



# Article Knowledge, Attitudes, and Practices of High-Risk Patients Towards Prevention and Early Detection of Chronic Kidney Disease (CKD) in Saudi Arabia

Abdullah Alghamdi<sup>1</sup>, Abdullah Alaryni<sup>1</sup>, Khalid AlMatham<sup>2</sup>, Osamah Hakami<sup>1</sup>, Rayan Qutob<sup>1</sup>, Abdullah Bukhari<sup>1</sup>, Amani Abualnaja<sup>1</sup>, Yara Aldosari<sup>1</sup>, Noora Altamimi<sup>1</sup>, Khawlah Alshahrani<sup>1</sup>, Areej Alsabty<sup>1</sup> and Amal Abdullah<sup>3,\*</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Medical College, Imam Mohammad Ibn Saud Islamic University, Riyadh 11564, Saudi Arabia
- <sup>2</sup> King Fahad Medical City, Alfaisal University, Riyadh 11533, Saudi Arabia
- <sup>3</sup> Security Forces Hospital, Riyadh 11481, Saudi Arabia
- \* Correspondence: amabdullah@sfh.med.sa; Tel.: +966-507005010

**Abstract:** Context: Chronic kidney disease (CKD) is characterized by the presence of kidney damage or decreased kidney function. In the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, the prevalence of CKD is at 5.7%, which represents a high burden on health care systems. Aims: This study aimed to assess the knowledge, attitudes, and practices of high-risk patients towards prevention and early detection of chronic kidney disease in Saudi Arabia. Setting and Design: Descriptive cross-sectional study in Saudi Arabia. Methods and Material: This study was designed using a newly developed instrument, the CKD Screening Index. It was conducted from December 2021 to May 2022 by a self-administered questionnaire. The questionnaire has three parts: socio-demographic data, clinical factors, and the CKD screening index tool. Statistical analyses used: Independent *t*-test, One-Way ANOVA, LSD, Games–Howell tests. Results: Knowledge of kidney function had a significant difference across patient groups with varying employment status. Monthly income is a significant factor for the patient attitude on healthcare towards preventing kidney disease. On the other hand, educational level significantly affects the overall attitude of patients towards preventing kidney disease. Conclusion: Understanding knowledge, attitudes, and practices associated with CKD is vital to informing optimal policy and public health responses in the country.

Keywords: attitude; chronic kidney disease; knowledge; practice; CKD

# 1. Introduction

Chronic kidney disease (CKD) is defined as the presence of kidney damage or decreased kidney function (defined as estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) of less than 60 mL/min per 1.73 m<sup>2</sup>) for 3 or more months, irrespective of the cause. Kidney damage refers to pathologic abnormalities, whether established via kidney biopsy or imaging studies, or inferred from markers such as urinary sediment abnormalities or increased rates of urinary albumin excretion. Globally, the prevalence of CKD is 9.1%, and there were 697.5 million cases of CKD (all stages) reported worldwide [1], while in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, the prevalence of CKD is 5.7%, according to an epidemiological study done in 2010 [2], which represents a high burden on health care systems. Over the last few years, it has become well established in the medical literature and community that CKD is related to an increased risk of premature mortality [3]. Because the majority of CKD patients are not clinically identified, this global growth in the prevalence of CKD necessitates improvements in the global approach to CKD prevention, primarily via recognizing risk factors, because the majority of CKD cases were not clinically recognized, mainly because of the lack of patients' awareness about CKD risk factors. The most important risk factors for developing CKD are hypertension and diabetes mellitus. These two diseases promote vascular



Citation: Alghamdi, A.; Alaryni, A.; AlMatham, K.; Hakami, O.; Qutob, R.; Bukhari, A.; Abualnaja, A.; Aldosari, Y.; Altamimi, N.; Alshahrani, K.; et al. Knowledge, Attitudes, and Practices of High-Risk Patients Towards Prevention and Early Detection of Chronic Kidney Disease (CKD) in Saudi Arabia. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2023**, *20*, 871. https:// doi.org/10.3390/ijerph20010871

Academic Editor: Paul B. Tchounwou

Received: 11 November 2022 Revised: 29 December 2022 Accepted: 29 December 2022 Published: 3 January 2023



**Copyright:** © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). alterations that increase the risk for macro and micro vascular complications including kidney impairment.

However, there is limited information on the knowledge, attitudes, and practices towards prevention and early detection of CKD in Saudi Arabia. As such, this study aimed to assess the knowledge, attitudes, and practices of high-risk patients towards prevention and early detection of chronic kidney disease in Saudi Arabia and to find out the association between the participants' knowledge and attitude with their socio-demographic characteristics.

#### 2. Materials and Methods

This descriptive cross-sectional study was designed to assess knowledge, attitudes, and practices perceived by Saudi patients at risk for CKD using a newly developed instrument, the CKD Screening Index, which was validated, and its reliability was checked in previous studies. In the previous studies, its internal consistency was 0.87 and 0.8 in Palestine [4] and Jordan [5]. There were three parts to the questionnaire: socio-demographic data which included chronic diseases, age, gender, place of residency, marital status, educational level, employment, and monthly income.

As well as the clinical factors, in the CKD screening index tool tests, participants were asked about their knowledge regarding kidney function, risk factors or causes of kidney disease, kidney disease symptoms, and management of kidney disease as well as the current practice and attitude of patients towards preventing kidney disease, such as eating a well-balanced diet, maintaining regular exercise, following certain restrictions, seeking medical help if needed. It was conducted from December 2021 to May 2022 by a self-administered questionnaire.

The sampling of this study was non-probability convenience sampling. According to the sample size calculation, the sample size was 385 or more. All adult (age  $\geq$  18 years) hypertensive, and/or diabetic patients, with a family history of CKD, having chronicity with analgesia, or aged more than 65 years were included in the study. However, any patient younger than 18 years old was excluded.

Continuous data were checked for normality and a comparison of means was done using parametric or non-parametric tests. The Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) Software was utilized to analyze the data. The data were presented as frequency and in tables; chi-square test, which is a feature of SPSS under cross table or nonparametric analysis, was used to attain a p-value between categorical data-dependent and independent to estimate the association where p-value  $\leq 0.05$  is considered significant. The data were kept confidential and only used for the purposes described in the study objectives.

This study was approved by the institutional review board (IRB) of Imam Mohammad Ibn Saud Islamic University with approval number (181-2021). Additionally, this research was carried out in accordance with the Code of Ethics of the World Medical Association (Declaration of Helsinki 2013) for experiments involving humans. All participants were aware of the study aims, and written electronic consent was taken before answering the electronic questionnaire. All the data collected from this study were highly confidential and only used for the purposes described in the study objectives. Any identifying information such as names, phone, or fax numbers, medical record number, initials, anywhere in the paper were concealed. No personal information was taken, to ensure privacy.

### 3. Results

Two hundred and ninety-three participants (62.8% males, 37.2% females) took part in this study, as shown in Table 1. From this group, about 44.4% were found to suffer from hypertension, 30.0% from diabetes mellitus, and 25.6% from hypertension and diabetes mellitus. In terms of age, about 23.9% were aged above 60 years old, 72.7% were aged between 30 to 60 years old, and 3.1% were aged between 18 to 30 years old. Residence-wise, 73.4% were living in the Central Region, 19.5% were living in the Western Region, 4.4% were living in the Southern Region, 1.4% were living in Eastern Region, and 1.4% were living in the Northern Region. In terms of marital status, about 90.8% were married, 4.4%

were divorced, 2.4% were widowed, and 2.4% were single. For educational level, about 37.2% earned their bachelor's degree, 22.5% earned a Doctor of Philosophy degree, 22.5% obtained a Master's degree, 22.5% graduated from high school, and the remaining 9.2% did not finish high school. In terms of employment, about 45.7% were employed, 32.8% were retired, 14.0% were unemployed, 4.4% were unable to work, and 3.1% were students. Monthly income-wise, about 35.2% received above 15,000 Saudi riyals, 22.2% received between 5000 and 10,000 Saudi riyals, 21.5% received below 5000 Saudi riyals, and 21.2% received between 10,000 and 15,000 Saudi riyals.

| D  | Count             | %     |      |
|--|-------------------|-------|------|
|  | 293               | 100.0 |      |
|  | Hypertension      | 130   | 44.4 |
|  | Diabetes mellitus | 88    | 30.0 |
| enforme discuse.   |                   | 75    | 25.6 |
|  | <18               | 1     | .3   |
| 4.70   | 18–30             | 9     | 3.1  |
| Age  | 30–60             | 213   | 72.7 |
|  | >60               | 70    | 23.9 |
|  | Male              | 184   | 62.8 |
| Gender   | Female            | 109   | 37.2 |
|  | Eastern Region    | 4     | 1.4  |
|  | Western Region    | 57    | 19.5 |
| Region of residence  | Central Region    | 215   | 73.4 |
|  | Northern Region   | 4     | 1.4  |
|  | Southern Region   | 13    | 4.4  |
|  | Married           | 266   | 90.8 |
|  | Single            | 7     | 2.4  |
| Region of residence<br>Marital status<br>Educational Level | Divorced          | 13    | 4.4  |
|  | Widow             | 7     | 2.4  |
|  | Below high school | 27    | 9.2  |
|  | High school       | 66    | 22.5 |
| Educational Level  | Bachelor          | 109   | 37.2 |
|  | Master            | 25    | 8.5  |
| Marital status   | PhD               | 66    | 22.5 |
|  | Employee          | 134   | 45.7 |
|  | Unemployed        | 41    | 14.0 |
| Employment   | Retired           | 96    | 32.8 |
|  | Student           | 9     | 3.1  |
|  | Unable to work    | 13    | 4.4  |
|  | Below 5000        | 63    | 21.5 |
| Monthlasis   | 5000-10000        | 65    | 22.2 |
| wontniy income   | 10,000–15,000     | 62    | 21.2 |
|  | Above 15,000      | 103   | 35.2 |

Table 1. Socio-demographic characteristics of the study participants.

Each participant was tested in terms of their knowledge about CKD prevention and early detection as shown in Table 2. Twenty-four questions were asked and they were divided into four knowledge question groups: kidney function, risk factors or causes of kidney disease, kidney disease symptoms, and management of kidney disease. Statistical analysis revealed no significant variation of knowledge on CKD prevention and early detection across patient groups who were suffering from hypertension, diabetes mellitus, and both hypertension and diabetes mellitus. Across different age groups, there is no significant difference in the knowledge of patients of CKD prevention and early detection. Similar trends were also observed for other factors such as gender, educational level, and monthly income. On the other hand, a significant difference (p = 0.034) in terms of knowledge about kidney function was observed across patients with varying employment status. For other questions on knowledge about CKD prevention and early detection, no statistical difference was observed across patient groups with different employment statuses.

Each participant was also tested in terms of their attitude towards preventing kidney disease as provided in Table 3. Eighteen questions were asked and they were divided into six attitude factor groups. Age, gender, and employment status did not pose a significant difference in the overall attitude of patients towards preventing kidney disease. For patient groups with varying chronic diseases, there was a significant difference in terms of religious attitude and bodily authority (p = 0.045). Monthly income (p = 0.003) plays a significant factor in the patient attitude about healthcare towards preventing kidney disease. On the other hand, educational level (p = 0.012) significantly affects the overall attitude of patients towards preventing kidney disease. Each participant was also asked questions on their current practices to prevent kidney disease as exemplified in Table 4. Overall, chronic disease, age, gender, educational level, employment status, and monthly income did not pose a significant difference on the current practices of patients to prevent kidney disease.

| Demog                | graphics                              | Total | Kidney Function        | Risk Factors/Causes<br>of Kidney Disease | Kidney Disease<br>Symptoms | Management of<br>Kidney Disease | Knowledge about<br>Chronic Kidney<br>Disease Prevention and<br>Early Detection Score |
|----------------------|---------------------------------------|-------|------------------------|--|----------------------------|---------------------------------|--|
|                      | Hypertension                          | 130   | $2.06\pm0.9$           | $3.55\pm2.0$                             | $5.38\pm2.8$               | $2.00\pm1.4$                    | $13.00\pm5.5$  |
| Do you suffer from   | Diabetes mellitus                     | 88    | $1.94\pm0.9$           | $3.50\pm1.9$                             | $5.52\pm2.7$               | $1.92\pm1.3$                    | $12.89\pm5.2$  |
| any chronic disease? | Hypertension and<br>Diabetes mellitus | 75    | $2.09\pm0.9$           | $3.87\pm2.0$                             | $5.87\pm2.9$               | $2.05\pm1.3$                    | $13.88\pm5.8$  |
|                      | <i>p</i> -value                       |       | 0.505                  | 0.446                                    | 0.495                      | 0.811                           | 0.448  |
|                      | <30                                   | 10    | $1.90\pm0.7$           | $3.70\pm1.9$                             | $4.80\pm2.8$               | $2.20\pm1.3$                    | $12.60\pm5.9$  |
| Age                  | 30–60                                 | 213   | $2.06\pm0.9$           | $3.65\pm2.0$                             | $5.76\pm2.8$               | $2.01\pm1.3$                    | $13.48\pm5.4$  |
|                      | >60                                   | 70    | $1.97\pm0.9$           | $3.51\pm2.0$                             | $5.03\pm2.8$               | $1.89 \pm 1.3$                  | $12.40\pm5.7$  |
|                      | <i>p</i> -value                       |       | 0.683                  | 0.880                                    | 0.118                      | 0.687                           | 0.341  |
| <u> </u>             | Male                                  | 184   | $1.98\pm0.9$           | $3.66\pm2.0$                             | $5.30\pm2.9$               | $1.98 \pm 1.4$                  | $12.92\pm5.6$  |
| Gender               | Female                                | 109   | $2.12\pm0.8$           | $3.55\pm2.0$                             | $5.96\pm2.7$               | $2.01\pm1.2$                    | $13.64\pm5.2$  |
|                      | <i>p</i> -value                       |       | 0.188                  | 0.656                                    | 0.052                      | 0.842                           | 0.279  |
|                      | Below high school                     | 27    | $1.78\pm0.9$           | $3.15\pm2.2$                             | $6.33\pm2.4$               | $1.70\pm1.3$                    | $12.96 \pm 4.9$  |
|                      | High school                           | 66    | $2.05\pm0.9$           | $3.44 \pm 1.8$                           | $5.36\pm2.6$               | $2.00\pm1.3$                    | $12.85\pm5.2$  |
| Educational Level    | Bachelor's                            | 109   | $2.03\pm0.9$           | $3.54\pm2.0$                             | $5.42\pm2.9$               | $1.99 \pm 1.3$                  | $12.98\pm5.6$  |
|                      | Master's                              | 25    | $1.80\pm1.0$           | $3.52\pm1.8$                             | $4.56\pm2.6$               | $1.68 \pm 1.3$                  | $11.56\pm4.5$  |
|                      | PhD                                   | 66    | $2.23\pm0.8$           | $4.15\pm2.1$                             | $6.00\pm2.9$               | $2.21\pm1.4$                    | $14.59\pm 6.0$   |
|                      | <i>p</i> -value                       |       | 0.131                  | 0.133                                    | 0.114                      | 0.346                           | 0.137  |
|                      | Employee                              | 134   | $2.18\pm0.9~^{\rm A}$  | $3.81\pm2.0$                             | $5.81\pm2.9$               | $2.06\pm1.4$                    | $13.86\pm5.6$  |
| Employment           | Unemployed/Unable<br>to work/Student  | 63    | $1.87\pm0.8\ ^{\rm B}$ | 3.46 ± 1.9                               | $5.54\pm2.5$               | $1.92\pm1.3$                    | $12.79\pm5.0$  |
|                      | Retired                               | 96    | $1.94\pm0.9~^{\rm B}$  | $3.46\pm2.0$                             | $5.19\pm2.8$               | $1.94 \pm 1.3$                  | $12.52\pm5.6$  |
|                      | <i>p</i> -value                       |       | 0.034 <sup>a,b</sup>   | 0.331                                    | 0.250                      | 0.708                           | 0.154  |

 Table 2. Statistical analysis on participants' knowledge about CKD prevention and early detection.

| Demog           | raphics         | Total | Kidney Function | Risk Factors/Causes<br>of Kidney Disease | Kidney Disease<br>Symptoms | Management of<br>Kidney Disease | Knowledge about<br>Chronic Kidney<br>Disease Prevention and<br>Early Detection Score |
|-----------------|-----------------|-------|-----------------|--|----------------------------|---------------------------------|--|
|                 | Below 5000      | 63    | $1.94\pm0.9$    | $3.51\pm2.0$                             | $5.33\pm2.6$               | $1.84 \pm 1.3$                  | $12.62\pm5.2$  |
| Monthly in come | 5000-10,000     | 65    | $2.11\pm0.9$    | $3.26\pm2.0$                             | $6.12\pm2.8$               | $2.15\pm1.4$                    | $13.65\pm5.4$  |
| Monthly income  | 10,000–15,000   | 62    | $2.10\pm0.9$    | $3.55\pm1.9$                             | $5.39\pm2.8$               | $1.66\pm1.0$                    | $12.69\pm5.3$  |
|                 | Above 15,000    | 103   | $2.01\pm0.8$    | $3.95\pm1.9$                             | $5.42\pm3.0$               | $2.17\pm1.4$                    | $13.55\pm5.8$  |
|                 | <i>p</i> -value |       | 0.665           | 0.153                                    | 0.319                      | 0.054                           | 0.556  |

Table 2. Cont.

<sup>a</sup>-significant using One-Way ANOVA Test at <0.05 level. <sup>b</sup>-Post-hoc Test = LSD. CAPITAL letters indicate Post-Hoc multiple pairing summary indicator. Having the same letter means the same measure statistically.

Table 3. Statistical analysis on participants' attitudes toward preventing kidney disease.

| Demogra              | aphics                                | Total | Factor 1      | Factor 2               | Factor 3       | Factor 4       | Factor 5       | Factor 6       | Attitudes toward Preventing<br>Kidney Disease Score |
|----------------------|---------------------------------------|-------|---------------|------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|---|
|                      | Hypertension                          | 130   | $17.46\pm2.7$ | $6.90\pm2.6~^{\rm A}$  | $5.48 \pm 1.6$ | $7.45\pm2.3$   | $4.47\pm1.4$   | $5.83 \pm 1.7$ | $47.58\pm6.1$                                       |
| Do you suffer from   | Diabetes mellitus                     | 88    | $17.67\pm3.0$ | $7.67\pm2.5\ ^{\rm B}$ | $5.80\pm1.6$   | $6.84 \pm 1.8$ | $4.28\pm1.4$   | $5.81 \pm 1.6$ | $48.07\pm5.2$                                       |
| any chronic disease? | Hypertension and<br>Diabetes mellitus | 75    | $17.33\pm2.4$ | $6.79\pm2.6~^{\rm A}$  | $5.57 \pm 1.7$ | $7.03\pm2.5$   | $4.64\pm1.2$   | $5.69 \pm 1.9$ | $47.05\pm5.6$                                       |
|                      | <i>p</i> -value                       |       | 0.725         | 0.045 <sup>a,b</sup>   | 0.350          | 0.118          | 0.241          | 0.850          | 0.531   |
|                      | <30                                   | 10    | $17.40\pm2.1$ | $9.00\pm3.3$           | $6.10\pm1.4$   | $6.80\pm2.1$   | $3.90\pm1.1$   | $5.10\pm2.0$   | $48.30\pm7.2$                                       |
| Age                  | 30–60                                 | 213   | $17.61\pm2.8$ | $7.07\pm2.5$           | $5.62 \pm 1.7$ | $7.23\pm2.3$   | $4.47 \pm 1.4$ | $5.88 \pm 1.7$ | $47.86\pm5.5$                                       |
|                      | >60                                   | 70    | $17.16\pm2.6$ | $6.93\pm2.6$           | $5.47 \pm 1.4$ | $7.00\pm1.8$   | $4.50\pm1.3$   | $5.61 \pm 1.6$ | $46.67\pm6.1$                                       |
|                      | <i>p</i> -value                       |       | 0.489         | 0.056                  | 0.486          | 0.667          | 0.407          | 0.227          | 0.296   |
| Gender               | Male                                  | 184   | $17.63\pm2.3$ | $7.03\pm2.7$           | $5.60\pm1.6$   | $7.01\pm2.3$   | $4.57\pm1.3$   | $5.72\pm1.8$   | $47.57\pm5.9$                                       |
| Gender               | Female                                | 109   | $17.26\pm3.3$ | $7.22\pm2.4$           | $5.59 \pm 1.6$ | $7.41\pm2.1$   | $4.27\pm1.4$   | $5.90\pm1.6$   | $47.64\pm5.4$                                       |
|                      | <i>p</i> -value                       |       | 0.258         | 0.548                  | 0.934          | 0.128          | 0.061          | 0.392          | 0.912   |

| Demogr            | raphics                              | Total | Factor 1      | Factor 2     | Factor 3       | Factor 4     | Factor 5                | Factor 6       | Attitudes toward Preventing<br>Kidney Disease Score |
|-------------------|--------------------------------------|-------|---------------|--------------|----------------|--------------|-------------------------|----------------|---|
|                   | Below high school                    | 27    | $17.11\pm2.4$ | $6.44\pm2.4$ | $5.56 \pm 1.2$ | $7.00\pm2.1$ | $4.48 \pm 1.3$          | $5.44 \pm 1.7$ | $46.04\pm5.9~^{\rm A}$                              |
|                   | High school                          | 66    | $17.14\pm2.9$ | $6.59\pm2.5$ | $5.21\pm2.0$   | $7.18\pm2.3$ | $4.36\pm1.1$            | $5.65\pm1.8$   | $46.14\pm5.0~^{\rm A}$                              |
| Educational Level | Bachelor's                           | 109   | $17.31\pm3.0$ | $7.20\pm2.6$ | $5.78 \pm 1.3$ | $7.08\pm2.1$ | $4.42\pm1.4$            | $5.84 \pm 1.7$ | $47.64\pm5.8~^{\rm AB}$                             |
|                   | Master's                             | 25    | $18.20\pm2.7$ | $7.72\pm3.1$ | $5.60\pm1.8$   | $7.00\pm2.9$ | $4.32\pm1.8$            | $5.48 \pm 1.8$ | $48.32\pm6.0~^{\rm AB}$                             |
|                   | PhD                                  | 66    | $18.03\pm2.0$ | $7.48\pm2.4$ | $5.70\pm1.6$   | $7.38\pm2.1$ | $4.65\pm1.3$            | $6.09\pm1.6$   | $49.33\pm5.8\ ^{\mathrm{B}}$                        |
|                   | <i>p</i> -value                      |       | 0.172         | 0.115        | 0.238          | 0.903        | 0.731                   | 0.336          | 0.012 <sup>a,b</sup>                                |
|                   | Employee                             | 134   | $17.72\pm2.8$ | $7.32\pm2.7$ | $5.66 \pm 1.6$ | $7.18\pm2.5$ | $4.43\pm1.5$            | $5.88 \pm 1.7$ | $48.19\pm5.6$                                       |
| Employment        | Unemployed/Unable<br>to work/Student | 63    | $17.08\pm3.0$ | $7.11\pm2.5$ | $5.52\pm1.6$   | $7.35\pm1.8$ | $4.41\pm1.2$            | $5.86 \pm 1.6$ | 47.33 ± 5.7   |
|                   | Retired                              | 96    | $17.45\pm2.5$ | $6.79\pm2.5$ | $5.56 \pm 1.7$ | $7.00\pm2.0$ | $4.52\pm1.3$            | $5.61 \pm 1.7$ | $46.94\pm5.8$                                       |
|                   | <i>p</i> -value                      |       | 0.306         | 0.309        | 0.835          | 0.617        | 0.850                   | 0.473          | 0.244   |
|                   | Below 5000                           | 63    | $17.13\pm3.0$ | $6.76\pm2.6$ | $5.52\pm1.5$   | $7.35\pm2.3$ | $4.11\pm1.0$ $^{\rm A}$ | $5.56 \pm 1.8$ | $46.43\pm5.9$                                       |
| Monthly income    | 5000-10,000                          | 65    | $17.57\pm2.2$ | $6.82\pm2.4$ | $5.48 \pm 1.8$ | $7.42\pm2.1$ | $4.31\pm1.4~^{\rm AB}$  | $5.63\pm1.8$   | $47.22\pm4.6$                                       |
| wontiny income    | 10,000–15,000                        | 62    | $17.74\pm3.0$ | $7.48\pm2.6$ | $6.02\pm1.1$   | $6.97\pm2.1$ | $4.34\pm1.5~^{\rm AB}$  | $6.00\pm1.5$   | $48.55\pm6.1$                                       |
|                   | Above 15,000                         | 103   | $17.51\pm2.7$ | $7.26\pm2.6$ | $5.47 \pm 1.7$ | $6.99\pm2.3$ | $4.83\pm1.4~^{\rm B}$   | $5.90 \pm 1.7$ | $47.97\pm 6.0$                                      |
|                   | <i>p</i> -value                      |       | 0.637         | 0.302        | 0.141          | 0.496        | 0.003 <sup>a,c</sup>    | 0.367          | 0.168   |

Table 3. Cont.

<sup>a</sup>-significant using One-Way ANOVA Test at <0.05 level. <sup>b</sup>-Post-hoc Test = LSD. <sup>c</sup>-Post-hoc Test = Games-Howell. CAPITAL letters indicate Post-Hoc multiple pairing summary indicator. Having the same letter means the same measure statistically.

| 1                                       | Demographics                       | Total | Current Practice to Preven<br>Kidney Disease Score |  |
|---|------------------------------------|-------|--|--|
|   | Hypertension                       | 130   | $35.75\pm5.3$                                      |  |
| Do you suffer from any chronic disease? | Diabetes mellitus                  | 88    | $34.74\pm5.9$                                      |  |
| chionic disease:                        | Hypertension and Diabetes mellitus | 75    | $36.11\pm 6.2$                                     |  |
|   | <i>p</i> -value                    |       | 0.265  |  |
|   | <30                                | 10    | $35.40\pm 6.5$                                     |  |
| Age                                     | 30–60                              | 213   | $35.57\pm6.1$                                      |  |
|   | >60                                | 70    | $35.47\pm4.3$                                      |  |
|   | <i>p</i> -value                    |       | 0.989  |  |
| Caralan                                 | Male                               | 184   | $35.16\pm5.2$                                      |  |
| Gender                                  | Female                             | 109   | $36.17\pm6.4$                                      |  |
|   | <i>p</i> -value                    |       | 0.142  |  |
|   | Below high school                  | 27    | $37.15\pm5.6$                                      |  |
|   | High school                        | 66    | $36.12\pm 6.3$                                     |  |
| Educational Level                       | Bachelor's                         | 109   | $34.97\pm5.7$                                      |  |
|   | Master's                           | 25    | $35.60\pm5.7$                                      |  |
|   | PhD                                | 66    | $35.21\pm5.0$                                      |  |
|   | <i>p</i> -value                    |       | 0.389  |  |
|   | Employee                           | 134   | $34.76\pm5.9$                                      |  |
| Employment                              | Unemployed/Unable to work/Student  | 63    | $36.63\pm5.2$                                      |  |
|   | Retired                            | 96    | $35.91\pm5.6$                                      |  |
|   | <i>p</i> -value                    |       | 0.073  |  |
|   | Below 5000                         | 63    | $35.89\pm6.2$                                      |  |
| Monthly income                          | 5000–10,000                        | 65    | $35.62\pm5.6$                                      |  |
| inonuny meome                           | 10,000–15,000                      | 62    | $34.97\pm5.9$                                      |  |
|   | Above 15,000                       | 103   | $35.62\pm5.4$                                      |  |
|   | <i>p</i> -value                    |       | 0.829  |  |

Table 4. Statistical analysis on participants' current practice to prevent kidney disease.

## 4. Discussion

CKD is a serious worldwide health problem with a rising incidence and prevalence [6]. Patients with chronic diseases such as diabetes, hypertension, and cardiovascular diseases are known as high-risk groups for developing CKD. Worldwide, CKDs are the twelfth leading cause of death and the seventeenth leading cause of disability, respectively. About 10 to 13% of the general population had one of the CKDs, counting more than 500 million persons worldwide. Early diagnosis and treatment of CKD will play an important role in delaying CKD progression [7].

Based on the results, knowledge about kidney function had a significant difference across patient groups with varying employment status. Monthly income is a significant factor in patient attitude about healthcare towards preventing kidney disease. On the other hand, educational level significantly affects the overall attitude of patients towards preventing kidney disease. In a study conducted by Yusoff and colleagues in Malaysia, the majority of respondents had poor knowledge, but most of them had a good attitude and good practices towards the risk of CKD. Monthly income, occupation, and educational attainment were found to be significantly correlated with knowledge about CKD [8]. In Palestine, age and educational attainment were deemed significantly associated with higher

CKD knowledge scores [5]. In terms of knowledge about CKD, a study in Saudi Arabia revealed that 11.3% of the participants were found to believe that CKD has no specific symptoms [9]. In Jordan, a study revealed that most of the participants have knowledge about kidney disease. However, about half had the wrong information on CKD signs and symptoms [4]. In Tanzania, living in an urban area and educational attainment were strongly linked with a high CKD knowledge score [10]. Another study showed that late-stage CKD and long-time CKD diagnosis can be correlated with a higher knowledge score [11]. Alobaidi [3] explored the knowledge of CKD among the Saudi population and found that disease knowledge was correlated with age, educational attainment, monthly income, civil status, habit for physical activity, and history of medical disease.

In Saudi Arabia, it has been reported that there was a relatively high positive attitude toward CKD prevention and control among the educated Saudi population [12]. Based on the study results, educational level significantly affects the overall attitude of patients towards preventing kidney disease. Yusoff and co-workers mentioned that occupation, marital status, sex, and age were deemed to be significant predictors of a good attitude towards CKD [8]. Additionally, age, monthly income, and high knowledge score were the factors substantially linked with higher CKD attitude scores [4]. Attitudes were also found to be characterized by frequent concern about the health, economic, and social impact of kidney disease [10]. In Indonesia, a positive attitude was reported among pre-dialysis patients with higher scores reported in terms of hope for recovery and diet [13].

Khalil and Abdalrahim [4] had found out that a disparity in knowledge and positive attitude are substantially influenced by income and educational attainment. This result was found to be congruent with the study of Wolf and colleagues [14], which suggests that socioeconomic factors including income, educational attainment, and professional standing are independently correlated with knowledge and attitude among diabetic patients with renal dysfunction.

Based on the study results, chronic disease, age, gender, educational level, employment status, and monthly income did not pose a significant difference for the current practices of patients to prevent kidney disease. On the other hand, patients with higher knowledge and attitudes scores, a male gender, and normal body mass index, were statistically significantly associated with a higher practice score towards CKD prevention [5]. Furthermore, healthy practices were noted as being associated with old age as high-risk elderly patients tend to stick to their dietary restrictions [15].

#### 5. Conclusions

Socio-demographic factors can play a pivotal role for the understanding of high-risk patients towards prevention and early detection of chronic kidney disease. Understanding knowledge, attitudes, and practices associated with CKD is vital to informing optimal policy and public health responses in the country.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, A.A. (Amani Abualnaja), Yara Aldosari, Noora Omar Altamimi, K.A. (Khawlah Alshahrani) and A.A. (Areej Alsabty). Methodology, A.A. (Amani Abualnaja), Yara Aldosari, Noora Omar Altamimi, K.A. (Khawlah Alshahrani) and A.A. (Areej Alsabty). Validation, A.A. (Amani Abualnaja), Yara Aldosari, Noora Omar Altamimi, K.A. (Khawlah Alshahrani) and A.A. (Areej Alsabty). Formal analysis, A.A. (Amani Abualnaja), Yara Aldosari, Noora Omar Altamimi, K.A. (Khawlah Alshahrani), and A.A. (Areej Alsabty). Investigation, A.A. (Amani Abualnaja), Yara Aldosari, Noora Omar Altamimi, K.A. (Khawlah Alshahrani) and A.A. (Areej Alsabty). Resources: A.A. (Amani Abualnaja), Yara Aldosari, Noora Omar Altamimi, K.A. (Khawlah Alshahrani), A.A. (Areej Alsabty), A.A. (Abdullah Alghamdi) and A.A. (Abdullah Alaryni). Data curation, A.A. (Amani Abualnaja), Yara Aldosari, Noora Omar Altamimi, K.A. (Khawlah Alshahrani), A.A. (Areej Alsabty), A.A. (Abdullah Alghamdi) and A.A. (Abdullah Alaryni). Data curation, A.A. (Amani Abualnaja), Yara Aldosari, Noora Omar Altamimi, K.A. (Khawlah Alshahrani), A.A. (Areej Alsabty), A.A. (Abdullah Alghamdi) and A.A. (Abdullah Alaryni). Data curation, A.A. (Amani Abualnaja), Yara Aldosari, Noora Omar Altamimi, K.A. (Khawlah Alshahrani), A.A. (Areej Alsabty), A.A. (Abdullah Alghamdi), A.A. (Abdullah Alaryni). Writing—original draft preparation, Writing—review and editing: A.A. (Amani Abualnaja), Yara Aldosari, Noora Omar Altamimi, K.A. (Khawlah Alshahrani), A.A. (Areej Alsabty), A.A. (Abdullah Alghamdi), A.A. (Abdullah Alaryni). All authors: visualization, supervision, project administration. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript. Funding: This research received no external funding.

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** The study was conducted according to the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the Institutional Review Board of Al-Imam Muhammad Ibn Saud Islamic University. protocol code 185-2021. Date 20 December 2021.

**Informed Consent Statement:** Written informed consent has been obtained from the participants to publish this paper.

**Data Availability Statement:** https://docs.google.com/file/d/1oAm6kA9ZEQsdCg52KPRVpz6lo5 N4yaGl/edit?usp=docslist\_api&filetype=msexcel (accessed on 1 March 2022).

Acknowledgments: The authors would like to extend their gratitude towards the data collectors for the general support in collecting the data.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## References

- Pradeep Arora, M.D. Chronic Kidney Disease (CKD). Practice Essentials, Pathophysiology, Etiology. Available online: https://emedicine. medscape.com/article/238798-overview (accessed on 21 July 2021).
- Alsuwaida, A.O.; Farag, Y.M.K.; Al Sayyari, A.A.; Mousa, D.; Alhejaili, F.; Al-Harbi, A.; Housawi, A.; Mittal, B.V.; Singh, A.K. Epidemiology of CKD in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia (SEEK-Saudi Investigators)—A pilot study. *Saudi J. Kidney Dis. Transpl.* 2010, 21, 1066–1072. [PubMed]
- Alobaidi, S. Knowledge of CKD among the population of Saudi Arabia evaluated using a validated questionnaire: A crosssectional study. *Patient Prefer. Adherence* 2021, 15, 1281–1288. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Khalil, A.; Abdalrahim, M. Knowledge, attitudes, and practices towards prevention and early detection of CKD. *Int. Nursin Rev.* 2014, 61, 237–245. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 5. Sa'adeh, H.H.; Darwazeh, R.N.; Khalil, A.A.; Zyoud, S.H. Knowledge, attitudes and practices of hypertensive patients towards prevention and early detection of CKD: A cross sectional study from Palestine. *Clin. Hypertens.* **2018**, *24*, 6. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Al-Husayni, F.; Al-Zahrani, A.; Zwawy, M.; Alamri, S.; Aljedaani, R.; Almalki, A. The awareness and perception of CKD in Jeddah, Saudi Arabia. *Saudi J. Kidney Dis. Transpl.* 2021, 32, 488–496. [PubMed]
- Johnson, D.W.; Atai, E.; Chan, M.; Phoon, R.K.; Scott, C.; Toussaint, N.D.; Turner, G.L.; Usherwood, T.; Wiggins, K.J. KHA-CARI Guideline: Early CKD: Detection, prevention and management. *Nephrology* 2013, *18*, 340–350. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Yusoff, D.M.; Yusof, J.; Kueh, Y.C. Knowledge, attitude and practices of the risk for CKD among patients in a tertiary teaching hospital. *Malays. J. Nurs.* 2016, *8*, 3–11.
- Alateeq, F.A.; Aloriney, A.M.; Alharbi, S.H.; Bin Ahmed, I.A.; Alharbi, A.A.H.; AlSogair, A.R.A.; Almasour, A.D.M.; Albalawi, A.M.A.; Ahmed, H.G. Knowledge towards CKD manifestations in Saudi Arabia. *Open J. Prev. Med.* 2018, *8*, 315–323. [CrossRef]
- Stanifer, J.W.; Turner, E.L.; Egger, J.R.; Thielman, N.; Karia, F.; Maro, V.; Kilonzo, K.; Patel, U.D.; Yeates, K. Knowledge, attitudes, and practices associated with CKD in Northern Tanzania: A community-based study. *PLoS ONE* 2016, 11, e0156336. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 11. Almutary, H.H. Assessment of kidney disease knowledge among CKD patients in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. *J. Ren. Care* **2021**, 47, 97–102. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 12. AlSogair, A.A.; Alharbi, A.A.H.; Bin Ahmed, I.A.; Alharbi, S.H.; Alateeq, F.A.; Aloriney, A.M.; Ahmed, H.G. Knowledge and perceptions toward CKD prevention and control in Saudi Arabia. *IJPRAS* **2019**, *8*, 77–83.
- 13. Agustiyowati, T.H.R. Knowledge and attitude toward chronic kidney disease among pre-dialysis patients in Indonesia. *Int. J. Caring Sci.* **2020**, *13*, 283–287.
- Wolf, G.; Busch, M.; Muller, N.; Muller, U. Association between socioeconomic status and renal function in a population of German patients with diabetic nephropathy treated at a tertiary centre. *Nephrol. Dial. Transplant.* 2011, 26, 4017–4023. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 15. Khalil, A.; Frazier, K.; Lennie, T.; Sawaya, P. Depressive symptoms and dietary adherence in patients with end-stage renal disease. *J. Ren. Care* **2011**, *37*, 30–39. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.