Additional file 1
Table S1 Characteristics of participants by objective and perceived access in urban/suburban areas

	Total <sup>a</sup> n = 60576	Poor objective access n = 11107	Poor perceived access n = 13044
Objective access: poor, n (%)	11107	-	3385 (26.0)
Perceived access: poor, n (%)	13044	3385 (30.5)	-
Age (years), mean (SD)	73.6 (6.0)	73.7 (6.2)	73.7 (6.3)
Men, n (%)	28472	5093 (45.9)	5614 (43.0)
Family structure, n (%)			
Alone	7198	1136 (10.3)	1749 (13.4)
With their spouse	23166	4068 (36.6)	4632 (35.5)
With others	29436	5762 (51.9)	6480 (49.7)
Unknown	776	141 (1.3)	183 (1.4)
Marital status, n (%)	10.154	T040 (T4.0)	0040 ((= 0)
Married	43476	7919 (71.3)	8843 (67.8)
Divorced or widowed	14743	2803 (26.6)	3644 (27.9)
Never married or others	1570 787	208 (1.9)	377 (2.9)
Unknown  Rody mass index (leg/m²) in (9/)	767	177 (1.6)	180 (1.4)
Body mass index (kg/m²), n (%) < 18.5	4221	692 (6.2)	991 (7.6)
18.5–24.9	41537	7534 (67.8)	8800 (67.5)
≥ 25	12690	2420 (21.8)	2717 (20.8)
Unknown	2128	461 (4.2)	536 (4.1)
Activity daily living (units), n (%)	2120	401 (4.2)	550 (4.1)
< 5	11888	2244 (20.2)	2985 (22.9)
≥5	47417	8614 (77.6)	9754 (74.8)
Unknown	1271	249 (2.2)	305 (2.3)
Remaining teeth (number), n (%)		\ /	
< 20	37330	7184 (64.7)	8313 (63.7)
≥ 20	22186	3748 (33.7)	4499 (34.5)
Unknown	1060	175 (1.6)	232 (1.8)
Comorbidity, n (%)			
No	8303	1424 (12.8)	1843 (14.1)
Yes	37707	7050 (63.5)	8326 (63.8)
Unknown	14566	2633 (23.7)	2875 (22.0)
Smoking status	(550	11(0(10 5)	140 ( (10.0)
Current	6553	1169 (10.5)	1426 (10.9)
Past or never	50996	9243 (83.2)	10890 (83.5)
Unknown Household in some (million won/weer) m (%)	3027	695 (6.3)	728 (5.6)
Household income (million yen/year), n (%) < 2.00	23772	4613 (41.5)	5323 (40.8)
2.00–3.99	20885	3700 (33.3)	4234 (32.5)
≥4.00 ≥4.00	6156	874 (7.9)	1240 (9.5)
Unknown	9763	1920 (17.3)	2247 (17.0)
Years of schooling (years), n (%)	77.00	1720 (17.0)	2217 (17.0)
≤ 9	26225	4900 (44.1)	5950 (45.6)
10–12	21632	4092 (36.8)	4573 (35.1)
≥ 13	11441	1901 (17.1)	2220 (17.2)
Unknown	1278	214 (1.9)	301 (2.3)
Car use, n (%)		,	
Yes	42168	9408 (84.7)	9358 (71.7)
No	9868	1495 (13.5)	2105 (16.1)
Unknown	8540	204 (1.8)	1581 (12.1)
Community level			
Convenience stores (number), mean (SD)	3.7 (2.0)	3.7 (2.0)	4.2 (3.1)
Land slope (degree), mean (SD)	2.8 (3.8)	5.7 (5.6)	3.1 (4.0)
Vegetables/fruits intake (times/day), mean (SD)	1.4(0.6)	1.4 (0.6)	1.3 (0.6)
Meat/fish intake (times/day), mean (SD)	0.8 (0.5)	0.8 (0.5)	0.7(0.5)

SD = standard deviation; <sup>a</sup> Numbers or mean (SD) were indicated in Total.

Additional file 1

Table S2 Characteristics of participants by objective and perceived access in rural areas

	Total <sup>a</sup> n = 22808	Poor objective access n = 19276	Poor perceived access n = 8061	
Objective access: poor, n (%)	19276	-	7311 (90.7)	
Perceived access: poor, n (%)	8061	7311 (37.9)	- '	
Age (years), mean (SD)	74.8 (6.5)	75.0 (6.5)	75.1 (6.5)	
Men, n (%)	10143	8511 (44.2)	3340 (41.4)	
Family structure, n (%)				
Alone	2698	2377 (12.3)	1100 (13.7)	
With their spouse	7985	6808 (35.3)	2831 (35.1)	
With others	11703	9723 (50.4)	3976 (49.3)	
Unknown	422	368 (1.9)	154 (1.9)	
Marital status, n (%)				
Married	15837	13308 (69.0)	5356 (66.4)	
Divorced or widowed	5997	5123 (25.8)	2361 (29.3)	
Never married or others	466	408 (2.1)	167 (2.1)	
Unknown	508	437 (2.3)	177 (2.2)	
Body mass index (kg/m²), n (%)				
< 18.5	1538	1295 (6.7)	565 (7.0)	
18.5–24.9	15134	12782 (66.3)	5338 (66.2)	
≥ 25	4892	4152 (21.5)	1679 (20.8)	
Unknown	1244	1047 (5.4)	479 (5.9)	
Activity daily living (units), n (%)				
< 5	5038	4186 (21.7)	1959 (24.3)	
≥5	17036	14457 (75.0)	5823 (72.2)	
Unknown	734	633 (3.3)	279 (3.5)	
Remaining teeth (number), n (%)	1.000	1.1-10.(0)	(004 (== ()	
< 20	16908	14513 (75.3)	6094 (75.6)	
≥ 20	5349	4292 (22.3)	1768 (21.9)	
Unknown	551	471 (2.4)	199 (2.5)	
Comorbidity, n (%)	2100	2(02 (12 0)	1000 (15.0)	
No	3188	2683 (13.9)	1209 (15.0)	
Yes	14342	12156 (63.1)	5083 (63.1)	
Unknown	5278	4437 (23.0)	1769 (22.0)	
Smoking status	2102	1000 (0.4)	(00 (0.7)	
Current	2182	1808 (9.4)	699 (8.7)	
Past or never	18664	15798 (82.0)	6606 (82.0)	
Unknown Household income (million yen/year), n (%)	1962	1670 (8.7)	756 (9.4)	
< 2.00	10709	9274 (48.1)	3943 (48.9)	
2.00–3.99	5782	4742 (24.6)	1902 (23.6)	
≥ 4.00	1493	1174 (6.1)	429 (5.3)	
Unknown	4824	4086 (21.2)	1787 (22.2)	
Years of schooling (years), n (%)	4024	4000 (21.2)	1707 (22.2)	
≤9	12409	10325 (53.6)	4386 (54.4)	
10–12	6925	5966 (31.0)	2439 (30.3)	
≥ 13	2826	2427 (12.6)	981 (12.2)	
Unknown	648	558 (2.9)	255 (3.2)	
Car use, n (%)	040	330 (2.7)	200 (0.2)	
Yes	19757	16717 (86.7)	6912 (85.8)	
No	2682	2234 (11.6)	994 (12.3)	
Unknown	369	325 (1.7)	155 (1.9)	
Community level	207	020 (1.7)	100 (1.7)	
Convenience stores (number), mean (SD)	1.6 (1.7)	1.5 (1.8)	1.0 (1.3)	
Land slope (degree), mean (SD)	10.3 (6.9)	11.5 (6.8)	12.5 (7.4)	
Vegetables/fruits intake (times/day), mean (SD)	1.4 (0.6)	1.4 (0.6)	1.4 (0.6)	
Meat/fish intake (times/day), mean (SD)	0.7 (0.5)	0.8 (0.5)	0.7 (0.5)	
SD = standard deviation: <sup>a</sup> Numbers or mean (SD) were			0.7 (0.3)	

SD = standard deviation; <sup>a</sup>Numbers or mean (SD) were indicated in Total.

Additional file 1

Table S3 Suburban/urban area intake frequencies of vegetables/fruits and meat/fish according to objective and perceived access

_	Objective		Perceived access		
	β(SE)	<i>p</i> -Value	β(SE)	<i>p</i> -Value	
Vegetables/fruits					
Poor access (vs. good access)	0.102 (0.021)	< 0.001	-0.112 (0.011)	< 0.001	
Age	0.018 (0.001)	< 0.001	0.018 (0.001)	< 0.001	
Men (vs. women)	-0.403 (0.010)	< 0.001	-0.407 (0.010)	< 0.001	
Living alone (vs. with others)	0.059 (0.017)	< 0.001	0.060 (0.017)	< 0.001	
Never married or others (vs. married)	-0.186 (0.030)	< 0.001	-0.183 (0.029)	< 0.001	
BMI (vs. 18.5–24.9 kg/m <sup>2</sup> )					
< 18.5	-0.001 (0.018)	0.971	0.001 (0.018)	0.969	
≥ 25	-0.107 (0.011)	< 0.001	-0.108 (0.011)	< 0.001	
Activity daily living, $< 5$ units (vs. $\ge 5$ )	-0.125 (0.012)	< 0.001	-0.119 (0.012)	< 0.001	
Remaining teeth, < 20 tooth (vs. ≥20)	-0.230 (0.010)	< 0.001	-0.228 (0.010)	< 0.001	
Comorbidity, yes (vs. no)	-0.026 (0.013)	0.053	-0.026 (0.013)	0.051	
Current smoking (vs. never)	-0.242 (0.014)	< 0.001	-0.240 (0.014)	< 0.001	
Household income, < 2.00 million					
yen/year (vs. 2.00–3.99)	-0.187 (0.011)	< 0.001	-0.185 (0.011)	< 0.001	
Years of schooling, < 9 years (vs. 10–12)	-0.144 (0.010)	< 0.001	-0.143 (0.010)	< 0.001	
No car-use (vs. use)	-0.124 (0.013)	< 0.001	-0.128 (0.013)	< 0.001	
Convenience store	-0.002 (0.002)	0.247	-0.003 (0.002)	0.123	
Land slope	-0.006 (0.002)	0.001	-0.004 (0.002)	0.084	
	Var RE (SE)	ICC (SE)	Var RE (SE)	ICC (SE)	
	0.005 (0.001)	0.006 (0.001)	0.008 (0.001)	0.008 (0.001)	
Meat/fish					
Poor access (vs. good access)	0.019 (0.015)	0.194	-0.034 (0.005)	< 0.001	
Age	0.005 (0.0004)	< 0.001	0.005 (0.0004)	< 0.001	
Men (vs. women)	-0.086 (0.005)	< 0.001	-0.088 (0.005)	< 0.001	
Living alone (vs. with others)	-0.017 (0.008)	0.029	-0.017 (0.008)	0.031	
Never married or others (vs. married)	-0.063 (0.014)	< 0.001	-0.062 (0.014)	< 0.001	
BMI (vs. 18.5–24.9 kg/m²)					
< 18.5	0.005 (0.008)	0.578	0.005 (0.008)	0.540	
≥ 25	-0.022 (0.005)	< 0.001	-0.023 (0.005)	< 0.001	
Activity daily living, $< 5$ units (vs. $\ge 5$ )	-0.041 (0.005)	< 0.001	-0.039 (0.005)	< 0.001	
Remaining teeth, $< 20$ tooth (vs. $\ge 20$ )	-0.087 (0.004)	< 0.001	-0.087 (0.004)	< 0.001	
Comorbidity, yes (vs. no)	-0.010 (0.006)	0.084	0.011 (0.006)	0.082	
Current smoking (vs. never)	-0.013 (0.007)	0.062	-0.012 (0.007)	0.070	
Household income, < 2.00 million					
yen/year (vs. 2.00–3.99)	-0.078 (0.005)	< 0.001	-0.078 (0.005)	< 0.001	
Years of schooling, < 9 years (vs. 10–12)	-0.086 (0.005)	< 0.001	-0.086 (0.005)	< 0.001	
No car-use (vs. use)	-0.011 (0.006)	0.062	-0.012 (0.006)	0.047	
	-0.0002 (0.001)		-0.0003 (0.001)	0.805	
Land slope	0.001 (0.001)	0.443	0.002 (0.001)	0.213	
Land Stope					
Land Stope	Var RE (SE)	ICC (SE)	Var RE (SE) 0.004 (0.0004)	ICC (SE)	

Associations were assessed using a multilevel Tobit model<sup>†</sup> among 60,576 residents in urban/suburban areas.  $\beta$ , beta coefficients; SE, standard error; Var RE, random effect variance in 336 school districts in urban/suburban areas; ICC, intercorrelation between school districts.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>dagger}$ Var RE (SE) and ICC (SE) in the null model was 0.012 (0.002) and 0.011 (0.002) for vegetables/fruits and 0.006 (0.001) and 0.023 (0.002) for meat/fish, respectively

## Additional file 1 Table S4 Rural area intake frequencies of vegetables/fruits and meat/fish according to objective and perceived access

	Objective	access	Perceived access		
	$\beta$ (SE) p-Value		β(SE)	pVvalue	
Vegetables/fruits		•	•		
Poor access (vs. good access)	0.052 (0.053)	0.323	-0.053 (0.016)	0.001	
Age	0.017 (0.001)	< 0.001	0.017 (0.001)	< 0.001	
Men (vs. women)	-0.303 (0.017)	< 0.001	-0.305 (0.017)	< 0.001	
Living alone (vs. with others)	-0.008 (0.027)	0.771	-0.007 (0.027)	0.801	
Never married or others (vs. married)	-0.191 (0.053)	< 0.001	-0.190 (0.053)	< 0.001	
BMI (vs. 18.5–24.9 kg/m <sup>2</sup> )					
< 18.5	-0.039 (0.030)	0.190	-0.039 (0.030)	0.191	
≥ 25	-0.064 (0.018)	< 0.001	-0.064 (0.018)	< 0.001	
Activity daily living, $< 5$ units (vs. $\ge 5$ )	-0.162 (0.018)	< 0.001	-0.158 (0.018)	< 0.001	
Remaining teeth, < 20 tooth (vs. ≥20)	-0.164 (0.018)	< 0.001	-0.163 (0.018)	< 0.001	
Comorbidity, yes (vs. no)	-0.028 (0.022)	0.196	-0.029 (0.022)	0.181	
Current smoking (vs. never)	-0.247 (0.025)	< 0.001	-0.248 (0.025)	< 0.001	
Household income, < 2.00 million					
yen/year (vs. 2.00–3.99)	-0.134 (0.019)	< 0.001	-0.133 (0.019)	< 0.001	
Years of schooling, < 9 years (vs. 10–12)	-0.104 (0.017)	< 0.001	-0.104 (0.017)	< 0.001	
No car-use (vs. use)	-0.151 (0.024)	< 0.001	-0.150 (0.024)	< 0.001	
Convenience store	0.006 (0.012)	0.635	0.003 (0.012)	0.840	
Land slope	0.004 (0.003)	0.150	0.005 (0.002)	0.029	
	Var RE (SE)	ICC (SE)	Var RE (SE)	ICC (SE)	
Meat/fish	0.016 (0.004)	0.015 (0.003)	0.017 (0.004)	0.016 (0.004)	
	0.035 (0.033)	0.288	-0.020 (0.007)	0.007	
Poor access (vs. good access)		<0.001		< 0.007	
Age Men (vs. women)	0.005 (0.001)	<0.001	0.005 (0.001)	<0.001	
Living alone (vs. with others)	-0.047 (0.007) -0.045 (0.012)	<0.001	-0.047 (0.007) -0.045 (0.012)	0.001	
Never married or others (vs. married)	-0.045 (0.012)	0.001	-0.045 (0.012)	0.056	
BMI (vs. 18.5–24.9 kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	-0.040 (0.024)	0.034	-0.040 (0.024)	0.030	
<18.5	0.005 (0.013)	0.714	0.005 (0.013)	0.713	
≥ 25	-0.011 (0.008)	0.165	-0.011 (0.008)	0.158	
Activity daily living, $< 5$ units (vs. $\ge 5$ )	-0.042 (0.008)	< 0.001	-0.041 (0.008)	< 0.001	
Remaining teeth, $< 20$ tooth (vs. $\ge 20$ )	-0.063 (0.008)	< 0.001	-0.063 (0.008)	< 0.001	
Comorbidity, yes (vs. no)	0.0003 (0.010)		-0.00002 (0.010)	0.998	
Current smoking (vs. never)	-0.017 (0.012)	0.146	-0.017 (0.012)	0.139	
Household income, < 2.00 million	(010-1-)		(***==)		
yen/year (vs. 2.00–3.99)	-0.083 (0.008)	< 0.001	-0.083 (0.008)	< 0.001	
Years of schooling, < 9 years (vs. 10–12)	-0.071 (0.008)	< 0.001	-0.071 (0.008)	< 0.001	
No car-use (vs. use)	-0.044 (0.011)	< 0.001	-0.044 (0.011)	< 0.001	
Convenience store	-0.012 (0.007)	0.110	-0.013 (0.008)	0.076	
Land slope	0.001 (0.002)	0.648	0.002 (0.001)	0.291	
·	Var RE (SE)	ICC (SE)	Var RE (SE)	ICC (SE)	
		0.029 (0.006)	0.007 (0.002)		
Associations were associated using a multilevel		` '			

Associations were assessed using a multilevel Tobit model<sup>†</sup> among 22,808 residents in rural areas.

 $<sup>\</sup>beta$ , beta coefficients; SE, standard error; Var RE, random effect variance in 78 school districts in rural areas; ICC, intercorrelation between school districts.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>dagger}$ Var RE (SE) and ICC (SE) in the null model was 0.026 (0.005) and 0.023 (0.004) for vegetables/fruits and 0.006 (0.001) and 0.025 (0.005) for meat/fish, respectively

Additional file 1

Table S5 Evaluation of the association of food access with the prevalence of underweight and overweight individuals compared to those with a normal weight

	Good	Poor			
	Case, n (%)	Case, n (%)	OR (95%CI)	Var RE (SE)	ICC (SE)
Objective access					
Underweight	3772 (9.4)	1987 (8.9)	0.88 (0.81, 0.97)	0.011 (0.005)	0.003 (0.002)
Overweight	11010 (23.3)	6572 (24.4)	1.05 (0.98, 1.14)	0.021 (0.004)	0.006 (0.001)
Perceived access					
Underweight	4203 (9.0)	1556 (9.9)	1.06 (0.99, 1.13)	0.013 (0.006)	0.004 (0.002)
Overweight	13186 (23.7)	4396 (23.7)	0.97 (0.93, 1.02)	0.021 (0.004)	0.006 (0.001)

OR = odds ratio; CI = confidence interval; Var RE = random effect variance in 426 school districts; SE = standard error; ICC = intercorrelation between the school districts; Underweight, normal weight, and overweight were defined by body mass index < 18.5, 18.5–24.9, and  $\geq$  25 kg/m², respectively; In the null model, the Var RE (SE) and ICC (SE) was 0.015 (0.006) and 0.005 (0.002) for underweight and 0.031 (0.005) and 0.009 (0.015) for overweight; The association was evaluated using a multilevel logistic regression model adjusted for age, sex, family structure, marital status, activity daily living, remaining teeth, comorbidity, smoking status, household income, years of schooling, car use, urban/suburban area, convenience stores, and land slope among 80,012 residents.

Additional file 1

Table S6 Evaluation of the association of intake frequencies of vegetables/fruits and meat/fish with body mass index

	Vegetables/fruits				Meat/fish			
	$\beta$ (SE)	<i>p</i> -value	Var RE (SE)	ICC (SE)	$\beta$ (SE)	<i>p</i> -value	Var RE (SE)	ICC (SE)
Body mass index	-0.006 (0.001)	< 0.001	0.070 (0.004)	0.014 (0.002)	-0.004 (0.001)	< 0.001	0.074 (0.003)	0.025 (0.002)

 $\beta$  = beta coefficients; SE = standard error; Var RE = random effect variance in 426 school districts; ICC = intercorrelation between school districts; In the null model, the Var RE (SE) and ICC (SE) was 0.069 (0.004) and 0.013 (0.001) for vegetables/fruits and 0.074 (0.003) and 0.025 (0.002) for overweight; The association was evaluated using a multilevel regression model adjusted for age and sex among 80,012 residents.