

MDPI

Article

Communication Disparities between Nursing Home Team Members

Timothy W. Farrell 1.2,*, Jorie M. Butler 1.2, Gail L. Towsley 3, Jacqueline S. Telonidis 3, Katherine P. Supiano 3, Caroline E. Stephens 3, Nancy M. Nelson 3, Alisyn L. May 4 and Linda S. Edelman 3

- Division of Geriatrics, Spencer Fox Eccles School of Medicine, University of Utah, 30 N 1900 E, AB 193 SOM, Salt Lake City, UT 84132, USA; jorie.butler@psych.utah.edu
- ² Geriatric Research, Education, and Clinical Center (GRECC), George E. Wahlen Veteran Affairs Medical Center, 500 Foothill Drive, Salt Lake City, UT 84148, USA
- ³ College of Nursing, University of Utah, 10 S 2000 E, Salt Lake City, UT 84112, USA; gail.towsley@nurs.utah.edu (G.L.T.); jacqueline.telonidis@hsc.utah.edu (J.S.T.); katherine.supiano@nurs.utah.edu (K.P.S.); caroline.stephens@nurs.utah.edu (C.E.S.); nancy.nelson@nurs.utah.edu (N.M.N.); linda.edelman@nurs.utah.edu (L.S.E.)
- 4 College of Pharmacy, University of Utah, 30 S 2000 E, Salt Lake City, UT 84112, USA; alisyn.may@pharm.utah.edu
- * Correspondence: timothy.farrell@hsc.utah.edu; Tel.: +1-(801)-587-9103

Abstract: Optimal care in nursing home (NH) settings requires effective team communication. Certified nursing assistants (CNAs) interact with nursing home residents frequently, but the extent to which CNAs feel their input is valued by other team members is not known. We conducted a cross-sectional study in which we administered a communication survey within 20 Utah nursing home facilities to 650 team members, including 264 nurses and 124 CNAs. Respondents used a 4-point scale to indicate the extent to which their input is valued by other team members when reporting their concerns about nursing home residents. We used a one-way ANOVA with a Bonferroni correction. When compared to nurses, CNAs felt less valued (CNA mean = 2.14, nurse mean = 3.24; p < 0.001) when reporting to physicians, and less valued (CNA mean = 1.66, nurse mean = 2.71; p < 0.001) when reporting to pharmacists. CNAs did not feel less valued than nurses (CNA mean = 3.43, nurse mean = 3.37; p = 0.25) when reporting to other nurses. Our findings demonstrate that CNAs feel their input is not valued outside of nursing, which could impact resident care. Additional research is needed to understand the reasons for this perception and to design educational interventions to improve the culture of communication in nursing home settings.

Keywords: long-term care; nursing home; nurse staffing; interprofessional communication; care coordination; teamwork

Citation: Farrell, T.W.; Butler, J.M.; Towsley, G.L.; Telonidis, J.S.; Supiano, K.P.; Stephens, C.E.; Nelson, N.M.; May, A.L.; Edelman, L.S. Communication Disparities between Nursing Home Team Members. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 2022, *19*, 5975. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/ijerph19105975

Academic Editor: Nina Tumosa

Received: 2 April 2022 Accepted: 12 May 2022 Published: 14 May 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Person-centered care is defined as "providing care that is respectful of and responsive to individual patient preferences, needs, and values, and ensuring that patient values guide all clinical decisions and recognizes that preferences extend beyond medical and clinical concerns [1,2]". Optimal person-centered care in nursing homes (NH) requires strong interprofessional teamwork [3–6]. Good communication is a hallmark of effective teams [7,8]. Quality improvement programs such as INTERACT (Interventions to Improve Acute Care Transfers) aim to improve communication in long-term care settings [9]. At its best, strong team communication in NHs results in care delivery aligned with age-friendly care, including basing the care plan on what matters most to residents and their caregivers and contributes to improved patient safety [1,10,11]. This approach also fosters a culture in which all team members are encouraged to report changes in condition

[12]. Timely reporting of changes in condition can prevent unnecessary care transitions [9,13].

Communication in NH settings is often far from optimal, with many reports in the literature discussing poor or ineffective communication between team members and with residents and their families [14–17]. Poor communication contributes to poor outcomes [13,18–21]. For example, inadequate communication between team members and residents and families around goals of care can contribute to poor care transitions and fragmentation of care that result in decreased care quality and satisfaction [13,19,22,23]. Further, poor communication has also been associated with increased job dissatisfaction and staff turnover [24–27].

Person-centered care is a key component of the Medicare and Medicaid survey process [28,29] and is paramount to staff practices. A key component of person-centered care is strong team communication [2,30,31]. Numerous barriers to optimal person-centered communication in NHs include lack of respect and empathy between staff members [32], lack of clarity around role expectations [33,34], as well as limited staff training in person-centered care and communication skills [35,36]. Another barrier may be the culture of NH facilities [19,27,37,38]. It has been shown that NH culture may influence staff members' self-efficacy in communicating with colleagues [39,40]. Staff self-efficacy may be related to how valued they feel by team members, especially those from other job groups or professions.

It is important to understand how the interprofessional NH team communicates, particularly nurses and CNAs who work most closely with residents [34,41] and are thus most likely to be present when a change in condition occurs. Nurse and CNA communication with each other and other team members is critical at these times. However, communication between nurses, who supervise CNAs and communicate with other clinicians, and CNAs, who generally are among the lowest paid and least experienced NH staff, may be ineffective if nurses are not open to communication or if CNAs feel their input is not valued. Similarly, nurses may hesitate to communicate with other clinicians if they do not feel that their professional input is taken seriously. This paper explores how RNs and CNAs value communication with each other and other members of the interprofessional NH team.

2. Materials and Methods

After gaining permission from administrators for this cross-sectional study, staff members from 20 NH facilities in Utah were recruited between December 2017 and June 2018 to complete a pen and paper survey during quality improvement or all-staff meetings. Participants were provided with a survey cover letter. Surveys were anonymous and no personal identifiers were captured. The University of Utah IRB deemed this study exempt, waiving the need for signed informed consent.

Each participant completed a long-term care communication survey that included demographics and questions organized according to four domains: (1) values/ethics for interprofessional practice; (2) sensory deficits (hearing loss and vision loss); (3) health literacy, and (4) effective communication. We previously described the development of this survey and established its content validity [42].

For this paper, we focused on the responses of CNAs and nurses to the first domain (values/ethics for interprofessional practice) survey items which addressed the extent to which they felt valued by other job groups in their facility. The item responses were on a 4-point scale (1 = not valued, 2 = somewhat valued, 3 = mostly valued, 4 = highly valued) (see Supplementary Materials for the survey question).

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analyses were performed in SPSS v26. We performed one-way Analyses of Variance (ANOVA) with a Bonferroni correction to account for the multiple comparisons among job groups. The Bonferroni correction confirmed that p values less than 0.05 were

true and not by chance. We then compared CNAs to nurses regarding the extent to which feedback about residents was perceived as valued by other team members. We also conducted a t-test comparing CNAs' and nurses' perceptions of value when reporting to other team members.

3. Results

Among the 650 survey respondents, there were 264 (40.6%) CNAs, including two medical technicians, and 124 (19.1%) nurses, including 96 clinical nurses, one RN supervisor, 26 licensed nursing/Minimum Data Set coordinators, and one unit manager.

The demographics of the survey respondents are listed in Table 1. Respondents were overwhelmingly female and white. Nearly 1/5th of CNAs (19.7%) reported Hispanic ethnicity, compared to nearly 1/10th of nurses (9.7%) that reported Hispanic ethnicity. Onethird (33.3%) of CNAs reported no college education, while 79.8% of nurses were college graduates.

Table 1. Demographics of Survey Respondents.

Characteristic	CNAs	Nurses
	n (%)	n (%)
Gender		
Male	46 (17.4)	14 (11.3)
Female	210 (79.5)	106 (85.5)
Transgender	1 (0.4)	0 (0.0)
Preferred not to respond	2 (0.8)	1 (0.8)
Age		
Under 25 years old	127 (50.8%)	13 (11.3%)
25 to 34 years old	52 (20.8%)	31 (27.0%)
35 to 44 years old	37 (14.8%)	30 (26.1%)
45 to 54 years old	21 (8.4%)	19 (16.5%)
55 to 64 years old	10 (4.0%)	16 (13.9%)
65 years old and above	3 (1.2%)	6 (5.2%)
Race		
American Indian or Alaska Native	8 (3.0)	3 (2.4)
Asian	12 (4.5)	4 (3.2)
Black or African American	5 (1.9)	0 (0.0)
Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	11 (4.2)	0 (0.0)
White	181 (68.6)	105 (84.7)
Other	9 (3.4)	1 (0.8)
Ethnicity		
Hispanic, Latino, or of Spanish Origin	52 (19.7)	12 (9.7)
Non-Hispanic or Non-Latino	199 (75.4)	107 (86.3)
Education		
8th Grade or Less	1 (0.4)	0 (0.0)
Some High School	13 (4.9)	0 (0.0)
High School Graduate	74 (28.0)	0 (0.0)
Some College	130 (49.2)	14 (11.3)
College Graduate	38 (14.4)	99 (79.8)
Postgrad/Professional	3 (1.1)	6 (4.8)
Total Time Worked at Facility		
Fewer than 6 months	48 (19.7%)	15 (12.8%)
6 months to less than 1 year	55 (22.5%)	10 (8.5%)
1 year to fewer than 2 years	48 (19.7%)	14 (12.0%)
2–5 years	61 (25.0%)	48 (41.0%)
6–10 years	22 (9.0%)	22 (18.8%)
11–20 years	7 (2.9%)	4 (3.4%)
More than 20 years	3 (1.2%)	4 (3.4%)

Number of totals may not equal 100% due to participant non-response.

Nurses' and CNAs' sense of value in reporting to three professional job groups (physicians, pharmacists, and nurses) are shown in Table 2. Nurses reported feeling their reporting concerns were somewhat valued by pharmacists (X = 2.71) and most valued by

physicians (X = 3.24) and other nurses (X = 3.37). Conversely, CNAs reported their concerns were not valued by pharmacists (X = 1.66), somewhat valued by physicians (X = 1.66), and most valued by nurses (X = 3.42). Between-group differences in CNA reports versus nurses' reports were detected in reports to physicians (Y = 7.13), Y = 7.13, Y = 7.13, and to pharmacists (Y = 7.13), but not to nurses (Y = 7.13). Thus, CNAs, on average, reported feeling less valued by other professions than nurses when reporting their concerns about residents (see Table 2).

Table 2. Nurses and CNAs' Perceived Sense of Value when Reporting to Physicians, Pharmacists, and Nurses.

	Extent to Which I Felt Valued	Extent to Which I Felt Valued	Extent to Which I Felt Valued
Job Group	Reporting Concerns about	Reporting Concerns about	Reporting Concerns about
	Residents to Physicians	Residents to Pharmacists	Residents to Nurses
	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)
Nurses	3.24 (0.90) *	2.71 (1.34) *	3.37 (0.83)
CNAs	2.14 (1.74) *	1.66 (1.70) *	3.42 (0.79)

^{*} *p* < 0.05.

4. Discussion

We deployed a communication survey among NH care team members to compare the extent to which CNAs and nurses feel their input on resident conditions is valued by other team members (physicians, pharmacists, and nurses). Our findings show that both nurses and CNAs feel that their input is less valued by pharmacists and physicians than nurses.

It was not surprising that CNAs felt less valued than nurses when reporting their concerns about residents to physicians and pharmacists. Physicians and pharmacists may undervalue CNAs input in NHs. This could be in part to physicians and pharmacists having little or no exposure to the CNA role in general or to the predominant role they play in NH settings during their undergraduate and graduate education. Even when working with NH residents, they may spend little time in the NH setting and infrequently communicate with CNAs. Therefore, they may not observe the role of CNAs or recognize that CNAs spend more time than any other job title interacting directly with residents. Practicing physicians and pharmacists may, therefore, be less likely to recognize CNAs as an important member of the NH care team.

Even though CNAs spend the most time with residents, they lack autonomy and power [26,34,43–45]. The rapid turnover of CNAs, with the majority staying in one position for less than one year [14,35], may contribute to the comfort CNAs have in communicating with physicians and pharmacists. Programs like INTERACT [9] that utilize communication tools such as Stop and Watch encourage all staff to report changes in resident status. However, these changes are most often reported to nurses rather than other providers. Nonetheless, utilizing tools that empower CNAs to communicate effectively and that encourage teams to listen to all voices may be a way to build the sense of value that CNAs perceive others place on their input.

Interestingly, our results show that CNAs perceive that nurses value their input about NH residents as much as nurses perceive other nurses do. Intuitively, there are several reasons for this. Nurses are familiar with CNA roles in the NH setting and rely on them to be their eyes and ears regarding resident status and wellbeing [35]. In addition, some of the survey respondents who are nurses may have been CNAs before obtaining their nursing degrees. It is encouraging that CNAs in this study perceived their input was valued by the nurses they work with, suggesting that despite the general lack of leadership training for nurses in delegating tasks to CNAs, they are communicating as a team to provide care for residents. Other disciplines would do well to look to the example of

nursing in valuing input for CNAs. This may require both discipline-specific and cross-disciplinary training.

Person-centered care is an expectation of today's NHs [2,29,31,46]. In order for this to occur, it is imperative that there is effective communication between all NH team members, including CNAs [32,47,48]. This can be difficult for CNAs, whose workflow necessitates that word-of-mouth and informal face-to-face conversation is the most expedient way to share information [47]. Nurses are more able to engage in these types of communication with CNAs, which may be why, in our study, CNAs felt their input was more valued by nurses than physicians and pharmacists. Because communication between CNAs and nurses tends to be informal and is likely to be more frequent, it is important that nurses then take the results of the communication exchange to other members of the team. Training nurses to communicate expediently and effectively with others using tools such as SBAR (Situation, Background, Assessment, Recommendation) can improve resident safety [13].

Although our data were obtained prior to the COVID pandemic, we feel that our findings have even greater relevance since the pandemic. Nurses and CNAs are the backbone of the NH response to COVID. Even before the pandemic, NHs were challenged to hire and retain enough nurses and CNAs due to low wages and challenging working conditions [26,45,49–51]. These challenges have been amplified during the pandemic. An emphasis on changing NH culture to value the contributions of nurses and CNAs by improving communication could improve NH response to the needs of residents during the pandemic, such as infection control practices and nurse and CNA retention, thereby improving resident outcomes.

Our study has several limitations. First, NH residents and staff did not contribute to survey development. As a result, they may have perceived that the survey questions were not directly applicable to their roles and responsibilities in their facility. In addition, all 20 NH facilities are located in Utah. As such, the generalizability of our findings may be limited both with respect to other states in the U.S. and also internationally. This may be especially so because Utah has less racial and ethnic diversity than many other states. In addition, we did not measure how RNs and CNAs felt their input was valued by residents and families. A hallmark of person-centered care is communication that goes beyond discussing tasks with residents to focus on what matters to individual residents leading to shared decision making [2,15]. Understanding how CNAs perceive their input is valued by residents and families could be used to develop educational materials for CNAs, residents, and families about fostering better communication.

In our future work, we plan to explore several new questions raised by these findings. First, it is unclear why CNAs feel more undervalued by physicians and pharmacists than nurses do and if this perception impacts CNA retention and resident outcomes. Second, that both CNAs and nurses perceived their input was the least valued by pharmacists over physicians and other nurses are worthy of further exploration. Third, the quality of NH staff communication may be an attractive metric to incorporate in nursing home quality measures. Finally, our findings have implications for interprofessional education [7], which is ideally positioned to teach health sciences students about the roles and responsibilities of team members, as well as providers who practice in NH settings.

5. Conclusions

CNAs felt less valued than nurses when reporting their concerns about residents to physicians and pharmacists but not to nurses. This discrepancy suggests opportunities for interprofessional team training in NH facilities. Additional research is needed to understand the reasons for variations in nurses' and CNAs' perceptions that their input about NH residents is undervalued by some team members, particularly pharmacists, and to design educational interventions during training and in the workplace to improve team communication in NH settings. These trainings will take on added urgency given the

stress that the COVID pandemic is currently placing on the NH nursing and CNA workforces.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/ijerph19105975/s1. Supplementary Materials: Long-Term Care Communication Survey Question 1

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, T.W.F., G.L.T., J.S.T., J.M.B., K.P.S., and L.S.E.; methodology, T.W.F., G.L.T., J.S.T., J.M.B., K.P.S., A.L.M., and L.S.E.; formal analysis, T.W.F., G.L.T., J.M.B., K.P.S., C.E.S., and L.S.E.; writing—original draft preparation, T.W.F.; writing—review and editing, T.W.F., G.L.T., J.S.T., J.M.B., K.P.S., C.E.S., N.M.N., A.L.M., and L.S.E.; project administration, T.W.F. and N.M.N. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by the Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) as part of an award, grant number U1QHP28741, totaling \$3,750,000 with zero percentage financed with non-governmental sources. The article processing charge was funded by HRSA. The contents are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily represent the official views of, nor an endorsement, by HRSA, HHS, or the U.S. Government. The views expressed in this article are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the position or policy of the Department of Veterans Affairs or the U.S. Government.

Institutional Review Board Statement: The study was reviewed by the Institutional Review Board (or Ethics Committee) of the University of Utah (IRB_00092267) which determined that the study is exempt, waiving the need for signed informed consent.

Informed Consent Statement: All participants were provided with a cover letter and a completed survey served as consent.

Data Availability Statement: The data presented in this study are available upon request from the corresponding author.

Acknowledgments: The authors gratefully acknowledge Sarah Scott for her assistance with survey administration and data collection.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Institute of Medicine Committee on Quality of Health Care in America. *Crossing the Quality Chasm: A New Health System for the 21st Century;* National Academies Press: Washington, DC, USA, 2001.
- 2. American Geriatrics Society Expert Panel on Person-Centered Care. Person-centered care: A definition and essential elements. *J. Am. Geriatr. Soc.* **2016**, *64*, 15–18. https://doi.org/10.1111/jgs.13866.
- 3. McGilton, K.S.; Rochon, E.; Sidani, S.; Shaw, A.; Ben-David, B.; Saragosa, M.; Boscart, V.M.; Wilson, R.; Galimidi-Epstein, K.K.; Pichora-Fuller, M.K. Can We Help Care Providers Communicate More Effectively with Persons Having Dementia Living in Long-Term Care Homes? *Am. J. Alzheimer's Dis. Other Dementias* **2017**, 32, 41–50. https://doi.org/10.1177/1533317516680899.
- 4. Dys, S.; Tunalilar, O.; Hasworth, S.; Winfree, J.; White, D.L. Person-centered care practices in nursing homes: Staff perceptions and the organizational environment. *Geriatr. Nurs.* **2022**, *43*, 188–196. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gerinurse.2021.11.018.
- 5. Backman, A.; Ahnlund, P.; Sjögren, K.; Lövheim, H.; McGilton, K.S.; Edvardsson, D. Embodying person-centred being and doing: Leading towards person-centred care in nursing homes as narrated by managers. *J. Clin. Nurs.* **2020**, *29*, 172–183. https://doi.org/10.1111/jocn.15075.
- 6. Gilster, S.D.; Boltz, M.; Dalessandro, J.L. Long-Term Care Workforce Issues: Practice Principles for Quality Dementia Care. *Gerontologist* **2018**, *58*, S103–S113. https://doi.org/10.1093/geront/gnx174.
- 7. Interprofessional Education Collaborative. *Core Competencies for Interprofessional Collaborative Practice*: 2016 Update; Interprofessional Education Collaborative: Washington, DC, USA, 2016.
- 8. Back, A.L.; Fromme, E.K.; Meier, D.E. Training Clinicians with Communication Skills Needed to Match Medical Treatments to Patient Values. *J. Am. Geriatr. Soc.* **2019**, *67*, S435–S441. https://doi.org/10.1111/jgs.15709.
- 9. Ouslander, J.G.; Bonner, A.; Herndon, L.; Shutes, J. The Interventions to Reduce Acute Care Transfers (INTERACT) Quality Improvement Program: An Overview for Medical Directors and Primary Care Clinicians in Long Term Care. *J. Am. Med Dir. Assoc.* **2014**, *15*, 162–170. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jamda.2013.12.005.
- 10. Al-Jumaili, A.A.; Doucette, W.R. A Systems Approach to Identify Factors Influencing Adverse Drug Events in Nursing Homes. *J. Am. Geriatr. Soc.* **2018**, *66*, 1420–1427. https://doi.org/10.1111/jgs.15389.
- 11. Fulmer, T.; Mate, K.S.; Berman, A. The Age-Friendly Health System Imperative. J. Am. Geriatr. Soc. 2018, 66, 22–24. https://doi.org/10.1111/jgs.15076.

- 12. Lepore, M.J.; Lima, J.C.; Miller, S.C. Nursing Home Culture Change Practices and Survey Deficiencies: A National Longitudinal Panel Study. *Gerontologist* **2020**, *60*, 1411–1423. https://doi.org/10.1093/geront/gnaa063.
- 13. Müller, M.; Jürgens, J.; Redaèlli, M.; Klingberg, K.; Hautz, W.E.; Stock, S. Impact of the communication and patient hand-off tool SBAR on patient safety: A systematic review. *BMJ Open* **2018**, 8, e022202. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2018-022202.
- Madden, C.; Clayton, M.; Canary, H.; Towsley, G.; Cloyes, K.; Lund, D. Rules of performance in the nursing home: A grounded theory of nurse–CNA communication. *Geriatr. Nurs.* 2017, 38, 378–384. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gerinurse.2016.12.013.
- 15. Cranley, L.A.; Slaughter, S.E.; Caspar, S.; Heisey, M.; Huang, M.; Killackey, T.; McGilton, K.S. Strategies to facilitate shared decision-making in long-term care. *Int. J. Older People Nurs.* **2020**, *15*, e12314. https://doi.org/10.1111/opn.12314.
- 16. Barken, R.; Lowndes, R. Supporting Family Involvement in Long-Term Residential Care: Promising Practices for Relational Care. *Qual. Health Res.* **2018**, *28*, 60–72. https://doi.org/10.1177/1049732317730568.
- 17. Puurveen, G.; Baumbusch, J.; Gandhi, P. From Family Involvement to Family Inclusion in Nursing Home Settings: A Critical Interpretive Synthesis. *J. Fam. Nurs.* **2018**, 24, 60–85. https://doi.org/10.1177/1074840718754314.
- 18. Choi, Y.-R.; Chang, S.O. Exploring interprofessional communication during nursing home emergencies using the SBAR framework. *J. Interprofessional Care* **2021**. https://doi.org/10.1080/13561820.2021.1985985.
- 19. King, B.J.; Gilmore-Bykovskyi, A.; Roiland, R.A.; Polnaszek, B.E.; Bowers, B.; Kind, A. The Consequences of Poor Communication During Transitions from Hospital to Skilled Nursing Facility: A Qualitative Study. *J. Am. Geriatr. Soc.* **2013**, *61*, 1095–1102. https://doi.org/10.1111/jgs.12328.
- 20. Plaku-Alakbarova, B.; Punnett, L.; Gore, R.J. Nursing Home Employee and Resident Satisfaction and Resident Care Outcomes. *Saf. Health Work* **2018**, *9*, 408–415. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.shaw.2017.12.002.
- 21. Unroe, K.T.; Hickman, S.E.; Carnahan, J.L.; Hass, Z.; Sachs, G.; Arling, G. Investigating the Avoidability of Hospitalizations of Long Stay Nursing Home Residents: Opportunities for Improvement. *Innov. Aging* **2018**, 2, 1-9. https://doi.org/10.1093/geroni/igy017.
- Britton, M.C.; Ouellet, G.M.; Minges, K.E.; Gawel, M.; Hodshon, B.; Chaudhry, S.I. Care Transitions Between Hospitals and Skilled Nursing Facilities: Perspectives of Sending and Receiving Providers. *Jt. Comm. J. Qual. Patient Saf.* 2017, 43, 565–572. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcjq.2017.06.004.
- 23. Patel, S.J.; Landrigan, C.P. Communication at Transitions of Care. *Pediatr. Clin. N. Am.* **2019**, *66*, 751–773. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pcl.2019.03.004.
- 24. Vermeir, P.; DeGroote, S.; Vandijck, D.; Mariman, A.; Deveugele, M.; Peleman, R.; Verhaeghe, R.; Cambré, B.; Vogelaers, D. Job Satisfaction in Relation to Communication in Health Care Among Nurses: A Narrative Review and Practical Recommendations. *SAGE Open* **2017**, *7*, 2158244017711486. https://doi.org/10.1177/2158244017711486.
- Creapeau, L.J.G.; Johs-Artisensi, J.L.; Lauver, K.J. Leadership and Staff Perceptions on Long-term Care Staffing Challenges Related to Certified Nursing Assistant Retention. *JONA: J. Nurs. Adm.* 2022, 52, 146–153. https://doi.org/10.1097/nna.000000000001122.
- Rajamohan, S.; Porock, D.; Chang, Y. Understanding the Relationship Between Staff and Job Satisfaction, Stress, Turnover, and Staff Outcomes in the Person-Centered Care Nursing Home Arena. J. Nurs. Sch. 2019, 51, 560–568. https://doi.org/10.1111/jnu.12488.
- 27. Berridge, C.; Tyler, D.A.; Miller, S.C. Staff Empowerment Practices and CNA Retention: Findings from a Nationally Representative Nursing Home Culture Change Survey. *J. Appl. Gerontol.* **2018**, *37*, 419–434. https://doi.org/10.1177/0733464816665204.
- 28. Miller, S.C.; Looze, J.; Shield, R.; Clark, M.A.; Lepore, M.; Tyler, D.; Sterns, S.; Mor, V. Culture Change Practice in U.S. Nursing Homes: Prevalence and Variation by State Medicaid Reimbursement Policies. *Gerontologist* **2014**, *54*, 434–445. https://doi.org/10.1093/geront/gnt020.
- Van Haitsma, K.; Abbott, K.M.; Arbogast, A.; Bangerter, L.R.; Heid, A.R.; Behrens, L.L.; Madrigal, C. A Preference-Based Model of Care: An Integrative Theoretical Model of the Role of Preferences in Person-Centered Care. *Gerontologist* 2019, 60, 376–384. https://doi.org/10.1093/geront/gnz075.
- 30. Hashim, M.J. Patient-Centered Communication: Basic Skills. Am. Fam. Physician 2017, 95, 29–34.
- 31. Koren, M.J. Person-Centered Care For Nursing Home Residents: The Culture-Change Movement. *Health Aff.* **2010**, 29, 312–317. https://doi.org/10.1377/hlthaff.2009.0966.
- 32. Kwame, A.; Petrucka, P.M. A literature-based study of patient-centered care and communication in nurse-patient interactions: Barriers, facilitators, and the way forward. *BMC Nurs.* **2021**, *20*, 158. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12912-021-00684-2.
- 33. Siegel, E.O.; Young, H.M. Communication Between Nurses and Unlicensed Assistive Personnel in Nursing Homes: Explicit expectations. *J. Gerontol. Nurs.* **2010**, *36*, 32–37. https://doi.org/10.3928/00989134-20100702-02.
- 34. Travers, J.L.; Caceres, B.A.; Vlahov, D.; Zaidi, H.; Dill, J.S.; Stone, R.I.; Stone, P.W. Federal requirements for nursing homes to include certified nursing assistants in resident care planning and interdisciplinary teams: A policy analysis. *Nurs. Outlook* **2021**, 69, 617–625. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.outlook.2021.01.004.
- 35. Beynon, C.; Supiano, K.; Siegel, E.O.; Edelman, L.S.; Hart, S.E.; Madden, C. It's All about the Nurse Aides. J. Long Term Care 2021, 356–364. https://doi.org/10.31389/jltc.103.
- 36. Beynon, C.; Supiano, K.; Siegel, E.O.; Edelman, L.S.; Hart, S.E.; Madden, C. Collaboration Between Licensed Nurses and Certified Nurse Aides in the Nursing Home: A Mixed Methods Study. *Res. Gerontol. Nurs.* **2022**, *15*, 16–26. https://doi.org/10.3928/19404921-20211209-05.

- 37. Tjia, J.; Mazor, K.M.; Field, T.; Meterko, V.; Spenard, A.; Gurwitz, J.H. Nurse-Physician Communication in the Long-Term Care Setting: Perceived Barriers and Impact on Patient Safety. *J. Patient Saf.* **2009**, *5*, 145–152. https://doi.org/10.1097/pts.0b013e3181b53f9b.
- 38. Cadogan, M.P.; Franzi, C.; Osterweil, D.; Hill, T. Barriers to Effective Communication in Skilled Nursing Facilities: Differences in Perception between Nurses and Physicians. *J. Am. Geriatr. Soc.* **1999**, *47*, 71–75. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1532-5415.1999.tb01903.x.
- 39. Hartmann, C.W.; Palmer, J.A.; Mills, W.L.; Pimentel, C.B.; Allen, R.S.; Wewiorski, N.J.; Dillon, K.R.; Snow, A.L. Adaptation of a nursing home culture change research instrument for frontline staff quality improvement use. *Psychol. Serv.* **2017**, *14*, 337–346. https://doi.org/10.1037/ser0000137.
- 40. Ward, G.; Rogan, E. Perceptions of Long-Term Care Nurses and Nursing Assistants about Communication Related to Residents' Care. *J. Long Term Care* **2021**, 70–76. https://doi.org/10.31389/jltc.37.
- 41. Institute of Medicine (US) Committee on Nursing Home Regulation. *Improving the Quality of Care in Nursing Homes*; National Academy: Washington, DC, USA, 1986.
- 42. Farrell, T.W.; Towsley, G.L.; Eaton, J.; Butler, J.M.; Supiano, K.P.; Stephens, C.; Witt, M.C.; Nelson, M.N.M.; Edelman, L.S. The Development and Validation of a Communication Survey Instrument for Long-term Care Staff. *J. Contin. Educ. Nurs.* **2022**, *53*, 123–130. https://doi.org/10.3928/00220124-20220210-07.
- 43. Caspar, S.; Le, A.; McGilton, K.S. The Responsive Leadership Intervention: Improving leadership and individualized care in long-term care. *Geriatr. Nurs.* **2017**, *38*, 559–566. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gerinurse.2017.04.004.
- 44. Kane, R.A. Ethics and the frontline care worker: Mapping the subject. Generations 1994, 18, 71–74.
- National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. The National Imperative to Improve Nursing Home Quality: Honoring Our Commitment to Residents, Families, and Staff; The National Academies Press: Washington, DC, USA, 2022; p. 604.
- 46. Yang, Y.; Li, H.; Xiao, L.D.; Zhang, W.; Xia, M.; Feng, H. Resident and staff perspectives of person-centered climate in nursing homes: A cross-sectional study. *BMC Geriatr.* **2019**, *19*, 292. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12877-019-1313-x.
- 47. Kolanowski, A.; Van Haitsma, K.; Penrod, J.; Hill, N.; Yevchak, A. "Wish we would have known that!" Communication Breakdown Impedes Person-Centered Care. *Gerontologist* **2015**, *55*, S50–S60. https://doi.org/10.1093/geront/gnv014.
- 48. Williams, K.N.; Perkhounkova, Y.; Jao, Y.-L.; Bossen, A.; Hein, M.; Chung, S.; Starykowicz, A.; Turk, M. Person-Centered Communication for Nursing Home Residents with Dementia: Four Communication Analysis Methods. West. J. Nurs. Res. 2018, 40, 1012–1031. https://doi.org/10.1177/0193945917697226.
- 49. Harrington, C.; Edelman, T.S. Failure to Meet Nurse Staffing Standards: A Litigation Case Study of a Large US Nursing Home Chain. *Inq. J. Heal. Care Organ. Provis. Financ.* **2018**, *55*, 46958018788686. https://doi.org/10.1177/0046958018788686.
- 50. Snyder, R.L.; Anderson, L.E.; White, K.A.; Tavitian, S.; Fike, L.V.; Jones, H.N.; Jacobs-Slifka, K.M.; Stone, N.D.; Sinkowitz-Cochran, R.L. A qualitative assessment of factors affecting nursing home caregiving staff experiences during the COVID-19 pandemic. *PLoS ONE* **2021**, *16*, e0260055. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0260055.
- 51. Van Houtven, C.H.; DePasquale, N.; Coe, N.B. Essential Long-Term Care Workers Commonly Hold Second Jobs and Double-or Triple-Duty Caregiving Roles. *J. Am. Geriatr. Soc.* **2020**, *68*, 1657–1660. https://doi.org/10.1111/jgs.16509.