A Second Generation Mn-Porphyrin Dimer with a Twisted Linker as a Potential Blood Pool Agent for MRI: Tuning the Geometry and Binding with Serum Albumin
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## Characterization



Figure S1. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR of $\mathbf{1}$ acquired in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ with $0.1 \%$ TMS. Residual solvent peaks are $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, grease, and water.


Figure S2. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of $m-\mathrm{P} 2$ acquired in DMSO- $d_{6}$. Residual solvent peaks are DMSO and water.

MS Spectrum


Figure S3. Positive mode ESI-MS of 1.


Figure S4. Negative mode ESI-MS of $m-\mathrm{P} 2$.


Figure S5. Negative mode ESI-MS of $m$-MnP2.


Figure S6. UV-Visible spectra of $m-\mathrm{P} 2$ and $m-\mathrm{MnP} 2$ measured in 25 mM pH 7 HEPES buffer. $\lambda_{\max }$ of $m$ $\mathrm{P} 2=422 \mathrm{~nm}, \lambda_{\text {max }}$ of $m-\mathrm{MnP} 2=468 \mathrm{~nm}$.


Figure S7. Molecular modeling of MnP2 (top) and two conformers of $m-\mathrm{MnP2}$ (middle and bottom). The distance between two distal S-atoms are labeled. (35.197, 33.249 and $22.739 \AA$, respectively).


Fig. S8. Negative mode ESI-MS of oversulfonated $m-\mathrm{P} 2$ found $m / z=296.80\left([\mathrm{M}]^{6-}\right)$, calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{88} \mathrm{H}_{49} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{21} \mathrm{~S}_{7}{ }^{6-}(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=296.69)$.

## Dissociation Constant Determination

The dissociation constant, $K_{\mathrm{d}}$, was obtained using the GraphPad Prism/OriginLab Pro 9.0 software by fitting the experimental data to the following equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{LR} \stackrel{\boldsymbol{K}_{\boldsymbol{d}}}{\leftrightarrow} \mathrm{L}+\mathrm{R}  \tag{1}\\
& \mathrm{LR}=\frac{\left(\boldsymbol{x}+\boldsymbol{L}_{\mathbf{0}}+\boldsymbol{K}_{\boldsymbol{d}}\right)-\sqrt{\left(\boldsymbol{x + L _ { 0 }}+\boldsymbol{K}_{d}\right)^{2}-\mathbf{4 x \times L _ { 0 }}}}{2}  \tag{2}\\
& \mathrm{~L}=\mathrm{L}_{0}-\mathrm{LR}  \tag{3}\\
& Y-Y_{0}=M \mathrm{LR} \times \mathrm{LR} \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

These equations are based on the assumption that the porphyrin, L, and the HSA, R, are bound to form a $1: 1 \mathrm{LR}$ complex. $\mathrm{L}_{0}$ is the total concentration of porphyrin and $\boldsymbol{x}$ denotes the total concentration of HSA in the solution. The MLR is the molar absorbance of the LR complex. $Y$ and $Y_{0}$ are the observed absorbance and the initial absorbance respectively.

