## ☑ The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper. ☐ The authors declare the following financial interests/personal relationships which may be considered as potential competing interests:

**Declaration of interests** 

## PRISMA-P 2015 Checklist

This checklist has been adapted for use with protocol submissions to *Systematic Reviews* from Table 3 in Moher D et al: Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. *Systematic Reviews* 2015 **4**:1

Section/topic	#	Checklist item	Information reported		Line number(s)	
			Yes	No		
ADMINISTRATIVE INFO	ORMA <sup>*</sup>	TION				
Title						
Identification	1a	Identify the report as a protocol of a systematic review			Title Page	
Update	1b	If the protocol is for an update of a previous systematic review, identify as such				
Registration	2	If registered, provide the name of the registry (e.g., PROSPERO) and registration number in the Abstract				
Authors						
Contact	За	Provide name, institutional affiliation, and e-mail address of all protocol authors; provide physical mailing address of corresponding author			Title Page	
Contributions	3b	Describe contributions of protocol authors and identify the guarantor of the review			Title Page/Corresponding Author	
Amendments	4	If the protocol represents an amendment of a previously completed or published protocol, identify as such and list changes; otherwise, state plan for documenting important protocol amendments				
Support						
Sources	5a	Indicate sources of financial or other support for the review			Part of submission	
Sponsor	5b	Provide name for the review funder and/or sponsor			Part of submission	
Role of sponsor/funder	5c	Describe roles of funder(s), sponsor(s), and/or institution(s), if any, in developing the protocol			N/A	
INTRODUCTION						
Rationale	6	Describe the rationale for the review in the context of what is already known			131-146	



Section/topic	#	Checklist item	Information reported		Line number(s)
			Yes	No	
Objectives	7	Provide an explicit statement of the question(s) the review will address with reference to participants, interventions, comparators, and outcomes (PICO)			147-168
METHODS					
Eligibility criteria	8	Specify the study characteristics (e.g., PICO, study design, setting, time frame) and report characteristics (e.g., years considered, language, publication status) to be used as criteria for eligibility for the review			190-199
Information sources	9	Describe all intended information sources (e.g., electronic databases, contact with study authors, trial registers, or other grey literature sources) with planned dates of coverage			170-189
Search strategy	10	Present draft of search strategy to be used for at least one electronic database, including planned limits, such that it could be repeated			170-189
STUDY RECORDS					
Data management	11a	Describe the mechanism(s) that will be used to manage records and data throughout the review			169-236
Selection process	11b	State the process that will be used for selecting studies (e.g., two independent reviewers) through each phase of the review (i.e., screening, eligibility, and inclusion in meta-analysis)			169-236
Data collection process	11c	Describe planned method of extracting data from reports (e.g., piloting forms, done independently, in duplicate), any processes for obtaining and confirming data from investigators			169-236
Data items	12	List and define all variables for which data will be sought (e.g., PICO items, funding sources), any pre-planned data assumptions and simplifications			169-236
Outcomes and prioritization	13	List and define all outcomes for which data will be sought, including prioritization of main and additional outcomes, with rationale			169-236
Risk of bias in individual studies	14	Describe anticipated methods for assessing risk of bias of individual studies, including whether this will be done at the outcome or study level, or both; state how this information will be used in data synthesis			238-275
DATA					
	15a	Describe criteria under which study data will be quantitatively synthesized			190-214
Synthesis	15b	If data are appropriate for quantitative synthesis, describe planned summary measures, methods of handling data, and methods of combining data from studies, including any planned			N/A



Section/topic	#	Checklist item	Information reported		Line number(s)
			Yes	No	
		exploration of consistency (e.g., I <sup>2</sup> , Kendall's tau)			
	15c	Describe any proposed additional analyses (e.g., sensitivity or subgroup analyses, meta-regression)			215-236
	15d	If quantitative synthesis is not appropriate, describe the type of summary planned			169-237
Meta-bias(es)	16	Specify any planned assessment of meta-bias(es) (e.g., publication bias across studies, selective reporting within studies)			N/A
Confidence in cumulative evidence	17	Describe how the strength of the body of evidence will be assessed (e.g., GRADE)			238-275

