





Cembrene Diterpenoids with Ether Linkages from *Sarcophyton ehrenbergi*: An Anti-Proliferation and Molecular-Docking Assessment

Mohamed-Elamir F. Hegazy ¹, Abdelsamed I. Elshamy ², Tarik A. Mohamed ¹, Ahmed R. Hamed ^{1,3}, Mahmoud A. A. Ibrahim ⁴, Shinji Ohta ⁵ and Paul W. Paré ^{6,*}

- ¹ Phytochemistry Department, National Research Centre, 33 El-Bohouth St., Dokki, Giza 12622, Egypt; elamir77@live.com (M.-E.F.H.); tarik.nrc83@yahoo.com (T.A.M.); n1ragab2004@yahoo.com (A.R.H.)
- ² Natural Compounds Chemistry Department, National Research Centre, 33 El-Bohouth St., Dokki, Giza 12622, Egypt; elshamynrc@yahoo.com
- ³ Biology Unit, Central Laboratory for Pharmaceutical and Drug Industries Research Division, National Research Centre, 33 El-Bohouth St., Dokki, Giza 12622, Egypt
- ⁴ Computational Chemistry Laboratory, Chemistry Department, Faculty of Science, Minia University, Minia 61519, Egypt; m.ibrahim@compchem.net
- ⁵ Graduate School of Biosphere Science, Hiroshima University, 1-7-1 Kagamiyama, Higashi-Hiroshima 739-8521, Japan; ohta@hiroshima-u.ac.jp
- ⁶ Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Texas Tech University, Lubbock, TX 79409, USA
- * Correspondence: paul.pare@ttu.edu; Tel.: +20-122-007-3557; Fax: +20-233-370-931

Received: 3 May 2017; Accepted: 14 June 2017; Published: 21 June 2017

Abstract: Three new cembrene diterpenoids, sarcoehrenbergilid A–C (1–3), along with four known diterpenoids, sarcophine (4), (+)- 7α ,8 β -dihydroxydeepoxysarcophine (5), sinulolide A (6), and sinulolide B (7), and one steroid, sardisterol (8), were isolated and characterized from a solvent extract of the Red Sea soft coral *Sarcophyton ehrenbergi*. Chemical structures were elucidated by NMR and MS analyses with absolute stereochemistry determined by X-ray analysis. Since these isolated cembrene diterpenes contained 10 or more carbons in a large flexible ring, conformer stabilities were evaluated against three human tumor cell lines of different origins including the: lung (A549), colon (Caco-2), and liver (HepG2). Sardisterol (8) was the most potent of the metabolites isolated with an IC₅₀ of 27.3 μ M against the A549 cell line. Since an elevated human-cancer occurrence is associated with an aberrant receptor function for the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR), molecular docking studies were used to examine preferential metabolite interactions/binding and probe the mode-of-action for metabolite-anti tumor activity.

Keywords: *Sarcophyton ehrenbergi*; soft coral; terpenes; cembranoids; cytotoxic activity; molecular docking

1. Introduction

Cembrane diterpenoids are a large and structurally diverse group of natural products isolated from both terrestrial and marine organisms [1]. The 14-membered ring structure is biosynthetically formed from the cyclization of the geranylgeraniol precursor between carbons 1 and 14. The cembranoid diterpene, sarcophytol A, first isolated from the Okinawan soft coral *Sarcophyton glaucum* and found to exhibit strong inhibitory activity against tumor promoters [2], led to the subsequent isolation of hundreds of cytotoxic cembranoids from plant and marine sources [3]. *Sarcophyton* soft coral species are characterized by the production of cembrene-type diterpenoids [4–10] and these cyclic diterpenes usually exhibit cyclic ether, lactone, or furane moieties around the cembrane

framework [11,12]. From a biomedical perspective, cembranoid diterpenes exhibit a diverse range of biological protection against tumors, inflammation, and fish toxins (ichthyotoxic), as well as microbial and/or viral infections [4,5,13]. With cancer occurrence and mortality associated with cancer increasing in the U.S. and around the world, the exploration of cytotoxic agents for improved cancer treatment via chemotherapy is a global priority. In fact, the World Health Organization (WHO) estimates that malignant neoplasms are ranked as the second leading cause of death globally.

In 2012, 14.1 million newly-diagnosed cancer cases were reported, with 8.2 million deaths directly associated with cancer; these incidence and mortality numbers are estimated to increase by ca. 150% by 2030 [14,15]. In a continuing effort to characterize soft coral metabolites from the Red Sea with biological

In a continuing effort to characterize soft coral metabolites from the Red Sea with biological activity [6–8], herein is reported three new cembrene diterpenoids, as well as known diterpenoids and a polyoxygenated steroid isolated from *Sarcophyton ehrenbergi*. In this study, the anti-proliferative potential of the isolated compounds against three human tumor cell lines were evaluated. To probe the mode-of-action, molecular docking studies were performed with the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR), a large family of transmembrane receptors that normally regulate key events associated with cell growth, differentiation, and migration. An aberrant receptor function has been linked to elevated cancer occurrence.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Identification and Structure Elucidation

As part of the continuing investigation for biologically active constituents from Egyptian Red Sea costal soft corals [6–8,16], reported here is the chromatographic fractionation and purification of a methylene chloride:methanol (1:1) extract from *S. ehrenbergi* (Figure 1).



Figure 1. Soft coral *Sarcophyton ehrenbergi* photographed in its native Red Sea habitat; the width of the species shown is ca. 20 cm.

Compound **1** was obtained as white crystals with an optical rotation of $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ –6.9 in CHCl₃. HRESIFTMS analysis showed a molecular ion peak at *m*/*z* 387.2142 [M + Na]⁺ (calcd. 364.2250), corresponding to the molecular formula of C₂₁H₃₂O₅. The IR spectrum showed characteristic bands at 3450 cm⁻¹ (OH) and 1754 cm⁻¹ (CO). The ¹H NMR spectrum (Table 1) exhibited three oxygenated protons at δ_H 5.50 (d; *J* = 10.10 Hz); δ_H 3.24 (d; *J* = 6.90 Hz); and δ_H 3.37 (m). Only one olefinic proton at δ_H 4.94 d; *J* = 10.10 Hz was attributed to a tri-substituted double bond; four signals at δ_H 1.76 s, 1.86 s, 1.05 s, and 0.98 s were identified as methyls, in addition to one methyl of a methoxy group at δ_H 3.13 s. Twenty one carbon signals were observed in the ¹³C NMR spectrum and classified by DEPT analysis as five methyls (including one methyl of the methoxy group at δ_C 49.0), six methylenes, four methines, and six quaternary carbons (including the carbonyl group of the lactone ring δ_C 175.9 (Table 1)). The spectrum also revealed the presence of four olefinic carbon signals at δ_C 122.3, 164.3, 119.4,

and 141.6; three oxymethine carbons at $\delta c 81.0$, 78.5, and 79.0; and one oxygenated quaternary carbon of an epoxy at $\delta c 78.3$. The most oxygenated down-field carbon signal indicated the presence of an ether linkage that was functionality confirmed by HRESIFTMS. These spectroscopic data were consistent with a cembrene diterpenoid based on spectroscopic data reported for other *Sarcophyton* species [4,13] (Figure 2). Six degrees of unsaturation were deduced, suggesting a tricyclic skeleton. The correlation of the oxygenated proton at $\delta H 5.50$ (d; *J* = 10.10 Hz) with the olefinic signal at $\delta H 4.94$ (d, *J* = 10.10 Hz) in DQF-COSY, as well as with quaternary olefinic carbons at $\delta c 141.6$ and $\delta c 164.3$, allowed the assignments of H-2, H-3, C-4, and C-1 of a cembrene diterpenoid, respectively [9,10].



Figure 2. Structures of metabolites 1-8.

The HMBC correlation of a methyl signal at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.76 (s) with C-1 and a keto group at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 175.9 allowed for the assignment of H-17 and C-16, respectively, and indicated the location of a lactone ring, including C-1/C-2. The observed HMBC correlation between H-3 and an olefinic methyl signal at δc 17.0 and a methylene signal at δc 40.9 allowed for the assignment of H-18 (δH 1.86, s) and H-5 $[\delta_{\rm H} 2.07, t (J = 13.08)]$, respectively, which was confirmed by HMQC analysis. A doublet oxygenated methine signal at δ_{H} 3.24 (J = 6.90) correlated with a methyelene multiplet at δ_{H} 2.44/2.14 in DQF-COSY and C-5 in HMBC allowed for the assignment of H-7 and H2-6, respectively. Additionally, HMBC correlations of the methyl singlet at δ_{H} 1.05 with H-7 and an oxygenated quaternary carbon atom at δc 80.0, as well as the methyelene signal at δc 36.4, allowed for the assignment of H₃-19 (δc 17.1), C-8, and C-9, respectively. The oxygenated signal at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.37 (m) was assigned to H-11 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 79.0) based on an HMBC correlation with C-9 and a methyl signal at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 17.6 (C-20). Correlations were observed between δH 1.45 (m, H-13)/δH 1.95 (m, H-14) and C-20 in DQF-COSY and HMBC analyses, respectively (Figure 3). The location of a characteristic methoxy group signal at δH 3.13 (δc 49.0) was confirmed to be at C-8 via an HMBC correlation. The complete assignment of 1, as well as the ether linkage between C-7/C-12 and the presence of the hydroxyl group at C-11, were established by NMR and HRESIFTMS data; structural confirmation including absolute configuration was established unambiguously using the anomalous scattering of Cu K α radiation with the Flack parameter [17,18] being refined to 0.09 (3) (Figure 4).



Figure 3. Selected ¹H-¹H COSY (-) and HMBC (-) correlations of 1-3.

The γ -lactone- (H-2) and olefinic-proton (H-3) vicinal coupling (10.10 Hz) established a *cis* configuration [8]. The four methyl groups exhibited NOSEY correlations with alpha protons consistent with the X-ray assignment of all methyl groups below the ring (e.g., CH₃-17 with H-14a, CH₃-18 with H-2, CH₃-19 with H-6a/H-10a, and CH₃-20 with H-10a) and absolute stereochemistry of 8*R* and 12*S* (Figure 5). NOSEY correlations between H-7 and H-5b, as well as H-11 and H-14b, were also consistent with 7*R* and 11*R* configurations. From this consistent x-ray and NMR data, **1** was assigned as 2*S*,16:7*R*,12*S*-diepoxy-11 α -hydroxy-8 β -methoxy-16-keto-cembra-1*E*,3*E*-diene (sarcoehrenbergilid A).



Figure 4. ORTEP depictions of cembrenoid 1 with oxygens (O1–O5) labeled in red.



Figure 5. NOESY correlations for 1–3.

1 2 3 No. δ_{H} δc δн ðc δн δc 1 164.3 164.6 164.4 _____ _____ _____ 2 5.50 d (10.1) 81.0 5.49 dd (10.1; 1.8) 81.7 5.51 br d (10.3) 79.6 3 4.94 d (10.1) 119.4 4.99 d (10.1) 120.0 4.87 br d (10.3) 117.8 4 141.6 142.6 146.0 _____ _____ _____ 2.07 t (13.1) 2.17 br t (13.1) 2.09 br t (13.0) 5 40.9 38.8 40.3 2.30 m 2.47 dd (10.1; 13.1) 2.41 br d (13.0) 6 2.14 dd (6.8), 1.44 m 27.6 1.66 m; 2.20 m 22.9 1.88 m; 1.58 m 24.9 7 3.24 d (6.9) 78.5 3.44 dd (11.6; 3.6) 69.7 3.01 br d (10.0) 86.8 8 80.0 73.6 69.4 _____ _____ _____ 9 1.53 m; 1.91 m 1.60 m; 2.40 m 1.88 m; 2.41 br d (13.0) 39.9 36.4 36.6 1.71 br d (10.9) 10 1.79 m; 2.15 m 28.5 1.61 m; 1.90 m 19.9 23.1 1.51 br t (10.9) 11 3.37 m 79.0 3.37 br d (11.9) 85.1 3.30 br d (10.9) 78.5 12 78.3 70.1 _____ 72.8 _____ ____ 1.59 m 1.41 td (13.0; 5.8) 13 1.45 m; 2.37 m 34.6 31.2 35.0 1.78 dd (12.5; 3.7) 1.78 br t (13.0; 2.0) 2.00 br t (12.8) 1.95 br t (12.2) 14 20.8 21.1 2.17 br t (13.0); 2.67 m 21.1 2.31 td (12.2, 7.0) 2.58 td (12.8; 7.0) 122.3 122.5 15 122.3 _____ _____ _____ 16 175.9 _____ 176.0 _____ 175.6 1.82 s 17 $1.76 \mathrm{~s}$ 1.83 s 8.7 8.8 8.7 18 1.86 s 17.0 1.92 br s 16.7 1.85 br s 16.1 19 $1.05 \mathrm{s}$ 17.1 1.22 s 22.1 1.15 s 20.0 20 0.98 s 17.6 1.13 s 25.3 1.16 s 23.8 21 3.13 s 49.0

J values (Hz) are in parentheses; ^a Recorded in CDCl₃ and obtained at 600 and 150 MHz for ¹H and ¹³C NMR, respectively.

Compound **2** was obtained as a white powder with an optical rotation of $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ –3.7 (*c* 0.0027, CHCl₃). HRESIFTMS analysis showed a molecular ion peak at m/z 373.1986 [(M + Na)⁺] (calcd. 350.2093), implying six degrees of unsaturation. The IR spectrum exhibited characteristic bands at 3447 cm⁻¹ (OH) and 1747 cm⁻¹ (CO). ¹³C NMR and DEPT spectral data (Table 1) showed 20 carbon resonances that distributed in the configuration of four methyls, six methylenes, four methines, and six quaternary carbons. Chemical shift data indicated the same cembrenoid backbone, containing diagnostic carbon signals associated with the lactone ring including a carbonyl signal C-16 ($\delta < 175.6$), three olefinic carbons at C-15, C-1, C-3, and C-4 (δc 122.5, 164.4, 117.8, and 146.0, respectively), and C-2 (δc 79.6). The spectra data closely matched a cemberene compound reported by Sawant et al. in 2004 [19], except for a large down field carbon signal difference at C-12 compared with the previously published structure tertiary carbon, which had a methyl substitution (δc 38.0). Compound 2 was proposed to contain a hydroxylated quaternary carbon at C-12 which would explain the downfield shift to δ_c 72.8 and a 16 AMU addition compared to the previously published compound [20]. The addition of a hydroxyl group at C-12 was consistent with a H₃-20 downfield shift from $\delta_H 0.88$ to δ_H 1.16 and a C-20 downfield shift from $\delta_{\rm C}$ 17.2 to $\delta_{\rm C}$ 23.8 without versus with a C-12 hydroxyl group. HMBC correlations of H₃-20 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.16 s) with C-12 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 72.8), C-11 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 78.5), and C-13 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 35.0) were also consistent with the hydroxylation of C-12 (Figure 3).

Similar to **1**, the γ -lactone- (H-2) and olefinic-proton (H-3) vicinal coupling (10 Hz) established a *cis* configuration [8]. Also similar to **1**, the NOSEY data for **2** showed a correlation between H-6b and H-7, indicating that the epoxy ring at C-7 is below the ring while H-6a correlates with CH₃-19, establishing that the relative stereochemistry for the methyl is above the ring (Figure 5). H-7, which is assumed to be in the beta position from the previous NOSEY correlation, also correlates with H-11, indicating that the other epoxide ring attachment is in an alpha configuration. Finally, a NOSEY correlation between H-10a and CH₃-20 indicates that the methyl group is in an alpha orientation. Thus, **2** was confirmed to be 2S,16: 7R,11R-diepoxy- 8β ,12 β -dihydroxy-16-keto-cembra-1E,3E-diene (sarcoehrenbergilid B).

Compound **3** was obtained as a white powder with a negative optical rotation of $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ –6.6. HRESIFTMS analysis exhibited a molecular ion peak at m/z 373.1985 [(M + Na)⁺] (calcd. 350.2093), corresponding to the molecular formula C₂₀H₃₀O₅ with six degrees of unsaturation. The IR spectrum showed characteristic bands at 3445 cm⁻¹ (OH) and 1747 cm⁻¹ (CO). Twenty carbon resonances were exhibited in the ¹³C NMR and DEPT spectrum (Table 1); four methyls, six methylenes, five methines, and five quaternary carbons. The spectroscopic data of **3** are similar to a previously isolated diterpenoid from *S. trocheliophorum*, trocheliophorol [21], except for the presence of a hydroxyl unit at C-12 (δ_C 70.1) instead of an exomethylene. The location of the C-12 hydroxyl group was confirmed by HMBC correlations with a methyl singlet H₃-20 (δ_H 1.13 s); correlations were also observed between H₃-20 and δ_C 85.1 (C-11) and δ_C 31.2 (C-13) (Figure 3).

Similar to compounds **1** and **2**, a γ -lactone- (H-2) and olefinic-proton (H-3) vicinal coupling (10 Hz) established a *cis* configuration [8]. A NOESY correlation between H-6a with H-7 indicated that the C-7 hydroxyl group is in a beta orientation and a H-6a correlation with CH₃-19 indicated that the methyl at C-8 is in an alpha configuration (Figure 5). A NOSEY correlation was also observed between H-6a and CH₃-20, indicating that the hydroxyl at C-12 is in the beta orientation. Finally, a NOSEY correlation between CH₃-20 and H-11 indicated that the epoxide connection at C-11 is the same as C-8, both in alpha configurations. From the above spectral data, **3** was established as 2*S*,16: 8*S*,11*S*-diepoxy-7 β ,12 α -dihydroxy-16-keto-cembra-1*E*,3*E*-diene (sarcoehrenbergilid C).

With the large flexible ring systems for compounds **1–3**, many conformers are possible. To predict the most stable form, molecular modeling calculations were performed to estimate the lowest energy state. Conformation ensembles were generated using a MMFF94 molecular mechanics force field within a 10 kJ/mol window, providing 101, 98, and 106 conforms for **1**, **2**, and **3**, respectively. Each generated conformer was subjected to energy-minimization at a B3LYP/6-31G* level of theory and thereafter, the corresponding free energy was calculated on the optimized structure. The Boltzmann population was estimated based on the calculated relative free energies for each conformer with respect to the lowest free energy conformer at 298 K (Table S1). The lowest and next three higher free energy conformers for **1–3** are shown (Figure 6). All conformations with populations higher than 1% are shown in Figures S22–S24. The optical rotation of all conformations was theoretically calculated and the results are summarized in Table S1. According to the calculated free energies and optical rotation, the lowest compound conform free energy is in strong agreement with the elucidated structures (Figure 6). For **2**, the structure is more stable than a previously reported conformer (Figure S23, **2f**) by –7.4 kJ/mol.

In addition to the three new metabolites (1–3), four known compounds, sarcophine (4), (+)- 7α ,8 β -dihydroxydeepoxysarcophine (5) [6], sinulolide A (6), and B (7) [22], and one steroid, sardisterol (8) [23], were identified from the coral extract (Figure 2).



Figure 6. Optimized confirmers for 1–3, as well as the next three higher free-energy conformers.

2.2. Anti-Proliferative Activity against Cancer Lines

Isolated compounds **1–8** were evaluated for their anti-proliferative activity against three human tumor cell lines originating from the lung (A549), colon (Caco-2), and liver (HepG2) tissue based on an MTT reduction assay. The treatment of a human lung tumor cell line revealed differential anti-proliferative effects (Table 2). Among all tested compounds, sardisterol (8) had the most potent effect on A549 cells with a concentration-dependent loss of cell proliferation compared to a DMSO solvent control. Sardisterol was isolated for the first time from the marine soft coral *S. digitatum* [23]. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report of anti-proliferative activity for 8 against tumor cells (Table 2 and Figure S25). The treatment of HepG2 cells with increasing concentrations of **1–8** revealed differential anti-proliferative potential (Table 2 and Figure S27). Compounds **3** and **8** showed a moderate inhibition (IC₅₀ = 53.8 and 56.8 μ M, respectively). Compounds **1**, **3**, **5**, **6**, and **7** exhibited IC₅₀ values between 63.1 and 98.6 μ M.

| Compound | A549 IC50 (μM) | Caco-2 IC50 (μM) | HepG2 IC50 (μM) |
|-----------------|-------------------|---------------------|--------------------|
| 1 | 50.1 | >100 | 98.6 |
| 2 | 76.4 | >100 | >100 |
| 3 | 50.8 | >100 | 53.8 |
| 4 | 91.5 | >100 | >100 |
| 5 | 62.2 | >100 | 79.3 |
| 6 | 37.0 | 79.2 | 70.2 |
| 7 | 43.6 | 99.2 | 63.1 |
| 8 | 27.3 | >100 | 56.8 |
| Doxorubicin HCl | 0.62 | 1.40 | 2.10 |

Table 2. IC₅₀ values * of tested compounds against A549, Caco-2, and HepG2 cells.

* IC₅₀ values were obtained by fitting the concentration-response curve to non-linear regression. model on GraphPad [®]Prism software v 6.0.

2.3. Molecular Docking Studies

The epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) is a tyrosine kinase receptor that is overexpressed in many tumor cell types and reported as a cause for some non-small-cell lung carcinomas [24]. Based on the hypothesis that anti-proliferation activity with the A549 cell line is associated with EGFR inhibition [25], receptor binding to an ATP binding site domain of EGFR kinase by isolated metabolites was examined. Doxorubicin, a known effector molecule that binds to the ATP binding domain of EGFR, was initially used as a positive control. The ability of 8 (the most active metabolite) and 4 (the least active) were examined using molecular docking simulations. Simulations were conducted with AutoDock 4.2 software with an initial assessment of docking done by comparing the docked pose of the co-crystallized inhibitor ligand erlotinib with the experimental pose (PDB code: 1M17). To further provide a predictive picture as to where the compounds are positioned in terms of direct interactions with EGFR, docking scores for the newly isolated natural products are provided and two other EGFR inhibitors, afatinib and gefitinib, as well as the positive control doxorubicin (Table S2). Per the predicted binding poses, AutoDock accurately reproduced the crystal structure observed binding modes of erlotinib, afatinib, and gefitinib (Figure 7a-c). To reveal the binding features and possible interactions, compounds were subjected to molecular docking simulation followed by AMBER-based molecular mechanical minimization and MM/GBSA binding energy calculations. The calculated binding energies are in good agreement with experimental data, with a correlation coefficient R^2 of 0.96 (Table S2, Figure S28). Per the minimized doxorubicin complex (Figure 7d), the highest potency of doxorubicin ($\Delta GMM/GBSA = -54.72$ kcal/mol) may be attributed to its ability to form five hydrogen bonds with Lys721, Thr766, Met769, Thr830, and Asp831, with bond lengths of 1.92, 1.76, 1.82, 1.92, and 1.94 Å, respectively. For 6-8, ligand-receptor interactions are stabilized by two hydrogen bonds with the active site (Figure 7e–g), resulting in a stronger binding energy value of -41.18 kcal/mol in the case of 8. For 4, the lower binding energy may be due to the formation of only one hydrogen bond with the active site Lys₇₂₁ (Figure 7h). Several van der Waals and hydrophobic interactions were observed between the added marine ligand and amino acids in the active site including Leu865, Leu694, and Val702. To assess the stability of the predicted ligandreceptor complex, a short molecular dynamics simulation of 2.5 ns was performed for 8 in the complex with the EGFR receptor. The corresponding hydrogen bond distance between 8 and a carboxylate oxygen atom of Asp776 was then measured and averaged over the simulation time (Figure S29). According to the calculation, 8 can be considered stable, with an average hydrogen bond length of 2.16 Å with Asp776.



Figure 7. Crystal structure (in cyan) and predicted docking pose (in pink) of (**a**) erlotinib, (**b**) afatinib, and (**c**) gefitinib; and AMBER-based minimized docked structures of (**d**) doxorubicin, (**e**) 8, (**f**) 7, (**g**) 6, and (**h**) 4 with EGFR kinase domain (PDB code: 1M17).

3. Experimental Section

3.1. General Experimental Procedures

Specific rotation was measured with a JASCO P-2200 polarimeter (JASCO Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) and the IR spectra were collected on a JASCO FT/IR-6300 spectrometer (JASCO Corporation, Tokyo, Japan). HR-ESI-FT-MS was carried out using a Thermo Fisher Scientific LTQ Orbitrap XL mass spectrometer (Waltham, MA, USA) at the Natural Science Center for Basic Research and Development (N-BARD), Hiroshima University. The ¹H (600 MHz) and ¹³C (150 MHz) NMR spectra were recorded on a JEOL JNM-ECA 600 spectrometer (JEOL Ltd, Tokyo, Japan) with tetramethylsilane as an internal standard. Purification was run on a Shimadzu HPLC system equipped with a RID-10A refractive index detector and compound separation was performed on YMC-Pack ODS-A (YMC CO., LTD., Tokyo, Japan, 250 × 4.6 mm i.d., 5 μ m) and (250 × 10 mm i.d., 5 μ m) columns for analytical and preparative separation, respectively. Chromatography separation included normal-phase Silica gel 60 (230–400 mesh, Merck, Darmstadt, Germany), which was used for column chromatography. Pre-coated silica gel plates (Kieselgel 60 F₂₅₄, 0.25 mm, Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) were used for TLC analyses. Spots were visualized by heating after spraying with 10% H₂SO₄.

3.2. Animal Material

Soft coral *Sarcophyton ehrenbergi* was collected from the Egyptian Red Sea off the coast of Hurghada in March 2015. The soft coral was identified by M Al-Hammady with a voucher specimen (03RS27) deposited in the National Institute of Oceanography and Fisheries, marine biological station, Hurghada, Egypt.

3.3. Extraction and Separation

Frozen soft coral (5.2 kg, total wet weight) was chopped into small pieces and extracted with methylene chloride/methanol (1:1) at room temperature (5 L × 5 times). The combined extracts were concentrated in vacuo to a brown gum. The dried material (218 g) was subjected to gravity chromatography in a silica gel column (6 × 120 cm) eluting with *n*-hexane (3000 mL), followed by a gradient of *n*-hexane-CH₂Cl₂ up to 100% CH₂Cl₂ and CH₂Cl₂–MeOH up to 50% MeOH (3000 mL each of the solvent mixture). The *n*-hexane/CH₂Cl₂ (1:1) fraction (2.2 g) eluted with *n*-hexane/EtOAc (6:1) was subjected to silica gel column separation. Fractions were obtained and combined into two main sub-fractions, A and B, according to a TLC profile. Sub-fraction A was re-purified by reversed-phase HPLC using MeOH/H₂O (6.5:3.5), 3.5 mL/min, to afford **1** (6.1 mg, *t*_R = 27 min), **4** (11.6 mg, *t*_R = 23 min), and **5** (11.6 mg, *t*_R = 21 min). Sub-fraction B was re-purified by reversed-phase HPLC using MeOH/H₂O (3:2), 3.5 mL/min, to afford **2** (10 mg, *t*_R = 28 min) and **3** (7.5 mg, *t*_R = 29.5 min). The *n*-hexane/CH₂Cl₂ (1:2) fraction (1.4 g) was subjected to silica gel column chromatography eluted by *n*-

hexane/EtOAc (5:1) that afforded the main sub-fraction C. Sub-fraction C was re-purified by reversed-phase HPLC using MeOH/H₂O (1:1), 3 mL/min, to afford **6** (9.0 mg, t_R = 31 min), 7 (11.2 mg, t_R = 32 min), and **8** (14.1 mg, t_R = 37 min).

2*S*,16:7*R*,12*S*-*Diepoxy*-11α-hydroxy-8β-methoxy-16-keto-cembra-1*E*,3*E*-diene (Sarcoehrenbergilid A, **1**): white crystals; $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ –6.9 (*c* 0.0023, CHCl₃); FT-IR (KBr) ν_{max}: 3450, 2933, 1745, 1455, and 1220 cm⁻¹; ¹H and ¹³C NMR data, see Table 1; HRESI-FTMS *m*/*z* 387.2142 [100, (M + Na)⁺]; (calcd. 364.2250, for C₂₁H₃₂O₅).

2*S*,16:8*S*,11*S*-Diepoxy-7β,12α-dihydroxy-16-keto-cembra-1E,3*E*-diene (Sarcoehrenbergilid B, **2**): white powder; $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ –6.6 (*c* 0.003, CHCl₃); FT-IR (KBr) ν_{max}: 3447, 2933, 1747, 1457, and 1219 cm⁻¹; ¹H and ¹³C NMR data, see Table 1; HRESI-FTMS *m/z* 373.1986 [100, (M + Na)⁺]; (calcd. 350.2093, for C₂₀H₃₀O₅).

2*S*,16:7*R*,11*R*-*Diepoxy*-8*β*,12*β*-*dihydroxy*-16-*keto-cembra*-1*E*,3*E*-*diene* (*Sarcoehrenbergilid C*, **3**): white amorphous powder; $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ –3.7 (*c* 0.0027, CHCl₃); FT-IR (KBr) v_{max}: 3447, 2933, 1747, 1451, and 1231 cm⁻¹;¹H and ¹³C NMR data, see Table 1; HRESI-FTMS *m*/*z* 373.1985 [100, (M + Na)⁺]; (calcd. 350.2093 for C₂₀H₃₀O₅).

X-ray Crystallography Data

Data collection was performed with a Bruker SMART-APEX II ULTRA CCD area detector with graphite monochromated Cu K α radiation (λ = 1.54178 Å) at the Center for Analytical Instrumentation, Chiba University, Japan. The structure was solved by direct methods using SHELXS-97 [26]. Refinements were performed with SHELXL-2013 [27] using full-matrix least squares on *F*². All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. All hydrogen atoms were placed in idealized positions and refined as riding atoms isotropically. Crystal data: C₂₁H₃₂O₅, *M* = 364.46, monoclinic, crystal size, 0.30 × 0.30 × 0.10 mm³, Space group *P*21, *Z* = 2, crystal cell parameters *a* = 5.74330 (10) Å, *b* = 16.8653 (3) Å, *c* = 10.2383 (2) Å, α = 90°, β = 95.7012 (7)°, γ = 90°, *V* = 986.80 (3) Å³, *F*(000) = 396, *Dc* = 1.227 Mg/m³, *T* = 173 K, 12540 reflections measured, 3480 independent reflections [*R*_(int) = 0.0216], final *R* indices [*I* > 2.0 σ (*I*)], *R*₁ = 0.0307, *wR*₂ = 0.0844; final *R* indices (all data), *R*₁ value = 0.0308, *wR*₂ = 0.0845, Flack parameter [24]: 0.09 (3). CCDC-1532555 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. The data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/conts/retrieving.html (or from the CCDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK; Fax: +44 1223 336033; E-mail: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk).

3.4. Cell Culture

All materials and reagents for the cell cultures were purchased from Lonza (Verviers, Belgium). Human cancer cell lines of non-small cell lung adenocarcinoma (A549), colon adenocarcinoma (Caco-2), and hepatocellular carcinoma (HepG2) (ATCC[®]) were maintained as monolayer culture in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% FBS, 4 mM L-glutamine, 100 U/mL penicillin, and 100 μ g/mL streptomycin sulfate. Monolayers were passaged at 70–90% confluence using a trypsin-EDTA solution. All cell incubations were maintained in a humidified CO₂ incubator with 5% CO₂ at 37 °C.

3.5. Cell Proliferation Assay

Anti-proloiferative studies were performed using a modified MTT (3-[4,5-dimethylthiazole-2-yl]-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide) assay based on a previously published method [28,29]. Appropriate cell densities of exponentially growing A549, Caco-2, or HepG2 cells (5000–10000 cells/well) were seeded onto 96-well plates. After a 24 h incubation period with 5% CO₂ at 37 °C, stock test compounds (**1–8**) dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) were added at concentrations of 100, 50, 25, 12.5, and 6.25 μ M in culture medium (final DMSO concentration in medium = 0.1%, by volume). After 48 h of incubation, MTT solution in PBS (5 mg/mL) was added to each well, after which the incubation was resumed for a further 90 min. The formation of intracellular formazan

crystals (mitochondrial reduction product of MTT) was confirmed by a phase contrast microscopic examination. At the end of the incubation period, the medium was removed, and 100 µL of DMSO was added to each well to dissolve formed formazan crystals with shacking for 10 min (200 rpm). Dissolved crystals were quantified by reading the absorbance at 492 nm (OD) on a microplate reader (Sunrise[™] microplate reader, Tecan Austria Gmbh, Grödig, Austria) and were used as a measure of cell proliferation.

3.6. Anti-Proliferation Quantitative Analysis

Cell proliferation was determined by comparing the average OD values of the control wells with those of the samples (quadrate to octuplet treatments), both represented as % proliferation [control proliferation (0.1% DMSO only) = 100%]. The IC₅₀ values (concentration of sample causing 50% loss of cell proliferation of the vehicle control) were calculated using the concentration-response curve fit to the non-linear regression model using GraphPad Prism[®] v6.0 software (GraphPad Software Inc., San Diego, CA, USA).

3.7. Computational Methodology

3.7.1. Density Functional Theory Calculations

The conformational structures for **1–3** were generated using Omega2 software (version 2.5.1.4, OpenEye Scientific Software, Santa Fe, NM, USA) [30]. In the conformational search, the energy window value was set to 10 kcal/mol and all stereogenic centers were considered; other parameters were set to default. The geometry of each generated conform was then energetically optimized at the B3LYP/6-31G* level of theory using Gaussian09 software (Revision E.01, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford, CT, USA) [31]. All optimized conformers were subjected to a vibrational frequency calculation to confirm the minimum energy states and the corresponding free energies were obtained. The relative Boltzmann population of each conformer was then valued at 298 K. Optical rotations were predicted at the same level of theory.

3.7.2. Molecular Docking Studies

Molecular docking in the ATP binding site of EGFR kinase domain was performed using AutoDock 4.2 software (version 4.2, The Scripps Research Institute, La Jolla, CA, USA) [32]. The crystal structure of the EGFR kinase domain complexed with erlotinib (PDB code: 1M17 [33]) was taken as the template for all docking calculations. Water molecules were deleted and all missing hydrogen atoms were added based on the protonation state of the protein. The receptor pdbqt file was then prepared according to AutoDock protocol [34]. The grid center was centered on an erlotinib inhibitor, and the grid box size was set to 60 × 60 × 60 points with a grid spacing of 0.375 Å.

The number of Autodock GA runs was set to 50 and maximum number of energy evaluations was set to 2,500,000. Other AutoDock parameters were set to their default values. 3D structures were constructed and minimized using an MMFF94S force field with the help of SZYBKI software (version 1.9.0.3, OpenEye Scientific Software, Santa Fe, NM, USA) [35]; atomic charges were assigned using a gasteiger method. Prior to the binding energy calculation, all docked complexes were minimized using AMBER14 software (version 14, University of California, San Francisco, CA, USA) [36]. The studied compounds and receptor were described by the general AMBER force field (GAFF) [37] and AMBER force field 14SB [38], respectively. The atomic partial charges of the studied inhibitors were evaluated using the restrained electrostatic potential (RESP) approach at the HF/6-31G* level. For minimization, the truncated Newton linear conjugate gradient method with LBFGS preconditioning was used. The convergence criterion for the energy gradient was 10⁻⁹ kcal/mol·Å. A cutoff value of 999 Å and a generalized Born solvent model were used. On the basis of the minimized complex structures, the binding energies were calculated using the molecular mechanical–generalized Born surface area (MM-GBSA) approach.

The estimated MM-GBSA binding energies were correlated to experimental binding energies, which were calculated based on A549 inhibitory constants using the following equation: ΔG_{exp} = RT

 $ln(IC_{50})$, where IC_{50} in μ M and T = 298.15 K. To assess the compound 8 stability inside the EGFR active site, a short molecular mechanical simulation of an 8-EGFR complex was first performed using the AMBER software. For the MD simulation, the complex was neutralized and solvated with TIP3P water molecules. The complex was then energetically minimized, heated gradually over a period of 50 ps to 300 K, and equilibrated for 500 ps. The data were then collected over a 2.5 ns simulation with a time step of 2 fs and the SHAKE option to constrain all bonds involving hydrogen atoms was used.

4. Conclusions

Three new (1–3) and five previously reported (4–8) terpenoids were isolated and chemically characterized from the Red Sea soft coral *S. ehrenbergi*. The eight identified compounds exhibited differential antiproliferative potential against three human cancer cell lines, with lung A549 cell being the most sensitive to compound treatment. The present study establishes *S. ehrenbergi* as a new source of sardistrol and a possible antiproliferative candidate against lung cancer. Molecular docking studies are consistent with the binding of **8** to the EGFR kinase domain and the inhibition of cell growth. Molecular docking studies supported high inhibitory activity for **8** versus **6** or **7** with the EGFR kinase domain.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at www.mdpi.com/1660-3397/15/6/192/s1, Figures S1–S29: HR-ESI-MS, 1D, and 2D NMR spectra of compounds **1–3**, Table S1: Conformer relative free energies with respect to the most stable form, Table S2: Calculated auto-dock and MM/GBSA binding energies (Δ G) for the most and least active compounds complexed with the EGFR kinase domain.

Acknowledgments: This work was financially supported by National Research Centre, Egypt, and the Welch Foundation (D-1478).

Author Contributions: M.-E.F. Hegazy, A.I. Elshamy and T.A. Mohamed contributed to the extraction, isolation, purification, identification, and manuscript preparation. A.R. Hamed contributed to the cytotoxicity experiments, analysis, and manuscript preparation. M.A.A. Ibrahim contributed to the computational studies, analysis, and manuscript preparations. S. Ohta and P.W. Paré contributed to the structure elucidation, guiding experiments, analyses, and manuscript preparations. M.-E.F. Hegazy was the project leader, organizing and guiding the experiments, structure elucidation, and manuscript writing.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Yang, B.; Zhou, X.-F.; Lin, X.-P.; Liu, J.; Peng, Y.; Yang, X.-W.; Liu, Y. Cembrane diterpenes chemistry and biological properties. *Curr. Org. Chem.* **2012**, *16*, 1512–1539.
- 2. Kobayashi, M.; Nakagawa, T.; Mitsuhashi, H. Marine terpenes and terpenoids. I. Structures of four cembrane-type diterpenes: Sarcophytol-A, sarcophytol-A acetate sarcophytol-B, and sarcophytonin-A, from the soft coral, *Sarcophyton glaucum*. *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **1979**, *27*, 2382–2387.
- 3. Yang, B.; Liu, J.; Wang, J.; Liao, S.; Liu, Y. Handbook of anticancer drugs from marine origin. In *Cytotoxic Cembrane Diterpenoids*; Kim, S.-K., Ed.; Springer International Publishing: Cham, Switzerland, 2015.
- 4. Abou El-Ezz, R.; Ahmed, S.; Radwan, M.; Ayoub, N.; Afifi, M.; Ross, S.; Szymanski, P.; Fahmy, H.; Khalifa, S. Bioactive cembranoids from the Red Sea soft coral *Sarcophyton glaucum*. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2013**, *54*, 989–992.
- 5. Liang, L.; Guo, Y. Terpenes from the soft corals of the genus *Sarcophyton*: Chemistry and biological activities. *Chem. Biodivers.* **2013**, *10*, 2161–2196.
- Hegazy, M.E.; El-Beih, A.A.; Moustafa, A.Y.; Hamdy, A.A.; Alhammady, M.A.; Selim, R.M.; Abdel-Rehim, M.; Paré, P.W. Cytotoxic cembranoids from the Red Sea soft coral *Sarcophyton glaucum*. *Nat. Prod. Commun.* 2011, *6*, 1809–1812.
- Hegazy, M.E.; Mohamed, T.A.; Abdel-Latif, F.A.; Alsaid, M.; Shahat, A.A.; Paré, P.W. Trochelioid A and B, new cembranoid diterpenes from the Red Sea soft coral *Sarcophyton trocheliophorum*. *Phytochem. Lett.* 2013, 6, 383–386.
- 8. Elkhateeb, A.; El-Beih, A.; Gamal-Eldeen, A.; Alhammady, M.; Ohta, S.; Paré, P.; Hegazy, M.E. New terpenes from the Egyptian soft coral *Sarcophyton ehrenbergi*. *Mar. Drugs* **2014**, *12*, 1977–1986.
- 9. Yan, X.-H.; Li, Z.-Y.; Guo, Y.-W. Further new cembranoid diterpenes from the Hainan soft coral *Sarcophyton latum*. *Helv. Chim. Acta* 2007, *90*, 1574.

- 10. Yan, X.-H., Feng, L.-Y., Guo, Y.-W. Further new cembrane diterpenes from the Hainan soft coral *Sarcophyton latum*. *Chin. J. Chem.* **2008**, *26*, 150–152.
- 11. Rodriguez, A.D.; Shi, J.G. The first cembrane-pseudopterane cycloisomerization. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 420-421.
- 12. Qin, S.; Huang, H.; Guo, Y.W. A new cembranoid from the Hainan soft coral *Sinularia* sp. *J. Asian Nat. Prod. Res.* **2008**, *10*, 1075–1079.
- Hegazy, M.E.F.; Mohamed, T.A.; Alhammady, M.A.; Shaheen, A.M.; Reda, E.H.; Elshamy, A.I.; Aziz, M.; Paré, P.W. Molecular architecture and biomedical leads of terpenes from Red Sea marine invertebrate. *Mar. Drugs* 2015, *13*, 3154–3181.
- 14. Torre, L.A.; Bray, F.; Siegel, R.L.; Ferlay, J.; Lortet-Tieulent, J.; Jemal, A. Global cancer statistics, 2012. *CA Cancer J. Clin.* **2015**, *65*, 87–108.
- 15. Society, A.C. Cancer Facts & Figures 2016. Available online: http://www.cancer.org/acs/groups/content/ @research/documents/document/acspc-047079.pdf (accessed on 15 June 2017).
- 16. Hegazy, M.E.F.; Mohamed, T.A.; Elshamy, A.I.; Alhammady, M.A.; Ohta, S.; Paré, P. Casbane Diterpenes from Red Sea Coral *Sinularia polydactyla*. *Molecules* **2016**, *21*, 308.
- 17. Parsons, S.; Flack, H.D.; Wagner, T. Use of intensity quotients and differences in absolute structure refinement. *Acta Cryst.* **2013**, *69*, 249–259.
- 18. Parsons, S.; Flack, H. Precise absolute-structure determination in light-atom crystals. Acta Cryst. 2004, 60, s61.
- 19. Sawant, S.; Sylvester, P.; Avery, M.; Desai, P.; Youssef, D.; El Sayed, K. Bioactive rearranged and halogenated semisynthetic derivatives of the marine natural product sarcophine. *J. Nat. Prod.* **2004**, *67*, 2017–2023.
- 20. Xi, Z.; Bie, W.; Chen, W.; Liu, D.; Ofwegen, L.V.; Proksch, P.; Lin, W. Sarcophyolides B–E, new cembranoids from the soft coral *Sarcophyton elegans*. *Mar. Drugs* **2013**, *11*, 3186–3196.
- 21. Su, J.-H.; Lu, Y.; Lin, W.-Y.; Wang, W.-H.; Sung, P.-J.; Sheu, J.-H. A cembranoid, trocheliophorol, from the cultured soft coral *Sarcophyton trocheliophorum*. *Chem. Lett.* **2010**, *39*, 172–173.
- 22. Yang, B.; Wei, X.; Huang, J.; Lin, X.; Liu, J.; Liao, S.; Wang, J.; Zhou, X.; Wang, L.; Liu, Y. Sinulolides A–H, new cyclopentenone and butenolide derivatives from soft coral *Sinularia* sp. *Mar. Drugs* **2014**, *12*, 5316–5327.
- 23. Su, J.; Yang, R.; Zeng, L. Sardisterol, a new polyhydroxylated sterol from the soft coral *Sarcophyton digitatum* Moser. *Chin. J. Chem.* **2001**, *19*, 515–517.
- 24. Dutta, P.R.; Maity, A. Cellular responses to EGFR inhibitors and their relevance to cancer therapy. *Cancer Lett.* **2007**, *254*, 165–177.
- 25. Sun, J.; Wang, X.-Y.; Lv, P.-C.; Zhu, H.-L. Discovery of a series of novel phenylpiperazine derivatives as EGFR TK inhibitors. *Sci. Rep.* **2015**, *5*, 13934.
- 26. Sheldrick, G.M. SHELXS-97: Program for Crystal Structure Solution; University of Göttingen: Göttingen, Germany, 1997.
- 27. Sheldrick, G.M. SHELXL-2013: Program for Crystal Structure Refinement; University of Göttingen: Göttingen, Germany, 2013.
- 28. Mosmann, T. Rapid colorimetric assay for cellular growth and survival: Application to proliferation and cytotoxicity assays. *J. Immunol. Methods* **1983**, *65*, 55–63.
- 29. Dutta, A.; Bandyopadhyay, S.; Mandal, C.; Chatterjee, M. Development of a modified MTT assay for screening antimonial resistant field isolates of Indian visceral leishmaniasis. *Parasitol. Int.* 2005, *54*, 119–122.
- Hawkins, P.C.D.; Skillman, A.G.; Warren, G.L.; Ellingson, B.A.; Stahl, M.T. Conformer Generation with OMEGA: Algorithm and Validation Using High Quality Structures from the Protein Databank and Cambridge Structural Database. J. Chem. Inf. Model. 2010, 50, 572.
- Frisch, M.J.; Trucks, G.W.; Schlegel, H.B.; Scuseria, G.E.; Robb, M.A.; Cheeseman, J.R.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Petersson, G.A.; et al. *Gaussian09*; Revision E.01; Gaussian Inc.: Wallingford, CT, USA, 2009.
- 32. Morris, G.M.; Huey, R.; Lindstrom, W.; Sanner, M.F.; Belew, R.K.; Goodsell, D.S.; Olson, A.J. AutoDock4 and AutoDockTools4: Automated docking with selective receptor flexibility. *J. Comput. Chem.* **2009**, *30*, 2785–2791.
- 33. Stamos, J.; Sliwkowski, M.X.; Eigenbrot, C. Structure of the Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor Kinase Domain Alone and in Complex with a 4-Anilinoquinazoline Inhibitor. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2002**, 277, 46265–46272.
- 34. Forli, S.; Huey, R.; Pique, M.E.; Sanner, M.F.; Goodsell, D.S.; Olson, A.J. Computational protein-ligand docking and virtual drug screening with the AutoDock suite. *Nat. Protoc.* **2016**, *11*, 905–919.
- 35. SZYBKI, version 1.9.0.3; OpenEye Scientific Software: Santa Fe, NM, USA, 2016.

- 36. Case, D.; Babin, V.; Berryman, J.; Betz, R.; Cai, Q.; Cerutti, D.; Cheatham, T.; Darden, T.; Duke, R.; Gohlke, H.; et al. *Amber 14*; University of California: San Francisco, CA, USA, 2014.
- 37. Wang, J.; Wolf, R.M.; Caldwell, J.W.; Kollman, P.A.; Case, D.A. Development and testing of a general amber force field. *J. Comput. Chem.* **2004**, *25*, 1157–1174.
- 38. Maier, J.A.; Martinez, C.; Kasavajhala, K.; Wickstrom, L.; Hauser, K.E.; Simmerling, C. ff14SB: Improving the Accuracy of Protein Side Chain. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **2015**, *11*, 3696–3713.



© 2017 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).