

Table S1: Consolidated criteria for reporting qualitative studies (COREQ): 32-item checklist.

No	Item	Guide questions/description	Response
Domain 1: Research team and reflexivity			

Personal Characteristics

1.	Interviewer/facilitator	Which author/s conducted the interview or focus group?	All the interviews were conducted by the four authors (MAGC, LTC, RDC).
2.	Credentials	What were the researcher's credentials? E.g. PhD, MD	RDC and MAGC were PhD. LTC were MScN.
3.	Occupation	What was their occupation at the time of the study?	All authors were research professor.
4.	Gender	Was the researcher male or female?	All authors were females.
5.	Experience and training	What experience or training did the researcher have?	All researchers had experience in carrying out qualitative research and the have been trained to conduct interviews.

Relationship with participants

6.	Relationship established	Was a relationship established prior to study commencement?	No, there wasn't.
7.	Participant knowledge of the interviewer	What did the participants know about the researcher? e.g. personal goals, reasons for doing the research	Name, occupation, reasons for doing the research.
8.	Interviewer characteristics	What characteristics were reported about the interviewer/facilitator? e.g. Bias, assumptions, reasons and interests in the research topic	Name, occupation, contact method, reasons for doing the research.

Domain 2: Study design			
-------------------------------	--	--	--

Theoretical framework

--	--	--	--

9.	Methodological orientation and Theory	What methodological orientation was stated to underpin the study? e.g. grounded theory, discourse analysis, ethnography, phenomenology, content analysis	Phenomenological and ethnographic approach with a discourse and content analysis.
----	---------------------------------------	--	---

Participant selection

10.	Sampling	How were participants selected? e.g. purposive, convenience, consecutive, snowball	Convenience sampling.
11.	Method of approach	How were participants approached? e.g. face-to-face, telephone, mail, email	Face to face.
12.	Sample size	How many participants were in the study?	45 Andalusian girls and boys aged between 16 and 22 and 13 social agents (health and teaching professionals)
13.	Non-participation	How many people refused to participate or dropped out? Reasons?	2 for personal reasons.

Setting

14.	Setting of data collection	Where was the data collected? e.g. home, clinic, workplace	The interviews were carried out in different places.
15.	Presence of non-participants	Was anyone else present besides the participants and researchers?	No, it wasn't.
16.	Description of sample	What are the important characteristics of the sample? e.g. demographic data, date	Spanish young people who had consumed drugs and alcohol and social agents, they had to have permanent and direct contact with young consumers in the fields of health and education.

Data collection

17.	Interview guide	Were questions, prompts, guides provided by the authors? Was it pilot tested?	Yes, they were. / Yes, it was.
18.	Repeat interviews	Were repeat interviews carried out? If yes, how many?	No, they weren't.
19.	Audio/visual recording	Did the research use audio or visual recording to collect the data?	Audio recording.

20.	Field notes	Were field notes made during and/or after the interview or focus group?	Yes, they were (field notes).
21.	Duration	What was the duration of the inter views or focus group?	60 minutes.
22.	Data saturation	Was data saturation discussed?	Yes, it was.

23.	Transcripts returned	Were transcripts returned to participants for comment and/or correction?	Reviewed by 2 participants.
Doman 3: Analysis and findings			

Data analysis

24.	Number of data coders	How many data coders coded the data?	Two (LTC and RDC).
25.	Description of the coding tree	Did authors provide a description of the coding tree?	Yes, we did.
26.	Derivation of themes	Were themes identified in advance or derived from the data?	Themes were derived using both methods.
27.	Software	What software, if applicable, was used to manage the data?	NUDIST Nvivo 12.
28.	Participant checking	Did participants provide feedback on the findings?	Reviewed by 2 informants.

Reporting

29.	Quotations presented	Were participant quotations presented to illustrate the themes/findings? Was each quotation identified? e.g. participant number	Yes, there were. / Yes, there was.
30.	Data and findings consistent	Was there consistency between the data presented and the findings?	Yes, there was.
31.	Clarity of major themes	Were major themes clearly presented in the findings?	Yes, they were.
32.	Clarity of minor themes	Is there a description of diverse cases or discussion of minor themes?	Yes, there is.

Developed from: Tong, A. Sainsbury, P., and Craig, J. 2007. Consolidated criteria for reporting qualitative research (COREQ): A 32- ítem checklist for interviews and focus group. Int. J. Qual. Health Care 19: 349-357.