



Xiangxiang Yu<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Zhengzhong Jin<sup>1,2,3,\*</sup> and Haifeng Wang<sup>1,2,3</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> National Engineering Technology Research Center for Desert-Oasis Ecological Construction, Xinjiang Institute of Ecology and Geography, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Urumqi 830011, China; yuxiangxiang@ms.xjb.ac.cn (X.Y.); wanghf@ms.xjb.ac.cn (H.W.)
- <sup>2</sup> Mosuowan Desert Research Station, Xinjiang Institute of Ecology and Geography, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shihezi 832000, China
- <sup>3</sup> The Taklimakan Desert Research Station, Xinjiang Institute of Ecology and Geography, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Korla 841000, China
- \* Correspondence: jinzz@ms.xjb.ac.cn

Abstract: Saline water is widely distributed in the arid environment and sometimes represents the only source of irrigation water to restore and reconstruct vegetation. However, the effects of saline water on the bacterial diversity and fertility level of aeolian sandy soil are not well understood. In this study, we investigated a vegetation belt along the Tarim Desert Highway that has been constructed as a windbreak and consists of desert shrubs and was irrigated with saline water at six levels of salinity along the Tarim Desert Highway. The bacterial diversity was studied using Biolog Eco, a phospholipid fatty acid analysis, and a polymerase chain reaction-denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis, and the soil fertility was calculated and expressed as the integrated fertility index. The soil bacterial diversity (in terms of carbon metabolism, genes, and fatty acid species) was significantly affected by the level of salinity, and the microbial activity was low under high salinity. Fertility was also markedly affected by the degree of salinity and by the depth of soil, being lower at higher salinity levels and in the top layer (0–5 cm), and was also correlated to both the metabolic diversity index of soil microorganisms and the diversity index of fatty acids of soil microorganisms. The genetic diversity index of soil microorganisms shared a polynomial relation with fertility and contributed to it positively and significantly. Therefore, using less saline water for drip irrigation could avoid salt accumulation in soil and arrest its compaction, promote the formation of soil aggregates and the build-up of nutrients, and increase microbial activity, thus playing a crucial role in promoting the circulation, conversion, and utilization of nutrients in aeolian sandy soils and improving the soil quality. The judicious use of saline water, therefore, deserves serious consideration in irrigation practices.

Keywords: extremely arid region; saline water irrigation; biological activity; soil fertility

# 1. Introduction

Soil fertility is generally evaluated in terms of its physical, chemical, and biological properties [1], and it is very important to evaluate the fertility of soils [2]. A commonly used method for expressing soil quality in quantitative terms is the integrated fertility index (IFI) of soil [3]. Changes in the numbers of soil microorganisms and their metabolic functions can be used as sensitive indicators of the short-term and long-term changes in soil fertility [4]. Fertile soils have stable microbial populations (stable in terms of numbers and in the composition of microbial communities) and a high level of microbial activity [5]. Soil microbiome is a potential and sensitive indicator of soil fertility [6]. It is important to study the effects of changes in soil fertility on the microbial diversity.

The Tarim Desert Highway shelterbelt across the Taklimakan Desert in China is a piece of ecological engineering to ensure the safe operation of the Tarim Desert Highway.



Citation: Yu, X.; Jin, Z.; Wang, H. Effect of Saline Water for Drip Irrigation on Microbial Diversity and on Fertility of Aeolian Sandy Soils. *Diversity* **2021**, *13*, 379. https:// doi.org/10.3390/d13080379

Academic Editors: Michael Wink and Milko A. Jorquera

Received: 19 March 2021 Accepted: 11 August 2021 Published: 15 August 2021

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). However, the harsh natural conditions, such as extreme temperatures, high salinity, and sandstorms, are serious threats to the shelterbelt. The Taklimakan Desert has an extremely arid climate and a serious lack of surface water. The abundant groundwater with different salt content (approximately 2–29 g/L<sup>-1</sup>) is the main source of irrigation water for the construction of the shelterbelt in the hinterland of the desert. Although salt water irrigation can cause soil salt accumulation, the species of tree planted in the shelterbelt are three shrubs named *Haloxylon ammodendron* (C. A. Mey.) Bunge, *Calligonum mongolicum* Turcz., and *Tamarix chinensis* Lour., which are resistant to salt and alkali. Therefore, the Tarim Desert Highway shelterbelt located in the hinterland of the Taklimakan Desert can only be kept alive by pumping salt water underground for common drip irrigation. The present study aims to examine the pattern of changes in the fertility of the aeolian sandy soils that surround the highway in response to irrigation with saline water to obtain useful insights for sustainable development of the shelterbelt.

Soil microorganisms and soil quality in arid areas have been studied extensively. The results suggest that microorganisms are an important source of available nitrogen in woodland soils of the desert ecosystem [7] and that they improve soil fertility once the soil is brought under cultivation [8]. The relationship between soil microorganisms and soil quality was investigated by Shao et al. [9] in the shrub forest consisting mostly of *Artemisia ordosica* Krasch in the Kubuqi Desert; by Wang et al. [10] in the improved semi-mobile sands of Ephedra along artemisia ordosicaartemisia ordosicaartemisia ordosicaartemisia ordosicaartemisia ordosicaartemisia ordosicathe southern edge of the Mu Us Sandland; and by Cao et al. [11] in the sand dunes that support *Caragana microphylla* Lam. in the Horqin Sandland in Inner Mongolia. The researchers found that the roots of the sand-fixing plants provide soil microorganisms with nutrient-rich metabolites and promote the development of the rhizosphere; at the same time, the metabolic activities of the rhizospheric microorganisms influence the movement of nutrients in the soil and their uptake by plants. This symbiotic relationship thus contributes to the formation of aeolian sandy soils [12].

However, most of the research mentioned above on soil microorganisms focussed on non-irrigated woodlands and woodlands irrigated with fresh water in arid and semi-arid areas, whereas the evaluation of aeolian sandy soils of the shelterbelt irrigated with saline water received little attention.

The present study used conventional methods to determine the bacterial diversities of these sandy soils irrigated with saline water. The following hypotheses were addressed: (i) saline water is significantly altering the soil bacterial diversity and soil fertility in the shelterbelt and (ii) the soil bacterial diversity and soil fertility are depending on irrigation water salt concentrations and on soil depth. The findings will provide a theoretical underpinning for the management of the shelterbelt along the Tarim Desert Highway.

# 2. Materials and Methods

#### 2.1. Experimental Design and Sampling

The areas that border the Taklimakan Desert are characterized by an extremely arid climate, scarcity of surface water resources, highly saline groundwater, strong winds, encroachment of sand, and infertile soil. The Tarim Desert Highway shelterbelt across the Taklimakan Desert was established in 2003. Three desert shrub species with a good tolerance to drought and salinity, namely *Haloxylon ammodendron* (C. A. Mey.) Bunge, *Calligonum mongolicum* Turcz., and *Tamarix chinensis* Lour., were planted as mixed rows with a spacing of  $1 \text{ m} \times 1 \text{ m}$  to form a shelterbelt that was 72–78 m wide and 436 km long.

Three desert shrub species with a good tolerance to drought and salinity, namely *Haloxylon ammodendron* (C. A. Mey.) Bunge, *Calligonum mongolicum* Turcz., and *Tamarix chinensis* Lour., received drip irrigation to the shelterbelt with saline water. There are great spatial differences in the groundwater salinity along the Tarim Desert Highway shelterbelt as the plantation times on some sections of the shelterbelt are different (Table 1). To avoid the influence of stand age and tree species on the soil property, we selected sampling sites with the same stand age (planted in 2003) and tree species (only *Haloxylon ammodendron* (C.

A. Mey.) Bunge). At each site, six plants of *Haloxylon ammodendron* (C. A. Mey.) Bunge were selected at random and the soil was sampled from points close to the roots [13] to represent four depths, namely  $0-5 \text{ cm} (D_1)$ ,  $5-15 \text{ cm} (D_2)$ ,  $15-30 \text{ cm} (D_3)$ , and  $30-50 \text{ cm} (D_4)$ .

**Table 1.** Details of the sampling plots receiving irrigation water at different levels of salinity (mean  $\pm$  SD, n = 10). Data were mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (n = 10).

Plot No.	Salinity Value (g/L <sup>-1</sup> )	Location (Miles along the Desert Highway from Minfeng County)
$S_1$	$23.80 \pm 1.12$	140 km + 470 m
$S_2$	$18.10\pm0.84$	168 km + 800 m
$S_3$	$13.99\pm0.66$	176 km + 000 m
$S_4$	$8.90\pm0.45$	184 km + 500 m
$S_5$	$5.75\pm0.29$	277 km + 500 m
<i>S</i> <sub>6</sub>	$2.58\pm0.13$	318 km + 100 m

### 2.2. Sampling

The chosen points were sampled five times. Plant residues in the soil samples were discarded. The samples from the same depth but from different sampling points were pooled, evenly mixed, sieved through a 2 mm sieve, immediately stored in sterile sample bags in a car refrigerator at -4 °C, and transported to the laboratory [13]. The samples for the determination of the physical and chemical properties, biomass, and enzyme activity were air-dried in the laboratory, and those for the determination of the bacterial diversity were stored in a refrigerator at -20 °C and analysed within 4 weeks.

#### 2.3. Determination Methods

# 2.3.1. Diversity of the Soil Bacterial Community

As a carrier to study the metabolic function of the environmental bacterial community based on a redox reaction, the Biolog Eco board has been widely used in the study of functional diversity of the soil bacterial community. The Biolog Eco method consisted of the preparation of buffers, extraction, inoculation, incubation, and determination [14].

The polymerase chain reaction–denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (PCR–DGGE) consisted of DNA extraction, PCR amplification, denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis, gel extraction, DGGE profile analysis, DNA cloning, and sequence analysis [15]. We used the PfuTurbo Cx Hotstart DNA Cloning Kit (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA, USA) for the PCR. We applied a gradient from a 40-65% denaturing agent. The primer pair 16F27 (forward primer: 5'-AGA GTT TGA TCC TGG CTC AG-3')/16R1522 (reverse primer: 5'-AAG GAG GTG ATC CAG CCG CA-3') was applied to target the 16S rDNA V3 of the bacteria [16]. The cloning sequence analysis was performed by Shanghai Sangon Biotechnology Technology Service Co., Ltd, Shanghai, China.

The microbial phospholipid fatty acid analysis (PLFA) consisted of a fatty acid extraction, methylation, and identification [17].

#### 2.3.2. Physical and Chemical Analysis

All the standard physical and chemical properties were determined [18]. The organic matter (OM) was determined by using the potassium dichromate volumetric method with external heating; total nitrogen (TN) by perchloric acid-sulphuric uric acid digestion; available nitrogen (AN) by alkaline hydrolysis distillation; total phosphorus (TP) by the acid dissolution-molybdenum antimony resistance colourimetric method; available phosphorus (AP) by the extraction-molybdenum antimony resistance colourimetric method; and total potassium (TK) by acid dissolution-flame photometry.

The soil moisture content was estimated by drying and the soil bulk was estimated by the cutting ring method. The total porosity was calculated from the soil volume, weight, and bulk [10].

# 2.3.3. Soil Bacterial Mass

The soil bacterial biomass was extracted by fumigation and leaching. Among them, the bacterial biomass carbon was fumigated and determined by the extraction–volumetric analysis method, the bacterial biomass nitrogen was fumigated and determined by the extraction–ninhydrin colourimetric method, and the bacterial biomass phosphorus was fumigated and determined by the extraction–total phosphorus determination method [19]. The kEc value used in the fumigation extraction method was 0.38.

# 2.3.4. Soil Enzyme Activity

Activity of the soil protease, cellulose, invertase, phosphatase, and urease was determined by colourimetry and that of the catalase activity by titration [20].

# 2.4. Data Analysis

BIOLOG metabolism: average well colour development (AWCD)

$$AWCD = \Sigma (C - R)/n \tag{1}$$

(n differs with the type of medium [14]; in the present study, n was 31.)

PLFA profiles: gas chromatography–mass spectrometry (GC–MS) was used for the determination of the fatty acid species. A variance analysis of the fatty acid profiles was conducted using STATISTICA ver. 6.0 (StatSoft Inc., Tulsa, OK, USA).

DGGE fingerprinting: the gel interpretation and the calculation of mobility, intensity, and area were carried out using Bio-Rad's gel imaging system (Quantity One 4.4.1, Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA).

Diversity index: the Shannon–Wiener diversity index equation, namely

$$H = -\sum_{i=1}^{S} P_i \ln p_i, E_{\rm H} = H/H_{\rm max} = H/\ln S$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

was used, where the diversity index (*H*), richness (*S*), and evenness ( $E_H$ ) represent the diversities of the soil bacterial carbon metabolism, fatty acids, and DNA segments, respectively.

Differences in soil microorganisms: a variance analysis and multiple comparisons with the least significant difference (LSD) method were performed using DPS ver. 9.5 (Data Processing System, Science Press, Beijing, China) to reveal whether the soil bacterial diversity differed significantly among different sites.

### 2.5. Evaluation of Soil Fertility

The soil fertility reflects the combined physical, chemical, and biological properties of soil. To reliably reveal the differences in a single fertility index among different soil layers, the average values of the soil parameters at 0–5 cm, 5–15 cm, 15–30 cm, and 30–50 cm were analysed using the principal component analysis (PCA) to establish an evaluation system for soil fertility in the shelterbelt bordering the Tarim Desert Highway. In this evaluation system, the physical properties include the bulk density, total moisture content, and median diameter of the soil particles; the chemical properties include the soil nutrients (OM, TN, TP, TK, AN, AP, AK) and salinity-related parameters, including the pH, total salt content, and cation exchange capacity (CEC); and the biological properties include the bacterial diversity index (carbon source metabolism, species of fatty acid, and DNA segments), the activity of the soil enzymes (protease, cellulose, invertase, phosphatase, urease, and catalase), and the C, N, and P content of the soil bacterial biomass. The weights of all the fertility indicators were shown in Table 2.

Fertility Factor	Community	Fertility Factor	Community	
Organic matter	0.036	DNA segments	0.033	
Total nitrogen	0.043	Carbon source metabolism	0.039	
Total phosphorus	0.041	Species of fatty acid	0.038	
Total potassium	0.037	Catalase	0.039	
Available nitrogen	0.044	Phosphatase	0.040	
Available phosphorus	0.041	Urease	0.043	
Available potassium	0.041	Cellulose	0.033	
pH	0.049	Urease	0.039	
Electrical conductivity	0.034	Protease	0.036	
Total salt	0.043	Bacterial biomass carbon	0.044	
Bulk density	0.041	Bacterial biomass nitrogen	0.039	
Median diameter of soil particles	0.040	Bacterial biomass phosphorus	0.041	
Moisture content	0.047			

Table 2. The weights of all the fertility indicators.

The soil integrated fertility index (IFI), viewed as a synthetic index of each soil parameter, has been used in another study [21]. We selected the continuous function to evaluate each factor because each parameter varies continuously. The ascending or descending property of this function was determined by the positive or negative property of the factor loading of the principal component, which agrees with the effects of these factors on vegetation. For the bulk density, pH, and total salt content, a function with a descending property was chosen:

$$F(X_{ij}) = (X_{imax} - X_{ij})/(X_{imax} - X_{imin})$$
(3)

However, for the soil moisture content, porosity, and all the chemical and biological factors, a function with an ascending property was introduced:

$$F(X_{ij}) = (X_{ij} - X_{imin}) / (X_{imax} - X_{imin})$$
(4)

In the above equations,  $F(X_{ij})$  shows the relative importance of each fertility factor,  $X_{ij}$  is the value of each fertility factor, and  $X_{imax}$  and  $X_{imin}$  express the maximum and minimum value of one factor.

Because the soil fertility parameters differ in their importance, a weight coefficient was introduced, which was obtained by calculating the percentage of the communality of one factor, and the numerical conversion was performed as follows:

$$W_i = Community_i / \sum_{n=1}^{i} Community_i$$
(5)

According to the additive–multiplicative rule, the fertility index is synthesized multiplicatively by the individual parameters. The integrated fertility index is, therefore, calculated by the following equation:

$$IFI = \sum \left[ W_{i} \times F(X_{ij}) \right]$$
(6)

where  $W_i$  is the weight coefficient of each fertility factor and  $F(X_{ij})$  expresses its relative importance.

#### 3. Results and Analysis

## 3.1. Soil Bacterial Diversity

Table 3 shows that the carbon metabolic diversity index, genetic diversity index, and fatty acid diversity index of soil microorganisms decreased with an increase in salinity. The variance analysis and multiple comparisons indicated that the differences in the genetic diversity index *H* and richness *S* among the shelterbelt soils receiving irrigation water of different salinity levels were highly significant ( $p > p_{0.01}$ ), and the differences among

the soils in terms of the diversity index *H* and the richness index *S* representing carbon metabolism were also significant ( $p > p_{0.05}$ ), whereas those in terms of the diversity index *H*, richness index *S*, evenness index  $E_H$  of fatty acids, and evenness index  $E_H$  of genes were not significant ( $p < p_{0.05}$ ). Thus, the genetic diversity of soil microorganisms was most affected by the differences in the salinity, followed by the carbon metabolic diversity and fatty acid diversity, in that order, suggesting that the species distribution evenness indexes of soil bacterial genes and of fatty acids were not particularly affected. Therefore, when the salinity was high, the metabolic activity of soil microorganisms was low and so were the diversities of the gene and fatty acid species.

**Table 3.** Changes in the soil bacterial diversity indexes due to the salinity levels (n = 5, Mean  $\pm$  SE).

Plot .	Carbon Mechanism			Genes			Fatty Acids		
	H	S	E <sub>H</sub>	Н	S	E <sub>H</sub>	Н	S	$E_{\rm H}$
S <sub>1</sub> (23.80)	$2.85\pm0.23~\mathrm{a}$	$21.75\pm2.84~\mathrm{a}$	$0.93\pm0.12~\mathrm{a}$	$0.82\pm0.07~\mathrm{A}$	$12.34\pm1.61~\mathrm{A}$	$0.25\pm0.02$ a	$1.30\pm0.35~\mathrm{a}$	$20.08\pm1.83~\mathrm{a}$	$0.33\pm0.05~\mathrm{a}$
$S_2(18.10)$	$3.14\pm0.47b$	$25.75\pm3.16\mathrm{b}$	$0.97\pm0.14~\mathrm{a}$	$0.87\pm0.06~\mathrm{A}$	$12.96\pm1.80~\mathrm{A}$	$0.29\pm0.04~\mathrm{a}$	$1.47\pm0.38$ a	$21.69\pm2.48~\mathrm{a}$	$0.41\pm0.08~\mathrm{ab}$
$S_3(13.99)$	$3.20\pm0.35b$	$27.13 \pm 3.60 \text{ c}$	$0.97\pm0.17~\mathrm{a}$	$1.00\pm0.06~\mathrm{A}$	$14.25\pm2.57~\mathrm{A}$	$0.39\pm0.04~\mathrm{b}$	$1.51\pm0.33~\mathrm{ab}$	$23.5\pm5.07~\mathrm{ab}$	$0.39\pm0.09~\mathrm{a}$
$S_4(8.90)$	$3.24\pm0.39\mathrm{b}$	$27.88 \pm 2.82 \text{ c}$	$0.97\pm0.18~\mathrm{a}$	$1.34\pm0.32~\mathrm{B}$	$20.25\pm3.18~\mathrm{B}$	$0.50\pm0.11~{ m c}$	$1.65\pm0.60~\mathrm{b}$	$25.75 \pm 2.56 \mathrm{b}$	$0.55\pm0.15~{ m bc}$
$S_5(5.75)$	$3.26\pm0.44$ b	$28.63\pm4.58~\mathrm{c}$	$0.97\pm0.19$ a	$1.78\pm0.54\mathrm{C}$	$35.00\pm5.34\mathrm{C}$	$0.48\pm0.10~{ m c}$	$1.73\pm0.75\mathrm{bc}$	$30.01 \pm 4.91 \text{ c}$	$0.58\pm0.11~{ m c}$
$S_6(2.58)$	$3.59\pm0.45~c$	$29.13\pm4.22~c$	$1.06\pm0.21~\mathrm{a}$	$2.43\pm0.47~\text{D}$	$41.75\pm5.9\mathrm{D}$	$0.63\pm0.13~d$	$1.86\pm0.92~\mathrm{c}$	$32\pm8.42~c$	$0.57\pm0.14~\mathrm{c}$
p value	*	*		**	**				

Note: (1) The least significant difference (LSD) method was used for determining the significance (F test); n = 5; \*\* and \* denote significance levels of 0.01 and 0.05, respectively; and capital and small letters after numbers denote highly significant and significant differences, respectively. (2) *H*—Diversity index, *S*—Richness index, *E*<sub>H</sub>—Uniformity index.

According to Table 4, the homology value of the bacteria was greater than 95% after a phylogenetic comparison on the GenBank database. Among the 30 soil samples were *Janthinobacterium* sp., *Thiobacillus denitrificans*, *Acidovorax* sp., *Shewanella frigidimarina*, and *Anaeromyxobacter*. In more than half of the soil samples, the homogeneity of the electrophoretic bands with *Janthinobacterium* sp. and *Thiobacillus denitrificans* reached 100%.

Table 4. Tentative identification of the dominant DGGE bands by sequencing the excised and BLAST analysis.

Bands	Closest Species in the GenBank Database	Sequence Accession Number	Similarity (%)
C1	Janthinobacterium sp.	AY88012	100
C2	Acidovorax sp.	AY88013	99
C3	Anaeromyxobacter	AY88014	96
C4	Thiobacillus denitrificans	AY88015	100
C5	Shewanella frigidimarina	AY88016	97

Note: C1, C2, C3, C4, C5 refers to the five bands marked in the DGGE electrophoretic picture after being cut.

# 3.2. Soil Fertility

As can be seen in Figure 1, as the salinity decreased, the IFI increased significantly ( $F = 71.21 > F_{0.01}$ ): at the highest level of salinity ( $S_1$ , 23.8 g/L), the IFI was less than 0.25; at the next level ( $S_2$ , 18.10 g/L), the IFI was slightly greater than 0.3; the trend continued until at the lowest salinity ( $S_6$ , 2.58 g/L), the IFI exceeded 0.65, a value that was more than double that at  $S_2$ . Therefore, highly saline water for irrigation is not conducive to soil fertility.



**Figure 1.** Soil fertility following irrigation with water of different salinity levels (S1, S2, S3, S4, S5, S6 refer to the plots with different salinity value mentioned in Table 1; A, B, C, D represents the result of a letter marker in a variety of comparisons).

The soil fertility also varied with depth, with the deeper layers being more fertile. Figure 2 shows that the IFI of the top layer ( $D_1$ , 0–5 cm) was the lowest (less than 0.3) and was significantly lower than that of any other layer. However, among the three other layers, the difference in fertility was not significant.



**Figure 2.** Soil fertility at different depths (D1, D2, D3, D4 refer to the different soil layer; A, B represents the result of a letter marker in a variety of comparisons).

# 3.3. Relationship between Soil Bacterial Diversity and Soil Fertility

Figure 3 shows the power function relation between the AWCD values, which represent the carbon metabolic activity of soil microorganisms, and the IFI. The function equation was  $Y = 0.56X^{0.66}$  and the coefficient of determination  $R^2$  was 0.82. Therefore, the IFI would increase proportionally to the power of the soil carbon metabolic activity.



Figure 3. The relationship between the metabolic diversity of soil microorganisms and soil fertility.

Figure 4 shows the power function relation between the diversity index *H* of fatty acids in soil microorganisms and the IFI. The function equation was  $Y = 2 \times 10^{-7} X^{15.35}$  and the coefficient of determination  $R^2$  was 0.889, indicating that the IFI increased proportionally to the power of the diversity index *H* of the soil fatty acids.



Figure 4. The relationship between the fatty acid diversity of soil microorganisms and soil fertility.

Figure 5 shows the polynomial relation between the diversity index *H* of DNA segments of soil microorganisms and the IFI. The function equation was  $Y = 1.07X^2 + 4.28X$ -3.41 and the coefficient of determination  $R^2$  was 0.866, indicating that the IFI increased proportionally to the polynomial of the diversity index *H* of the soil DNA segments.



Figure 5. The relationship between the genetic diversity of soil microorganisms and soil fertility.

# 3.4. Composition Characters of Soil Salinity

Table 5 shows that the contents of salt ions in soils differed due to the level of salinity of the irrigation water. The ions, in descending order, were  $SO_4^{2-}$ ,  $Cl^-$ ,  $Na^+$ ,  $Ca^{2+}$ ,  $HCO_3^-$ ,  $K^+$ ,  $Mg^{2+}$ , and  $CO_3^{2-}$ . Three salt ions,  $SO_4^{2-}$ ,  $Cl^-$ , and  $Na^+$ , together contributed more than 80% of the total, whereas  $CO_3^{2-}$ , at less than 1%, contributed the least. This distribution indicates that the major salts in the soils of the Tarim Desert Highway shelterbelt were  $Na^+$ ,  $Cl^-$ , and  $SO_4^{2-}$ . The total soil salinity increased with an increase in the level of salinity of the irrigation water, although the  $Na^+$  and  $Cl^-$  contents decreased and the  $SO_4^{2-}$  content increased.

**Table 5.** Contents (grams/litre) of eight main salt ions in soils irrigated with water at different salinity levels.

Plot	CO3 <sup>2-</sup>	HCO <sup>3-</sup>	Cl-	SO4 <sup>2-</sup>	Ca <sup>2+</sup>	Mg <sup>2+</sup>	Na <sup>+</sup>	K <sup>+</sup>
$S_1$	0.09	0.31	6.36	6.04	2.10	0.58	3.47	0.32
$S_2$	0.07	0.28	6.04	5.75	1.86	0.47	3.29	0.27
$S_3$	0.01	0.12	4.46	4.88	1.52	0.26	2.97	0.12
$S_4$	0.01	0.12	1.69	3.33	0.87	0.25	1.18	0.14
$S_5$	0.03	0.17	1.31	0.71	0.14	0.07	0.92	0.11
S <sub>6</sub>	0.02	0.13	1.09	0.86	0.19	0.06	0.80	0.11

# 4. Discussion and Conclusions

4.1. Effect of Salinity of Irrigation Water on Soil Bacterial Diversity

The soil samples in this study were collected from non-rhizospheric areas of the shelterbelt trees; therefore, the effects of plants on soil salinity can be safely ignored. The soil salinity depended mainly on the salt content of the irrigation water and was thus related to the level of salinity of that water [22].

The soil bacterial diversity of the Tarim Desert Highway shelterbelt differed significantly with the level of salinity of the irrigation water. A higher salinity exposes the soil microorganisms to greater salt stress, which lowers not only the diversity of the bacterial populations but also their activity [23]. The soil salinity affects the availability of soil nutrients; for example, a massive accumulation of  $Ca^{2+}$  results in more of the soil phosphorus being fixed, thereby reducing its availability to plants [24]. The richness and composition of the soil nutrients determine the species, numbers, and activities of the microorganisms [25]. In the Tarim Desert Highway shelterbelt, the irrigation salinity mainly affected the soil salinity in the 0–20 cm range [26]. Therefore, highly saline water used for drip irrigation is not conducive to the survival of microorganisms and adversely affects their functional diversity, genetic diversity, and species diversity in the Tarim Desert Highway shelterbelt.

# 4.2. Changes in Soil Fertility under Drip Irrigation with Saline Water

The IFI values increased significantly with a decrease in the salinity of the drip irrigation. Highly saline water used for drip irrigation is not conducive to soil fertility. The salt accumulation caused greater bulk density, which is not conducive to the normal turnover of soil nutrients and their availability, resulting in an overall decline in the soil quality [27].

The differences in soil fertility at different depths were obvious. The lower fertility of the top layer was due to severe soil compaction, and the topsoil was also affected by sandstorms. In fact, most of the topsoil consisted of mobile aeolian sand, which directly lowers its fertility, whereas the lower layers were protected in one sense and hence more fertile [28].

Gu et al. [29] suggest that the use of saline water for irrigating the extremely arid areas that border the Tarim Desert Highway helped not only in establishing the shelterbelt, but also in making the aeolian sandy soils more fertile by improving their physical and chemical properties. Wang et al. [10] found that the nutrient content of soils from a four-year-old plantation of Ephedra was much higher than that of a site along artemisia ordosicaartemisia ordo

# 4.3. The Relationship between Soil Microorganisms and Soil Fertility

Microorganisms play an important role in maintaining soil fertility. They convert naturally occurring nutrients in soil and those added from fertilizers from unavailable forms to available forms. The soil ecosystem is primarily controlled by soil microflora, and small changes in soil can lead to large changes in the bacterial diversity [30]. Changes in the soil bacterial communities, which are governed by soil fertility and environmental conditions, can be used as important biological indicators of soil fertility [31].

Therefore, using less saline water for drip irrigation could avoid salt accumulation in soil and arrest its compaction, promote the formation of soil aggregates and the build-up of nutrients, and increase microbial activity, thus playing a crucial role in promoting the circulation, conversion, and utilization of nutrients in aeolian sandy soils and improving the soil quality. The judicious use of saline water, therefore, deserves serious consideration in irrigation practices.

**Author Contributions:** Data curation, H.W.; writing—original draft preparation, X.Y.; writing—review and editing, Z.J. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research was funded by the Natural Science Foundation of China, grant number 41571498.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

**Data Availability Statement:** The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

**Acknowledgments:** The authors wish to thank Key Laboratory of Biogeography and Bioresource in Arid Land, Xinjiang Institute of Ecology and Geography, Chinese Academy of Sciences, and Xinjiang Corps' Oasis Agriculture Key Laboratory for providing technical supports.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

# References

- 1. Breure, A.M.; Mulder, C.; Römbke, J.; Ruf, A. Ecological classification and assessment concepts in soil protection. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.* **2005**, *62*, 211–229. [CrossRef]
- 2. Doran, J.W.; Coleman, D.C.; Bezdicek, D.F. *Defining Soil Quality for a Sustainable Environment*; SSSA Special Publication: Madison, WI, USA, 1994.
- 3. Sun, B.; Zhou, S.; Zhao, Q. Evaluation of spatial and temporal changes of soil quality based on geostatistical analysis in the hill region of subtropical China. *Geoderma* **2003**, *115*, 85–99. [CrossRef]
- 4. Harris, J.A. Measurements of the soil microbial community for estimating the success of restoration. *Eur. J. Soil Sci.* 2003, 54, 801–808. [CrossRef]
- Bonanomi, G.; D'Ascoli, R.; Antignani, V.; Capodilupo, M.; Cozzolino, L.; Marzaioli, R.; Puopolo, G.; Rutigliano, F.A.; Scelza, R.; Scotti, R.; et al. Assessing soil quality under intensive cultivation and tree orchards in Southern Italy. *Appl. Soil Ecol.* 2011, 47, 184–194. [CrossRef]
- 6. Liu, Z.F.; Fu, B.J.; Liu, G.H.; Zhu, Y.G. Soil quality: Concept, indicators and its assessment. Acta Ecol. Sin. 2006, 26, 901–910.
- 7. Schaeffer, S.M.; Billings, S.A.; Evans, R.D. Responses of soil nitrogen dynamics in a Mojave Desert ecosystem to manipulations in soil carbon and nitrogen availability. *Oecologia* **2003**, *134*, 547–553. [CrossRef]
- 8. Jin, Z.; Lei, J.; Xu, X.; Li, S.; Fan, J.; Zhao, S. Characteristics of the soil microbial population in forest land irrigated with saline water in the desert area. *J. Arid. Land* **2010**, *2*, 107–115. [CrossRef]
- 9. Yuqin, S.; Ji, Z. Vertical distributions in number of soil microorganism in stabilized sand dune with Artemisia ordosica in the Hobq of Inter-mongol. *Acta Scient. Natur. Univer. Neim.* **2000**, *31*, 198–200.
- 10. Wang, Z.; Peng, R.Y.; Wang, L.; Liu, L.Y. Studies on soil properties of aeolian sandy land improvement and utilization in south edge of Musu desert. *J. Soil Water Conserv.* **2006**, *20*, 14–17.
- 11. Cao, C.Y.; Jiang, D.M.; Quan, G.J.; Geng, L.; Cui, Z.B.; Luo, Y.M. Soil physical and chemical characters changes of Caragana mi-crophylla plantation for sand fixation in Keerqin sandy land. *J. Soil Water Conserv.* **2004**, *18*, 108–112.
- 12. Guo, K.; Dong, X.J.; Liu, Z.M. Characteristics of soil moisture content on sand dunes in Mu Us sandy grassland: Why Artemisia ordosica declines old fixed sand dunes. *Acta Phyt. Sin.* 2000, 24, 275–279.
- 13. Liu, J.-J.; Fang, S.-Z.; Xie, B.-D.; Hao, J.-J. Effects of bio-mulching on rhizosphere soil microbial population, enzyme activity and tree growth in poplar plantation. *Ying Yong Sheng Tai Xue Bao J. Appl. Ecol.* **2008**, *19*, 1204–1210.
- 14. Calbrix, R.; Laval, K.; Barray, S. Analysis of the potential functional diversity of the bacterial community in soil: A reproducible procedure using sole-carbon-source utilization profiles. *Eur. J. Soil Biol.* **2005**, *41*, 11–20. [CrossRef]
- 15. Duan, X.-J.; Min, H. Diversity of microbial genes in paddy soil stressed by cadmium using DGGE. *Huan Jing Ke Xue Huanjing Kexue* **2004**, *25*, 122–126.
- 16. Pennanen, T.; Paavolainen, L.; Hantula, J. Rapid PCR-based method for the direct analysis of fungal communities in complex environmental samples. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* **2001**, *33*, 697–699. [CrossRef]
- 17. Larkin, R.P.; Honeycutt, C.W.; Griffin, T.S. Effect of swine and dairy manure amendments on microbial communities in three soils as influenced by environmental conditions. *Biol. Fert. Soils* 2006, 43, 51–61. [CrossRef]
- 18. Institute of Soil Science; Chinese Academy of Science. *Soil Physical and Chemical Properties Analysis;* Science and Technology Press in Shanghai: Shanghai, China, 1978.
- 19. Wu, J.S.; Lin, Q.M.; Huang, Q.Y. *Soil Microbial Biomass of Methods and Application*; Meteorological Press: Beijing, China, 2006; pp. 55–88.
- 20. Guang, S.Y. Soil Enzyme and Its Methodology; Agriculture Press: Beijing, China, 1986.
- 21. Zhang, D.H.; Ye, Z.F. The effects of rotating plantation on the soil fertility of forest and the growth of stand. *Scinen. Silv. Sin.* **2001**, 37, 10–15.
- 22. Jin, Z.; Lei, J.; Xu, X.; Li, S.; Zhang, Z.; Peng, H.; Zhong, X. Effect of Drip Irrigation Water with Different Salinity on Soil Microbe of the Tarim Desert Highway Shelter-Forest. In Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Remote Sensing, Environment and Transportation Engineering, Nanjing, China, 1–3 June 2012; pp. 1–6. [CrossRef]
- 23. Pang, H.C.; Li, Y.Y.; Yu, T.Y.; Liu, G.J.; Dong, L.H. Effects of microorganism agent on soil salination and alfalfa growth under different salt stress. *Plant Nutr. Fertil. Sci.* 2011, 17, 1403–1408.
- 24. Kirk, J.L.; Beaudette, L.A.; Hart, M.; Moutoglis, P.; Klironomos, J.N.; Lee, H.; Trevors, J.T. Methods of studying soil microbial diversity. *J. Microbiol. Methods* 2004, *58*, 169–188. [CrossRef]
- 25. Yu, H.Y.; Li, T.X. Evolution of salt accumulation greenhouse soil in Liaoning province. J. Soil Water Conserv. 2005, 19, 80–83.
- 26. Zhang, J.G.; Xu, X.W.; Li, S.Y.; Zhao, Y.; Zhang, A.F.; Zhang, T.B.; Jiang, R. Is the Taklimakan Desert Highway shelterbelt sus-tainable to long-term drip irrigation with high saline groundwater? *PLoS ONE* **2016**, *11*, 1–16.
- 27. Wall, D.H.; Virginia, R.A. Controls on soil biodiversity: Insights from extreme environments. *Appl. Soil Ecol.* **1999**, *13*, 137–150. [CrossRef]
- 28. Lei, J.; Li, S.; Jin, Z.; Fan, J.; Wang, H.; Fan, D.; Zhou, H.; Gu, F.; Qiu, Y.; Xu, B. Comprehensive eco-environmental effects of the shelter-forest ecological engineering along the Tarim Desert Highway. *Sci. Bull.* **2008**, *53*, 190–202. [CrossRef]
- 29. Gu, F.X.; Pan, X.L.; Pan, B.R.; Wen, Q.K. Changing of eolian soil fertility in central Taklimakan Desert under impact of artificial vegetation. *Ecol. Sin.* 2002, 22, 1179–1188.

- 30. Zhang, H.B.; Duan, C.Q.; Qu, L.H. Culture independent methods for studies on microbial ecology of soils. *Chin. J. Ecol.* **2003**, *22*, 131–136.
- 31. Wang, Y.; Han, B.; Shi, Z.Q.; Shao, G.Q.; Jiang, X.D.; Ning, T.Y.; Jiao, N.Y.; Li, Z.J. Effects of conservation tillage on soil microbial characters and soil enzyme activities. *J. Soil Water Conserv.* **2006**, *20*, 120–122.