

MDPI

Article

# Association between Living with Patients with Dementia and Family Caregivers' Depressive Symptoms—Living with Dementia Patients and Family Caregivers' Depressive Symptoms

Minah Park <sup>1,2</sup>, Fatima Nari <sup>1,2</sup>, Soo Hyun Kang <sup>1,2</sup>, Sung-In Jang <sup>2,3</sup> and Eun-Cheol Park <sup>2,3</sup>,\*

- Department of Public Health, Graduate School, Yonsei University, Seoul 03722, Korea; minahpark@yuhs.ac (M.P.); fatima@yuhs.ac (F.N.); kshyun@yuhs.ac (S.H.K.)
- Institute of Health Services Research, Yonsei University, 50 Yonsei-ro, Seodaemun-gu, Seoul 03722, Korea; JANGSI@yuhs.ac
- Department of Preventive Medicine, College of Medicine, Yonsei University, 50 Yonsei-ro, Seodaemun-gu, Seoul 03722, Korea
- \* Correspondence: ECPARK@yuhs.ac; Tel.: +82-2-2228-1862; Fax: +82-2-392-8133

Abstract: Depression among family caregivers is becoming an increasingly important issue due to a growing elderly population. This study aimed to examine the association of living with a patient with dementia and family caregivers' depressive symptoms, among Korean adults. This study used the data of 371,287 participants after excluding those who indicated having dementia themselves from the Korea Community Health Survey of 2018–2019. Depressive symptoms were measured using the Patient Health Questionnaire-9. Data were analyzed using multiple logistic regression. The rates of spouse caregivers having depressive symptoms were 9.4% and 10.8% among men and women, respectively. The odds ratio for risk of depressive symptoms among male and female spouse caregivers in comparison to non-caregivers was 2.65 and 2.28, respectively. In the subgroup analysis, the highest income group was associated with risk of depressive symptoms, with an odds ratio of 4.28 for men, and 3.02 for women. Having a patient with dementia in the family was significantly associated with family caregivers' depressive symptoms. In particular, when the patient with dementia was a spouse, both women and men were likely to have depressive symptoms. To reduce the burden of caregivers, we need management policies and interventions for family caregivers.

Keywords: dementia; depression; family caregivers



Citation: Park, M.; Nari, F.; Kang, S.H.; Jang, S.-I.; Park, E.-C.
Association between Living with Patients with Dementia and Family Caregivers' Depressive
Symptoms—Living with Dementia
Patients and Family Caregivers'
Depressive Symptoms. Int. J. Environ.
Res. Public Health 2021, 18, 4372.
https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18084372

Academic Editor: Toni Alterman

Received: 1 March 2021 Accepted: 17 April 2021 Published: 20 April 2021

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

# 1. Introduction

Dementia is a term that covers a wide range of conditions and variety of diseases [1], which include Alzheimer's disease, vascular dementia and Parkinson's disease [2]. Dementia is caused by abnormal brain changes, and affects daily life, independent functioning, behavior, feelings, and relationships. Dementia is present in approximately 10% of people aged 65 years and older [3]. Fifty million people live with dementia worldwide and the number is projected to increase to 152 million by 2050 [4]. The frequency of dementia in the Republic of Korea is between 7.2% and 8.2% among the urban Korean elderly population [5,6].

Depression is one of the most universal health issues [7]. Major depressive symptoms are not only a burden on the individual, but also places a considerable burden on the society [8]. In a 2015 study, depression was a leading cause of age-specific disability-adjusted life years (second in 20–24 years old, fourth in 25–39 years old, fifth in 40–44 years old, and sixth in 45–54 years old) [9]. In the Republic of Korea, mental health problems have become a national issue. The Korean Epidemiologic Catchment Area study, an epidemiological survey on mental health conducted every five years, has reported a gradual increase in the prevalence of depression (2001, 4.0%; 2006, 5.6%; 2011, 6.7%) [10].

As the elderly population grows, there will be a significant increase in dementia caregiving. Family caregivers end up filling the gaps that exist in the healthcare system and doing so can affect them physically, emotionally, socially, financially, and spiritually [11]. Dementia caregivers often experience elevated levels of stress, and are at increased risk for psychological disorders [12]. Over the last 25 years, the crisis of family caregivers of people with dementia has led to an increasing number of research studies designed to evaluate innovative strategies for helping families maintain a high quality of life for both caregivers and care-recipients alike [13]. The frequency of dementia in the Republic of Korea is between 7.2% and 8.2% among the urban Korean elderly population Many studies have examined many aspects the family caregivers' health. For example, Jang et al. [14] analyzed the association between cohabitation status with a patient with dementia in the family and Son et al. [15] analyzed the predictors of burden and satisfaction in Korean adult child caregivers of older adults with dementia. However, these studies are limited by the generalization of family caregivers or examining only the adult child in caregiving. The purpose of this study, therefore, was to analyze the relationship of having a family member with dementia and family caregivers' depressive symptoms using nationally representative data. A secondary purpose of this study was to examine this association stratified by sex.

#### 2. Materials and Methods

#### 2.1. Data

The data used in this study were obtained from the Korea Community Health Survey (KCHS), which was administered by the Korea Center for Disease Control and Prevention Agency (KDCA) in 2018 and 2019. The KCHS standardized questionnaires were developed by staff working at the KDCA and the Working Group of Health Indicators Standardization Subcommittee at 16 metropolitan and 253 region sites. The questionnaires cover many different topics including health behaviors, driving, quality of life (European Quality of Life-5 Dimensions, European Quality of Life-Visual Analog Scale, Korean Instrumental Activities of Daily Living), medical service utilization, chronic disease, and so on. The microdata (in the form of Statistical Analysis Software [SAS] files) and analytic guidelines can be downloaded from the KCHS website (9 October 2020).

# 2.2. Participants

The final data gathered were from 371,287 individuals (187,862 in 2018 and 183,425 in 2019). Data from both years were integrated and sampling weights were incorporated for the analysis. A total of 876 individuals who had dementia were excluded. Our study did not need to address any ethical concerns because the KCHS data is a secondary dataset that are available to the public domain and does not contain private information.

#### 2.3. Variables

The variable of interest in this study was the family relation of the patient with dementia. The KCHS asked each individual "If one of your family members has dementia, who is it?" and the response options were multiple choice (Parent including In-Laws, Spouse, Sibling, Yourself, and Children).

The dependent variable that was used is the Patient Health Questionnaire-9 (PHQ-9). This is a self-report questionnaire that includes nine questions to evaluate depressive symptoms [16]. Used in primary care and other medical settings to screen depression, the cut-off score for major depressive symptoms is 10, with scores of 10 and above indicating presence of depressive symptoms [17]. With a total score of 27, each question is rated as 0 for "Not at all", 1 for "For many days", 2 for "more than a week", and 3 for "almost daily".

The independent variables included the survey year, sex, age, employment status, education level, marital status, family income, smoking habit, alcohol use, number of family members, and status of receiving basic living allowance. Age was divided into five categories: from 19 to 39, 40 to 49, 50 to 59, 60 to 69, and 70 or older. Survey year was divided into two categories: 2018 and 2019. Region was divided into two categories:

metropolitan and rural. Education was divided into three categories: university or higher, high school, and middle school or less. Job status was divided into four categories: white (managerial, professional, or clerical), pink (services or sales) blue (manual labor) and unemployed. Marital status and receiving basic living allowance were divided into two categories: Yes and No. Current smoking status was divided into current smokers and none current smokers. Alcohol use was measured depending on whether the participant drank alcohol within a year and categorized as Yes and No. The variable for the number of family members living in the same household was divided into five categories: 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5+. Household income was divided into four categories: high ( $\geq$ 60,000,000 KRW), middle high income ( $\geq$ 35,000,000 KRW <60,000,000 KRW) middle-low income (>20,000,000 KRW), and low income ( $\leq$ 20,000,000 KRW).

#### 2.4. Statistical Analysis

Chi-squared test was used to investigate the association between covariates and depressive symptoms. Multiple logistic regression analysis was used to assess the association between family caregiver status and depressive symptoms. The results were reported using odds ratios (ORs) and confidence intervals (CIs). The subgroup analysis was conducted to investigate the association according to stratified age, job, region, educational level, and income using a multiple logistic regression analysis. After we stratified the participants into subsets based on five variables, to examine how the relationship between family member with dementia and depressive symptoms differs from one characteristic to another. For instance, the probability of depressive symptoms could vary between those who have a white collar job to those who reported to be unemployed. The subgroup analysis could be useful in determining which characteristics of the participants serve as the effect modifier in explaining the relationship between family member with dementia and depressive symptoms. Differences were considered statistically significant at p-values of <0.05. The data were analyzed over the entire population and then stratified by sex using SAS 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc.; Cary, NC, USA).

## 3. Results

Table 1 presents the results of univariate analyses that examine the association between self-rated PHQ-9 score and the presence of patients with dementia in the family and each variable by sex. The overall depressive symptoms scores were higher in women than men (5.1% vs. 2.4%, respectively). The depressive symptoms rate was three times higher in both sexes when their spouse had dementia (10.8% vs. 9.4%). However, the PHQ-9 score was lower when the respondent's parent had dementia (4.7% vs. 2.1%).

Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 2021, 18, 4372

**Table 1.** General characteristics of study subjects.

Total (n = 371,287)	N 447	Yes %	(n = 186,183) N	No				n = 185,104)		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		%		No						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			N			Y	'es	N	o	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	447-	(2.4)	14	%	p Value	N	%	N	%	p Value
		(2.4)	181,709	(97.6)		7681	(4.1)	177,423	(95.9)	
Relation with Dementia Patient					< 0.0001					< 0.0001
Parent	192	(2.1)	8826	(97.9)		432	(4.7)	8730	(95.3)	
Spouse	82	(9.4)	793	(90.6)		73	(10.8)	606	(89.2)	
Other *	19	(3.3)	557	(96.7)		32	(5.1)	593	(94.9)	
None	418	(2.4)	171,533	(97.6)		7144	(4.1)	167,494	(95.9)	
Age					< 0.0001					< 0.0001
19–39	972	(2.1)	44,289	(97.9)		2062	(4.2)	46,465	(95.8)	
40–49	594	(1.8	31,526	(98.2)		876	(2.6)	33,268	(97.4)	
50–59	725	(1.9	36,520	(98.1)		1118	(2.9)	37,348	(97.1)	
60–69	755			(97.9)		1244	(3.9)	30,531	(96.1)	
>70	142	, ,		(96.0)		2381	(7.4)	29,811	(92.6)	
Region			,	, ,	0.0466		, ,	ŕ	, ,	0.3897
Metropolitan	141	) (2.5	54,755	(97.5)		2495	(4.2)	56,802	(95.8)	
Rural	306	, ,		(97.6)		5186	(4.1)	120,621	(95.9)	
Educational level		(		(*****)	< 0.0001		()		(,,,,	< 0.0001
Middle School or Less	180-	4 (3.8)	45,690	(96.2)		3744	(5.9)	60,188	(94.1)	
High School	136	, ,		(97.7)		1927	(3.7)	50,806	(96.3)	
University or Higher	130			(98.4)		2010	(2.9)	66,429	(97.1)	
Job Status	100	(2.0)	70,107	(>0.1)	< 0.0001	_010	(=.>)	00,12	(>>+1)	< 0.0001
White	515	(1.2)	41,412	(98.8)	10.0001	976	(2.6)	36,299	(97.4)	10.0001
Pink	315			(98.4)		940	(3.1)	29,692	(96.9)	
Blue	121	, ,		(98.5)		1133	(3.2)	34,705	(96.8)	
Unemployed	243	, ,	44,037	(94.8)		4632	(5.7)	76,727	(94.3)	
Household Income	210	(0.2	11,007	(>1.0)	< 0.0001	1002	(0.7)	10,121	()1.0)	< 0.0001
High	696	(1.3)	53,689	(98.7)	10.0001	1405	(2.6)	53,651	(97.4)	10.0001
Middle high	777			(98.5)		1397	(2.9)	46,088	(97.1)	
Middle low	671	,		(98.0)		1219	(4.0)	29,128	(96.0)	
Low	233	` .		(95.1)		3360	(6.5)	48,556	(93.5)	
Marital Status	233	(1.)	-10,000	(20.1)	< 0.0001	5500	(0.5)	40,000	(20.0)	< 0.0001
Married	250	5 (1.9)	131,587	(98.1)	<0.0001	3638	(3.1)	115,382	(96.9)	<b>\0.0001</b>
Not Married	196			(96.1)		4043	(6.1)	62,041	(98.9)	

*Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2021**, *18*, 4372

 Table 1. Cont.

	Depressive Symptoms (PHQ-9 $\geq$ 10)									
	Men (n = 186,183)					Women (n = 185,104)				
	Υ	'es	N	o		Y	es	N	О	
	N	%	N	%	p Value	N	%	N	%	p Value
Number of Family Member Living in the Same Household					< 0.0001					< 0.0001
1	1067	(4.8)	20,954	(95.2)		2098	(7.1)	27,601	(92.9)	
2	1803	(2.4)	71,792	(97.6)		2517	(4.1)	59,396	(95.9)	
3	762	(1.9)	38,655	(98.1)		1392	(3.6)	36,862	(96.4)	
4	594	(1.6)	35,809	(98.4)		1141	(3.0)	37,196	(97.0)	
5+	248	(1.7)	14,499	(98.3)		533	(3.2)	16,368	(96.8)	
Current Smoking					< 0.0001					< 0.0001
Current Smoker	1870	(2.9)	63,350	(97.1)		799	(12.4)	5623	(87.6)	
Current Nonsmoker	2604	(2.2)	118,359	(97.8)		6882	(3.9)	171,800	(96.1)	
Alcohol Use in the Past Year					< 0.0001					< 0.0001
Yes	3063	(2.0)	152,712	(98.0)		5034	(3.5)	137,192	(96.5)	
No	1411	(4.6)	28,997	(95.4)		2647	(6.2)	40,231	(93.8)	
Recipient of Basic Living Allowance		, ,		, ,	< 0.0001		` ,		, ,	< 0.0001
Yes	674	(12.7)	4641	(87.3)		875	(15.6)	4722	(84.4)	
No	3800	(2.1)	177,068	(97.9)		6806	(3.8)	172,701	(96.2)	
Year		. ,	,	. ,	< 0.0001		` '	,	, ,	< 0.0001
2018	2459	(2.6)	90,983	(97.4)		4137	(4.4)	90,283	(95.6)	
2019	2015	(2.2)	90,726	(97.8)		3544	(3.9)	87,140	(96.1)	

<sup>\*</sup> Offspring and siblings.

Table 2 reports the logistic regression results stratified by sex for the association between PHQ-9 and the relationship with the patient with dementia for all variables. For both men and women, those who had a spouse with dementia had increased odds of depressive symptoms (women: OR 2.28, CI 1.77–2.93, men: OR 2.65, CI: 2.06–3.32). However, only women showed increased odds of depressive symptoms when the parent was the patient with dementia (OR 1.47, CI 1.32–1.62).

Table 2. Associations between depressive symptoms (PHQ-9) and participants demographics.

	Depressive Symptoms (PHQ-9 * $\geq$ 10)							
Variables	1	Men	Women					
	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI				
Relation with Dementia Patient								
Parent	1.07	(0.92-1.24)	1.47	(1.32-1.62)				
Spouse	2.65	(2.06-3.32)	2.28	(1.77-2.93)				
Other *	1.18	(0.74-1.89)	1.00	(0.69-1.43)				
None	1.00		1.00					
Age								
19–39	1.00		1.00					
40–49	1.02	(0.91-1.14)	0.58	(0.53-0.63)				
50-59	0.81	(0.72-0.91)	0.51	(0.46-0.55)				
60–69	0.60	(0.53–0.69)	0.47	(0.42-0.52)				
>70	0.72	(0.63-0.82)	0.60	(0.54-0.67				
Region	*** =	(0.00 0.00_)	0.00	(0.0 - 0.01)				
Metropolitan	1.00		1.00					
Rural	0.91	(0.85-0.97)	0.91	(0.86-0.95)				
Educational level	J.,, I	(0.00 0.77)	0.71	(0.00 0.70)				
Middle School or Less	1.76	(1.58-1.95)	1.91	(1.73-2.11)				
High School	1.34	(1.23–1.47)	1.50	(1.39–1.62)				
University or Higher	1.00	(1.25 1.47)	1.00	(1.0) 1.02				
Job Status	1.00		1.00					
White	1.00		1.00					
Pink	1.08	(0.93-1.24)	0.95	(0.87–1.05)				
Blue	0.89	(0.79–1.24)	0.93					
		(2.08–2.62)		(0.75–0.92) (1.30–1.53)				
Unemployed Household income	2.33	(2.06–2.62)	1.41	(1.30–1.33)				
	1.00		1.00					
High	1.10	(0.00, 1.22)	1.00	(0.04.1.00)				
Middle high		(0.99–1.23)		(0.94–1.09)				
Middle low	1.23	(1.09–1.38)	1.26	(1.16–1.37)				
Low	1.83	(1.64-2.05)	1.68	(1.54–1.83)				
Marital Status	0.72	(0 (7, 0 00)	0.71	(0.67.075)				
Married	0.73	(0.67-0.80)	0.71	(0.67-0.75)				
Not Married	1.00		1.00					
Number of Family Member								
Living in the Same Household	1.00		1.00					
1	1.00	(0.66, 0.00)	1.00	(0.0F. 1.10)				
2	0.73	(0.66–0.80)	1.02	(0.95–1.10)				
3	0.78	(0.69–0.87)	1.07	(0.98–1.17)				
4	0.78	(0.69-0.89)	0.99	(0.90–1.09)				
5+	0.76	(0.64-0.89)	0.95	(0.85-1.07)				
Current Smoking								
Current Smoker	1.53	(1.44-1.64)	2.89	(2.66-3.14)				
None Current Smoker	1.00		1.00					
Alcohol Use in the Past Year								
Yes	0.57	(0.53-0.61)	0.71	(0.68-0.75)				
No	1.00		1.00					
Recipient of Basic Living Allowance								
Yes	2.34	(2.11-2.58)	2.23	(2.05-2.43)				
No	1.00		1.00					
Year								
2018	1.00		1.00					
2019	0.81	(0.76-0.86)	0.91	(0.87-0.95)				

<sup>\*</sup> Offspring and siblings.

Table 3 reports the subgroup analysis of the association between relation with dementia patient and caregiver' depressive symptoms stratified by sociodemographic variables.

For women who had a parent with dementia, all regions, income levels, and job status had a higher probability of depressive symptoms. Regardless of gender, when having a spouse with dementia, all regions, blue collar jobs or unemployed had a higher probability of depressive symptoms.

**Table 3.** Subgroup analysis of the association between relation with dementia patient and caregiver' depressive symptoms stratified by sociodemographic variables.

	PHQ-9 ≥ 10										
Variables	Relation with Dementia Patient										
· unius its	None	]	Parent	9	Spouse	Others *					
	OR	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI				
Men											
Age											
19–39	1.00	0.97	(0.70-1.35)	3.29	(1.18-9.18)	1.51	(0.36-6.26				
40-49	1.00	1.17	(0.82-1.68)	1.08	(0.14-8.04)	0.86	(0.11–6.78				
50-59	1.00	0.98	(0.72-1.33)	3.29	(0.99-10.92)	0.57	(0.07-4.44				
60–69	1.00	1.25	(0.91-1.72)	7.74	(4.17–14.37)	1.74	(0.70-4.33				
≥70	1.00	1.12	(0.73–1.70)	2.34	(1.77–3.09)	1.13	(0.59–2.15				
Job Status	1.00	1.12	(0.70 1.70)	2.01	(1.77 0.05)	1.10	(0.0) 2.10				
White	1.00	0.85	(0.53-1.35)	2.89	(0.69-12.12)	1.55	(0.21–11.3				
Pink	1.00	1.14	(0.69–1.88)	5.25	(0.09-12.12) (1.21-22.75)	1.33	(0.18–9.91				
			,		` ,		,				
Blue	1.00	1.30	(1.01–1.68)	4.74	(2.97–7.58)	1.67	(0.73–3.80				
Unemployed	1.00	1.02	(0.81-1.27)	2.22	(1.67-2.95)	0.97	(0.52-1.81				
Region											
Metropolitan	1.00	0.81	(0.60-1.09)	2.38	(1.45-3.90)	0.60	(0.19-1.93				
Rural	1.00	1.19	(1.00-1.42)	2.68	(2.04-3.52)	1.44	(0.86-2.42				
Educational level											
Middle school or less	1.00	1.08	(0.81-1.44)	2.52	(1.88-3.38)	1.19	(0.62-2.28)				
High school	1.00	1.05	(0.81-1.35)	2.54	(1.46-4.40)	1.06	(0.42-2.65)				
College or over	1.00	1.13	(0.86-1.42)	3.86	(2.06–7.21)	1.39	(0.50-3.82				
Income			(0.00)		(=:00 : :==)		(0.00				
High	1.00	0.75	(0.52-1.08)	4.28	(1.94-9.45)	1.54	(0.37-6.37				
Middle high	1.00	0.97	(0.69–1.35)	2.74	(1.08–6.90)	1.24	(0.30–5.07				
Middle low	1.00	1.49	(1.07–2.08)	1.94	(0.78–4.82)	1.60	(0.58–4.39				
Low	1.00	1.18	(0.93–1.49)	2.65	(2.02–3.48)	1.03	(0.55–1.92				
Women	1.00		(0.50 1.15)		(2.02 0.10)	1.00	(0.00 1.72				
Age											
19–39	1.00	1.65	(1.37-1.99)	2.63	(1.01-6.84)	0.69	(0.16.2.99				
			,		,		(0.16–2.88				
40–49	1.00	1.20	(0.93–1.56)	0.65	(0.08–4.87)	0.99	(0.13–7.33				
50–59	1.00	1.59	(1.31-1.94)	1.74	(0.61–4.95)	1.40	(0.49–3.96				
60–69	1.00	1.53	(1.19-1.97)	2.13	(1.23–3.70)	1.50	(0.82–2.72				
≥70	1.00	1.17	(0.84-1.63)	2.38	(1.73-3.27)	0.78	(0.44–1.38				
Job Status											
White	1.00	1.37	(1.05-1.79)	1.65	(0.39-6.90)	2.13	(0.65-6.92				
Pink	1.00	1.49	(1.16-1.91)	2.54	(0.99-6.50)	1.94	(0.77-4.86)				
Blue	1.00	1.63	(1.25-2.12)	2.11	(1.16-3.85)	0.54	(0.17-1.74)				
Unemployed	1.00	1.44	(1.25-1.66)	2.32	(1.72-3.13)	0.92	(0.59-1.45				
Region			,		,		`				
Metropolitan	1.00	1.27	(1.05-1.52)	3.21	(2.02-5.09)	0.76	(0.35-1.64				
Rural	1.00	1.57	(1.39–1.78)	2.01	(1.48–2.71)	1.09	(0.72–1.65				
Educational level	1.00	1.07	(1.0, 1.70)	1	(1.10 2.71)	1.07	(0.72 1.00				
	1.00	1.34	(1 11, 1 62)	2 18	(1.64–2.91)	1 12	(0.75–1.71				
Middle school or less	1.00 1.00	1.60	(1.11–1.62) (1.35–1.90)	2.18	(0.92–4.02)	1.13	(0.75–1.71				
High school				1.92		0.51					
College or over	1.00	1.44	(1.21-1.71)	3.64	(1.74-7.61)	0.91	(0.28–2.96				
Income	4.00	4.54	(4.0E. 1.11)	2.22	(4.00 = 00)	o :-	(0.01.5.				
High	1.00	1.31	(1.07-1.61)	3.02	(1.30–7.00)	0.47	(0.06–3.39				
Middle high	1.00	1.68	(1.37-2.06)	1.62	(0.50-5.24)	0.95	(0.30–3.05				
Middle low	1.00	1.45	(1.14-1.84)	2.18	(1.04-4.54)	0.92	(0.33-2.53				
Low	1.00	1.45	(1.20-1.75)	2.32	(1.73-3.11)	1.07	(0.70-1.64)				

<sup>\*</sup> Offspring and siblings.

#### 4. Discussion

This study used the KCHS to analyze the association between the presence of patients with dementia in the family and family caregivers' depressive symptoms. Our results showed that when the patient with dementia was a parent, women alone were likely to have depressive symptoms, and when the patient with dementia was a spouse, both men and women were likely to have depressive symptoms. The generality of women having higher depressive symptoms than men was consistent with a previous series of studies using the CES-D to provide evidence that women caregivers score higher than men [18,19].

Depressive symptoms in women when the patient with dementia was a parent may be due to cultural factors. One of the cultural norms in Korea is filial piety, which entails that the eldest son and his wife repay their parents by helping them to live comfortably and helping them carry out any difficult tasks [20]. In a cross-cultural study of caregiving, it was reported that 55.0% of primary caregivers for a dementia-affected elder in Korea were daughters-in-law. In addition, the relationship between the parent-in-law and the daughter-in-law has a weaker emotional connection than others [21]. Korean families in modern society are still maintaining norms of Confucianism and a patriarchal system, despite changes such as nuclear familization and increased individualism. The cultural stipulation that the realm of family is the domain of women remains in place [22] Consequently, this role puts enormous stress on married women, and they struggle to balance child-rearing activities and professional careers with the additional task of providing care for their older parents [23]. For men, a job-oriented lifestyle has made it difficult for to participate in household chores or family issues [24].

Regardless of gender, when one's spouse or significant other has dementia, there is a higher chance of depressive symptoms, and this could involve many factors. First, due to demographic changes in society, men have adopted caring roles more than ever before, for example, 44% of men in the USA and nearly 40% of men in Canada took the role of caregiving [25]. This phenomenon is no different in Korea as well. It is said that men are burdened not only by caring for patients with dementia, but also by the fact that housework is added to their burden [26]. Second, spouse caregivers may not have expected their role to be that of a caregiver. As explained above, the cultural norm of filial piety has made parents expect their children to provide care. However, when the role of caregiver is handed to the spouse, they may not be prepared for the role. Third, spouse caregivers are likely to have poorer personal health and lower social support than their adult children because of their age [27]. When the spouse has to take on a caregiver role, it results in spouses giving up most of their own lives to take care of their significant other [28]. It is also known that spouse caregivers are often emotionally fatigued and are required to prioritize care for their spouses rather than their own health [29]. The only treatment is early detection to prevent further worsening of dementia. This fact can make spouses feel anxiety and fear, and when dementia reaches a certain stage, spouses feel powerless [26].

In the study, when both men and women had white collar jobs, the likelihood of depressive symptoms was insignificant. This may be because better remuneration contributes to lower levels of burden. It could also be said that someone in a white collar job could develop more effective skills in managing the problems of care and personal stress. These factors may function as buffers to the stressor of caregiving [30]. In women, not having a job had the most significant effect in relation to employment status. It needs to be considered that the unemployed category also includes housewives. The role of a housewife in Korea is a mixture of a social role burden and a personal burden [31]. Because housekeeping and family labor are not socially recognized, when housewives can obtain a socially recognized job and integrate into society, they are more likely to feel satisfied [32]. This can be compared to men, in whom pink collar and blue collar jobs showed the most significant effects.

Both men and women who lived in rural areas had a higher chance of having depressive symptoms. This could be due to the lack of medical support in rural areas. Dementia caregivers living in rural settings experience increased challenges, such as far distances

and limited options for health and supportive services, such as local dementia-friendly acute services [33]. In particular, the accessibility of medical and welfare facilities is a very important factor in the health of elderly people with dementia. However, in Korea, medical and welfare institutions are concentrated in large cities, and there is a shortage of qualified labor in rural areas. In addition, in smaller cities, it is difficult to secure manpower and space for centers focused on specific aspects of health; therefore, many health centers combine dementia projects with projects focused on other health issues, rendering it difficult to implement dementia-specific projects such as dementia screening and counseling [34].

The current study has several limitations. First, the severity of dementia and the duration of dementia are not known. Participants in this study may have been influenced by the severity of dementia. It is known that the more severe the dementia, the more the stress and the more likely family caregivers are to have severe depression. Second, although the PHQ-9, which has been tested for validity and reliability, was utilized in this study, the participants' reports of depressive symptoms might still be subjective and imperfect. However, this survey was conducted by a trustworthy national institution, the KDCA, using skilled interviewers and a computer-based system. For this reason, PHQ-9 scores should be a good indicators of depressive symptoms. Third, it was not possible to adjust for other diagnoses of psychiatric disorders in caregivers. Fourth, as our study was crosssectional in nature, a causal relationship could not be established. Despite these limitations, this study has several strengths. We used the most recent nationally representative database to determine associations. Therefore, the results are highly representative of the adult population in South Korea. In addition, we utilized the self-reported PHQ-9, an easy and valid tool for screening patients with depressive symptoms. To our knowledge, this is the first study using the PHQ-9 with KCHS data to determine the association between living with patients with dementia and family caregivers' depressive symptoms.

### 5. Conclusions

The results of this study confirm an association between the presence of patients with dementia in a family and family caregivers' depressive symptoms. In particular, when the patient with dementia is a spouse, both women and men are likely to have depressive symptoms, and when the patient with dementia is a parent, women are likely to have depressive symptoms. National-level policies are needed to support the family caregivers' and provide necessary treatments.

**Author Contributions:** M.P. designed this study, performed statistical analysis, drafted and completed the manuscript. F.N. and S.H.K. contributed to the concept and design of the study and revised the manuscript. E.-C.P. and S.-I.J. conceived, designed and directed this study. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** Ethical review and approval were waived for this study, because the KCHS survey data are openly published.

**Informed Consent Statement:** Patient consent was waived because information was fully anonymized prior to analysis.

**Data Availability Statement:** Publicly available datasets were analyzed in this study. This data can be found here: [https://chs.cdc.go.kr/chs/index.do].

**Acknowledgments:** We would like to thank the Korea Center for Disease Control and Prevention Agency (KDCA) for providing the raw data from the Korea Community Health Survey (KCHS). The paper's contents are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official views of KDCA.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

### References

- 1. Alzheimer's Association; Thies, W.; Bleiler, L. Alzheimer's Association; Thies, W.; Bleiler, L. 2013 Alzheimer's disease facts and figures. *Alzheimer's Dement.* 2013, *9*, 208–245. [CrossRef]
- 2. Lee, Y.C.; Kang, J.M.; Lee, H.; Kim, K.; Kim, S.; Yu, T.Y.; Lee, E.-M.; Kim, C.T.; Kim, D.K.; Lewis, M.; et al. The public health impact of alzheimer's disease 2000–2050: Potential implication of treatment advances. *Annu. Rev. Public Health* **2002**, 23, 213–231.
- 3. Lee, Y.C.; Kang, J.M.; Lee, H.; Kim, K.; Kim, S.; Yu, T.Y.; Lee, E.M.; Kim, C.T.; Kim, D.K.; Lewis, M.; et al. Subjective cognitive decline and subsequent dementia: A nationwide cohort study of 579,710 people aged 66 years in South Korea. *Alzheimer's Res. Ther.* 2020, 12, 1–13. [CrossRef]
- 4. Livingston, G.; Huntley, J.; Sommerlad, A.; Ames, D.; Ballard, C.; Banerjee, S.; Brayne, C.; Burns, A.; Cohen-Mansfield, J.; Cooper, C.; et al. Dementia prevention, intervention, and care: 2020 report of the Lancet Commission. *Lancet* 2020, 396, 413–446. [CrossRef]
- 5. Kim, J.; Jeong, I.; Chun, J.-H.; Lee, S. The prevalence of dementia in a metropolitan city of South Korea. *Int. J. Geriatr. Psychiatry* **2003**, *18*, 617–622. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 6. Park, J.; Ko, H.J.; Park, Y.N.; Jung, C.-H. Dementia among the elderly in a rural Korean community. *Br. J. Psychiatry* **1994**, 164, 796–801. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 7. Kim, H.J.; Park, E.; Storr, C.L.; Tran, K.; Juon, H.-S. Depression among Asian-American adults in the community: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS ONE* **2015**, *10*, e0127760. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 8. Fried, E.I.; Nesse, R.M. The impact of individual depressive symptoms on impairment of psychosocial functioning. *PLoS ONE* **2014**, *9*, e90311. [CrossRef]
- 9. Kassebaum, N.J.; Arora, M.; Barber, R.M.; Bhutta, Z.A.; Brown, J.; Carter, A.; Casey, D.C.; Charlson, F.J.; Coates, M.M.; Coggeshall, M.; et al. Global, regional, and national Disability-Adjusted Life-Years (DALYs) for 315 diseases and injuries and Healthy Life Expectancy (HALE) 1990–2015: A systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2015. *Lancet* 2016, 388, 1603–1658. [CrossRef]
- 10. Koo, S.K. Depression status in Korea. Osong Public Health Res. Perspect. 2018, 9, 141. [CrossRef]
- 11. Kim, H.J.; Kehoe, P.; Gibbs, L.M.; Lee, J.-A. Caregiving experience of dementia among Korean American family caregivers. *Issues Ment. Health Nurs.* **2019**, 40, 158–165. [CrossRef]
- 12. Spira, A.P.; Beaudreau, S.A.; Jimenez, D.; Kierod, K.; Cusing, M.M.; Gray, H.L.; Gallagher-Thompson, D. Experiential avoidance, acceptance, and depression in dementia family caregivers. *Clin. Gerontol.* **2007**, *30*, 55–64. [CrossRef]
- 13. Epstein-Lubow, G.; Gaudiano, B.; Darling, E.; Hinckley, M.; Tremont, G.; Kohn, R.; Marino, L.J.; Salloway, S.; Grinnell, R.; Miller, I.W. Differences in depression severity in family caregivers of hospitalized individuals with dementia and family caregivers of outpatients with dementia. *Am. J. Geriatr. Psychiatry* **2012**, *20*, 815–819. [CrossRef]
- 14. Jang, S.I.; Bae, H.C.; Shin, J.; Jang, S.Y.; Hong, S.; Han, K.T.; Park, E.C. Depression in the family of patients with dementia in Korea. *Am. J. Alzheimer's Dis. Other Dement.* **2016**, *31*, 481–491. [CrossRef]
- 15. Son, G.R.; Wykle, M.L.; Zausniewski, J.A. Korean adult child caregivers of older adults with dementia: Predictors of burden and satisfaction. *J. Gerontol. Nurs.* **2003**, 29, 19–28. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 16. Kim, H.; Jeong, W.; Kwon, J.; Kim, Y.; Jang, S.-I.; Park, E.-C. Sex differences in type of exercise associated with depression in South Korean adults. *Sci. Rep.* **2020**, *10*, 1–10.
- 17. Levis, B.; Benedetti, A.; Thombs, B.D. Accuracy of Patient Health Questionnaire-9 (PHQ-9) for screening to detect major depression: Individual participant data meta-analysis. *BMJ* **2019**, *365*, l1476. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 18. Lutzky, S.M.; Knight, B.G. Explaining gender differences in caregiver distress: The roles of emotional attentiveness and coping styles. *Psychol. Aging* **1994**, *9*, 513. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 19. Rose-Rego, S.K.; Strauss, M.E.; Smyth, K.A. Differences in the perceived well-being of wives and husbands caring for persons with Alzheimer's disease. *Gerontologist* **1998**, *38*, 224–230. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 20. Lee, E.E.; Farran, C.J. Depression among Korean, Korean American, and Caucasian American family caregivers. *J. Transcult. Nurs.* **2004**, *15*, 18–25. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 21. Lee, G.O. Original articles: A study on quality of life and its' influencing factors in family caregivers caring for dementia patient. *J. Korean Acad. Psychiatr. Ment. Health Nurs.* **2003**, 12, 15–26.
- 22. Chee, Y.K.; Levkoff, S.E. Culture and dementia: Accounts by family caregivers and health professionals for dementia-affected elders in South Korea. *J. Cross-Cult. Gerontol.* **2001**, *16*, 111–125. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 23. Kang, H.S.; Go, K.J.; Kim, W.O.; Kim, E.S.; Khim, S.Y.; Kim, H.L.; Shin, S.O.; Oh, S.E.; Won, J.S.; Li, C.Y.; et al. The lives of daughters-in-law who care for parents with dementia. *J. Korean Acad. Nurs.* **1999**, 29, 1233–1243. [CrossRef]
- 24. Park, C.-M.; Okada, S. Recognition of a Housewife for Rearing-related Supports of a Husband and its Relationship with Mental Health-Comparison between Korea and Japan. *Korean J. Health Educ. Promot.* **2007**, 24, 161–179.
- 25. Baker, K.L.; Robertson, N.; Connelly, D. Men caring for wives or partners with dementia: Masculinity, strain and gain. *Aging Ment. Health* **2010**, *14*, 319–327. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 26. Hong, M. A phenomenological study of the experience of male spouses providing care for teh elderly with dementia. *J. Humanit. Soc. Sci.* 21 **2020**, 11, 813–828.
- 27. Hong, G.R.S.; Kim, H. Family caregiver burden by relationship to care recipient with dementia in Korea. *Geriatr. Nurs.* **2008**, 29, 267–274. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 28. Jansson, W.; Nordberg, G.; Grafström, M. Patterns of elderly spousal caregiving in dementia care: An observational study. *J. Adv. Nurs.* **2001**, *34*, 804–812. [CrossRef]
- 29. Kim, W.; Lee, T.; Shin, J.; Park, E. Depressive symptoms in spouse caregivers of dementia patients: A longitudinal study in South Korea. *Geriatr. Gerontol. Int.* **2017**, *17*, 973–983. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 30. Papastavrou, E.; Kalokerinou, A.; Papacostas, S.S.; Tsangari, H.; Sourtzi, P. Caring for a relative with dementia: Family caregiver burden. *J. Adv. Nurs.* **2007**, *58*, 446–457. [CrossRef]
- 31. Lee, H.S.; Kim, D.K.; Ko, H.J.; Ku, H.M.; Kwon, E.J.; Kim, J.H. Measurement of stress in the caregivers of dementia patients: Reliability and validity of the revised-memory and behavior problem checklist and the burden interview. *Korean J. Clin. Psychol.* **2004**, 23, 1029–1050.
- 32. Park, J.-H. The effect of multiple Roles of South Korean married working mothers: Role gratification and strain, and factors which influence potential role gratification and strain. *J. Korean Home Manag. Assoc.* **2005**, 23, 117–131.
- 33. Bauer, M.; Fetherstonhaugh, D.; Blackberry, I.; Farmer, J.; Wilding, C. Identifying support needs to improve rural dementia services for people with dementia and their carers: A consultation study in Victoria, Australia. *Aust. J. Rural Health* **2019**, 27, 22–27. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 34. Jang, H. A study on a regional difference of service delivery system for the dementia in local governments. *Health Soc. Welf. Rev.* **2016**, *36*, 385–420. [CrossRef]