





Enhancing the Poor Flow and Tableting Problems of High Drug-Loading Formulation of Canagliflozin Using Continuous Green Granulation Process and Design-of-Experiment Approach

Bjad K. Almutairy ^{1,*}, El-Sayed Khafagy ^{1,2}, Ahmed Alalaiwe ¹, Mohammed F. Aldawsari ¹, Saad M. Alshahrani ¹, Bader B. Alsulays ¹, Abdullah S. Alshetaili ¹, Sultan M. Alshehri ^{3,4} and Mohamed H. Fayed ^{1,5,*}

- ¹ Department of Pharmaceutics, College of Pharmacy, Prince Sattam Bin Abdulaziz University, Al-kharj 11942, Saudi Arabia; e.khafagy@psau.edu.sa (E.-S.K.); a.alalaiwe@psau.edu.sa (A.A.); moh.aldawsari@psau.edu.sa (M.F.A.); sm.alshahrani@psau.edu.sa (S.M.A.); b.alsulays@psau.edu.sa (B.B.A.); a.alshetaili@psau.edu.sa (A.S.A.)
- ² Department of Pharmaceutics and Industrial Pharmacy, Faculty of Pharmacy, Suez Canal University, Ismailia 41522, Egypt
- ³ Department of Pharmaceutics, College of Pharmacy, King Saud University, Riyadh 11451, Saudi Arabia; salshehri1@ksu.edu.sa
- ⁴ College of Pharmacy, Almaarefa University, Riyadh 11597, Saudi Arabia
- ⁵ Department of Pharmaceutics and Industrial Pharmacy, Faculty of Pharmacy, Fayoum University, Fayoum 63514, Egypt
- * Correspondence: b.almutairy@psau.edu.sa (B.K.A.); m.fayed@psau.edu.sa (M.H.F.)

Received: 31 October 2020; Accepted: 13 December 2020; Published: 17 December 2020

Abstract: Maximization of drug-loading can significantly reduce the size of dosage form and consequently decrease the cost of manufacture. In this research, two challenges were addressed: poor flow and tableting problems of high-drug loading (>70%) formulation of canagliflozin (CNG), by adopting the moisture-activated dry granulation (MADG) process. In this method, heating and drying steps were omitted so, called green granulation process. A 3² full-factorial design was performed for optimization of key process variables, namely the granulation fluid level (X₁) and the wet massing time (X₂). Granulation of CNG was carried out in the presence of polyvinylpyrrolidone, and the prepared granules were compressed into tablets. Regression analysis demonstrated the significant ($p \le 0.05$) effect of X₁ and X₂ on properties of granules and corresponding tablets, with pronounced impact of X₁. Additionally, marked improvement of granules' properties and tableting of CNG were observed. Furthermore, the optimized process conditions that produced good flow properties of granules and acceptable tablets were high level of granulation fluid (3.41% *w*/*w*) and short wet massing time (1.0 min). Finally, the MADG process gives the opportunity to ameliorate the poor flow and tableting problems of CNG with lower amounts of excipients, which are important for successful development of uniform dosage unit.

Keywords: canagliflozin tablet; green granulation process; design of experiment (DoE); high drugloading

1. Introduction

In 2013, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration had approved Canagliflozin (CNG) for the management of adult patients with type-II diabetes mellitus [1]. The CNG is one of the orally acting sodium-glucose co-transporter-2 inhibitors that reduces the renal tubular reabsorption of glucose into the systemic circulation, thus decreasing plasma glucose levels in hyperglycemic patients [2]. It is possibly prescribed as a monotherapy or in combination with any of the existing antidiabetic agents, like metformin [3]. The CNG is recommended as the first add-on agent for those hyperglycemic patients for whom monotherapy of metformin is unable to efficiently control plasma glucose levels [3]. Following single oral administration of 50, 100 and 300 mg, CNG was rapidly absorbed with peak plasma concentration attained within 1–2 h. The steady-state concentrations were achieved after 4–5 days after multiple dosing. The absolute oral bioavailability of CNG was 65% with plasma protein binding of 99%. After single oral dose administration, the terminal half-life of CNG was 10.6–13.1 h, with a large volume of distribution of 119 L. The renal clearance of CNG was in the range of 1.3–1.55 mL/min for doses of 100 and 300 mg, respectively [4].

The CNG has considerable tableting challenges due to its poor flow and a high drug-loading [5]. Besides, CNG is usually utilized in combination with metformin to produce a fixed dose combination that consequently increases the tablet size and might worsen its compressibility [6]. To overcome these challenges, the wet granulation process has been used to produce drug-excipient agglomerates with adequate flow and compressibility properties [7]. However, wet granulation is a multivariate process and is complicated in terms of process control [8]. In addition, long processing times due to wetting and drying steps, loss of activity of active molecules, in-feed fluctuation through hopper and increased cost of manufacture have been found to be disadvantageous [9].

Moisture-activated dry granulation (MADG) can be explored to defeat the formulation challenges of CNG. MADG is an innovative continuous granulation technique and the entire process could be carried out in a conventional high-shear granulator; therefore, this technique is designated as a "one-pot process" [10]. Initially, all ingredients and functional additives (i.e., disintegrants and lubricants) needed for granulation and tableting are mixed in the same pot; thus, there is no need for transfer of intermediate granules to other equipment, which reduces the time of the entire process and decreases the exposure risk to highly potent drugs [11]. Additionally, MADG needs a small amount of granulation fluid (water), so that it requires neither drying nor milling. Thus, this free of heating and drying granulation process is called the green granulation method. One more merit of this technology is that there is no problem of end-point sensitivity. Therefore, the need for costly Process Analytical Technology is minimal [10].

The process of MADG can be classified into two different stages: (1) the agglomeration stage, in which drug, binder and other functional excipients are pre-mixed in a high shear granulator, followed by activation of binder with a low amount of granulation fluid (1-4% w/w) to produce granules, and (2) the moisture absorption stage, in which the moisture of the granules is absorbed by adding an absorbent material like colloidal silicon dioxide, resulting in production of dry and free-flowing granules [11,12].

Christensen et al. reported that process variables like water volume and wet massing time had a significant impact on granules' and tablets' properties prepared by a MADG process using a high shear mixer [13]. Takasaki et al. studied the impact of water activity on granules' and tablets' properties prepared using MADG techniques. They reported that the amount of water is the predominant variable for the MADG process [12]. Moravkar et al. also investigated the MADG process to develop immediate release (IR) tablets of high-dose drug formulations. They concluded that MADG is a successful technique in the development of a high-dose IR tablet with desired pharmaceutical quality attributes [10]. However, the previous studies had been carried out using 'trial and error' of the one factor at a time approach (OFAT). It is a classical technique applied to recognize critical factors affecting the critical attributes of the product. However, the OFAT approach ignores the interactions between the independent process variables, which also have a significant impact on the dependent responses [14]. The process of MADG is influenced by different process variables, so the design of experiment (DoE) as a part of the quality-by-design (QbD) approach was selected and preferred over the OFAT

approach as it provides systematic and comprehensive parameter analysis. The main advantages of DoE over OFAT are defining effective variables, estimating the effect of independent variables on the specified response(s), recognizing interactions between variables and optimization and modeling to generate the mathematical relationship between the independent variables and dependent response(s) [15,16]. The significance of DoE as an integral part of the drug product development has been reported [6,17]. Development of high-loading tablet formulation of CNG using MADG has not been reported in the literature.

Based on these premises, the aim of the current investigation was to (1) address the poor flow and tableting problems of CNG using the continuous MADG method, (2) application of the DoE approach to evaluate the effect of key process variables of MADG and their interactions on critical quality attributes (CQAs) of intermediate granules and corresponding tablets and (3) optimization of the key process variables using the desirability function to provide CNG tablets with desired attributes.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Statistical and Diagnostic Analysis of the Design

Multiple regression analysis results of the proposed models are given in Table 1. Design-expert software can generate mathematical polynomial models (i.e., linear, 2-factor interaction, quadratic and cubic) to relate the variables to the responses. For each response, p < 0.05 indicates that the terms in the model reflect the behavior of the response function. In addition, the adjusted R^2 of the selected model reasonably agreed with the corresponding predicted R^2 , with determination coefficients (R^2) more than 0.8011, confirming the convenience and accuracy of the selected models [18]. Moreover, diagnostic plots were generated for granules and tablet responses to evaluate the goodness of fit of the applied model and confirm its significance. Linear correlation plots (Figure 1) between the actual and the predicted values with higher R^2 indicate good model fit.

Response	Model	<i>p</i> -Value	R^2	Adjusted R ²	Predicted R ²
Mean granule size (d50)	Linear	< 0.0001	0.9872	0.9830	0.9700
Percent fines	Linear	< 0.0001	0.9816	0.9755	0.9621
Distribution width	Linear	< 0.0001	0.9787	0.9716	0.9480
Bulk density	* 2FI	0.0011	0.9510	0.9217	0.8011
Angle of repose	2FI	< 0.0001	0.9917	0.9868	0.9558
** SD of weight variation	Linear	0.0001	0.9531	0.9375	0.8913
Breaking force	Linear	0.0002	0.9381	0.9175	0.8353
Friability	Linear	0.0001	0.9487	0.9316	0.8565
Disintegration time	Quadratic	0.0003	0.9982	0.9953	0.9809
Drug release at 30 min	Linear	0.0003	0.9350	0.9134	0.8447

Table 1. Model summary statistics of dependent responses.

* 2FI: two factor interaction model and ** SD: standard deviation.



Figure 1. Linear correlation plot relating mean granule size, percent fines, distribution width, bulk density, angle of repose, *SD of weight variation, breaking force, friability, disintegration time and drug release at 30 min, between the predicted and the measured (actual) values. *SD: standard deviation.

2.2. Influence of Process Variables on Granules Properties

2.2.1. Mean Granules Size (d50)

As shown in Table 2, increasing the amount of granulation fluid from 1% to 4% w/w and the wet massing time from 1 to 5 min led to an increase of d₅₀ from 117.12 ± 0.25 to 379.14 ± 0.33 µm, a decline in the percent fines (<50 μ m) from 28.08% ± 0.013% to 2.01% ± 0.024% and a decrease in the distribution width (span) from 3.64 ± 0.013 to 1.26 ± 0.04 , which are evident for granules formation by the MADG process. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) (Table 3) revealed that X1 and X2 had a significant effect on granules' d_{50} (p < 0.0001 and p = 0.0002, respectively), with a pronounced impact of X₁, as indicated by its high sum of squares (45,562.02 for X₁ and 7816.37 for X₂). In addition, the d₅₀ was positively correlated with X1 and X2, as evident by the sign of their coefficient estimates (+87.14 for X_1 and +36.09 for X_2). Figure 2 shows that the d₅₀ significantly increases with increasing both X_1 and X₂. Otherwise, X₁ and X₂ had a significant (p < 0.0001 for X₁ and p = 0.0009 for X₂) impact on the percent fines, with the highest impact of X₁, as demonstrated by its higher sum of squares (611.45 for X_1 and 79.28 for X_2). Furthermore, values of coefficient of estimation indicated that X_1 had a marked effect on percent fines in a negative direction, while X2 with a low coefficient of estimation value had a low impact in the same direction (-10.10 for X₁ and -3.36 for X₂), as shown in Figure 2. This suggests that granulation with a high amount of granulation fluid and long massing time results in producing a low level of fines. Increment of the granulation fluid results in adequate wetting of the particles' surfaces and buildup of liquid bridges among the particles that improve the granules' coalescence and growth [13]. In addition, increasing the wet massing time leads to increasing the frequency of particles collision, which improves the granule growth and reduces the percent fines [19]. It was reported that granule growth had been influenced by the mechanical shear of the MADG process [20].

The distribution width (span) determines the broadness of granule size distribution and has a significant effect on granules' flow, compressibility and segregation [21]. A high value of distribution width indicates a wide size distribution of the system, and vice versa. As shown in Table 3, X₁ and X₂ were found to be statistically significant (p < 0.0001 and p = 0.0008, respectively) with respect to their effect on distribution width, with a prominent impact of X₁, as evident by its higher sum of squares value (4.05 for X₁ and 0.6534 for X₂). The distribution width was found to be negatively correlated with X₁ and X₂ according to the sign of their coefficient estimates (-0.8217 for X₁ and -0.330 for X₂). As shown in Figure 2, the distribution width was decreased as the granulation fluid and the wet massing time increased.

The generated regression equations that demonstrate the influence of X₁ and X₂ on mean granule size, percent fines and distribution width, in terms of coded variables, were expressed as follows:

Mean granule size (μ m) = 254.39 + 87.14 × X₁ + 36.09 × X₂ (1)

Percent fines (%) =
$$16.13 - 10.10 \times X_1 - 3.36 \times X_2$$
 (2)

Distribution width =
$$2.44 - 0.8217 \times X_1 - 0.330 \times X_2$$
 (3)

Runs	Mean Granule Size (d₅₀) (µm ± SD)	Percent Fines (% ± SD)	Distribution Width (span)	Bulk Density (gcm ⁻³ ± SD)	Angle of Repose (Degree ± SD)
1	117.12 ± 0.25	28.08 ± 0.013	3.64 ± 0.013	0.353 ± 0.013	32.11 ± 0.322
2	184.33 ± 0.21	26.51 ± 0.034	3.42 ± 0.023	0.365 ± 0.002	31.35 ± 0.535
3	206.11 ± 0.31	22.46 ± 0.012	2.74 ± 0.021	0.367 ± 0.025	31.13 ± 0.026
4	222.17 ± 0.26	20.61 ± 0.027	2.65 ± 0.022	0.357 ± 0.023	30.51 ± 0.411
5	246.32 ± 0.29	18.33 ± 0.037	2.41 ± 0.041	0.371 ± 0.006	29.23 ± 0.243
6	283.05 ± 0.34	12.72 ± 0.015	2.25 ± 0.036	0.376 ± 0.038	28.21 ± 0.334
7	312.45 ± 0.66	10.31 ± 0.024	1.94 ± 0.015	0.362 ± 0.009	28.54 ± 0.212
8	338.82 ± 0.34	4.16 ± 0.028	1.67 ± 0.034	0.377 ± 0.072	27.11 ± 0.722
9	379.14 ± 0.33	2.01 ± 0.024	1.26 ± 0.048	0.401 ± 0.006	26.23 ± 0.415

Table 2. Physical properties of prepared granules (mean ± SD).

Table 3. Regression analysis of dependent responses of prepared granules.

Variable	Coefficien	Sum of	Standar	F-	n Valua	95 % CI *	95 % CI	
s	t Estimate	Squares	d Error	Value	<i>p</i> -value	Low	High	
	Mean granule size (d50)							
			(lin	ear model)			
Intercept	254.39	-	3.58	-	-	245.64	263.14	
\mathbf{X}_1	87.14	455,562.0 2	4.38	395.8 3	<0.0001	76.42	97.86	
X ₂	36.09	7816.36	4.38	67.91	0.0002	25.38	46.81	
			Pe	rcent fines	6			
			(lin	ear model)			
Intercept	16.13	-	0.4897	-	-	14.93	17.33	
\mathbf{X}_1	-10.10	611.45	0.5997	283.3 3	<0.0001	-11.56	-8.63	
\mathbf{X}_2	-3.63	79.28	0.5997	36.74	0.0009	-5.10	-2.17	
Distribution width								
			(lin	ear model)			
Intercept	2.44	-	0.0436	-	-	2.34	2.55	
\mathbf{X}_1	-0.8217	4.05	0.0534	237.0 3	<0.0001	-0.9523	-0.6911	
X ₂	-0.3300	0.6534	0.0534	38.23	0.0008	-0.4606	-0.1994	
			Bu	lk density	,			
			(2	FI model)				
Intercept	0.3699	-	0.0013	-	-	0.3665	0.3733	
\mathbf{X}_{1}	0.0092	0.0005	0.0016	32.12	0.0024	0.0050	0.0133	
X ₂	0.0120	0.0009	0.0016	55.05	0.0007	0.0078	0.0162	
$X_1 X_2$	0.0062	0.0002	0.0020	9.96	0.0252	0.0012	0.0113	
			Ang	le of repo	se			
			(2	FI model)				
Intercept	29.38	-	0.0777	-	-	29.18	29.58	
\mathbf{X}_1	-2.12	26.92	0.0951	496.1 3	<0.0001	-2.36	-1.87	
X ₂	-0.9317	5.21	0.0951	95.97	0.0002	-1.18	-0.6872	
$\mathbf{X}_1 \mathbf{X}_2$	-0.3325	0.4422	0.1165	8.15	0.0356	-0.6319	-0.0331	

 X_1 and X_2 are the level of granulation level and the wet massing time, respectively. X_1X_2 is the effect of interaction. * CI: confidence interval.

2.2.2. Granules' Bulk Density

As indicated in Table 2, increasing the granulation fluid and the wet massing time resulted in increasing the granules' density from 0.353 ± 0.013 to 0.401 ± 0.006 gcm⁻³. Regression analysis (Table 3) showed that X₁ and X₂ had a significant (p = 0.0024 and p = 0.0007, respectively) impact on granules' bulk density. However, the influence of X₂ on bulk density was more pronounced than that of X₁ according to sum of squares values (0.0005 for X₁ and 0.0009 for X₂). Granulation with long wet

bulk density of the prepared granules.

The generated regression equation that demonstrates the influence of X_1 and X_2 on bulk density of granules in terms of coded variables was expressed as follows:

Bulk density
$$(gcm^{-3}) = 0.3699 + 0.0092 \times X_1 + 0.0120 \times X_2 + 0.0062 \times X_1X_2$$
 (4)



Figure 2. Contour plots showing the effect of the level of granulation fluid (X₁) and the wet massing time (X₂) on mean granule size, percent fines, distribution width, bulk density, angle of repose, SD of weight variation, breaking force, friability, disintegration time and percent release at 30 min.

2.2.3. Granules' Flow

As displayed in Table 2, the angle of repose decreased from $32.11 \pm 0.322^{\circ}$ to 26.23 ± 0.415 as the amount of granulation fluid and the wet massing time increased, demonstrating an improvement in powder flow upon granulation using the MADG method. Moravkar et al. reported that the MADG process could produce excellent flowability granules of high drug-loading formulation because of the uniform size of the prepared granules [10]. The results of ANOVA analysis (Table 3) demonstrated that X₁ and X₂ had a significant (p < 0.0001 and p = 0.0002, respectively) negative effect on the angle of repose of prepared granules, as evident by the negative sign of their coefficient estimates (-2.12 for X₁ and -0.9317 for X₂). Nevertheless, X₁ was the predominant variable, as evident by its higher sum of squares (26.92 for X₁ and 5.21 for X₂). Further, the two-way interaction between the two variables had a significant (p = 0.0356) negative impact on granules' flow. Figure 2 demonstrates the inverse correlation of tested variables on the angle of repose. As explained above, the MADG process at high amounts of granulation fluid and long massing time resulted in an increased size of granule and bulk density, and a reduced amount of fines. This led to a reduced angle of repose and improved the flow of obtained granules [23].

The generated regression equation that demonstrates the influence of X_1 and X_2 on angle of repose of granules in terms of coded variables was expressed as follows:

Angle of repose (degree) =
$$29.38 - 2.12 \times X_1 - 0.9317 \times X_2 - 0.3325 \times X_1X_2$$
 (5)

2.3. Influence of Process Variables on Tablets' Properties

2.3.1. Tablet Weight Variation

The main cause for granulation is to provide tablets with acceptable weight variation to assure drug content uniformity, which depends on powder flow [24]. For all runs, average tablet weight and its SD are presented in Table 4. With respect to United States Pharmacopeia (USP) criteria, the variation of tablet weight was acceptable for all runs and the SD was less than 1.9, demonstrating proper granules flow. However, little variation observed in the tablets' weight was due to variation in granules' bulk density, as previously discussed in Section 2.2.2. Regression analysis (Table 5) revealed that X₁ and X₂ had a significant (p < 0.0001 and p = 0.004, respectively) negative impact on the SD of tablet weight variation, as indicated from their values of coefficient estimates (-0.1633 for X₁ and -0.0733 for X₂). However, X₁ has a dominant effect due to its higher sum of squares (0.1601 for X₁ and 0.0323 for X₂). As shown in Figure 2, both variables have a negative correlation with the SD, indicating that an increase in each variable individually results in a reduction of the SD. In addition, the low SD value was observed in granules prepared at a high level of granulation fluid and long wet massing time, as shown at the higher right corner of the contour plot. This is due to the amelioration of flow of elaborated granules upon increasing the level of granulation fluid and the wet massing time, as previously discussed in Section 2.2.3.

The resulted regression equation that demonstrates the effect of X_1 and X_2 on SD of tablet weight variation in terms of coded factors was as follows:

SD of tablet weight variation =
$$1.66 - 0.1633 \times X_1 - 0.0733 \times X_2$$
 (6)

Runs	Weight (mg ± SD)	Thickness (mm ± SD)	Breaking Force (KP ± SD)	Friability (% ± SD)	Disintegrati on Time (min ± SD)	% Release after 30 min (% ± SD)
1	399.55 ± 1.86	3.31 ± 0.014	7.92 ± 0.65	1.30 ± 0.03	9.34 ± 1.75	92.32 ± 3.15
2	397.83 ± 1.80	3.33 ± 0.006	7.89 ± 0.15	1.26 ± 0.11	9.27 ± 1.21	90.53 ± 2.15
3	400.91 ± 1.79	3.36 ± 0.005	7.31 ± 0.66	1.03 ± 0.06	10.09 ± 1.22	87.21 ± 3.34
4	399.71 ± 1.78	3.35 ± 0.03	7.89 ± 0.78	0.82 ± 0.02	10.65 ± 2.67	88.66 ± 2.55
5	399.82 ± 1.61	3.34 ± 0.004	7.33 ± 0.98	0.88 ± 0.04	12.14 ± 1.43	86.01 ± 2.97
6	398.15 ± 1.60	3.36 ± 0.007	6.89 ± 0.93	0.86 ± 0.05	13.38 ± 1.54	85.02 ± 3.88
7	398.35 ± 1.59	3.33 ± 0.006	7.12 ± 0.39	0.53 ± 0.01	16.86 ± 2.59	82.32 ± 3.97
8	397.61 ± 1.48	3.32 ± 0.005	6.86 ± 0.59	0.51 ± 0.02	18.47 ± 1.54	78.35 ± 4.61
9	401.22 ± 1.40	3.33 ± 0.03	6.22 ± 0.23	0.54 ± 0.01	20.29 ± 0.89	74.21 ± 4.15

Table 4. Physical properties of prepared canagliflozin tablets (mean ± SD).

Table 5. Regression analysis of dependent responses of CNG tablets.

Variables	Coefficient	Sum of	Standard	T Value		95 % CI	95 % CI
variables	Estimate	Squares	Error	F-value	<i>p-</i> v alue	Low	High
		S	D of weight	variation			
			(linear m	odel)			
Intercept	1.66	-	0.0132	-	-	1.62	1.69
X_1	-0.1633	0.1601	0.0162	101.45	<0.0001	-0.2030	-0.1237
X2	-0.0733	0.0323	0.0162	20.45	0.0040	-0.1130	-0.0337
			Breaking	force			
			(linear m	odel)			
Intercept	7.27	-	0.0549	-	-	7.14	7.40
X_1	-0.4867	1.42	0.0673	52.34	0.0004	-0.6513	-0.3221
X2	-0.4183	1.05	0.0673	38.67	0.0008	-0.5829	-0.2537
			Friabil	ity			
			(linear m	odel)			
Intercept	0.8589	-	0.0261	-	-	0.7949	0.9228
X_1	-0.3350	0.06734	0.0320	109.57	<0.0001	-0.4133	-0.2567
X2	-0.0367	0.0081	0.0320	1.31	0.2995	-0.1150	0.0416
			Disintegrati	on time			
			(Quadratic	model)			
Intercept	11.96	-	0.2135	-	-	11.28	12.64
X_1	4.49	120.87	0.1169	1471.88	<0.0001	4.11	4.86
X2	1.15	7.96	0.1169	96.98	0.0022	0.7795	1.52
X_1X_2	0.6700	1.80	0.1432	21.88	0.0185	0.2142	1.12
X1 ²	2.00	7.97	0.2026	97.17	0.0022	1.35	2.64
X2 ²	0.1417	0.0401	0.2026	0.4891	0.5347	-0.5030	0.7863
		Pe	ercent release	e at 30 min			
			(linear m	odel)			
Intercept	84.96	-	0.5714	-	-	83.56	86.36
X_1	-5.86	206.27	0.6998	70.21	0.0002	-7.58	-4.15
X2	-2.81	47.38	0.6998	16.12	0.0070	-4.52	-1.10

 X_1 and X_2 are the granulation fluid level and the wet massing time, X_1X_2 is the effect of interaction and X_1^2 and X_2^2 are the sum of effects.

2.3.2. Tablet Breaking Force and Friability

As the tablet strength is significantly related to the drug release in the patient's body, it is essential to determine the tablet mechanical strength (i.e., breaking force and friability) [25]. As shown in Table 4, the tablet breaking force decreased from 7.92 \pm 0.65 to 6.22 \pm 0.23 KP upon the granulation fluid, and the wet massing time increased. ANOVA analysis (Table 5) revealed that X₁ and X₂ had a significant (*p* = 0.0004 and *p* = 0.0008, respectively) effect, but nearly equal on tablet breaking force, as evident from values of their sum of squares (1.42 for X₁ and 1.05 for X₂). In addition,

change in X_1 and X_2 had almost the same effect on tablet breaking force in a negative direction, as indicated by the negative sign of their coefficient estimates (-0.4867 for X_1 and -0.4183 for X_2). Figure 2 shows that granules prepared at a high level of granulation fluid and long wet massing time produce tablets with a small breaking force. As previously discussed in Section 2.2.2, granulation with a high level of granulation fluid and long wet massing time produced less porous and denser granules with a low fragmentation tendency, which resulted in low tablet breaking force, and vice versa [26]. It was reported that the densified granules prepared by the MADG method might hinder fragmentation, especially when the amount of granulating fluid (water) exceeds 3% [20]. The low compressibility of less porous and high-density granules had been previously reported [22,27].

As shown in Table 4, runs with low levels of granulation fluid (runs 1, 2 and 3) produced friable tablets (i.e., friability > 1.0%). This phenomenon is due to inappropriate wetting of powder particles and formation of high amounts of fines [28]. This finding obviously indicated the inadequacy of these granulation runs for preparing reasonable tablets. On the other hand, granulation runs with high levels of granulation fluid (runs 4–9) produced acceptable tablets with respect to the USP limit (i.e., friability < 1.0%). ANOVA analysis (Table 5) showed that only X₁ had a significant (p < 0.0001 for X₁ and p = 0.2955 for X₂) effect on friability of the tablet in a negative direction, as evident by the negative sign of coefficient estimates (–0.335 for X₁ and –0.0367 for X₂). Figure 2 demonstrates that an increase in the level of granulation fluid leads to a decrease of friability for tablets prepared by the MADG method.

The resulted regression equations that demonstrate the influence of X_1 and X_2 on tablet breaking force and friability in terms of coded factors was as follows:

Breaking force (KP) =
$$7.27 - 0.4867 \times X_1 - 0.4183 \times X_2$$
 (7)

Friability (%) =
$$0.8589 - 0.335 \times X_1 - 0.0367 \times X_2$$
 (8)

2.3.3. Tablet Disintegration

As shown in Table 4, disintegration time was found to be increased from 9.34 ± 1.75 to $20.29 \pm$ 0.89 min upon the level of granulation fluid, and the wet massing time increased. ANOVA analysis (Table 5) indicated that X₁ and X₂ had a significant (p < 0.0001 and p = 0.0022, respectively) effect on tablet disintegration time in a positive direction, as evident by the positive sign of their coefficient estimates (+4.49 for X1 and +1.15 for X2). In addition, the two-way interaction of two variables had a significant (p = 0.0189) effect in a positive direction (coefficient of estimate = +0.67). Nevertheless, X₁ had a pronounced impact on disintegration time with respect to the value of its sum of squares (120.87 for X_1 , 7.96 for X_2 and 1.8 for X_1 X_2). Figure 2 indicated that the prolonged disintegration time was associated with a combination of a high level of granulation fluid and long wet massing time, as displayed in the higher right corner of the contour plot. This finding could be attributed to the fact that granulation at high levels of granulation fluid and long wet massing time produce less porous and high-density granules, as previously discussed in Section 2.2.2. These granules retard the penetration of water inside the tablets and delay the disintegration time [28]. This result is in agreement with that previously reported by Takasaki et al. [20]. They reported that the granules prepared by MADG were denser and harder, which hinder fragmentation of the granules and penetration of water into the tablets. Further, a combination of a high amount of granulating fluid and long wet massing time reduced the amounts of fines, which are essential for tablets' disintegration [29]. Takasaki et al. reported that increasing the water amount delayed the disintegration time of tablets prepared by the MADG process [12].

The resulted regression equation that demonstrates the effect of X_1 and X_2 on tablet disintegration time in terms of coded factors was expressed as follows:

Disintegration time (min) = $11.96 + 4.49 \times X_1 + 1.15 \times X_2 + 0.67 \times X_1 X_2 + 2.0 \times X_{1^2} + 0.1417 \times X_{2^2}$ (9)

2.3.4. Tablet Dissolution

Tablet dissolution is a key factor as it controls the drug release from the tablet, and therefore its bioavailability [30]. Release profiles of CNG tablets are presented in Figure 3. According to the USP standards for immediate release tablets, all prepared tablets showed acceptable drug release (i.e., 85% release within 30 min) except runs 7, 8 and 9 which released $82.32\% \pm 3.97\%$, 78.35% $\pm 4.61\%$ and 74.21% $\pm 4.15\%$ respectively, after 30 min (Table 4 and Figure 3). Regression analysis (Table 5) revealed that X₁ and X₂ had a significant (*p* = 0.0002 and *p* = 0.007, respectively) negative effect on drug release, as evident by the negative sign of their coefficient of estimates (-5.86 for X₁ and -2.81 for X₂). However, X₁ was the most important variable, as shown by its higher sum of squares compared to X₂ (206.27 for X₁ and 47.38 for X₂). Figure 2 showed that both variables were inversely proportional with the percentage of drug release after 30 min. In addition, formulations prepared at a combination of a low level of granulation fluid and short wet massing time showed faster release than others. This could be attributed to the fact that granulation at low levels of granulation fluid and short wet massing time produce small size and low-density granules, as previously described, which rapidly eroded, disintegrated and rapidly released the drug [27].

The resulted regression equation that demonstrates the effect of X₁ and X₂ on percentage of drug release after 30 min in terms of coded factors was expressed as follows:

Drug release after 30 min (%) =
$$84.96 - 5.86 \times X_1 - 2.81 \times X_2$$
 (10)



Figure 3. Release profiles of canagliflozin tablets according to 3² full-factorial design.

2.4. Optimization of Process Variables using Desirability Function

The main purpose of the optimization step was to optimize the process variables to produce products with desired properties [25]. As shown in Table 6, numerical optimization using the desirability function was done by setting goals for each dependent response. For successful CNG tablet formulation, acceptable weight variation, mechanical strength, disintegration time and percent release with respect to USP standards are required. It was expected that the independent variables that produce CNG tablets that complied with the USP standards would be 3.41% w/w and 1.0 min

for granulation fluid and wet massing time respectively, with an overall desirability of 0.818 (Figure 4). As presented in Table 7, the observed values of breaking force (7.15 ± 1.83 KP), friability (0.71 ± 0.95 %), disintegration time (13.56 ± 0.76 min) and drug release at 30 min (87.25 ± 2.13 %) were in close agreement with the predicted values of breaking force (7.39 KP), friability (0.69%), disintegration time (14.0 min) and drug release at 30 min (84.21%). In addition, the small value of calculated relative errors (<5.0%) assured the validity of the applied design.

Table 6. The constraints adopted for optimization of process variables and determination of overall desirability.

Variables	Target	Range	Weight	Importance Coefficient
Input				
* Granulation fluid	In range	1–4%	1	-
Wet massing time	In range	1–5 min	1	-
Output				
SD of weight variation	In range	1.4-1.86	1	-
Breaking force	In range	6.22-7.92%	1	-
Friability	0.6%	0.51-1.3%	1	++++ #
Disintegration time	10 min	9.27–20.29 min	1	+++++
Percent release at 30 min	85%	74.21-92.32%	1	+++++ #

* Distilled water was used as granulation fluid, - no value and # means level of importance.

Table 7. Predicted and observed (actual) values for dependent responses of optimized run with their relative errors.

Responses	Predicted Values	Observed Values (Mean ± SD)	Relative Error (%)
SD of weight variation	1.63	1.58	3.06
Breaking force (KP)	7.39	7.15 ± 1.83	3.24
Friability (%)	0.69	0.71 ± 0.95	-2.89
Disintegration time (min)	14.00	13.56 ± 0.76	3.14
Percent release at 30 min	84.21	87.25 ± 2.13	-3.61



Figure 4. Contour plot showing the levels of optimized process variables and overall desirability.

3. Materials and Methods

3.1. Materials

Canagliflozin was kindly donated by JPI Ltd. Co. (Al-kharj, Saudi Arabia). Polyvinylpyrrolidone (Kollidon[®] 25 (BASF Co., Ludwigshafen, Germany)), microcrystalline cellulose (Avicel[®] PH-200 (DuPot Inc Co., Philadelphia, PA, USA)), colloidal silicon dioxide (Aerosil 200[®] (Evonic, Hanau-Wolfgang, Germany)), croscarmellose sodium (Vivasol[®] (JRS pharma, Rosenberg, Germany)) and magnesium stearate (Sinwon chemical Co., Siheung, Korea) were used as received.

3.2. Experimental Design

Before generation of the design, preliminary studies were performed to determine the independent variables and ranges of each variable at which proper granules and tablets were obtained. The 3^2 full-factorial design was done to explore the influence of two process variables: granulation fluid level (X₁) and wet massing time (X₂), on the critical quality attributes (CQAs) of granules and tablets using Design-Expert[®] software Version-11 (State-ease, Inc. Minneapolis, USA). Each variable was evaluated at three levels, coded as -1, 0 and +1, for low, medium and high, respectively (Table 8). The full matrix of the generated design is shown in Table 9. The run at the center point was performed in triplicate to validate the design and prevent the experimental error. The ANOVA test using Design-Expert software was applied to investigate the influence of independent variables on the studied dependent responses at the 95% level of significance. In order to suggest the significance of the selected model, the R^2 and p-value (should be <0.05) of the proposed models were compared. The general polynomial equation applied for the 3^2 factorial design is as follows:

Response variable (Y) =
$$\beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \beta_3 X_1 X_2 + \beta_4 X_1^2 + \beta_5 X_2^2$$
 (11)

where β_0 is the arithmetic mean response of all runs, and β_1 , β_2 , β_3 , β_4 and β_5 are regression coefficients of estimate of the independent variables X₁ and X₂. X₁ X₂ and X_{1²} and X_{2²} represent the interaction and quadratic effect, respectively. The relative error was determined using the following formula [31]:

Relative error (%) =
$$\left(\frac{|\text{Predicted value} - \text{Experiment value}|}{\text{Predicted value}}\right) \times 100$$
 (12)

Coded Levels	Granulation Fluid * (%)	Wet Massing Time (min)
-1	1	1
0	2.5	2
1	4	3

Table 8. The levels of independent process variables used in design of experiment.

-1: variable at low level, 0: variable at medium level, 1: variable at high level. * Water was used as granulation fluid.

Run	Granulation Fluid * (% <i>w/w</i>)	Wet Massing Time (min)
1	1	1
2	1	2
3	1	3
4	2.5	1
5	2.5	2
6	2.5	3
7	4	1
8	4	2
9	4	3

Table 9. A 3² full-factorial design of independent process variables.

* Water was used as granulation fluid.

3.3. Manufacture of Granules and Tablets

The formulation used in the current study was shown in Table 10. MADG runs (the batch size was 400 g) were performed in a high-shear mixer/granulator (BOSCH Packaging Technology, Schopfheim, Germany). The specified amount of CNG and polyvinylpyrrolidone were dry-blended in the high-shear mixer for two minutes at high speeds of impeller and chopper (300 and 2000 rpm, respectively). The produced blend was then granulated by addition of a very small amount of granulation fluid (1–4% *w/w*) using a binary spray nozzle. Distilled water was used as granulation fluid in the current study. Following water addition, the blend was wet massed for a particular wet massing time according to the experimental design. For the two min absorption stage, the chopper was stopped and absorbent materials (i.e., microcrystalline cellulose and colloidal silicon dioxide) were added. Finally, disintegrant croscarmellose sodium and pre-sieved lubricant magnesium stearate were directly added to the blend and mixed in the granulator for 2.0 min and 1.0 min respectively, at low impeller speed (200 rpm). The final blend was then compressed into 400 mg tablets at a compression force of 13 KN using a rotary tablet press (RoTap-T 2.0, Kg pharma, Berlin, Germany). The machine was adjusted to provide four tablets per run using 10 mm flat tablet tooling. The obtained tablets were collected for further investigation.

Ingredients	% w/w
Canagliflozin	75
Polyvinylpyrrolidone (K25)	10
Sodium starch glycolate	4
Colloidal silicon dioxide	1.5

Microcrystalline cellulose Magnesium stearate 8.5

1

Table 10. The quantitative composition of canagliflozin tablet formulation.

3.4. Evaluation of Prepared Granules

3.4.1. Mean Granule Size (d50)

Mean granule size of the prepared granules was measured using the dry dispersion technique of the laser diffraction particle size analyzer (Mastersizer 2000, Malvern Instruments Ltd., Worcestershire, UK). Approximately 5–6 g samples were air-dispersed at an inlet air pressure of one bar and a feed-rate of 30%. Obscuration was adjusted between 0.6% and 6%.

3.4.2. Granules' Bulk Density

Bulk density (ρ_b) was measured by carefully pouring the granules into a 50 cm³ graduated cylinder. Bulk volume (Vb) of the granules sample and corresponding mass (M) were determined. The ρ_b was determined using Equation (13) [32]:

$$Q_{\rm b} = \frac{M}{V_{\rm b}} \tag{13}$$

3.4.3. Granules Flow

The granules flow was determined using the static angle of repose procedure. A dry funnel was clamped at 2 cm (H) above a clean paper placed on a flat surface. The granules were carefully poured through the dry funnel until the apex of the cone, just reaching the tip of the funnel. The average diameters (D) of the cone base were determined and the angle of repose was determined using Equation (14) [32]:

$$\tan\left(\theta\right) = \frac{2H}{D} \tag{14}$$

3.5.1. Weight Variation

Individual weight of twenty randomly selected tablets was determined using an analytical balance (Mettler Toledo New Classic ML204/01, Ohio, OH, USA). The weight variation was assessed by considering the standard deviation (SD) of tablet weight. Results are presented as mean ± SD.

3.5.2. Breaking Force

Individual breaking force (hardness) for ten randomly selected tablets was measured using an automatic hardness tester (Erweka Multi-Check 5.1, Heusenstamm, Germany). Results are presented as mean ± SD.

3.5.3. Friability

Friability of prepared tablets was done according to the method mentioned in USP [32]. Ten randomly selected tablets were accurately weighed (W₁) using an analytical balance (Mettler Toledo New Classic ML204/01, Ohio, OH, USA) and placed in a friability tester (Toyama Sangyo TFT-1200, Osaka, Japan), rotated at 25 rpm for 4 min. The tablets were de-dusted and accurately weighed (W₂). Friability was calculated using Equation (15):

$$Friability = \frac{W1 - W2}{W1} \times 100$$
(15)

3.5.4. Tablet Disintegration

Disintegration of prepared tablets was carried out according to the method described in USP [32]. The in vitro disintegration test for six randomly selected tablets was done using a disintegration tester (Erweka, ZT4, Heusentsamn, Germany) in 800 mL of distilled water kept at 37 ± 0.5 °C. For each tablet, disintegration time was recorded in minutes when all solids passed through the screen of the disintegration apparatus. Results are presented as mean ± SD.

3.5.5. Tablet Dissolution

The drug release for six randomly selected tablets was performed using a dissolution tester (Distek 2500, Distek Inc., New Jersey, NJ, USA) following the USP paddle method [32]. The test was done in 900 mL of pH 6.8 phosphate buffer solution (with 0.75% w/v sodium lauryl sulphate) kept at 37 ± 0.5 °C with a paddle rotation speed at 75 rpm. After specified time intervals of 5, 10, 15, 20, 30 and 45 min, samples of dissolution medium were analyzed using in situ fiber optic UV testing (Distek Opt-Dis 410, Distek Inc., New Jersey, NJ, USA) at λ_{max} of 290 nm [5,33].

4. Conclusions

MADG showed good opportunities to address the problems of poor flow and tableting problems of canagliflozin, which is critical for effective development of small size tablets of high-loading drugs, and consequently, improved the patient compliance due to ease of tablet swallowing. Quantitative correlation between MADG key process variables, intermediate granules and final product tablets have been established using the design of experiment approach. In particular, regression analysis of obtained data demonstrated the significant ($p \le 0.05$) effect of tested process variables on properties of granules and corresponding tablets, with a pronounced impact of the granulation fluid level. The levels of optimized process conditions that produce good flow granules and acceptable tablets were high level of granulation fluid (3.41% w/w) and short wet massing time (1.0 min). From an industrial perspective, application of the MADG technique can significantly decrease the manufacturing cost, as obtained granules could be directly compressed into tablets without drying and milling. In summary, this study increased knowledge of the influence of key process variables of MADG on properties of granules and could serve as a backbone for further developing a mechanistic model for the MADG process.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, B.K.A. and M.H.F.; methodology, E.-S.K.; software, M.H.F.; validation, A.A., S.M.A. (Sultan M. Alshehri) and M.F.A; formal analysis, B.B.A.; investigation, A.S.A.; resources, S.M.A. (Saad M. Alshahrani); data curation, B.K.A. and M.H.F.; writing—original draft preparation, M.H.F.; writing—review and editing, B.K.A. and M.H.F.; visualization, M.H.F.; supervision, A.A.; project administration, B.K.A.; funding acquisition, B.K.A. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by the Deanship of Scientific Research, Prince Sattam Bin Abdulaziz University, grant number 2019/03/11601.

Acknowledgments: The authors gratefully acknowledge the Deanship of Scientific Research, Prince Sattam Bin Abdulaziz University, Al-kharj, Saudi Arabia (Project No. 2019/03/11601), for providing financial support.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors report no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Mosley, J.; Smith, L.; Everton, E.; Fellner, C. Sodium-glucose linked transporter 2 (SGLT2) inhibitors in the management of type-2 diabetes: A drug class overview. *Pharm. Ther.* **2015**, *40*, 451–462.
- 2. Nauck, M. Update on developments with SGLT2 inhibitors in the management of type 2 diabetes. *Drug Des. Devel. Ther.* **2014**, *8*, 1335–1380.
- 3. Lajara, R. The potential role of sodium glucose co transporter 2 inhibitors in combination therapy for type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Expert Opin. Pharm.* **2014**, *15*, 2565–2585.
- 4. Shah, P.A.; Shrivastav, P.S. Ion-pair solid phase extraction for the simultaneous separation and quantitation of metformin and canagliflozin in human plasma by LC-MS/MS. *Microchem. J.* **2018**, *143*, 181–189.
- Schaller, B.; Moroney, K.; Castro-Dominguez, B.; Cronin, B.; Belen-Girona, J.; Ruane, P.; Croker, D.; Walker, G. Systematic development of a high dosage formulation to enable direct compression of a poorly flowing API: A case study. *Int. J. Pharm.* 2019, *566*, 615–630.
- 6. Shah, P.; Shrivastav, P.; Sharma, V.; Yadav, M. Challenges in simultaneous extraction and chromatographic separation of metformin and three SGLT-2 inhibitors in human plasma using LC–MS/MS. *J. Pharm. Biomed.* **2019**, *175*, 112790.
- 7. Cai, L.; Farber, L.; Zhang, D.; Li, F.; Farabaugh, J. A new methodology for high drug loading wet granulation formulation development. *Int. J. Pharm.* **2013**, *441*, 790–800.
- 8. Suresh, P.; Sreedhar, I.; Vaidhiswaran, R.; Venugopal, A. A comprehensive review on process and engineering aspects of pharmaceutical wet granulation. *Chem. Eng. J.* **2017**, *328*, 790–800.
- 9. Pohla, S.; Kleinebudde, P. A review of regime maps for granulation. Int. J. Pharm. 2020, 587, 119660.
- 10. Moravkar, K.K.; Ali, T.M.; Pawar, J.N.; Amin, P.D. Application of moisture activated dry granulation (MADG) process to develop high dose immediate release (IR) formulations. *Adv. Powder Technol.* **2017**, *28*, 1270–1280.
- Takasaki, H.; Yonemochi, E.; Ito, M.; Wada, K.; Terada, K. The importance of binder moisture content in Metformin HCL high-dose formulations prepared by moist aqueous granulation (MAG). *Results Pharm. Sci.* 2015, *5*, 1–7.
- 12. Takasaki, H.; Yonemochi, E.; Ito, M.; Wada, K.; Terada, K. The effect of water activity on granule characteristics and tablet properties produced by moisture activated dry granulation (MADG). *Powder Technol.* **2016**, 294, 113–118.
- 13. Christensen, L.H.; Johansen, H.E.; Schaefer, T. Moisture-Activated dry Granulation in a high Shear Mixer. *Drug Dev. Ind. Pharm.* **1994**, *20*, 2195–2213.
- 14. Gajera, B.Y.; Shah, D.A.; Dave, R.H. Development of an amorphous nanosuspension by sonoprecipitation-formulation and process optimization using design of experiment methodology. *Int. J. Pharm.* **2019**, *559*, 348–359.
- 15. Mousavi, L.; Tamiji, Z.; Khoshayand, M.R. Applications and opportunities of experimental design for the dispersive liquid–liquid microextraction method A review. *Talanta* **2018**, *190*, 335–356.
- 16. Grangeia, H.B.; Silva, C.; Simões, S.P.; Reis, M.S. Quality by design in pharmaceutical manufacturing: A systematic review of current status, challenges and future perspectives. *Eur. J. Pharm. Biopharm.* **2020**, 147, 19–37.
- 17. Dejaegher, B.; Vander Heyden, Y. Experimental designs and their recent advances in set-up, data interpretation, and analytical applications. *J. Pharm. Biomed.* **2011**, *56*, 141–158.
- Alalaiwe, A.; Fayed, M.H.; Alshahrani, S.M.; Alsulays, B.B.; Alshetaili, A.S.; Tawfeek, H.M.; Khafagy, E.S. Application of design of experiment approach for investigating the effect of partially pre-gelatinized starch on critical quality attributes of rapid orally disintegrating tablets. *J. Drug Deliv. Sci. Tech.* 2019, *49*, 227–234.

- Oka, S.; Kašpar, O.; Tokárová, V.; Sowrirajan, K.; Wu, H.; Khan, M.; Muzzio, F.; Štěpánek, F.; Ramachandran, R. A quantitative study of the effect of process parameters on key granule characteristics in a high shear wet granulation process involving a two component pharmaceutical blend. *Adv. Powder Technol.* 2015, *26*, 315–322.
- Takasaki, H.; Sakurai, A.; Katayama, T.; Matsuura, Y.; Ohyagi, N.; Mizoguchi, M.; Takano, J.; Wada, K.; Matsui, K.; Nagato, T.; et al. Importance of free water in controlling granule and tablet properties in a novel granulation method, green fluidized bed granulation (GFBG). *Int. J. Pharm.* 2019, *570*, 118647.
- 21. Fayed, M.H.; Abdel-Rahman, S.I.; Alanazi, F.K.; Ahmed, M.O.; Tawfeek, H.M.; Al-Shdefat, R.I. New gentle-wing high-shear granulator: Impact of processing variables on granules and tablets characteristics of high-drug loading formulation using design of experiment approach. *Drug Dev. Ind. Pharm.* **2017**, *43*, 1584–1600.
- 22. Šantl, M.; Ilić, I.; Vrečer, F.; Baumgartner, S. A compressibility and compactibility study of real tableting mixtures: The impact of wet and dry granulation versus a direct tableting mixture. *Int. J. Pharm.* **2011**, *414*, 131–139.
- 23. Fayed, M.H.; Abdel-Rahman, S.I.; Alanazi, F.K.; Ahmed, M.O.; Tawfeek, H.M.; Ali, B.E. High shear granulation process: Assesing impact of formulation variables on granules and tablet characterestics of high drug loading formulation using design of experiment methodology. *Act. Polo. Pharm.* **2017**, *74*, 551–564.
- 24. Arndt, O.-R.; Baggio, R.; Adam, A.K.; Harting, J.; Franceschinis, E.; Kleinebudde, P. Impact of Different Dry and Wet Granulation Techniques on Granule and Tablet Properties: A Comparative Study. *J. Pharm. Sci.* **2018**, *107*, 3143–3152.
- 25. Lee, A.R.; Kwon, S.Y.; Choi, D.H.; Park, E.S. Quality by Design (QbD) approach to optimize the formulation of a bilayer combination tablet (Telmiduo[®]) manufactured via high shear wet granulation. *Int. J. Pharm.* **2017**, *534*, 144–158.
- 26. Badawy, S.I.F.; Menning, M.M.; Gorko, M.A.; Gilbert, D.L. Effect of process parameters on compressibility of granulation manufactured in a high-shear mixer. *Int. J. Pharm.* **2000**, *198*, 51–61.
- Badawy, S.I.F.; Narang, A.S.; LaMarche, K.R.; Subramanian, G.A.; Varia, S.A. Chapter 3–Mechanistic Basis for the Effects of Process Parameters on Quality Attributes in High Shear Wet Granulation. In *Handbook of Pharmaceutical Wet Granulation*; Narang, A.S., Badawy, S.I.F., Eds.; Academic Press: Cambridge, MA, USA, 2019; pp. 89–118.
- 28. Basim, P.; Haware, R.V.; Dave, R.H. Tablet capping predictions of model materials using multivariate approach. *Int. J. Pharm.* **2019**, *569*, 118548.
- 29. Vercruysse, J.; Córdoba Díaz, D.; Peeters, E.; Fonteyne, M.; Delaet, U.; Van Assche, I.; De Beer, T.; Remon, J.P.; Vervaet, C. Continuous twin screw granulation: Influence of process variables on granule and tablet quality. *Eur. J. Pharm. Biopharm.* **2012**, *82*, 205–211.
- 30. Ansari, M.A.; Stepanek, F. The effect of granule microstructure on dissolution rate. *Powder Technol.* **2008**, *181*, 104–114.
- 31. Khafagy, E.-S.; Fayed, M.H.; Alrabahi, S.H.; Gad, S.; Alshahrani, S.M.; Aldawsari, M. Defining design space for optimization of escitalopram ultra-fast melting tablet using suspension spray-coating technique: In-vitro and in-vivo evaluation. *J. Drug Deliv. Sci. Tech.* **2020**, *57*, 101631.
- 32. *United States Pharmacopeia (USP 38-NF-33);* The United States Pharmacopieial Convention: Rockville, MD, USA, 2015.
- Lotfy, H.; Mohamed, D.; Elshahed, M. Different mathematical processing of absorption, ratio and derivative spectra for quantification of mixtures containing minor component: An application to the analysis of the recently co-formulated antidiabetic drugs; canagliflozin and metformin. *Spectrochim. Acta Part A Mol. Biomol. Spectrosc.* 2018, *189*, 100–109.

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



© 2020 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).