

Table S1. Appraisal of Quantitative Studies

Authors	Question 1	Question 2	Question 3	Question 4	Question 5	Question 6	Question 7	Question 8	Question 9	Question 10	Quality of paper
Bleck et al. (2015)	Yes	NO	Clear	High							
Yaya et al. (2019)	Yes	Clear	High								
Greene, et al. (2017)	Yes	Clear	High								
Fawole et al. (2013)	Yes	Yes	Yes	NO	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Clear	High
Mootz et al. (2018)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Yes		Yes	Clear	High
Decker et al.(2014)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	NO	Yes	NO	Yes	Clear	Medium
Adjah et al. (2016)	Yes	NO	Yes	unclear	Medium						
Admasu et al. (2016)	Yes	Unclear	Yes	NO	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Clear	Medium
Fawole et al. (2018)	Yes	Unclear	Yes	NO	NO	Yes	Yes	NO	Yes	Clear	Medium
Okenwa et al. (2009)	Yes	Clear	High								
Berhane et al. (2015)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Clear	High
Gust et al. (2017)	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Clear	High						
Kimani et al. (2016)	Yes	Yes	Yes	NO	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Clear	High
Gibbs et al.(2017)	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Yes	7	Clear	Medium
Fute et al. (2015)	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Clear	Medium
Fesehan et al. (2012)	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Clear	High						
Zegenahegn et al. (2019)	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	NO	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Clear	Medium
Bui et al. (2016)	Yes	No	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Clear	Medium
Pack et al. (2013)	Yes	No	Unclear	Yes	NO	Yes	Yes	NO	Yes	Clear	Fair
Matsekeet al. (2017)	Yes	No	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Clear	Medium



Hendricks et al. (2018)	Yes	Clear	High									
-------------------------	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-------	------

#### CASP Key Questions

1. Did the study address a clearly focused issue?
2. Was the participants of the study recruited in an acceptable way?
3. Was the outcome accurately measured to minimise bias?
4. Was the sampling appropriate for the study?
5. What are the results of the study?
6. How precise the tools used to measure the results?
7. Do you believe the results?
8. Can the results be applied to the local population?
9. Do the results of the study fit with other available evidence?
10. What are the implications of this study for practice?

Supplementary files: Meta-analysis: Test of Publication Bias using Funnel Plot and Eggers Test

*A. Education focused studies*




Figure S2. Funnel plot for studies focused on education and GBV

Tests for Publication Bias for partner and women education

Education	n	Egger's	
		Bias	P
Partner	8	0.97	0.492
Women	11	0.92	0.434
overall	19	0.94	0.273

B. Alcohol consumption focused studies




Figure S3: Funnel plot for studies on alcohol consumption and GBV

Tests for Publication bias for partner and women alcohol consumption

Education	n	Egger's	
		Bias	P
Partner	11	0.65	0.71
Women	4	4.5	0.038

C. Substance use focused studies




Figure S4: Funnel plot for studies focused on substance use and GBV

D. Decision- making skills focused studies




Figure S5. Funnel plot for studies on decision-making skills and GBV

E. Tolerant attitude focused studies




Figure S6. Funnel plot for studies on tolerant attitudes and GBV

F. History of child abuse focused studies




Figure S7. Funnel plot for studies on history of child abuse and GBV

G. History of beating focused studies




Figure S8. Funnel plot for studies on family history of abuse and GBV