

# Article A Novel Model of Staphylococcus aureus-Induced Lymphoplasmacytic Rhinosinusitis in Rats

William Murphy <sup>1,2,\*</sup>, Sha Liu <sup>1,2</sup>, Karen Hon <sup>1,2</sup>, John Finnie <sup>3</sup>, George Spyro Bouras <sup>1,2</sup>, Sholeh Feizi <sup>1,2</sup>, Ghais Houtak <sup>1,2</sup>, Gohar Shaghayegh <sup>1,2</sup>, Erich Vyskocil <sup>1,4</sup>, Peter-John Wormald <sup>1,2</sup>, Sarah Vreugde <sup>1,2</sup> and Alkis J. Psaltis <sup>1,2,\*</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Surgery-Otolaryngology Head and Neck Surgery, Basil Hetzel Institute for Translational Health Research, Central Adelaide Local Health Network, Woodville South, SA 5011, Australia
- <sup>2</sup> The Department of Surgery, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, SA 5005, Australia
- <sup>3</sup> Division of Research and Innovation, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, SA 5005, Australia
- <sup>4</sup> Department of Otolaryngology, Head and Neck Surgery, Medical University of Vienna, 1090 Vienna, Austria
- Correspondence: william.murphy@adelaide.edu.au (W.M.); alkis.psaltis@adelaide.edu.au (A.J.P.)

Abstract: Chronic rhinosinusitis (CRS) is characterized by sinonasal mucosal inflammation. Staphylococcus aureus (S. aureus) is associated with severe CRS phenotypes. Different animal models have been proposed to study the association of CRS and S. aureus. However, current animal models are expensive due to the use of large animals, have high barriers to ethics approval, or require invasive surgical intervention, necessitating a need for a model that can overcome these limitations. This study aimed at establishing a reliable and efficient rat lymphoplasmacytic inflammatory model for rhinosinusitis. Sprague Dawley rats received a daily intranasal application of 20 µL of saline, S. aureus CI-182 exoprotein (250 µg/mL), or exoprotein CI-182 in combination with S. aureus clinical isolate (CI-908 or CI-913) 10<sup>8</sup> colony-forming unit (CFU)/mL. The rats' sinuses were harvested at 1 and 2 weeks post-intervention. The CFU and histopathologic examination of inflammation were evaluated. S. aureus clinical isolates CI-908 or CI-913 in combination with the exoprotein (CI-182) had higher CFUs and caused persistently higher inflammation at both the 1 and 2-week post-intervention compared to the exoprotein and saline group. The observed inflammatory cell type was lymphoplasmacytic. This study provided evidence that the combination of a S. aureus exoprotein with S. aureus induces inflammation that persists for a minimum of two weeks post-intervention. This model is the first known animal model to create the lymphoplasmacytic inflammation subtype seen in CRS patients. This offers a cost-effective, accessible, non-invasive, and easy-to-replicate model to study the causes and treatment of such inflammation.

**Keywords:** *Staphylococcus aureus;* chronic rhinosinusitis; lymphoplasmacytic inflammatory response; rodent model

# 1. Introduction

Chronic rhinosinusitis (CRS) is characterized by the inflammation of the mucosal lining of the paranasal sinus [1–4]. Approximately 10% of Western populations are affected by this disease [5]. Various studies have linked *S. aureus* to the CRS pathophysiology, in particular the more severe disease phenotypes [6,7]. *S. aureus* is the most frequently cultured bacteria in patients with CRS exacerbation [8] and influences inflammation by disrupting epithelial barrier function, impairing mucociliary clearance and inducing innate and adaptive immune responses, which may result in polyp formation [6]. Clinically, rhinosinusitis can be classified according to the duration of symptoms, including acute, subacute, or chronic [9,10]. Acute rhinosinusitis is typically virally mediated and lasts for 2–3 days. When symptoms persist beyond 5–7 days, secondary bacterial superinfection is thought likely and, in these patients, neutrophilic inflammation predominates [11]. CRS, on



Citation: Murphy, W.; Liu, S.; Hon, K.; Finnie, J.; Bouras, G.S.; Feizi, S.; Houtak, G.; Shaghayegh, G.; Vyskocil, E.; Wormald, P.-J.; et al. A Novel Model of *Staphylococcus aureus*-Induced Lymphoplasmacytic Rhinosinusitis in Rats. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2024, *25*, 3336. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/ijms25063336

Academic Editor: Seung-Heon Shin

Received: 20 February 2024 Revised: 8 March 2024 Accepted: 13 March 2024 Published: 15 March 2024



**Copyright:** © 2024 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). the other hand, is characterized mainly by the Th1, Th2, and Th17 inflammatory responses. Recently, focus on the understanding of CRS has shifted to endotyping, with further investigation into the underlying inflammatory types and the associated disease outcomes intensifying. On a cellular level, five phenotypes of nasal polyps have been reported, including eosinophilic, neutrophilic, lymphocytic, plasma cell, and a rarer lymphoplasmacytic predominant phenotype [12]. Attention has primarily surrounded eosinophilic driven inflammation, as it is the most prominent type observed in the Caucasian population. Little focus has been paid to the rarer mixed lymphoplasmacytic subtype. Eosinophilic driven inflammation is characterized by its responsiveness to steroids, whereas non-eosinophilic subtypes have low responsiveness to corticosteroids [13,14]. CRS with lymphoplasmacytic infiltration is associated with early polyp recurrence post-surgery that is often steroidresistant. These patients often require long-term macrolide therapy for disease control [12]. Interestingly, patients treated with benralizumab for eosinophilic predominant CRS resulted in a reduction in eosinophilic inflammation and a shift towards lymphoplasmacytic inflammation, potentially suggesting underlying lymphoplasmacytic inflammation being present beneath eosinophilic driven inflammation, warranting further exploration of lymphoplasmacytic inflammation in CRS [15]. The current literature on CRS endotypes and biomarkers suggests that medical treatment should be tailored to the patients, including corticosteroids, antibiotics, and biologics [16]. Further research into the lymphoplasmacytic subtype is clearly needed to better understand the relationship between this inflammatory infiltration and its association with CRS to guide disease management.

Experimental research many uses animal models in the context of understanding the pathophysiology of diseases, and in preclinical studies to test the safety and effectiveness of novel therapies [17]. The development of animal models for sinusitis dates to the rabbit model developed by Hilding et al. [18]. Subsequent models have also been established in mice and sheep [19]. To date, there is no established animal sinusitis model focusing on lymphoplasmacytic inflammation. Currently, there is no perfect animal model that faithfully replicates the pathophysiology of acute or chronic rhinosinusitis, with each currently available model having their own deficiencies.

Ethical guidelines categorize research animals into two groups: small and large animals. Small animals only necessitate approval from a local animal ethics committee, while larger animals, including sheep, require approval from both the central and local animal ethics committees. Many existing sinusitis models entail invasive procedures and surgical interventions, leading to potential harm and discomfort for the animals involved [20,21].

Although mice are commonly used in research because of their low cost, ease of maintenance, and genetic modifications, their sinonasal anatomy differs substantially from human anatomy. They lack a true sinus, and their small size can limit tissue sampling, which also presents mechanistic limitations [20,22]. Furthermore, they lack essential genes, such as the cystic fibrosis transmembrane regulator (CFTR) gene, important in mucociliary clearance, limiting their use for studying the pathophysiology of CRS and other various phenotypes [22,23].

Rabbit models present certain advantages, largely based on their size and welldeveloped sinuses, allowing relatively easy sinus access to create inflammation and for sampling tissues. Nevertheless, there are significant costs and ethical considerations that need to be considered. These costs include dedicated housing facilities as well as the need for well-trained and skilled large animal handlers. Complications from the instrumentation of the sinuses, such as epiphora and pneumonia, are not uncommon and raise ethical concerns regarding the impact of such models on a rabbit's quality of life [20,24].

A *S. aureus* biofilm-sheep frontal sinusitis model was established and successfully used in various preclinical safety and efficacy studies [25–27]. Sheep possess sinus anatomy and physiology closely resembling that of humans. Nevertheless, they are subjected to stringent ethical regulations and come with very high housing costs.

Considering the constraints outlined above regarding the currently available models, this project sought to assess the suitability of a rat model for investigating lymphoplasma-

cytic rhinosinusitis. By creating a rat model with rich lymphoplasmacytic infiltration, the further characterization of this rarer subtype was possible and provided a viable in vivo model for further investigation into potential underlying disease mechanisms and treatment targets associated with this inflammation type. Although still considered small animals, rats offer several advantages over mice. They are larger in size, translating into easier access to their sinuses, and unlike mice, they also possess (rudimentary) paranasal sinus cavities and an increased number of submucosal glands, which renders them more physiologically akin to humans than mice [28].

#### 2. Results

## 2.1. S. aureus Clinical Isolate Selection

*Staphylococcus aureus* (*S. aureus*) clinical isolates (CI-182, CI-908, and CI-913) were obtained from patients with CRS. They were selected from patients with high CRS disease severity scores, being symptomatic (22-item sino-nasal outcome test (SNOT-22)), radiological (Lund–Mackay) and endoscopic (Lund–Kennedy) scores (Table 1), reflecting high disease burden strains. All patients (1 male and 2 female) had CRS with nasal polyps (CRSwNP) and asthma and one patient also had gastro-esophageal reflux disease (GORD) and another aspirin sensitivity.

**Table 1.** *S. aureus* clinical isolate patient summary. CI = clinical isolates; yo = years old; GORD = gastroesophageal reflux disease; CRSwNP = chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyps; SNOT 22 = sino-nasal outcome test; LM = Lund–Mackay; LK = Lund–Kennedy. *S. aureus: Staphylococcus aureus*.

CI-182	CI-908	CI-913	
Male	Female	Female	
62 yo	73 yo	53 yo	
GORD and asthma	Asthma	Asthma and aspirin sensitivity	
CRSwNP	CRSwNP	CRSwNP	
SNOT 22–34	SNOT 22-71	SNOT 22-69	
LM: 20	LM: 20	LM: 24	
LK: 18	LK: 18	LK: 20	

## 2.2. Rat Nasal Cavity CFU Count

The number of colony-forming units (CFUs) of *S. aureus* harvested from both nostrils at day 37 and day 44 were investigated. The CFU results were similar between both time points and hence the datapoints were merged. The rats challenged with only exoproteins as well as with any of the CIs in the exoproteins had higher CFUs compared to the saline-treated group, which did not grow any *S. aureus* strains (p < 0.05). The rats challenged with CI-908 had significantly higher CFUs than those challenged with CI-913, and both were higher than the exoprotein-only-treated rats or the control group. Long-read sequencing identified *S. aureus* in the exoprotein-only-treated group as CI-913. This suggests the presence and subsequent significant difference in CFUs between the exoprotein-only-treated group with cI-913 (Figure 1).

#### 2.3. S. aureus Clinical Isolates and Exoproteins Induced Significant Inflammation

The inflammatory infiltrate, primarily consisting of lymphoplasmacytic cells, was prominent and infiltrated the epithelium, leading to the disruption of the lamina. Inflammation was present in both the respiratory and olfactory epithelium (Supplementary Figure S3). There was non-specific peribronchial infiltration observed in the exoprotein and both *Staphylococcus* groups. The kidney and spleen had no observable changes in any of the rats (Supplementary Figure S4).



**Figure 1.** *S. aureus* CFU. The CFUs of *S. aureus* strains CI-908 (S1), CI-913 (S2), exoprotein (Exo), and the saline control in the nasal cavity were analyzed, and the significance was determined using an ANOVA. The asterisk (\*\*\*) denotes statistical significance at p < 0.001 and \*\*\*\* p < 0.0001. *S. aureus: Staphylococcus aureus;* CFU: colony-forming units.

For the assessment of inflammation, ten areas with the highest degree of inflammation were selected from each animal. The severity of lymphoplasmacytic infiltration in the lamina propria was graded by a pathologist blinded to the treatment groups on high-power (X40) fields ranging from 0 to 3, indicating no inflammation, mild, moderate, or severe inflammation, respectively. Representative images for each grade (0 to 3) are presented in Table 2. The examination revealed significant inflammation in the rats inoculated with *S. aureus* and/or the exoprotein, particularly in the *S. aureus* CI groups when compared to the exoprotein and saline control group. Additionally, the exoprotein group exhibited significantly greater inflammation than the saline control group (Figure 2A and Table 2). Inflammation was observed both anteriorly and posteriorly without any significant difference between both locations (Figure 2B).



**Figure 2.** *S. aureus* and exoprotein-induced inflammation in vivo. (**A**) Inflammation scores of the sinonasal cavities for rats challenged with CI-908 (S 908), CI-913 (S 913), exoprotein or control (saline). (**B**) Anterior (**A**) and posterior sections (**B**) were compared with the samples from different segments of the same rat. S 908 n = 6; S 913 n = 6; Exo n = 4; and control n = 3. The significance was determined by comparing the results with the saline control. The asterisks indicate statistical significance (\*\*: *p* < 0.001; \*\*\*\*: *p* < 0.0001), ns = not significant. *S. aureus: Staphylococcus aureus*.



**Table 2.** Inflammatory infiltration scoring. The representative image  $(\times 40)$  was selected to represent each score.

## 2.4. S. aureus Invades the Nasal Mucosa

The results of the gram staining procedure confirmed the presence of *S. aureus*. This bacterium was observed to colonize the epithelial layer of the sinuses, which constitutes

the outermost cellular lining of the nasal passages and was identified within epithelial cells. Further investigation revealed that *S. aureus* also possessed the capability to infiltrate the subepithelial region and breach the lamina propria, a thin layer of connective tissue located beneath the epithelium. These findings suggest that the bacterium exhibits the capacity to penetrate "damaged" nasal tissue (Table 3).

**Table 3.** Representative inflammation for the control (saline), exoprotein, CI-908, and CI-913-treated rats. There are three representative areas of inflammation for each sample, with a low-powered  $(10 \times)$  view and high-powered  $(40 \times)$  view of each of those areas.

Magnification	Saline	Exoprotein	Staph CI908	Staph CI913
10×#1				
40×#1				
10×#2				
40×#2				
10×#3				
40×#3				

## 3. Discussion

In this study, our objective was to develop a rat sinonasal inflammation model that mimics the inflammation seen in *S. aureus* CRS in humans. This is the first animal model that reflects the inflammatory milieu of the less common and less well-described lymphoplasmacytic subtype of CRS. As the focus on CRS shifts towards endotyping and targeted treatment options, this model provides value in establishing the first in vivo model characterized by inflammation, reflective of that seen in lymphoplasmacytic predominant CRS and can be used to help further characterize its rarer inflammatory subtype. By abstaining from surgical procedures and invasive techniques, we not only prioritized the well-being and comfort of the rats but also aimed to create an easy-to-replicate inflammatory model, different to previous animal models which often require surgical interventions. We deliberately chose a rat model given its smaller size than rabbit and sheep, meaning that the animals were easier and cheaper to house, also mitigating many of the availability and ethical concerns that occur with using larger animals.

We utilized a *S. aureus* exoprotein, both individually and in conjunction with two distinct strains of S. aureus. The results demonstrated that both the exoprotein alone and the combination of exoprotein with the S. aureus strains induced notable and widespread inflammation. The combination of the exoprotein with the S. aureus strains demonstrated markedly elevated levels of inflammation in comparison to both the control group and the exoprotein-alone group. This suggests that although the exoprotein can in itself generate an inflammatory response, additional bacterial factors produced by live bacteria further exacerbated the inflammation. We indeed observed significantly higher CFU counts in the rats treated with both CIs of *S. aureus* compared to both the control group and the exoprotein-alone group. This finding was corroborated via gram staining, which confirmed that the inoculated S. aureus bacteria successfully colonized and invaded the sinonasal mucosal membrane. Even though S. aureus was also cultured in the exoprotein-only group, likely due to the contamination of the exoproteins by live bacteria, the CFUs were lower along with significantly reduced levels of inflammation compared to the groups treated with the clinical isolates. This suggests that the level of inflammation is indeed linked to the bacterial load. These findings align with microbiome studies that showed that the severity of CRS is directly related to an increased bacterial load [19,29,30].

The histopathological analysis revealed significant lymphoplasmacytic infiltration with goblet cell hyperplasia in both the anterior and posterior segments of the nasal tissue in the *S. aureus*-infected rats. Although there was no overall difference between the anterior and posterior regions, there was a tendency for the inflammation to be more widely distributed in the former, possibly due to the anatomical differences between these two regions, with the anterior segments receiving more consistent exposure compared to the deeper segments.

The inflammation observed was consistent with prior studies showing increased inflammation in the subepithelial layer and lamina propria of the nasal mucosa after exposure to S. aureus [27,31]. Such severe inflammation with goblet cell hyperplasia is also indicative of epithelial remodeling after chronic antigen exposure [32], and was observed in CRS, chronic airway diseases, cigarette smoke exposure, and cystic fibrosis [33–36]. The lymphoplasmacytic infiltrate was observed most prominently in the S. aureus-infected groups, consistent with the inflammatory milieu observed in CRS [10]. Although lymphoplasmacytic infiltrate is considered rarer compared to the eosinophilic subtype, Mariano et al. [37] examined 277 CRS patients and found lymphoplasmacytic infiltration to be the prominent infiltrate in 111 patients, potentially rendering it a more important inflammatory subtype in CRS than originally believed. Plasma cells (effector B cells) originate from B lymphocytes and secrete antibodies in response to antigens [38]. B cell activation and excess antibody production is associated with CRS, most prominently with CRSwNP [39-41] and is consistent with increased levels of plasma cells and B cells in the polyp tissue from CRSwNP patients [39,40,42–45]. T-lymphocytes (T cells) differentiate into effector T cells, such as CD4+, CD8+, regulatory, cytotoxic, or helper T cells. Different effector T cell subtypes are

involved in CRSsNP and CRSwNP [46–48]. A meta-analysis by Shen et al. [49] showed different inflammatory infiltrates and treatment responsiveness based on the country of origin, with nasal polyps in the Asian population characterized by infiltrates more linked to type one inflammation, which exhibited a greater response to macrolides. In contrast, the Western population's polyps are more associated with type two inflammation, which demonstrates better responsiveness to steroids. Further investigation into the lymphoplasmacytic cell subtype could improve the understanding of the pathogenic relationship between *S. aureus* and CRS, and further exploration could lead to more accurately targeted therapies tailored to this subgroup of patients. Interestingly, in our study, there was no significant difference in the extent of inflammation that was observed between the two time points taken one week apart, even though the rats did not receive further *S. aureus* during this time. Together, our findings indicate the activation of a more chronic immune response in the rats with robust lymphoplasmacytic immune cell infiltration and goblet cell hyperplasia.

The use of the exoprotein alone induced significant inflammation, suggesting the importance of secreted inflammatory proteins in the development of this response. This could be due to the effect of the exoprotein impairing mechanical barriers, such as tight junctions, which contribute to the development of CRS or possibly due to antibodies to the S. aureus exoprotein acting as a superantigen [44,50,51]. S. aureus enterotoxins (SAEs) are a well-described component of the S. aureus exoprotein. They can function as superantigens, resulting in the production of SAE-specific antibodies that can potentially establish sustained inflammation. Our study's findings support this in addition to the direct disruptive effect the exoprotein has on the nasoepithelium. This breach is likely to promote the subepithelial colonization of *S. aureus* in patients with sinusitis and possibly prolongs the duration of inflammation. To minimize contamination, the exoprotein and the *S. aureus* groups were prepared separately, the saline treatment was administered before the infected groups, the rats in the saline group were kept at other ends of the room, the isoflurane box was cleaned between usages, and the room was cleaned pre-treatment and post-treatment. However, our finding of the presence of *S. aureus* in the exoprotein-only-treated group suggests cross-contamination, possibly due to the rats also being housed in the same room despite being in separate cages. The extent to which this contributed to the inflammation seen in this group cannot be discounted, forming a limitation of this study, and does suggest that further experiments mitigating contamination are required to confirm our results.

While the inflammatory environment observed in this study aligns with that observed in the context of CRS in humans, it is important to note a limitation. Although the cell types present in the inflammatory milieu mirror those seen in CRS, the designated time interval of three months of symptoms, as outlined by current guidelines for humans, was not met. As a result, future investigations should aim to determine if the inflammation persists three months post-intervention. Similarly, the inflammation milieu is only representative of that observed in CRS, inflammation is complex, and the underlaying pathology observed and factors interacting may be different in an in vivo sinonasum compared to humans. This is a limitation of this model, which hinders insight into human CRS pathology. The grouping of the 21 rats into different experimental groups resulted in a limited number of animals per group, which could potentially affect the statistical power of the findings, acting as a further limitation.

# 4. Materials and Methods

## 4.1. Animals

The animal procedures were conducted in accordance with the Australian Code for the Care and Use of Animals for Scientific Purposes and were approved by the Animal Ethics Committee of the University of Adelaide, Australia (Approval ID M-2022-059). The rats were housed under standard conditions. The lights were on for 12 h daily, and the cage temperature was  $22 \pm 1$  °C, with continual access to water and standard regular chow and libitum. To minimize animal distress, music was provided, and the animals were

handled regularly to habituate the animals to noise and being handled. The enrichment of the animal's environment was provided through the use of cardboard boxes, rolls, and shredded paper for the animals to hide in, chew, and play with. Furthermore, daily checks for any signs of distress were conducted.

#### 4.2. Staphylococcus aureus Clinical Isolates Cultured from Patients

Ethics approval for obtaining the bacterial swabs was granted by The Central Adelaide Local Health Network Human Research Ethics Committee (reference HREC/15/TWEH/132). Patients were recruited if they were undergoing endoscopic sinus surgery for chronic rhinosinusitis. The diagnostic criteria were based on the European Position Statement on CRS [9]. Written informed consent was provided by all the patients before the study commenced. The clinical histories and demographics of patients along with the severity of CRS were recorded. The disease severity was based on the completion of the Lund-Kennedy (LK), Lund-Mackay (LM), and the 22-item sino-nasal outcome test (SNOT-22) [52–56].

The bacteria were harvested using a Transwab (Medical Wire & Equipment, Corsham, Wiltshire, UK) after brushing gently against the middle meatus. The bacteria were then cultured on 1.5% trypticase soy agar (TSA) (Oxoid, Thebarton, SA, Australia) overnight at 37 °C. Individual colonies were re-streaked on 1.5% TSA plates and incubated overnight at 37 °C again. Individual colonies were identified using MALDI-TOF. The isolates of *S. aureus* were stored in 50% glycerol stock (Merck, Life science, Bayswater, Victoria, Australia) at -80 °C for future use.

#### 4.3. Staphylococcus aureus Biofilm Exoprotein Preparation

*S. aureus* CI-182 was streaked onto a 1.5% TSA plate and incubated overnight at 37 °C. A single colony was then resuspended in 0.9% saline to obtain 1 McFarland (McF) units, followed by dilution in tryptic soy broth (TSB) (Oxoid, Thebarton, SA, Australia) at a ratio of 1 in 15. The cultures were then incubated at 37 °C for 48 h in 6-well plates at 70 rpm to form biofilms. The biofilm supernatants were harvested and filtered using a 0.22-µm syringe filter (PALL Acrodisc, New York, NY, USA) to eliminate any planktonic bacteria and bacterial debris and obtain the exoproteins. The exoproteins were concentrated using a 3k MWCO Pierce Protein Concentrator PES (Scoresby, Victoria, Australia) at 3000 rpm and 4 °C to concentrations of 200 µg/mL.

#### 4.4. Bradford Protein Assay

The Bradford protein assay (Biorad, Hercules, CA, USA) was performed according to the manufacturer's instructions to determine the exoprotein concentration. The Bradford protein assay was performed in triplicates for each sample, and the average protein concentration was reported.

#### 4.5. Selection and Preparation of S. aureus Clinical Isolates for Inoculation

Two days prior to inoculation, the *S. aureus* clinical isolates, CI-908 and CI-913, were streaked onto 1.5% TSA plates. A single colony was resuspended in 0.9% saline to achieve a concentration of 0.5 McF units, then cultured overnight in TSB at a 1:100 dilution. The pellets from CI-908 and CI-913 were then harvested and resuspended in the exoprotein harvested from CI-182 (as mentioned above) to achieve  $2.5 \times 10^9$  CFU/mL.

#### 4.6. Rhinosinusitis Rat Model

Sprague Dawley rats (n = 21, all male, 6 weeks of age) were divided to receive once per day, into each nostril, applications of 20  $\mu$ L of saline for 30 days (group 1, n = 3), 20  $\mu$ L of 250  $\mu$ g/mL *S. aureus* CI-182 exoprotein for 30 days (group 2, n = 6), or 20  $\mu$ L of 250  $\mu$ g/mL of *S. aureus* CI-182 exoprotein for 13 days (groups 3 and 4), followed by 10<sup>8</sup> CFU/mL CI-908 (group 3, n = 6) or 10<sup>8</sup> CFU/mL CI-913 into 20  $\mu$ L of 250  $\mu$ g/mL of *S. aureus* CI-182 exoprotein for 30 days (groups 3 and 4), followed by 10<sup>8</sup> CFU/mL CI-908 (group 3, n = 6) or 10<sup>8</sup> CFU/mL CI-913 into 20  $\mu$ L of 250  $\mu$ g/mL of *S. aureus* CI-182 exoprotein for 30 days (group 3, n = 6) or 10<sup>8</sup> CFU/mL CI-913 into 20  $\mu$ L of 250  $\mu$ g/mL of *S. aureus* CI-182 exoprotein for 30 days (group 4, n = 6) (Figure 3).

the intervention was stopped on day 30. The rats were humanely euthanized at two time points, on days 37 and 44 (7 and 14 days after stopping the intervention), respectively. The nasal cavities were rinsed with 200  $\mu$ L 0.9% saline and collected for establishing the CFUs. The nasal tissues were then harvested and placed in 10% EDTA for decalcification for four weeks. After decalcification, the nasal tissues were fixed in 10% neutral buffer formalin for histopathological examination.



Figure 3. Experimental flowchart.

## 4.7. CFU Counts

The nasal rinses were kept on ice, serially diluted with 0.9% saline, and spotted in triplicates on sheep blood agar (Beckton Dickenson, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA), then incubated at 37 °C overnight. The CFUs were counted and calculated.

#### 4.8. Histopathology Examination with Haematoxylin and Eosin and Gram Staining

The decalcified rat heads were embedded in paraffin, and 6 µm sections were cut and stained with haematoxylin and eosin (H&E) or gram staining using standard protocols. Ten areas were selected and graded from 0 to 3 for inflammation for both the anterior and posterior segments in accordance with Houtak et al. (Supplementary Figures S1 and S2) [57].

#### 4.9. Genomic DNA Extraction and Sequencing

For the *S. aureus* isolates from the exoprotein group as well as CI-182, CI-908, and CI-913, whole genome sequencing was performed before and after the intervention. The genomic DNA was extracted using the DNeasy Blood and Tissue Kit (Qiagen, 69504, Hilden, Germany) following the manufacturer's guidelines. The genomic DNA was sequenced using the Oxford Nanopore Technologies (ONT) Gridion Device (Oxford Nanopore Technologies, Oxford, UK). The SQK-RBK 114.96 Rapid Barcoding Kit (Oxford Nanopore Technology) was used with R10.4.1 MinION flowcells (Oxford Nanopore Technology). Base-calling was conducted with Dorado v 0.4.0 in the super accuracy mode, using the 'r10.4.1 e8.2 400bps\_sup@v4.2.0' configuration (Oxford Nanopore Technology).

#### 4.10. Bioinformatics

Adapters and barcodes were removed from long reads using Porechop [58], with longread-only assemblies created using Flye v2.9.2 with the option "–nano-hq." [59]. Sequence types were assigned using multi-locus sequence typing (MLST) in the MLST program [60] (Supplementary Table S1).

## 4.11. Statistical Analysis

The statistical analysis of the data was performed using GraphPad Prism 8.0 (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA, USA). The statistical significance was determined using a one-way (analysis of variance) ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparisons, which was used to test for differences in single independent variables (i.e., inflammation scores and  $log_{10}$  CFU among the different treatment groups). Tukey's multiple comparisons was used as a Tukey test compares the means of one group with every other treatment group. A *p*-value of <0.05 was considered significant.

## 5. Conclusions

Our study successfully establishes the first rat model with a focus on lymphoplasmacytic inflammation in the sinuses. The combination of the *S. aureus* exoprotein with live *S. aureus* bacteria induces inflammation that persists for a minimum of two weeks post-intervention. This model suggests the possible creation of a self-sustaining inflammatory response at least in the short term. Through the effective generation of robust lymphoplasmacytic infiltration, this model opens the door for additional research into this rarer inflammatory subtype. It presents a valuable tool for investigating mechanisms and interventions related to sinusitis in a preclinical setting.

**Supplementary Materials:** The supporting information can be downloaded at: https://www.mdpi. com/article/10.3390/ijms25063336/s1.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, E.V. and G.H.; methodology, W.M., S.L., K.H., S.F. and G.S.; formal analysis, W.M., G.S.B. and J.F.; writing—original draft preparation, W.M.; writing—review and editing, W.M., S.L., K.H., J.F., G.S.B., S.F., G.H., G.S., E.V., P.-J.W., S.V. and A.J.P.; supervision, P.-J.W., S.V. and A.J.P. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** Animal procedures were conducted in accordance with the Australian Code for the Care and Use of Animals for Scientific Purposes and were approved by the Animal Ethics Committee of the University of Adelaide, Australia (Approval ID M-2022-059). Ethics approval for obtaining bacterial swabs was granted by The Central Adelaide Local Health Network Human Research Ethics Committee (reference HREC/15/TWEH/132).

**Informed Consent Statement:** Informed consent was obtained from all the subjects involved in the study.

Data Availability Statement: The data are contained within the article and Supplementary Materials.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflicts of interest that are relevant to this paper. A.J.P. is a consultant for Medtronic and Neurent and receives a speaker's honorarium for Sequiris, Storz, and Sanofi, GSK and is a shareholder of Chitogel. P.-J.W. is a consultant for Neilmed, Stryker, Neurent, receives royalties from Integra, and is a shareholder of Chitogel.

## References

- Benninger, M.S.; Ferguson, B.J.; Hadley, J.A.; Hamilos, D.L.; Jacobs, M.; Kennedy, D.W.; Lanza, D.C.; Marple, B.F.; Osguthorpe, J.D.; Stankiewicz, J.A.; et al. Adult Chronic Rhinosinusitis: Definitions, Diagnosis, Epidemiology, and Pathophysiology. *Otolaryngol. Head Neck Surg.* 2003, 129, S1–S32. [CrossRef]
- Lee, S.; Lane, A.P. Chronic rhinosinusitis as a multifactorial inflammatory disorder. *Curr. Infect. Dis. Rep.* 2011, 13, 159–168. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Vlaminck, S.; Acke, F.; Scadding, G.K.; Lambrecht, B.N.; Gevaert, P. Pathophysiological and clinical aspects of chronic rhinosinusitis: Current concepts. *Front. Allergy* 2021, 2, 741788. [CrossRef]
- Van Crombruggen, K.; Zhang, N.; Gevaert, P.; Tomassen, P.; Bachert, C. Pathogenesis of chronic rhinosinusitis: Inflammation. J. Allergy Clin. Immunol. 2011, 128, 728–732. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Habib, A.-R.; Campbell, R.; Kalish, L.; Wong, E.H.; Grayson, J.; Alvarado, R.; Sacks, R.; Harvey, R.J. The burden of chronic upper airway disorders in Australia: A population-based cross-sectional study. *Aust. J. Otolaryngol.* 2019, 2, 28. [CrossRef]
- Vickery, T.W.; Ramakrishnan, V.R.; Suh, J.D. The Role of *Staphylococcus aureus* in Patients with Chronic Sinusitis and Nasal Polyposis. *Curr. Allergy Asthma Rep.* 2019, 19, 21. [CrossRef]

- 7. Van Staa, T.P.; Travis, S.; Leufkens, H.G.; Logan, R.F. 5-aminosalicylic acids and the risk of renal disease: A large British epidemiologic study. *Gastroenterology* **2004**, *126*, 1733–1739. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 8. Okifo, O.; Ray, A.; Gudis, D.A. The Microbiology of Acute Exacerbations in Chronic Rhinosinusitis—A Systematic Review. *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.* **2022**, *12*, 858196. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 9. Fokkens, W.J.; Lund, V.J.; Hopkins, C.; Hellings, P.W.; Kern, R.; Reitsma, S.; Toppila-Salmi, S.; Bernal-Sprekelsen, M.; Mullol, J.; Alobid, I.; et al. European position paper on rhinosinusitis and nasal polyps 2020. *Rhinology* **2020**, *58*, 1–464. [CrossRef]
- 10. Al-Sayed, A.A.; Agu, R.U.; Massoud, E. Models for the study of nasal and sinus physiology in health and disease: A review of the literature. *Laryngoscope Investig. Otolaryngol.* **2017**, *2*, 398–409. [CrossRef]
- Chow, A.W.; Benninger, M.S.; Brook, I.; Brozek, J.L.; Goldstein, E.J.; Hicks, L.A.; Pankey, G.A.; Seleznick, M.; Volturo, G.; Wald, E.R. IDSA clinical practice guideline for acute bacterial rhinosinusitis in children and adults. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 2012, 54, e72–e112. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 12. Lou, H.; Meng, Y.; Piao, Y.; Zhang, N.; Bachert, C.; Wang, C.; Zhang, L. Cellular phenotyping of chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyps. *Rhinology* **2016**, *54*, 150–159. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Wen, W.; Liu, W.; Zhang, L.; Bai, J.; Fan, Y.; Xia, W.; Luo, Q.; Zheng, J.; Wang, H.; Li, Z.; et al. Increased neutrophilia in nasal polyps reduces the response to oral corticosteroid therapy. J. Allergy Clin. Immunol. 2012, 129, 1522–1528.e5. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Kirtsreesakul, V.; Atchariyasathian, V. Nasal Polyposis: Role of Allergy on Therapeutic Response of Eosinophil- and Noneosinophil-Dominated Inflammation. *Am. J. Rhinol.* **2006**, *20*, 95–100. [CrossRef]
- Ho, J.; Alvarado, R.; Rimmer, J.; Sewell, W.A.; Walter, S.; Earls, P.; Campbell, R.G.; Sacks, R.; Kalish, L.H.; Harvey, R.J. Comparison of Sinonasal Histopathological Changes in Biological Treatment of Eosinophilic Chronic Rhinosinusitis. *Am. J. Rhinol. Allergy* 2021, *36*, 72–80. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 16. Xu, Z.; Huang, Y.; Delemarre, T.; Cavaliere, C.; Zhang, N.; Bachert, C. Advances in chronic rhinosinusitis in 2020 and 2021. J. *Allergy Clin. Immunol.* **2021**, *149*, 854–866. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Barré-Sinoussi, F.; Montagutelli, X.; MacLeod, R.; Hillert, E.-K.; Cameron, R.T.; Baillie, G.S.; Caffrey, C.R.; White, J.T.; Crossman, M.; Subramanyam, M. Animal models are essential to biological research: Issues and perspectives. *Futur. Sci. OA* 2015, 1, FSO63. [CrossRef]
- 18. Hilding, A. Experimental sinus surgery. Effects of operative windows on nasal sinuses. *Ann. Otol. Rhinol. Laryngol.* **1933**, 17, 321–327.
- 19. Hoggard, M.; Biswas, K.; Zoing, M.; Mackenzie, B.W.; Taylor, M.W.; Douglas, R.G. Evidence of microbiota dysbiosis in chronic rhinosinusitis. *Int. Forum Allergy Rhinol.* 2016, 7, 230–239. [CrossRef]
- Lux, C.; Douglas, R.; Cho, D.-Y.; Taylor, M.; Biswas, K. Animal models for inflammatory mucosal disease and their potential for studying the microbiome in chronic rhinosinusitis. *Rhinol. Online* 2019, 2, 69–80. [CrossRef]
- 21. Mapara, M.; Thomas, B.S.; Bhat, K.M. Rabbit as an animal model for experimental research. *Dent. Res. J.* **2012**, *9*, 111–118. [CrossRef]
- 22. Lindsay, R.; Slaughter, T.; Britton-Webb, J.; Mog, S.R.; Conran, R.; Tadros, M.; Earl, N.; Fox, D.; Roberts, J.; Bolger, W.E. Development of a Murine Model of Chronic Rhinosinusitis. *Otolaryngol. Neck Surg.* **2006**, *134*, 724–730. [CrossRef]
- 23. Lavelle, G.M.; White, M.M.; Browne, N.; McElvaney, N.G.; Reeves, E.P. Animal Models of Cystic Fibrosis Pathology: Phenotypic Parallels and Divergences. *BioMed Res. Int.* 2016, 2016, 525872. [CrossRef]
- 24. Marks, S.C. Acute Sinusitis in the Rabbit: A New Rhinogenic Model. Laryngoscope 1997, 107, 1579–1585. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 25. Ha, K.R.; Psaltis, A.J.; Tan, L.; Wormald, P.-J. A Sheep Model for the Study of Biofilms in Rhinosinusitis. *Am. J. Rhinol.* 2007, 21, 339–345. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 26. Boase, S.; Jervis-Bardy, J.; Cleland, E.; Pant, H.; Tan, L.; Wormald, P. Bacterial-induced epithelial damage promotes fungal biofilm formation in a sheep model of sinusitis. *Int. Forum Allergy Rhinol.* **2013**, *3*, 341–348. [CrossRef]
- 27. Boase, S.; Valentine, R.; Singhal, D.; Tan, L.W.; Wormald, P. A sheep model to investigate the role of fungal biofilms in sinusitis: Fungal and bacterial synergy. *Int. Forum Allergy Rhinol.* **2011**, *1*, 340–347. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Smolich, J.J.; Stratford, B.F.; Maloney, J.E.; Ritchie, B.C. New features in the development of the submucosal gland of the respiratory tract. J. Anat. 1978, 127, 223–238.
- Psaltis, A.J.; Wormald, P.-J. Therapy of Sinonasal Microbiome in CRS: A Critical Approach. *Curr. Allergy Asthma Rep.* 2017, 17, 59. [CrossRef]
- Mackenzie, B.W.; Waite, D.W.; Hoggard, M.; Douglas, R.G.; Taylor, M.W.; Biswas, K. Bacterial community collapse: A metaanalysis of the sinonasal microbiota in chronic rhinosinusitis. *Environ. Microbiol.* 2017, 19, 381–392. [CrossRef]
- Jia, M.; Chen, Z.; Du, X.; Guo, Y.; Sun, T.; Zhao, X. A Simple Animal Model of *Staphylococcus Aureus* Biofilm in Sinusitis. *Am. J. Rhinol. Allergy* 2014, 28, e115–e119. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 32. Redington, A.E. Fibrosis and airway remodelling. Clin. Exp. Allergy 2000, 30, 42–45. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 33. Silva, M.A.; Bercik, P. Macrophages are related to goblet cell hyperplasia and induce MUC5B but not MUC5AC in human bronchus epithelial cells. *Mod. Pathol.* **2012**, *92*, 937–948. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Spurzem, J.R.; Thompson, A.B.; Daughton, D.M.; Mueller, M.; Linder, J.; Rennard, S.I. Chronic Inflammation is Associated with an Increased Proportion of Goblet Cells Recovered by Bronchial Lavage. *Chest* 1991, 100, 389–393. [CrossRef]
- Ramadan, H.H.; Hinerman, R.A. Smoke Exposure and Outcome of Endoscopic Sinus Surgery in Children. *Otolaryngol. Neck Surg.* 2002, 127, 546–548. [CrossRef]

- 36. Sethi, S. Bacterial Infection and the Pathogenesis of COPD. Chest 2000, 117, 286S–291S. [CrossRef]
- 37. Marino, M.J.; Garcia, J.O.; Zarka, M.A.; Lal, D. Inflammatory cell predominance and patterns in chronic rhinosinusitis with and without nasal polyposis patients. *Laryngoscope Investig. Otolaryngol.* **2019**, *4*, 573–577. [CrossRef]
- 38. Khonsary, S. Guyton and Hall: Textbook of Medical Physiology. Surg. Neurol. Int. 2017, 8, 275. [CrossRef]
- Tsybikov, N.N.; Egorova, E.V.; Kuznik, B.I.; Fefelova, E.V.; Magen, E. Anticytokine autoantibodies in chronic rhinosinusitis. *Allergy Asthma Proc.* 2015, 36, 473–480. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Wang, M.; Zhang, N.; Zheng, M.; Li, Y.; Meng, L.; Ruan, Y.; Han, J.; Zhao, N.; Wang, X.; Zhang, L.; et al. Cross-talk between TH2 and TH17 pathways in patients with chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyps. *J. Allergy Clin. Immunol.* 2019, 144, 1254–1264. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Hulse, K.E.; Norton, J.E.; Suh, L.; Zhong, Q.; Mahdavinia, M.; Simon, P.; Kern, R.C.; Conley, D.B.; Chandra, R.K.; Tan, B.K.; et al. Chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyps is characterized by B-cell inflammation and EBV-induced protein 2 expression. *J. Allergy Clin. Immunol.* 2013, 131, 1075–1083.e7. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 42. Feldman, S.; Kasjanski, R.; Poposki, J.; Hernandez, D.; Chen, J.N.; Norton, J.E.; Suh, L.; Carter, R.G.; Stevens, W.W.; Peters, A.T.; et al. Chronic airway inflammation provides a unique environment for B cell activation and antibody production. *Clin. Exp. Allergy* **2016**, 47, 457–466. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 43. Xiao, L.; Jia, L.; Bai, L.; He, L.; Yang, B.; Wu, C.; Li, H. Phenotypic and functional characteristics of IL-21-expressing CD8+ T cells in human nasal polyps. *Sci. Rep.* 2016, *6*, 30362. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Gevaert, P.; Holtappels, G.; Johansson, S.G.O.; Cuvelier, C.; van Cauwenberge, P.; Bachert, C. Organization of secondary lymphoid tissue and local IgE formation to *Staphylococcus aureus* enterotoxins in nasal polyp tissue. *Allergy* 2004, *60*, 71–79. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 45. Lau, A.; Lester, S.; Moraitis, S.; Ou, J.; Psaltis, A.J.; McColl, S.; Rischmueller, M.; Wormald, P.-J.; Vreugde, S. Tertiary lymphoid organs in recalcitrant chronic rhinosinusitis. *J. Allergy Clin. Immunol.* **2017**, *139*, 1371–1373.e6. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 46. Hamilos, D.; Leung, D.; Wood, R.; Cunningham, L.; Bean, D.; Yasruel, Z.; Schotman, E.; Hamid, Q. Evidence for distinct cytokine expression in allergic versus nonallergic chronic sinusitis. *J. Allergy Clin. Immunol.* **1995**, *96*, 537–544. [CrossRef]
- 47. Miljkovic, D.; Psaltis, A.; Wormald, P.; Vreugde, S. T regulatory and Th17 cells in chronic rhinosinusitis with polyps. *Int. Forum Allergy Rhinol.* **2016**, *6*, 826–834. [CrossRef]
- 48. Sharma, S.; Watanabe, S.; Sivam, A.; Wang, J.; Neuwirth, S.J.; Perez, R.I.; De Tineo, M.; Baroody, F.M.; Naclerio, R.M.; Pinto, J.M. Peripheral Blood and Tissue T Regulatory Cells in Chronic Rhinosinusitis. *Am. J. Rhinol. Allergy* **2012**, *26*, 371–379. [CrossRef]
- Shen, S.; Lou, H.; Wang, C.; Zhang, L. Macrolide antibiotics in the treatment of chronic rhinosinusitis: Evidence from a metaanalysis. J. Thorac. Dis. 2018, 10, 5913–5923. [CrossRef]
- Chen, J.B.; James, L.K.; Davies, A.M.; Wu, Y.B.; Rimmer, J.; Lund, V.J.; Chen, J.H.; McDonnell, J.M.; Chan, Y.C.; Hutchins, G.H.; et al. Antibodies and superantibodies in patients with chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyps. J. Allergy Clin. Immunol. 2017, 139, 1195–1204.e11. [CrossRef]
- Antunes, M.B.; Gudis, D.A.; Cohen, N.A. Epithelium, Cilia, and Mucus: Their Importance in Chronic Rhinosinusitis. *Immunol. Allergy Clin. N. Am.* 2009, 29, 631–643. [CrossRef]
- 52. Lund, V.J.; Mackay, I.S. Staging in rhinosinusitis. *Rhinology* 1993, 31, 183. [PubMed]
- 53. Psaltis, A.J.; Li, G.; Vaezeafshar, R.; Cho, K.; Hwang, P.H. Modification of the lund-kennedy endoscopic scoring system improves its reliability and correlation with patient-reported outcome measures. *Laryngoscope* **2014**, *124*, 2216–2223. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 54. Lund, V.J.; Kennedy, D.W. Staging for rhinosinusitis. *Otolaryngol.–Head Neck Surg.* 1997, 117 (Suppl. S3), S35–S40. [CrossRef]
- 55. Hopkins, C.; Gillett, S.; Slack, R.; Lund, V.; Browne, J. Psychometric validity of the 22-item Sinonasal Outcome Test. *Clin. Otolaryngol.* **2009**, *34*, 447–454. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Naidoo, Y.; Tan, N.; Singhal, D.; Wormald, P.J. Chronic rhinosinusitis assessment using the Adelaide Disease Severity Score. J. Laryngol. Otol. 2013, 127, S24–S28. [CrossRef]
- 57. Houtak, G.; Nepal, R.; Bouras, G.; Shaghayegh, G.; Bennett, C.; Finnie, J.; Fenix, K.; Psaltis, A.J.; Wormald, P.J.; Vreugde, S. *Staphylococcus aureus* biofilm secreted factors cause mucosal damage, mast cell infiltration and goblet cell hyperplasia in a rat rhinosinusitis model. *bioRxiv* 2023. [CrossRef]
- Wick, R.; Volkening, J.; Loman, N. *Porechop*; v0.2.4; Github: San Francisco, CA, USA, 2017; Available online: https://github.com/ rrwick/porechop (accessed on 2 May 2023).
- Kolmogorov, M.; Yuan, J.; Lin, Y.; Pevzner, P.A. Assembly of long, error-prone reads using repeat graphs. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 2019, 37, 540–546. [CrossRef]
- 60. Seemann, T. *mlst*; v2.19.0; Github: San Francisco, CA, USA; Available online: https://github.com/tseemann/mlst (accessed on 12 July 2020).

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.