



Article Chemokine Homeostasis in Healthy Volunteers and during Pancreatic and Colorectal Tumor Growth in Murine Models

Elena V. Svirshchevskaya ^{1,2,*}, Mariya V. Konovalova ¹, Eugene V. Snezhkov ¹, Rimma A. Poltavtseva ² and Sergey B. Akopov ¹

- ¹ Shemyakin-Ovchinnikov Institute of Bioorganic Chemistry RAS, 16/10 Miklukho-Maklaya Str., 117997 Moscow, Russia
- ² National Medical Research Center of Obstetrics, Gynecology and Perinatology Named after Academician V. I. Kulakov of the Ministry of Health of the Russian Federation, 4 Oparina Str., 117997 Moscow, Russia
- * Correspondence: esvir@mail.ibch.ru

Abstract: Chemokines are involved in the humoral regulation of body homeostasis. Changes in the blood level of chemokines were found in cancer, atherosclerosis, diabetes, and other systemic diseases. It is essential to distinguish the effects of co-morbid pathologies and cancer on the level of chemokines in the blood. We aimed to analyze, by multiplex cytometry, the levels of chemokines in the blood of healthy young volunteers as well as of intact mice and mice with CT26 colon and Pan02 pancreatic tumors. Two types of chemokines were identified both in human and murine plasmas: homeostatic ones, which were found in high concentrations (>100 pg/mL), and inducible ones, which can be undetectable or determined at very low levels (0–100 pg/mL). There was a high variability in the chemokine levels, both in healthy humans and mice. To analyze chemokine levels during tumor growth, C57BL/6 and BALB/c were inoculated with Pan02 or CT26 tumor cells, accordingly. The tumors significantly differed in the growth and the mortality of mice. However, the blood chemokine levels did not change in tumor-bearing mice until the very late stages. Taken collectively, blood chemokine level is highly variable and reflects in situ homeostasis. Care should be taken when considering chemokines as prognostic parameters or therapeutic targets in cancer.

Keywords: chemokines; tumor model; CT26; Pan02; Luminex

1. Introduction

Chemokines are 8–10 kDa polypeptides that bind to specific chemokine receptors and mediate the homeostatic regulation of tissues and the immune response. About 18–19 chemokine receptors and more than 50 chemokines binding to several receptors with different affinity are identified in humans. Table 1 summarizes the available data on the role of some chemokines in the formation of a tumor microenvironment.

Clones of macrophages and fibroblasts isolated from human bladder cancer tumors synthesize CXCL1 [1] in response to which neutrophils and other cell populations migrate to the tumor [2]. The role of CXCL1 and CXCR2 in osteosarcoma metastasis was shown [3]. The role of CXCL1 and CXCL5 in immunosuppression of infiltrating lymphocytes was demonstrated in the pancreatic cancer model [4]. In addition, the increased expression of CXCL1 is also associated with neoangiogenesis in the tumor [5]. The role of other CXCL chemokines is also shown in many works [6–10]. Chemokines play a role in the sensitivity to the checkpoint inhibitor therapy [11,12]. An increase in the level of CXCL9 was associated with tumor progression and an increased expression of CCR5 and CXCL13 was observed at the clonal level in patients who responded to the checkpoint therapy [12]. CXCL10 regulates migration and biological functions of CD4+ and CD8+ T cells in cancer and inflammatory autoimmune diseases [13–16].

The spectrum of activity of CCL chemokines is somewhat different. The involvement of CCL chemokines in the polarization of tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs) towards



Citation: Svirshchevskaya, E.V.; Konovalova, M.V.; Snezhkov, E.V.; Poltavtseva, R.A.; Akopov, S.B. Chemokine Homeostasis in Healthy Volunteers and during Pancreatic and Colorectal Tumor Growth in Murine Models. *Curr. Issues Mol. Biol.* 2022, 44, 4987–4999. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/cimb44100339

Academic Editors: Guido Giordano and Pietro Parcesepe

Received: 5 September 2022 Accepted: 12 October 2022 Published: 18 October 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). M2 is most often reported [17,18]. The involvement of CCL chemokines in different forms of cancer varies. Thus, the level of CCL2 is increased in breast, liver, and endometrial cancers and reduced in colorectal, lung, kidney, urothelial, and other cancers [19]. The situation is similar with other CCL chemokines [20]. More often, CCL2, 7, 8, 11, 13, and 26 were reduced in various forms of cancer, while CCL14, 17, 22, and 23 were increased [20].

Table 1. Role of chemokines in cancer.

Receptor	Chemokine	Effects in Tumor Microenvironment	Producers	Citations
CXCR2	CXCL1 (GRO, KC)	TANs, TIFs, TAMs, metastasis,Fibroblasts,macrophages, neutrophils, epithelial cells,epithelial cells,Th17macrophages		[1–5]
CXCR2	CXCL5 (LIX)	TAMs, epithelial cells, metastasis, angiogenesis, epithelial–mesenchymal transition		[6–9]
CXCR1,2	CXCL8 (IL-8)	Major inflammatory cytokine of innate immunity discrete innate cells		[20]
CXCR3	CXCL10 (IP-10)	Th1, attracting CD8+ and CD4+ effector T cells to tumor sites; tumor-localized myeloid cells, including cDC1; sensitivity to PD1 therapy	Fibroblasts	[10–15]
CXCR5	CXCL13 (BLC)	B-cell recruitment, role in PD1 therapy	Lymphocytes, epithelial cells	[13,16]
CCR2, 4	CCL2 (MCP-1)	M1/M2 macrophage polarization; TIFs, metastasis, TAMs, Treg, Th17	Macrophages, fibroblasts	[17–19,21,22]
CCR1,4,5	CCL3 (MIP-1α)	TAMs, TANs, osteoclast precursors	Macrophages	[23]
CCR1,5, 8	CCL4 (MIP-1β)	TAMs, TANs, osteoclast precursors	Macrophages, lymphocytes, epithelial cells	[24]
CCR1, 3, 4,5	CCL5 (RANTES)	Migration and recruitment of T cells, dendritic cells, eosinophils, NK cells, mast cells, and basophils	T-cells, monocytes, platelets, epithelial cells, fibroblasts	[25]
CCR3, 5	CCL11 (Eotaxin-1)	Eos, angiogenesis	Fibroblasts, epithelial cells, lymphocytes	[26]
CCR8	CCL17 (TARC)	Eos, TANs, TAMs, Treg, protection; progression, TILs, Th17, M1/M2 macrophage polarization	Macrophages	[27,28]
CCR6	CCL20 (MIP-3a)	Th17, Treg, angiogenesis	g, angiogenesis Macrophages	
CCR4	CCL22 (MDC)	TILs, Treg, Th17, Eos, M1/M2 macrophage polarization, angiogenesis	, Eos, M1/M2 tion, angiogenesis Macrophages	

It is well known that cancer often occurs in aging people, in whom co-morbid diseases are frequent, including atherosclerosis, diabetes, autoimmune diseases, and viral chronic infections. As an example, atherosclerosis is a disease where chemokines play roles both at early and ongoing stages [32–35]. Fractalkine (CX₃CL1), GRO (CXCL1), MIF, CCL2, and CCL3 are involved in plaque initiation; CCL2, CCL3, CCL19, CCL21, CXCL9, CXCL10, CXCL11 CXCL12, and CXCL13 are crucial for the late stages of atherosclerosis [36–38]. Type I diabetes (T1D) is associated with an increase in Th1-associated chemokines such as IP-10 (CXCL10). Some papers also show an increase in Th2 chemokines CCL2 and CCL3 [39]. A wider range of chemokines (CCL2, CCL4, CCL5, CCL19, CCL22, CXCL9, CXCL10, CXCL11, and CXCL13) is secreted by purified human and mouse islets after stimulation with pro-inflammatory cytokines IFN γ or TNF α [39,40]. Multiple chemokines (CCL2, CCL3, CCL5, CX3CL1, CXCL8, CXCL9, CXCL10, CXCL11, and CXCL12) are increased in the blood of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease patients [41]. In chronic hepatitis C, the levels of CXCL9, CXCL10, and CXCL11 are also increased [42]. CCL5 is increased in herpes virus infection [43]. CXCL10 is considered a marker of rheumatoid arthritis [44]. Increased levels of CCL5 and CXCL6 are observed in bone degeneration patients [45]. Rotondi et al considered CXCL8 (IL-8) to play a major role in the formation of tumor microenvironment [46].

Due to a large number of chemokines regulating homeostasis, it is difficult to identify the most significant chemokine involved in tumor formation and response [19]. There may be several reasons for such differences: (1) different chemokines have the opposite effect on different types of tumors; (2) chemokines with different effects on different tumors do not directly affect tumor growth (satellites); (3) chemokines are involved in the regulation of homeostatic response to processes associated with co-morbid diseases; (4) the level of chemokines varies significantly between individuals. The latter makes it impossible to use chemokines as a prognostic factor in assessing the prognosis of tumor growth, as well as to develop drugs based on chemokine receptor inhibitors. In this paper, we tried to estimate chemokine levels in blood plasma of young healthy volunteers and understand the role of chemokines during tumor progression using CT26 mouse colorectal and Pan02 pancreatic cancer models.

2. Methods

2.1. Subjects and Ethics Statement

This study was carried out in accordance with recommendations from the local ethics committee in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. Blood samples were obtained from healthy volunteers of average age 28 ± 8 and different sexes (24 persons), who gave written informed consent prior to the study. Blood was collected in EDTA3 vacutainers, sedimented, plasma collected, frozen at -20 °C, and kept until use.

2.2. Mice and Ethics Statement

Female 6-to-8-week-old C57BL/6 and BALB/c mice were purchased from the Pushchino branch of the Shemyakin-Ovchinnikov Institute of Bioorganic Chemistry RAS and kept in the minimal disease conventional facility. All experiments were approved by the IBCh RAS Institutional Animal Committee. Protocols #259 (2018) and #325 (2021) were performed in compliance with AAALAC guidelines.

2.3. Multiplex Analysis of Chemokines

The standard 41-plex human cytokine–chemokine magnetic bead panel was used to analyze human chemokines in blood plasma by the FLEXMAP 3D cytometer (EMD Milipore, Billerica, MA, USA). Analysis was performed according to the manufacture instruction. In short, 25 µL of plasma was mixed with the beads in serum matrix provided by the manufacture. Before the analysis, plasma samples were cleared from insoluble material by centrifugation. Data were analyzed automatically with xPONENT software (EMD Millipore). The biolegend chemokine panel was used to analyze murine chemokines in blood plasma and cell supernatants (Biolegend, San Diego, CA, USA). Samples were run using the MACSQuant cytometer (Miltenyi Biotec, Bergisch Gladbach, Germany). The results were processed manually using FlowJo_V10 (FlowJo[™], Ashland, OR, USA).

2.4. Tumor Models

Syngeneic subcutaneous tumor models were induced by the inoculation of 10^5 CT26 (BALB/c) (n = 24) or Pan02 (C57BL/6) (n = 20) cells into the fur-depilated right flank in 100 µL of PBS. Tumor volumes were measured by the modified ellipsoidal formula V = (Length × Width²)/2 twice a week with an electronic caliper. Blood was collected from the eye orbital sinus under isofluran anesthesia and into heparin-treated tubes. Plasma was collected after centrifugation, frozen at -20 °C, and kept until use.

2.5. Histology

Fresh tumor tissues were fixed in 10% formalin and embedded in paraffin before sectioning and staining. Slides were prepared by a commercial firm (Pushchino, Moscow region, Russia). Tissue sections 4 μ m thick were deparaffinized in xylene and rehydrated in ethanol series. The PicroSirius Red Stain Kit (Abcam, Cambridge, UK, ab150681) was used to stain connective tissue according to the recommended protocol. Masson's trichrome (Chimmed, Moscow, Russian Federation) staining was used to stain collagen fibers and fibrin. Image acquisition was performed using a BHS system microscope (Olympus Corporation, Tokyo, Japan).

2.6. Statistical Analysis

Graphs were created using MS Excel software. The data are represented as mean \pm SEM of at least three independent experiments or as one representative experiment from three. Statistical analysis was performed using Student's *t*-test. Significance levels of *p* < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1. Chemokines in Human Blood

Some chemokines are produced by constituently monitoring body homeostasis while others are likely to be inducible. We screened chemokine concentrations in blood plasma of 24 healthy young (average age 28 ± 8) volunteers. Among the 10 chemokines, tested CXCL1, CXCL10, CCL2, CCL5, CCL11, and CCL22 were found in each plasma at high levels (100–10,000 pg/mL, Figure 1a). This group is likely to serve homeostatic roles regulating body homeostasis (group I). Group II chemokines CXCL8 (IL-8), CCL3, CCL4, and CCL7 were detected at 0–300 pg/mL (Figure 1b) and are likely to be inducible as some plasma samples were completely negative. There was a high variability in the levels of all chemokines in different healthy people (Figure 1a,b). This difference can result from individual homeostatic characteristics. One possible reason for such variability could be a different sex hormone status. To obtain insight into this, we analyzed the chemokines in men and women. The results demonstrated that there were no differences between these groups (Figure S1).

High correlations were found between the average concentrations both for I and II groups of chemokines (r = 0.994, p < 0.001; r = 0.915, p < 0.05, accordingly). Other hormones can affect chemokine production, but this area needs additional studies.

The results on chemokine levels depend on the test system and cannot be directly compared. To verify the reliability of our results, we tested the same sets of plasma over two different days. The total concentration slightly varied, possibly due to the experimental errors in the titration of the standard samples. However, correlation of the results obtained by the same test system in different days was 0.998 (Figure S2).

Another possibility of chemokine variability in different people was temporary changes in the chemokine levels. To this end, the blood plasma of two donors was analyzed with 1 mo intervals. It appeared that there was a 1.2–2.2 times increase in the donor 1 parameters (Figure S3a) and a 1.4 to 2.9 decrease in the donor 2 parameters (Figure S3b). The results were obtained in a single measurement to avoid day-to-day variations in the test results. These results can mean a slow change in the chemokine levels; however, more studies should be conducted to ensure this trend as only the results from three people were obtained. Of note, the correlation between all chemokines was from 0.990 to 1 for both donors.

Other reasons for chemokine variability can be a response to infections, vessel conditions, as well as genetic differences. The latter is shown below in mice.

It was interesting to see whether some chemokines correlate. We found significant correlations between CCL5 and CCL22 with CXCL8 (IL-8) (Figure 1c,d). CCL5 also significantly correlated with CCL22 (not shown). CCL5 and CCL22 are group I chemokines, while CXCL8 is from group II. CCL5 and CCL22 interact with CCR4 expressed by innate

immunity cells—dendritic ones and macrophages. IL-8 (CXCL8) is one of the main proinflammatory chemokines secreted by macrophages, epithelial, and endothelial cells. Its role also was shown in cancer [46].



Figure 1. Chemokine levels in human blood plasma. (**a**,**b**) High (**a**) and low (**b**) chemokine levels (log scale) in blood plasma of 24 healthy volunteers. (**c**,**d**) Correlation between high (**c**) and low (**d**) level chemokines in donor plasma. Pearson's coefficients of correlation and probabilities are shown (**c**,**d**).

The concentration of each chemokine in the blood of different donors differed significantly. The ratio of the maximum to minimum concentration was 18 for CXCL1, 10 for CCL5, and even 24 for CCL2.

3.2. Chemokines in Murine Blood

Among murine chemokines, high and low levels were also detected (Figure 2a,b). CXCL5, CXCL9, CXCL10, CXCL13, CCL11, and CCL22 were found at high levels (>100 pg/mL), while CXCL1, CCL2-5, CCL17, and CCL20 were found at low levels or were absent (<100 pg/mL) (Figure 2a,b).



Figure 2. Chemokine levels in intact C57BL/6 and BALB/c blood plasma. (**a**,**b**): Variability of high (**a**) and low (**b**) chemokine levels in blood plasma of naïve C56BL/6 (n = 5) and BALB/c mice (n = 4). Significant (*t*-test, *: p < 0.05) statistical difference is shown with asterisks. (**c**,**d**): Correlation between CXCL5 and CCL22 levels in blood plasma from C57BL/6 (n = 21) (**c**) and BALB/c (n = 24) (**d**) mice. Pearson's coefficients of correlation are shown. Significant difference between BALB/c and C57BL/7 mice is shown with the asterisks.

We also found some correlations between chemokine levels. To this end, the whole pool of data (intact and tumor-bearing mice) was included (n = 44). There was a direct interdependence between CXCL5 and CCL22 both in C57BL/6 (Figure 2c) and BALB/c (Figure 2d) blood. Coefficients of correlation were higher for mice than for humans. There were also significant correlations between CXCL1 with CXCL5 and CCL22, as well as CXCL5 with CCL11 in BALB/c blood (Table S1). CXCL1 correlated with CCL22, CXCL5 with CXCL9 and CCL11, and CXCL13 with CCL22 in C57BL/c sera (Table S1). Correlation data both for human and murine chemokines show that the variability is specific for different individuals.

There was a difference between group I and II chemokines in human and murine blood. CXCL10, CCL11, and CCL22 were found at high concentrations, while CCL3 and CCl4 were found at low concentrations both in mice and humans (Table 2). CXCL1, CCL2, and CCL5 were found at high and low concentrations in human and murine blood, respectively.

Receptor	CXCR2	CXCR2	CCR4	CCR4	CCR4	CCR4	CCR3	CCR4
	GRO(KC)	IP-10	MCP-1	MIP-1a	MIP-1b	Rantes	Eotaxin-1	MDC
	CXCL1	CXCL10	CCL2	CCL3	CCL4	CCL5	CCL11	CCL22
Human	high	high	high	low	low	high	high	high
Mice	low	high	low	low	low	low	high	high

Table 2. Comparison of group I and II chemokines in human and murine blood.

3.3. Tumor Models

Syngeneic tumor models were used to mimic human tumors. Based on the results of chemokines in intact murine blood, we tried to monitor chemokine changes during tumor growth. Subcutaneously transplanted pancreatic Pan02 and colorectal CT26 cells differed significantly in the in vivo tumor growth rate as was also shown earlier [47,48]. The volume of the Pan02 tumor in vivo never reached 500 mm³ and the mice survived longer, while the CT26 tumor grew exponentially, leading to mice deaths to days 40–50 (Figure 3a,b). Histological analysis showed that CT26 tumors were large, soft, non-fibrotic, and well enriched with blood vessels (Figure 3c,e,g). Pan02 tumors were small and extremely fibrotic with only a minor foci of tumor cells (Figure 3d,f,h). No signs of fibrosis in CT26 were found, as evidenced by PicroSirius Red (Figure 3e) or Masson trichrome (Figure 3g) staining, showing collagen containing connective tissue (red or blue, accordingly). A small number of vessels were found in Pan02 tumors. Evidently, Pan02 tumor cells stimulated extracellular matrix formation, including cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAFs), due to an insufficient blood supply limiting the tumor growth. The same high level of fibrosis is observed in human pancreatic adenocarcinomas [49].



Figure 3. Pan02 and CT26 tumor growth characteristics. (**a**,**b**) In vivo tumor growth (**a**) and mice survival (**b**) in syngeneic CT26 (n = 24) and Pan02 (n = 24) models. (**c**,**d**): CT26 (**c**) and Pan02 (**d**) tumor sizes are shown with circles. (**e**–**h**) Histology of CT26 (**e**,**g**) and Pan02 (**f**,**h**) tumors stained by PicroSirius Red (**e**,**f**) or Masson trichrome (**g**,**h**) methods. Curves and black arrows mark epithelial cell growth among fibrotic matrix (blue arrows) in Pan02 tumors (**f**,**h**); green arrows show vessels in CT26 tumors. The experiments were repeated several times. Scale bar 200 µm.

New vessel formation requires signals from chemokines, including growth factors such as VEGF, FGF, PDGF, hypoxia-inducible factor HIF-1 α , and cytokines [50]. Some chemokines stimulate angiogenesis, while others demonstrate angiostatic properties [51,52]. How the neovascularization and traffic of immune cells and CAFs to the tumor site relate to chemokine production is not well known. We compared chemokine levels in blood of intact and tumor-bearing mice.

3.4. Dynamics of Blood Chemokines in Syngeneic Pancreatic and Colorectal Tumor Models

Blood chemokines were studied at days 0, 12, 28, and 42 following tumor inoculation. No changes were found at early stages of both tumor growths (Figure 4). On the 42nd day, multiple chemokines (CXCL1, 9, 13, CCL2, 3, 4, 20, and 22) were tremendously increased in C57BL/6 mice bearing pancreatic Pan02 tumors (Figure 4a,b). CXCL9 was increased 7.7 times, and CXCL10, CXCL1, CCL3, and CCL17 increased 5.5, 12.7, 10.6, and 8 times, accordingly. The only chemokine increased starting from day 12 in Pan02-bearing mice was CCL17 (TARC) (Figure 4b). A completely different pattern was found for CT26-bearing BALB/c mice. For the exception of CXCL13, CCL11, and CCL20, neither chemokine level changed (Figure 4c,d). CXCL13, CCL11, and CCL20 concentrations increased 1.5–2 times at day 42 versus intact mice; CCL22 decreased at days 28 and 42 (Figure 4c,d).



Figure 4. Chemokine levels during tumor growth. C57BL/6 (**a**,**b**) and BALB/c (**c**,**d**) were inoculated with syngeneic pancreatic tumor cells Pan02 (**a**,**b**) or colorectal cells CT26 (**c**,**d**) cells, respectively. Blood plasma was collected at days 0, 12, 28, and 42 following tumor inoculation. Group I (**a**,**c**) and II (**b**,**d**) chemokine levels are shown in the dynamics of tumor growth. Significant (*t*-test, p < 0.05) statistical difference is shown with brackets. The results are pooled from three independent experiments, while chemokine levels were measured simultaneously. Averages and SEMs are shown.

TARC (CCL17) is associated with neutrophil accumulation in tumors [53], the high infiltration of M2-like tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs) [54], the accumulation of fibroblasts [55], and a decreased traffic of Treg [56]. Taken collectively, an increase in CCL17 levels in Pan02 tumor-bearing mice shows the accumulation of innate immunity cells and fibroblasts forming significant extracellular matrix, as supported by the histological results.

4. Discussion

Chemokines play a role in wound healing, angiogenesis, and various other cellular functions including inflammation which directs the migration of immune cells to sites of infection. Earlier, it was shown that chemokines have both homeostatic and inflammatory functions [37,38]. Those that were found in blood at high levels evidently serve homeostatic purposes, while those found at low levels, such as IL-8 (CXCL8), are likely to be involved in inflammation or wound reparation. Multiple papers show that levels of many chemokines change in cancer patients. As cancer occurs mostly in aging people, it is important to consider the effects of co-morbid diseases on chemokine concentrations.

As demonstrated, chemokine levels vary significantly from person to person, even in young healthy people. This variability cannot be explained by the influence of the sex hormones, as we did not find differences between men and women. The same was also shown for mice. The levels of CXCL1, MIP-1 α , and β were comparable in the blood of intact C57BL/6 male and female mice [57]. However, other hormones can play a role.

Murine data show that there is a difference in the chemokine profile of BALB/c and C57BL/6 mice, as CXCL13 and CCL11 concentrations were significantly higher in C57BL/6 mice than CXCL1 in BALB/c mice. These results can possibly indicate genetic variations; however, this area needs further study.

The data on chemokine levels in healthy people are limited. Differences in chemokine concentrations in the blood plasma of humans were shown by some authors. Nowak et al. analyzed chemokines CX3CL1 and CXCL12 in the blood of patients with ovarian cancer or benign ovarian tumors [58]. CX3CL1 was detected at 5–15 pg/mL, while CXCL12 was detected at 800–1000 pg/mL, coinciding with the inducible and homeostatic chemokines, respectively. The review by Cabrero-de Las Heras S and Martínez-Balibrea E summarized chemokines in blood of colorectal cancer patients [59]. They show high levels of CXCL1, CXCL7, CXCL10, and CXCL11, and low levels of CXCL8, coinciding with our data. Among them, only CXCL10 and CXCL8 had predictive values [59]. In our work, the ratio of the maximum to the minimum concentrations was 18 for CXCL1, 10 for CCL5, and even 24 for CCL2. When analyzing the potential prognostic significance of the changes in the level of chemokines in a group of cancer patients, overdiagnosis is likely to occur if these differences are not taken into account.

The role of chemokines and chemokine receptors in murine models of cancer is not well known. Analysis of multiple chemokines in the blood of mice without or with tumors is lacking. In another approach, the artificial regulation of some chemokines can affect tumor growth. For example, Liu et al. induced enhanced macrophage accumulation in the CT26 tumor by LPS and poly (I:C), associated with an increased CCL5 production, which resulted in accelerated tumor growth [60]. In the same tumor model, Kurzejamska et al. demonstrated that exogenously injected CCL7 also increased tumor progression [61]. An increase in CCL5 and CCL17 expressions stimulated by gene electrotransfer did not affect tumor growth [62]. Of note, CCL5, CCL7, and CCL17 are group II inducible chemokines. At the same time, the hyper-expression of CXCL10, a group I homeostatic chemokine, in the intraperitoneal CT26 model prevented liver metastasis [63]. All these and other works studied the role of chemokines by inducing their elevated levels either by gene over-expression or by exogenous proteins. This approach disturbs the homeostatic balance and may be not a proper model to study the effects of chemokines on tumor growth.

Our results show that chemokine levels do not change until the very late stages of both pancreatic and colorectal tumors. Song et al. did not find any differences in CCL2 and CCL5 levels in BALB/c mice with orthotopic mammary gland transplanted mice [64]. Ray

et al. also did not register CXCL1, CCL3, and CCL4 changes in C57BL/6 mice bearing colon MC38 tumors [57]. There can be a difference in chemokine response to tumors in humans and mice. However, a huge variability in chemokine levels should be taken into account.

5. Conclusions

Chemokines are divided in two groups with high and low concentrations in the blood. It is likely that chemokines with high concentrations play homeostatic roles, while low ones are inducible. The level of both high and low chemokines vary significantly from person to person. Not only can cancer lead to a change in chemokine concentration, but also multiple co-morbid diseases often found in elderly patients. In the murine model of pancreatic and colorectal cancer, we did not find changes in chemokine levels at early stages of tumor progression. Taking into account a large number of chemokines, multiple receptors they interact with, interchangeability of multiple factors, and chemokine response to other co-morbid diseases, it is difficult to identify a single chemokine affecting tumor growth. Care should be taken when considering chemokine levels as a prognostic parameter or therapeutic target in cancer.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/cimb44100339/s1.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization: S.B.A. and E.V.S. (Elena V. Svirshchevskaya); analysis: M.V.K., S.B.A. and E.V.S. (Eugene V. Snezhkov); investigation: M.V.K., R.A.P., S.B.A. and E.V.S. (Eugene V. Snezhkov); curation: E.V.S. (Elena V. Svirshchevskaya); original draft preparation: E.V.S. (Elena V. Svirshchevskaya) and S.B.A.; review and editing: all authors; visualization: M.V.K.; funding acquisition: S.B.A. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research, project No. 20-04-00521.

Institutional Review Board Statement: The experimental protocol was approved by the Institutional Animal Commission in compliance with the PHS Guidelines for the Care and Use of Animals in Research, protocol #325 from 24 May 2021.

Informed Consent Statement: Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Abbreviations

TANs	Tumor-associated neutrophils
TAMs	Tumor-associated macrophages
TILs	Tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes
TIFs	Tumor-infiltrations fibroblasts
Eos	Eosinophils
Treg	T regulatory cells
Th1, 2, 17	T-helpers 1, 2, 17
DC	Dendritic cells
M1/M2	Type 1 and 2 of differentiated macrophages
PD1	Programmed death receptor 1
CD4, 8	Cluster of differentiation

References

- Miyake, M.; Hori, S.; Morizawa, Y.; Tatsumi, Y.; Nakai, Y.; Anai, S.; Torimoto, K.; Aoki, K.; Tanaka, N.; Shimada, K.; et al. CXCL1-Mediated Interaction of Cancer Cells with Tumor-Associated Macrophages and Cancer-Associated Fibroblasts Promotes Tumor Progression in Human Bladder Cancer. *Neoplasia* 2016, 18, 636–646. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Arpinati, L.; Kaisar-Iluz, N.; Shaul, M.E.; Groth, C.; Umansky, V.; Fridlender, Z.G. Tumor-Derived Factors Differentially Affect the Recruitment and Plasticity of Neutrophils. *Cancers* 2021, 13, 5082. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 3. Lee, C.W.; Chiang, Y.C.; Yu, P.A.; Peng, K.T.; Chi, M.C.; Lee, M.H.; Fang, M.L.; Lee, K.H.; Hsu, L.F.; Liu, J.F. A Role of CXCL1 Drives Osteosarcoma Lung Metastasis via VCAM-1 Production. *Front. Oncol.* **2021**, *11*, 735277. [CrossRef]
- Kemp, S.B.; Carpenter, E.S.; Steele, N.G.; Donahue, K.L.; Nwosu, Z.C.; Pacheco, A.; Velez-Delgado, A.; Menjivar, R.E.; Lima, F.; The, S.; et al. Apolipoprotein E Promotes Immune Suppression in Pancreatic Cancer through NF-κB-Mediated Production of CXCL1. *Cancer Res.* 2021, *81*, 4305–4318. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Tang, H.; Zhou, X.; Zhao, X.; Luo, X.; Luo, T.; Chen, Y.; Liang, W.; Jiang, E.; Liu, K.; Shao, Z.; et al. HSP90/IKK-rich small extracellular vesicles activate pro-angiogenic melanoma-associated fibroblasts via NF-κB/CXCL1 axis. *Cancer Sci.* 2022, 113, 1168–1181. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Zhang, W.; Wang, H.; Sun, M.; Deng, X.; Wu, X.; Ma, Y.; Li, M.; Shuoa, S.M.; You, Q.; Miao, L. CXCL5/CXCR2 axis in tumor microenvironment as potential diagnostic biomarker and therapeutic target. *Cancer Commun.* 2020, 40, 69–80. [CrossRef]
- Mao, Z.; Zhang, J.; Shi, Y.; Li, W.; Shi, H.; Ji, R.; Mao, F.; Qian, H.; Xu, W.; Zhang, X. CXCL5 promotes gastric cancer metastasis by inducing epithelial-mesenchymal transition and activating neutrophils. *Oncogenesis* 2020, 9, 63. [CrossRef]
- Chen, C.; Xu, Z.Q.; Zong, Y.P.; Ou, B.C.; Shen, X.H.; Feng, H.; Zheng, M.H.; Zhao, J.K.; Lu, A.G. CXCL5 induces tumor angiogenesis via enhancing the expression of FOXD1 mediated by the AKT/NF-κB pathway in colorectal cancer. *Cell Death Dis* 2019, 10, 178. [CrossRef]
- Zhao, J.; Ou, B.; Han, D.; Wang, P.; Zong, Y.; Zhu, C.; Liu, D.; Zheng, M.; Sun, J.; Feng, H.; et al. Tumor-derived CXCL5 promotes human colorectal cancer metastasis through activation of the ERK/Elk-1/Snail and AKT/GSK3β/β-catenin pathways. *Mol. Cancer* 2017, *16*, 70. [CrossRef]
- House, I.G.; Savas, P.; Lai, J.; Chen, A.X.Y.; Oliver, A.J.; Teo, Z.L.; Todd, K.L.; Henderson, M.A.; Giuffrida, L.; Petley, E.V.; et al. Macrophage-Derived CXCL9 and CXCL10 Are Required for Antitumor Immune Responses Following Immune Checkpoint Blockade. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 2020, 26, 487–504. [CrossRef]
- Tokunaga, R.; Zhang, W.; Naseem, M.; Puccini, A.; Berger, M.D.; Soni, S.; McSkane, M.; Baba, H.; Lenz, H.J. CXCL9, CXCL10, CXCL11/CXCR3 axis for immune activation—A target for novel cancer therapy. *Cancer Treat. Rev.* 2018, 63, 40–47. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Litchfield, K.; Reading, J.L.; Puttick, C.; Thakkar, K.; Abbosh, C.; Bentham, R.; Watkins, T.B.K.; Rosenthal, R.; Biswas, D.; Rowan, A.; et al. Meta-analysis of tumor- and T cell-intrinsic mechanisms of sensitization to checkpoint inhibition. *Cell* 2021, 184, 596–614.e14. [CrossRef]
- 13. Karin, N.; Razon, H. Chemokines beyond chemo-attraction: CXCL10 and its significant role in cancer and autoimmunity. *Cytokine* **2018**, *109*, 24–28. [CrossRef]
- Bergamaschi, C.; Pandit, H.; Nagy, B.A.; Stellas, D.; Jensen, S.M.; Bear, J.; Cam, M.; Valentin, A.; Fox, B.A.; Felber, B.K.; et al. Heterodimeric IL-15 delays tumor growth and promotes intratumoral CTL and dendritic cell accumulation by a cytokine network involving XCL1, IFN-γ, CXCL9 and CXCL10. *J. Immunother. Cancer* 2020, *8*, e000599. [CrossRef]
- 15. Mowat, C.; Mosley, S.R.; Namdar, A.; Schiller, D.; Baker, K. Anti-tumor immunity in mismatch repair-deficient colorectal cancers requires type I IFN-driven CCL5 and CXCL10. *J. Exp. Med.* **2021**, *218*, e20210108. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 16. Gao, S.H.; Liu, S.Z.; Wang, G.Z.; Zhou, G.B. CXCL13 in Cancer and Other Diseases: Biological Functions, Clinical Significance, and Therapeutic Opportunities. *Life* **2021**, *11*, 1282. [CrossRef]
- 17. Atri, C.; Guerfali, F.Z.; Laouini, D. Role of Human Macrophage Polarization in Inflammation during Infectious Diseases. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2018, *19*, 1801. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Sierra-Filardi, E.; Nieto, C.; Domínguez-Soto, A.; Barroso, R.; Sánchez-Mateos, P.; Puig-Kroger, A.; López-Bravo, M.; Joven, J.; Ardavín, C.; Rodríguez-Fernández, J.L.; et al. CCL2 shapes macrophage polarization by GM-CSF and M-CSF: Identification of CCL2/CCR2-dependent gene expression profile. J. Immunol. 2014, 192, 3858–3867. [CrossRef]
- Korbecki, J.; Kojder, K.; Simińska, D.; Bohatyrewicz, R.; Gutowska, I.; Chlubek, D.; Baranowska-Bosiacka, I. CC Chemokines in a Tumor: A Review of Pro-Cancer and Anti-Cancer Properties of the Ligands of Receptors CCR1, CCR2, CCR3, and CCR4. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2020, 21, 8412. [CrossRef]
- Alfaro, C.; Sanmamed, M.F.; Rodríguez-Ruiz, M.E.; Teijeira, Á.; Oñate, C.; González, Á.; Ponz, M.; Schalper, K.A.; Pérez-Gracia, J.L.; Melero, I. Interleukin-8 in cancer pathogenesis, treatment and follow-up. *Cancer Treat. Rev.* 2017, 60, 24–31. [CrossRef]
- Ketcham, J.M.; Marshall, L.A.; Talay, O. CCR4 Antagonists Inhibit T_{reg} Trafficking into the Tumor Microenvironment. ACS Med. Chem. Lett. 2018, 9, 953–955. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 22. Facciabene, A.; Peng, X.; Hagemann, I.S.; Balint, K.; Barchetti, A.; Wang, L.P.; Gimotty, P.A.; Gilks, C.B.; Lal, P.; Zhang, L.; et al. Tumour hypoxia promotes tolerance and angiogenesis via CCL28 and T(reg) cells. *Nature* **2011**, 475, 226–230. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ntanasis-Stathopoulos, I.; Fotiou, D.; Terpos, E. CCL3 Signaling in the Tumor Microenvironment. Adv. Exp. Med. Biol. 2020, 1231, 13–21. [CrossRef]
- 24. Mukaida, N.; Sasaki, S.I.; Baba, T. CCL4 Signaling in the Tumor Microenvironment. *Adv. Exp. Med. Biol.* 2020, 1231, 23–32. [CrossRef]
- 25. Aldinucci, D.; Borghese, C.; Casagrande, N. The CCL5/CCR5 Axis in Cancer Progression. Cancers 2020, 12, 1765. [CrossRef]
- Polosukhina, D.; Singh, K.; Asim, M.; Barry, D.P.; Allaman, M.M.; Hardbower, D.M.; Piazuelo, M.B.; Washington, M.K.; Gobert, A.P.; Wilson, K.T.; et al. CCL11 exacerbates colitis and inflammation-associated colon tumorigenesis. *Oncogene* 2021, 40, 6540–6546. [CrossRef]

- Hsi, E.D.; Li, H.; Nixon, A.B.; Schöder, H.; Bartlett, N.L.; LeBlanc, M.; Smith, S.; Kahl, B.S.; Leonard, J.P.; Evens, A.M.; et al. Serum levels of TARC, MDC, IL-10, and soluble CD163 in Hodgkin lymphoma: A SWOG S0816 correlative study. *Blood* 2019, 133, 1762–1765. [CrossRef]
- Zhang, A.; Xu, Y.; Xu, H.; Ren, J.; Meng, T.; Ni, Y.; Zhu, Q.; Zhang, W.B.; Pan, Y.B.; Jin, J.; et al. Lactate-induced M2 polarization of tumor-associated macrophages promotes the invasion of pituitary adenoma by secreting CCL17. *Theranostics* 2021, *11*, 3839–3852. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 29. Kadomoto, S.; Izumi, K.; Mizokami, A. The CCL20-CCR6 Axis in Cancer Progression. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 2020, 21, 5186. [CrossRef]
- 30. Liu, W.; Wang, W.; Wang, X.; Xu, C.; Zhang, N.; Di, W. Cisplatin-stimulated macrophages promote ovarian cancer migration via the CCL20-CCR6 axis. *Cancer Lett.* **2020**, 472, 59–69. [CrossRef]
- 31. Li, X.; Li, D.; Shi, Q.; Huang, X.; Ju, X. Umbilical cord blood-derived Helios-positive regulatory T cells promote angiogenesis in acute lymphoblastic leukemia in mice via CCL22 and the VEGFA-VEGFR2 pathway. *Mol. Med. Rep.* **2019**, *19*, 4195–4204. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 32. Gao, J.H.; Yu, X.H.; Tang, C.K. CXC chemokine ligand 12 (CXCL12) in atherosclerosis: An underlying therapeutic target. *Clin. Chim. Acta* 2019, 495, 538–544. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 33. Bakogiannis, C.; Sachse, M.; Stamatelopoulos, K.; Stellos, K. Platelet-derived chemokines in inflammation and atherosclerosis. *Cytokine* **2019**, *122*, 154157. [CrossRef]
- 34. Yan, Y.; Thakur, M.; van der Vorst, E.P.C.; Weber, C.; Döring, Y. Targeting the chemokine network in atherosclerosis. *Atherosclerosis* **2021**, *330*, 95–106. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 35. Barlic, J.; Murphy, P.M. Chemokine regulation of atherosclerosis. J. Leukoc. Biol. 2007, 82, 226–236. [CrossRef]
- 36. Márquez, A.B.; van der Vorst, E.P.C.; Maas, S.L. Key Chemokine Pathways in Atherosclerosis and Their Therapeutic Potential. *J. Clin. Med.* **2021**, *10*, 3825. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 37. Raman, D.; Sobolik-Delmaire, T.; Richmond, A. Chemokines in health and disease. Exp. Cell Res. 2011, 317, 575–589. [CrossRef]
- Chen, K.; Bao, Z.; Tang, P.; Gong, W.; Yoshimura, T.; Wang, J.M. Chemokines in homeostasis and diseases. *Cell. Mol. Immunol.* 2018, 15, 324–334. [CrossRef]
- Pan, X.; Kaminga, A.C.; Wen, S.W.; Liu, A. Chemokines in Prediabetes and Type 2 Diabetes: A Meta-Analysis. *Front. Immunol.* 2021, 12, 622438. [CrossRef]
- Christen, U.; Kimmel, R. Chemokines as Drivers of the Autoimmune Destruction in Type 1 Diabetes: Opportunity for Therapeutic Intervention in Consideration of an Optimal Treatment Schedule. *Front. Endocrinol.* 2020, *11*, 591083. [CrossRef]
- 41. Hao, W.; Li, M.; Pang, Y.; Du, W.; Huang, X. Increased chemokines levels in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: Correlation with quantitative computed tomography metrics. *Br. J. Radiol.* **2021**, *94*, 20201030. [CrossRef]
- 42. Brass, A.; Brenndörfer, E.D. The role of chemokines in hepatitis C virus-mediated liver disease. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2014, *15*, 4747–4779. [CrossRef]
- 43. Jasinski-Bergner, S.; Mandelboim, O.; Seliger, B. Molecular mechanisms of human herpes viruses inferring with host immune surveillance. *J. Immunother. Cancer* **2020**, *8*, e000841. [CrossRef]
- Pandya, J.M.; Lundell, A.C.; Andersson, K.; Nordström, I.; Theander, E.; Rudin, A. Blood chemokine profile in untreated early rheumatoid arthritis: CXCL10 as a disease activity marker. *Arthritis Res. Ther.* 2017, 19, 20. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 45. Grad, S.; Bow, C.; Karppinen, J.; Luk, K.D.; Cheung, K.M.; Alini, M.; Samartzis, D. Systemic blood plasma CCL5 and CXCL6: Potential biomarkers for human lumbar disc degeneration. *Eur. Cells Mater.* **2016**, *31*, 1–10. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Rotondi, M.; Coperchini, F.; Latrofa, F.; Chiovato, L. Role of Chemokines in Thyroid Cancer Microenvironment: Is CXCL8 the Main Player? *Front. Endocrinol.* 2018, 9, 314. [CrossRef]
- 47. Castle, J.C.; Loewer, M.; Boegel, S.; de Graaf, J.; Bender, C.; Tadmor, A.D.; Boisguerin, V.; Bukur, T.; Sorn, P.; Paret, C.; et al. Immunomic, genomic and transcriptomic characterization of CT26 colorectal carcinoma. *BMC Genom.* **2014**, *15*, 190. [CrossRef]
- 48. Griswold, D.P.; Corbett, T.H. A colon tumor model for anticancer agent evaluation. *Cancer* **1975**, *36* (Suppl. S6), 2441–2444. [CrossRef]
- Piersma, B.; Hayward, M.K.; Weaver, V.M. Fibrosis and cancer: A strained relationship. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta Rev. Cancer* 2020, 1873, 188356. [CrossRef]
- Peran, I.; Dakshanamurthy, S.; McCoy, M.D.; Mavropoulos, A.; Allo, B.; Sebastian, A.; Hum, N.R.; Sprague, S.C.; Martin, K.A.; Pishvaian, M.J.; et al. Cadherin 11 Promotes Immunosuppression and Extracellular Matrix Deposition to Support Growth of Pancreatic Tumors and Resistance to Gemcitabine in Mice. *Gastroenterology* 2021, 160, 1359–1372.e13. [CrossRef]
- 51. Ridiandries, A.; Tan, J.T.; Bursill, C.A. The Role of CC-Chemokines in the Regulation of Angiogenesis. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2016, 17, 1856. [CrossRef]
- 52. Kiefer, F.; Siekmann, A.F. The role of chemokines and their receptors in angiogenesis. *Cell Mol. Life Sci.* 2011, *68*, 2811–2830. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Zhou, S.L.; Zhou, Z.J.; Hu, Z.Q.; Huang, X.W.; Wang, Z.; Chen, E.B.; Fan, J.; Cao, Y.; Dai, Z.; Zhou, J. Tumor-Associated Neutrophils Recruit Macrophages and T-Regulatory Cells to Promote Progression of Hepatocellular Carcinoma and Resistance to Sorafenib. *Gastroenterology* 2016, 150, 1646–1658.e17. [CrossRef]
- Zhu, F.; Li, X.; Chen, S.; Zeng, Q.; Zhao, Y.; Luo, F. Tumor-associated macrophage or chemokine ligand CCL17 positively regulates the tumorigenesis of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Med. Oncol.* 2016, 33, 17. [CrossRef]

- 55. Li, J.; Yang, C.; Yang, J.; Zou, L. Down-regulation of CCL17 in cancer-associated fibroblasts inhibits cell migration and invasion of breast cancer through ERK1/2 pathway. *Cancer Manag. Res.* **2019**, *11*, 7439–7453. [CrossRef]
- 56. Hirata, A.; Hashimoto, H.; Shibasaki, C.; Narumi, K.; Aoki, K. Intratumoral IFN-α gene delivery reduces tumor-infiltrating regulatory T cells through the downregulation of tumor CCL17 expression. *Cancer Gene Ther.* **2019**, *26*, 334–343. [CrossRef]
- Ray, A.L.; Nofchissey, R.A.; Khan, M.A.; Reidy, M.A.; Lerner, M.R.; Wu, X.; Guo, S.; Hill, S.L.; Weygant, N.; Adams, S.F.; et al. The role of sex in the innate and adaptive immune environment of metastatic colorectal cancer. *Br. J. Cancer* 2020, 123, 624–632. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Nowak, M.; Janas, Ł.; Soja, M.; Głowacka, E.; Szyłło, K.; Misiek, M.; Klink, M. Chemokine expression in patients with ovarian cancer or benign ovarian tumors. *Arch. Med. Sci.* 2021, 18, 682–689. [CrossRef]
- 59. Cabrero-de Las Heras, S.; Martínez-Balibrea, E. CXC family of chemokines as prognostic or predictive biomarkers and possible drug targets in colorectal cancer. *World J. Gastroenterol.* **2018**, *24*, 4738–4749. [CrossRef]
- Liu, C.; Yao, Z.; Wang, J.; Zhang, W.; Yang, Y.; Zhang, Y.; Qu, X.; Zhu, Y.; Zou, J.; Peng, S.; et al. Macrophage-derived CCL5 facilitates immune escape of colorectal cancer cells via the p65/STAT3-CSN5-PD-L1 pathway. *Cell Death Differ.* 2020, 27, 1765–1781. [CrossRef]
- Kurzejamska, E.; Sacharczuk, M.; Landázuri, N.; Kovtonyuk, O.; Lazarczyk, M.; Ananthaseshan, S.; Gaciong, Z.; Religa, P. Effect of Chemokine (C-C Motif) Ligand 7 (CCL7) and Its Receptor (CCR2) Expression on Colorectal Cancer Behaviors. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2019, 20, 686. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Bozic, T.; Sersa, G.; Kranjc Brezar, S.; Cemazar, M.; Markelc, B. Gene electrotransfer of proinflammatory chemokines CCL5 and CCL17 as a novel approach of modifying cytokine expression profile in the tumor microenvironment. *Bioelectrochemistry* 2021, 140, 107795. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 63. Kikuchi, N.; Ye, J.; Hirakawa, J.; Kawashima, H. Forced Expression of CXCL10 Prevents Liver Metastasis of Colon Carcinoma Cells by the Recruitment of Natural Killer Cells. *Biol. Pharm. Bull.* **2019**, *42*, 57–65. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Song, G.; Darr, D.B.; Santos, C.M.; Ross, M.; Valdivia, A.; Jordan, J.L.; Midkiff, B.R.; Cohen, S.; Nikolaishvili-Feinberg, N.; Miller, C.R.; et al. Effects of tumor microenvironment heterogeneity on nanoparticle disposition and efficacy in breast cancer tumor models. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 2014, 20, 6083–6095. [CrossRef]