Supplementary Material

Supplementary Text S1. Search strategy Search strategy

(((Child[Title/Abstract] OR Children[Title/Abstract] OR Offspring[Title/Abstract] OR Infants of diabetic mothers[Title/Abstract] OR Offspring of diabetic mothers[Title/Abstract])) AND (pregnancy in diabetes OR diabetes in pregnancy OR antepartum diabetes OR gestational diabetes OR diabetic mothers)) AND (motor development OR perceptual motor development OR childhood development OR early childhood development OR psychomotor development OR motor coordination OR motor skills OR gross motor development OR fine motor development)

Supplementary Tuble D1.	Princhar <i>j</i> Tuble <u>b</u> I Excluded bladleb at tail text					
Study	Exclusion reason					
de Moura et al., 2010	Aggregated, not itemised developmental scores.					
Hinkle et al., 2012	No mention of diabetes.					
Kimmerle et al., 1995	Results are descriptively reported.					
Kowalczyk et al., 2002	Results are not reported in means and SD's. There is one					
	aggregate score for whole the scale, which means the					
	contribution of motor skills is unknown.					
Petersen et al., 1988	Results are reported in percentages, not means and SD's					
Rizzo et al., 1991	Motor skill development is not specifically mentioned.					
Rizzo et al., 1995	Correlations between maternal diabetes severity and child					
	development only. No comparison with age-controlled peers.					
Rizzo et al., 1997	Children's scores are correlated with maternal diabetes					
	severity. No discrete motor skills scores.					
Rizzo et al., 1994	No specific motor skills scores.					
Silverman et al., 1991	No specific motor skills scores.					
Torabi et al., 2012	Results do not specifically state the relationship between					
	maternal gestational diabetes and motor skills development.					
	They only state general developmental delay.					
Yamashita et al., 1996	Results do not report on motor skills.					
Yeung et al., 2017	No specific reporting on the effect of maternal diabetes					

Supplementary Table S1. Excluded studies at full text

Study	Country	Setting/context	Participant	Group A	Group B	Exposures/variables	Description of main
			characteristics	description	description and	measured	results
				and sample	sample		
Biesenbach G.	Austria	Prospective study	N =40 Women	n= 30	n =10 children	At 3 years of age,	At age 3, children of the
2000.		comparing	with diabetes	children	born to 10	body weight, height,	mothers with
		development of	and their	from the	diabetic women	month of life starting	nephropathy were more
		children of diabetic	children	pregnancies	with Stage IV	to walk, month of	likely to be below the
		mothers, with and	contacted	of 28	nephropathy	like starting to talk,	50th percentile in height
		without Stage IV	between 3 -7	diabetic		number of infectious	and weight, both groups
		diabetic	years post-	women		diseases per child.	started to walk at the
		nephropathy born	partum	without			same age. Children of
		between 1985-1993		nephropathy			mothers with
							nephropathy began
							speaking on average
							three months later than
							the other children.
Churchill JA.	United	To determine	Participants	Group 1 N=	Group 3 n= 110	Duration of	The infants of diabetic
1969.	States of	whether the	were drawn	134 (67	(55 matched	pregnancy; birth	mothers differed
	America	neurological and	from the	matched	pairs, 26 (47.2%)	weight, Bayley	significantly from
		psychological	Perinatal Study	pairs, 34	F, 29 (52.8%) M	scales, Neurological	matched controls in
		status of children	of the National	(50.7%) F,	non-diabetic	posturing scales,	Bayley mental and
		born to diabetic	Institute of	and 33	mothers and	Stanford-Binet IQ	motor scores at 6
		mothers differs	Neurological	(49.3%) M)	Class 2 diabetic	test at 4 years of age	months, posturing
		from that of	Diseases and	diabetic	mothers with		rating scale at 12
		children born to	Blindness, and	mothers and	acetonuria;		months and Binet IQ at
		non-diabetic	included only	diabetic	Group $4 n = 36$		4 years. Infants of
		mothers	children from	mothers	(18 matched		mothers who were
			pregnancies	with Class	pairs, 6 (33.3) F,		diabetic and acetonuria
			resulting in	A diabetes	12 (66%) M		positive showed
			singleton births	and	nondiabetic		significantly greater
			who had also	acetonuria.	mothers and		developmental deficits

Supplementary Table S2. Characteristics of case control included studies

			been administered the Bayley mental and motor examination at 8 months of age or the Stanford -Binet IQ test at 4 years of age. N= 237	Group 2 n= 146 (73, matched pairs 30 (41%) F, 43(59%) M non - diabetic and diabetic mothers with Class A diabetes but no acetonuria	Class 2 diabetic mothers without acetonuria; Group 5 n= 24 matched pairs non diabetic mothers and mothers with all classes of diabetes not tested fro acetonuria		than matched controls. Infants born to mothers who were diabetic and acetone-negative diabetic did not differ from their matched controls. The authors conclude that it was the presence or absence of acetonuria, not the severity of diabetes that explained the differences found.
Bolaños L. 2015.	Mexico	To determine whether child born to mothers with gestational diabetes show neuropsychological developmental delays at age 7 years, born between March 1998 and September 1999. 215 children	N= 215 (excluded were unschooled children, twins, children with neurological disorders secondary to diseases acquired postnatally). Final sample n= 64, Children were all similar in terms of age, gender and handedness	Control group, no maternal diabetes n= 28, mean age 8.82 (0.593) years, 16 (57%) F, 12 (43%) M	Gestational diabetes group n= 32, mean age 8.88 (0.575) years, 17 (53%) F, 15 (47%) M	Birth weight in Kg, number of weeks gestation, mother's age at birth; The Child Neurological Evaluation, Purdue Pegboard Dexterity Test, and Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children	The gestational diabetes group children had significantly lower scores on graphic, spatial abilities and working memory index of the WISC-IV. Bianual skills, were significantly lower and there were more soft neurological signs in children whose mothers had gestational diabetes than children in the control group.

Hod M. 1999.	Israel	Longitudinal study	N=72 pregnant	n=41	n= 31 Infants	Psychomotor	Both MDI and PDI
		of mothers and	women at 20-	infants of	born to mothers	development in	scores were
		infants	28 weeks	matched	with	infants at one year of	significantly lower in
			gestation	control	pregestational	age using the Bayley	infants of diabetic
				mothers	diabetes (21 type	Scales of Infant	mothers compared with
				with no	1, 10 type 2)	Development (MDI	the control group.
				diabetes		and PDI)	Infants of diabetic
							mothers were less alert
							and responsive and
							more likely to be fretful
							and cry.
							Moreover, infants of
							mothers with type 2
							diabetes had lower
							scores on the PDI and
							motor quality index.
Levy-Shiff R.	Israel	Longitudinal case	N=153 women	N= 53	n= 49 non	Pregnant women:	Women with diabetes
Levy-Shiff R. 2002.	Israel	Longitudinal case control study of	N= 153 women without	N= 53 Women	n= 49 non diabetic	Pregnant women: Cognitive appraisal	Women with diabetes during pregnancy were
Levy-Shiff R. 2002.	Israel	Longitudinal case control study of maternal	N= 153 women without diabetes, or	N= 53 Women with	n= 49 non diabetic pregnanciesmean	Pregnant women: Cognitive appraisal of pregnancy as a	Women with diabetes during pregnancy were more likely to
Levy-Shiff R. 2002.	Israel	Longitudinal case control study of maternal adjustment	N= 153 women without diabetes, or pregestational,	N= 53 Women with PGDM,	n= 49 non diabetic pregnanciesmean age 31.8 (5.0	Pregnant women: Cognitive appraisal of pregnancy as a challenge and threat	Women with diabetes during pregnancy were more likely to experience negative
Levy-Shiff R. 2002.	Israel	Longitudinal case control study of maternal adjustment offspring outcomes	N= 153 women without diabetes, or pregestational, gestational	N= 53 Women with PGDM, Group 2 N	n= 49 non diabetic pregnanciesmean age 31.8 (5.0 SD) years	Pregnant women: Cognitive appraisal of pregnancy as a challenge and threat (Folkman & Lazarus,	Women with diabetes during pregnancy were more likely to experience negative emotions and negative
Levy-Shiff R. 2002.	Israel	Longitudinal case control study of maternal adjustment offspring outcomes of high risk	N= 153 women without diabetes, or pregestational, gestational diabetes and	N= 53 Women with PGDM, Group 2 N =51 GDM	n= 49 non diabetic pregnanciesmean age 31.8 (5.0 SD) years	Pregnant women: Cognitive appraisal of pregnancy as a challenge and threat (Folkman & Lazarus, 1985); Ways of	Women with diabetes during pregnancy were more likely to experience negative emotions and negative cognition about the
Levy-Shiff R. 2002.	Israel	Longitudinal case control study of maternal adjustment offspring outcomes of high risk pregnancies	N= 153 women without diabetes, or pregestational, gestational diabetes and and their	N= 53 Women with PGDM, Group 2 N =51 GDM Mean age	n= 49 non diabetic pregnanciesmean age 31.8 (5.0 SD) years	Pregnant women: Cognitive appraisal of pregnancy as a challenge and threat (Folkman & Lazarus, 1985); Ways of coping checklist	Women with diabetes during pregnancy were more likely to experience negative emotions and negative cognition about the pregnancy than women
Levy-Shiff R. 2002.	Israel	Longitudinal case control study of maternal adjustment offspring outcomes of high risk pregnancies	N= 153 women without diabetes, or pregestational, gestational diabetes and and their singleton	N= 53 Women with PGDM, Group 2 N =51 GDM Mean age 32.6	n= 49 non diabetic pregnanciesmean age 31.8 (5.0 SD) years	Pregnant women: Cognitive appraisal of pregnancy as a challenge and threat (Folkman & Lazarus, 1985); Ways of coping checklist (Folkman & Lazarus,	Women with diabetes during pregnancy were more likely to experience negative emotions and negative cognition about the pregnancy than women without diabetes.
Levy-Shiff R. 2002.	Israel	Longitudinal case control study of maternal adjustment offspring outcomes of high risk pregnancies	N= 153 women without diabetes, or pregestational, gestational diabetes and and their singleton offspring,	N=53 Women with PGDM, Group 2 N =51 GDM Mean age 32.6 (13.2SD)	n= 49 non diabetic pregnanciesmean age 31.8 (5.0 SD) years	Pregnant women: Cognitive appraisal of pregnancy as a challenge and threat (Folkman & Lazarus, 1985); Ways of coping checklist (Folkman & Lazarus, 1985), Social Support	Women with diabetes during pregnancy were more likely to experience negative emotions and negative cognition about the pregnancy than women without diabetes. Infants of mothers with
Levy-Shiff R. 2002.	Israel	Longitudinal case control study of maternal adjustment offspring outcomes of high risk pregnancies	N= 153 women without diabetes, or pregestational, gestational diabetes and and their singleton offspring, evaluated at 1	N= 53 Women with PGDM, Group 2 N =51 GDM Mean age 32.6 (13.2SD) years	n= 49 non diabetic pregnanciesmean age 31.8 (5.0 SD) years	Pregnant women: Cognitive appraisal of pregnancy as a challenge and threat (Folkman & Lazarus, 1985); Ways of coping checklist (Folkman & Lazarus, 1985), Social Support Questionnaire (Crnic,	Women with diabetes during pregnancy were more likely to experience negative emotions and negative cognition about the pregnancy than women without diabetes. Infants of mothers with PGDM and GDM
Levy-Shiff R. 2002.	Israel	Longitudinal case control study of maternal adjustment offspring outcomes of high risk pregnancies	N= 153 women without diabetes, or pregestational, gestational diabetes and and their singleton offspring, evaluated at 1 year of age	N= 53 Women with PGDM, Group 2 N =51 GDM Mean age 32.6 (13.2SD) years	n= 49 non diabetic pregnanciesmean age 31.8 (5.0 SD) years	Pregnant women: Cognitive appraisal of pregnancy as a challenge and threat (Folkman & Lazarus, 1985); Ways of coping checklist (Folkman & Lazarus, 1985), Social Support Questionnaire (Crnic, Greenberg, &	Women with diabetes during pregnancy were more likely to experience negative emotions and negative cognition about the pregnancy than women without diabetes. Infants of mothers with PGDM and GDM scored significantly
Levy-Shiff R. 2002.	Israel	Longitudinal case control study of maternal adjustment offspring outcomes of high risk pregnancies	N= 153 women without diabetes, or pregestational, gestational diabetes and and their singleton offspring, evaluated at 1 year of age	N= 53 Women with PGDM, Group 2 N =51 GDM Mean age 32.6 (13.2SD) years	n= 49 non diabetic pregnanciesmean age 31.8 (5.0 SD) years	Pregnant women: Cognitive appraisal of pregnancy as a challenge and threat (Folkman & Lazarus, 1985); Ways of coping checklist (Folkman & Lazarus, 1985), Social Support Questionnaire (Crnic, Greenberg, & Slough, 1986),	Women with diabetes during pregnancy were more likely to experience negative emotions and negative cognition about the pregnancy than women without diabetes. Infants of mothers with PGDM and GDM scored significantly lower than infants of
Levy-Shiff R. 2002.	Israel	Longitudinal case control study of maternal adjustment offspring outcomes of high risk pregnancies	N= 153 women without diabetes, or pregestational, gestational diabetes and and their singleton offspring, evaluated at 1 year of age	N= 53 Women with PGDM, Group 2 N =51 GDM Mean age 32.6 (13.2SD) years	n= 49 non diabetic pregnanciesmean age 31.8 (5.0 SD) years	Pregnant women: Cognitive appraisal of pregnancy as a challenge and threat (Folkman & Lazarus, 1985); Ways of coping checklist (Folkman & Lazarus, 1985), Social Support Questionnaire (Crnic, Greenberg, & Slough, 1986), Pregnancy-related	Women with diabetes during pregnancy were more likely to experience negative emotions and negative cognition about the pregnancy than women without diabetes. Infants of mothers with PGDM and GDM scored significantly lower than infants of non-diabetic mothers on
Levy-Shiff R. 2002.	Israel	Longitudinal case control study of maternal adjustment offspring outcomes of high risk pregnancies	N= 153 women without diabetes, or pregestational, gestational diabetes and and their singleton offspring, evaluated at 1 year of age	N= 53 Women with PGDM, Group 2 N =51 GDM Mean age 32.6 (13.2SD) years	n= 49 non diabetic pregnanciesmean age 31.8 (5.0 SD) years	Pregnant women: Cognitive appraisal of pregnancy as a challenge and threat (Folkman & Lazarus, 1985); Ways of coping checklist (Folkman & Lazarus, 1985), Social Support Questionnaire (Crnic, Greenberg, & Slough, 1986), Pregnancy-related emotions (Folkman	Women with diabetes during pregnancy were more likely to experience negative emotions and negative cognition about the pregnancy than women without diabetes. Infants of mothers with PGDM and GDM scored significantly lower than infants of non-diabetic mothers on the MDI of the Bayley
Levy-Shiff R. 2002.	Israel	Longitudinal case control study of maternal adjustment offspring outcomes of high risk pregnancies	N= 153 women without diabetes, or pregestational, gestational diabetes and and their singleton offspring, evaluated at 1 year of age	N= 53 Women with PGDM, Group 2 N =51 GDM Mean age 32.6 (13.2SD) years	n= 49 non diabetic pregnanciesmean age 31.8 (5.0 SD) years	Pregnant women: Cognitive appraisal of pregnancy as a challenge and threat (Folkman & Lazarus, 1985); Ways of coping checklist (Folkman & Lazarus, 1985), Social Support Questionnaire (Crnic, Greenberg, & Slough, 1986), Pregnancy-related emotions (Folkman & Lazarus, 1985),	Women with diabetes during pregnancy were more likely to experience negative emotions and negative cognition about the pregnancy than women without diabetes. Infants of mothers with PGDM and GDM scored significantly lower than infants of non-diabetic mothers on the MDI of the Bayley Scales. Infants of of

						Depression Inventory (Beck & Steer, 1987), State–Trait Anxiety Inventory (Spielberger, Gorsuch, & Lushene, 1973), Burnout Questionnaire (Pines & Aronson, 1981) and Symptom Checklist (Lips, 1985). Infants at one year: Bayley Scales of Infant Development— Second Edition (BSID-II; Bayley, 1993)	significnatly lower on the PDI than infants of mothers GDM and nondiabetic mothers. Infants of PGDM and GDM mothers displayed more negative and fewer positive behaviours than infants of mothers without diabetes.
Ornoy A. 1998.	Israel	Longitudinal study of offspring of mothers with and withhout diabetes born beteen 1982- 1987	N = 171 children born to mothers with and without diabetes,	Control group children born to mothers without diabetes n= 57, mean age $8.26\pm$ 1.78 years (range $5.5-$ 12.1), $25(44%) F, 32(56%) M$	n= 57 children born to mothers with type 1 or type 2 diabetes mean age 8.09±1.77 years, range 5.2-12.1 years) 28 (49%) F, 29 (51) %M	The Touwen–Prechtl neurological examination for minor nervous dysfunction; The Pollack tapper test; theWechsler Intelligence Scales for Children, Revised (WISC-R, 1974); Bender Visual Gestalt test; Bruininks-Oseretsky Motor Development	There were no differences between groups on the WISC-R. Children born to diabetic mothers had significantly lower scores on the Bruininks- Oseretsky Motor Development test; Children born to diabetic mothers had more soft neurological signs and lower gross and fine motor

						test; Southern	movement
						California Integration	achievements that
						Test, and The	children born to non-
						Conners Abbreviated	diabetic mothers.
						Parent–Teacher	
						Questionnaire	
Ornoy A. 1999.	Israel	Longitudinal study	N= 89 Children	Control	N= 32 children	The Touwen–Prechtl	Younger children in the
		of offspring of	born to mothers	group, no	born to mothers	neurological	index group had
		mothers with	with PGDM,	maternal	with gestational	examination,	significantly lower
		PGDM, GDM, and	GDM, and	diabetes n=	diabetes mean	Wechsler Intelligence	scores on the
		without diabetes	without	57; mean	age 8.5 (SD 2.1)	Scales for Children	Bruininks–Oseretsky
			diabetes	age 8.3 (SD	years, 13 (41%)	Revised (WISC-R,	Motor Development
			between 1982-	1.7)26	F, 19 (59%)M.	1974); Bender Visual	test, but this difference
			1987 ranging	(46%) F, 31	The group was	Gestalt test26 for the	was not present in the
			from 5.2–12.1	(56%) M.	further divided	evaluation of eye-	older index group
			years.	The group	into Younger	hand coordination;	children. there were no
				was further	children (n= 15,	Goodenough Draw a	differences between
				divided into	47% 5-8 years	Man test; Bruininks–	groups on the Touwen-
				Younger	and Older	Oseretsky Motor	Prechtl neurological
				children (n=	children (n= 17,	Development test;	examination. Overall
				31, 55% 5-8	53%) 9-12 years)	Southern California	even though children
				years) and		Integration Test;	born the mothers with
				Older		Conners Abbreviated	gestational diabetes had
				children (n=		Parents-Teachers'	higher rates of attention
				26, 45% 9-		Questionnaire; The	deficits, lower cognitive
				12 years)		Pollack tapper test;	scores, and lower fine
						Achenbach's	and gross motor skill
						questionnaire for the	scores in the younger
						measurement of	age group, when
						behaviour and Home	compared with control
						observation for	group children, these
						measurement of	

Ornoy A. 2001. Israel	Longitudinal study of early school age offspring of	N = 114 early school age	Children	Children born to	The Tourson &	<u></u>
	mothers with and without diabetes, born between 1982-1987	children	born to mothers without diabetes n= 57 25 (44%) F, 32 (56 %) M	mothers with type 1 or Type 2 diabetes n= 57, 28 (49%) F; 29 (51%) M. Group 3 Children born to mothers with gestational diabetes n=32 13 (41%) F, 19 (59%) M	Prechtl neurological examination; The Pollack Taper Test; Revised Wechsler Intelligence Scales for Children (WISC- R, 1974), Bender Visual Gestalt Test, Bruininks-Oseretsky Motor Development Test, Southern California Integration Test, The Conners abbreviated Parent- Teacher's Questionnaire	Children whose mothers had no diabetes scored significantly higher on the Bruininks-Oseretsky Motor Development Test than children of mother with any type of diabetes. However differences between offspring of diabetic mothers and control group children lessened over time
Ratzon N. 2000. Israel	Longitudinal study examining the motor development of children born to mothers wirth and without diabetes	N= 114 children born to mothers between 1982- 1987with and without	Control goup n = 57; 25 (44%) F, 32 (66%) M; mean age	Chidren of mothers with type 1 and type 2 diabetes n= 57, 28 (49%) F, 29 (51%) M; mean	Bruininks-Oseretsky Test of Motor Proficiency (BOTMP). Home Observation for Measurement of the	Children born to mothers with diabetes had more fine and gross motor difficulties than children born to mothers without

			matched for age, SES, parental education and profession, birth order and family size	(1.78SD) years.	age 8.09 (1.77SD) years.	(HOME) for school children Maternal state of diabetes control	correlation between a mother's high HbA!C and high acetonuria and the children's BOTMP scores Environmental variables and gross motor development positively correlated only for children of
Sells CJ. 1994.	United States of America	Theee year longitudinal Diabetes in Early Pregnancy study of neurological development of infants born to insulin dependent diabetic and non- diabetic mothers	N= 250 (18 infants lived out of state, 22 mothers declined to participate, 11 lost to follow up) leaving sample size of 199 Among diabetic mothers, those with more education were more like to remain in the study.	Control group infants of non-diabetic mothers n =90	Infants of diabetic mothers n = 109. Early entry mothers (enrolled for study within 21 days of conception) n = 70, Late entry mothers (enrolled after 22 days of conception) n =39	Bayley Scales of Infant Development at 6, 12 and 36 months of age Vineland Adaptive Behavior Scales at 6, 12, and 36 months of age Stanford- Binet Intelligence Scale (fourth edition) at 36 months of age Peabody Picture Vocabulary Test, Form Mat 36 months of age Mean Length of Utterance for morphemes at 36 months of age	Cognitive development - no significant differences between groups Motor development - no significant differences between groups Language development - significant differences between groups on two measures of verbal development at 3 years that persisted after adjustment for parental education Growth characteristics -infants of diabetic mothers weighted significantly less at birth than non diabetic mothers Infant malformations - at 3

							years, nearly 15% if infants of diabetic mothers had major malformations compared to 7.8% of infants of non-diabetic mothers
Stenninger E. 1998.	Sweden	Longitudinal study of infants born to diabetic mothers from 1986-1988	N = 58 infants, of whom 30 were chosen for follow up from a birth cohort of 76 infants of diabetic mothers based on preprandial capillary blood glucose levels.	Control group n=28 children of non diabetic mothers, mean age 7.8 (0.4SD) years, 14 (50%) F, 14 (50%) M	Post natal hypoglycasemia (blood glucose level < 1.5mmol/l) n = 13 Mean age 7.9 (0.7SD) years, 9 (69%) F, 4 (31%) M ; Without postnatal hypoglycaemia (blood glucose level > 1.5mmol/l), mean age 7.7 (0.6SD) years, 10 (66%) F, 5 (33%) M	General and neurological examination including screening for minimal brain dysfunction Movement Assessment Battery for Children aged 6 to 12 years (Movement ABC) Griffiths' mental developmental scales (2–8 years) EEG recording (Walter Graphtek GmbH, PL- EEG, version 2.1)	No differences in mothers of infants in perinatal risk factors. No significant differences in measurements of height, weight and head circumference. No differences in neurological examination, but children in the neonatal hypoglycemia group had significantly higher scores in the minimal brain dysfunction test. No significant differences in the Movement Assessment Battery test No significant differences in the EEG tests

Study	Country	Setting/context	Participant	Groups	Outcomes measured	Description of main results
			characteristics			
Daraki V.	Greece	Prospective Rhea	N= 875 children	group 1 n=691 Women	Parental	Maternal obesity associated
2017.		mother-child	underwent	with gestational	overweight/obesity;	with significant score
		cohort study	neuro-	diabetesGroup 2 n	maternal glucose	reduction of offspring
		February 2007 -	developmenal	=452 women with	intolerance in early	general cognitive ability,
		February 2008	assessment from	fasting gloucose and	pregnancy and GMD;	perceptual performance,
			October 2011 to	insluin serum	Neuropshyological	quantitative ability and
			January 2013	meansurements in early	assessment of	executive functions at age 4.
			(26 children with	pregnancyGroup 3 n	offspring at 4 years of	Maternal obesity associated
			pervasive	=378 mother-child	age	with increased behavioural
			developmental	pairs with data from		problems and ADHD
			disorders and 11	maternal IQ		symptoms at age 4; Paternal
			mothers with			obesity, maternal glucose
			missing data			tolerance in early pregnancy
			were excluded			and GDM was not associated
			from analysis)			with child
			leaving			neurodevelopment.
			772mother-child			
			pairs, 378 (49.9)			
			F, 394 (51.1%)			
			М			
Ghassabian A.	United States	Upstate KIDS,	N =4909; 2368	Group 1 Sitting without	Time to achieve	Children of mothers with
2016.	of America	population-based	(48.2%) F; 2551	support n = 4893Group	major motor	diabetes or GDM took
		cohort examining	(51.8%) M 1142	2 Standing with	milestones	longer to achieve major
		the relationship	(29.4%)	assistance n=		motor milestones measured -
		between	conceived	4892Group 3 Hands-		sitting without support,
		infertility	through	and -knees crawling n=		walking with assistance and
		treatment and	infertility	4897Group 4 Walking		walking alone than children
		child	treatment. 2368	with assistance n =		of mothers without diabetes

Supplementary Table S3. Characteristics of included cohort studies

He XJ. 2020.	China	development, 2008-2010 Prospective cohort study of mother-child pairs May 2014 - May 2017	(48.2) F, 2541 (51.8%) M N= 783 early pregnant women recruited, 228 excluded from analysis (151 left study before birth, 65 excluded before 1 year examination, 12 incomplete examination) n =555 mother	4897Group 5 Standing alone n= 4897Group 6 Walking alone n =48976 Control group no maternal diabetes n =378, 201 (53.2) F, 177 (46.8%) MGDM group n = 177, 92 (52%) F, 85 (48%) M	Maternal height, weight, fatty acid analysis, Infant Measures of neurodevelopment : Bayley Scales of Infant Development (Chinese version), Mental and Psychomotor Developmental Index	or GDM, independent of maternal obesity. Children of mothers with hypertensive diseases also took longer to achieve milestones, but this difference disappeared after adjustment for perinatal factors. Control group infants scored significantly higher on both PDI and MDI than infants whose mothers had GDM. Maternal age and saturated fatty acids were independently related to with infant neurodeveopment at one year.
			child pairs.			
Girchenko P. 2018.	Finland	Prediction and Prevention of Pre-eclampsia and Intrauterine Growth Restriction (PREDO) Study of live born children between 2006-2010.	N = 2504 mother -children dyads who enrolled when pregnant between 2006- 2010 and were followed up between 2011- 2012.	Group 1 Normal weight n= 1741 Normal weight mothers (1652 no diabetes, 85 GDM, 4 Type 1 diabetes), child's mean age in months at follow up 41.8 (8.2SD); 866 (49.7%) F, 875 (50.7%) M Overweight mothers	Ages and Stages Questionnaire (ASQ) measuring developmental milestones	Maternal early pregnancy overweight, obesity, and pre- eclampsia are independently associated with neurodevelopmental delay in offspring. Gestational diabetes increased the odds of developmental delay but can be partially explained by maternal overweight/obesity

				n = 456 (383 no		and other disorders * the
				diabetes, 69 gestational		study may have contained
				diabetes, 4 type 1		milder cases of GDM due to
				diabetes), child's mean		increased screening for it in
				age in months at follow		Finland*
				up 42.3 (8.2SD), 231		
				(50.7) F, 225 (49.3%)		
				M Obese mothers n =		
				307 (212 no diabetes,		
				94 GDM, 1 type 1		
				Diabetes), child's mean		
				age in months at follow		
				up 43.6 (8.4SD), 140		
				(45.6%) F, 167		
				(54.4%)M		
Krakowiak P.	United States	CHARGE	N = 1004	Group 1 Children with	Maternal metabolic	Maternal metabolic
2012.	of America	(Childhood	Children aged	austism spectrum	conditions Autism	conditions may be associated
		Autism Risks	between 2-6	Disorders $n = 517$,	Diagnostic Interview,	with neuro- developmental
		from Genetics	years	mean age at study	Revised (ADI-R) The	problems in offspring.
		and the	•	enrolment 3.65 years	Social	Proportionately more
		Environment)		(0.80SD), 81 (15.6),	Communication	mothers of children in the
		study, 2003 -		436 (85.8) M	Ouestionnaire Mullen	ASD and DD groups had
		2010		Group 2 Children with	Scales of Early	either type 2 Diabetes or
				developmental delays	Learning (MSEL) and	GDM. The risk having an
				n=172 mean age at	Vineland Adaptive	offspring with ASD or DD
				study enrollment 3.79	Behavior Scales	relative to Type 2 diabetes
				(0.76SD), 51 (42%)F.		was significantly increased
				121 (68%) MControl		among obese women
				group children n=315		
				Mean age at study		
				enrollment 3.54		

				(0.80SD), 59 (18.7%)		
Nomura Y. 2012.	United States of America	Longitudinal cohort study investigating the risk of ADHD in the offspring of mothers with GDM and low SES	N= 212 Children, mean age 4.1 years; 56(26.5%) F, 156 (73.5%) M	F, 256 (81.3%) M GDM absent n= 191; 52 (27.2%) F, 139 (72.8%) MGDM present n =21 4 (19%) F, 17 (81%) M	ADHD RS–IV34, Developmental Neuropsychological Assessment (NEPSY), The Wechsler Preschool and Primary Scale of Intelligence– Third Edition (WPPSI-III), Temperament Assessment Battery for Children Revised (TABC-R) at ages 3-4 years, and Behavior Assessment System for Children–2	Both GDM and low SES alone and in combination increase the risk of ADHD, GDM and family SES influences the risk for ADHD; Offspring exposed to both GDM and low SES showed compromised neurobehavioural outcomes; and the risk of ADHD was synergistically associated with exposure to both GDM and low SES
Qiao LX. 2019.	China	Propective longitudinal study of offsdpring of diabetic mothers born with a low blood glucose level	N= 301 (382 enrolled in study, 81 infants lost to follow up), 139 (46%)F; 162 (54%) M tested at corrected age 2 years \pm 2 months	Control group n= 144 infants born to mothers without diabetes, no neonatal hypoglycaemiasub group A1 n=103 neonates hypoglycemic <2 hours after birthsub group A2 n = 38 neonates hypoglycemic 2 - 24 hours after birthsub group Q3 n =16 neonates	(BASC-2) at 6 years Neurodevelopment as measured by Gesell developmental test (Chinese revised version)at age 2 years	The longer neonatal hypoclycaemia continued, the more adaptability was impaired, in infants in sub groups A2 and A3. But there was no difference reported in gross or fine motor skill acquisition, adaptability, language or social skills between the controls and any infant in Group A.

				hypoglycemic >24 hours after birth		
Adane AA.	Australia	Subset of Australian Longitudinal Study on Women's Health (ALSWH) 1973– 78 cohort and Mothers and their Children's Health (MatCH) study.	N=771 of mothers and children who were of eligible age for the Ages and Stages Questionnaire (ASQ) and 708 children form the Australian Early Development Census (AEDC). All tested between 0 -66 months of age, details of sex not provided.	Developmental delay staus on ASQ n=771 Developmental vulnerability status on AEDC domains n =708	Gross motor skills; Gross and fine motor skills, Language and cognitive skills, Communication and general skills	Children born to chronically obese women were more likely to to be at risk developmentally. Children of mothers with diabetes during pregnancy were at slightly greater risk of developmental delay, particularly gross motor, language and cognitive skills compared to women without diabetes in pregnancy. No significant difference between diabetes in pregnancy and childhood physical and cognitive development.
Torres- Espinola FJ. 2015.	Spain	Case -control study of mother- child pairs recruited between 2007- 2012	N= 331 health pregnant women with singleton pregnancies aged between 18-45 years, recruited between 12-34 weeks of pregnancy. due to attrition, sample size of n =215 at 6	Normal weight mothers n=81 at 6 months 41 (50.6%) F, 40 (49.4%) M. At child age 18 months n =750verweight mothers n = 44 at 6 months 24 (54.5%) F, 20 (45.5%) M. At child age 18 months n = 430bese mothers n= 32 at 6 months. 15 (46.9	Bayley Scales of Infant Development, Third Edition (BSID- III) at age 6 and 18 months.	Although not significant, at age 18 months gross motor scores were lower in the overweight, obese and GDM groups compared to control group. Language was significantly lower at age 6 months for infants of obese mothers compared to controls, and the trend was lower but not significant for infants overweight and

	months and n	%) F, 17 (53.1%) M. At	GDM mothers. At 18
	=197 at child age	child age 18 months n	months none of the
	18 months	=29GDM mothers n =	significant differences
		58 at 6 months. 26	remained for language.
		(44.8%) F 32 (55.2%)	Motor skill at 18 months
		M. At child age 18	adjusted analysis of infants
		months $n = 50$	of GDM mothers had lower
			scores, but this disappeared
			in adjusted models.

Citation	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10
Biesenbach et al., 2000	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y
Bolaños et al., 2015	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Churchill et al., 1969	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Hod et al., 1999	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Ornoy et al., 1998	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Ornoy et al., 2001	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Ornoy et al., 1999	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Ratzon et al., 2000	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Sells et al., 1994	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Stenninger et al., 1998	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Yes percentage	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	90.9	90.9	100.0	100.0	100.0

Supplementary Table S4. Case control study critical appraisal results.

Citation	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10	Q11
Adane et al., 2018	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N/A	Y	Y	Y	N/A	Y
Daraki et al., 2017	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N/A	Y	Y	Y	N/A	Y
Ghassabian et al., 2016	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N/A	Y	Y	Y	N/A	Y
Girchenko et al., 2018	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N/A	Y	Y	Y	N/A	Y
Krakowiak et al., 2012	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N/A	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	Y
Nomura et al., 2012	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N/A	Y	Y	N	N	Y
Qiao et al., 2019	Y	Y	Y	N	N/A	N/A	Y	Y	Y	N/A	Y
Torres-Espinola et al., 2015	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N/A	Y	Y	Y	N	Y
Yes percentage	100.0	100.0	100.0	88.88	88.88	0.0	100.0	88.88	77.77	0.0	100.0

Supplementary Table S5. Cohort study critical appraisal results.