



Article A Randomized Pilot Trial of Micronutrient Supplementation for Under-5 Children in an Urban Low-Cost Flat Community in Malaysia: A Framework for Community-Based Research Integration

Crystal C. Wang ¹, Muhammad Irfan Abdul Jalal ², Zhi Liang Song ³, Yik Pheng Teo ³, Chin Aun Tan ⁴, Kai Voon Heng ⁵, Michelle Siu Yee Low ³, Azriyanti Anuar Zaini ³ and Lucy Chai See Lum ^{3,*}

- ¹ Weill Cornell Medicine, 1300 York Avenue, New York, NY 10021, USA
- ² UKM Medical Molecular Biology Institute (UMBI), Jalan Yaacob Latif, Bandar Tun Razak, Kuala Lumpur 56000, Malaysia
- ³ Department of Paediatrics, Universiti Malaya Medical Center, Kuala Lumpur 59100, Malaysia
- ⁴ Department of Occupational Safety & Health Unit, Hospital Tunku Azizah, Kuala Lumpur 50300, Malaysia
- ⁵ Department of Paediatrics, Hospital Tunku Azizah, Kuala Lumpur 50300, Malaysia
- Correspondence: lumcs@ummc.edu.my



Citation: Wang, C.C.; Abdul Jalal, M.I.; Song, Z.L.; Teo, Y.P.; Tan, C.A.; Heng, K.V.; Low, M.S.Y.; Anuar Zaini, A.; Lum, L.C.S. A Randomized Pilot Trial of Micronutrient Supplementation for Under-5 Children in an Urban Low-Cost Flat Community in Malaysia: A Framework for Community-Based Research Integration. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 2022, *19*, 13878. https:// doi.org/10.3390/ijerph192113878

Academic Editor: Paul B. Tchounwou

Received: 20 September 2022 Accepted: 21 October 2022 Published: 25 October 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). Abstract: Early childhood nutritional deficiency has detrimental consequences on physical and cognitive development. We conducted a single-center, single-blind, two-arm pilot randomized notreatment controlled trial (the Child of Urban Poverty Iron Project (CUPIP); NCT03819530) in a people's housing project locale in Selangor, Malaysia, between September 2019 and February 2020, to assess the trial's general feasibility and preliminary benefits of daily micronutrient supplementation for iron storage and anthropometric outcomes in under-5 children. Those with history of premature births, congenital abnormalities, or baseline hemoglobin <70 g/L were excluded. Participants received baseline deworming and were simply randomized in a 1:1 ratio to either micronutrient (4-month daily micronutrient packets) or control (no micronutrient supplementation) groups. Information on anthropometric, erythrocytic, and iron storage endpoints were collected. Overall, 45 (25 micronutrient and 20 controls) participants were enrolled and completed 4-month endpoint assessments. Micronutrient recipients demonstrated higher median mean corpuscular volume, serum ferritin level with no significant differences in all anthropometric endpoints. In conclusion, this pilot trial was implementable, demonstrating that micronutrient supplementation significantly improved hematological, but not anthropometric, endpoints, of under-5-year-old children living in an underprivileged environment. A definitive well-designed trial with larger sample sizes and greater attrition control should be contemplated in the future.

Keywords: child nutrition; pilot trial; stunting; micronutrient supplementation program; intervention program; urban poverty; Malaysia

1. Introduction

Maintaining a normal micronutrient profile during the first 1000 days of life, from the time of conception until the second year is critical for brain development, physical growth, and optimal immune system [1]. In developing nations, poor micronutrient status is attributed to several factors, including insufficient dietary uptake, infection, low quality diet, and substandard bioavailability of micronutrient-deficient food sources, or plant-based diets [2,3].

Micronutrients play great roles in normal physiological functions and child health. For instance, vitamin A is essential for maintaining normal immune function, epithelial tissue architecture, and retinal functions [4]. Moreover, vitamin C is required for collagen synthesis, facilitates the absorption of iron in the small intestine, and serves as a potent antioxidant that eliminates free radicals that may cause cellular damages [4]. Furthermore, micronutrients such as iron have critical function during oxidative phosphorylation that produces energy for diverse metabolic processes via adenosine triphosphate (ATP) formation [5]. Hence, the maintenance of normal micronutrient status in young children is of a paramount importance to ensure normal physical growth and cognitive development.

Micronutrient deficiency is not easily recognized clinically in the early stage, with devastating impacts on children's wellbeing and lifelong ramifications such as impaired cognition, increased childhood mortality, stunting, and compromised immunobiological functions [6,7]. Micronutrient-deficient children are also more susceptible to sickness, poor performance in school, reduced productivity, and impaired intellectual and social development, which can affect future generations [8]. Furthermore, micronutrient deficiency has been linked with obesity and non-communicable disease [9,10]. Proper micronutrient intake during this time hence lays the foundation for optimal growth, health, and neurodevelopment across the lifespan.

Malaysia has experienced rapid urbanization in the past few decades. While Malaysia has done remarkably well in uplifting the citizens' standard of living, there are emerging areas of concern, particularly regarding the wellbeing of the children of the urban poor. Though the prevalence of stunting has been declining globally, it is rising in Malaysia, even when compared to other countries that have comparable levels of income per capita [11].

The urban poor are housed in low-cost, government-subsidized housing known as Projek Perumahan Rakyat (PPR), or People's Housing Projects, in and around Kuala Lumpur and other major cities [12]. A 2018 report by UNICEF revealed that 12% of children in these low-cost flats had less than 3 meals a day and 97% of households reported cost barriers in preparing healthy meals for their children [11]. One in two households reported that they did not have enough money to buy food in recent months and that malnutrition is a major concern for their children. This UNICEF report also found that children in Malaysia were among the most overweight and obese in the region. Among the low-cost flat residents, 22% of children under 5 years old were stunted, 15% underweight, 20% either wasted or severely wasted, and 23% either overweight or obese [11]. This was consistent with the 2016 National Health and Morbidity Survey, which reported 13.7% of children in Malaysia to be underweight and 20.7% to be stunted, with the highest prevalence among those aged 6–11 months and 24–35 months, respectively [13]. Additionally, the prevalence of anemia in Malaysia among preschool-aged children was estimated to be between 18% and 33% [14,15]. A follow-up survey in 2011 reported a similar picture, with 32% of children aged 6 months to 5 years old anemic and 0.1% of them severe anemic [15].

Alarmed by these statistics, we conducted a preliminary survey of the poorest of families in the bottom 40% (B40) income group of PPR Lembah Subang, one of the low-cost flat localities in Selangor state, and found that 33% of the children under 5 years old weighed below the 3rd percentile, consistent with the aforementioned UNICEF report [11]. These preliminary findings demonstrated the need for an intervention targeting the high prevalence of stunted and underweight children in this low-income community, particularly as its members also face other social vulnerabilities of low education, poor awareness in nutrition and child development, and domestic and economic instability.

Therefore, the aims of the Child of Urban Poverty Iron Project (CUPIP) trial are to investigate whether this trial is feasible for implementation and to assess the preliminary effects of micronutrient supplementation on erythrocytic and iron storage profiles and anthropometric measurements such as weight and height of the children living in a low-cost housing project in Malaysia. We therefore tested the null hypothesis that micronutrient supplementation would not significantly influence the changes in (i) erythrocytic parameters, (ii) iron storage parameters, and (iii) anthropometric endpoints. Figure 1 summarizes the conceptual framework of our trial.



Figure 1. The conceptual framework of the CUPIP trial.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Design

The CUPIP trial is a single-center, single-blind, two-parallel group, randomized notreatment controlled trial conducted amongst children aged 6 months to 5 years residing at PPR Lembah Subang 1, located in the state of Selangor, Malaysia between October 2019 and February 2020 (4 months). The participants were randomly assigned in a 1:1 treatment-to-control allocation to receive, in addition to the baseline deworming treatment, either daily micronutrient packets for 4 months (intervention arm) or no micronutrient supplementation (control group). This residency area comprises a local population with mixed ethnic makeup and poor socioeconomic status (most are B40). This research article adheres to the 2010 Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials (CONSORT 2010) extension for randomized pilot and feasibility trials and its extension statement, CONSERVE 2021, for reporting modified trials due to the global COVID-19 pandemic [16,17].

2.2. Eligibility Criteria

All children between the age of 6 months to 5 years residing at the designated study location (PPR Lembah Subang 1) were eligible for this study. Those with history of premature births, congenital abnormalities resulting in gross impairment, serum hemoglobin level less than 70 g/L at baseline, or parents who did not consent to trial participation were excluded from the trial.

2.3. Sampling Procedure

A purposive sampling method was used due to the paucity of eligible patients that attended full follow-ups due to the strict Movement Control Order (MCO) from March 2020 until October 2021 imposed by the Malaysian Government during the COVID-19 pandemic.

2.4. Randomization and Allocation Concealment

Children were randomly assigned to treatment or control groups in a 1:1 ratio using simple randomization without stratification based on a sequence of random numbers

generated at a distant site by a researcher uninvolved in participant recruitment and endpoint assessment using the randomization subroutine of Microsoft Excel 2013 (Microsoft Corp, Redmond, WA, USA). Allocation concealment was guaranteed by ensuring that different study personnel carried out the randomization procedure, patient recruitment, and treatment assignment through a central randomization system.

2.5. Intervention Assignment and Blinding Status

We distributed flyers, posters, and leaflets with the help of community mothers in PPR Lembah Subang 1 to encourage participation. Registration, informed consent, anthropometric measurements, 24-h dietary logs, and blood for iron status were taken at baseline. All children received deworming treatment at baseline.

The treatment group received baseline deworming medications (200 mg single-dose albendazole for <2-year-old participants and 400 mg single-dose albendazole for >2-year-old participants), 4-month supply of daily micronutrient supplementation manufactured by DSM Nutritional Products Ltd. (Kaiseraugst, Switzerland) and donated by DSM Nutritional Products Malaysia, nutritional supplement education (importance of adequate macro and micronutrients, consumption methods and necessity of compliance), and a compliance monitoring log. The full content of the micronutrient supplementation is given in Table 1 which is based on formulations used by previous studies [18,19], WHO/WFP/UNICEF's joint statement [20] and joint recommendations by the WHO, ICCIDD and UNICEF [21].

Nutrients	Nutrient Chemical Forms	Amount	% WHO-RNI ^a Daily Requirements [20–22]
Vitamin A	Retinyl Acetate	400 RE	100
Vitamin C	Ascorbic Acid	30 mg	100
Vitamin D	Cholecalciferol	5 μg	100
Vitamin E	dl-α-Tocopheryl Acetate	5 TĚ	100
Vitamin B1	Thiamine Mononitrate	0.5 mg	100
Vitamin B2	Riboflavin	0.5 mg	100
Vitamin B6	Pyridoxine	0.5 mg	100
Vitamin B12	Cyanocobalamin	0.9 µg	100
Folic acid	Folic Acid	90 μg	100
Niacin	Niacinamide	6 mg	100
Iron	Ferrous Fumarate	10 mg	100
Zinc	Zinc Gluconate	4.1 mg	100
Copper	Copper Sulfate, Anhydrous	560 μg	100
Iodine	Potassium Iodate	90 μg	100
Selenium	Sodium Selenite	17 µg	100

Table 1. The full contents of micronutrient supplement (amount per 1 g sachet).

^a World Health Organization-Recommended Nutrient Intake.

The control group only received similar baseline deworming medications without any daily micronutrient supplementation. At 2 months following baseline assessment, a compliance check was conducted, and anthropometric measurements taken. At the 4-month visit, anthropometric measurements, 24-h dietary recall, and blood were taken. Only the outcome assessors were masked to the treatment status, while participants and research investigators were aware of the assigned intervention. Figure 2 summarizes the recruitment process and data collection timeline.

2.6. Ethical Issues

The trial was conducted according to the Declaration of Helsinki, 1964, and International Conference on Harmonization Good Clinical Practice (ICH-GCP). Written informed consent was obtained from parents or guardians of each child on the day of trial registration (Supplementary Materials S2–S3). Hospital ethical review board approval was obtained from the University Malaya Medical Center (UMMC) Medical Research Ethics Committee (MREC) on 4 April 2019 (MREC ID No.: 2018105-6741). The trial has been registered with the Clinicaltrials.gov registry (ID: NCT03819530) and National Medical Research Registry (NMRR ID: NMRR-19-59-45609).



Figure 2. The flow of the study from recruitment until the completion of all follow-up visits.

2.7. Sample Size Calculation

The overall sample size for this trial was originally to be 300 (150 subjects per treatment arm) based on a moderate effect size (Cohen's d = 0.5), standard deviation of 0.20 g/L for serum hemoglobin level (reference), type I error rate of 0.05 and 80% power. However, due to COVID-19 outbreak which resulted in substantial challenges to participant enrollment and follow-up completion, we modified the original design of the trial into a pilot trial, with the sample size specified according to Whitehead et al.'s [23] recommendations. Since we assumed small effect sizes ($0.1 \le \delta < 0.3$) for each study outcome, we set the sample size as 20 participants per groups so that 80% power could be achieved in the main trial that we will carry out in the future [23].

2.8. Primary and Secondary Outcomes

Primary endpoints assessed in this study are changes in serum ferritin and reticulocyte hemoglobin levels at 0 and 4 months after interventions, reflecting changes in iron status. Changes in serum C-reactive protein (CRP) level at 0 and 4 months after micronutrient supplementation is also another primary outcome of interest since ferritin is an acute phase reactant and therefore variability in serum ferritin level is influenced by inflammation induced by helminthic infections. Hence, serum CRP reflects the success of deworming treatment, and a low level of CRP signifies an absence of inflammation, allowing us to attribute any increases in serum ferritin levels to the success of micronutrient supplementation. The secondary endpoints are changes in age-and-sex standardized height and weight, after micronutrient supplementation.

2.9. Recruitment Process

2.9.1. Research and Community Teams

We recruited a group of research and community teams to assist with the study. The research team included the principal investigator (senior consultant), 2 research assistants (medical post-graduates) and volunteer physicians to aid in data and blood collection.

Community volunteers were recruited from mothers living in the PPR area and subsequently underwent induction and training sessions. A total of 5 serial sessions was conducted for the volunteers to explain the research objectives, research timeline, volunteers' role, and importance of early childhood nutrition. An open question and feedback session was conducted at the end of the training to clarify the doubts of volunteers.

Project volunteers were recruited from medical and nursing students in the University Malaya, Faculty of Medicine, university students in Klang Valley, colleagues of the primary investigator, and medical doctors working at University Malaya Medical Center or Ministry of Health. Food bank volunteers also assisted during community days.

The research core working team was then established, which included the project supervisor, 3 project managers, and 19 community volunteers. Project volunteers were recruited at community interactive sessions (campaigns, health screenings, supplement distribution and social program).

We developed a training module for study personnel (research assistants and community mothers), carried out by 1 professor of pediatrics and 2 postgraduate research assistants. Medical student RAs were trained to collect pertinent child health and development background knowledge, to read and fill in data collection forms (Supplementary Material S4), consent forms, child growth charts, child 24-h dietary recall logs, and compliance charts, and handle distressed children during blood draws (distractions, play). Further training was conducted on how to ask dietary history questions and on general conduct when visiting participant homes. The community mothers were trained to monitor compliance of mineral supplements, understand best methods for administering mineral supplements specific to each age group, and record intercurrent illnesses and medications.

2.9.2. Enrollment/Registration

The children recruited were given a baseline deworming medication (as part of the community program). The study group and participant ID number were recorded by an unmasked researcher. First, dietary log and demographic survey were administered and parent/family information were collected. Dietary log interviews were conducted by medical student RAs. The demographic surveys were self-administered, and checked for completion by an RA. The children had their first anthropometric measurements taken including height and weight. Blood was drawn by medical doctors only, with the help of medical student RAs.

2.10. Intervention Administration

2.10.1. Supplementation Procedure Demonstration (For Treatment Group Only)

We started our supplement distribution on 6 October 2019 to the intervention group. We reinforced their knowledge about this project, provided education (content of micronutrient packets, importance of adequate macro and micronutrients, consumption methods, and importance of compliance), and distributed a compliance monitoring log (Supplementary Material S5). Parents were advised on the use of daily supplementation, demonstrated by research personnel. Each child was advised to take 1 packet per day, administered with food or drink.

2.10.2. Compliance Monitoring and Subsequent Visits

Compliance monitoring was organized by one of our project managers together with the community volunteers. Biweekly updates and feedback via phone call, text messages, or WhatsApp were directly obtained from the participants' mother by our project manager. Monthly supplement distribution sessions were also organized by the core team. The compliance rate (in percentage) for each child, c_{child}, was calculated using the following formula (1):

$$c_{\text{child}} = \frac{n_{\text{cst}}}{n_{\text{whole}}} \times 100 \tag{1}$$

where n_{cst} is the number of confirmed days when the daily micronutrient supplements were consumed by the child and n_{whole} is the total whole duration of trial in days. The team visited the treatment families biweekly and conducted log maintenance to record supplementation intake and took records of any associated symptoms/sicknesses. The control groups were also visited biweekly for documentation of symptoms/sicknesses. The community mothers worked in pairs and were matched with 1 medical student volunteer to aid in keeping records and data collection. Once a month, the research team met community mothers and distributed the next month's supply of micronutrients and collected the past month's record logs.

Apart from that, during these visits, we held interactive workshops on nutrition (food groups education, cooking classes), parenting, play, and hygiene/self-care (e.g., washing hands, brushing teeth, and free child haircut sessions) with the aid of different collaborative partners including student societies and NGOs.

2.11. Data Collection

We had 2 data collection time-points: during the recruitment phase and 4 months after the beginning of supplement distribution. During baseline visit and the first follow up session in February 2020, we conducted anthropometric measurement, 24-h dietary recall, and blood sample collection for both intervention and control groups.

For the 24-h hour dietary recall log, mothers were asked to recall all the foods given to their child over the past 24 h, in chronological order. The consumed foods were then grouped based on their types: starchy foods, nuts and legumes, breast milk, formula milk, dairy products, animal protein, organ meat, eggs, orange-colored fruits and vegetables, green leafy vegetables, other types of vegetables, and sugary foods and drinks.

For blood samples, hematological profile (serum hemoglobin, mean corpuscular volume (MCV)), plasma ferritin, and serum CRP were measured. Anemia is defined as serum hemoglobin concentration less than 110 g/L [24]. Anthropometric measurements were performed by trained medical student volunteers under the supervision of one research team personnel. These were done with the child in light clothes and no shoes using Portable SECA scales (SECA, Hanover, MD). Weight was measured using SECA 874 mobile flat scale accurate to 0.1 kg. Height was measured as the recumbent length for children up to 2 years of age using a SECA 417 mobile measuring board while the height of children > 2-years of age was measured using a SECA 217 stadiometer accurate to the nearest 0.1 cm. These measurements were subsequently converted into Z scores.

Hematological, serum CRP and iron storage profiles, serum hemoglobin, hematocrit and MCV values, red cell and reticulocyte counts, reticulocyte-hemoglobin (RET-He), and others were analyzed using Sysmex XN-20 (Sysmex Corp., Kobe, Japan), plasma ferritin with Siemens ADVIA 2400 Chemistry System (Siemens Healthineers, Siemens Healthcare GmBH, Erlangen, Germany) and serum CRP with Siemens Centaur XP Immunoassay System (Siemens Healthineers, Siemens Healthcare GmBH, Erlangen, Germany). All measurements were conducted at the Clinical Diagnostic Laboratory of University Malaya Medical Center, Kuala Lumpur.

All data were recorded in paper-based clinical report forms (CRFs) and kept systemically in a filing system. We transferred the data into digital formats once the results for serum CRP, ferritin hemoglobin reticulocyte count results were obtained from the UMMC laboratory. The electronic data were password protected and kept in a locked cabinet located at the principal investigator's office at the university.

2.12. Statistical Analysis

The data were analyzed by using IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, version 26 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). The data were analyzed based on complete case analysis and no interim data analyses were carried out. Continuous data were summarized in mean/median and standard deviation/interquartile range whilst categorical data were summarized in frequency and percentage. The median differences in primary and secondary endpoints between the micronutrient and without-micronutrient groups after the second visit were compared using Mann–Whitney test. To compare the median differences in the primary and secondary outcome measures between pre-intervention and post-intervention periods, Wilcoxon signed rank tests were carried out. Fisher's exact test or its extension, Fisher–Freeman–Halton test, (for a contingency table that was larger than a 2×2 contingency table)) was used [25–27] to compare the proportions of different dietary patterns (food groups) and categorical clinicodemographic profile (e.g., age, education level, antenatal complications, etc.) across treatment arms. McNemar's tests (based on discordant pairs) were carried out to compare the dietary food groups before and after interventions were instituted, as stratified by the treatment arms. All tests were two-tailed and produced exact *p* values based on algorithms developed by Mehta and Patel [28]. The significant threshold was fixed at p < 0.05.

3. Results

3.1. Recruitment and Registration

We registered a total of 168 children below 5 years old during the pre-launch and 2 days launch campaign. We also successfully provided deworming medication for all children from the community who attended the launch campaign, regardless of registration status.

We reconfirmed interest of participation via phone call, text messages, and WhatsApp. In total, 84 children (50%; 95% CI: 42.5, 57.5) subsequently attended our official recruitment assessment, and we recruited children from 3 separate sessions between August and September 2019. In total, 81 subjects (48.2%; 95% CI: 40.5, 56.0) were randomized (41 controls and 40 micronutrient recipients). At the end of 4-month follow-up, 25 intervention (61.0%; 95% CI: 44.5, 75.4) and 20 control (50%; 95% CI: 35.2, 64.8) participants completed the whole assessments and were included in the analyses. The CONSORT flow diagram (Figure 3) summarizes the progress of our clinical trial.

3.2. Baseline Characteristics

As shown in Table 2, both micronutrient and control groups have comparable baseline dietary patterns, clinical parameters, and demographic profiles. Nevertheless, the children in both groups were severely wasted at baseline as evident from median z-scores of less than -2.60 for the majority of anthropometric measurements (BMI and weight-to-height ratio). Maternal education level is proportionally at a lower level for the control groups, though the difference between the micronutrient and control arms is not statistically significant. No significant differences were found in the rest of other demographic profiles, dietary patterns, hematological and serum iron storage parameters.

The prevalence of anemia at baseline is 14.0% (95% CI: 5.8, 28.6). Comparable baseline anemia prevalence was found in both groups (Control: 16.7% (95% CI: 5.5, 38.2); Micronutrient group: 10.5% (95% CI: 18.4, 34.5)).



Figure 3. The CONSORT flow diagram of the study.

	Control $(n = 25)$ n (%)	Micronutrient ($n = 20$) n (%) ^a	<i>p</i> -Value ^b
Median age for child (years) [IQR]	2.95 [2.14]	2.78 [2.64]	0.790
Gender (child)			
Male	13 (52.0)	9 (45.0)	0.767
Female	12 (48.0)	11 (55.0)	
Median maternal age [IQR]	35.00 [7.0]	32.00 [12.0]	0.448
Median maternal height [IQR]	155.5 [8.0]	154.25 [9.0]	0.974
Median paternal height [IQR]	165.00 [15.0]	170.00 [12.0]	0.516
Ethnicity			
Malay	16 (64.0)	14 (70.0)	0.369
Chinese	1 (4.0)	0 (0.0)	
Indian	7 (28.0)	3 (15.0)	
Others	1 (4.0)	3 (15.0)	
Maternal occupation			
Not working	15 (60.0)	16 (84.2)	0.100
Paid employment	8 (32.0)	1 (10.5)	
Self-employed	2 (8.0)	2 (5.3)	
Household income per month (in			
Ringgit Malaysia (RM))			
<3000	24 (96.0)	15 (78.9)	0.149
3000-6500	1 (4.0)	4 (21.1)	
Maternal highest education			
Primary School	6 (24.0)	0 (0)	0.066
Secondary School	16 (64.0)	16 (80.0)	

Table 2.	Comparisons	of baseline	parameters l	oetween	control and	micronutrient	groups	(n = 45).
----------	-------------	-------------	--------------	---------	-------------	---------------	--------	-----------

College 3 (12.0) 3 (15.8) Antenatal complication 10 (40.0) 12 (63.2) 0.223 No 15 (60.0) 7 (36.8) 7 (36.8) Took iron supplements during pregnancy (mother) 7 (36.8) 7 (36.8) Yes 19 (76.0) 14 (73.7) >0.999 No 6 (24.0) 5 (26.3) 7 (36.8) Taking iron supplement currently 6 (24.0) 5 (26.3) 7 (36.8) No 23 (92.0) 15 (78.9) 0.378 No 23 (92.0) 15 (78.9) 7 (36.9) Marital status 5 (26.3) 19 (100) 9 (36.0) Married 23 (92.0) 19 (100) 9 (36.0) Weeks 3 (12.0) 4 (21.1) 0.094 38-40 weeks 3 (12.0) 4 (21.1) 0.094 38-40 weeks 8 (32.0) 1 (4.73.7) >40 weeks 8 weeks 8 (32.0) 1 (4.73.7) >40 weeks 9 (36.0) 6 (31.6) 6 (31.6) 14 (73.7) Vaginal delivery 16 (64.0) 13 (68.4) >0.999 Caesarean section		Control (<i>n</i> = 25) <i>n</i> (%)	Micronutrient ($n = 20$) n (%) ^a	<i>p</i> -Value ^b
Antenatal complication 10 (40.0) 12 (63.2) 0.223 No 15 (60.0) 7 (36.8) Took iron supplements during pregnancy (mother) 9 Yes 19 (76.0) 14 (73.7) >0.999 No 6 (24.0) 5 (26.3) 7 Taking iron supplement currently (mother) 9 7 Yes 2 (8.0) 4 (21.1) 0.378 No 23 (92.0) 15 (78.9) 7 Marital status 5 9 9 Single 1 (4.0) 0 (0.0) >0.999 Married 23 (92.0) 19 (100) 9 Widowed 1 (4.0) 0 (0) 9 Period of gestation 2 9 14 (73.7) >0.999 38-40 weeks 3 (12.0) 4 (21.1) 0.094 38-40 weeks 8 (32.0) 1 (73.7) >40 weeks 8 (32.0) 1 (5.3) 7 Route of birth 7 7 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 </td <td>College</td> <td>3 (12.0)</td> <td>3 (15.8)</td> <td></td>	College	3 (12.0)	3 (15.8)	
Yes10 (40.0)12 (63.2)0.223No15 (60.0)7 (36.8)Took iron supplements during pregnancy (mother)7Yes19 (76.0)14 (73.7)Yes9 (76.0)14 (73.7)No6 (24.0)5 (26.3)Taking iron supplement currently (mother)5Yes2 (8.0)4 (21.1)Yes2 (8.0)4 (21.1)No23 (92.0)15 (78.9)Martial status5Single1 (4.0)0 (0.0)Period of gestation3 (92.0)19 (100)34.00014 (73.7)>40 weeks3 (12.0)4 (21.1)0.09438-40 weeks3 (12.0)4 (21.1)0.09438-40 weeks8 (32.0)1 (4.3.7)20.099Advecks9 (36.0)6 (31.6)10 (40.0)Feeding pattern in first 6 months50.99913 (68.4)>0.999Caesarean section9 (36.0)6 (31.6)10 (40.0)Feeding pattern in first 6 months50.99911 (57.9)0.634Mixed breast & formula feed10 (40.0)6 (31.6)11 (57.9)Mixed breast & formula feed10 (40.0)6 (31.6)11 (57.9)Maried feed10 (40.0)6 (31.6)11 (57.9)0.634	Antenatal complication		- ()	
No 15 (60.0) 7 (36.8) Took iron supplements during pregnancy (mother) $>$ Yes 19 (76.0) 14 (73.7) >0.999 No 6 (24.0) 5 (26.3) $>$ Taking iron supplement currently (mother) $>$ $>$ $>$ Yes 2 (8.0) 4 (21.1) 0.378 No 23 (92.0) 15 (78.9) $>$ Marital status $>$ $>$ $>$ Single 1 (4.0) 0 (0.0) $>$ $>$ Married 23 (92.0) 19 (100) $>$ $>$ Widowed 1 (4.0) 0 (0) $>$ $>$ Period of gestation $<$ $<$ $<$ $>$ $>$ < 38 weeks 3 (12.0) 4 (21.1) 0.094 $>$ $>$ $>$ < 40 weeks 14 (56.0) 14 (73.7) $>$	Yes	10 (40.0)	12 (63.2)	0.223
Took iron supplements during pregnancy (mother) Yes 19 (76.0) 14 (73.7) >0.999 No 6 (24.0) 5 (26.3) Taking iron supplement currently (mother) (mother) 0.378 Yes 2 (8.0) 4 (21.1) 0.378 No 23 (92.0) 15 (78.9) 0 Marital status 5 5 14 (70.0) 0 (0.0) >0.999 Married 23 (92.0) 19 (100) >0.099 0 <td>No</td> <td>15 (60.0)</td> <td>7 (36.8)</td> <td></td>	No	15 (60.0)	7 (36.8)	
pregnancy (mother)Yes19 (76.0)14 (73.7)>0.999No6 (24.0)5 (26.3)Taking iron supplement currently (mother)	Took iron supplements during			
Yes19 (76.0)14 (73.7)>0.999No6 (24.0)5 (26.3)1Taking iron supplement currently (mother)5 (26.3)1Yes2 (8.0)4 (21.1)0.378No23 (92.0)15 (78.9)0Marital status (14.0) 0 (0.0)>0.999Married23 (92.0)19 (100)1Widowed1 (4.0)0 (0) $(23.92.0)$ 19 (100)Widowed1 (4.0)0 (0) $(23.92.0)$ 19 (100)Widowed1 (4.0)0 (0) $(23.92.0)$ 19 (100)Widowed1 (4.0)0 (0) $(23.92.0)$ 19 (100)Weids8 (32.0)19 (100) $(23.92.0)$ 19 (100)Widowed1 (4.0)0 (0) $(23.92.0)$ $(23.92.0)$ Vaginal delivery16 (64.0)14 (73.7) $(23.92.0)$ >40 weeks8 (32.0)1 (5.3) $(23.92.0)$ $(23.92.0)$ Route of birth $(24.92.0)$ 13 (68.4)>0.999Caesarean section9 (36.0)6 (31.6) (31.6) Feeding pattern in first 6 months $(24.92.0)$ $(24.92.0)$ $(24.92.0)$ Exclusively breastfed14 (56.0)11 (57.9) $(6.34.0)$ Mixed breast & formula feed10 (40.0)6 (31.6) (24.0) Werdian volume ef formula feed1 (4.0)2 (10.5) $(24.05.0)$	pregnancy (mother)			
No $6 (24.0)$ $5 (26.3)$ Taking iron supplement currently (mother)	Yes	19 (76.0)	14 (73.7)	>0.999
Taking iron supplement currently (mother) $(mother)$ Yes 2 (8.0) 4 (21.1) 0.378 No 23 (92.0) 15 (78.9) Marital status (14.0) 0 (0.0) >0.999 Married 23 (92.0) 19 (100) (14.0) 0 (0) Widowed 1 (4.0) 0 (0) (14.0) (14.0) (14.0) (16.0) $(16.0$	No	6 (24.0)	5 (26.3)	
(mother)Yes2 (8.0)4 (21.1)0.378No23 (92.0)15 (78.9)Marital statusSingle1 (4.0)0 (0.0)Married23 (92.0)19 (100)Widowed1 (4.0)0 (0)Period of gestation 3 (92.0)19 (100)Sweeks3 (12.0)4 (21.1)0.09438-40 weeks14 (56.0)14 (73.7)>40 weeks8 (32.0)1 (5.3)Route of birth V V Vaginal delivery16 (64.0)13 (68.4)Perioding pattern in first 6 months V Exclusively breastfed14 (56.0)11 (57.9)0.6340 (40.0)6 (31.6)Exclusively formula feed10 (40.0)6 (31.6)Mixed breast & formula feed1 (4.0)2 (10.5)Median volume of formula feed1 (4.0)2 (10.5)	Taking iron supplement currently			
Yes $2 (8.0)$ $4 (21.1)$ 0.378 No $23 (92.0)$ $15 (78.9)$ Marital statusSingle $1 (4.0)$ $0 (0.0)$ Married $23 (92.0)$ $19 (100)$ Widowed $1 (4.0)$ $0 (0)$ Period of gestation $(4 (21.1))$ 0.094 $38-40$ weeks $3 (12.0)$ $4 (21.1)$ 0.094 $38-40$ weeks $8 (32.0)$ $14 (73.7)$ >40 weeks $8 (32.0)$ $1 (5.3)$ Route of birth V V Vaginal delivery $16 (64.0)$ $13 (68.4)$ >0.999 C C Caesarean section $9 (36.0)$ $6 (31.6)$ Feeding pattern in first 6 months E $Exclusively breastfed$ In the feed $10 (40.0)$ $6 (31.6)$ Exclusively formula feed $10 (40.0)$ $2 (10.5)$	(mother)			
No23 (92.0)15 (78.9)Marital statusSingle1 (4.0)0 (0.0)Married23 (92.0)19 (100)Widowed1 (4.0)0 (0)Period of gestation (23.0) 4 (21.1)<38 weeks	Yes	2 (8.0)	4 (21.1)	0.378
Marital statusSingle1 (4.0)0 (0.0)>0.999Married23 (92.0)19 (100)Widowed1 (4.0)0 (0)Period of gestation $(4.21.1)$ 0.094<8 weeks	No	23 (92.0)	15 (78.9)	
Single $1 (4.0)$ $0 (0.0)$ >0.999Married $23 (92.0)$ $19 (100)$ Widowed $1 (4.0)$ $0 (0)$ Period of gestation (21.1) 0.094 <38 weeks $3 (12.0)$ $4 (21.1)$ 0.094 $38-40$ weeks $14 (56.0)$ $14 (73.7)$ >40 weeks $8 (32.0)$ $1 (5.3)$ Route of birth $Vaginal delivery$ $16 (64.0)$ $13 (68.4)$ Vaginal delivery $16 (64.0)$ $6 (31.6)$ Feeding pattern in first 6 months $Exclusively breastfed$ $14 (56.0)$ Mixed breast & formula feed $10 (40.0)$ $6 (31.6)$ Kusively formula feed $1 (4.0)$ $2 (10.5)$	Marital status			
Married23 (92.0)19 (100)Widowed1 (4.0)0 (0)Period of gestation (38) <38 weeks	Single	1 (4.0)	0 (0.0)	>0.999
Widowed1 (4.0)0 (0)Period of gestation $<38 weeks$	Married	23 (92.0)	19 (100)	
Period of gestation 3 (12.0) 4 (21.1) 0.094 38–40 weeks 14 (56.0) 14 (73.7) >40 weeks 8 (32.0) 1 (5.3) Route of birth Vaginal delivery 16 (64.0) 13 (68.4) >0.999 Caesarean section 9 (36.0) 6 (31.6) Feeding pattern in first 6 months Exclusively breastfed 14 (56.0) 11 (57.9) 0.634 Mixed breast & formula feed 10 (40.0) 6 (31.6) Exclusively formula feed 1 (4.0) 2 (10.5)	Widowed	1 (4.0)	0 (0)	
<38 weeks	Period of gestation	2 (12 0)		0.001
38-40 weeks 14 (56.0) 14 (73.7) >40 weeks 8 (32.0) 1 (5.3) Route of birth 7 7 Vaginal delivery 16 (64.0) 13 (68.4) >0.999 Caesarean section 9 (36.0) 6 (31.6) 7 Feeding pattern in first 6 months 7 7 7 Exclusively breastfed 14 (56.0) 11 (57.9) 0.634 Mixed breast & formula feed 10 (40.0) 6 (31.6) 6 (31.6) Exclusively formula feed 1 (4.0) 2 (10.5) 10.634	<38 weeks	3 (12.0)	4 (21.1)	0.094
>40 weeks 8 (32.0) 1 (5.3) Route of birth 13 (68.4) >0.999 Vaginal delivery 16 (64.0) 13 (68.4) >0.999 Caesarean section 9 (36.0) 6 (31.6) Feeding pattern in first 6 months 50.999 0.634 Exclusively breastfed 14 (56.0) 11 (57.9) 0.634 Mixed breast & formula feed 10 (40.0) 6 (31.6) Exclusively formula feed 1 (4.0) 2 (10.5)	38–40 weeks	14 (56.0)	14 (73.7)	
Koute of birth Vaginal delivery 16 (64.0) 13 (68.4) >0.999 Caesarean section 9 (36.0) 6 (31.6) Feeding pattern in first 6 months 14 (56.0) 11 (57.9) 0.634 Mixed breast & formula feed 10 (40.0) 6 (31.6) Exclusively formula feed 1 (4.0) 2 (10.5)	>40 weeks	8 (32.0)	1 (5.3)	
Vaginal delivery 16 (64.0) 13 (68.4) >0.999 Caesarean section 9 (36.0) 6 (31.6) Feeding pattern in first 6 months 14 (56.0) 11 (57.9) 0.634 Mixed breast & formula feed 10 (40.0) 6 (31.6) 0.634 Exclusively formula fed 1 (4.0) 2 (10.5) 0.634	Koute of birth	16 (64 0)	12 ((0,4))	. 0.000
Caesarean section9 (36.0)6 (31.6)Feeding pattern in first 6 monthsExclusively breastfed14 (56.0)11 (57.9)0.634Mixed breast & formula feed10 (40.0)6 (31.6)6 (31.6)Exclusively formula fed1 (4.0)2 (10.5)Median volume of formula feed101 (4.0)10.634	Vaginal delivery	16 (64.0)	13 (68.4)	>0.999
Freeding pattern in first 6 monthsExclusively breastfed14 (56.0)11 (57.9)0.634Mixed breast & formula feed10 (40.0)6 (31.6)Exclusively formula fed1 (4.0)2 (10.5)Median volume of formula feed	Caesarean section	9 (36.0)	6 (31.6)	
Exclusively breastied14 (56.0)11 (57.9)0.034Mixed breast & formula feed10 (40.0)6 (31.6)Exclusively formula fed1 (4.0)2 (10.5)Median volume of formula feed	Feeding pattern in first 6 months	14(E(0))	11 (57.0)	0.(24
Mixed breast & formula feed10 (40.0)6 (31.6)Exclusively formula feed1 (4.0)2 (10.5)Median volume of formula feed11	Exclusively breastred	14 (56.0)	((21, 6))	0.634
Median volume of formula feed	Fixely formula feed	10(40.0)	0 (31.0) 2 (10 5)	
MPCHAN VOLUME OF IORMINA IPPO	Madian valuma of formula food	1 (4.0)	2 (10.5)	
(current) [IOP] 4.00 [5.0] 4.50 [4.0] 0.743	(ounce) [IOP]	4.00 [5.0]	4.50 [4.0]	0.743
(ounce) [IQK] Modian frequency of formula food	Modian frequency of formula food			
(per day) [IOR] 4.00 [2.0] 3.00 [4.0] 0.541	(per day) [IOR]	4.00 [2.0]	3.00 [4.0]	0.541
Complementary food started	Complementary food started			
$V_{es} = \frac{25(1000)}{19(100)} = \frac{19(100)}{19(100)} = \frac{-1000}{100}$	Ves	25 (100 0)	19 (100)	_c
No $0(00)$ $0(00)$	No	0(00)	0(00)	
Taking iron supplement currently	Taking iron supplement currently	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	
(baby)	(baby)			
Yes $1 (5.0)$ $3 (15.8)$ 0.300	Yes	1 (5.0)	3 (15.8)	0.300
No 24 (95.0) 16 (84.2)	No	24 (95.0)	16 (84.2)	
Starchy food	Starchy food			
Yes 25 (100.0) 20 (100.0) - ^c	Yes	25 (100.0)	20 (100.0)	_c
No 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0)	No	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	
Nuts and legumes	Nuts and legumes			
Yes 3 (12.0) 5 (25.0) 0.435	Yes	3 (12.0)	5 (25.0)	0.435
No 22 (88.0) 15 (75.0)	No	22 (88.0)	15 (75.0)	
Breast milk	Breast milk			
Yes 24 (95.0) 18 (90.0) 0.577	Yes	24 (95.0)	18 (90.0)	0.577
No 1 (5.0) 2 (2.0)	No	1 (5.0)	2 (2.0)	
Formula milk	Formula milk			
Yes 11 (44.0) 8 (40.0) >0.999	Yes	11 (44.0)	8 (40.0)	>0.999
No 14 (56.0) 12 (60.0)	No	14 (56.0)	12 (60.0)	
Other dairy product	Other dairy product			
Yes 17 (68.0) 15 (75.0) 0.745	Yes	17 (68.0)	15 (75.0)	0.745
No 8 (30.2) 5 (25.0)	No	8 (30.2)	5 (25.0)	
Animal protein	Animal protein			
Yes 21 (84.0) 19 (95.0) 0.362	Yes	21 (84.0)	19 (95.0)	0.362
No 4 (16.0) 1 (5.0)	No	4 (16.0)	1 (5.0)	

	Control $(n = 25)$	Micronutrient ($n = 20$)	
	n (%)	n (%) ^a	<i>p</i> -Value ^b
Organ meat			
Yes	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	- ^c .
No	25 (100.0)	20 (100.0)	
Eggs	× /		
Yes	10 (40.0)	12 (60.0)	0.236
No	15 (60.0)	8 (40.4)	
Orange pigmented fruits and			
vegetables			
Yes	8 (32.0)	5 (25.0)	
No	17 (68.0)	15 (75.0)	0.745
Green leafy vegetables	11 (0000)		0.1.20
Yes	8 (32 0)	7 (35 0)	>0 999
No	17 (68 0)	13 (65 0)	
Other fruits and vegetables	17 (00.0)	10 (00.0)	
Vec	13 (52 0)	8 (40 0)	0.550
No	12(48.0)	12(60.0)	0.000
Sugary food	12 (40.0)	12 (00.0)	
Voc	7 (28 0)	6 (30.0)	>0 000
No	18(72.0)	14(70.0)	20:333
INU Sugary drink	18 (72.0)	14 (70.0)	
	14(E(0))	10 (50.0)	
ies	14 (56.0)	10 (50.0)	0.7(0
NO Succession for a diama di (an dirim)	11 (44.0)	10 (50.0)	0.769
	16 (64.0)	14 (70.0)	0.757
ies	16 (64.0)	14 (70.0)	0.757
	9 (36.0)	6 (30.0)	0.005
Median height Z score [IQK]	-1.33 [1.46]	-0.88 [1.34]	0.395
Median Weight Z score [IQK]	-2.67 [2.48]	-2.82 [2.22]	0.515
Median body mass index (BMI) Z	-2.80 [3.20]	-3.00 [4.98]	0.743
score [IQK]			
Median weight/height Z score	-3.02 [2.58]	-3.67 [3.61]	0.911
Median hemoglobin (g/L) [IQR]	120.0 [13.0]	120.00 [9.0]	0.823
Median MCV (fl) [IQR]	73.00 [5.0]	75.0 [5.0]	0.292
Median reticulocyte (%) [IQR]	1.15 [0.53]	1.05 [0.37]	0.148
Median serum iron (umol/L)	11.70 [6.20]	9.40 [6.02]	0.160
[IQR]		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	0.100
Median serum ferritin (ug/L)	24 7 [25 3]	25 3 [32 8]	0.458
[IQR]	21.7 [20.0]	20.0 [02.0]	0.100
Median serum transferrin (g/L)	2 40 [0 3]	2 55 [0 4]	0 134
[IQR]	2.40 [0.5]	2.55 [0.1]	0.104
Median total iron binding	60.2 [6.3]	64 1 [10 1]	0 134
capacity (TIBC) (umol/L) [IQR]	00.2 [0.3]	04.1 [10.1]	0.104
Median serum C reactive protein	0.05 [0.48]	0.04 [0.40]	0.929
(mg/L) [IQR]	0.05 [0.46]	0.04 [0.47]	0.929

Table 2. Cont.

^a Participant missing certain baseline clinicodemographic information (n = 1) was omitted during analyses for those particular variables. No participant was omitted for the analyses of trial endpoints; ^b Mann–Whitney or Fisher's exact test; ^c Could not be computed.

3.3. Comparisons of Anthropometric, Erythrocytic, and Serum Iron Storage Profile at 4-Month Follow-Up

In Table 3, the medians for MCV and serum ferritin level are significantly higher in the micronutrient group. However, the inter-group differences in medians serum total iron biding capacity (TIBC), iron level and other anthropometric endpoints are not significant. No significant difference was found for other anthropometric, hematological, and serum iron storage endpoints. A significantly higher consumption of sugary foods was observed in the control group. Three participants experienced intercurrent illness (all upper respiratory tract infections (URTI)) in the micronutrient group.

In the micronutrient group, there was a high average compliance rate to daily micronutrient intake, based on compliance log monitoring (mean: 89.6%; SD: 10.3%; range: 63.00–100.00%). Only 1 participant experienced an adverse event (foul-smelling stool) in the micronutrient group. In both groups, no participants experienced serious adverse events (SAE) or suspected unexpected serious adverse reaction (SUSAR).

Table 3. Comparisons of dietary groups and clinical endpoints between control and micronutrient groups after 2nd follow-up (n = 45).

	Control ^a (<i>n</i> = 25) <i>n</i> (%)	Micronutrient (<i>n</i> = 20) <i>n</i> (%)	<i>p</i> -Value ^b
Intercurrent illness			
Yes	0 (0.0)	3 (15.0)	0.080
No	25 (100.0)	17 (85.0)	
Starchy food		· · · ·	
Yes	19 (76.0)	20 (100.0)	_c
No	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	
Nuts and legumes		· · · · ·	
Yes	6 (31.6)	11 (55.0)	0.200
No	13 (68.4)	9 (45.0)	
Breast milk			
Yes	0 (0.0)	1 (5.0)	>0.999
No	19 (100.0)	19 (95.0)	
Formula milk			
Yes	15 (78.9)	10 (50.0)	0.096
No	4 (21.1)	10 (50.0)	
Other dairy product			
Yes	15 (78.9)	12 (60.0)	0.301
No	4 (21.1)	8 (40.0)	
Animal protein			
Yes	15 (78.9)	17 (85.0)	0.695
No	4 (21.1)	3 (15.0)	
Organ meat			
Yes	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	_c
No	19 (100.0)	20 (100.0)	
Eggs			
Yes	15 (78.9)	13 (65.0)	0.480
No	4 (21.1)	7 (35.0)	
Orange pigmented fruits and vegetables			
Yes	8 (42.1)	8 (40.0)	>0.999
No	11 (57.9)	12 (60.0)	
Green leafy vegetables			
Yes	12 (63.2)	10 (50.0)	0.523
No	7 (36.8)	10 (50.0)	
Other fruits and vegetables			
Yes	10 (52.6)	10 (50.0)	>0.999
No	9 (47.4)	10 (50.0)	
Sugary food			
Yes	12 (63.2)	5 (25.0)	0.025
No	7 (36.8)	15 (75.0)	
Sugary drink			
Yes	15 (78.9)	12 (60.0)	0.301
No	4 (21.1)	8 (40.0)	
Sugary food and/or drink			
Yes	17 (89.5)	13 (65.0)	0.127
No	2 (10.5)	7 (35.0)	

	Control ^a (<i>n</i> = 25) <i>n</i> (%)	Micronutrient (<i>n</i> = 20) <i>n</i> (%)	<i>p</i> -Value ^b
Median height Z score [IQR]	-1.22 [1.57]	-1.12 [0.73]	0.428
Median weight Z score [IQR]	-2.05 [2.71]	-2.10 [2.77]	0.520
Median body mass index (BMI) Z score [IQR]	-2.10 [3.01]	-1.89 [3.59]	0.896
Median weight/height Z score [IQR]	-1.93 [2.95]	-2.11 [3.08]	0.801
Median hemoglobin (g/L) [IQR]	121.00 [11.0]	122.00 [14.3]	0.309
Median MCV (fl) [IQR]	75.00 [7.0]	77.50 [5.0]	0.024
Median reticulocyte (%) [IQR]	1.16 [0.45]	1.38 [0.73]	0.197
Median serum iron (umol/L) [IQR]	12.2 [7.6]	12.6 [5.9]	0.722
Median serum ferritin (ug/L) [IQR]	21.0 [22.9]	32.5 [26.4]	0.007
Median serum transferrin (g/L) [IQR]	2.60 [0.4]	2.40 [0.5]	0.120
Median total iron binding capacity (TIBC) (umol/L) [IQR]	65.30 [10.1]	60.20 [12.0]	0.120
Median serum C reactive protein (mg/L) [IQR]	0.07 [0.56]	0.09 [0.88]	0.672

Table 3. Cont.

^a Participants with missing variable information for dietary pattern (n = 6) were omitted during analyses; ^b Mann–Whitney (exact p value) or Fisher's exact test.; ^c Could not be computed.

3.4. Before-and-After Intervention Comparisons of Anthropometric, Erythrocytic, and Serum Iron Storage Profiles and Dietary Food Groups

Table 4 shows the results when baseline and follow-up anthropometric and laboratory endpoints are compared separately for each group. The anthropometric outcomes improved in both control and micronutrient groups but more substantially and significantly for the control population. As for serum iron storage parameters, we found that the median serum ferritin level in the control group dropped from 25.6 to 21.0, whereas in the micronutrient groups, it increased from 25.30 to 32.50 although the differences within the respective groups pre and post were both not significant. However, the TIBC level raised significantly in the control population which may indicate iron deficiency.

Table 4. Comparisons of trial endpoints before and after administrations of interventions in each treatment arm (n = 45).

	Control (<i>n</i> = 25)			Micronutrient ($n = 20$)			
	Pre	Post	<i>p</i> -Value ^a	Pre	Post	<i>p</i> -Value ^a	
Median height Z score [IQR]	-1.33 [1.46]	-1.22 [1.57]	0.650	-0.88[1.34]	-1.12 [0.71]	0.552	
Median weight Z score [IQR]	-2.67[2.48]	-2.05[2.71]	0.042	-2.82 [2.22]	-2.07[2.77]	0.192	
Median body mass index Z score [IQR]	-2.80 [3.20]	-2.10[3.01]	0.042	-3.00[4.98]	-1.89 [3.59]	0.261	
Median weight/height Z score [IQR]	-3.02 [2.58]	-1.93 [2.95]	0.036	-3.67 [3.61]	-2.11 [3.08]	0.159	
Median hemoglobin (g/L) [IQR]	120.0 [13.0]	121.0 [11.0]	0.242	120.0 [9.0]	122.00 [14.3]	0.652	
Median MCV (fl) [IQR]	73.0 [5.3]	75.0 [6.5]	0.001	75.0 [5.0]	77.50 [4.5]	< 0.001	
Median reticulocyte (%) [IQR]	1.15 [0.53]	1.16 [0.45]	0.692	1.05 [0.37]	1.38 [0.73]	0.021	
Median serum iron (umol/L) [IQR]	11.70 [6.20]	12.2 [7.6]	0.582	9.40 [6.02]	12.6 [5.9]	0.076	
Median serum ferritin (ug/L) [IQR]	24.7 [25.3]	21.0 [22.9]	0.858	25.3 [32.8]	32.5 [26.4]	0.245	
Median serum transferrin (g/L) [IQR]	2.40 [0.3]	2.60 [0.4]	0.002	2.55 [0.4]	2.40 [0.5]	0.106	
Median total iron binding capacity (TIBC) (umol/L) [IQR]	60.2 [6.4]	65.30 [10.1]	0.003	64.1 [10.1]	60.20 [12.0]	0.103	
Median serum CRP (mg/L) [IQR]	0.05 [0.48]	0.07 [0.56]	0.379	0.04 [0.49]	0.09 [0.88]	0.706	

^a Based on Wilcoxon Signed-Rank Test with exact *p* value.

Based on pre- and post-intervention comparisons of food groups (Table 5), there are significant differences in terms of formula milk, eggs, and sugary consumptions only in the control group. Both groups demonstrated significant differences in pre- and post-intervention consumption of breast milk. Further analyses revealed non-significant differences with regard to the consumptions of the rest of other food groups.

	Treatment Groups	f ₁₂ ^a	f ₂₁ ^b	<i>p-</i> Value ^c
Nuts and legumes	Control	2	6	0.289
	Micronutrient	2	8	0.055
Preset mills	Control	18	0	<0.001
breast milk	Micronutrient	17	0	<0.001
Formerale milli	Control	0	7	<0.001
Formula milk	Micronutrient	1	3	0.313
Animal protein	Control	2	1	>0.999
Animai protein	Micronutrient	2	0	0.250
Faas	Control	1	9	0.021
2885	Micronutrient	3	4	0.500
Orange nigmented fruits and vegetables	Control	3	8	0.227
Orange pigmenteu nuits and vegetables	Micronutrient	4	7	0.274
Croop loofy yogotables	Control	2	9	0.065
Green leary vegetables	Micronutrient	1	4	0.188
Other fruits and vegetables	Control	4	3	>0.999
Other mults and vegetables	Micronutrient	3	5	0.363
Sugary food	Control	0	7	0.016
Sugary loou	Micronutrient	4	3	>0.999
Sugary drink	Control	3	6	0.508
Sugary unitk	Micronutrient	5	7	0.387
Sugary food and for drink	Control	1	5	0.219
Sugary 1000 and/or utilik	Micronutrient	5	4	0.500

Table 5. Comparisons of food groups, stratified by treatment arms, before and after intervention was instituted (n = 45).

^a The number of participants who consumed the food groups at baseline (pre-intervention) but stopped consuming at 4-month post-intervention follow-up (discordant pair 1); ^b The number of participants who did not consume the food groups at baseline (pre-intervention) but consumed it at 4-month post-intervention follow-up (discordant pair 2); ^c McNemar's test (exact *p* value).

4. Discussion

4.1. Micronutrient Supplementation and Trial Endpoints

Micronutrient deficiency has been implicated for a variety of illnesses such as irondeficiency anemia, keratomalacia, xerophthalmia, elevated risk of respiratory infections, stunting, wasting, and cognitive underdevelopment in young children [29–31]. In the Malaysian setting, based on the findings of the Nutrition Survey of Malaysian Children (SEANUTS Malaysia) study, iron deficiency anemia, vitamin D deficiency, and to a lesser extent vitamin A deficiency were found the most common micronutrient deficiencies in children aged 6 months to 12 years [32]. To address this problem, there are a variety of methods to combat micronutrient deficiency among children implemented in diverse countries: food subsidies, distribution of fortified food, and micronutrient supplementation [33]. Many studies have shown that micronutrient supplementation has the highest efficacy among these 3 methods in addressing and curbing micronutrient depletion in children [34,35]. The findings from our pilot trial further support this approach, as this is the first-ever trial investigating the effects of micronutrient supplementation on children in a community experiencing urban poverty.

An interesting observation that we demonstrated in this pilot trial is the high proportion of under-5 children who were severely wasted. We hypothesized that this finding can be attributed to the severe micro- and macronutrient deficiency among participants in both treatment arms at baseline. At 4-month follow-up, we observed improvements in median z-scores for both BMI and weight-to-height ratio, which can be attributed to changes in dietary patterns secondary to nutritional education received by mothers of the children in both treatment groups and is evident in higher consumptions of formula milk, eggs and paradoxically sugary foods (Table 4). It is also additionally worth noting that micronutrient supplementation also resulted in greater improvements in BMI and weight-to-height ratio despite the non-significant pre-and-post intervention differences. Our findings corroborated the results of prior researchers who showed high proportions of wasting or severe wasting in under-5 children living in low-cost flats in Kuala Lumpur [11]. Hence, our results assert the importance of a nutritional intervention to address the undernutrition issues among children living in an urban poverty and deprivation setting.

Further, it can be clearly observed that micronutrient supplementation improved several hematological markers and serum ferritin profile. Nonetheless, no significant differences were observed for any anthropometric endpoints between the micronutrient and control groups. Surprisingly, we found significant differences in the majority of anthropometric endpoints in the control group which can be attributed to significant increases in the intake of sugary food, eggs, and formula milk among the control participants at the 4-month follow up. Our findings thus parallel the observations made by Untoro et al. [36] who demonstrated that micronutrient supplementation improved micronutrient status and hematological profiles in 6-to-12-month-old Indonesian infants but did not improve their growth or morbidity. Our findings thus support the existing consensus among researchers that positive growth requires both micro and macronutrient supplementation [37,38]. However, our findings point towards a lack of hemoglobin recovery at 4 months post micronutrient supplementation, which parallels findings from previous research conducted among rural Mexican preschoolers [39]. Nonetheless, significant increases in percentage of reticulocytes observed in the intervention group based on a pre-and-post comparison demonstrated early erythropoietic recovery since improvement in reticulocyte counts precedes that of hemoglobin. In spite of this, longer periods of followup and micronutrient supplementation are required to conspicuously effect a recovery in hemoglobin level.

We have demonstrated a positive effect of micronutrient supplementation on iron storage as evident from significantly higher median serum ferritin concentration in the micronutrient group at 4-month post supplementation, compared to a non-significant decrease in serum ferritin in the control group. This observation further supports the ideas that micronutrient supplementation enhances the iron storage profile and that deworming treatment alone was insufficient [40]. Moreover, the lack of significant effects of supplementation and our small sample size. In addition, we also omitted the RET-He variable from analysis due to the substantial number of missing data and the absence of estimates of local thalassemia prevalence, a known confounder for RET-He, in our study cohort which might lead to incorrect analysis and interpretation of results. To address this, we are currently exploring the relationships between RET-He and other serum iron storage parameters in our ongoing study. The findings will be subsequently used to evaluate the utility of RET-He as a primary trial endpoint for future definitive trials.

Other observations worth mentioning are the beneficial effects of deworming treatment observed in both control and micronutrient-supplemented groups and the possible synergism between deworming treatment and post-deworming micronutrient supplementation. Anthropometric endpoints and serum iron profile were generally improved in both groups, with much higher improvements documented in the micronutrient recipients, as evidenced by higher increases in serum ferritin, lower serum transferrin, and greater improvements in median weight and weight-to-height z scores. Paradoxically, the differences were only significant in the control group. We hypothesize that the much smaller sample size of the micronutrient group prevented the differences from being significant. Furthermore, soil-transmitted helminthiasis (STH) is considered to be endemic in Malaysia, but only among certain pockets of the population such as "Orang Asli" (indigenous people), refugees, and among dwellers of overcrowded urban centers with unsatisfactory household hygiene such as the PPRs [41]. Since STH is associated with iron deficiency anemia and overall nutritional deficits in pre-school children, we hypothesized deworming treatment alone would be inadequate to effect as much improvement as a combined deworming treatment augmented with micronutrient supplementation.

However, Rajagopal, Hotez, and Bundy [42] argued in their review that the deworming and supplemented micronutrient cointervention was not recommended due to its ambiguous cost-effectiveness and laborious procedure, not because of its lack of effects. Higher improvements, albeit small and insignificant pre-and-post intervention differences, in hemoglobin, total red cell counts, serum iron, and ferritin profile in our deworming and micronutrient recipients support such arguments. Moreover, both groups had low median serum CRP and basophil and eosinophil percentages which suggests the success of deworming treatment in eradicating intestinal parasites that may interfere with optimal micronutrient absorption. Our findings are thus in tandem with Nga et al. [43], who demonstrated that micronutrient supplementation following a single albendazole administration resulted in higher plasma hemoglobin, ferritin, and iron concentrations than albendazole-alone recipients.

We also observed that no participants in either group consumed internal organs which are rich in iron and other nutrients. We hypothesized that this might be a cultural issue or perhaps a particular deviation from traditional norms. As sources of animal proteins become cheaper, families may not maximize the value of available animal proteins, eating just the meat and discarding internal organs. Our postulation nevertheless requires further well-designed studies for verification.

Finally, scrutiny of our trial conduct and administration also revealed several insights that may further streamline the conduct of future definitive trials, most importantly, the substantial and variable amount of time, cost, and efforts required to recruit and follow up participants until trial completion, especially during the complete MCO (lockdown) phase due to the COVID-19 pandemic. We have thus meticulously recorded the challenges encountered which can be used for planning and organizing future definitive trials, specifically with respect to streamlining project timeline and allocating funding and research manpower to critical trial activities. This will prove an asset for future grant applications for similarly designed clinical trials that will be conducted in community-based research settings. Additionally, though our results have insufficient generalizability, a completely inevitable consequence due to the nature of our pilot trial, our overall trial design and data analysis techniques may serve as a blueprint for designing the methodology of future definitive trials with a longer follow-up period and analyzing the data accrued at each time point. In this case, our results obtained at the 4-month follow-up can guide future triallists to adopt the more flexible group sequential design (either frequentist or Bayesian approach) where the optimal number of interim analyses and precise stopping boundaries are required to be specified a priori [44,45]. The adoption of such group sequential design may result in a premature trial termination if the benefits of micronutrient supplementation are conspicuous even in the early period of trial [45,46]. Consequently, the findings of future trial results may be quickly utilized by relevant national policymakers and stakeholders to improve the nutritional profiles of under-5 children experiencing the deleterious effects of urban poverty and deprivation.

4.2. Trial Limitations and Future Directions

One of the main limitations of our trial is the low sample size. This was inevitable due to the COVID-19 pandemic that severely hampered patient recruitment and follow-ups. As most families had informal employment, at the beginning of the COVID-19 pandemic, families lost jobs and went back to rural villages. Study subjects were thus lost to further follow-up. Moreover, this was the first clinical study carried out in this community, resulting in parental hesitancy in consenting to blood taking and further participant attrition and small sample size. We also did not administer any placebo intervention in the control group and hence the control participants could not be adequately masked which might result in expectation bias [47]. However, we believe this is minimal since objective trial endpoints

were used instead of subjective trial outcomes such as self-reported quality of life or symptoms of micronutrient deficiency. Moreover, Staudacher et al. [47] also demonstrated the difficulties in designing and developing satisfactory sham interventions (placebo) in nutrition-related randomized controlled trials (RCTs), a cogent observation which can also be generalized to RCTs involving micronutrient supplementation. Nevertheless, based on the feedback received from the trial participants, we now have the relevant information for designing and developing satisfactory placebos (sham supplements) that have similar texture and tastes as the micronutrient supplement which can be used in future definitive (confirmatory) trials. This further emphasizes the values of a pilot (exploratory) RCT in improving the design of future definitive trials. In addition, we could not control the effects of confounders (e.g., differences in baseline hematological, iron, and serum CRP profiles) using a multivariable regression method since the sample size was too small and the normality assumption was violated for all outcome variables. Apart from this, the benefits of micronutrient supplementation on cognition (verbal and nonverbal intelligence) could not be sufficiently investigated due to the short follow-up period of our study. Hence, future studies should seek evidence on such associations and elaborate further on whether the cognitive benefits of micronutrient supplementation can be tempered by possible antagonistic effects of competitive uptake of micronutrients in the intestine, thus interfering with absorption and eventual bioavailability of one micronutrient relative to others.

Hence, a well-designed future definitive trial is required to further investigate our preliminary observations. We recommend that future definitive trials include a much bigger sample size. To aid in sample size calculation for the future definitive trial, we have provided additional parameter estimates such as mean and standard deviations for each trial endpoints (Supplementary Material S1, Table S1). More precise trial designs, such as longer follow-up and micronutrient supplementation periods, double-blind design, use of random sampling prior to participant enrollment to minimize selection bias, implementation of intention to treat (ITT) analysis, and multiple imputation (MI) for missing data rather than as-treated analysis, can help minimize bias introduced by prognostic variable imbalances between the treatment arms [48–51]. For the last recommendation, we endeavored to carry out ITT analysis and multiple imputation, under missing at random assumption (MAR), for cases with missing information. This was unfortunately impossible due to the severity of missing data in our sample, small sample size, and the non-Gaussian (normal) nature of our data for the CUPIP trial's endpoints, which prevented the MI algorithm from converging efficiently and accurately to plausibly true estimated effects, a known methodological limitation of MI [52,53]. If corrected, the actual effects of micronutrient supplementation can be ascertained more accurately. This evidence might then be used to effect national policy changes to improve the growth and quality of life of pre-school children coming from an underprivileged urban community (urban poor).

5. Conclusions

Micronutrient supplementation is effective in improving several hematologic and iron outcomes, but not anthropometric endpoints, as evidenced from the significantly higher median serum ferritin concentrations and median MCV in the micronutrient group. Moreover, based on pre- and post-intervention comparisons, the median percentage of reticulocyte count is significantly higher in the micronutrient recipients than those in the control arms. Additional well-designed future definitive trials are nevertheless required to verify our findings and address our study limitations.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded from at https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/ijerph192113878/s1. Material S1: Summary measures (mean (SD)) of anthropometric, serum erythrocytic and iron storage profiles for each treatment arm (n = 45); Material S2: Patient information sheets and informed consent form (English Version); Material S3: Patient information sheets and informed consent form; Material S4. Case Report Form (CRF); Material S5: Compliance monitoring log sheet.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, L.C.S.L., C.C.W. and C.A.T.; methodology, L.C.S.L., C.C.W. and M.I.A.J.; software, M.I.A.J. and Y.P.T.; validation, M.I.A.J., Y.P.T. and L.C.S.L. project administration, C.C.W., Z.L.S., M.S.Y.L., K.V.H., A.A.Z., Y.P.T. and C.A.T.; resources: L.C.S.L., Z.L.S., C.A.T., M.S.Y.L. and K.V.H.; data analysis and interpretation: M.I.A.J., C.C.W., Z.L.S. and Y.P.T.; investigation: L.C.S.L., C.C.W., Z.L.S., Y.P.T., M.S.Y.L., A.A.Z. and K.V.H.; data curation, M.I.A.J., Y.P.T., C.C.W., Z.L.S. and L.C.S.L.; writing—original draft preparation: C.C.W., Z.L.S., L.C.S.L., M.I.A.J. and A.A.Z.; writing—review and editing: all authors; visualization: C.C.W., L.C.S.L. and M.I.A.J.; supervision: L.C.S.L., funding acquisition: L.C.S.L. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This trial was partially supported by a Sysmex Asia Pacific Clinical Study Agreement (SAP Grant number CSA190716) and a research grant from the Malaysian Pediatric Association MPA Grant number 20190721.

Institutional Review Board Statement: The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the University Malaya Medical Center Medical Research Ethics Committee (MREC) (MREC ID NO: 2018105-6741; Date of approval: 04/04/2019).

Informed Consent Statement: Written informed consent was obtained from all participants involved in this study and for research publication.

Data Availability Statement: The data used to corroborate the findings of this trial will be made available upon request to the corresponding author, L.L.C.S, at lumcs@ummc.edu.my.

Acknowledgments: We would like to thank DSM Nutritional Products Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur, Department of Pediatrics, University Malaya Medical Center, pediatricians from the Ministry of Health Malaysia, Medical Society Faculty of Medicine (undergraduate students), Professor Muhammad Yazid Jalaludin, Department of Pediatrics, University Malaya, Dr Abqariyah Yahya@Ahmad Noor, Department of Social and Preventive Medicine, University Malaya, and community volunteers from PPR Lembah Subang 1.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declared no conflict interest. The micronutrient supplier, DSM Nutritional Product, and the grant funder, Sysmex Asia Pacific, had no role in the design and conduct of the trial and analysis and interpretations of trial results.

References

- Bailey, R.L.; West, K.P.; Black, R.E. The epidemiology of global micronutrient deficiencies. *Ann. Nutr. Metab.* 2015, 66, 22–33. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ramakrishnan, U. Prevalence of Micronutrient Malnutrition Worldwide. Nutr. Rev. 2002, 60 (Suppl. S5), S46–S52. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Platel, K.; Srinivasan, K. Bioavailability of Micronutrients from Plant Foods: An Update. Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr. 2015, 56, 1608–1619. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 4. Shergill-Bonner, R. Micronutrients. Paediatr. Child Health 2017, 27, 357–362. [CrossRef]
- 5. Conway, D.; Henderson, M.A. Iron Metabolism. Anaesth. Intensive Care Med. 2022, 23, 123–125. [CrossRef]
- Wieser, S.; Brunner, B.; Tzogiou, C.; Plessow, R.; Zimmermann, M.B.; Farebrother, J.; Soofi, S.; Bhatti, Z.; Ahmed, I.; Bhutta, Z.A. Societal Costs of Micronutrient Deficiencies in 6- to 59-Month-Old Children in Pakistan. *Food Nutr. Bull.* 2017, *38*, 485–500. [CrossRef]
- 7. Bhaskaram, P. Immunobiology of Mild Micronutrient Deficiencies. Br. J. Nutr. 2001, 85 (Suppl. S2), S75–S80. [CrossRef]
- 8. Demment, M.W.; Young, M.M.; Sensenig, R.L. Providing Micronutrients through Food-Based Solutions: A Key to Human and National Development. *J. Nutr.* **2003**, *133*, 3879S–38855. [CrossRef]
- Damms-Machado, A.; Weser, G.; Bischoff, S.C. Micronutrient Deficiency in Obese Subjects Undergoing Low Calorie Diet. *Nutr. J.* 2012, 11, 34. [CrossRef]
- Troesch, B.; Biesalski, H.; Bos, R.; Buskens, E.; Calder, P.; Saris, W.; Spieldenner, J.; Verkade, H.; Weber, P.; Eggersdorfer, M. Increased Intake of Foods with High Nutrient Density Can Help to Break the Intergenerational Cycle of Malnutrition and Obesity. *Nutrients* 2015, 7, 6016–6037. [CrossRef]
- 11. Abdul Khalid, M.; Rosli, Z.; Abdul Halim, S.N.F.M.; Akbar, E.S. *Children without: A study of Urban Child Poverty and Deprivation in Low-Cost Flats in Kuala Lumpur*; United Nations Children's Fund: Putrajaya, Malaysia, 2018; p. 52.
- 12. Goh, A.T.; Yahaya, A. Public low-cost housing in Malaysia: Case studies on PPR low-cost flats in Kuala Lumpur. J. Des. Built Environ. 2011, 8, 1–18.

- Institute for Public Health, National Institute of Health (NIH), Ministry of Health Malaysia. National Health and Morbidity Survey (NHMS) 2016: Maternal and Child Health. Vol. II: Maternal and Child Health Findings; Institute for Public Health, National Health Institute (NIH), Ministry of Health Malaysia: Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia, 2016; p. 272.
- 14. Khor, G.L. Micronutrient Status and Intervention Programs in Malaysia. *Food Nutr. Bull.* 2005, 26 (Suppl. S2), S281–S285. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- World Health Organization. Worldwide Prevalence of Anaemia 1993–2005: WHO Global Database on Anaemia; de Benoist, B., McLean, E., Engli, I., Cogswell, M., Eds.; WHO Press: Geneva, Switzerland, 2015; p. 22.
- 16. Eldridge, S.M.; Chan, C.L.; Campbell, M.J.; Bond, C.M.; Hopewell, S.; Thabane, L.; Lancaster, G.A. CONSORT 2010 Statement: Extension to Randomised Pilot and Feasibility Trials. *BMJ* **2016**, i5239. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 17. Orkin, A.M.; Gill, P.J.; Ghersi, D.; Campbell, L.; Sugarman, J.; Emsley, R.; Steg, P.G.; Weijer, C.; Simes, J.; Rombey, T.; et al. Guidelines for Reporting Trial Protocols and Completed Trials Modified due to the COVID-19 Pandemic and Other Extenuating Circumstances: The CONSERVE 2021 Statement. *JAMA* 2021, *326*, 257–265. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Dewey, K.G.; Mridha, M.K.; Matias, S.L.; Arnold, C.D.; Cummins, J.R.; Khan, M.S.A.; Maalouf-Manasseh, Z.; Siddiqui, Z.; Ullah, M.B.; Vosti, S.A. Lipid-Based Nutrient Supplementation in the First 1000 D Improves Child Growth in Bangladesh: A Cluster-Randomized Effectiveness Trial. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 2017, 105, 944–957. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Suchdev, P.S.; Jefferds, M.E.D.; Ota, E.; da Silva Lopes, K.; De-Regil, L.M. Home Fortification of Foods with Multiple Micronutrient Powders for Health and Nutrition in Children under Two Years of Age. *Cochrane Database Syst. Rev.* 2020, 2020, CD008959. [CrossRef]
- WHO; WFP; UNICEF. Joint Statement: Preventing and Controlling Micronutrient Deficiencies in Populations affected By an Emergency-Multiple Vitamin and Mineral Supplements for Pregnant and Lactating Women, and for Children Aged 6 to 59 Months; WHO: Geneva, 2007.
- WHO; ICCIDD; UNICEF. Assessment of Iodine Deficiency Disorders and Monitoring Their Elimination, 3rd ed.; WHO: Geneva, 2007; p.
 6.
- 22. WHO/FAO. Vitamin and Mineral. Requirements in Human Nutrition, 2nd ed.; World Health Organization: Geneva, Switzerland; Food and Agriculture Organization: Rome, Italy, 2004.
- Whitehead, A.L.; Julious, S.A.; Cooper, C.L.; Campbell, M.J. Estimating the Sample Size for a Pilot Randomised Trial to Minimise the Overall Trial Sample Size for the External Pilot and Main Trial for a Continuous Outcome Variable. *Stat. Methods Med. Res.* 2015, 25, 1057–1073. [CrossRef]
- 24. Ashworth, A. Chapter 57: Nutrition, Food Security and Health. In *Nelson Textbook of Pediatrics*, 21st ed.; Kliegman, R.M., St Geme III, J.W., Blum, N.J., Tasker, R.C., Ralston, S.L., Deardoff, M., Eds.; Elsevier: London, UK, 2020; Volume 1, p. 334.
- 25. Nowacki, A. Chi-Square and Fisher's Exact Tests. Clevel. Clin. J. Med. 2017, 84 (Suppl. S2), e20–e25. [CrossRef]
- 26. Freeman, G.H.; Halton, J.H. Note on an Exact Treatment of Contingency, Goodness of Fit and Other Problems of Significance. *Biometrika* **1951**, *38*, 141. [CrossRef]
- 27. Cochran, W.G. Some Methods for Strengthening the Common Chi Square Tests. Biometrics 1954, 10, 417. [CrossRef]
- Mehta, C.; Patel, N. Exact Inference for Categorical Data. Available online: https://www.cytel.com/pdfs/Exact-Inference---MEHTA-PATEL-Exact-Inference-for-Categorical-Data-HARVARD-UNIVERSITY-AND-CYTEL-SOFTWARE-CORPORATION-1997.pdf (accessed on 2 September 2022).
- Bhan, M.K.; Sommerfelt, H.; Strand, T. Micronutrient Deficiency in Children. Br. J. Nutr. 2001, 85 (Suppl. S2), S199–S203. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 30. Khor, G.L. Micronutrient deficiency and its alleviation: The case of Malaysia. *Asia Pac. J. Clin. Nutr.* 2002, *11*, S377–S381. [CrossRef]
- 31. Swaminathan, S.; Edward, B.S.; Kurpad, A.V. Micronutrient Deficiency and Cognitive and Physical Performance in Indian Children. *Eur. J. Clin. Nutr.* **2013**, *67*, 467–474. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Poh, B.K.; Ng, B.K.; Siti Haslinda, M.D.; Nik Shanita, S.; Wong, J.E.; Budin, S.B.; Ruzita, A.T.; Ng, L.O.; Khouw, I.; Norimah, A.K. Nutritional Status and Dietary Intakes of Children Aged 6 Months to 12 Years: Findings of the Nutrition Survey of Malaysian Children (SEANUTS Malaysia). Br. J. Nutr. 2013, 110 (Suppl. S3), S21–S35. [CrossRef]
- 33. Street, A. Food as Pharma: Marketing Nutraceuticals to India's Rural Poor. Crit. Public Health 2014, 25, 361–372. [CrossRef]
- Rivera, J.A.; González-Cossío, T.; Flores, M.; Romero, M.; Rivera, M.; Téllez-Rojo, M.M.; Rosado, J.L.; Brown, K.H. Multiple Micronutrient Supplementation Increases the Growth of Mexican Infants. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 2001, 74, 657–663. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Rivera, J.A.; Sotres-Alvarez, D.; Habicht, J.-P.; Shamah, T.; Villalpando, S. Impact of the Mexican Program for Education, Health, and Nutrition (Progresa) on Rates of Growth and Anemia in Infants and Young Children. JAMA 2004, 291, 2563. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Untoro, J.; Karyadi, E.; Wibowo, L.; Erhardt, M.W.; Gross, R. Multiple Micronutrient Supplements Improve Micronutrient Status and Anemia but Not Growth and Morbidity of Indonesian Infants: A Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled Trial. J. Nutr. 2005, 135, 639S645S. [CrossRef]
- 37. Dewey, K.G. Reducing Stunting by Improving Maternal, Infant and Young Child Nutrition in Regions such as South Asia: Evidence, Challenges and Opportunities. *Matern. Child Nutr.* **2016**, *12*, 27–38. [CrossRef]

- Dewey, K.G.; Yang, Z.; Boy, E. Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Home Fortification of Complementary Foods. *Matern. Child Nutr.* 2009, *5*, 283–321. [CrossRef]
- Allen, L.H.; Rosado, J.L.; Casterline, J.E.; López, P.; Muñoz, E.; Garcia, O.P.; Martinez, H. Lack of Hemoglobin Response to Iron Supplementation in Anemic Mexican Preschoolers with Multiple Micronutrient Deficiencies. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 2000, 71, 1485–1494. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- de Brito, N.J.N.; Rocha, É.D.; de Araújo Silva, A.; Costa, J.B.S.; França, M.C.; das Graças Almeida, M.; Brandão-Neto, J. Oral Zinc Supplementation Decreases the Serum Iron Concentration in Healthy Schoolchildren: A Pilot Study. *Nutrients* 2014, 6, 3460–3473. [CrossRef]
- Ahmed, A.; Al-Mekhlafi, H.M.; Surin, J. Epidemiology of Soil-Transmitted Helminthiases in Malaysia. Southeast Asian J. Trop. Med. Public Health 2011, 42, 527–538. [PubMed]
- 42. Rajagopal, S.; Hotez, P.J.; Bundy, D.A.P. Micronutrient Supplementation and Deworming in Children with Geohelminth Infections. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* **2014**, *8*, e2920. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Nga, T.T.; Winichagoon, P.; Dijkhuizen, M.A.; Khan, N.C.; Wasantwisut, E.; Furr, H.; Wieringa, F.T. Multi-Micronutrient– Fortified Biscuits Decreased Prevalence of Anemia and Improved Micronutrient Status and Effectiveness of Deworming in Rural Vietnamese School Children. J. Nutr. 2009, 139, 1013–1021. [CrossRef]
- 44. Fleming, T.R.; Harrington, D.P.; O'Brien, P.C. Designs for Group Sequential Tests. Control. Clin. Trials 1984, 5, 348–361. [CrossRef]
- Gsponer, T.; Gerber, F.; Bornkamp, B.; Ohlssen, D.; Vandemeulebroecke, M.; Schmidli, H. A Practical Guide to Bayesian Group Sequential Designs. *Pharm. Stat.* 2013, 13, 71–80. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 46. Sebille, V.; Bellissant, E. Sequential Methods and Group Sequential Designs for Comparative Clinical Trials. *Fundam. Clin. Pharmacol.* **2003**, *17*, 505–516. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Staudacher, H.M.; Irving, P.M.; Lomer, M.C.E.; Whelan, K. The Challenges of Control Groups, Placebos and Blinding in Clinical Trials of Dietary Interventions. *Proc. Nutr. Soc.* 2017, *76*, 203–212. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 48. Ellenberg, J.H. Intent-To-Treat Analysis versus As-Treated Analysis. Drug Inf. J. 1996, 30, 535–544. [CrossRef]
- 49. Little, R.J.; Rubin, D.B. Statistical Analysis with Missing Data, 3rd ed.; John Wiley & Sons: Hoboken, USA, 2019.
- 50. Misra, S. Randomized Double Blind Placebo Control Studies, the "Gold Standard" in Intervention Based Studies. *Indian J. Sex. Transm. Dis. AIDS* **2012**, *33*, 131–134. [CrossRef]
- 51. Heckerling, P.S. The Ethics of Single Blind Trials. IRB Ethics Hum. Res. 2005, 27, 12. [CrossRef]
- Jia, F.; Moore, E.W.G.; Kinai, R.; Crowe, K.S.; Schoemann, A.M.; Little, T.D. Planned Missing Data Designs with Small Sample Sizes: How Small Is Too Small? Int. J. Behav. Dev. 2014, 38, 435–452. [CrossRef]
- 53. Shin, T.; Davison, M.L.; Long, J.D. Maximum Likelihood versus Multiple Imputation for Missing Data in Small Longitudinal Samples with Nonnormality. *Psychol. Methods* **2017**, *22*, 426–449. [CrossRef]