

Article

Stand-Off Biodetection with Free-Space Coupled Asymmetric Microsphere Cavities

Zachary Ballard *, Martin D. Baaske and Frank Vollmer *

Max Planck Institute for the Science of Light, Laboratory of Nanophotonics & Biosensing,
Guenther-Scharowsky-Str. 1/Bldg. 24, Erlangen D-91058, Germany;
E-Mail: martin.baaske@mpl.mpg.de

* Authors to whom correspondence should be addressed; E-Mails: zachscottballard@gmail.com (Z.B.); frank.vollmer@mpl.mpg.de (F.V.); Tel.: +49-9131-6877-480 (F.V.).

Academic Editor: Frances Ligler

Received: 21 November 2014 / Accepted: 10 April 2015 / Published: 16 April 2015

Abstract: Asymmetric microsphere resonant cavities (ARCs) allow for free-space coupling to high quality (Q) whispering gallery modes (WGMs) while exhibiting highly directional light emission, enabling WGM resonance measurements in the far-field. These remarkable characteristics make “stand-off” biodetection in which no coupling device is required in near-field contact with the resonator possible. Here we show asymmetric microsphere resonators fabricated from optical fibers which support dynamical tunneling to excite high-Q WGMs, and demonstrate free-space coupling to modes in an aqueous environment. We characterize the directional emission by fluorescence imaging, demonstrate coupled mode effects due to free space coupling by dynamical tunneling, and detect adsorption kinetics of a protein in aqueous solution. Based on our approach, new, more robust WGM biodetection schemes involving microfluidics and *in-vivo* measurements can be designed.

Keywords: Whispering Gallery Mode (WGM) biosensors; free-space coupling; asymmetric resonant cavities; biosensing; label-free detection; protein adsorption

1. Introduction

Optical microresonators have been demonstrated as powerful biodetection tools, recently even reaching single nanoparticle and single virus detection as well as monitoring specific interaction kinetics

of single DNA oligonucleotides [1–6]. Efficient excitation of whispering gallery modes (WGM) in these demonstrations has been achieved by means of evanescent coupling utilizing, for example, tapered optical fibers and prisms [3,7–9]. Through positioning the microsphere resonators within the evanescent field, coupling efficiencies exceeding 99% have been observed with fiber tapers, up to 78% with prisms, and up to 28% with angle polished fibers [10–12]. However, despite excellent coupling efficiencies, biodetection measurements are particularly limited by the stability and incorporation of bulky and mechanically unstable fiber couplers [5,13–15]. Furthermore, fouling of the evanescent fiber couplers by the binding of particles and molecules to the taper adds unspecific background signals and degrades transmission through the taper [16]. This limits sensitivity and prolonged use of fiber coupled WGM biosensors. Although prism coupling has mitigated many of these challenges [3], it is necessary to explore entirely new coupling methods that do not rely on evanescent couplers, to optimize the design and effectiveness of future biodetection platforms [17,18].

One such method, free space coupling, relies on mode matching of a focused Gaussian beam for efficient excitation of high-Q WGMs in asymmetric cavities through a process called “chaos assisted dynamical tunneling” [19–22]. The creation of favorable coupling points along the perimeters of the resonator requires a break in its symmetry. Due to time-reverse symmetry, these coupling points also act as directional emission points, therefore enabling measurements of high-Q optical modes in the far field [23,24]. Also, the directional emission of asymmetric microcavity lasers originates from these points. [24–26]. Directional emission from ARCs makes “stand-off” biodetection a possibility, where the necessary optics can be placed far away from the microresonator and measurements can be made in the far field. This scheme allows for a greater simplified incorporation into micro-fluidics and may enable novel in-vivo measurements. While free space coupling can also be achieved through the incorporation of engraved gratings, or through the placement of nano-scatterers along the resonator boundary, here we focus on the free space coupling mechanisms inherent in ARCs due to their ease of fabrication and simplified integration into any possible analyte solution for the purposes of biosensing [27,28].

The physics of free space coupling and directional emission has been previously studied, and high-Q free-space coupled resonators in air have been successfully demonstrated in several reports [22,29–31]. However, there has been little investigation into demonstrating free-space coupling in environments other than air, where achieving free space coupling in water is a particularly important goal for realizing biodetection with ARCs. Additionally, while reported coupling efficiencies for free-space coupled resonators have remained <10%, modes excited via free space have nonetheless been adequate for lasing and measurements with decent SNR, implying adequate coupling strength for the purposes of biodetection [23,27,28].

Here we demonstrate free space excitation of WGMs in ARCs immersed in water, which we use for label-free detection of protein adsorption. A tunable external cavity laser was used to excite the microcavities at ~405 nm wavelength to minimize the absorption of the light by water, allowing for efficient WGM excitation as well as far field detection of the light emitted from the cavity. To characterize the variations in directional emission of the fabricated ARCs in water, we devise a fluorescent imaging method to directly and comprehensively visualize ARC emission patterns. Placing a photodetector in the far field emission pattern, we then demonstrate stand-off WGM biodetection by monitoring adsorption kinetics of bovine serum albumin (BSA) onto a microsphere ARC resonator.

2. Directional Emission

2.1. The Ray Model for ARCs

Directional emission from ARCs enables measurements of optical modes in the far field. Directional emission has been studied extensively with various resonators geometries, including ellipse, half-circle-half-quadrupole (HCHQ), and even an egg shape resonator [18,22,29,30]. The boundary shape and degree of deformation have significant impact on the coupling and emission points as well as on the coupling efficiency to optical modes.

The mechanism for directional emission from a microcavity can be understood through a 2D chaotic billiard model. An ensemble of rays seeded into a microcavity with a given boundary and followed for a large number of internal reflections can be plotted in phase space, where each point identifies a reflection at the cavity boundary. The resulting map is known as a Poincare Surface of Section (PSOS) [21,32,33]. These plots are the stroboscopic view of a chaotic billiard system, where $\sin\chi$, the sine of the incident angle of any given reflected ray is plotted versus Φ , the polar angle at which the ray is reflected at the cavity boundary. These ray tracing simulations, have been studied extensively in the literature, and the directional emission behavior suggested by the theory has been measured experimentally in quadrupole and half-quadrupole-half-circular (HQHC) shaped boundaries [21,23,32,34,35]. By iteratively following the points in PSOS plots, indicating the successive reflection of rays, it can be shown that chaotic ray trajectories evolve in phase space along well defined paths called “unstable manifolds” [32,33,36,37]. Each point along the unstable manifold is defined by the coordinates $(\Phi, \sin\chi)$, and can represent the point of refractive escape if $\sin\chi$ equals $\sin\chi_c$, the critical angle for internal reflection in a specific ARC. In other words, the unstable manifolds map the polar coordinates of refractive escape for a complete range of $\sin\chi_c$ values. Ray diffusion along the path of unstable manifolds with resulting tangential emission is a good approximation for ARCs with small deformation. With small variation in $\sin\chi$ for successive reflections, rays escape the cavity at angles very close to the critical angle and thus, as a result of Snell’s law, escape nearly tangent to the boundary [32].

We examine the angles of refractive escape from 2D disk-shaped microcavities where the boundaries are defined by the quadrupole polar equation:

$$R(\phi) = \frac{R_0(1 + \varepsilon \cos(m\phi))}{\sqrt{1 + \varepsilon^2/2}} \quad (1)$$

where ε is the degree of deformity $\varepsilon = (R_a - R_b)/(R_a + R_b)$, R_a and R_b are the length of major and minor axis respectively. R_0 is the non-deformed radius, and m is the number of poles in the boundary [31,38]. For the ray-tracing simulation in Figure 1, $\sin\chi_c$ was set as 0.68, to simulate a fused silica resonator, *i.e.*, SMF-28 glass of index $n \sim 1.47$ in air. R_0 is arbitrary to the directional emission patterns and thus defined as 1, and ε was defined as 0.1, 0.05, and 0.025 for the 2-pole, 3-pole, and 4-pole ARCs respectively. As shown in Figure 1, using this equation, the number of poles directly impacts the number of distinct emission points, and for these conditions, the unstable manifolds intersect $\sin\chi_c$ at polar angles (Φ_{emission}) corresponding to the points of highest curvature along the resonator boundary. Thus rays exclusively escape from these points of highest curvature, *i.e.*, where the poles exist.




Figure 1. (a–c) PSOS plots for two, three, and four-pole boundary shapes where the color of each point corresponds to the initial $\sin\chi_0$ value of the injected ray. For this simulation 250 rays were seeded into the 2-D boundaries at $\pi/2$ with an initial linear angular spread from 45° to 90° and followed for 3000 reflections. The dotted lines at $\sin\chi = 0.68$ and $\sin\chi = 0.91$ indicate the $\sin\chi_c$ for a SMF-28 ($n \sim 1.47$) resonator in air and water respectively; (d–f) histogram plots of the polar angle at which rays escape refractively from the cavity in air (g–i) the corresponding ‘m-pole’ boundary shape with the exiting rays from the chaotic billiard simulation.

However, if the resonator is placed in a higher index environment such as water ($n \sim 1.34$), the $\sin\chi_c$ value increases, which causes changes to the directional emission strength. This is due to the fact that fewer of the rays seeded at random $\sin\chi$ values (and fixed coupling angle $\phi = \pi/2$) at the onset of our PSOS calculation are trapped by total internal reflection and guided via chaotic trajectories to particular emission points. In other words, an ARC with a low $\sin\chi_c$ value due to immersion in a lower index environment enables a greater number of randomly seeded rays to propagate via total internal reflection in the ray-tracing simulations. As illustrated in Figure 1, the critical angle cut-off for SMF-28 in air ($\sin\chi_c \sim 0.68$) enables a much larger region of the chaotic sea to exist within the resonator as opposed to the critical angle cut-off for SMF-28 in water ($\sin\chi_c \sim 0.91$). For SMF-28 in air, a larger fraction of randomly seeded rays thus participate in the “chaotic sea” and are guided via the unstable manifolds to their directional emission points. As observed from our simulations, this results in stronger directional

emission patterns when comparing the ARC in low index environments versus the ARC in higher index environments, and suggests more efficient free space coupling when comparing the former to the latter.

By further analyzing the results of the ray-tracing simulation, the number of rays which are trapped by total internal reflection and guided to directional emission points can be determined as a function of $\sin\chi_c$, Figure 2.




Figure 2. Further analysis of the ray-tracing simulation. (a–d) shows the polar escape angles (ϕ_{emission}) of the rays as a function of the critical angle parameter ($\sin\chi_c$). The gray value indicates the number density of the rays guided via chaotic trajectories to directional emission points; (e–h) shows the total number of rays escaping at directional emission points for a certain $\sin\chi_c$ in the ray-tracing simulation of 250 randomly seeded rays (*i.e.*, the integration of (a–d) along ϕ). The dotted red lines indicate the critical angles for SF6 glass in water ($\sin\chi_c \sim 0.72$) and SMF-28 glass ($\sin\chi_c \sim 0.91$) in water.

Therefore, the ray model seems to suggest that in order to maintain strong directional emission and thus, in reverse, efficient free-space coupling in higher index environments, the ARC resonator refractive index should be raised in order to decrease the $\sin\chi_c$ value. In our simulations, this allows for more rays to be trapped in the chaotic sea by total internal reflection and guided to emission points. Specifically, for biodetection measurements, the SMF-28 silica resonators ($n \sim 1.47$) in water seems unfavorable, because the high $\sin\chi_c$ value supports only a small fraction of seeded rays to be guided via total internal reflection to the directional emission points. Thus a higher index glass such as SF6 ($n \sim 1.86$) should be more suitable for practically achieving more efficient free-space coupling in aqueous environment. Furthermore, SF6 can provide cavities with a material limited Q factor of $\sim 1.3 \times 10^7$, and a laser source exhibiting minimal absorption losses in aqueous environment when operating at ~ 405 nm nominal

wavelength, close to the absorption minimum of water, can be used to maintain high Q factors even after immersion in water.

2.2. Visualizing Directional Emission Patterns from ARCs

To test the trends predicted by our simulations, microspheres were fabricated by melting standard SMF-28 fibers ($n \sim 1.47$) as well as SF6 fibers ($n \sim 1.86$) into spherical shapes with diameters of 30–80 μm . Then, slight deformations were introduced to the spheres by a series of 10–20 ms pulses from a focused 30 W CO_2 laser operating at 20% of its duty cycle [23]. Typically, to induce an effective deformation, resonators were pulsed two to three times on two opposite sides. Deformation parameters were then resolved with a 10 $\times$ imaging system, and analyzed with Image J. For this specific study, ARCs were fabricated with a deformation parameter of $\varepsilon < 2\%$. However, because the deformation is small ($\varepsilon < 2\%$), accurately defining a function describing the boundary shape of the ARC is very difficult with optical imaging alone. We therefore implement an approach based on fluorescence imaging to directly visualize the emitted light pattern of the ARC, identify the number of poles, and thus assign a theoretical boundary shape that can predict the observed emission properties.




Figure 3. (a) Experimental set-up for visualizing directional emission of ARCs in aqueous solution: 1. Imaging system 2. Photodetector for measuring modes in the far field 3. Spatial filter for isolating far field emission pattern. 4. Rotation stage, with ARC and chamber with GFP in PBS buffer 5. The 10 $\times$ objective is used for focusing the coupling beam 6. Quarter-wave plate for polarization control 7. Fiber collimator (b) Polar histogram of refractive escape for 6-pole boundary ARC from (c) simulation of refractive escape of rays injected into the ray-tracing simulation ($\varepsilon = 0.01$) (d) real color image of directional emission by GFP fluorescence imaging of 63 μm diameter ARC fabricated from SF6 fiber.

For establishing fluorescence imaging of the emission pattern, ARCs were submerged in chambers of 10 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ Green Fluorescent Protein (GFP) (Active *A. victoria* GFP full length Protein ab84191) in a PBS buffer (pH 7.0). The beam waist of the 405 nm laser was brought just to the outside of the resonator boundary, and the resonator was rotated to the optimal coupling spot along the circumference. An imaging system directly above the resonator was then able to capture the ~ 509 nm fluorescent emission

from the GFP, thereby spatially resolving the directional emission pattern as well as the incoming beam (Figure 3).

We tested our hypothesis of maintaining directional emission in higher index environments through the use of higher index resonators made from SF6 fiber as compared to those made from SMF-28 fiber. Once free space mode coupling to our SF6 resonators was confirmed in air, see also next Section 3, the GFP solution was injected into the chamber and the fluorescence signal was imaged. Figure 3d is, to the best of our knowledge, the first direct, comprehensive experimental visualization of directional emission due to the chaotic nature of ray-dynamics. The observed emission pattern can be recreated with the ray-tracing simulation by implementing a boundary with six poles and deformation parameter (ϵ) of 0.01. For all the SF6 resonators fabricated, emission patterns with six or seven poles were observed by imaging. Consistent with the trends for coupling efficiency predicted by our PSOS plots, we only observe excitation of higher Q resonances (WGMs) with SF-6 ARCs in water, as we will show in the following, and report no observation of microcavity resonances of significant Q factors for free space coupling to SMF-28 ARCs after their immersion in an aqueous environment. This positive result for SF6 agrees with the hypothesis made in Section 2.1, but the high number of poles in the boundary is surprising. Most literature up to date, which has not yet directly imaged the emission pattern, has only measured ARCs with either two or four emission directions in the far field theoretically defined by quadrupole or half-quadrupole-half-circle boundaries [21,35,39,40]. However, the observed 6–7 pole emission was repeatable and can be explained due to a resonator boundary with a six pole shape, created through the CO₂ laser pulse technique, where each laser pulse creates a unique dent in the boundary responsible for a certain number of distinct poles [23]. Next, we placed our photodetector in the imaged far field emission patterns (in pure water) to measure modes in the far field.

3. Measuring Modes in the Far-Field

The ray-optics model can be used to explain the directional emission of an ARC, however, it forbids propagating rays to jump between regions bound by the Kolmogorov-Arnold-Moser (KAM) invariant curves [21,25,32,33]. These KAM curves separate regions of phase space, classically forbidding chaotic trajectories of rays to diffuse into other, higher regions of phase space where more WGM-like ray trajectories occur. These separated regions are illustrated by the regions of distinct color in the PSOS plots in Figure 1. Therefore the lower Q-factors of modes which may be emitted refractively from the chaotic (lower) region of KAM curves are fundamentally limited by the mean classical lifetime of the photons. However, despite these KAM curves, high-Q modes, such as WGMs, can still be measured in the far field of ARCs. Free-space excitation of high Q WGMs in ARCs is possible due to “chaos assisted dynamical tunneling,” and is evidenced from the observation of coupled mode effects [19–22,31,39], which we explain in the following and which are supported by our experimental data.

In free-space coupling, no coupler exists in the evanescent field, therefore high-Q optical modes are not able to tunnel out of the resonator via a fiber or prism. They therefore rely on chaos-assisted dynamical tunneling as their primary escape mechanism. This phenomenon thus can be explained as the interference between two separate coupling pathways. The first pathway occurs when modes are excited directly in the chaotic region of phase space through light which has refracted into the resonator from the focused beam. The second pathway occurs when high-Q modes, excited via chaos-assisted tunneling,

couple back into the chaotic region of phase space. When the chaos-assisted dynamical tunneling occurs between the regions separated by the KAM curves, a phase shift occurs, and this results in far field emission spectra with interfering high Q and low Q modes [21,41]. This interference has been shown to exhibit different lineshapes such as Fano-resonances- and Electromagnetically Induced Transparency (EIT)-like line shapes known as chaos-induced transparency [20–22,31,32,41].

Resonances with $Q > 10^7$ embedded in lower $Q \sim 10^5$ resonances were routinely measured for microsphere resonators in air fabricated for this study, Figure 4. Furthermore, the observed Fano- and EIT- like lineshapes exhibit the coupled mode behavior that is expected for chaos assisted tunneling between high and low Q modes.




Figure 4. Swept wavelength spectrum obtained for a 60 μm diameter SMF-28 ARC in air. (a–c) shows lineshapes of high-Q-modes embedded in the low-Q modes appearing as peaks in the full transmission spectrum of the far-field ARC emission depicted in (d).

Excitation of modes exhibiting Q factors of only $\sim 10^4$ – 10^5 were observed after immersing the SF6 ARC resonators in aqueous environments. On the other hand, no such modes were observed for SMF-28 ARCs after immersion in water, following the trends predicted by our ray-tracing simulations. The absence of much higher Q modes for SF6 in water and no appearance of spectral features after water immersion that could indicate mode coupling effects may be due to weaker directional emission from the slightly higher critical angle of SF6 in water ($\sin\chi_c = 0.72$) as compared to SMF-28 in air ($\sin\chi_c = 0.68$). Water absorption could also play a role in lowering the coupling rate in and out of the resonator. Nevertheless, the lower Q modes observed for SF6 in water had a strong enough signal to noise ratio to demonstrate a simple biodetection measurement which we report next.

4. Biosensing with Free-Space Coupled ARC

SF6 ARC resonators were fabricated, aminosilianized [42], then submerged in PBS buffer. While the ARCs were in the buffer, the emission was spatially filtered and modes were detected by a photodetector placed in the far field. Then bovine serum albumin (BSA) protein was injected into the chamber, away from the resonator, resulting in an equilibrium concentration of 0.5 μM . Spectra were obtained at a rate

of 11.9 Hz by swept wavelength scanning during the protein adsorption process, and a parabolic fit was used to track the optical mode ($Q \sim 10^5$) and extract the shift of the resonance wavelength [43].




Figure 5. BSA adsorption curve measured in the far-field of a free-space coupled ARC fabricated from SF6 glass with a diameter of 68 μm .

Figure 5 demonstrates biodetection with a free-space coupled microsphere ARC resonator. The resonance shift $\delta\lambda$ and excess polarizability α_{ex} of the BSA protein can be used to estimate σ_s , the surface density of adsorbed BSA protein [38]. The transient negative wavelength shift indicates injection of the protein solution. At equilibrium, an overall positive wavelength shift of $\delta\lambda \sim 3.7$ pm was observed after ~ 400 s of incubation time, which follows the equation:

$$\frac{\delta\lambda}{\lambda} \approx \frac{\alpha_{\text{ex}} \sigma_s}{\epsilon_0 (n_1^2 - n_2^2) R} \quad (2)$$

where $\alpha_{\text{ex}}(\text{BSA}) \sim 4\pi\epsilon_0 \times 4.322 \times 10^{-21} \text{ cm}^3$, ϵ_0 is the permittivity of free-space, n_1 and n_2 are the refractive indices of the sphere and the buffer solution, respectively, R is the nominal ARC microsphere radius (34 μm), and λ the nominal wavelength of the laser (~ 405 nm). From Equation (2), we estimate the surface density for the ~ 3.7 pm resonance wavelength shift measured after protein adsorption at $\sigma_s \sim 9.52 \times 10^{11} \text{ cm}^{-2}$. This corresponds to about 35% of the surface density expected for a monolayer of BSA [40] and thus our results indicate the formation of an incomplete but single layer.

5. Conclusions

Free-space coupled ARC WGM biodetectors can provide an advantage over evanescently coupled prism or fiber coupled resonators due to their un-tethered setup. Therefore work into understanding the limitations and mechanics of this new coupling scheme is needed for realizing innovation and more sensitive biodetection platforms.

This study has detailed a novel visualization procedure for studying directional emission of ARCs immersed in aqueous environments, and has also demonstrated the first example of a free-space coupled biodetection measurement, proving the possibility for realizing a sensitive, practical ARC stand-off biodetection platform. By better understanding the mechanics of free-space coupling and directional emission of ARCs in higher index environments, stand-off biosensing platforms can be optimized and utilized in unique detection schemes, perhaps in-vivo, where evanescent coupling set-ups with prisms or

fibers are not feasible. Furthermore the fano and EIT-like resonances in free-space coupled resonator spectrum could be used to enable increased sensitivity and improved signal to noise in biodetection measurements by utilizing multi-spectral analysis [44,45].

Furthermore, through the engineering and simulation of boundary shapes, specific directional emission patterns can be realized as a customization for stand-off detection, allowing flexibility based on the proposed application. During the ARC fabrication procedure, the number and placement of laser pulses could be used to induce local deformation and thus create ARCs with specific m-pole emission patterns. For example, if ARCs were engineered to emit strongly in the backwards direction, then the focusing objective could also be used to efficiently capture and measure the optical modes, thus acting as a simple bio-sensing platform design. Also, for multiplexed detection, resonators with several emission points could be incorporated so that optical modes were sent to an array of detectors in the far field.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Jon Swaim and Linbo Shao for their help, guidance, and assistance with experiments. Frank Vollmer acknowledges financial support from the Max Planck Society.

Author Contributions

Experiments, fabrication, and simulations were completed by Zachary Ballard. Martin D. Baaske designed the experimental set-up hardware and software, and Frank Vollmer planned and oversaw the project.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Li, B.-B.; Clements, W.R.; Yu, X.-C.; Shi, K.; Gong, Q.; Xiao, Y.-F. Single nanoparticle detection using split-mode microcavity Raman lasers. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2014**, *111*, 14657–14662.
2. Özdemir, Ş.K.; Zhu, J.; Yang, X.; Peng, B.; Yilmaz, H.; He, L.; Monifi, F.; Huang, S.H.; Long, G.L.; Yang, L. Highly sensitive detection of nanoparticles with a self-referenced and self-heterodyned whispering-gallery Raman microlaser. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2014**, *111*, E3836–E3844.
3. Baaske, M.D.; Foreman, M.R.; Vollmer, F. Single-molecule nucleic acid interactions monitored on a label-free microcavity biosensor platform. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **2014**, *9*, 933–939.
4. Vollmer, F.; Arnold, S.; Keng, D. Single virus detection from the reactive shift of a whispering-gallery mode. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2008**, *105*, 20701–20704.
5. Zhu, J.; Ozdemir, S.K.; Xiao, Y.-F.; Li, L.; He, L.; Chen, D.-R.; Yang, L. On-chip single nanoparticle detection and sizing by mode splitting in an ultrahigh-Q microresonator. *Nat. Photonics* **2010**, *4*, 46–49.

6. Shao, L.; Jiang, X.-F.; Yu, X.-C.; Li, B.-B.; Clements, W.R.; Vollmer, F.; Wang, W.; Xiao, Y.-F.; Gong, Q. Detection of Single Nanoparticles and Lentiviruses Using Microcavity Resonance Broadening. *Adv. Mater.* **2013**, *25*, 5616–5620.
7. Gorodetsky, M.L.; Savchenkov, A.A.; Ilchenko, V.S. Ultimate Q of optical microsphere resonators. *Opt. Lett.* **1996**, *21*, 453–455.
8. Knight, J.C.; Cheung, G.; Jacques, F.; Birks, T. A. Phase-matched excitation of whispering-gallery-mode resonances by a fiber taper. *Opt. Lett.* **1997**, *22*, 1129–1131.
9. Collot, L.; Lefèvre-Seguin, V.; Brune, M.; Raimond, J.M.; Haroche, S. Very High-Q Whispering-Gallery Mode Resonances Observed on Fused Silica Microspheres. *Europhys. Lett.* **2007**, *23*, 327.
10. Spillane, S.M.; Kippenberg, T.J.; Painter, O.J.; Vahala, K.J. Ideality in a Fiber-Taper-Coupled Microresonator System for Application to Cavity Quantum Electrodynamics. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2003**, *91*, 043902.
11. Gorodetsky, M.L.; Ilchenko, V.S. Optical microsphere resonators: Optimal coupling to high-Q whispering-gallery modes. *J. Opt. Soc. Am. B* **1999**, *16*, 147–154.
12. Ilchenko, V.S.; Yao, X.S.; Maleki, L. Pigtailed high-Q microsphere cavity: A simple fiber coupler for optical whispering-gallery modes. *Opt. Lett.* **1999**, *24*, 723–725.
13. Baaske, M.; Vollmer, F. Optical Resonator Biosensors: Molecular Diagnostic and Nanoparticle Detection on an Integrated Platform. *Chem. Phys. Chem.* **2012**, *13*, 427–436.
14. Wilson, K.A.; Finch, C.A.; Anderson, P.; Vollmer, F.; Hickman, J.J. Whispering gallery mode biosensor quantification of fibronectin adsorption kinetics onto alkylsilane monolayers and interpretation of resultant cellular response. *Biomaterials* **2012**, *33*, 225–236.
15. Swaim, J.D.; Knittel, J.; Bowen, W.P. Detection of nanoparticles with a frequency locked whispering gallery mode microresonator. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2013**, *102*, 183106.
16. Zhu, J.; Ozdemir, S.K.; Yang, L. Optical Detection of Single Nanoparticles with a Subwavelength Fiber-Taper. *IEEE Photonics Technol. Lett.* **2011**, *23*, 1346–1348.
17. Park, Y.-S.; Cook, A.K.; Wang, H. Cavity QED with Diamond Nanocrystals and Silica Microspheres. *Nano Lett.* **2006**, *6*, 2075–2079.
18. Conti, G.N.; Berneschi, S.; Brenci, M.; Cosi, F.; Pelli, S.; Soria, S.; Righini, G.C. WGM microresonators: Light coupling issues and integration perspectives. In Proceedings of 2011 13th International Conference on Transparent Optical Networks (ICTON), Stockholm, Sweden, 26–30 June 2011; pp. 1–4.
19. Zou, C.-L.; Shu, F.-J.; Sun, F.-W.; Gong, Z.-J.; Han, Z.-F.; Guo, G.-C. Theory of free space coupling to high-Q whispering gallery modes. *Opt. Express* **2013**, *21*, 9982–9995.
20. Podolskiy, V.A.; Narimanov, E.E. Chaos-assisted tunneling in dielectric microcavities. *Opt. Lett.* **2005**, *30*, 474–476.
21. Yang, Q.-F.; Jiang, X.-F.; Cui, Y.-L.; Shao, L.; Xiao, Y.-F. Dynamical tunneling-assisted coupling of high-Q deformed microcavities using a free-space beam. *Phys. Rev. A* **2013**, *88*, 023810.
22. Cao, H.; Wiersig, J. Dielectric microcavities: Model systems for wave chaos and non-Hermitian physics. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **2015**, *87*, 61–111.
23. Xiao, Y.-F.; Dong, C.-H.; Han, Z.-F.; Guo, G.-C.; Park, Y.-S. Directional escape from a high-Q deformed microsphere induced by short CO₂ laser pulses. *Opt. Lett.* **2007**, *32*, 644–646.

24. Nöckel, J.U.; Stone, A.D.; Chen, G.; Grossman, H.L.; Chang, R.K. Directional emission from asymmetric resonant cavities. *Opt. Lett.* **1996**, *21*, 1609–1611.
25. Yan, C.; Wang, Q.J.; Diehl, L.; Hentschel, M.; Wiersig, J.; Yu, N.; Pflügl, C.; Capasso, F.; Belkin, M.A.; Edamura, T.; *et al.* Directional emission and universal far-field behavior from semiconductor lasers with limaçon-shaped microcavity. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2009**, *94*, 251101.
26. Wang, Q.J.; Yan, C.; Yu, N.; Unterhinninghofen, J.; Wiersig, J.; Pflügl, C.; Diehl, L.; Edamura, T.; Yamanishi, M.; Kan, H.; *et al.* Whispering-gallery mode resonators for highly unidirectional laser action. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2010**, *107*, 22407–22412.
27. Aveline, D.C.; Baumgartel, L.M.; Lin, G.; Yu, N. Whispering gallery mode resonators augmented with engraved diffraction gratings. *Opt. Lett.* **2013**, *38*, 284–286.
28. Zhu, J.; Özdemir, Ş.K.; Yilmaz, H.; Peng, B.; Dong, M.; Tomes, M.; Carmon, T.; Yang, L. Interfacing whispering-gallery microresonators and free space light with cavity enhanced Rayleigh scattering. *Sci. Rep.* **2014**, *4*, 6396.
29. Dong, C.; Xiao, Y.; Yang, Y.; Han, Z.; Guo, G.; Yang, L. Directly mapping whispering gallery modes in a microsphere through modal coupling and directional emission. *Chin. Opt. Lett.* **2008**, *6*, 300–302.
30. Park, Y.-S.; Wang, H. Radiation pressure driven mechanical oscillation in deformed silica microspheres via free-space evanescent excitation. *Opt. Express* **2007**, *15*, 16471–16477.
31. Jiang, X.-F.; Xiao, Y.-F.; Zou, C.-L.; He, L.; Dong, C.-H.; Li, B.-B.; Li, Y.; Sun, F.-W.; Yang, L.; Gong, Q. Highly Unidirectional Emission and Ultralow-Threshold Lasing from On-Chip Ultrahigh-Q Microcavities. *Adv. Mater.* **2012**, *24*, OP260–OP264.
32. Nöckel, J.U.; Stone, A.D. Ray and wave chaos in asymmetric resonant optical cavities. *Nature* **1997**, *385*, 45–47.
33. Lee, S.-Y. Optical Mode Properties of 2-D Deformed Microcavities. In *Advances in Optical and Photonic Devices*; Young, K., Ed.; INTECH Open Access Publisher: Rijeka, Croatia, 2010.
34. Zou, C.-L.; Sun, F.-W.; Dong, C.-H.; Shu, F.-J.; Wu, X.-W.; Cui, J.-M.; Yang, Y.; Han, Z.-F.; Guo, G.-C. High-Q and Unidirectional Emission Whispering Gallery Modes: Principles and Design. *IEEE J. Sel. Top. Quantum Electron.* **2013**, *19*, 1–6.
35. Lacey, S.; Wang, H. Directional emission from whispering-gallery modes in deformed fused-silica microspheres. *Opt. Lett.* **2001**, *26*, 1943–1945.
36. Schwefel, H.G.L.; Rex, N.B.; Tureci, H.E.; Chang, R.K.; Stone, A.D.; Ben-Messaoud, T.; Zyss, J. Dramatic shape sensitivity of directional emission patterns from similarly deformed cylindrical polymer lasers. *J. Opt. Soc. Am. B* **2004**, *21*, 923–934.
37. Shinohara, S.; Harayama, T.; Fukushima, T.; Hentschel, M.; Sasaki, T.; Narimanov, E.E. Chaos-Assisted Directional Light Emission from Microcavity Lasers. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2010**, *104*, 163902.
38. Xiao, Y.-F.; Dong, C.-H.; Zou, C.-L.; Han, Z.-F.; Yang, L.; Guo, G.-C. Low-threshold microlaser in a high-Q asymmetrical microcavity. *Opt. Lett.* **2009**, *34*, 509–511.
39. Cui, J.-M.; Dong, C.-H.; Zou, C.-L.; Sun, F.-W.; Xiao, Y.-F.; Han, Z.-F.; Guo, G.-C. Controlling deformation in a high quality factor silica microsphere toward single directional emission. *Appl. Opt.* **2013**, *52*, 298–301.

40. Lacey, S.; Wang, H.; Foster, D.H.; Nöckel, J.U. Directional Tunneling Escape from Nearly Spherical Optical Resonators. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2003**, *91*, 033902.
41. Xiao, Y.-F.; Jiang, X.-F.; Yang, Q.-F.; Wang, L.; Shi, K.; Li, Y.; Gong, Q. Chaos-induced transparency in an ultrahigh-Q optical microcavity. *Laser Photonics Rev.* **2013**, *7*, L51–L54.
42. Vollmer, F.; Braun, D.; Libchaber, A.; Khoshima, M.; Teraoka, I.; Arnold, S. Protein detection by optical shift of a resonant microcavity. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2002**, *80*, 4057–4059.
43. Foreman, M.R.; Jin, W.-L.; Vollmer, F. Optimizing detection limits in whispering gallery mode biosensing. *Opt. Express* **2014**, *22*, 5491–5511.
44. Chung, T.; Lee, S.-Y.; Song, E.Y.; Chun, H.; Lee, B. Plasmonic Nanostructures for Nano-Scale Bio-Sensing. *Sensors* **2011**, *11*, 10907–10929.
45. Stewart, M.E.; Yao, J.; Maria, J.; Gray, S.K.; Rogers, J.A.; Nuzzo, R.G. Multispectral Thin Film Biosensing and Quantitative Imaging Using 3D Plasmonic Crystals. *Anal. Chem.* **2009**, *81*, 5980–5989.

© 2015 by the authors; licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).