New Derivatives of Lupeol and Their Biological Activity

Hoang-Thuy-Tien Le¹, Quoc-Cuong Chau¹, Thuc-Huy Duong^{1,*}, Quyen-Thien-Phuc Tran¹, Nguyen-Kim-Tuyen Pham², Thi-Hoai-Thu Nguyen³, Ngoc-Hong Nguyen⁴ and Jirapast Sichaem^{5,*}

- ¹ Department of Organic Chemistry, Faculty of Chemistry, Ho Chi Minh City University of Education, Ho Chi Minh City 72711, Vietnam; lehoangthuytien270591@gmail.com (H.-T.-T.L.); cuongchau.dre@gmail.com (Q.-C.C.); tranphuc410@gmail.com (Q.-T.-P.T.)
- ² Faculty of Environmental Science, Sai Gon University, Ho Chi Minh City 72711, Vietnam; phngktuyen@sgu.edu.vn
- ³ Faculty of Basic Sciences, University of Medicine and Pharmacy at Ho Chi Minh City, 217 Hong Bang Street, Dist. 5, Ho Chi Minh City 700000, Vietnam; nguyenthihoaithu@ump.edu.vn
- ⁴ CirTech Institute, HUTECT University, Ho Chi Minh City 72324, Vietnam; nn.hong@hutect.edu.vn
- ⁵ Research Unit in Natural Products Chemistry and Bioactivities, Faculty of Science and Technology, Thammasat University Lampang Campus, Lampang 52190, Thailand
- * Correspondence: huydt@hcmue.edu.vn_(T.-H.D.); jirapast@tu.ac.th (J.S.); Tel.: +84-919011884 (T.-H.D.); +66-54237999 (J.S.)

Abstract: The natural product lupeol (1) was isolated from *Bombax ceiba* leaves, which were used as starting material in the semisynthetic approach. Three new derivatives (**2a**, **2b**, and **3**) were synthesized using oxidation and aldolization. Their chemical structures were elucidated by spectroscopic analyses (HRESIMS and NMR). Compounds **3** showed significant α -glucosidase inhibition with an IC₅₀ value of 202 μ M, whereas **2a** and **2b** were inactive.

Keywords: lupeol derivative; benzylidene derivative; α -glucosidase inhibition; Oxone[®]







Figure S2. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz) spectrum of 2a.



Figure S3. ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 125 MHz) spectrum of 2a.



Figure S4. HMBC (CDCl₃) spectrum of 2a.



Figure S5. HRESIMS spectrum of 2b.



Figure S6. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz) spectrum of 2b.







Figure S8. HMBC (CDCl₃) spectrum of 2b.



Figure S9. HMBC correlations of 2a and 2b.



Figure S10. HRESIMS spectrum of 3.



Figure S11. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz) spectrum of 3.



Figure S12. ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 125 MHz) spectrum of 3.

NI	2a (CDCl3)		2b (CDCl ₃)	
N —	¹ H-NMR δ _H	¹³ C-NMR δ _C	¹ H-NMR $\delta_{\rm H}$	¹³ C-NMR δ c
	$H = 29 \\ 20 \\ 20 \\ 12 \\ H = 18 \\ 12 $		³⁰ H 18 18 21 22	
	$\begin{array}{c} 25 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 $	17 16 28	$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} 25 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2$	15 28
1	-	38.0	-	38.0
2	-	27.1	-	26.9
			4.48 (1H, dd, J = 11.5, 5.5 Hz)	
3	4.48 (1H, dd, J = 10.5, 6.0 Hz)	81.1		81.1
			0	
4	-	38.5	-	38.5
5	0.79 (1H, d, J = 9.5 Hz)	55.5	0.77 (1H, d, J = 2.0 Hz)	55.5
6	-	18.4	-	18.4
7	-	34.4	-	34.4
8	-	41.0	-	41.0
9	-	50.2	-	50.0
10	-	37.2	-	37.3
11	-	21.0	-	21.0
12	-	23.8	-	23.9
13	-	37.3	-	37.5
14	-	43.0	-	43.0
15	-	27.3	-	27.4
16	-	35.5	-	35.3
17	-	43.5	-	43.2
18	-	48.8	-	47.1
19	2.13 (1H, <i>m</i>)	42.6	2.31 (1H, <i>m</i>)	44.4
20	5.33 (1H, <i>m</i>)	73.4	5.26 (1H, <i>m</i>)	72.7
21	-	29.9	-	29.9
22	-	40.5	-	40.1
23	0.85 (3H, s)	28.1	0.85 (3H, s)	28.1
24	0.84 (3H, s)	16.1	0.84 (3H, s)	16.1
25	0.87 (3H, s)	16.7	0.86 (3H, s)	16.7
26	1.03 (3H, <i>s</i>)	16.3	1.03 (3H, s)	16.3
27	0.90 (3H, <i>s</i>)	14.4	0.85 (3H, s)	14.4
28	0.76 (3H, s)	18.1	0.75 (3H, s)	18.1
29	8.00 (1H, s)	161.6	8.11 (1H, s)	163.7
30	1.18 (3H, d, J = 6.5 Hz)	14.2	1.22 (3H, d , $J = 6.5$ Hz)	20.1
1′	-	171.2	-	171.1
2′	2.05 (3H, s)	21.5	2.04 (3H, s)	21.5

Table S1. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) and ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃) data of 2a and 2b.