



# Article The Effects of Individualized Information and Emotional Support Education on Midwifery Students' Anxiety during the COVID-19 Pandemic

Zehra Baykal Akmeşe \*🗅, Birsen Karaca Saydam and Güzin Kardeş

Department of Midwifery, Karşıyaka Suat Cemile Balcıoğlu Yerleşkesi, Ege University Faculty of Health Science, Izmir 35575, Turkey

\* Correspondence: zehra.baykal@ege.edu.tr; Tel.: +90-232-311-34-89

Abstract: Background and Objectives: Midwifery students were not able to participate in internship programs and related practices during the COVID-19 pandemic. This kept them from meeting graduation requirements because they could not do the one-on-one observations for clinical case management. In this study, we aim to determine the effects of Individualized Information and Emotional Support Education (IESE) on the midwifery students' anxiety levels during the COVID-19 pandemic. Materials and Methods: This is an experimental study with two stages. In the first stage, the researchers determined the anxiety levels of 268 students. In the second stage, 76 students with high levels of anxiety were provided with IESE. The IESE was conducted in interviews on online platforms and took a minimum of 60 min. The students' anxiety levels were measured again one week after the IESE. Results: Statistically significant differences were found between the students' State Anxiety Scale scores before and after the IESE (t = 8.756, p = 0.000). Before the IESE, 65.8% of the students had high anxiety levels about COVID-19-related disease or death, and the possibility of losing loved ones. After the education, this rate fell by 17.1% to 48.7%, and this difference was significant ( $\chi^2 = 5.077$ , p = 0.024). Conclusions: The IESE positively affected the students' anxiety levels. Even just showing interest can make people feel cared for and valued, and people are sensitive to their needs. After this study, 30 students with high anxiety levels were sent for consultation with an expert and have been followed up by researchers.

**Keywords:** anxiety; COVID-19; emotional support; individualized information; midwifery students; pandemic

#### 1. Introduction

The novel coronavirus disease (COVID-19) first appeared in Wuhan, China, in 2019, as cases of pneumonia of unknown origin, and was declared a pandemic in 2020 by the World Health Organization [1,2]. The COVID-19 pandemic has quickly become a serious threat to global health and adversely affected various sectors, such as transportation, education, business and health [3,4]. In order to prevent the COVID-19 epidemic, social isolation rules were applied for the first time in countries and all areas were crowded groups gathered were closed [5,6]. At the same time, many other measures were implemented, such as the use of masks, curfew, quarantine practices, the closing of cafes and restaurants, and the interrupting of face-to-face education at universities and other educational institutions [7,8].

The suspension of face-to-face education during the pandemic has caused damage to education and training processes. It has been reported that 90% of the 1.5 billion young people enrolled in any school all over the world could not continue their education due to the pandemic [9]. The United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO) has declared that education should be continued through distance learning, and countries will be supported in reducing the negative effects of the suspension of face-to-face education [5]. The United Nations International Children's Emergency Fund



Citation: Baykal Akmeşe, Z.; Karaca Saydam, B.; Kardeş, G. The Effects of Individualized Information and Emotional Support Education on Midwifery Students' Anxiety during the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Medicina* 2022, *58*, 1376. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/medicina58101376

Academic Editor: Nicola Luigi Bragazzi

Received: 26 August 2022 Accepted: 29 September 2022 Published: 1 October 2022

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). (UNICEF) has recommended that access to distance education methods should be provided for all students without internet access, including students with disabilities, to ensure that education is not interrupted [10]. In the case of Turkey, face-to-face education was suspended for some time at all education levels, and distance education opportunities were developed and offered to students at every education level [11,12]. The Council of Higher Education (CoHE) issued the decision (Information Note on COVID-19) declaring that face-to-face education would be suspended for three weeks in all higher education institutions starting on 16 March 2020. Then, the CoHe announced the suspension of face-to-face education for the spring term of the 2019–2020 academic year [13]. Especially with the suspension of face-to-face education in universities, and with the transition to distance education, the number of cases and the burden on the health system have been reduced [6,14]. However, the pandemic has also been challenging for universities as it has led to the disappearance of the traditional education system [15,16]. The effort to adapt both to the pandemic and to the distance education processes has caused students to experience some mental problems [17–19]. Social isolation, physical and social contact restrictions, the fear of illness and the loss of close relatives increased the risk of students developing anxiety and depression [20,21]. It was stated that 220 million students in China stayed at home for a long time due to the pandemic, and 18.6% of them showed symptoms of anxiety [21]. Studies have shown that the risk of anxiety, stress and depression in young people is much higher than in adults during the pandemic [22–24].

It was indicated that education could be conducted online to prevent aggrievement and the loss of learning for students in departments with applied courses, such as midwifery [13]. However, in line with the European Union Midwifery Directives, in order to receive the title of 'midwife', midwifery students must complete targeted practices, such as 100 prenatal examinations, 40 births, 100 postpartum and newborn examinations, and the follow-up of 40 risky pregnancies during the four-year undergraduate program. Midwifery students are required to actively participate in field and clinical internships in order to complete the practices. However, distance education processes for the midwifery program during the COVID-19 pandemic may cause students not to be able to actively participate in internships, to observe and practice, and to experience anxiety due to the possibility of extending the term, or not completing the program [25-28]. It has been determined that midwifery students in the north of Turkey experienced feelings such as loneliness and uncertainty, developed various coping mechanisms on their own, and experienced inadequacy and anxiety in their professional skills [29,30]. It was stated that midwifery students in the central Anatolian region of Turkey experienced moderate fear due to COVID-19, and it was suggested that structured, individual information and support programs should be organized [31]. Studies have shown that providing remote support to midwifery students can help to detect and eliminate problems that may cause serious mental problems at an early stage [32–35]. In this study, it was thought that it would cognitively relax students with high levels of anxiety by informing them about the solution of problems related to the COVID-19 pandemic, and how to carry out the process of staying at home/adaptation and distance education. This was accompanied by a guide prepared by the Ministry of Health Science Committee. It was envisaged that increasing bodily awareness with breathing and relaxation exercises would prevent students from experiencing loneliness and uncertainty, thus reducing their anxiety. Therefore, the aim of this study is to reveal the effect of the 60 min 'Information and Emotional Support Training' created by researchers for midwifery students with anxiety.

#### 2. Materials and Methods

#### 2.1. Ethics

All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee, and with the 1964 Helsinki Declaration and its later amendments, or comparable ethical standards. All procedures were approved by the Ministry of Health's Directorate General for Health Services Scientific Research Platform (3 June 2020), the University Deanship of the Faculty of Medicine Medical Research Ethics Committee (10 June 2020), the University Faculty of Health Sciences (28 May 2020) and the Head of the Department of Midwifery (28 May 2020).

#### 2.2. Study Design

This quasi-experimental study aimed to determine the effects of IESE on midwifery students' anxiety levels during the COVID-19 pandemic. The population of the study included all the students in the Department of Midwifery in one of the largest university's in the west of Turkey, during the 2019–2020 academic year (401). Its sample consisted of 268 students who agreed to participate. Of the target population, 68.8% were reached.

#### 2.3. Data Collection

In the first stage of data collection, the researchers contacted 268 students in the University Faculty of Health Sciences Department of Midwifery, during the 2019–2020 academic year. First, class representatives gave the students a brief explanation about the study. Then, from 11 May to 18 May 2020, links for data collection forms were sent to the students social media accounts (Instagram, WhatsApp and Facebook) and e-mail addresses, using Google Forms (https://forms.gle/FuVAwGYNCZfxSakEA accessed on 11–18 May 2020). The students who agreed to participate in the study were asked to fill out the forms carefully within a week. Then, the data were analyzed. Eighty students who scored 50 or higher were considered to have high anxiety levels.

The second stage of the study was conducted with 76 students who had high anxiety levels and who agreed to proceed. Four students left the study at this point. Dates and video call platforms suitable for the students were determined through individual interviews. The students were provided with at least 60 min of Individualized Information and Emotional Support Education (IESE) by the researchers between 1 and 12 June 2020. One week after the IESE was provided to each student, between 20 and 27 June 2020, the State Anxiety Scale (SAS) was administered again by sending links to the students' social media accounts (Instagram, WhatsApp and Facebook) and e-mail addresses using Google Forms (https://forms.gle/FuVAwGYNCZfxSakEA accessed on 20–27 June 2020). After the second measurement, 30 students who scored 50 or higher on the SAS were sent to the University Faculty of Medicine Department of Mental Health and Diseases Consultation and Liaison Department (Figure 1).

Administration of the IESE: The students who scored 50 or higher on the SAS were contacted by e-mail. After they were informed about the objective of the study and its requirements, their verbal consent was obtained once again. Then, suitable dates and video call platforms for the IESE were determined to allow the researchers and students to communicate easily. The individualized IESE was conducted in one-to-one interviews by video call (Skype, Teams, Zoom and Google Meet) in a quiet environment for approximately 60 min.



Figure 1. Flow chart of data collection.

#### 2.4. Data Collection Tools

*The Personal Information Form:* This form has 25 questions about the student's age, their parents' employment, social security, family health and income, the number of siblings, a history of mental disorders and situations that can cause anxiety.

State Anxiety Scale (SAS): This study used the 20-item State Anxiety Scale (SAS), which is a part of the 40-item State-Trait Anxiety Inventory, developed by Charles D. Spielberger, Richard L. Gorsuch and Robert E. Lushene in 1964 [36–38]. The SAS has been translated into more than 30 languages and used by many researchers. Öner and Le Compte did the validity and reliability study of the Turkish version and found that its Cronbach's alpha coefficient ranged from 0.94 to 0.96. The SAS measures how individuals feel at a certain time and in specific conditions. Scores are based on ratings for each item: 1 (not at all);

2 (somewhat); 3 (moderately so); and 4 (very much so). The scale has 10 reverse-scored items: 1, 2, 5, 8, 10, 11, 15, 16, 19 and 20. The lowest possible score is 20, and the highest is 80 [39]. Higher scores indicate higher levels of anxiety. Some of the clinical studies have suggested that its cut-off point should be 39–40 [40,41]. Others have suggested that it should be 54–55, or higher [42]. The cut-off point was 50 or higher in this study [43–45]. The Cronbach's alpha coefficient was 0.92 for the first administration of the SAS.

#### 2.5. Data Analysis

Data analysis was carried out using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) 20.0 (IBM Inc., Armonk, NY, USA). The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used to determine whether the data were normally distributed. The data are shown as numbers, percentages, means and standard deviations. The *t*-test, ANOVA and the chi-squares test were used for the independent groups. The threshold for statistical significance was p < 0.05.

#### 3. Results

## 3.1. Descriptive Statistics

The students' mean age was  $20.75 \pm 1.92$  (min = 18.0-max = 29.0) years. Of them, 76.1% were in the 20–24 age group, 79.9% had completed Anatolian or science high schools, and 87.7% had social security. Of the students, 36.9% were living in districts, and 81.7% had nuclear families. Of the students' parents, 64.9% of their fathers and 25.4% of their mothers were employed. Of the students, 39.2% had two or three siblings, and 62.7% had equal income and expenses. In response to a multiple-choice question, 60.8% of the students described their general emotional state as unhappy, anxious or unemotional, and 39.2% said that they were happy.

Of the students, 24.6% were freshmen, 32.8% were sophomores, 25.7% were juniors and 16.8% were seniors. Of the students, 47.8% were on scholarships and 41.8% had national scholarships. Of the students, 54.1% had acquaintances who were health care professionals, and 56.7% had chosen the midwifery department of their own accord. Of the students, 76.1% were happy with the midwifery department, and 72.4% said that their academic achievement level was moderate. Of the students, 14.6% had family members with mental disorders.

The IESE's Effects on the Midwifery Students' Anxiety Levels.

Before the IESE: The students' (n = 268) mean SAS score was  $44.4 \pm 10.4$  (min = 20.0-max = 76.0) Of the students, 70.1% (188) had low levels of anxiety ( $\overline{X} = 39.1 \pm 6.64$  min = 20.0-max = 49.0), and 29.9% (80) had high levels of anxiety ( $\overline{X} = 57.0 \pm 6.27$  min = 50.0-max = 76.0) (Table 1).

Mean State Anxiety Scale Scores	Before the IESE *				After the IESE *				
	N	%	Lowest-Highest	Mean/SD	N	%	Lowest-Highest	Mean/SD	
Low level of anxiety (49 or lower)	188	70.1	20.0–49.0	39.1 ± 6.64	46	60.5	23.0–49.0	38.3 ± 7.30	
High level of anxiety (50 or higher)	80	29.9	50.0–76.0	$57.0\pm6.27$	30	39.5	50.0-74.0	$58.3\pm6.35$	
Total score	268	100	20.0-76.0	$44.4\pm10.4$	76	100	23.0-74.0	$46.2\pm12.0$	

Table 1. The distribution of the students' mean state anxiety scale scores.

IESE \*: Individualized Information and Emotional Support Education.

*After the IESE:* Four students with high levels of state anxiety did not agree to participate in the second stage of the study. The mean SAS score of the students (n = 76) was  $46.2 \pm 12.0$  (min = 23, max = 74). Statistically significant differences were found between the students' mean SAS scores before and after the IESE (t = 8.756, p = 0.000) (Table 2).

Before the IESE, the most common cause of anxiety (65.8%) was worry about COVID-19related disease or death and the possibility of losing loved ones. After the education, this rate fell by 17.1% to 48.7%, and this difference was significant ( $\chi^2 = 5.077$ , p = 0.024).

Table 2. Comparison of the participants' state anxiety scale scores before and after the IESE.

Mean State Anxiety Scale Scores	Befor N	Before the IESE * N Mean/SD		r the IESE * Mean/SD	t	р
High level of anxiety (50 or higher)	76	$57.1\pm6.32$	76	$46.2\pm12.0$	8.756	0.000

IESE \*: Individualized Information and Emotional Support Education.

# 3.2. Comparison of the Midwifery Students' Mean SAS Scores and Some Sociodemographic Variables

Statistically significant correlations were found between the students' mean SAS scores before the IESE, by high school (p = 0.001) (Anatolian or science), perception of income and expenses (p = 0.0013) (income equal to expenses), history of mental disorders in the family (p = 0.000) (those who did not have such a history) and emotional state (p = 0.000) (those who were unhappy, anxious or unemotional). There was a statistically significant correlation between the students' mean SAS score after the IESE and their mothers' employment status (p = 0.006) (those who were not employed) (Table 3).

**Table 3.** Comparison of the participants' mean SAS scores and their sociodemographic variables before and after the IESE.

Variables		SAS Score before IESE					SAS Score after IESE			
		N	$Mean \pm SD$	F/t	р	N	$Mean \pm SD$	F/t	р	
Age group	15–19 20–24 25–29		$\begin{array}{c} 43.32 \pm 9.67 \\ 44.88 \pm 10.45 \\ 41.8 \pm 20.40 \end{array}$	0.674 *	0.511	15 59 2	$\begin{array}{c} 44.20 \pm 8.92 \\ 46.32 \pm 12.65 \\ 58.00 \pm 8.48 \end{array}$	1.182 *	0.312	
High school	Anatolian/science high school Medical vocational high school Regular high school	214 30 24	$\begin{array}{c} 45.67 \pm 10.48 \\ 39.50 \pm 8.10 \\ 40.08 \pm 10.58 \end{array}$	7.194 *	0.001	67 4 5	$\begin{array}{c} 45.92 \pm 12.03 \\ 39.00 \pm 11.57 \\ 55.8 \pm 6.72 \end{array}$	2.422 *	0.096	
Longest place of residence	Town/Village District Province Metropolis		$\begin{array}{c} 44.00 \pm 9.03 \\ 44.71 \pm 10.74 \\ 46.38 \pm 12.04 \\ 43.28 \pm 9.99 \end{array}$	0.884 *	0.450	13 30 17 16	$\begin{array}{c} 45.15 \pm 11.72 \\ 46.30 \pm 12.49 \\ 48.58 \pm 10.71 \\ 44.37 \pm 13.26 \end{array}$	0.371 *	0.774	
Family type	Extended family Nuclear family Fragmented family	34 219 15	$\begin{array}{c} 46.79 \pm 9.95 \\ 44.11 \pm 10.57 \\ 44.60 \pm 10.58 \end{array}$	0.957 *	0.385	12 58 6	$\begin{array}{c} 48.33 \pm 11.95 \\ 46.03 \pm 12.16 \\ 43.66 \pm 11.91 \end{array}$	0.322 *	0.725	
Number of siblings	None 1 2-3 4 or more	19 102 105 42	$\begin{array}{c} 45.10 \pm 12.43 \\ 44.14 \pm 10.37 \\ 44.20 \pm 10.81 \\ 45.71 \pm 9.25 \end{array}$	0.957 *	0.385	4 27 32 13	$\begin{array}{c} 48.25 \pm 22.00 \\ 46.33 \pm 11.97 \\ 45.50 \pm 12.14 \\ 47.07 \pm 9.22 \end{array}$	0.096 *	0.962	
Perception of income and expenses	Equal income and expenses Less income than expenses More income than expenses	71 168 29	$\begin{array}{c} 47.14 \pm 11.06 \\ 44.00 \pm 10.10 \\ 40.75 \pm 10.10 \end{array}$	4.384 *	0.013	27 45 4	$\begin{array}{c} 49.00 \pm 11.03 \\ 44.86 \pm 11.88 \\ 42.50 \pm 19.07 \end{array}$	1.208 *	0.305	
Mother's employment status	Employed Unemployed	68 200	$\begin{array}{c} 46.19 \pm 11.32 \\ 43.90 \pm 10.16 \end{array}$	1.556 **	0.121	20 56	$\begin{array}{c} 52.45 \pm 11.57 \\ 43.98 \pm 11.45 \end{array}$	2.831 **	0.006	
Father's employment status	Employed Unemployed	174 94	$\begin{array}{c} 44.31 \pm 10.73 \\ 44.80 \pm 10.09 \end{array}$	-0.370 **	0.712	44 32	$\begin{array}{c} 47.36 \pm 12.84 \\ 44.62 \pm 10.74 \end{array}$	0.981 **	0.330	
Social security	Yes No		$\begin{array}{c} 44.39 \pm 10.79 \\ 45.15 \pm 8.17 \end{array}$	-0.398 **	0.698	67 9	$\begin{array}{c} 46.07 \pm 12.44 \\ 47.22 \pm 8.51 \end{array}$	-0.267 **	0.790	
History of mental disorders in the family	Yes No	39 229	$\begin{array}{c} 51.07 \pm 11.10 \\ 43.36 \pm 9.98 \end{array}$	4.385 **	0.000	21 55	$\begin{array}{c} 45.85 \pm 13.98 \\ 46.34 \pm 11.30 \end{array}$	-0.157	0.875	
Emotional state	Unhappy/Anxious/Unemotiona Happy		$\begin{array}{c} 48.19 \pm 10.76 \\ 38.73 \pm 6.88 \end{array}$	8.005 **	0.000	-	-	-	-	
TOTAL		268	$44.4\pm10.4$			76	$46.2\pm12.0$			

\* Variance (ANOVA) analysis; \*\* independent groups *t*-test.

The students' mean SAS scores before and after the IESE were compared by age group (before p = 0.511, after p = 0.312), longest place of residence (before p = 0.884, after p = 0.774),

family type (p = 0.385 and p = 0.725), number of siblings (before p = 0.385, after p = 0.962), father's employment status (before p = 0.712, after p = 0.330) and social security status (before p = 0.698, after p = 0.790). These variables did not cause statistically significant differences in their mean SAS scores (Table 3).

#### 4. Discussion

This study revealed that the effect of education by giving individualized 'Information and Emotional Support Education' (IESE) to midwifery students with high anxiety levels during COVID-19. Students of the midwifery department of one of the largest universities in Turkey were included in the research. However, the entire target population could not be reached due to the suspension of the education that began with the first case in Turkey [11,13]. In addition to primary, secondary and higher education schools, during the pandemic the preparation process required for the acquisition of the tools and equipment necessary for reaching distance education in many families could not be experienced, and it took time to eliminate the deficiencies due to curfew restrictions. In studies examining the problems experienced by students during the distance education process during the COVID-19 pandemic period, it was determined that students had difficulties in distance education due to reasons such as low socio-economic level, living in the countryside, and the lack of internet and technological tools at home [17,27,32,46]. In this study, 70% of the participants were reached. In addition to the reasons stated in previous studies, the fact that nearly half of the students have two or three siblings, and the majority of them live in a small town, suggests that they may experience a lack of internet and related equipment.

Cao et al. (2020) reported in their study that students stated the possibility of their relatives and themselves being infected as the most important cause of anxiety in the pandemic, and that they experienced stress and had to postpone their academic studies because of this [47]. Research has revealed that the uncertainty caused by the pandemic and the anxiety about how to protect oneself made it difficult for students to continue distance education [9,21,25,46]. In our study, the reasons for anxiety in students with high anxiety levels were determined as 'illness/death due to COVID-19 and loss of relatives', supporting the literature. It has also been reported by research conducted with medical students in the USA, and with university students in Saudi Arabia during the pandemic, that past and present mental health conditions are an important predictor of students' mental health during the pandemic [48,49]. In this study, the anxiety levels of the students who had a family history of mental illness and described their emotional state as unhappy/anxious/emotional were found to be significantly higher. It can be thought that the family history of mental illness and a negative emotional state may cause an increase in the anxiety levels of midwifery students, who are thought to be weaker in terms of mental health, due to the effect of the pandemic.

The COVID-19 pandemic has dramatically increased the prevalence of anxiety among college students [49–52]. In the report published by the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) in 2021, it was reported that intervention support programs prepared to prevent increasing mental problems in young individuals during the COVID-19 pandemic, were effective [51,53]. Similarly, it has been emphasized in studies that cognitive and emotional programs should be developed and implemented for particularly vulnerable individuals [50,51,54–56]. Research also reveals that interventions to protect and improve mental health have become mandatory, both during and after the pandemic [49,57–59]. An eight-week online intervention program (ETUCARE), developed in France to improve the mental health of university students, was found to increase students' psychological well-being and reduce anxiety [34]. Another study with university students in Australia examined the effect of a five-week program on the outcome of positive mental health (i.e., well-being, life satisfaction, resilience) and the indicators of psychological distress (i.e., depression, anxiety, stress). In the study, it was determined that the program applied for five weeks significantly improved all measured mental health outcomes and showed low and medium effect sizes on individual outcomes [35]. In a study

evaluating the effect of a psychosocial adjustment program on the anxiety and mental well-being of 91 midwifery students during the COVID-19 pandemic in the northeast of Turkey, it was found that the program reduced their state and trait anxiety, and increased their psychological well-being [33]. In this study, video calls (Skype, Teams, Zoom, Google-meet) lasting 60 min were conducted with students whose state anxiety scale score was 50 and above, and structured, individualized information and emotional support training was applied in the interview. It was determined that the anxiety of midwifery students decreased after the education; while the research result is similar to the literature, it also provides evidence of the significant impact of remote information and emotional support during COVID-19.

On the other hand, the fact that this study was conducted with students studying in a single faculty, and who could only be online during the time period when the data were collected and with access to the internet, can be considered as a limitation in terms of the representation of the population of the sample. However, the place where the research was conducted is İzmir, the third largest city of the country, and located in the west of Turkey. The midwifery department of the Faculty of Health Sciences of the university is one of the most preferred universities by students from every region of Turkey. Based on the assumption that the students represent every region of Turkey, it was felt to be important to include all the students of the midwifery department within the Faculty of Health Sciences in the scope of the research. This study may also have a number of non-measured outcomes. One of these is that this experimental study was designed using a pragmatic approach that encouraged the students to get professional support when they felt the considerable effects of the pandemic. The IESE allowed the students to express their anxiety, to increase their physical awareness, and to learn that anxiety can be controlled by stimulating muscle groups in different parts of the body. This awareness can help students to develop coping strategies for future life crises. The IESE can serve as a model for lecturers counseling sessions.

#### 5. Conclusions

The individualized IESE provided to midwifery students during the COVID-19 pandemic effectively reduced their state anxiety scale levels. Stressful situations can put stable lives at risk. In this study, an imbalance of income and expenses, and not having family members with mental disorders, were the main determinants of the students' state anxiety scale levels. Anxiety due to the COVID-19 pandemic can be addressed through care and education.

During the writing of the study, the researchers found that four of the 30 students with high levels of anxiety after the IESE started receiving appropriate treatment. The other 26 students were continuing interviews with a psychologist. The researchers are still in contact with the students.

**Author Contributions:** B.K.S. designed the study; G.K. was responsible for overall data collection and clinical studies; Z.B.A. and B.K.S. conducted data analysis and interpretation. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

**Acknowledgments:** We would like to thank the students for participating in our study. We are thankful to the participants for committing their time to have the interview with us and for providing reliable information.

Conflicts of Interest: The author declares no conflict of interest.

## References

- 1. World Health Organization. WHO Director-General's Opening Remarks at the Media Briefing on COVID-19—11 March 2020. Available online: https://www.who.int/director-general/speeches/detail/who-director-general-s-opening-remarks-at-the-media-briefing-on-covid-19---11-march-2020 (accessed on 1 September 2022).
- 2. Türkiye Cumhuriyeti Sağlık Bakanlığı. 2020. Available online: https://covid19.saglik.gov.tr/TR-66494/pandemi.html (accessed on 1 September 2022).
- 3. Rajkumar, R.P. COVID-19 and Mental Health: A Review of the Existing Literature. *Asian J. Psychiatry* **2020**, *52*, 102066. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Wilke, J.; Hollander, K.; Mohr, L.; Edouard, P.; Fossati, C.; González-Gross, M.; Sánchez Ramírez, C.; Laiño, F.; Tan, B.; Pillay, J.D.; et al. Drastic Reductions in Mental Well-Being Observed Globally during the COVID-19 Pandemic: Results from the ASAP Survey. *Front. Med.* 2021, *8*, 578959. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 5. UNESCO. COVID-19 Educational Disruption and Response. Available online: https://en.unesco.org/news/covid-19 -educational-disruption-and-response (accessed on 10 September 2022).
- 6. Dewart, G.; Corcoran, L.; Thirsk, L.; Petrovic, K. Nursing Education in a Pandemic: Academic Challenges in Response to COVID-19. *Nurse Educ. Today* 2020, *92*, 104471. [CrossRef]
- 7. Kickbusch, I.; Leung, G. Response to the Emerging Novel Coronavirus Outbreak. BMJ 2020, 368, m406. [CrossRef]
- Shigemura, J.; Ursano, R.J.; Morganstein, J.C.; Kurosawa, M.; Benedek, D.M. Public Responses to the Novel 2019 Coronavirus (2019-NCoV) in Japan: Mental Health Consequences and Target Populations. *Psychiatry Clin. Neurosci.* 2020, 74, 281–282. [CrossRef]
- 9. Lee, J. Mental Health Effects of School Closures during COVID-19. Lancet Child Adolesc. Health 2020, 4, 421. [CrossRef]
- United Nations International Children's Emergency Fund (UNICEF) and World Health Organization (WHO). Key Messages and Actions for COVID-19 Prevention and Control in Schools. 2020. Available online: https://www.unicef.org/media/66216/file/ Key%20Messages%20and%20Actions%20for%20COVID-19%20Prevention%20and%20Control%20in%20Schools\_March%2020 20.pdf (accessed on 25 May 2020).
- 11. Can, E. Coronavirüs (Covid-19) Pandemisi ve Pedagojik Yansımaları: Türkiye'de Açık ve Uzaktan Eğitim Uygulamaları. Açıköğretim Uygul. Araştırmaları Derg. 2020, 6, 11–53.
- 12. Covid-19 (Korona) Virüs Salgını Sırasında Aile, Çocuk ve Ergenlere Yönelik Psikososyal ve Ruhsal Destek Rehberi—Halk Sağlığı Hemşireliği Derneği. Available online: https://halkhemder.org.tr/covid-19-korona-virus-salgini-sirasinda-aile-cocuk-ve-ergenlere-yonelik-psikososyal-ve-ruhsal-destek-rehberi/ (accessed on 25 September 2022).
- 13. YÖK yks-ertelenmesi-basin-aciklamasi. Available online: https://www.yok.gov.tr/Sayfalar/Haberler/2020/YKS%20 Ertelenmesi%20Bas%C4%B1n%20A%C3%A7%C4%B1klamas%C4%B1.aspx (accessed on 25 September 2022).
- 14. Haslam, M.B. What Might Covid-19 Have Taught Us About The Delivery Of Nurse Education, in a Post-COVID-19 World? *Nurse Educ. Today* 2020, *97*, 104707. [CrossRef]
- Leigh, J.; Vasilica, C.; Dron, R.; Gawthorpe, D.; Burns, E.; Kennedy, S.; Kennedy, R.; Warburton, T.; Croughan, C. Redefining Undergraduate Nurse Teaching during the Coronavirus Pandemic: Use of Digital Technologies. *Br. J. Nurs.* 2020, 29, 566–569. [CrossRef]
- 16. Boz Yuksekdag, B. Uzaktan Hemşirelik Eğitimine İlişkin Algilar. Eğitim Teknol. Kuram Uygul. 2020, 10, 490–503. [CrossRef]
- Curcio, F.; González, C.I.A.; Zicchi, M.; Sole, G.; Finco, G.; Ez zinabi, O.; Melo, P.; Galletta, M.; Martinez-Riera, J.R. COVID-19 Pandemic Impact on Undergraduate Nursing Students: A Cross-Sectional Study. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 2022, 19, 8347. [CrossRef]
- 18. Lukoševičiūtė, J.; Šmigelskas, K. Mental Health during COVID-19 Pandemic: Qualitative Perceptions among Lithuanian Adolescents. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2022**, *19*, 7086. [CrossRef]
- 19. Shen, X.; MacDonald, M.; Logan, S.W.; Parkinson, C.; Gorrell, L.; Hatfield, B.E. Leisure Engagement during COVID-19 and Its Association with Mental Health and Wellbeing in U.S. Adults. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2022**, *19*, 1081. [CrossRef]
- Wang, C.; Cheng, Z.; Yue, X.-G.; McAleer, M. Risk Management of COVID-19 by Universities in China. J. Risk Financ. Manag. 2020, 13, 36. [CrossRef]
- Wang, X.; Hegde, S.; Son, C.; Keller, B.; Smith, A.; Sasangohar, F. Investigating Mental Health of US College Students During the COVID-19 Pandemic: Cross-Sectional Survey Study. J. Med. Internet Res. 2020, 22, e22817. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Xie, X.; Xue, Q.; Zhou, Y.; Zhu, K.; Liu, Q.; Zhang, J.; Song, R. Mental Health Status among Children in Home Confinement during the Coronavirus Disease 2019 Outbreak in Hubei Province, China. *JAMA Pediatr.* 2020, 174, 898–900. [CrossRef]
- Brooks, S.K.; Webster, R.K.; Smith, L.E.; Woodland, L.; Wessely, S.; Greenberg, N.; Rubin, G.J. The Psychological Impact of Quarantine and How to Reduce It: Rapid Review of the Evidence. *Lancet* 2020, 395, 912–920. [CrossRef]
- Zhan, H.; Zheng, C.; Zhang, X.; Yang, M.; Zhang, L.; Jia, X. Chinese College Students' Stress and Anxiety Levels under COVID-19. Front. Psychiatry 2021, 12, 615390. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 25. Sögüt, S.; Dolu, İ.; Cangöl, E. The Relationship between COVID-19 Knowledge Levels and Anxiety States of Midwifery Students during the Outbreak: A Cross-Sectional Web-Based Survey. *Perspect. Psychiatr. Care* **2020**, *57*, 246–252. [CrossRef]
- 26. Haririan, H.; Samadi, P.; Lalezari, E.; Habibzadeh, S.; Porter, J.E. Nursing and Midwifery Students' Mental Health Status and Intention to Leave during Covid-19 Pandemic. *SAGE Open Nurs.* **2022**, *8*, 23779608221120506. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 27. Khosrobeigi bozchaloei, F.; Zeighami Mohammadi, S.; Rasooli, S. Correlation between Online Learner Readiness with Psychological Distress Related to E-Learning among Nursing and Midwifery Students during COVID-19 Pandemic. J. Nurs. Educ. 2022, 10, 11–21.
- Rasmussen, B.; Hutchinson, A.; Lowe, G.; Wynter, K.; Redley, B.; Holton, S.; Manias, E.; Phillips, N.; McDonall, J.; McTier, L.; et al. The Impact of Covid-19 on Psychosocial Well-Being and Learning for Australian Nursing and Midwifery Undergraduate Students: A Cross-Sectional Survey. *Nurse Educ. Pract.* 2022, *58*, 103275. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 29. Öztürk, D.M.; Özer, B.U. Opinions of Midwifery Students on Distance Education Process and Practical Courses in COVID-19 Period: A Mixed-Method Study. *Ebelik Sağlık Bilim. Derg.* **2022**, *5*, 62–70.
- Çetïnkaya, Ş.Ş.; Güney, D. The Effect of Distance Educationon the Professional Competence and Academic Motivation of Midwifery Students: A Qualitative Study. *Black Sea J. Health Sci.* 2022, *5*, 540–546. [CrossRef]
- 31. Mamuk, R.; Akarsu, R.H. Determination of Covid-19 Fear and Affecting Factors of Midwifery Department Students. *Int. J. Caring Sci.* 2022, *15*, 395–405.
- 32. Biyik, M.; Savci, A.; Guclu, S.; Aksoy, C.C.; Percin Renders, D. Evaluation of Online Education Provided to Students during the COVID-19 Pandemic: A University Experience. *Florence Nightingale J. Nurs.* **2021**, *29*, 312–323. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Bahadır-Yılmaz, E.; Yüksel, A. Effect of the Psychosocial Adjustment Program on Midwifery Students' Anxiety and Psychological Well-Being during the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Eur. J. Midwifery* 2022, *6*, 35. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 34. Theurel, A.; Witt, A.; Shankland, R. Promoting University Students' Mental Health through an Online Multicomponent Intervention during the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2022**, *19*, 10442. [CrossRef]
- van Agteren, J.; Ali, K.; Fassnacht, D.B.; Iasiello, M.; Furber, G.; Howard, A.; Woodyatt, L.; Musker, M.; Kyrios, M. Testing the Differential Impact of an Internet-Based Mental Health Intervention on Outcomes of Well-being and Psychological Distress During COVID-19: Uncontrolled Intervention Study. *JMIR Ment. Health* 2021, *8*, e28044. [CrossRef]
- 36. Spielberger, C.D. Anxiety: Current Trends in Theory and Research on Anxiety; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 1972; pp. 3–19. [CrossRef]
- State Trait Anxiety Inventory. Available online: https://www.advancedassessments.co.uk/resources/Mental-Health-Test.pdf (accessed on 8 June 2020).
- 38. Spielberger, C.D. Manual for the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory, rev. ed.; Consulting Psychologists Press: Palo Alto, CA, USA, 1983.
- 39. Öner, N.; Le Compte, A. Süreksiz durumluk/ sürekli kaygı envanteri 2. Basım; Boğaziçi Üniversitesi Yayınevi: İstanbul, Turkey, 1998.
- 40. Knight, R.G.; Waal-Manning, H.J.; Spears, G.F. Some Norms and Reliability Data for the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory and the Zung Self-Rating Depression Scale. *Br. J. Clin. Psychol.* **1983**, *22*, 245–249. [CrossRef]
- 41. Addolorato, G.; Ancona, C.; Capristo, E.; Graziosetto, R.; Di Rienzo, L.; Maurizi, M.; Gasbarrini, G. State and Trait Anxiety in Women Affected by Allergic and Vasomotor Rhinitis. *J. Psychosom. Res.* **1999**, *46*, 283–289. [CrossRef]
- Kvaal, K.; Ulstein, I.; Nordhus, I.H.; Engedal, K. The Spielberger State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI): The State Scale in Detecting Mental Disorders in Geriatric Patients. *Int. J. Geriatr. Psychiatry* 2005, 20, 629–634. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 43. Julian, L.J. Measures of Anxiety: State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI), Beck Anxiety Inventory (BAI), and Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale-Anxiety (HADS-A). *Arthritis Care Res.* 2011, *63*, S467–S472. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kavakcı, Ö.; Selcen Güler, A.; Çetinkaya, S. Sınav Kaygısı ve İlişkili Psikiyatrik Belirtiler Test Anxiety and Related Psychiatric Symptoms. J. Clin. Psy. 2011, 14, 7–16.
- 45. Kara, H.; Acet, M. Spor yöneticilerinde durumluk kaygısının otomatik düşünceler üzerindeki etkisinin incelenmesi. *E-J. N. World Sci. Acad.* 2012, 7, 244–258.
- 46. Kürtüncü, M.; Kurt, A. COVID-19 Pandemisi Döneminde Hemşirelik Öğrencilerinin Uzaktan Eğitim Konusunda Yaşadiklari Sorunlar. *Avrasya Sos. Ekon. Araştırmaları Derg.* **2020**, *7*, 66–77.
- 47. Cao, W.; Fang, Z.; Hou, G.; Han, M.; Xu, X.; Dong, J.; Zheng, J. The Psychological Impact of the COVID-19 Epidemic on College Students in China. *Psychiatry Res.* 2020, 287, 112934. [CrossRef]
- 48. Guo, A.A.; Crum, M.A.; Fowler, L.A. Assessing the Psychological Impacts of COVID-19 in Undergraduate Medical Students. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2021**, *18*, 2952. [CrossRef]
- 49. Alyoubi, A.; Halstead, E.J.; Zambelli, Z.; Dimitriou, D. The Impact of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Students' Mental Health and Sleep in Saudi Arabia. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2021**, *18*, 9344. [CrossRef]
- 50. Khoshaim, H.B.; Al-Sukayt, A.; Chinna, K.; Nurunnabi, M.; Sundarasen, S.; Kamaludin, K.; Baloch, G.M.; Hossain, S.F.A. Anxiety Level of University Students during COVID-19 in Saudi Arabia. *Front. Psychiatry* **2020**, *11*, 579750. [CrossRef]
- Browning, M.H.E.M.; Larson, L.R.; Sharaievska, I.; Rigolon, A.; McAnirlin, O.; Mullenbach, L.; Cloutier, S.; Vu, T.M.; Thomsen, J.; Reigner, N.; et al. Psychological Impacts from COVID-19 among University Students: Risk Factors across Seven States in the United States. *PLoS ONE* 2021, *16*, e0273938. [CrossRef]
- 52. Chen, T.; Lucock, M. The Mental Health of University Students during the COVID-19 Pandemic: An Online Survey in the UK. *PLoS ONE* 2022, 17, e0262562. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. Supporting Young People's Mental Health Through the COVID-19 Crisis; OECD Publishing: 2021. Available online: https://www.oecd.org/coronavirus/policy-responses/supporting-youngpeople-s-mental-health-through-the-covid-19-crisis-84e143e5/#section-d1e868 (accessed on 8 September 2022).

- 54. Salari, N.; Hosseinian-Far, A.; Jalali, R.; Vaisi-Raygani, A.; Rasoulpoor, S.; Mohammadi, M.; Rasoulpoor, S.; Khaledi-Paveh, B. Prevalence of Stress, Anxiety, Depression among the General Population during the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Glob. Health* **2020**, *16*, 57. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 55. Pelucio, L.; Simões, P.; Dourado, M.C.N.; Quagliato, L.A.; Nardi, A.E. Depression and Anxiety among Online Learning Students during the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Cross-Sectional Survey in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. *BMC Psychol.* 2022, *10*, 192. [CrossRef]
- Afif, I.Y.; Manik, A.R.; Munthe, K.; Maula, M.I.; Ammarullah, M.I.; Jamari, J.; Winarni, T.I. Physiological Effect of Deep Pressure in Reducing Anxiety of Children with ASD during Traveling: A Public Transportation Setting. *Bioengineering* 2022, 9, 157. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Aşkın, R.; Bozkurt, Y.; Zeybek, Z. Covid-19 pandemisi: Psikolojik etkileri ve terapötik müdahaleler. İstanbul Ticaret Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Dergisi 2020, 19, 304–318.
- 58. Aksoy, Y.E.; Koçak, V. Psychological Effects of Nurses and Midwives due to COVID-19 Outbreak: The Case of Turkey. *Arch. Psychiatr. Nurs.* **2020**, *34*, 427–433. [CrossRef]
- 59. Najman, N.; Kistan, K.; Novianti, I. Relationship on Health Education against Anxiety Concerning COVID-19 Transmission. *Int. J. Health Sci.* 2020, *4*, 69–74. [CrossRef]