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ready-to-eat food. In addition, the price of healthy food may prohibit college students' consumption of vegetables and fruits [14,17]. Housing type significantly impacts the food behaviors of college students [14].

In most Asian countries, demographic characteristics had a less significant impact on the purchasing of RTE products. However, in general, people seemed to have a positive opinion towards RTE product outlets [18]. Consumers believe that RTE products can save food preparation time [19]. Convenience was the major reason for consumers gave for why they repurchase RTE products [20]. Satisfaction with RTE did not have a significant relationship with dietary style [21,22].

In Japan, convenient stores appeal to young consumers as enhancing their wellbeing [23], which may mean 'convenience' of product is not a stand-alone value but must support health. Interest in health has been increasing, as has the obesity rate [24]. The obesity rate of those aged 20-39 was $29 \%$ [25], while the rates of overweight and obesity are $36.7 \%$ [26]. The types of convenient foods are home meal replacement (HMR) products, fast food, and ready-to-eat food mostly consumed by college students as meals [11,27]. RTE food sold at convenience stores is cooked mainly via stir-frying and shows caloric content which is over for recommended caloric contents for women [28].

Therefore, this study researched the relationships among food behaviors, obesity, and health by examining college students' RTE food purchase behaviors. The study investigated dietary habits and lifestyle factors such as exercise, sleep, and dietary supplement use of college students. In addition, we examined characteristics of college students, including demographic data and eating out and RTE food behaviors.

## 2. Methods

### 2.1. Study Procedure and Participant Information

In this study, a quantitative method was used to collect data. College students in Daejeon, Republic of Korea, were recruited as study participants. They were informed about the purpose of the study and asked to participate in a 3-week on-campus survey. Their participation agreement was also obtained. Our target sample size was 150, which was determined with an F-test via the G*Power program. For our t-test, a sample size of 111 was needed. After the two sample sizes and the response rate were considered, a total of 310 questionnaires were distributed, and 289 were returned. Then, four unusable responses such as incompletion were removed, so 285 surveys were used in the final analysis. This study was approved by the institutional review board (1041549-190709-SB-77).

### 2.2. Measurements

A questionnaire was developed based on previous studies [29-31]. The questionnaire surveyed dietary habits, eating out and RTE food consumption behaviors, and demographic characteristics. We asked six questions regarding dietary habits, such as if they consider 'three major nutrients', 'nutritional value of food', 'calories of food', 'avoiding salt and sugar', 'appropriate portions of food', and 'sanitation' important. In addition, eating out behaviors were assessed by questions such as 'with whom do you dine out?' 'what food do you prefer when dining out?' and 'what is your budget for dining out?' RTE food consumption behaviors were asked, such as 'what is the purpose of purchasing RTE food?', 'what is your ethnic preference for RTE food?', 'what is your budget for RTE food?', 'what do you consider when purchasing RTE food?', and 'do you make nutritional considerations when purchasing RTE food?' Lastly, we questioned respondents on their RTE food purchase time.

### 2.3. Statistical Analysis

The gathered data were coded using Excel and analyzed using SPSS Win (version 24.0. SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL, USA). Descriptive analyses were used on respondents' dietary styles, dining out and RTE food consumption behaviors, and demographic characteristics. An independent t -test and ANOVA with Scheffe's test were run to find the significances be-
tween dietary habits and BMI, types of residence, grade, and gender. Chi-square was used to investigate the significance of eating out behaviors and demographic characteristics. In addition, the relationship between RTE consumption behaviors and demographic characteristics was investigated using Chi-squares.

## 3. Results

### 3.1. Respondent Characteristics

Table 1 shows the characteristics of the respondents. Respondents included men ( $44.6 \%$ ) and women ( $55.4 \%$ ), and most were single ( $98.34 \%$ ). Respondents included freshmen ( $38.9 \%$ ), juniors ( $35.8 \%$ ), sophomores ( $15.1 \%$ ), and seniors ( $10.2 \%$ ). Most lived alone ( $56.8 \%$ ), followed by those living with their parents ( $33.2 \%$ ), living in a dormitory ( $8.7 \%$ ), or living in a room and board situation (1.2\%). Most respondents were normal weight (57.6\%), followed by overweight ( $17.9 \%$ ), obese ( $16.6 \%$ ), and underweight ( $7.9 \%$ ). The majority of the respondents were non-smokers ( $78.9 \%$ ) and not vegetarians ( $98.8 \%$ ).

Table 1. Characteristics of Demographics $(\mathrm{n}=285)$.

| Characteristics |  | Frequency | Valid Percentage (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gender | Male | 145 | 44.6 |
|  | Female | 180 | 55.4 |
|  | Missing | 2 |  |
| Marital status | Married | 5 | 1.6 |
|  | Single | 316 | 98.34 |
|  | Missing | 6 |  |
| Grade | Freshman | 126 | 38.9 |
|  | Sophomore | 116 | 35.8 |
|  | Junior | 49 | 15.1 |
|  | Senior | 33 | 10.2 |
|  | Missing | 3 |  |
| Place of residence | Live in board and lodging | 4 | 1.2 |
|  | Live alone | 183 | 56.8 |
|  | School dormitory | 28 | 8.7 |
|  | Live with parents | 107 | 33.2 |
|  | Missing | 5 |  |
| BMI | Below 18.5 | 23 | 7.9 |
|  | 18.5~22.9 | 167 | 57.6 |
|  | 23~24.9 | 52 | 17.9 |
|  | 25 and above | 48 | 16.6 |
|  | Missing | 37 |  |
| Do you smoke? | Yes | 61 | 21.1 |
|  | No | 228 | 78.9 |
|  | Missing | 38 |  |
| Are you vegetarian? | Yes | 4 | 1.2 |
|  | No | 318 | 98.8 |
|  | Missing | 5 |  |

### 3.2. Dietary Habits of the Respondents

A set of six questions measured respondents' beliefs regarding three major nutrients, the nutritional value of food, calories in food, avoidance of salt and sugar, food portions, and sanitation. Respondents frequently considered three major nutrients ( $41.6 \%$ ), followed by sometimes (29.1\%), almost always ( $24.2 \%$ ), and rarely ( $5.2 \%$ ). Respondents considered
their diet's nutritional value sometimes (43.7\%), frequently (25.7\%), rarely ( $24.2 \%$ ), and almost always (6.4\%).

Respondents reported that they consider food calories rarely ( $34.5 \%$ ), sometimes ( $32.6 \%$ ), frequently ( $24.6 \%$ ), and almost always ( $8.3 \%$ ). Respondents considered sugar and salt rarely ( $44.6 \%$ ), sometimes ( $42.5 \%$ ), frequently ( $9.2 \%$ ), and almost always (3.7\%). Participants reported that they considered appropriate portion sizes frequently (41.1\%), sometimes ( $35.6 \%$ ), almost always ( $15.3 \%$ ), and rarely ( $8.0 \%$ ). Regarding sanitation, respondents were concerned frequently ( $44.8 \%$ ), almost always ( $35.6 \%$ ), sometimes ( $16.3 \%$ ), and rarely (3.4\%).

ANOVA showed that respondents' BMIs were significantly different based on their consideration of when they eat three major nutrients $(\mathrm{M}=2.85, \mathrm{SD}=0.848, \mathrm{~F}=3.388$, $p<0.05$ ); the nutritional value of food ( $\mathrm{M}=2.12, \mathrm{SD}=0.858, \mathrm{~F}=3.447, p<0.05$ ); and food calories $(\mathrm{M}=2.07, \mathrm{SD}=0.960, \mathrm{~F}=5.207, p<0.01)$ (Table 2). Overweight respondents ( $\mathrm{M}=3.02, \mathrm{SD}=0.758$ ) were more concerned with the three major nutrients than those that were underweight ( $\mathrm{M}=2.48, \mathrm{SD}=0.847, p<0.05$ ). Those of a normal weight were more concerned about the nutritional value of food $(M=2.18, S D=0.884)$ than those that were underweight ( $\mathrm{M}=1.73, \mathrm{SD}=0.640, p<0.05$ ). Regarding food calories, underweight individuals $(\mathrm{M}=1.50, \mathrm{SD}=0.679)$ were less concerned than those of a normal weight ( $\mathrm{M}=2.09, \mathrm{SD}=0.941, p<0.05$ ) or obese individual $(\mathrm{M}=2.20, \mathrm{SD}=0.901, p<0.05)$. Grade did not have a significant impact on these eating characteristics, but gender showed a significant impact on the nutritional value of food ( $\mathrm{t}=5.079, p<0.001$ ) and avoidance of salt and sugar ( $\mathrm{t}=2.354, p<0.5$ ). Men showed a greater consideration of the nutritional value of food $(\mathrm{M}=2.41, \mathrm{SD}=0.917)$ than women $(\mathrm{M}=1.93, \mathrm{SD}=0.748)$ and were more careful to avoid salt and sugar $(M=1.83, S D=0.833)$ than women $(M=2.58, S D=0.813)$.

Table 2. Dietary habits of the respondents.

| I Usually Consider ... when I Eat |  | BMI | Grader | Gender |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean $\pm$ SD | F-Value |  | $t$-Value |
| 3 major nutrients | $2.85 \pm 0.848$ | 3.388 * | 0.520 | 1.546 |
| nutritional value of food | $2.12 \pm 0.858$ | 3.447 * | 0.031 | 5.190 *** |
| calories of food | $2.07 \pm 0.960$ | 5.207 ** | 1.237 | 1.006 |
| avoiding salt and sugar | $1.72 \pm 0.780$ | 1.721 | 1.855 | 2.354 * |
| appropriate portions of food | $2.64 \pm 0.836$ | 1.745 | 0.450 | 1.459 |
| sanitation | $3.13 \pm 0.800$ | 0.375 | 0.368 | 1.909 |

### 3.3. Characteristics of Eating out Behaviors

Table 3 shows the characteristics of eating out behaviors. The majority of the respondents eat out with their friends ( $68.5 \%$ ), family ( $21.7 \%$ ), alone ( $8.3 \%$ ), with others ( $1.3 \%$ ), and with colleagues $(0.3 \%)$. This showed a significant relationship with residence type ( $\chi^{2}=29.206, p<0.01$ ) and gender ( $\chi^{2}=9.918, p<0.05$ ).

Respondents' preferred food when eating out was Korean (50.2\%), Western (18.0\%), Japanese ( $14.8 \%$ ), others ( $11.7 \%$ ), and Chinese ( $5.4 \%$ ). The budget for eating out was $7000-8999$ won ( $34.5 \%$ ), $5000-6999$ won ( $21.2 \%$ ), 11,000-12,999 won ( $17.1 \%$ ), $9000-10,999$ won ( $17.1 \%$ ), $\geq 15,000$ won ( $6.5 \%$ ), 13,000-14,999 won ( $2.8 \%$ ), and under 5000 won ( $1.2 \%$ ). No significant relationships were found between preferred food when eating out and BMI, place of residence, or gender.

Dining out budget per person was 7000-8999 won (34\%), 5000-6999 won ( $21.2 \%$ ), 9000-10,999 won ( $17.1 \%$ ), 11,000-12,999 won ( $17.1 \%$ ), $\geq 15,000$ ( $6.5 \%$ ), 13,000-14,999 won $(2.8 \%)$, and $<5000$ won ( $1.2 \%$ ). There were no significant differences between budget for dining out and BMI, place of residence, or gender.

Table 3. Characteristics of eating out.


### 3.4. RTE Food Purchasing Behaviors

Table 4 shows the characteristics of RTE food purchasing behaviors. The respondents' purposes for purchasing RTE foods included lunch (38.6\%), dinner (22.9\%), snacks (20.1\%), breakfast $(9.7 \%)$, and late-night snacks ( $8.8 \%$ ). There were no significant differences between the purpose of RTE food purchase and BMI, residence type, or gender. The preferred RTE food was Korean ( $52.7 \%$ ), others ( $19.0 \%$ ), Western ( $15.9 \%$ ), Japanese ( $7.9 \%$ ), and Chinese ( $4.4 \%$ ). In addition, the preferred food style was significantly impacted by gender ( $\chi^{2}=10.261, p<0.05$ ). The RTE food budget was 4000-4999 won ( $38.6 \%$ ), $<4000$ won (31.5\%), $5000-5999$ won ( $23.4 \%$ ), 6000-6999 won (3.7\%), and 7000-7999 won ( $2.5 \%$ ). No significant differences were found between BMI, types of residence, and gender.

Table 4. Characteristics of RTE food purchase behaviors.

| Characteristics | $\mathbf{N}($ Valid $\%)$ | BMI | Type of <br> Residence |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| What is the purpose of purchasing RTE food |  |  |  |
| Breakfast | $31(9.7 \%)$ |  |  |
| Lunch | $123(38.6 \%)$ | 24.459 | 13.654 |
| Dinner | $73(22.9 \%)$ |  |  |
| Snack between meals | $64(20.1 \%)$ |  |  |
| Night snack | $28(8.8 \%)$ |  |  |
| missing | 8 |  |  |
| What is your ethnic preference for RTE food | $166(52.7 \%)$ | 8.932 |  |
| Korean | $14(4.4 \%)$ | 16.176 |  |
| Chinese | $25(7.9 \%)$ |  |  |
| Japanese | $50(15.9 \%)$ |  |  |
| Western |  |  |  |

Table 4. Cont.

| Characteristics | N (Valid\%) | BMI | Type of Residence | Gender |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| others | 60 (19\%) | 16.071 | 16.433 | 10.720 |
| missing | 12 |  |  |  |
| What is your budget for RTE food |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 4000 won | 101 (31.5\%) |  |  |  |
| 4000~4999 won | 124 (38.6\%) |  |  |  |
| 5000~5999 won | 75 (23.4\%) |  |  |  |
| 6000~6999 won | 12 (3.7\%) |  |  |  |
| 7000~7999 won | 8 (2.5\%) |  |  |  |
| 8000 won and above | 1 (0.3\%) |  |  |  |
| missing | 6 |  |  |  |
| What do you consider when purchasing RTE food $\%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Price | 164 (26.8\%) |  |  |  |
| Taste | 265 (43.3\%) |  |  |  |
| Brand | 19 (3.1\%) |  |  |  |
| Portion | 111 (18.1\%) | - | - | - |
| Nutritional value | 31 (5.1\%) |  |  |  |
| Origins of ingredients | 7 (1.1\%) |  |  |  |
| Low calorie | 15 (2.5\%) |  |  |  |
| Most considerable nutrients for RTE food? * |  |  |  |  |
| Protein | 75 (18.4\%) |  |  |  |
| Carbohydrates | 96 (23.5\%) |  |  |  |
| Fat | 44 (10.8\%) | - | - | - |
| Cholesterol | 36 (8.8\%) |  |  |  |
| Calorie | 157 (38.5\%) |  |  |  |

※ multiple answers. * $p<0.05$.
Important factors that influenced the choice of HMT products included taste (43.3\%), price $(26.0 \%)$, portion size ( $18.1 \%$ ), nutritional value ( $5.1 \%$ ), brand ( $3.1 \%$ ), low-calorie content $(2.5 \%)$, and origin of the food ingredients (1.1\%). These results were similar to a previous study (Tam et al., 2017) in which college students considered 'taste' as the most important factor when selecting food. Nutrients considered included calories (38.5\%), carbohydrates $(23.5 \%)$, protein ( $18.4 \%$ ), fat ( $10.8 \%$ ), and cholesterol ( $8.8 \%$ ). This contradicts the fact that the majority of respondents did not consider calories in their daily diets but did consider them when purchasing RTE food. They may think RTE food is for special occasions or do not consider them as part of their daily diet.

The purchase time of RTE food on weekdays was 13-16 (32.4\%), 10-13 (19.1\%), 19-20 ( $15.1 \%$ ), after $20(12.9 \%)$, and $7-10(5.4 \%)$ (Figure 1). The purchase times of RTE food on the weekends were 13-16 (29.7\%), 16-19 (25\%), after 20 ( $18.8 \%$ ), 19-20 (15.6\%), 10-13 (9.4\%), and 7-10 (1.6\%), similar to weekdays.

Timing of weekday snacks were 13-16 (30\%), 16-19 (29.1\%), over 20 (18.7\%), 19-20 $(16.5 \%), 10-13(5.2 \%)$, and $7-10(0.4 \%)$. Snack purchase times on weekends were $13-16$ (39.7\%), 16-19 (27.9\%), over 20 (17.6\%), 19-20 (10.3\%), 10-13 (4.4\%), and 7-10 (0.0\%) (Figure 2). Snack purchase time was similar to RTE food purchase time, although there were some differences. RTE foods were purchased around lunch hours (1-4 p.m.) while respondents snacked at 1-4 p.m. and 4-7 p.m., indicating any time in the afternoon can be snack time.


Figure 1. Time of snack during weekdays and weekends.


Figure 2. Time for RTE food during weekdays and weekends.

## 4. Discussion

BMI results showed that the rates of overweight and obesity were similar to that of Western countries [26]. The Westernized diet can trigger obesity among college students. In addition, college students' preferred food is often high in saturated fat and sodium, regardless of nationality. BMI was related to the consideration of three major nutrients, the nutritional value of food, and food calories. Interestingly, obese respondents demonstrated more concern regarding food calories than underweight and overweight individuals and for the three major nutrients than underweight individuals. The results suggested that respondents might misunderstand nutritional values. Male students were more concerned
with the nutritional value of food and avoided salt and sugar, unlike the results of a previous study [32]. Females might consume more fruits and vegetables [3] and less high-energy foods [5]; however, men were more considerate of general nutritional value.

Residence type did not influence dietary habits. This differed from previous research $[13,14]$ and might be why college students in the Republic of Korea have similar eating habits and lifestyles regardless of their residence type. Moreover, the majority of study respondents were living with their parents. Individual dietary habits may become similar to others' habits due to social-cultural changes.

There were no differences in the purpose of RTE food based on BMI or residence type, which aligned with findings that there were no differences in dietary habits based on residence or gender. College students preferred RTE food for their lunch and consumed them for dinner or snacks between meals. Meal and snack time consumption patterns indicate that college students did not distinguish between meals and snacks when consuming RTE food. In other words, RTE food is consumed for meals and snacks, which suggests that the boundary between meals and snacks has become vague. Furthermore, the respondents' late-night consumption of snacks and RTE foods suggests that college students must receive educational interventions on proper food consumption or daily dietary habits. Studies have demonstrated that their dietary habits differ from those of the general population [3], and they usually ignore healthy dietary behaviors, such as recommended food groups [33]. Given that the respondents were college students, they could enhance their understanding of healthy eating habits through on-campus classes or workshops [17].

There were some differences in the perception of dining out and consuming RTE food. College students might have considered that the cost of RTE foods was much lower than that of food dined out. This may be why dining out is perceived as an eating behavior with a different atmosphere compared to daily dietary behaviors. It is noteworthy that college students consider the taste and price of RTE food [5,12]. Calories were the most considered nutrients, followed by carbohydrates, protein, fat, and cholesterol. This suggests that college students perceive RTE foods as foods with high caloric content. Proper educational interventions are needed for college students. Moreover, eating environments affect dietary behaviors [14,17].

While individual efforts to maintain healthy eating are needed, RTE foods should be of high quality and meet nutritional guidelines. Most RTE food sold in convenient stores requires stir-frying or deep-frying cooking methods and has high sodium and fat content [28].

There are some limitations to this study. The survey was conducted in Daejeon, which is one of the largest cities in the Republic of Korea. Many students in this area either live with their parents or live alone, so they do not represent the general college student population. Hence, residence type might not affect several areas in the study. Furthermore, the small sample size and the high percentage of students living alone or at home might influence our results. For this reason, larger sample size and a larger student population in other areas might provide more significant data. In addition, this study did not measure satisfaction or repurchase intention, so further research is warranted to measure these factors. This study investigated the general dietary habits of college students in relation to RTE food. For future study, college students' specific dietary behaviors (such as eating under stress, overeating, or skipping meals) should be investigated regarding RTE food consumption.

## 5. Conclusions

This research was conducted to investigate college students' dietary habits, dining out behaviors, and RTE food consumption. Some findings of this study were similar to previous results, but other findings were still noteworthy. College students consume RTE foods for their lunch, dinner, and snacks, but they might underestimate the nutritional value of meals. Overweight and obese individuals considered nutritional value to a greater extent
than underweight individuals did. Therefore, nutritional education among college students should be improved, and RTE foods should be prepared to meet nutritional guidelines.

This study indicated that college students considered eating RTE foods for daily consumption, not for special occasions, suggesting that RTE foods might no longer be appropriate for special occasions among all generations. Hence, further research should investigate consumers' perception and purchasing behavior toward RTE food.
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