohavior	1. Fitness	57	61.9	- P6: Presence of water	1. With	5	83.3
type	2. Entertainment	24	26.1	P7: Presence of	0. Without	3	50
51	3. Leisure	11	12	structure	1. With	3	50
				Min	Max	М	SD
	P1: Total area(h	a)		8.50	66.00	24.927	20.780
P2: Total pathway (width $> 3.5$ m) length(km)			1.45 7.22		2.795	2.248	
P3: Total square(>1000 m <sup>2</sup> ) area(ha)			0.31 1.50		0.820	0.476	
P4: Total fitness equipment space area(ha)			0.16	0.75	0.463	0.231	
	P5: Evergreen vegetation area(ha)			0.18	2.46	1.102	0.923
B1: Park stay time(min)			26.00	70.00	42.804	9.813	
	B2: Total MVPA tim	e(min)		0.00	46.00	19.870	13.667

Table 3. Descriptive statistics for senior participants, parks and behavioral characteristics.

Only one of these six sample parks (16.7%) has no water, and three parks (50%) have structures with a good environment. On average, the total area, total path length, square area, fitness equipment space area, and evergreen vegetation area of these sample parks are 24.927 ha, 2.795 km, 0.82 ha, 0.463 ha and 1.102 ha on average (Table 3). Among them, there are 2 parks with a total area >20 ha and 1 park with total area <10 ha. There are 4 with total path length <2 km, and two parks with square area <0.5 ha and >1 ha, respectively. Meanwhile, there are two 3 and 1 parks with instrument areas of <0.4, 0.4–0.6 and >0.6 ha, respectively, 3 and 1 parks with 1 ha and >2 ha evergreen vegetation area.

Combined with the interview, these participants reported 25 kinds of behavior contents, of which 57 (61.9%) mentioned fitness behaviors mainly including walking, skating, and activities relying on sports equipment; 24 people (26.1%) mentioned entertainment behaviors mainly involving dancing; 11 people (12.0%) mentioned leisure behaviors such as playing cards, playing chess, and accompanying their grandchildren. The average stay time and MVPA time of participants were 42.8043 min (Min = 26, Max = 70, SD = 9.812) and 19.87 min (Min = 0, Max = 46, SD = 13.667) (Table 3).

### 3.2. Statistics of Experimental Results

### 3.2.1. Cognition Recovery Results

Based on the paired sample *t*-test in SPSS, the results indicated that the attention scores of older adults in these parks in winter were significantly improved (Table 4). In the DSF test, the results of 30 older adults have improved, and the average score increased from 5.15 to 5.39. The DSB test showed that the scores of 25 older adults have improved, and the average score has increased from 3.45 to 3.61 (Figure 4).



Table 4. Paired sample *t*-test of older adults' attention test results in winter park.

Figure 4. (a) DSF test results; (b) DSB test results.

### 3.2.2. Emotion Improvement Results

The reliability of the questionnaire was analyzed before the emotional test analysis, and Cronbach  $\alpha$  reached 0.759, indicating that the reliability is high and can be analyzed in the next step. After comparison, it was found that the post test scores of positive and negative emotions had significant changes compared with the pre-test scores. In the post-test, almost all the negative emotions of older adults were improved to the level of "not at all". Among positive emotions, "excited" and "delighted" had the highest degree of improvement, while "stressed", "depressed" and "tired" negative emotions had the most obvious improvement (Figure 5).



**Figure 5.** Box chart of winter emotion test results. (\*\*\* p < 0.01).

## 3.3. Individual Level Analysis: Differences in Mental Health Restorative Effects of Different Gender, Age, Living, Physiological Health and Customary

Independent sample *t*-test and ANOVA analysis were used to test differences of mental health restorative effects of different individuals. The results illustrated that the improvement of "alert" (T = -2.273, sig. = 0.025) and the remission of "tense" were more obvious in women than in men (T = 1.761, sig. = 0.083). In different age stages, 70–79 was stronger in "alert" (F = 6.687, p = 0.002), >79 is stronger in "delighted" (F = 4.803, p = 0.010) promotion, and 60–69 was better in "stressed" relief (F = 3.112, p = 0.049). In the comparison of physical health status, sub-healthy older adults had more significant effects than healthy ones on DSF (T = 2.490, sig. = 0.015), DSB (T = 3.534, sig. = 0.001) and the alleviation of negative emotions. Compared with located and relocated older adults, "tense", "bored", "depressed", and "tired" mitigation effects on older adults who floated with children were stronger. Comparing different living conditions, it was found that older adults who "living with spouse and children" and "living with children" had better mitigation effects in "tense" and "stressed", and those who "living alone" had stronger recovery effects in "tired". In addition, by comparing their frequency of visiting the parks, the group that visit the parks with a high frequency had a lower increase in "excited" (F = 3.038, sig. = 0.033), "delighted" (F = 3.969, sig. = 0.011) and "contented" (F = 4.133, sig. = 0.009) than the group that visit the parks with a low frequency.

# 3.4. Park Level Analysis: Differences in Mental Health Restorative Effects of Different Park Types and Characteristics

In the comparison of different types of parks, it was found that in DSB and positive emotion improvement, the effects were "comprehensive park > community park > square", and in terms of the relief of "stressed", "depressed", and "tired", the restorative effects of comprehensive parks were stronger (Table 5).

		М			
	Comprehensive Park	Community Park	Square	F	p
DSF DSB	0.31 0.38	0.25 0.05	$0.05 \\ -0.30$	0.768 8.431	0.467 0.000 ***
E1	1.67	0.90	1.55	3.30	0.041 **
E2	2.31	1.75	1.50	3.886	0.024 **
E3	2.29	1.65	1.45	4.876	0.010 **
E4	1.31	0.80	0.65	3.708	0.028 **
E5	1.42	0.60	0.25	10.235	0.000 ***
E6	-0.23	0.00	-0.10	2.192	0.118
E7	-0.58	-0.10	-0.10	6.305	0.003 **
E8	-0.40	-0.20	-0.10	2.374	0.102
E9	-0.62	-0.15	-0.25	4.612	0.012 **
E10	-0.96	-0.65	0.00	6.174	0.003 **

Table 5. Differences in restorative effects of different park types.

\*\* *p* < 0.05 (2-tailed). \*\*\* *p* < 0.01 (2-tailed).

Furthermore, it further tested the differences in restoration effects of different park characteristics. First of all, regression models were established for overall cognitive recovery, emotional improvement, and park characteristics, respectively. The results showed that the park characteristics that significantly affected cognitive recovery were the area of evergreen vegetation and the existence of structures; the park characteristic that significantly affected mood improvement was the length of main paths (Table 6). Furthermore, the differences of DSF, DSB, and various emotions in different parks with different characteristics were also investigated. The results reflected that there were significant differences in DSB, and all emotion except "tense" improvement in total park area and total main pathway length. Total square area had significant differences in the improvement of "alert", "excluded", "delighted", "content", "relaxed", "stressed", "bored", and "depressed". Total evergreen vegetation area was significantly increased, except for DSF. Besides these factors, parks with water had more significant effects on the improvement of "alert", "relaxed" and "tense",

"stressed" and "depressed". In parks with good structure space, DSB, "alert", "contented", "relaxed" and negative emotions improved significantly (Table 7).

Table 6. Cognitive recovery, emotional improvement and park characteristics (stepwise model).

	Variables	Coef. (B)	SE	St. Coef. (β)	t	Sig.	Overall Model
Cognitive	(constant)	-0.339	0.170		-1.996	0.049	$R^2 = 0.095$
recovery	P5	0.218	0.078	0.279	2.779	0.007	Sig. = 0.026
Emotional	P6	0.262	0.116	0.227	2.266	0.026	0
improve-	(constant)	0.319	0.082		3.872	0.000	$R^2 = 0.104$
ment	P2	0.383	0.045	0.669	8.549	0.000	Sig. = 0.001

 Table 7. Differences in restorative effects of different park characteristics.

$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$				М		F	р			М		F	р
$ P_1 = \begin{bmatrix} DSF & 0.25 & 0.19 & 0.28 & 0.101 & 0.904 & DS8 & 0.05 & -0.06 & 0.04 & 5406 & 0.005 \\ FI & 0.90 & 1.36 & 1.92 & 55.60 & 0.005 '' & FG & 0.00 & -0.25 & -0.14 & 0.126 \\ FI & 0.80 & 0.72 & 1.33 & 6.637 & 0.001''' & FZ & -0.10 & -0.33 & -0.56 & 3.158 & 0.007'' \\ FI & 0.80 & 0.72 & 1.33 & 6.637 & 0.002''' & FZ & -0.20 & -0.14 & -0.50 & 4.298 & 0.002''' \\ FI & 0.80 & 0.64 & 1.56 & 7.287 & 0.001''' & FZ & -0.20 & -0.14 & -0.50 & 4.298 & 0.007'' \\ FI & 0.80 & 0.64 & 1.56 & 7.287 & 0.001''' & FI & -0.65 & -0.25 & -0.214 & -0.668 & 0.002''' \\ FI & 0.29 & 0.21 & 0.21 & 0.32 & 0.012'' & FE & -0.02 & -0.48 & 0.48 & 0.45 & 0.238 & 0.007'' \\ FI & 1.20 & 2.29 & 1.68 & 5.732 & 0.005'' & FE & -0.02 & -0.41 & 0.40 & 0.45 & 0.238 & 0.000''' \\ FI & 1.20 & 2.29 & 1.68 & 5.732 & 0.007''' & FE & -0.12 & -0.07 & 5.713 & 0.006''' \\ FI & 0.75 & 0.93 & 1.91 & 11.766 & 0.000'''' & FE & -0.12 & -0.64 & 5.744 & 0.000''' \\ FI & 0.75 & 0.93 & 1.91 & 11.766 & 0.000'''' & FE & -0.12 & -0.46 & 5.744 & 0.000''' \\ FI & 0.75 & 0.93 & 1.91 & 11.766 & 0.000'''' & FE & -0.12 & -0.28 & -0.64 & 5.744 & 0.000''' \\ FI & 1.56 & 1.47 & 1.48 & 0.499 & 0.000''' & FE & -0.02 & -0.28 & -0.68 & 6.424 & 0.007'' \\ FI & 1.56 & 1.47 & 1.48 & 0.499 & 0.494 & 0.4-06 & > 0.6 & F & p \\ 0.57 & 0.93 & 1.91 & 11.725 & 0.000''' & FF & -0.33 & -0.14 & -0.77 & 6.531 & 0.007'' \\ FI & 1.56 & 1.5-1 & 21 & F & p & -0.55 & -0.24 & -0.68 & 6.726 & 0.002''' \\ FI & 1.56 & 0.5-1 & 21 & F & p & -0.33 & -0.14 & -0.77 & 6.531 & 0.007'' \\ FI & 1.56 & 0.5-1 & 21 & F & p & -0.35 & -0.24 & -0.68 & 6.726 & 0.002''' \\ FI & 1.57 & 1.53 & 2.579 & 0.562 & DSF & -0.33 & -0.13 & -0.17 & -0.573 & 0.013''' \\ FI & 1.25 & 0.5-1 & 21 & F & p & -0.15 & -0.24 & -0.68 & 6.726 & 0.002''' \\ FI & 1.25 & 0.5-1 & 21 & F & p & -0.13 & -0.27 & -0.91 & 2.206 & 0.337''' \\ FI & 1.26 & 0.37 & 0.37 & 0.43 & 0.017''' & FI0 & -0.37 & -0.91 & 2.142 & 0.123 \\ FI & 1.26 & 1.45 & 2.29 & 4.530 & 0.013''' & FI0 & -0.33 & -0.13 & -0.17 & -0.56 & 0.302'''' \\ FI & 1.26 & 0.15 & 0.34 & 0.21 & 0.579 & 0.562 & DSB & 0.33 $			<10	10-20	>20		,		<10	10-20	>20		
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		DSF	0.25	0.19	0.28	0.101	0.904	DSB	0.05	-0.06	0.44	5.406	0.006 **
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		E1	0.90	1.36	1.92	5.560	0.005 **	E6	0.00	-0.25	-0.14	2.124	0.126
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	D1	E2	1.75	1.64	2.53	5.773	0.004 **	E7	-0.10	-0.33	-0.56	3.158	0.047 **
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	PI	E3	1.65	1.50	2.61	10.599	0.000 ***	E8	-0.20	-0.14	-0.50	4.013	0.021 **
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		E4	0.80	0.72	1.53	6.637	0.002 **	E9	-0.15	-0.36	-0.67	4.298	0.017 **
$ P_2 = \left[ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		E5	0.60	0.64	1.56	7.827	0.001 ***	E10	-0.65	-0.25	-1.14	6.634	0.002 **
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			<2	2–4	>4	F	р		<2	2–4	>4	F	р
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		DSF	0.21	0.21	0.32	0.142	0.868	DSB	-0.02	0.43	0.45	5.234	0.007 **
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	D2	E1	1.20	2.29	1.68	5.732	0.005 **	E6	-0.16	0.00	-0.23	1.156	0.320
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	12	E2	1.68	2.64	2.45	5.833	0.004 **	E7	-0.25	-0.21	-0.77	5.713	0.005 **
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		E3	1.55	2.07	2.95	14.198	0.000 ***	E8	-0.16	-0.29	-0.64	5.784	0.004 **
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		E4	0.75	0.93	1.91	11.766	0.000 ***	E9	-0.29	-0.36	-0.86	6.424	0.002 **
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		E5	0.63	0.79	2.05	15.339	0.000 ***	E10	-0.39	-1.0	-1.23	5.785	0.004 **
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			< 0.4	0.4-0.6	>0.6	F	р		< 0.4	0.4–0.6	>0.6	F	р
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		DSF	0.19	0.24	0.32	0.165	0.848	DSB	-0.06	0.21	0.45	3.973	0.022 **
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	D2	E1	1.36	1.47	1.68	0.499	0.609	E6	-0.25	0.00	-0.23	3.364	0.039 **
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	P3	E2	1.64	2.12	2.45	3,338	0.040 **	E7	-0.33	-0.15	-0.77	6.536	0.002 **
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		E3	1.50	1.82	2.95	13,502	0.000 ***	E8	-0.14	-0.24	-0.64	5.761	0.004 **
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		E4	0.72	0.85	1.91	11.725	0.000 ***	E9	-0.36	-0.24	-0.86	6.726	0.002 **
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		E5	0.64	0.68	2.05	15.172	0.000 ***	E10	-0.25	-0.79	-1.23	6.333	0.003 **
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			< 0.5	0.5–1	>1	F	р		<0.5	0.5–1	>1	F	р
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		DSF	0.30	0.17	0.25	0.215	0.807	DSB	0.33	-0.03	0.19	2.142	0.123
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		F1	1.67	1 33	1 44	0.621	0 311 **	F6	-0.23	-0.03	-0.19	1 710	0.187
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	P4	E1 E2	2 20	1.50	2 25	3.016	0.054 *	E7	-0.43	_0.00	-0.56	4 097	0.020 **
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		E2	1.20	1.57	2.20	5.010	0.005 **	E9	0.45	0.10	0.50	2 461	0.026 **
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		E3 E4	0.87	0.72	1 52	5.744	0.005 **	EO	-0.23	-0.15	-0.50	2.642	0.030 **
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		E4	0.67	0.75	1.55	5.569	0.005	E9 E10	-0.45	-0.20	-0.00	3.042	0.050
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		EO	0.97	0.50	1.47	5.760	0.004	E10	-0.77	-0.37	-0.91	2.036	0.137
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			<1	1–2	>2	F	р		<1	1–2	>2	F	р
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		DSF	0.15	0.34	0.21	0.579	0.562	DSB	-0.13	0.37	0.43	6.804	0.002 **
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	P5	E1	1.23	1.45	2.29	4.530	0.013 **	E6	-0.05	-0.32	0.00	0.4.859	0.010 **
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	15	E2	1.63	2.18	2.64	4.471	0.014 **	E7	-0.10	-0.71	-0.21	10.095	0.000 ***
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		E3	1.55	2.37	2.07	5.090	0.008 **	E8	-0.15	-0.45	-0.29	2.614	0.079 *
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		E4	0.73	1.45	0.93	5.029	0.009 **	E9	-0.20	-0.71	-0.36	6.157	0.003 **
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		E5	0.43	1.66	0.79	13.948	0.000 ***	E10	-0.33	-0.95	-0.10	4.062	0.021 **
$ P6  \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			1	0	)	Т	Sig.		1	(	)	Т	Sig.
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		DSF	0.24	0.2	25	-0.069	0.945	DSB	0.19	0.	05	0.815	0.417
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	D/	E1	1.64	0.9	90	2.549	0.013 **	E6	-0.19	0.	00	-3.345	0.001 ***
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	P6	E2	2.08	1.5	75	1.068	0.288	E7	-0.44	-0	0.10	-3.128	0.003 **
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		E3	2.06	1.6	55	1.354	0.179	E8	-0.32	-0	.20	-0.807	0.422
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		E4	1.12	0.8	30	1.213	0.228	E9	-0.51	-0	.15	-2.639	0.011 **
$ P7  \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		E5	1.10	0.6	50	1.682	0.096 *	E10	-0.69	-0	0.65	-0.159	0.874
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			1	0	)	Т	Sig.		1	(	)	Т	Sig.
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		DSF	0.31	0.3	12	1.132	0.261	DSB	0.26	0.	00	1.730	0.087 *
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	D7	E1	1.26	1.8	35	-2.389	0.019 **	E6	-0.21	-0	0.06	-1.855	0.067 *
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	P7	E2	2.03	1 0	97	0.238	0.812	E7	-0.50	-0	.15	-2.958	0.004 **
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		F3	2.12	1 5	71	1.628	0.107	E8	-0.36	_0	18	-1.688	0.095 *
$F_{5} = 1.22$ 0.70 2.207 0.02 $F_{7} = -0.52$ $-0.27$ $-1.000$ $0.097$ $F_{5} = 1.29$ 0.47 $3.784$ 0.00 *** F10 $-0.84$ $-0.41$ $-1.849$ 0.068 *		E.5 F4	1 22	1.7	76	2 287	0.107	FO	_0.50	-0	129	_1 668	0.095
		E5	1 29	0.4	17	3 784	0.000 ***	E10	-0.84			-1 849	0.055

\* p < 0.10 (2-tailed). \*\* p < 0.05 (2-tailed). \*\*\* p < 0.01 (2-tailed).

### 3.5. Behavior Level Analysis: Differences in Restorative Effects of Mental Health with Different Behaviors

Similarly, from the regression model established for cognitive recovery, emotional improvement, and behavioral characteristics (Table 8), it can be seen that total stay time can positively affect cognitive recovery, and total MAVP time can positively affect emotional recovery. Furthermore, using Pearson analysis, total stay time was significantly related to the "stressed" and "tired" relief. Total MVPA time correlated with the improvement of "relaxed", "tense", "bored", "depressed", and "tired" significantly (Table 9); there was no significant difference in the recovery effect of different behavior types based on the purpose of exercise. Additionally, total stay time was significantly different in parks with different main road lengths, instrument areas, evergreen vegetation areas, and structures. The total MVPA time reflected significance except for the presence of water (Table 10).

Table 8. Cognitive recovery, emotional improvement and behavior characteristics (stepwise model).

	Variables	Coef. (B)	SE	St. Coef. (β)	t	Sig.	Overall Model
Cognitive recovery	(Constant) P1	-0.391 0.013 **	0.362 0.006	0.227 **	$-1.080 \\ 2.147$	0.283 0.035	$R^2 = 0.061$ Sig. = 0.061
Emotional improvement	(Constant) P2	0.323 0.009 **	$\begin{array}{c} 0.308\\ 0.004 \end{array}$	0.254 **	1.050 2.455	0.297 0.016	$R^2 = 0.087$ Sig. = 0.017

\*\* p < 0.05.

Table 9. Correlation of stay time, MVPA time and restorative effects.

	B1	B2		B1	B2
DSF	0.148	-0.151	DSB	0.147	-0.014
E1	-0.134	0.039	E6	-0.141	-0.210 **
E2	0.112	0.053	E7	-0.237 **	-0.080
E3	-0.012	0.108	E8	-0.091	-0.213 **
E4	0.150	0.061	E9	-0.072	-0.178 *
E5	0.138	0.328 ***	E10	-0.206 **	-0.185 *
* 0 10 (0 +-:1-1	) ** 0 OF (0	- 1) *** 0.01 (2 +-	:11)		

\* *p* < 0.10 (2-tailed). \*\* *p* < 0.05 (2-tailed). \*\*\* *p* < 0.01 (2-tailed).

Table 10. Restorative effects differences of different stay time, MVPA time and park characteristics.

	P1	P2	P3	P4	P5	P6	P7
B1	0.920	3.840 **	3.494 **	0.797	11.393 ***	0.028	4.883 ***
B2	3.294 **	3.505 **	3.347 **	4.219 **	2.952 *	1.961 *	0.560
* 0.10 (0.1	1 1 ** 0	0 - (0 + 1 1) *	** 0.01 (0 :	•1 1)			

\* *p* < 0.10 (2-tailed). \*\* *p* < 0.05 (2-tailed). \*\*\* *p* < 0.01 (2-tailed).

### 4. Discussion

### 4.1. Effects on Cognitive Recovery and Emotion Improvement of Older Adults in Winter Parks

This research identified that, in the winter, parks had restorative effects on both attention and emotion. In the experiment of paying attention, DSF improvement was more obvious, which might be related to the greater difficulty of improving DSB. As for the emotional experiment, the positive emotions "excited" and "delighted" with higher arousal were significantly improved, and the negative emotions "tired" with lower arousal were more relieved. These findings were partially consistent with previous studies [21,54–57]. The existing research showed that the perceived restorative environmental quality is related to the increase in positive emotions and happiness and the decrease in negative emotions [58]. As the essential natural space in cities, the psychological benefits of parks are beyond doubt. ART and SRT may provide some additional explanations, because it points out that a more natural environment often provides the sense of being away, fascinated, coherent and compatible recovery experience [13,58]. In particular, these are similar to the results of a few winter experiments [59].

### 4.2. Individual, Park, Behavior Characteristics and Mental Restorative Effects

4.2.1. Individual Conditions of Older Adults and Mental Restorative Effects

The results indicated that individual conditions except for physical health had no significance on cognition recovery, while there were significant differences in some emo-

tional improvements. Female older adults were more obvious at "alert" promotion and "tension" relief, which might be related to the fact that women play a composite role in families and have more housework and parenting responsibilities, so their prevalence of psychological problems such as stress is higher [60,61]. In different age stages, the improvement of "alert", "delighted" and "stressed" were stronger for 70-79, >79, and 60-69 older adults, respectively, which correlated to psychological status, psychological elasticity, gender ratio, and more activities in different age groups [62,63]. On the one hand, in China, the retirement age for the general female elderly is 55, and that of the male elderly is 60. Compared with 70-79, the aged 60-69 have just left work life, and their cognitive and responsiveness abilities are strong, so they are relatively low in the promotion of "alert". Meanwhile, they have just experienced retirement, and most of them have the responsibility to help their children take care of their grandchildren, so their pressure is more obvious. And as for the aged >79 was stronger in "delighted" promotion, it may be due to the older the elderly, the stronger their psychological resilience. They have stronger self-digestibility of negative emotions, so there is no difference in the restorative effect of negative emotions. On the other hand, it may be due to the larger proportion of women in 60–69 and the fact that women are more willing than men to visit parks [64]. In terms of physical health status, the recovery of attention and the improvement of negative emotions in sub-healthy older adults were better. Existing studies have demonstrated that physical health is significantly related to self-rated health [39,40]. In a living city, "tense", "bored", "depressed", and "tired" effects on relocated older adults were stronger. Relocated means leaving original living environment and floating with their children. Thus, such older adults face more challenges such as integration and adaptation, which have a negative impact on their physical, mental, and social health. Comparing different living conditions, older adults who "live with their spouse and children" or "live with their children" have better mitigation effects in "tense" and "stressed", and ones who "live alone" have stronger recovery effects in "tired". Existing studies have revealed that the mental health levels of those who live alone are poor [63]. In China, it is very common for older adults to look after their grandchildren, which virtually increases the pressure on them. This may explain these analysis results from another aspect. Moreover, compared with park visit frequency, parks had better emotional improvement for older adults who do not often visit parks. This may be because older adults who often visit parks are more familiar with the park environment, and the attraction and arousal of the environment are not obvious.

### 4.2.2. Park Characteristics and Mental Restorative Effects

For one thing, there was no significant difference among different park types in DSF in winter, while comprehensive parks performed better in DSB and emotional improvement and squares had the lowest restorative effect. It may be that squares with large proportion of hard pavement have a cold vision and feeling. These results were consistent with previous research results on forest therapy to some degree [54–56].

For another, it was found that park characteristics that significantly affected cognitive recovery were evergreen vegetation area and structures, while the length of main paths significantly affected emotional improvement. The reasons for these results may be: Firstly, the area of evergreen vegetation can bring a living visual sense, which is similar to the use of green in healing spaces; secondly, spaces with structure often carry playing cards and chess, which can help them focus their attention, so the cognitive recovery effect is better; Thirdly, older adults mainly walk and jog in parks in the winter. Roe, et al. [18] also proved the relationship between walking and emotion, which also verified the results of this study to some extent.

In the performance of cognition and emotion, DSB and most of the mood improved with a larger park area, main pathway length, equipment area, square area, and evergreen vegetation area. Besides that, parks with water performed better on "alert", "relaxed" and "tense", "stressed", and "depressed" improvement. This was similar to the existing research conclusion that water can promote positive emotions in viewers. In parks with structures, it was better to improve DSB score, "alert", "contented", "relaxed" and negative emotions. One possible explanation is that nature is very important to older adults, and it can bring direct recovery effect for elderly adults. [65]. Even in winter, as long as older adults are exposed to nature outdoors, no matter what behavior they carry out, it will have restorative effects [59]. Particularly, evergreen space to some extent represents the restoration potential of natural space in non-winter. Jiang, et al. [50] showed that the enhancement of pressure recovery is related to areas with higher tree coverage, which may be related to a more natural environment. Another possibility is that different park design features will affect the length of stay time and the occurrence of older adults' different behaviors and then affect the restorative effect.

#### 4.2.3. Use Behaviors, Park Characteristics and Mental Restorative Effects

This study investigated the relationships between use behaviors, restorative effects, and park characteristics. It was found that park stay time and total MVPA time can positively affect cognitive recovery and emotional improvement, respectively. The longer stays, the better the "stressed" and "tired" mitigation effects. Total MVPA time was positively correlated with the improvement of "relaxed", "tense", "bored", "depressed", and "tired". Among them, parks with a larger main pathway length, equipment space area, evergreen vegetation area, and structures lasted a longer time. For parks with large, continuous variables in their design features and with water, the MVPA time was longer. However, there was no significant difference between different behavior types and recovery effects.

In this research, based on the results of GPS locators and interview results, older adults stayed in the parks for 48 min and engaged in MVPA for 19.870 min on average, both of which were less than 57 min and 32.73 min in Zhai, et al.'s research [31]. These results mainly depend on the location and month of the experiment. Compared with September in Guangzhou, the winter climate in Changchun is cold, so the stay time and MVPA time of older adults are relatively low. In addition, this is related to older adults visiting the park mainly for physical exercise. Researchers showed that older people in Asia were more likely to exercise in parks, while older people in Western countries were more likely to engage in recreational and relaxation behaviors [16,66–68].

The difference and correlation analysis results of park characteristics and behavior characteristics confirmed the two possible reasons mentioned above. To put it another way, park characteristics may have both direct recovery effects and indirect effects through influencing behavior in elderly adults. First, the greater the exploration space for older adults, and the longer the stay time and MVPA time. Second, this study found that the total length of the park path (width >3.5 m) was positively correlated with stay time and MVPA among older adults. This result is consistent with existing findings from adults that paths can encourage physical activity [8,44,45]. For older adults, walking is the most popular park-based physical activity [66,67,69]. Older adults prefer to carry out more intensive activities on wider paths, such as fast walking and jogging, which is more obvious in the winter. A recent study in Shanghai also found seniors in neighborhood parks with longer trails walk more steps during park visits [36]. Third, square area was positively correlated with stay time and MVPA time in this study. Square space is another space preferred by older adults. What is different from Zhai, et al. 's [31] research is that in winter squares, people engage in more dancing and other behaviors, and exercise intensity is higher. Moreover, dancing will attract more elderly people to watch and create greater attraction. Fourth, different equipment space area did not affect the length of stay time, but related to MVPA time. This is partially consistent with the conclusions of previous studies [44,70]. Fifth, a larger evergreen area may increase the stay time and MVPA time to some extent. On the one hand, this is related to the visual sense of vitality brought by evergreen plants in winter, which is similar to the biological property. On the other hand, evergreen plants play a certain role in purifying the air and isolating noise, which can help create a more comfortable atmosphere for activities.

Additionally, the behaviors relying on structures are mostly leisure behaviors, such as playing cards, which last for a long time. Activities relying on water are usually moderateintensity sports, such as skating, so in winter, the presence of water will affect the intensity of sports. Simultaneously, the longer stay time in parks, the higher activity level. This has also been confirmed in studies of community parks and older adults [31,71]. It is worth mentioning that these three behavior types cover all the contents with different exercise intensities. Consequently, there was no significant difference in the restorative effects of behavior types divided solely by the purpose of exercise.

### 4.3. Limitations

This study has some limitations that should be considered. For one thing, although the sample parks covered comprehensive parks, community parks, and squares, the data volume was limited due to the difficulty in obtaining data, and the results also had some limitations. For another, although six representative parks were investigated, all of them are located in the central urban area of Changchun, China. In urban areas, suburbs, and rural areas with low population density and other cultures, park characteristics and park use patterns may be different. Furthermore, for the older adults' group, the difficulty of the experiment had increased a lot, especially in winter in severe cold areas. In order to ensure the enthusiasm of participants and the effectiveness of the data, we simplified the experimental process as much as possible. In the future, the real-time relationship between special people and space can be further explored in combination with heart rate monitors or multi-sensor devices.

### 5. Conclusions

The purpose of this study was to discuss whether cold city parks have short-term mental restorative effects on older adults in winter and to explore the potential factors leading to differences in these effects. The results indicated that, on the one hand, even in winter, parks in cold regions still have cognitive recovery and emotional relief effects on older adults. On the other hand, individual conditions, park characteristics, and use behavior will affect these restoration effects to varying degrees.

First, individual conditions were related to these effects. For example, there were significant differences in female's "alert" improvement, and certain emotional performance at different ages; the more obvious the restorative effects were for the sub-health participants compared with the healthy ones; these effects for older adults living alone and floating with their children were more obvious; and some emotional improvements for those who do not often visit parks were stronger. Second, total park area, main pathway length, square area, equipment space area, evergreen vegetation area, and the presence of water and structures were positive predictors of restorative effects on older adults' mental health. Third, the area of evergreen vegetation and the structures were the main factors affecting cognitive recovery, and the length of main pathway was the main factor affecting emotional improvement. The behavioral characteristic factors that mainly affect these two effects are stay time and MVPA time, respectively. Besides these, the length of stay in these parks was positively correlated with "stressed" and "tired" relief. MVPA time was correlated with the improvement of "relaxed", "tense", "bored", "depressed" and "tired" positively. Stay time was related to the length of the main pathway, the area of instruments, evergreen and the existence of structures; MVPA time was related to the park areas, main pathway lengths, equipment areas, square areas, and the presence of water.

These findings can be used to guide the design and management of parks, so as to maximize restorative effects on older adults' mental health. For instance, planners and designers can appropriately increase the natural vegetation area and reduce the impervious area, create longer footpaths, and provide appropriate squares, equipment spaces, well-landscaped water, and structures on the basis of the winter research conclusion. In future design and practice, we should also consider more carefully the impact of spatial characteristics under different perception dimensions on mental health recovery for older adults.

**Author Contributions:** T.Y., H.L. and Q.Y. conceived and designed the study and drafted the manuscript. T.Y. conducted the survey and interpreted the data. T.Y., H.L. and Q.Y. critically reviewed the manuscript. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This study was funded by the National Natural Science Foundation of China—Research on Urban Space Impact Mechanism and Planning Regulation Technology Based on Residents' Cardiovascular Health Effect in Severe Cold Region (51978192).

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

**Data Availability Statement:** Data sharing not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analysed during the current study.

**Acknowledgments:** We are particularly grateful to the reviewers for their comments on the revision of this manuscript.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

### References

- 1. Miao, J.; Wu, X. Urbanization, socioeconomic status and health disparity in China. Health Place 2016, 42, 87–95. [CrossRef]
- 2. Chen, H.; Liu, Y.; Li, Z.; Xue, D. Urbanization, economic development and health: Evidence from China's labor-force dynamic survey. *Int. J. Equity. Health* 2017, *16*, 207. [CrossRef]
- 3. World Health Organization. China Country Assessment Report on Ageing and Health, Zurich. Available online: https://www.who. int/zh/health-topics/ageing#tab=tab\_1 (accessed on 16 February 2015).
- 4. World Bank Group; World Health Organization; Ministry of Finance; National Health and Family Planning Commission; Ministry of Human Resources and Social Security. Deepening Health Reform in China: Building High Quality and Value-Based Service Delivery. Washington, DC. Available online: https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/handle/10986/24720 (accessed on 22 July 2016).
- 5. World Health Organization. World Health Statistics 2021: Monitoring Health for the SDGs, Sustainable Development Goals. Available online: https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240027053 (accessed on 20 May 2021).
- 6. Jia, J.; Zhou, A.; Wei, C.; Jia, X.; Wang, F.; Li, F.; Wu, X.; Mok, V.; Gauthier, S.; Tang, M.; et al. The prevalence of mild cognitive impairment and its etiological subtypes in elderly Chinese. *Alzheimers Dement.* **2014**, *10*, 439–447. [CrossRef]
- 7. Kaczynski, A.T.; Potwarka, L.R.; Saelens, B.E. Association of park size, distance, and features with physical activity in neighborhood parks. *Am. J. Public Health* **2008**, *98*, 1451–1456. [CrossRef]
- Engemann, K.; Pedersen, C.B.; Arge, L.; Tsirogiannis, C.; Mortensen, P.B.; Svenning, J.C. Residential green space in childhood is associated with lower risk of psychiatric disorders from adolescence into adulthood. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2019, 116, 5188–5193. [CrossRef]
- 9. Hartig, T.; Mitchell, R.; de Vries, S.; Frumkin, H. Nature and health. Annu. Rev. Public Health 2014, 35, 207–228. [CrossRef]
- 10. Dinnie, E.; Brown, K.M.; Morris, S. Reprint of "Community, cooperation and conflict: Negotiating the social well-being benefits of urban greenspace experiences". *Landsc. Urban Plan.* **2013**, *118*, 103–111. [CrossRef]
- 11. Lee, A.C.K.; Maheswaran, R. The health benefits of urban green spaces: A review of the evidence. *J. Public Health* **2011**, *33*, 212–222. [CrossRef]
- 12. Ulrich, R.S.; Simons, R.F.; Losito, B.D.; Fiorito, E.; Miles, M.A.; Zelson, M. Stress recovery during exposure to natural and urban environments. *J. Environ. Psychol.* **1991**, *11*, 201–230. [CrossRef]
- 13. Kaplan, S. The restorative benefits of nature: Toward an integrative framework. J. Environ. Psychol. 1995, 15, 169–182. [CrossRef]
- 14. Kemperman, A.D.A.M.; Timmermans, H.J.P. Heterogeneity in urban park use of aging visitors: A latent class analysis. *Leis. Sci.* **2006**, *28*, 57–71. [CrossRef]
- 15. Gidlöf-Gunnarsson, A.; Öhrström, E. Noise and well-being in urban residential environments: The potential role of perceived availability to nearby green areas. *Landsc. Urban Plan.* **2007**, *83*, 115–126. [CrossRef]
- 16. Tinsley, H.E.A.; Tinsley, D.J.; Croskeys, C.E. Park usage, social milieu, and psychosocial benefits of park use reported by older urban park users from four ethnic groups. *Leis. Sci.* 2002, 24, 199–218. [CrossRef]
- 17. Sugiyama, T.; Thompson, C.W. Older People's Health, Outdoor Activity and Supportiveness of Neighborhood Environments. *Landsc. Urban Plan.* 2007, *83*, 168–175. [CrossRef]
- 18. Roe, J.; Mondschein, A.; Neale, C.; Barnes, L.; Boukhechba, M.; Lopez, S. The Urban Built Environment, Walking and Mental Health Outcomes Among Older Adults: A Pilot Study. *Front. Public Health* **2020**, *8*, 575946. [CrossRef]
- 19. Collins, R.M.; Spake, R.; Brown, K.A.; Ogutu, B.O.; Eigenbrod, F. A systematic map of research exploring the effect of greenspace on mental health. *Landsc. Urban Plan.* 2020, 201, 103823. [CrossRef]
- 20. Yu, C.P.; Lee, H.Y.; Lu, W.H.; Huang, Y.C.; Browning, M. Restorative effects of virtual natural settings on middle-aged and elderly adults. *Urban For. Urban Green.* 2020, *56*, 126863. [CrossRef]

- 21. Sang, H.P.; Lee, P.J.; Jung, T.; Swenson, A. Effects of the aural and visual experience on psycho-physiological recovery in urban and rural environments. *Appl. Acoust.* **2020**, *169*, 107486.
- 22. Gao, T.; Zhang, T.; Zhu, L.; Qiu, L. Exploring psychophysiological restoration and individual preference in the different environments based on virtual reality. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2019**, *16*, 3102. [CrossRef]
- Kabisch, N.; Püffel, C.; Masztalerz, O.; Hemmerling, J.; Kraemer, R. Physiological and psychological effects of visits to different urban green and street environments in older people: A field experiment in a dense inner-city area. *Landsc. Urban Plan.* 2021, 207, 103998. [CrossRef]
- Sonntag-Öströma, E.; Nordinb, M.; Lundell, Y.; Dolling, A.; Wiklund, U.; Karlsson, M.; Carlberg, B.; Järvholm, L.S. Restorative effects of visits to urban and forest environments in patients with exhaustion disorder. *Urban For. Urban Green.* 2014, 13, 344–354. [CrossRef]
- Tilley, S.; Neale, C.; Patuano, A.; Cinderby, S. Older people's experiences of mobility and mood in an urban environment: A mixed methods approach using electroencephalography (EEG) and interviews. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 2017, 14, 151. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Neale, C.; Aspinall, P.; Roe, J.; Tilley, S.; Mavros, P.; Cinderby, S.; Coyne, R.; Thin, N.; Bennett, G.; Thompson, C.W. Correction to: The aging urban brain: Analyzing outdoor physical activity using the emotiv affectiv suite in older people. *J. Urban Health* 2017, 94, 869–880. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Felício, L.F.F.; Leão, L.L.; Souza, E.H.E.; Machado, F.S.M.; Laks, J.; Deslandes, A.C.; Batista de Paula, A.M.; Monteiro-Junior, R.S. Cognitive abilities of institutionalized older persons with depressive symptoms. J. Bras. Psiquiatr. 2022, 71, 233–240. [CrossRef]
- Russell, J.A.; Lewicka, M.; Nitt, T. A cross-cultural study of a circumplex model of affect. J. Pers. Soc. Psychol. 1989, 57, 848–856. [CrossRef]
- Clearya, A.; Roikoa, A.; Burtonb, N.W.; Fieldingc, K.S.; Murraya, Z.; Turrelld, G. Changes in perceptions of urban green space are related to changes inpsychological well-being: Cross-sectional and longitudinal study of mid-aged urban residents. *Health Place* 2019, 59, 102201. [CrossRef]
- Parra, D.C.; Gomez, L.F.; Sarmiento, O.L.; Buchner, D.; Brownson, R.; Schimd, T.; Gomez, V.; Lobelo, F. Perceived and objective neighborhood environment attributes and health related quality of life among the elderly in Bogotá, Colombia. *Soc. Sci. Med.* 2010, 70, 1070–1076. [CrossRef]
- 31. Zhai, Y.; Li, D.; Wu, C.; Wu, H. Urban park facility use and intensity of seniors' physical activity -an examination combining accelerometer and GPS tracking. *Landsc. Urban Plan.* **2020**, *205*, 103950. [CrossRef]
- 32. Karmanov, D.Y.; Hamel, R. Assessing the restorative potential of contemporary urban environment(s): Beyond the nature versus urban dichotomy. *Landsc. Urban Plan.* 2008, *86*, 115–125. [CrossRef]
- Church, A.; Fish, R.; Haines-Young, R.; Mourato, S.; Tratalos, J. UK National Ecosystem Assessment Follow-on. In Work Package Report 5: Cultural Ecosystem Services and Indicators; Unep-Wcmc: Lwec, UK, 2014.
- 34. Wolf, I.D.W.; Wohlfart, T. Walking, hiking and running in parks: A multidiscciplinary assessment of health and well-being benefits. *Landsc. Urban Plan.* **2014**, *130*, 89–103. [CrossRef]
- Marquet, O.; Hipp, J.A.; Alberico, C.; Huang, J.H.; Floyd, M.F. Use of SOPARC to assess physical activity in parks: Do race/ethnicity, contextual conditions, and settings of the target area, affect reliability? *BMC Public. Health* 2019, 19, 1730. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 36. Zhai, Y.; Li, D.; Wang, D.; Shi, C. Seniors' physical activity in neighborhood parks and park design characteristics. *Front. Public Health* **2020**, *8*, 322. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 37. Bai, Y.; Bian, F.; Zhang, L.; Cao, Y. The impact of social support on the health of the rural elderly in China. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2020**, *17*, 2004. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 38. Gilbert, K.L.; Quinn, S.C.; Goodman, R.M.; Butler, J.; Wallace, J. A meta-analysis of social capital and health: A case for needed research. *J. Health Psychol.* **2013**, *18*, 1385–1399. [CrossRef]
- Haseli-Mashhadi, N.; Pan, A.; Ye, X.; Wang, J.; Qi, Q.; Liu, Y.; Li, H.; Yu, Z.; Lin, X.; Franco, O.H. Self-rated health in middle-aged and elderly Chinese: Distribution, determinants and associations with cardio-metabolic risk factors. *BMC Public Health* 2009, *9*, 368. [CrossRef]
- Ottosson, J.; Hort, M.S.; Grahn, P. Measures of Restoration in Geriatric Care Residences. J. Hous. Elderly 2006, 19, 227–256. [CrossRef]
- 41. Wen, C.; Albert, C.; Von Haaren, C. The elderly in green spaces: Exploring requirements and preferences concerning nature-based recreation. *Sustain. Cities Soc.* **2018**, *38*, 582–593. [CrossRef]
- 42. Giles-Corti, B.; Broomhall, M.H.; Knuiman, M.; Collins, C.; Douglas, K.; Ng, K.; Lange, A.; Donovan, R.J. Increasing walking: How important is distance to, attractiveness, and size of public open space? *Am. J. Prev. Med.* **2005**, *28*, 169–176. [CrossRef]
- Chow, H.W. Outdoor fitness equipment in parks: A qualitative study from older adults' perceptions. BMC Public Health 2013, 13, 1216. [CrossRef]
- 44. Floyd, M.F.; Spengler, J.O.; Maddock, J.E.; Gobster, P.H.; Suau, L.J. Park-based physical activity in diverse communities of two US cities-An observational study. *Am. J. Prev. Med.* **2008**, *34*, 299–305. [CrossRef]
- 45. Reed, A.J.; Cheryl-Anne, A.; Princess, W.; Katherine, S.; Sandra, H.; Holly, H. A descriptive examination of the most frequently used activity settings in 25 community parks using direct observation. *J. Phys. Act. Health* **2008**, *5*, 183–195. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 46. Joseph, A.; Zimring, C.; Harris-Kojetin, L.; Kiefer, K. Presence and visibility of outdoor and indoor physical activity features and participation in physical activity among older adults in retirement communities. *J. Hous. Elderly* **2006**, *19*, 141–165. [CrossRef]
- 47. Roberts, H.; Van Lissa, C.; Hagedoorn, P.; Kellar, I.; Helbich, M. The effect of short-term exposure to the natural environment on depressive mood: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Environ. Res.* **2019**, *177*, 108606. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Groenewegen, P.G.; van den Berg, A.E.; DeVries, S.; Verheij, R.A. Vitamin G: Effects of green space on health, well-being, and social safety. *BMC Public Health* 2006, 6, 149. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 49. Childs, E.; de Wit, H. Subjective, behavioral, and physiological effects of acute caffeine in light, nondependent caffeine users. *Psychopharmacology* **2006**, *185*, 514–523. [CrossRef]
- Jiang, B.; Larsen, L.; Deal, B.; Sullivan, W.C. A dose–response curve describing the relationship between tree cover density and landscape preference. *Landsc. Urban Plan.* 2015, 139, 16–25. [CrossRef]
- Marquez, D.X.; Hoyem, R.; Fogg, L.; Bustamante, E.E.; Wilbur, J.E. Physical activity of urban community-dwelling older Latino adults. J. Phys. Act. Health 2011, 2, S161–S170. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 52. Miller, N.E.; Strath, S.J.; Swartz, A.M.; Cashin, S.E. Estimating absolute and relative physical activity intensity across age via accelerometry in adults. *J. Aging Health* **2017**, *18*, 158–170. [CrossRef]
- 53. Tang, S.; Xu, Y.; Li, Z.; Yang, T.; Qian, D. Does economic support have an impact on the health status of elderly patients with chronic diseases in China?-based on CHARLS (2018) data research. *Front. Public Health* **2021**, *9*, 658830. [CrossRef]
- Lee, J.; Park, B.J.; Tsunetsugu, Y.; Kagawa, T.; Miyazaki, Y. Restorative effects of viewing real forest landscapes, based on a comparison with urban landscapes. *Scand. J. For. Res.* 2009, 24, 227–234. [CrossRef]
- Lee, J.; Park, B.J.; Tsunetsugu, Y.; Ohira, T.; Kagawa, T.; Miyazaki, Y. Effect of forest bathing on physiological and psychological responses in young Japanese male subjects. *Public Health* 2011, 125, 93–100. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 56. Tsunetsugu, Y.; Lee, J.; Park, B.J.; Tyrvainen, L.; Kagawa, T.; Miyazaki, Y. Physiological and psychological effects of viewing urban forest landscapes assessed by multiple measurement. *Landsc. Urban Plan.* **2013**, *113*, 90–93. [CrossRef]
- 57. Liu, H.; Li, F.; Li, J.; Zhang, Y. The relationships between urban parks, residents' physical activity, and mental health benefits: A case study from Beijing, China. *J. Environ. Manag.* **2017**, *190*, 223–230. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Marselle, M.R.; Irvine, K.N.; Lorenzo-Arribas, A.; Warber, S.L. Moving beyond green: Exploring the relationship of environment type and indicators of perceived environmental quality on emotional well-being following group walks. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 2015, 12, 106–130. [CrossRef]
- 59. Song, C.; Joung, D.; Ikei, H.; Igarashi, M.; Aga, M.; Park, B.J.; Miwa, M.; Takagaki, M.; Miyazaki, Y. Physiological and psychological effects of walking on young males in urban parks in winter. *J. Physiol. Anthropol.* **2013**, *32*, 18. [CrossRef]
- 60. Zhong, X.; Wu, D.; Nie, X.; Xia, J.; Li, M.; Lei, F.; Lim, H.A.; Kua, E. Parenting style, resilience, and mental health of communitydwelling elderly adults in China. *BMC Geriatr.* **2016**, *16*, 135. [CrossRef]
- 61. Wang, S.; Ungvari, G.S.; Forester, B.P.; Chiu, H.; Wu, Y.; Kou, C.; Fu, Y.; Qi, Y.; Liu, Y.; Tao, Y.; et al. Gender differences in general mental health, smoking, drinking and chronic diseases in older adults in Jilin province, China. *Psychiatry Res.* **2017**, 251, 58. [CrossRef]
- 62. Chen, H.; Zhu, Z. Social trust and emotional health in rural older adults in China: The mediating and moderating role of subjective well-being and subjective social status. *BMC Public Health* **2020**, *21*, 556. [CrossRef]
- 63. Cheng, X.; Cosco, T.D.; Ariyo, T. Decreasing Social Isolation to Enhance Mental Health among Older Adults in China: A Mediation Analysis of Aging Attitude. *Front. Psychol.* **2021**, *12*, 735740. [CrossRef]
- 64. Kaczynski, A.T.; Potwarka, L.R.; Smale, B.J.A.; Havitz, M.E. Association of parkland proximity with neighborhood and park-based physical activity: Variations by gender and age. *Leisure Sci.* **2009**, *31*, 174–191. [CrossRef]
- 65. Talbot, J.F.; Kaplan, R. The benefits of nearby nature for elderly apartment residents. *Int. J. Aging Hum. Dev.* **1991**, *33*, 119–130. [CrossRef]
- 66. Shan, X.Z. Socio-demographic variation in motives for visiting urban green spaces in a large Chinese city. *Habitat Int.* **2014**, *41*, 114–120. [CrossRef]
- 67. Shan, X.Z. The socio-demographic and spatial dynamics of green space use in Guangzhou, China. *Appl. Geogr.* **2014**, *51*, 26–34. [CrossRef]
- 68. Duan, Y.; Wagner, P.; Zhang, R.; Wulff, H.; Brehm, W. Physical activity areas in urban parks and their use by the elderly from two cities in China and Germany. *Landsc. Urban Plan.* **2018**, *178*, 261–269. [CrossRef]
- 69. Zhang, H.; Chen, B.; Sun, Z.; Bao, Z. Landscape perception and recreation needs in urban green space in Fuyang, Hangzhou, China. *Urban For. Urban Green.* **2013**, *12*, 44–52. [CrossRef]
- 70. Cohen, D.A.; McKenzie, T.L.; Sehgal, A.; Williamson, S.; Golinelli, D.; Lurie, N. Contribution of public parks to physical activity. *Am. J. Public Health* **2007**, *97*, 509–514. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 71. Dewulf, B.; Neutens, T.; Van Dyck, D.; De Bourdeaudhuij, I.; Broekx, S.; Beckx, C.; Van de Weghe, N. Associations between time spent in green areas and physical activity among late middle-aged adults. *Geospat. Health* **2016**, *11*, 225–232. [CrossRef]

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.