Inkjet-Printing of Nanoparticle Gold and Silver Ink on Cyclic Olefin Copolymer for DNA-Sensing Applications

1. Materials and Methods

1.1 Printing parameters



Figure S1: Waveform of the Suntronic EMD5730 (Cartridge temperature: 37 °C, Meniscus vacuum (inches H2O): 4.0, Resolution: 1016 dpi, Substrate temperature: 40 °C)

4.160μs 4.160μs 8.576μs 12.992μs 12.992μs 12.992μs 12.992μs 12.992μs 12.992μs 12.992μs 12.992μs 12.992μs 12.992μs	Jetting Waveform		
in det general Cetals in det general in d	λ	4.160us	8.576µs
ic. state Weeken is. state Weeken is. state Weeken is. state Weeken is. state Weeken is. state To I is.		1.100µ3	0.57645
tor.tetag Vacuum 12.992μs tor.tetag Vacuum 12.992μs Vacuum 12.992μs Vacuum 12.992μs Vacuum 10.992μs Vacuum Vacuum Vacuum Vacuum Vacuum Vacuum Vacuum Vacuum Vacuum Vacuum Vacuum 12.992μs			
tor. versil weekens 3.520µs Individual Segment Controls: Uversil Weekens Uversil Weeken			
ter detag Verwetern 3.520µs Maximum Jetting Frequency (Mz.): 10 = 12.92µs 12.92µs 12.92µs 12.92µs			
ke. Jeleg Viewem 12.92μs Secolet Vaveform U Vieweit 12.92μs			
ier leting Viewlenn ier leting Viewlen ier leting Viewlen			
ier. Jeding Viewelerm			
ser.eting Vevelow 12.992 μs Individual Segment Controls: Level (%): ① ـ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
ier. lefting Virvelerm ier.			
ker.etting Vitweterm 12.992 μs ker.etting Vitweterm 3.520 μs Individual Segment Controls: Image: Segment Controls: Verall Vitweterm Delete Segment Overall Vitweterm Delete Segment Voterall Vitweterm Sociale: Vitetin: 10.2.92 μs Notice: Image: Viteting Vitweterm Vitetin: 10.2.92 μs Maximum Jetting Frequency (kHz): 10.2.92 μs			
ker.Jetting Waveform ker.Jetting Waveform			
kor.Jetting Waveform 12.92 μs kor.Jetting Waveform Individual Segment Controls: Level (%): 0 = 0 = 0 Jaster 10 = 2 = 0 Add Segment Delete Segment Duration 10 = 0 Vorall Waveform Controls: Image: Controls: Duration 10 = 0 Maximum Jetting Frequency (kHz.): 10 0 = 12.922 μs 12.922 μs			
Individual Segment Controls: Level (%): Stew Rate: 100 ± 4.160 ± 4.160 Add Segment Delete Segment Overall Waveform Controls: Duration Voterall Waveform Controls: Duration Maximum Jetting Frequency (kHz.): 100 ± Maximum Jetting Frequency (kHz.): 12.992 μs	\\		
icen-leting Waveform 3.520μs Individual Segment Controls: Level (%): 0 Unarian 0 Add Segment Delete Segment Delete Segment Delete Segment Duration 100 Vorrall Waveform Controls: Duration Duration 100 Wath: 12.992 us Maximum Jetting Frequency (kHz.): 10.0 12.992 µs 12.992 µs			12.992µs
3.520µs	Non-Jetting Waveform		
Individual Signani Controls. Level (%): 0	3.520	μs — India	idual Seament Centrela:
Level (va) U I Slew Rate: 1.00 ± I Duration Delete Segment Delete Segment Overall Waveform Controls: Duration: I Duration: 1.00 ± Rescale Waveform Wrdth: 12.992 us Wath: 10.0 ± Maximum Jetting Frequency (kHz.): 10.0 ± 12.992 µs			
Stev Nais 100			
Image: Control in the image: Contro		л. Г	
Add Segment Delete Segment Overall Waveform Controls: Duration Scaler: 100 至 Width: 12.992 us Maximum Jetting Frequency (kHz.): 100 至 12.992 µs 12.992 µs			(us): 4.160 - 1
Overall Waveform Controls: Duration Scaler: Vidith: 12 992 us Maximum Jetting Frequency (kHz.): 10.0 = 12.992 µs			Add Segment Delete Segment
Duration Scaler: 1.00 Wrdth: 12.992 us Maximum Jetting Frequency (kHz.): 10.0 12.992 µs		Over	all Waveform Controls:
Width: 12.992 us Maximum Jetting Frequency (kHz.): 10.0 ± 12.992 µs			Duration 1.00 ÷
Maximum Jetting Frequency (kHz.): 10.0 12.992µs			Width: 12.992 us
12.992µs		Maxi	mum Jetting Frequency (kHz.): 10.0 🔹
12.992µs			
12.992µs			
12.992µs			
			12 99206

Figure S2: Waveform of the DryCure Au-J (Cartridge temperature: 35 °C, Meniscus vacuum (inches H2O): 5.0, Resolution: 1016 dpi, Substrate temperature: 40 °C)



Figure S3: Waveform of the XP PriElex® SU-8 (Cartridge temperature: 45 °C, Meniscus vacuum (inches H2O): 3.0, Resolution: 1016 dpi, Substrate temperature: room temperature)

1.2 Printing layouts



Figure S4: Printing layouts for the optical and electrical characterization (A) and the adhesion test (B). The lines around the squares indicate the position of the cross cuts.



Figure S5: Design of the 2D electrode array (A) and photography of the inkjet-printed result (B). The inspect (C) shows the passivation structure between working and counter electrode. The distance between the electrodes was between 200 and 250 μ m.



Figure S6: Schemes and photography of the 2.5D array. A: Scheme of the printing layout. Traces and reference electrode were printed with silver atop of gold (grey). Working and counter electrodes were printed with gold only (yellow). Areas covered by the passivation layer are colored in blue. B: 3D sketch of a single chamber featuring the important dimensions for the printing process. C: Photography of the printing result.



Figure S7: Cartridge for hybridization experiments with inkjet-printed and screen-printed 2D arrays in top-view (left) and exploded view (right). In the area of the contact pads (not covered by the cartridge), the COC substrate was milled on the backside to a final thickness of 0.7 mm in order to reduce the risk of mechanical abrasion caused by attachment/detachment cycles with the connector.

1.3 Oligonucleotide sequences

Table S1: Oligonucleotide sequences used in this work. Signal probes carried a methylene blue (MB) molecule at their 3'-end.

Description	Sequence (5' – 3')
Capture probe 1	SH-(CH ₂) ₆ -
	CACTGACCGAACTGAGCTCCTGAGGCATGG
Capture probe 2	SH-(CH ₂) ₆ -
	GGTCGTAGAGCCCATTGCGCGATGAGTGG
Signal probe 1	CCATGCCTCAGGAGCTCAGTTCGGTCAGTG-MB
Signal probe 2	CCACTCATCGCGCAATGGGCTCTACGACC-MB

2. Results



2.1 Effective surface determined in H₂SO₄

Figure S8: Dependency of A_{oxide} on the number of CV cycles in H₂SO₄ for screen-printed electrodes (A) and inkjet-printed electrodes (B). A: It takes about 100 cycles, until the A_{oxide} (which is proportional to the charge that is transferred during gold oxide reduction) reaches a plateau. B: For 3-layered (red), 6-layered (grey) and 9-layered (ocher) inkjet-printed electrodes A_{oxide} readily establishes, indicating a high purity of the Au surface. The graphs show the means and standard deviations of 8 electrodes for each kind of electrode type.



2.2 Capture probe surface coverage

Figure S9: Number of immobilized capture probes plotted versus the effective electrode area A_{oxide}. Values for the screen-printed electrodes, and the 3-, 6-, and 9-layered inkjet-printed electrodes are displayed as open circles, red rhombs, grey triangles, and ocher squares, respectively. The line shows a regression line (forced through zero) for the functionalized electrodes for better visualization of the dependency. Data points below 1×10^{12} molecules origin from the non-functionalized electrodes. Since these signals do not scale with A_{oxide}, it is assumed that it is caused by diffusing molecules from the bulk. The data for the functionalized electrodes was not corrected by this value, because the Ru(NH₃)₆Cl₃ that is enriched at the capture probes should lower the influence of diffusive or unspecific interactions with the electrode surface due to electrostatic repulsion.



2.3 CV hybridization signal of screen-printed and inkjet-printed electrodes

Figure S10: Exemplary CV signal of functionalized 3-layer inkjet-printed electrode (red) and screen-printed (grey) after 60 min of hybridization. The difference in peak potential can be explained by the different composition of the reference electrode.



2.3 Hybridization signal of 2.5D-arrays

Figure S11: Hybridized signal probes on 2.5D arrays after 60 min of hybridization. Hybridization mixes contained either signal probe 1 or signal probe 2, while each hybridization chamber featured two electrodes functionalized with capture probe 1, two electrodes with capture probe 2 and two electrodes blocked with MCH. Hybridization signals were only detectable at the electrodes functionalized with complementary capture probes. The number of hybridized probes was calculated from the CV reduction peaks of methylene blue as mentioned in the paper. 4 chambers (2 for each kind of hybridization mix) were analyzed. Each bar shows the mean and standard deviation of 4 electrodes.

2.4 SWV signals of 2D-arrays



Figure S12: SWV signals of the hybridized MB labelled signal probe. (A) Exemplary SWV curves (250 Hz) after 60 min of hybridization for 3- (red), 6- (grey), and 9-layered (ocher) inkjet-printed electrodes. Among the non-functionalized (dotted lines) and functionalized electrodes (solid lines), only the latter show a hybridization signal. (B) Frequency dependency of the SWV signals for electrodes of different layers. (C) SWV peak currents (250 Hz) for functionalized electrodes in dependence of time. (B) and (C) show the mean and standard deviation of 6 electrodes for each kind of electrode type.

The performance of the different electrode layers depended on the SWV frequency (Figure S12). At 150 Hz, the peak current measured for hybridization at 9-layered electrodes exceeds those of 6- and 3-layered. However, the peak-currents measured in dependency of frequency reach a plateau for 9 layer electrodes at about 200 Hz, and for 6-layer electrodes at about 250 Hz. The 6-layered electrodes outperform those of 3- and 9-layers in the range of 200 Hz to 400 Hz, while the peak currents of 3-layered electrodes steadily increase with frequency and equal those of 6-layered electrodes at 400 Hz - the maximum SWV frequency that can be applied with the Gamry potentiostat. A limiting behavior was also described for other porous structures and attributed to the reduced accessibility of ions [1]. Taking the CV results into account, hybridization reactions towards the lower layers of the pores appear unlikely and thus ion depletion seems to be no satisfying explanation in our case. We assume that the differences in SWV signal instead are related to the different time constants, caused by the capacities of the electric double layer that is naturally higher for larger effective surfaces as

indicated by Figure S12A or also Figure 6A. Besides this issue that requires further analysis, the SWV signals are well detectable and especially helpful to monitor hybridization over time.

3. References

1. Daggumati, P.; Matharu, Z.; Seker, E. Effect of Nanoporous Gold Thin Film Morphology on Electrochemical DNA Sensing. *Anal. Chem.* **2015**, *87*, 8149–8156.