



Article

Predictors of Depression and Anxiety Symptoms in Brazil during COVID-19

Stephen X. Zhang ^{1,*} , Hao Huang ², Jizhen Li ³ , Mayra Antonelli-Ponti ⁴, Scheila Farias de Paiva ^{5,6} and José Aparecido da Silva ⁷

¹ Faculty of Professions, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, SA 5000, Australia

² School of Business Administration, Southwestern University of Finance and Economics, Chengdu 611130, China; fzzhuang93@yahoo.com

³ Research Center for Competitive Dynamics and Innovation Strategy, School of Economics and Management, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China; lijzh@sem.tsinghua.edu.cn

⁴ Department of Psychology, University of São Paulo, Ribeirão Preto 14040-901, Brazil; antonelli.may@alumni.usp.br

⁵ Department of Speech and Language Pathology, Federal University of Sergipe (UFS), Lagarto 49100-000, Brazil; spaivafono@academico.ufs.br

⁶ Psychology Graduate Program, Federal University of Juiz de Fora (UFJF), Juiz de Fora 36036-900, Brazil

⁷ Unit of Psychobiology, University of Sao Paulo, Ribeirao Preto 14040-901, Brazil; jadsilva@ffclrp.usp.br

* Correspondence: stephen.x.zhang@gmail.com

Abstract: The COVID-19 pandemic in Brazil is extremely severe, and Brazil has the third-highest number of cases in the world. The goal of the study is to identify the prevalence rates and several predictors of depression and anxiety in Brazil during the initial outbreak of COVID-19. We surveyed 482 adults in 23 Brazilian states online on 9–22 May 2020, and found that 70.3% of the adults ($n = 339$) had depressive symptoms and 67.2% ($n = 320$) had anxiety symptoms. The results of multi-class logistic regression models revealed that females, younger adults, and those with fewer children had a higher likelihood of depression and anxiety symptoms; adults who worked as employees were more likely to have anxiety symptoms than those who were self-employed or unemployed; adults who spent more time browsing COVID-19 information online were more likely to have depression and anxiety symptoms. Our results provide preliminary evidence and early warning for psychiatrists and healthcare organizations to better identify and focus on the more vulnerable sub-populations in Brazil during the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic.

Keywords: COVID-19; Brazil; anxiety; depression; predictors; risk factors



Citation: Zhang, S.X.; Huang, H.; Li, J.; Antonelli-Ponti, M.; Paiva, S.F.d.; da Silva, J.A. Predictors of Depression and Anxiety Symptoms in Brazil during COVID-19. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2021**, *18*, 7026. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18137026>

Academic Editor: Paul B. Tchounwou

Received: 21 May 2021

Accepted: 22 June 2021

Published: 30 June 2021

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

Since the initial outbreak of COVID-19 in late 2019, the COVID-19 epidemic has caused a devastating blow to the world, including the death of millions of people and the setback of socioeconomic functions of society and individual daily life [1]. It was reported that 2.6 billion people experienced emotional and economic shocks; this number even exceeds the number of people affected by the Second World War. Brazil has one of the highest rates of COVID-19 cases and deaths in the world by so far. Since the beginning of 2020, the pandemic has had a great impact on the normal life of people in Latin America [2–4], including its largest country Brazil [5,6]. The COVID-19 pandemic not only threatens people's health but also impacts on the mental health of the public [7–10]. On the one hand, the uncertainty of the initial route of transmission and treatment has exacerbated people's fear during the COVID-19 crisis [11]. On the other hand, the social distancing and confinement measures during the COVID-19 pandemic can lead to symptoms of anxiety and depression [12–17]. Although several studies have documented high prevalence rates of mental health symptoms in various parts of the world, especially in China [8,18–20], and early evidence of the mental impact of COVID-19 in Brazil was reported in a timely

manner [21], there are few evidence-based studies containing critical predictors of mental issues in adults under a full-on COVID-19 outbreak in Brazil. As the devastating COVID-19 crisis continues in Brazil, it is crucial and urgent to investigate the risk factors for mental health issues in Brazilian adults.

In this study, we use three types of predictors: Demographic factors, health factors, and COVID-specific factors. The research on mental health in the COVID-19 pandemic has focused on demographic characteristics as predictors [22–24]. For example, gender [25–27], age [28], education [29], occupation [30], and number of children [31] have been the key predictors. Based on the existing research, we examined the key demographic factors such as gender, age, education, occupation, and number of children as the predictors in this study. Good health and behaviors such as exercise [8] can improve individuals' mental health. On the contrary, individuals with chronic health problems are more likely to encounter mental disorders [32]. Hence, we examined exercise and the presence of chronic diseases as predictors of mental health under the COVID-19 pandemic. Of the COVID-19 specific factors, the emerging literature on COVID-19 mental health has uncovered that the occurrence of symptoms related to or similar to COVID-19 increases individuals' psychological risk [33], and information on COVID-19 on the internet is an important predictor of people's fear or panic over COVID-19 [26]. Therefore, we include the symptoms of COVID-19 infection and the hours per day spent browsing COVID-19 information online as COVID-19-related predictors in this study.

This study attempts to identify the predictors of depression and anxiety symptoms of Brazilian people during the COVID-19 pandemic to provide preliminary evidence and early warning for psychiatrists and healthcare organizations to identify the more vulnerable sub-populations to enable more targeted and timely mental intervention.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Design

We launched an online survey to study the mental health of adults in Brazil during the COVID-19 pandemic on 9–22 May 2020. The survey was conducted through a link to Google Forms to preserve social distancing protocols and to reach people across Brazil's large and diverse regions. We used the non-probabilistic sampling technique of quota sampling to approximate a representative sample of Brazilian adults. Quota sampling is one of the most popular sampling methods and a viable method [34] to conduct online surveys across all regions of Brazil without access to a probabilistic panel. The use of quota sampling by age, gender, and social class was effective and viable in our case to obtain a sample that represents the population in Brazil. The study sampled adults aged 18 years or older by unclustered systematic random samples from all 23 states in Brazil. The survey, in Brazilian Portuguese, contained a cover page, which explained the purpose of the study and all the participants consented before starting the survey. From the 857 adults who participated in the study, we received a total of 482 valid responses for a response rate of 56.2%. Ethical approval for this research was received from National Ethics and Research Committee of Brazil—CONEP (CAAE: 31703720.9.1001.0008).

2.2. Variables and Instruments

The survey collected socio-demographic information of individual adults, including their gender, age, education level, employment status, work and family status. The survey also collected basic health conditions such as chronic health issues [8] and lifestyle behaviors such as daily exercise time [33]. The descriptions of these variables are in Table 1.

Table 1. Descriptions of the participants ($n = 482$).

Variables		Count or Mean	Percentage
Gender		-	-
	Male	221	45.9%
	Female	261	54.1%
Age (Mean \pm SD)		36.69 \pm 13.50	-
Education		-	-
	Middle school	5	1.0%
	High school	128	26.6%
	College/university	195	40.5%
	Postgraduate	154	32.0%
Employment status		-	-
	Self-employed	97	20.1%
	Employee	224	46.5%
	Student	112	23.2%
	Unemployed	26	5.4%
	Retired	23	4.8%
Number of children under the age of 18 (Mean \pm SD)		0.54 \pm 0.79	-
Chronic health issue		-	-
	Yes	117	24.3%
	No	365	75.7%
Exercise hours per day in the past 2 weeks (Mean \pm SD)		1.08 \pm 1.50	-
Work situation		-	-
	Worked in the usual place	120	24.9%
	Worked at home	225	46.7%
	Did not work due to COVID-19 measures (but still remain employed)	74	15.4%
	No longer have a job due to COVID-19 measures	12	2.5%
	Had not worked even before the COVID-19 pandemic	51	10.6%
Experiencing symptoms of COVID-19 infection		-	-
	Yes	16	3.3%
	Unsure	66	13.7%
	No	400	83.0%
Hours per day browsing COVID-19 information online in the past 2 weeks (Mean \pm SD)		1.37 \pm 1.33	-
Depression level			
	Minimal	143	29.7%
	Mild	83	17.2%
	Moderate	146	30.3%
	Severe	110	22.8%
Anxiety level			
	Minimal	156	32.8%
	Mild	149	31.3%
	Moderate	89	18.7%
	Severe	82	17.2%

The outcome variables are depression [35] and anxiety [36]. The Patient Health Questionnaire-9 (PHQ-9) is one of the most established depression scales, which captures the frequency and severity of depression related symptoms in the past 2 weeks, with a total of nine items. In this study, the internal consistency coefficient of PHQ-9 is 0.902. A sample item is: In the past 2 weeks, how many days did you have a lack of appetite or did you overeat (0 = no day, 1 = less than a week, 2 = a week, 3 = almost every day)?

The Generalized Anxiety Disorder scale (GAD-7) is a simple and effective way to evaluate the generalized anxiety disorder (GAD), a mental disorder with long-term persistent anxiety and excessive anxiety as the core symptoms. In this study, GAD-7 has seven items,

and the internal consistency coefficient is 0.937. A sample item is: In the past 2 weeks, how often did you feel nervous, anxious or very tense (0 = rarely, 1 = some days, 2 = more than half the days, 3 = almost every day)?

2.3. Statistical Strategy

All the data processing was completed in SPSS 23.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA), and a two-tailed $p < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant. First, we report the descriptive statistics on the study variables and the distributions of adults by varying severities of depression symptoms (0–5 = minimal, 6–8 = mild, 9–14 = moderate, 15–27 = severe) [25,26,37] and anxiety symptoms (0–4 = minimal, 5–9 = mild, 10–14 = moderate, 15–21 = severe) [36], the maximum score is 27 for depression and 21 for anxiety. A score of PHQ-9 above 5 is considered mild depressive symptoms [35], and mild anxiety symptoms are considered at the score of GAD-7 above 4 [36]. Second, the univariate analysis (i.e., Mann-Whitney test, one-way ANOVA test, and Kruskal-Wallis test) and an ordinal multi-class logistic regression model were used on the predictors of adults' mental health issues [38,39].

3. Results

The descriptive statistics are shown in Table 1. In this sample, 45.9% of the adults were male and 54.1% were female, and the average age was 36.69 years old ($SD = 13.50$). Over 70% (72.5%) were doing or had college degrees or above, and just under half (46.5%) were employees in their employment status. Almost half (46.7%) worked at home. The average number of children under 18 years old was 0.54. In terms of personal health status, 24.3% had some chronic health issues. The average daily exercise time in the past 2 weeks was 1.08 h ($SD = 1.50$). Additionally, 3.3% reported having the symptoms of COVID-19 infection, and 1.37 h ($SD = 1.33$) on browsing information on COVID-19 online per day on average across the whole sample. Over 70% (70.3%) of the adults ($n = 339$) had depressive symptoms (PHQ-9 score > 5) and 22.8% ($n = 110$) had experienced severe depression (PHQ-9 score = 15–27); 67.2% ($n = 320$) had anxiety symptoms (GAD-7 score > 4) and 17.2% ($n = 82$) had experienced severe anxiety (GAD-7 score = 15–21).

Table 2 shows the univariate analysis of the screened variables. The Mann-Whitney test, one-way ANOVA test, and Kruskal-Wallis test are used when independent variables are binary (gender and chronic health issue), continuous (age, number of children under the age of 18, exercise and hours per day browsing COVID-19 related information online) and categorical (education, employment status, and experiencing symptoms of COVID-19 infection), respectively. Gender ($p = 0.001$), age ($p < 0.001$), education level ($p = 0.001$), occupation ($p = 0.003$), number of children ($p = 0.019$), exercise ($p < 0.001$), experiencing symptoms of COVID-19 infection ($p < 0.001$), and hours per day browsing COVID-19 information online ($p = 0.009$) have significant effects on depression.

Table 2. Univariate analysis of depression and anxiety.

Variables	Depression		Anxiety	
	Statistics	<i>p</i>	Statistics	<i>p</i>
Gender	3.439 ^a	0.001	3.253 ^a	0.001
Age	2.313 ^b	0.000	2.292 ^b	0.000
Education	14.065 ^c	0.001	15.142 ^c	0.001
Employment status	11.792 ^c	0.003	10.175 ^c	0.006
Number of children under the age of 18	3.329 ^b	0.019	2.249 ^b	0.082
Chronic health issue	−0.678 ^a	0.498	−1.056 ^a	0.291
Exercise hours per day	3.742 ^b	0.000	4.172 ^b	0.000
Experiencing symptoms of COVID-19 infection	15.693 ^c	0.000	15.800 ^c	0.000
Hours per day browsing COVID-19 information online	2.578 ^b	0.009	3.618 ^b	0.000

^a Mann-Whitney test (when independent variable is binary); ^b one-way ANOVA test (when independent variable is continuous); ^c Kruskal-Wallis test (when independent variable is polytomous).

For anxiety, gender ($p = 0.001$), age ($p < 0.001$), education level ($p = 0.001$), employment status ($p = 0.006$), exercise ($p < 0.001$), experiencing symptoms of COVID-19 infection ($p < 0.001$), and hours per day browsing COVID-19 information online ($p < 0.001$) are also significant. Contrarily, the number of children having chronic disease are non-significant ($p > 0.05$). Considering that the number of children from previous studies [40–42] is known to have a significant impact on adults' mental health, we keep the number of children under the age of 18 in the ordinal regression model.

In an ordinal multi-class logistic regression model, the results of a parallel line test ($\chi^2 = 28.835$, $p > 0.05$) showed that the regression equations were parallel to each other and could be analyzed by an ordinal logistic model. The model fit was good with statistical significance ($p < 0.001$). Similarly, the parallel line test results ($\chi^2 = 21.764$, $p = 0.474$) and the degree of model fit ($p < 0.001$) with anxiety as the independent variable were good. The results of the ordinal multi-class logistic regression are in Table 3.

Table 3. Results of ordinal multi-class logistic regression.

Factors	Depression				Anxiety			
	OR	OR (95% CI)	SE	p	OR	OR (95% CI)	SE	p
Gender								
Male	0.596	(0.425, 0.837)	0.173	0.003	0.605	(0.430, 0.852)	0.175	0.004
Female (reference group)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Age	0.952	(0.937, 0.967)	0.008	0.000	0.954	(0.939, 0.969)	0.008	0.000
Education								
Middle school	2.000	(0.305, 13.131)	0.960	0.470	6.342	(0.951, 42.306)	0.968	0.056
High school	1.077	(0.664, 1.745)	0.246	0.765	1.302	(0.804, 2.110)	0.246	0.283
College/university degree or higher (reference group)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Occupation								
Self-employed	0.799	(0.508, 1.259)	0.232	0.334	0.615	(0.388, 0.976)	0.276	0.039
Unemployed status (student, unemployed and retired)	0.845	(0.539, 1.326)	0.230	0.465	0.564	(0.357, 0.890)	0.233	0.014
Employee (reference group)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Number of children under the age of 18	0.729	(0.580, 0.916)	0.117	0.007	0.785	(0.624, 0.988)	0.117	0.039
Exercise (hours)	0.859	(0.764, 0.966)	0.060	0.011	0.909	(0.809, 1.022)	0.060	0.110
Experiencing symptoms of COVID-19 infection								
Yes	2.326	(0.918, 5.896)	0.475	0.075	1.721	(0.684, 4.329)	0.471	0.248
Unsure	2.160	(1.300, 3.590)	0.260	0.003	2.036	(1.236, 3.354)	0.255	0.005
No (reference group)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hours per day browsing COVID-19 information online	1.141	(1.005, 1.296)	0.065	0.041	1.165	(1.026, 1.322)	0.065	0.018

Table 3 reveals that males had a lower likelihood of depression during the epidemic (OR = 0.596, 95% CI = 0.425–0.837) than females did. Adults' age (OR = 0.952, 95% CI = 0.937–0.967), number of children (OR = 0.729, 95% CI = 0.580–0.916), and daily exercise time (OR = 0.859, 95% CI = 0.764–0.966) negatively predicted depression, and adults who were unsure whether they had experienced symptoms of COVID-19 infection were more likely to experience depression (OR = 2.160, 95% CI = 1.300–3.590). Hours per day browsing COVID-19 information online predicted depression positively (OR = 1.141, 95% CI = 1.005–1.296).

Similarly, males were less likely to experience anxiety than females were (OR = 0.619, 95% CI = 0.438–0.876). Age (OR = 0.954, 95% CI = 0.939–0.969) and the number of children (OR = 0.785, 95% CI = 0.624–0.988) negatively predicted anxiety. Additionally, people who were unsure whether they had COVID-19 infection (OR = 2.036, 95% CI = 1.236–3.354) and who spent more time browsing COVID-19 information online (OR = 1.165, 95% CI = 1.026–1.322) were more likely to have anxiety symptoms. Other variables in the model, such as education, had no significant predictive effect on either depression or anxiety.

4. Discussion

The COVID-19 pandemic has had a massive impact on people's lives, especially in Brazil due to the limited health system capacity to deal with the COVID-19 crisis [43]. Nonetheless, to date, few studies have examined the mental health conditions of adults

in Brazil, which leads the world in daily COVID-19 cases and death in 2020. In our study, close to half of the adults were unable to work in their normal workplaces. The results of our survey of adults in Brazil reveal that the prevalence of depressive symptoms was 70.3%, and the incidence of severe depressive symptoms was 22.8%; the incidence rates of anxiety symptoms and severe anxiety symptoms were 67.2% and 17.2%, respectively. Several recent studies before COVID-19 reported that the incidence of anxiety in Brazilians was 18.0% in year 2018 [44] and the average incidence of depression in Brazilians was 4.1% in year 2013 [45], which were much lower than our results and are the prevalence rates after the initial COVID-19 outbreak. Given that Brazil is the largest country in South America, to better benchmark and interpret our findings, we list the major mental health studies in Latin America during the COVID-19 pandemic to date to provide more comprehensive evidence on the mental burden among Brazilian adults (see Table 4). The table reveals that the prevalence rates in our study in Brazil are higher than many studies in other South American countries such as 47.0% prevalence of depressive symptoms and 54.9% of anxiety symptoms in Argentina [46], 19.2% prevalence of psychological distress in Chile [47], 34.9% prevalence of depressive symptoms [48], and 21.7% of severe anxiety symptoms and 26.1% of severe mental distress in Peru [2].

Table 4. Prevalence rates of mental issues during the COVID-19 pandemic in South America.

Study	Duration	Country	Sample	Instruments and Cut-Off Point	Mental Health Indicators
Torrente et al. (2020) [46]	24 to 27 March 2020	Argentina	Adults (n = 10,053)	PHQ-9 (6, 9, and 15 as the cut-off points) GAD-7 (5, 10, and 15 as the cut-off points)	47.1% prevalence of anxiety symptoms (18.5% mild, 18.1% moderate, and 10.5% severe symptoms) 54.9% prevalence of depressive symptoms (31.6% mild, 13.6% moderate, and 9.6% severe symptoms)
Duarte and Jiménez-Molina (2020) [47]	Between May and June 2020	Chile	Adults (n = 1078)	PHQ-4 (6 as the cut-off point of prevalence)	19.2% prevalence of psychological distress
Herrera et al. (2020) [49]	Between June and September 2020	Chile	Older adults (n = 720)	PHQ-9 (6, 9, and 15 as the cut-off points) Geriatric Anxiety Inventory—Short Form (GAISF) (3 as the cut-off point of prevalence)	30.18% prevalence of depressive symptoms 42.85% prevalence of anxiety symptoms
Paz et al. (2020) [50]	22 March to 18 April 2020	Ecuador	Confirmed or suspected COVID-19 patients (n = 759)	PHQ-9 (6, 9, and 15 as the cut-off points) GAD-7 (5, 10, and 15 as the cut-off points)	20.3% prevalence of depressive symptoms 22.5% prevalence of anxiety symptoms
Chen et al. (2020) [51]	10 April to 2 May 2020	Ecuador	Healthcare workers (n = 252)	GAD-7 (5, 10, and 15 as the cut-off points) K-6 (5 and 13 as the cut-off points)	32.5% prevalence of distress disorder 28.2% prevalence of anxiety symptoms
Romero Parra (2020) [52]	Do not report	Peru, Venezuela	University students (n = 600)	Beck Depression Inventory (BDI-2) (14, 20, and 29 as the cut-off points)	34.7% prevalence of depressive symptoms of university students in Peru (18.4% mild, 11.2% moderate, and 5.1% severe symptoms) 11.4% prevalence of depressive symptoms of university students in Venezuela (6.4% mild and 5.0% moderate symptoms)
Antiporita et al. (2021) [48]	4 to 16 May 2020	Peru	Adult Peruvian residents (n = 57,446)	PHQ-9 (6, 9, and 15 as the cut-off points)	34.9% prevalence of depressive symptoms
Yañez et al. (2020) [2]	10 April to 2 May 2020	Peru	Healthcare workers (n = 303)	GAD-7 (5, 10, and 15 as the cut-off points) K-6 (5 and 13 as the cut-off points)	Mean of GAD-7 anxiety scale is 15.4 Mean of K6 distress scale is 19.2 21.7% prevalence of severe anxiety symptoms 22.5% prevalence of severe mental distress
Martínez et al. (2020) [53]	April 2020	Colombia	Adults, college students, and informal workers (n = 984)	Not reported	Mean of anxiety and stress score is 6.5 (scale 0–10) Mean of depressed score is 3.8 (scale 0–10)
Zhang et al. (2021) [21]	25 to 28 March 2020	Brazil	Adult Brazilian residents (n = 638)	COVID-19 Peritraumatic Distress Index (CPDI) (4, 28, and 52 as the cut-off points)	Mean score of COVID-19 Peritraumatic Distress Index (CPDI) is 37.64 (score 0–100) 71.8% prevalence of peritraumatic distress (52.0% mild or moderate, 18.8% severe distress)

Our regression analysis reveals that females had higher likelihood of depression and anxiety symptoms, a finding that is in line with the previous research on gender and mental health [54]. Older adults and those with more children were less likely to experience anxiety and depression symptoms [55]. Adults who exercised more per day during the pandemic had a lower likelihood of depression symptoms, supporting the view that exercise might help relieve the buildup of depressive symptoms [56]. Employees, compared with the unemployed or self-employed, were more likely to have anxiety but not depressive symptoms. Such a finding differs from the literature that suggests occupational stressors may cause both depression and anxiety in existing studies [57]. Our findings on the higher anxiety symptoms of employees suggest that COVID-19 may present some unique challenges on anxiety across employment status groups. The higher anxiety symptoms experienced by employees might be related to the drastic changes in the work environment during COVID-19, while self-employed individuals and non-working groups do not have such trouble. In addition, a potential reason why our study did not find an association between occupation and anxiety is that not all kinds and levels of occupational stress might carry a significant relationship with depressive symptoms [58].

Individuals' hours per day browsing COVID-19 information online positively predicted depression and anxiety, suggesting that online information on the crisis might exacerbate mental disorders [59,60]. Altogether, our findings identified several predictors which enable psychiatrists and healthcare organizations to better identify and focus on the more vulnerable sub-populations. Furthermore, our results may enable psychiatry practitioners to identify potential patients with depressive and anxiety symptoms during the COVID-19 pandemic.

5. Limitations and Future Research

The study has certain limitations. Firstly, we designed a cross-sectional study, which yields a snapshot rather than a dynamic picture, and we suggest longitudinal designs in future research. Another potential limitation regarding our sampling procedures is that although the sample covered much of Brazil geographically, it was not entirely representative of the population due to our online survey, since only 71% of the population has access to the Internet in Brazil. Our small sample size may raise concerns about generalizability. In future research, it would be especially interesting to investigate populations that do not have internet access. Although it was proposed that web surveys had an 11 percentage points lower response rate than other survey modes [61], we still cannot ignore the non-response bias problem that may be caused by low response rates in this study (56.2%). Future research can focus on increasing the response rate and sample size to extend our findings. Brazil is a very large country, and research on mental health during COVID-19 in Latin America remains underdeveloped, calling for more research to generate evidence to better cope with the ongoing pandemic [62]. We hope our results help gather data for evidence-based decisions on mental health for Brazil, one of the largest and worst-affected countries in the COVID-19 crisis.

6. Conclusions

This study reported 70.3% prevalence of depressive symptoms (17.2% mild, 30.3% moderate, and 22.8% severe symptoms) and 67.2% prevalence of anxiety symptoms (31.3% mild, 18.7% moderate, and 17.2% severe symptoms) among Brazilian adults ($n = 482$) during the COVID-19 pandemic. Gender, age, number of children, being employed, and time browsing COVID-19 information online are potential predictors of experiencing depressive and anxiety symptoms. The incidence of anxiety and depressive symptoms in the Brazilian adult population was much higher after the initial outbreak than the pre-pandemic rates, indicating that Brazilians' mental health has suffered during the COVID-19 pandemic. Healthcare organizations can use our findings to identify groups mentally vulnerable to COVID-19 in Brazil. A better identification of the mentally vulnerable population can enable more targeted effort to reduce the high prevalence of mental health symptoms in

Brazil. In addition to psychiatric identification and resource prioritization, policy-makers can direct and promote more reliable information on the pandemic online, which has been a source of mental health issues in the pandemic. The findings of this study quantify the prevalence rates of depression and anxiety symptoms in Brazil and identify several predictors, which can enable psychiatrists and healthcare organizations to better identify the more vulnerable sub-populations and provide evidence to deploy resources, as well as create opportunities for timely pre-emption and prevention.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, S.X.Z. and H.H.; methodology, S.X.Z. and H.H.; software, S.X.Z. and H.H.; validation, S.X.Z. and H.H.; formal analysis, S.X.Z. and H.H.; investigation, S.X.Z., H.H. and J.L.; resources, S.X.Z., H.H. and J.L.; writing—original draft preparation, S.X.Z. and H.H.; writing—review and editing, S.X.Z. and H.H.; supervision, S.X.Z.; visualization, H.H.; data curation, M.A.-P., S.F.d.P. and J.A.d.S.; project administration, S.X.Z. and J.A.d.S. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript. Authorship must be limited to those who have contributed substantially to the work reported.

Funding: We acknowledge the support from Institute for State-Owned Enterprises of Tsinghua University and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant number 71772103).

Institutional Review Board Statement: The study was approved by National Ethics and Research Committee of Brazil—CONEP (CAAE: 31703720.9.1001.0008).

Informed Consent Statement: Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.

Data Availability Statement: Data are available upon request.

Acknowledgment: We dedicate this paper to João Jose Carneiro, a great cardiac surgeon and a friend of heart, who sadly passed away in the pandemic while still taking care of his patients.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Kawohl, W.; Nordt, C. COVID-19, unemployment, and suicide. *Lancet Psychiatry* **2020**, *7*, 389–390. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
2. Yáez, J.A.; Jahanshahi, A.A.; Alvarez-Risco, A.; Li, J.; Zhang, S.X. Anxiety, distress, and turnover intention of healthcare workers in Peru by their distance to the epicenter during the COVID-19 crisis. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* **2020**, *103*, 1614–1620. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
3. Zhang, S.X.; Sun, S.; Jahanshahi, A.A.; Alvarez-Riscod, A.; Ibarrae, V.G.; Li, J.; Patty-Titog, A.M. Developing and testing a measure of COVID-19 organizational support of healthcare workers—Results from Peru, Ecuador, and Bolivia. *Psychiatry Res.* **2020**, *291*, 113174. [\[CrossRef\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)
4. Zhang, S.X.; Chen, J.; Jahanshahi, A.A.; Alvarez-Riscod, A.; Dai, H.; Li, J.; Patty-Titog, A.M. Succumbing to the COVID-19 pandemic—Healthcare workers not satisfied and intend to leave their jobs. *Int. J. Ment. Health Addict.* **2021**. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
5. Marinho, P.R.D.; Cordeiro, G.M.; Coelho, H.F.C. COVID-19 in Brazil: A sad scenario. *Cytokine Growth Factor Rev.* **2020**, *58*, 51–54. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
6. Lobo, A.D.P.; Cardoso-dos-Santos, A.C.; Rocha, M.S.; Rocha, M.S.; Pinheiro, R.S.; Bremm, J.M.; Macario, E.M.; de Oliveira, W.K.; de Franca, G.V.A. COVID-19 epidemic in Brazil: Where are we at? *Cytokine Growth Factor Rev.* **2020**, *97*, 382–385. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
7. Sun, S.; Zhang, S.X.; Jahanshahi, A.A.; Jahanshahi, M. Drilling under the COVID-19 pandemic: A diary study of professional football players' mental health and workout performance. *Stress Health* **2021**. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
8. Lateef, T.; Chen, J.; Tahir, M.; Lateef, T.A.; Chen, B.Z.; Li, J.; Zhang, S.X. Typhoon eye effect versus ripple effect: The role of family size on mental health during the COVID-19 pandemic in Pakistan. *Glob. Health* **2021**, *17*, 32. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
9. Gong, H.T.; Zhang, S.X.; Nawaser, K.; Jahanshahi, A.A.; Xu, X.; Li, J.; Bagheri, A. The mental health of healthcare staff working during the COVID-19 crisis: Their working hours as a boundary condition. *J. Multidiscip. Healthc.* **2021**, *14*, 1073–1081. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
10. Zhang, S.X.; Wang, Y.; Rauch, A.; Wei, F. Unprecedented disruptions of lives and work: Health, distress and life satisfaction of working adults in China one month into the COVID-19 outbreak. *Psychiatry Res.* **2020**, *288*, 112958. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
11. Da Silva, A.G.; Miranda, D.M.; Diaz, A.P.; Telles, A.L.S.; Malloy-Diniz, L.F.; Palha, A.P. Mental health: Why it still matters in the midst of a pandemic. *Braz. J. Psychiatry* **2020**, *42*, 229–231. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
12. Xiang, Y.T.; Yang, Y.; Li, W.; Zhang, L.; Zhang, Q.; Cheung, T.; Ng, C.H. Timely mental health care for the 2019 novel coronavirus outbreak is urgently needed. *Lancet Psychiatry* **2020**, *7*, 228–229. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
13. Pierce, M.; Hope, H.; Ford, T.; Hatch, S.; Hotopf, M.; John, A.; Kontopantelis, E.; Web, R. Mental health before and during the COVID-19 pandemic: A longitudinal probability sample survey of the UK population. *Lancet Psychiatry* **2020**, *7*, 883–892. [\[CrossRef\]](#)

14. Unützer, J.; Kimmel, R.J.; Snowden, M. Psychiatry in the age of COVID-19. *World Psychiatry* **2020**, *19*, 130–131. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
15. Amsalem, D.; Dixon, L.B.; Neria, Y. The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) outbreak and mental health: Current risks and recommended actions. *JAMA Psychiatry* **2020**, *78*, e1–e2. [[CrossRef](#)]
16. Peng, M.; Wang, L.; Xue, Q.; Yin, L.; Zhu, B.; Wang, K.; Shangguan, F.; Zhang, P.; Niu, Y.; Zhang, W.; et al. Post-COVID-19 epidemic: Allostatic load among medical and nonmedical workers in China. *Psychother. Psychosom.* **2021**, *90*, 127–136. [[CrossRef](#)]
17. Dai, H.; Zhang, S.X.; Looi, K.H.; Looi, K.H.; Su, R.; Li, J. Perception of health conditions and test availability as predictors of adults' mental health during the COVID-19 pandemic: A survey study of adults in Malaysia. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2020**, *17*, 5498. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
18. Schfer, S.; Sopp, M.R.; Schanz, C.; Staginnus, M.; Göritz, A.S.; Michael, T. Impact of COVID-19 on public mental health and the buffering effect of a sense of coherence. *Psychother. Psychosom.* **2020**, *89*, 1–7. [[CrossRef](#)]
19. Wang, C.; Pan, R.; Wan, X.; Tan, Y.; Xu, L.; Ho, C.; Ho, R. Immediate psychological responses and associated factors during the initial stage of the 2019 coronavirus disease (COVID-19) epidemic among the general population in China. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2020**, *17*, 1729. [[CrossRef](#)]
20. Rajkumar, R.P. COVID-19 and mental health: A review of the existing literature. *Asian J. Psychiatry* **2020**, *52*, 102066. [[CrossRef](#)]
21. Zhang, S.X.; Wang, Y.; Jahanshahi, A.A.; Li, J.; Schmitt, A.G.H. Early evidence and predictors of mental distress of adults one month in the COVID-19 epidemic in Brazil. *J. Psychosom. Res.* **2021**, *142*, 110366. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
22. McElroy, E.; Patalay, P.; Moltrecht, B.; Shevlin, M.; Shum, A.; Creswell, C.; Waite, P. Demographic and health factors associated with pandemic anxiety in the context of COVID-19. *Br. J. Health Psychol.* **2020**, *25*, 934–944. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
23. Kabasakal, E.; Zpulat, F.; Ayegül, A.; Özcebe, L.H. Mental health status of health sector and community services employees during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Int. Arch. Occup. Environ. Health* **2021**. [[CrossRef](#)]
24. Dong, H.; Hu, R.; Lu, C.; Huang, D.; Cui, D.; Huang, G.; Zhang, M. Investigation on the mental health status of pregnant women in China during the pandemic of COVID-19. *Arch. Gynecol. Obstet.* **2020**, *303*, 463–469. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
25. Broche-Pérez, Y.; Fernández-Fleites, Z.; Jiménez-Puig, E.; Fernández-Castillo, E.; Rodríguez-Martin, B.C. Gender and fear of COVID-19 in a Cuban population sample. *Int. J. Ment. Health Addict.* **2020**. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
26. Simha, A.; Prasad, R.; Ahmed, S.; Rao, N.P. Effect of gender and clinical-financial vulnerability on mental distress due to COVID-19. *Arch. Womens Ment. Health* **2021**, *23*, 775–777. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
27. Ausin, B.; González-Sanguino, C.; Castellanos, M.N.; Muñoz, M. Gender-related differences in the psychological impact of confinement as a consequence of COVID-19 in Spain. *J. Gend. Stud.* **2020**, *30*, 29–38. [[CrossRef](#)]
28. Schweda, A.; Weismüller, B.; Buerle, A.; Dörrie, N.; Musche, V.; Fink, M.; Kohler, H.; Teufel, M.; Skoda, E.M. Phenotyping mental health: Age, community size, and depression differently modulate COVID-19-related fear and generalized anxiety. *Compr. Psychiatry* **2021**, *104*, 152218. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
29. Malkawi, S.H.; Almhdawi, K.; Jaber, A.F.; Alqatarnah, N.S. COVID-19 quarantine-related mental health symptoms and their correlates among mothers: A cross sectional study. *Matern. Child Health J.* **2021**, *25*, 695–705. [[CrossRef](#)]
30. Zhang, C.Q.; Zhang, R.; Lu, Y.; Liu, H.; Kong, S.; Baer, J.; Zhang, H. Occupational stressors, mental health, and sleep difficulty among nurses during the COVID-19 pandemic: The mediating roles of cognitive fusion and cognitive reappraisal. *J. Contextual Behav. Sci.* **2021**, *19*, 64–71. [[CrossRef](#)]
31. Fitzpatrick, O.; Carson, A.; Weisz, J.R. Using mixed methods to identify the primary mental health problems and needs of children, adolescents, and their caregivers during the Coronavirus (COVID-19). *Child Psychiatry Hum. Dev.* **2020**, 1–12. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
32. Delamater, A.M.; Guzman, A.; Aparicio, K. Mental health issues in children and adolescents with chronic illness. *Int. J. Hum. Rights Healthc.* **2017**, *10*, 163–173. [[CrossRef](#)]
33. Jahanshahi, A.A.; Dinani, M.M.; Madavani, A.N.; Li, J.; Zhang, S.X. The distress of Iranian adults during the COVID-19 pandemic—More distressed than the Chinese and with different predictors. *Brain Behav. Immun.* **2020**, *87*, 124–125. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
34. Yang, K.M.; Banamah, A. Quota Sampling as an alternative to probability sampling? An experimental study. *Sociol. Res. Online* **2014**, *19*, 29. [[CrossRef](#)]
35. Kroenke, K.; Spitzer, R.L.; Williams, J.B.W. The PHQ-9: Validity of a brief depression severity measure. *J. Gen. Intern. Med.* **2001**, *16*, 606–613. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
36. Spitzer, R.L.; Kroenke, K.; Williams, J.B.; Löwe, B. A brief measure for assessing generalized anxiety disorder: The GAD-7. *Arch. Intern. Med.* **2006**, *166*, 1092–1097. [[CrossRef](#)]
37. Urtasun, M.; Daray, F.M.; Teti, G.L.; Coppolillo, F.; Herlax, G.; Saba, G.; Rubinstein, A.; Araya, R.; Irazola, V. Validation and calibration of the patient health questionnaire (PHQ-9) in Argentina. *BMC Psychiatry* **2019**, *19*, 291. [[CrossRef](#)]
38. Cao, W.; Fang, Z.; Hou, G.; Han, M.; Xu, X.; Dong, J.; Zheng, J. The psychological impact of the COVID-19 epidemic on college students in China. *Psychiatry Res.* **2020**, *287*, 112934. [[CrossRef](#)]
39. Abdellatif, W.; Ding, J.; Jalal, S.; Nguyen, T.; Khosa, F. Lack of gender disparity among administrative leaders of Canadian health authorities. *J. Womens Health* **2020**, *29*, 1469–1474. [[CrossRef](#)]
40. Ollivier, R.; Aston, M.; Price, S.; Sim, M.; Nassaji, N.A. Mental health & parental concerns during COVID-19: The experiences of new mothers amidst social isolation. *Midwifery* **2020**, *94*, 102902. [[CrossRef](#)]

41. Almeida, M.; Shrestha, A.D.; Stojanac, D.; Miller, L.J. The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on women's mental health. *Arch. Womens Ment. Health* **2020**, *23*, 741–748. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
42. Agnafors, S.; Bladh, M.; Svedin, C.G.; Sydsj, G. Mental health in young mothers, single mothers and their children. *BMC Psychiatry* **2019**, *19*, 112. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
43. Abad, A.; da Silva, J.A.; das Neves Braga, J.V.Z.; Medeiros, P.; de Freitas, R.L.; Coimbra, N.C.; de Silva, J.A. Preparing for the COVID-19 mental health crisis in Latin America—Using early evidence from countries that experienced COVID-19 first. *Adv. Infect. Dis.* **2020**, *10*, 40–44. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
44. Alonso, J.; Liu, Z.; Evans-Lacko, S.; Sadikova, E.; Sampson, N.; Chatterji, S.; Abdulmalik, J.; Aguilar-Gaxiola, S.; Al-Hamzawi, A.; Andrade, L.H.; et al. Treatment gap for anxiety disorders is global: Results of the World Mental Health Surveys in 21 countries. *Depress. Anxiety* **2018**, *35*, 195–208. [\[CrossRef\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)
45. Munhoz, T.N.; Nunes, B.P.; Wehrmeister, F.C.; Santos, I.S.; Matijasevich, A. A nationwide population-based study of depression in Brazil. *J. Affect. Disord.* **2016**, *192*, 226–233. [\[CrossRef\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)
46. Torrente, F.; Yoris, A.; Low, D.M.; Lopez, P.; Bekinshtein, P.; Manes, F.; Cetkovich, M. Sooner than you think: A very early affective reaction to the COVID-19 pandemic and quarantine in Argentina. *J. Affect. Disord.* **2020**, *282*, 495–503. [\[CrossRef\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)
47. Duarte, F.; Jiménez-Molina, A. Psychological distress during the COVID-19 epidemic in Chile: The role of economic uncertainty. *MedRxiv* **2020**. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
48. Antiporta, D.A.; Cutipé, Y.L.; Mendoza, M.; Stuart, E.A.; Bruni, A. Depressive symptoms among Peruvian adult residents amidst a National Lockdown during the COVID-19 pandemic. *BMC Psychiatry* **2021**, *21*, 111. [\[CrossRef\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)
49. Herrera, M.S.; Elgueta, R.; Fernández, M.B.; Giacomani, C.; Leal, D.; Marshall, P.; Rubio, M.; Bustamante, F. A longitudinal study monitoring the quality of life in a national cohort of older adults in Chile before and during the COVID-19 outbreak. *BMC Geriatr.* **2021**, *21*, 143. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
50. Paz, C.; Mascialino, G.; Adana-Díaz, L.; Simbaña-Rivera, K.; Gómez-Barreno, L.; Troya, M.; Paez, M.I.; Cárdenas, J.; Gerstner, R.M.; Ortiz-Prado, E. Behavioral and sociodemographic predictors of anxiety and depression in patients under epidemiological surveillance for COVID-19 in Ecuador. *PLoS ONE* **2020**, *15*, e0240008. [\[CrossRef\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)
51. Chen, X.; Zhang, S.X.; Jahanshahi, A.A.; Alvarez-Risco, A.; Dai, H.; Li, J.; Ibarra, V.G. Belief in conspiracy theory about COVID-19 predicts mental health and well-being: A study of healthcare staff in Ecuador (Preprint). *JMIR Public Health Surveill.* **2020**, *6*, e20737. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
52. Parra, M.R. Depression and the meaning of life in university students in times of pandemic. *Int. J. Educ. Psychol.* **2020**, *9*, 223–242. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
53. Martínez, L.; Valencia, I.; Trofimoff, V. Subjective wellbeing and mental health during the COVID-19 pandemic: Data from three population groups in Colombia. *Data Brief* **2020**, *32*, 106287. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
54. Zhang, S.X.; Liu, J.; Jahanshahi, A.A.; Nawaserde, K.; Yousefif, A.; Li, J.; Sun, S. At the height of the storm: Healthcare staff's health conditions and job satisfaction and their associated predictors during the epidemic peak of COVID-19. *Brain Behav. Immun.* **2020**, *87*, 144–146. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
55. Roma, P.; Monaro, M.; Colasanti, M.; Ricci, E.; Biondi, S.; Domenico, A.D.; Verrocchio, M.C.; Napoli, C.; Ferracuti, S.; Mazza, C. A 2-month follow-up study of psychological distress among Italian people during the COVID-19 lockdown. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2020**, *17*, 8180. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
56. Razazian, N.; Yavari, Z.; Farnia, V.; Azizi, A.; Kordavani, L.; Bahmani, D.S.; Holsboer-Trachsler, E.; Brand, S. Exercising impacts on fatigue, depression, and paresthesia in female patients with multiple sclerosis. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* **2016**, *48*, 796–803. [\[CrossRef\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)
57. Kim, H.R.; Sun, M.K.; Han, D.H.; Lee, Y.S. Protective and risk factors for depressive mood and anxiety against occupational stress: Examining temperament character and coping strategy among civil servants. *Arch. Environ. Occup. Health* **2019**, *75*, 346–357. [\[CrossRef\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)
58. Jung, J.; Jeong, I.; Lee, K.J.; Won, G.; Park, J.B. Effects of changes in occupational stress on the depressive symptoms of Korean workers in a large company: A longitudinal survey. *Ann. Occup. Environ. Med.* **2018**, *30*, 39. [\[CrossRef\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)
59. Nguyen, H.T.; Do, B.N.; Pham, K.M.; Kim, G.B.; Dam, H.T.B.; Nguyen, T.T.; Nguyen, T.T.P.; Nguyen, Y.H.; Sørensen, K.; Pleasant, A.; et al. Fear of COVID-19 Scale—Associations of its scores with health literacy and health-related behaviors among medical students. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2020**, *17*, 4164. [\[CrossRef\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)
60. Carleton, R.N. Into the unknown: A review and synthesis of contemporary models involving uncertainty. *J. Anxiety Disord.* **2016**, *39*, 30–43. [\[CrossRef\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)
61. Daikeler, J.; Bonjak, M.; Manfreda, K.L. Web versus other survey modes: An updated and extended meta-analysis comparing response rates. *J. Surv. Stat. Methodol.* **2020**, *8*, 513–539. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
62. Yan, J.; Kim, S.; Zhang, S.X.; Foo, M.D.; Alvarez-Risco, A.; Del-Aguila-Arcenales, S.; Yáñez, J.A. Hospitality workers' COVID-19 risk perception and depression: A contingent model based on transactional theory of stress model. *Int. J. Hosp. Manag.* **2021**, *95*, 102935. [\[CrossRef\]](#)