

Article



Methylene Blue Induces Antioxidant Defense and Reparation of Mitochondrial DNA in a Nrf2-Dependent Manner during Cisplatin-Induced Renal Toxicity

Natalia A. Samoylova¹, Artem P. Gureev^{1,2,*} and Vasily N. Popov^{1,2}

- ¹ Department of Genetics, Cytology and Bioengineering, Voronezh State University, 394018 Voronezh, Russia; nataliya.samoylova.2000@mail.ru (N.A.S.); pvn@bio.vsu.ru (V.N.P.)
- ² Laboratory of Metagenomics and Food Biotechnology, Voronezh State University of Engineering Technology, 394036 Voronezh, Russia
- * Correspondence: gureev@bio.vsu.ru

Abstract: Cisplatin is a platinum-based cytostatic drug that is widely used for cancer treatment. Mitochondria and mtDNA are important targets for platinum-based cytostatics, which mediates its nephrotoxicity. It is important to develop therapeutic approaches to protect the kidneys from cisplatin during chemotherapy. We showed that the exposure of mitochondria to cisplatin increased the level of lipid peroxidation products in the in vitro experiment. Cisplatin caused strong damage to renal mtDNA, both in the in vivo and in vitro experiments. Cisplatin injections induced oxidative stress by depleting renal antioxidants at the transcriptome level but did not increase the rate of H₂O₂ production in isolated mitochondria. Methylene blue, on the contrary, induced mitochondrial H₂O₂ production. We supposed that methylene blue-induced H₂O₂ production led to activation of the Nrf2/ARE signaling pathway. The consequences of activation of this signaling pathway were manifested in an increase in the expression of some antioxidant genes, which likely caused a decrease in the amount of mtDNA damage. Methylene blue treatment induced an increase in the expression of genes that were involved in the base excision repair (BER) pathway: the main pathway for mtDNA reparation. It is known that the expression of these genes can also be regulated by the Nrf2/ARE signaling pathway. We can assume that the protective effect of methylene blue is related to the activation of Nrf2/ARE signaling pathways, which can activate the expression of genes related to antioxidant defense and mtDNA reparation. Thus, the protection of kidney mitochondria from cisplatin-induced damage using methylene blue can significantly expand its application in medicine.

Keywords: cisplatin; nephrotoxicity; methylene blue; mitochondrial DNA; DNA-reparation; Nrf2/ARE

1. Introduction

Cytostatics are common drugs which are widely used for the treatment of cancer [1]. Within chemotherapy, cytotoxic drugs are used as active agents to treat rapidly spreading tumor cells by inhibiting proliferation, inducing apoptosis, damaging DNA, or disrupting the cell metabolism [2]. Cisplatin is a divalent platinum ammonium chloride complex [3]. It is a widely used chemotherapeutic agent for the treatment of various types of malignant neoplasms, such as melanoma, lymphoma, carcinoma, sarcoma, and germ cell tumors [4], and it has been used for many decades with a significant increase in survival rates [5]. The introduction of cisplatin into therapy has completely changed the prognosis of some cancers. Many studies now state that between 50 and 70% of chemotherapy patients are treated with platinum-based drugs [6]. The anti-tumor effect of the cisplatin is based on its ability to cause the formation of coordination bonds between the two purine bases of DNA and the platinum atom by alkylation. DNA–cisplatin adducts lead to distortions in the structure of the double helix due to the formation of interstrand and intrastrand crosslinks,



Citation: Samoylova, N.A.; Gureev, A.P.; Popov, V.N. Methylene Blue Induces Antioxidant Defense and Reparation of Mitochondrial DNA in a Nrf2-Dependent Manner during Cisplatin-Induced Renal Toxicity. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2023, 24, 6118. https:// doi.org/10.3390/ijms24076118

Academic Editor: Kamps Rick

Received: 6 March 2023 Revised: 20 March 2023 Accepted: 21 March 2023 Published: 24 March 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). which disrupt the mechanisms of DNA replication and transcription, delaying the cell cycle and promoting apoptosis [7].

Unfortunately, cytostatics do not have a local effect and impact on the whole organism. The main limitation of the cisplatin treatment is nephrotoxicity, which occurs in about a third of patients, and usually appears about 10 days after treatment [8]. The sensitivity of the kidneys to the cisplatin is likely related to their major role in its excretion [9]. Due to its low molecular weight and uncharged nature, unbound cisplatin is freely filtered by the glomeruli, and most of it is retained in the renal cortex. The kidneys accumulate platinum in part by transport or specific binding to the main transport system and biotransform it intracellularly [10]. In addition, there is an increase in the production of tumor necrosis factor- α (TNF- α) and reactive oxygen species (ROS), which stimulates inflammation, oxidative stress, vascular damage, necrosis, and apoptosis pathways [11]. These events collectively lead to the vasculature damage, reduction of blood flow, ischemic damage, loss of organ function, and acute renal failure [12], recurring episodes of which can lead to chronic kidney disease [13].

Mitochondria, in particular mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA), are also targets for cisplatin [14]. mtDNA is more sensitive to damage, since it lacks some repair mechanisms compared to nuclear DNA [15]. In addition, mtDNA lacks histones and is located in the mitochondrial matrix, which is in close proximity to the main site of ROS production in the cell [16]. Kidney cells have a high mitochondrial density since the reabsorption of glomerular filtrate requires extremely high energy [17]. For this reason, cisplatin-induced mitochondrial dysfunction may be an important link in the chain of processes leading to chronic kidney disease.

The clinical limitations of the cisplatin motivate researchers to create thousands of its analogs [18], but most of these compounds do not have a significant advantage over cisplatin [19]. For this reason, the search for drugs which may reduce the side effects of cisplatin without reducing its therapeutic effect is an important task for pharmacology. It is preferable to use drugs that have a protective effect against nephrotoxicity. Methylene blue is a heterocyclic compound that appears to be an effective drug. Despite the almost 120-year history of methylene blue in medicine, interest in this compound as a potential protector against cisplatin toxicity has appeared only in the last 2 years. It was shown that methylene blue reduces levels of caspases in tissue, creatinine, and blood urea nitrogen in the kidneys [20], restores sperm function in the testes [21], and reduces mtDNA damage in the brain [22] after treatment with cisplatin. The effect of methylene blue on kidney mtDNA damage has not been studied previously. We hypothesize that methylene blue may have a nephroprotective effect by the same mechanism as the neuroprotective effect.

The goal of this study was to evaluate the effect of cisplatin, methylene blue, and its metabolite azure B on the levels of ROS production, lipid peroxidation, and mtDNA damage in isolated kidney mitochondria, establish the protective effect of methylene blue and azure B under cisplatin-induced renal toxicity in vivo, and evaluate their effect on the expression levels of the main cytoprotective genes.

2. Results

2.1. Effect of Cisplatin and Thiazine Dyes on the Rate of H_2O_2 Production in Kidney Mitochondria

The addition of cisplatin did not significantly increase the rate of H_2O_2 production in intact mouse kidney mitochondria (Figure 1). There was a change in the rate of H_2O_2 production from 46.06 \pm 0.27 pmol/min/mg to 52.54 \pm 5.21 pmol/min/mg. The addition of methylene blue increased the rate of H_2O_2 production to 66.81 \pm 0.97 pmol/min/mg, but the differences were not statistically significant (p = 0.061). The addition of azure B increased the rate of H_2O_2 production to 70.97 \pm 6.85 pmol/min/mg (p < 0.05).



Figure 1. Impact of cisplatin, methylene blue, and azure B on the rate of H_2O_2 production: (**A**) Addition of cisplatin did not increase the rate of H_2O_2 production, and subsequent additions of methylene blue or azure B increased it; (**B**) Addition of methylene blue or azure B increased the rate of H_2O_2 production, and subsequent additions of cisplatin did not impact on the rate of H_2O_2 production. The results are expressed as means \pm SEM. * Differences are statistically significant p < 0.05 (Mann–Whitney test). All measurements were provided in at least four repetitions.

Preliminary addition of methylene blue to isolated mitochondria caused more significant increases in the rate of H₂O₂ production (to $83.68 \pm 11.42 \text{ pmol/min/mg}$ protein, p < 0.05), as well as the addition of azure B (to $75.12 \pm 13.52 \text{ pmol/min/mg}$ protein, p = 0.05). Subsequent addition of cisplatin did not cause any change in the rate of H₂O₂ production.

2.2. The Effect of Cisplatin and Thiazine Dyes on the Levels of Lipid Peroxidation Products in the Kidneys

During an in vitro experiment, we showed a two-fold increase in the concentration of DC in mitochondria incubated with cisplatin compared with the control (p < 0.05). Preliminary incubation of mitochondria with solutions of methylene blue and azure B did not affect the cisplatin-induced increase in DC concentration (Figure 2A). During an in vivo experiment, we did not show statistically significant differences between the studied groups (Figure 2B).



Figure 2. (A) Concentration of conjugated dienes in the in vitro experiment. Adding cisplatin to mitochondria increased the concentration of conjugated dienes. Subsequent additions of methylene blue or azure B had no effect on the concentration of the diene conjugates. The results are expressed as means \pm SEM. All measurements were provided in at least four repetitions. (B) Concentration of conjugated dienes in the in vivo experiment. Cisplatin injection and methylene blue and azure B treatment did not impact on the concentration of conjugated dienes. * Differences are statistically significant *p* < 0.05 (Mann–Whitney test). Control (*n* = 10), cisplatin (*n* = 6), cisplatin + methylene blue (*n* = 8), cisplatin + azure B (*n* = 8).

2.3. Effect of In Vitro Cisplatin and Thiazine Dye Addition to Mitochondria on mtDNA Damage Levels

During an in vitro experiment, we showed that cisplatin caused strong damage in all studied fragments of mtDNA (Figure 3). A five-fold increase in the amount of mtDNA damage was observed in the 7th fragment (p < 0.05). A three-fold increase in the number of mtDNA damage was observed in the 1st fragment (p < 0.01), 3rd fragment (p < 0.001), 8th fragment (p < 0.001), and 9th fragment (p < 0.001). In the 2nd fragment, a two-fold increase in the number of mtDNA damage in the cisplatin-treated mitochondria was observed (p < 0.05). Preincubation of mtDONA damage in the cisplatin-treated mitochondria to prevent cisplatin-induced lesions of mtDNA.



Figure 3. Impact of cisplatin, methylene blue, and azure B addition to intact renal mitochondria on the number of mtDNA damage. Differences are statistically significant: * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001 (Mann–Whitney test). The results are expressed as means \pm SEM. All measurements were provided in at least four repetitions.

2.4. Effect of Cisplatin Injections and In Vivo Administration of Thiazine Dyes on Levels of *mtDNA Damage*

An analysis of the average number of mtDNA showed that cisplatin injection increased the amount of damage for 20%, compared with the control (p < 0.05) (Figure 4). Methylene blue pre-treatment prevented the cisplatin-induced mtDNA lesion (p < 0.05 compared with cisplatin-treated mice). Azure B pre-treatment prevented the cisplatin-induced mtDNA lesion, but statistically significant differences were not observed with cisplatin-treated mice.



1st fragment 2nd fragment 3rd fragment 4th fragment 5th fragment 6th fragment Mean

Figure 4. Impact of cisplatin injections and methylene blue and azure B treatments on the amount of mtDNA damage in kidneys. Differences are statistically significant: * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01 (Mann–Whitney test). The results are expressed as means ± SEM. Control (n = 10), cisplatin (n = 6), cisplatin + methylene blue (n = 8), cisplatin + azure B (n = 8).

In the 1st, 2nd, 3rd, and 9th fragments, cisplatin injections induced an increase in the number of mtDNA damage (p = 0.05 for the 1st fragment, p < 0.05 for the 2nd and 3rd fragments, p < 0.01 for 9th fragment). In these fragments, pre-treatment with methylene

blue and azure B prevented the increase in the amount of mtDNA damage; additionally, statistically significant differences were not observed with cisplatin-treated mice. An increase in the amount of mtDNA damage both in the cisplatin and cisplatin + methylene blue groups compared with the control was observed in the 7th fragment (both p < 0.05). Methylene blue and azure B statistically significantly decrease the number of mtDNA, compared with the cisplatin-treated mice in the 8th fragment (both p < 0.05).

2.5. Effect of Cisplatin and Thiazine Dyes on Gene Expression Levels

Cluster analysis of gene expression reveals three clusters (Figure 5). The first cluster includes the transcription factor *Nfe2l2*, antioxidant genes (*Txnrd2*, *Cat*, *Gclc*, *Gpx*), and genes involved in DNA repair (*Brca1*, *Ogg1*). In this group, the expression of most of the genes decreased after cisplatin injections. We observed a two-fold decrease in the expression of the *Brca1*, *Ogg1*, and *Gclc* genes, and a 95% decrease in the expression of *Txnrd2*. At the same time, the methylene blue treatment increased the expression of the *Brca1*, *Txnrd2*, *Cat*, *Gclc*, and *Nfe2l2* genes by 2–4 times, and increased the expression of the *Ogg1* and *Gpx* genes by 1.5 times. The azure B significantly increased only the expression of the *Brca1* and *Txnrd2* genes.



Figure 5. Heat-map of change in the gene expression in the kidneys after cisplatin injections and methylene blue and azure B treatments. Genes are grouped according to their similarity in expression profile. Control (n = 10), cisplatin (n = 6), cisplatin + methylene blue (n = 8), cisplatin + azure B (n = 8).

of these genes, especially *Ho1* and *Prdx3*. The third cluster includes two genes: the antioxidant gene *Sod2* and the gene involved in DNA repair, *Trp53bp1*. Therapy of mice with thiazine dyes had no significant effect on their expression level, while injections of cisplatin significantly reduced the expression of the *Trp53bp1* gene.

does not significantly affect gene expression, unlike azure B, which reduced the expression

3. Discussion

Nephrotoxicity is one of the main limitations in cisplatin therapy, despite the high efficacy in the treatment of cancer. Oxidative stress plays an important role in the induction of toxicity by cisplatin [23]. Oxidative stress can arise directly; for example, by increasing the rate of H_2O_2 production in mitochondria, which have at least ten ROS production sites: mono amine oxidases A and B, cytochrome b5 reductase, dihydroorotate dehydrogenase, α -glycerophosphate dehydrogenase, complex I, coenzyme Q, complex III, cytochrome c, cytochrome c oxidase, succinate dehydrogenase, aconitase, α -ketoglutarate dehydrogenase complex, and pyruvate dehydrogenase complex [24]. Oxidative stress can also be formed indirectly; for example, by reducing intracellular concentrations of glutathione [25], by reducing the level of NADPH and SH-groups, and by the reduction of expression or activity of key antioxidant enzymes glutathione peroxidase (GSH-Px), glutathione reductase (GR), and glutathione S-transferase (GST) [26–28]. In this study, we have shown that the addition of cisplatin to isolated mitochondria did not lead to an increase in hydrogen H₂O₂ (Figure 1). This is not surprising, since cisplatin has not previously been shown to cause inhibition of any respiratory chain complexes. In addition, some inhibitors of the respiratory chain are used in co-chemotherapy with cisplatin to increase the level of oxidative stress to damage tumor cells [29]. However, our data do not contradict the claim that cisplatin causes oxidative stress in the kidneys. We have shown a decrease in the expression of the *Cat*, *Gclc*, and Txnrd2 genes (Figure 5) encoding the corresponding antioxidant enzymes. Cat is a gene encoding the catalase, a key antioxidant enzyme in protecting the body from oxidative stress by converting H_2O_2 into water and oxygen [30]. The glutathione is a tripeptide that consists of glutamate, glycine, and cysteine. The first reaction of glutathione synthesis is rate-limiting and is catalyzed by glutamate cysteine ligase (GCL), which consists of two subunits: a heavy or catalytic (GCLC, Mr ~73,000) and a light or modifier (GCLM, Mr \sim 30,000) subunit. As a result, γ -glutamyl-l-cysteine is formed, to which glycine is further attached via glutathione synthase (GSS) [31].

Powerful antioxidants that remove peroxide are peroxiredxins (PRDXs), which are able to eliminate H_2O_2 , alkyl hydroperoxides, and peroxynitrite. All PRDX enzymes are obligatory dimers and contain a conserved NH2-terminal cysteine-SH residue that reacts with H_2O_2 to form cysteine sulfenic acid (cysteine–SOH) with the release of H_2O . Oxidized cysteine residues, the cleavage of disulfide bonds, and the reduction of peroxiredoxins are carried out by proteins from the thyredoxin (TXN) family. In this case, a disulfide bond is formed between cysteine residues in TNX itself. TNXRD2 transfers an electron from NADPH to active TXN. The reaction begins with a reduction of the selenenylsulfide to the selenolate anion with electrons received from NADPH via FAD. The second electron transfer from a second molecule of NADPH reduces the cysteine. The selenolate anion then attacks the disulfide bonds of TXN and the resulting to regenerate the selenenylsulfide. Txnrd2 encodes the mitochondrial form of thioredoxin reductase, which is important for scavenging ROS in mitochondria [32]. It has previously been shown that cisplatin can induce depletion of renal antioxidant defense systems, such as GST, glutathione peroxidase, superoxide dismutase, catalase, activities, and a reduced glutathione level [33]. Thus, we can conclude that the inhibition of the antioxidant defense of the kidneys on the transcriptome level may be the cause of cisplatin-induced oxidative stress.

It is well known that free radicals interact with membrane lipids, causing their peroxidation [34]. We showed that the addition of cisplatin to mitochondria promotes an increase in the level of DC in the kidney's mitochondria in vitro (Figure 2A), which corresponds with the data obtained earlier, where it was shown that the treatment of renal cortical slices with cisplatin in vitro leads to an increase in lipid peroxidation products [35]. At the same time, injections of cisplatin did not lead to an increase in the DC level in the in vivo experiment (Figure 2B). These data are inconsistent with studies that have repeatedly demonstrated an increase in the product of lipid peroxidation levels in the kidneys in vivo under the cisplatin treatment. It is likely that the reason for the discrepancy between the results is the measurement of different kinds of lipid peroxidation markers. Previously, the estimation of the concentration of malonic aldehyde was performed [26,36], which are secondary lipid peroxidation products and are formed as a result of the cleavage of oxidized polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs). Malonic aldehyde is a widely used lipid peroxidation marker, but not specific enough, since malonic aldehyde can be formed by the degradation of non-lipid molecules (proteins, bile pigments, nucleic acids, and carbohydrates). DC are the primary products of lipid peroxidation. During the free-radical oxidation of arachidonic acid, hydrogen cleavage occurs in the δ -position with respect to the double bond, which leads to the displacement of this double bond with the formation of DC [37]. For this reason, the concentration of DC can be considered a more reliable indicator of the concentration of lipid peroxidation products [38]. However, deeper studies of the effect of cisplatin on the lipid peroxidation processes are needed.

Oxidative stress not only leads to the damage of biological membranes, but also affects the DNA structure. The damaging effect of cisplatin on nuclear DNA has been well studied [4,39]. Cisplatin causes the formation of coordination bonds between the two purine bases of DNA and the platinum atom by alkylation. The appearance of such adducts of DNA–cisplatin leads to distortions in the structure of the double helix due to the formation of interstrand and intrastrand crosslinks (Figure 6), which disrupts the mechanisms of DNA replication and transcription, as well as induces 8-oxoguanine formation and single-strand breaks, delaying the cell cycle and promoting apoptosis [7].

The mechanism of cisplatin binding to mtDNA is similar to nuclear DNA binding; however, if adducts are removed in nuclear DNA and DNA is restored by nucleotide excision repair, then similar nucleotide excision repair (NER) mechanisms are absent in mitochondria [14]. In both the in vitro and in vivo experiments, cisplatin damaged all studied mtDNA fragments without exception (Figures 3 and 4). According to the data obtained earlier, the treatment of cells with cisplatin leads to the binding of one platinum molecule per 3800 bp of nuclear DNA and the binding of one platinum molecule per 2166 bp of mtDNA [14,40]. This may indicate that cisplatin-induced mtDNA damage is more significant than nuclear DNA damage. MtDNA damage can cause deterioration of energy metabolism in the kidney, and mtDNA mutations are associated with proximal and distal tubular dysfunctions, renal Fanconi syndrome, focal segmental glomerulosclerosis, tubulointerstitial nephritis, etc. [17]. For this reason, mtDNA protection in cisplatin-induced kidney injury is an important task that reduces cisplatin nephrotoxicity.

The various strategies used to prevent cisplatin-induced nephrotoxicity are actively studied; for example, hydration with magnesium and mannitol supplements [41], the use of various natural and synthetic antioxidants [42], nitric oxide modulators, diuretics, and cytoprotective and anti-apoptotic agents [43,44] such as cilastatin [45,46], vincamine [47], dibenzazepine [48], astaxanthin [49], theophylline [50], and others. It is known that methylene blue has a wide spectrum of action, including cytoprotective, anti-apoptotic, and antioxidant actions [51].



Figure 6. Effect of cisplatin and methylene blue on the oxidative stress and mtDNA damage. Cisplatin penetrates the cell in the aquatic form. Chloride atoms on cisplatin are displaced by water molecules. Cisplatin can cause antioxidant depletion and mtDNA damage by origins of interstrand and intrastrand crosslinks, with subsequent formation of oxidized guanine bases and single-strand breaks. Damage of the mtDNA can cause mitochondrial dysfunction and renal cell death. Methylene blue induces H₂O₂ production in mitochondria. H₂O₂ can trigger the Nrf2/ARE signaling pathway by oxidation of Keap1. Nrf2 can increase the expression of antioxidant genes for defense against cisplatin-induced oxidative stress. Nrf2 activation leads to increase the expression of genes which are involved in the base excision repair (BER): the main mechanism of mtDNA reparation. BER restores an intact mtDNA structure and protects kidney cells from death.

In vitro experiments showed that methylene blue does not lead to a decrease in the level of diene conjugates (Figure 2A) and mtDNA damage (Figure 3) in the kidneys, which are caused by cisplatin exposure. Moreover, methylene blue promotes an increase in H_2O_2 production in the intact kidney's mitochondria (Figure 1), which is consistent with the data obtained earlier on brain mitochondria [52–56]. Presumably, an increase in the rate of H_2O_2 production at picomolar concentrations is not capable of causing strong damage of membranes or mtDNA, but H_2O_2 can act as a signaling molecule capable of activating some transcription factors, such as nuclear factor erythroid 2-related factor 2 (Nrf2) [57,58]. H_2O_2 leads to the oxidation of cysteine residues in kelch-like ECH-associated protein 1 (KEAP1), changing its conformation and inhibiting binding to Nrf2. This process prevents ubiquitination and degradation of Nrf2 [59]. The Nrf2/ARE signaling pathway is considered one of the most important defense mechanisms against oxidative stress [60,61].

It has been demonstrated previously that methylene blue is able to activate the Nrf2/ARE signaling pathway [22,62,63]. In turn, Nrf2-null mice treated with cisplatin showed more pronounced damage of renal cells [64]. We have shown that methylene blue increases the expression of a number of antioxidant genes *Cat*, *Gclc*, *Txnrd2*, *Gpx* (Figure 5), which is apparently associated with the activation of the Nrf2/ARE signaling pathway. Another consequence of the activation of the Nrf2/ARE signaling pathway is the activation of DNA repair pathways. It is known that the main mtDNA repair pathway is the base excision repair (BER) pathway [65]. BER is a DNA repair system that removes damaged bases from the double helix. BER begins with recognition and removal of the damaged base by DNA glycosylases, one of which is 8-Oxoguanine DNA glycosylase (*Ogg1*) [66]. *Brca1* stimulates the activity of key BER enzymes, including *Ogg1* [67]. In turn, Nrf2 can regulate the expression of genes involved in DNA repair, including *Ogg1* [68] and

Brca1 [69]. We have shown that methylene blue increased the expression of *Nfe2l2*, *Ogg1*, and *Brca1* genes (Figure 5). We can hypothesize that activation of the Nrf2/ARE signaling pathway by methylene blue is responsible for the increase in repair activity, resulting in mtDNA protection (Figure 6). Nrf2 also regulates the expression of *Trp53bp1*, a critical intermediate of non-homologous end joining (NHEJ) repair [70]. However, we did not find that methylene blue increased *Trp53bp1* expression, although cisplatin caused a decrease in its expression (Figure 5). This study may indirectly indicate that methylene blue impacts on the BER pathway, not NHEJ pathway.

Azure B is a main metabolite of methylene blue, which forms as a result of the oxidative demethylation of methylene blue. Azure B also has different biological properties and, therefore, can contribute to the pharmacological profile of the compound [71,72]. Methylene blue is structurally similar to azure B, but they differ in the degree of ionization of their oxidized forms. Azure B can deprotonate to some extent with the formation of neutral quinone imine species, which provide its best diffusion through membranes [73]. This is likely why azure B proves to be a safer, and in some cases even more effective, drug than methylene blue [71–74]. However, we have demonstrated that azure B leads to a smaller increase in the expression of antioxidant and repair genes, which were inhibited by cisplatin (Figure 5). Azure B also prevents mtDNA damage less compared to methylene blue (Figure 4), and does not lead to a decrease in lipid peroxidation products (Figure 2A,B). Therefore, azure B has less pronounced protective properties and is less effective in cisplatin nephrotoxicity compared to methylene blue.

4. Materials and Methods

4.1. Laboratory Animals

C57BL/6 strain mice (Mus musculus) were used in the experiment. Mice were obtained from the Stolbovaya nursery. Animals were kept under standard conditions: controlled temperature (22–25 °C) and humidity (at least 40%), maintained in a 12-h light–dark cycle, with access to water and food ad libitum. The keeping, injections, and sacrifice of animals were carried out in accordance with the rules established by the Institutional Committee for the Care and Use of Animals of the Voronezh State University (Section of Animal Care and Use, protocol on Biomedical Research 42-03 dated 8 October 2020).

4.2. Designs of Experiment

We referred to previous studies when planning experiments. The search for literary sources was carried out in the PubMed database; we analyzed 77 literary sources from the years of 1984 to 2022.

The study included two experiments: in vitro and in vivo. In an in vitro experiment, preliminary isolation of mitochondria from the kidneys was carried out. Further, mitochondria were divided into four aliquots (each tube contains 0.05 mg of mitochondrial protein). The first aliquot (control) was not incubated with any compound; in the second aliquot (cisplatin), mitochondria were incubated with 0.05 mg of cisplatin (Teva Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd., Petah Tikva, Israel) for 30 min. The third (cisplatin + methylene blue) and fourth (cisplatin + azure B) aliquots were pre-incubated for 10 min with 1 μ M of methylene blue (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) and 1 μ M of azure B (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA), respectively, then for 30 min along with 0.05 mg of cisplatin. Incubation was carried out in a shaker Orbital Shaker-Incubator ES-20 (BioSan, Riga, Latvia) at 150 rpm and 37 °C. Then, DNA was isolated from mitochondria for subsequent measurement of the amount of mtDNA damage and the concentration of diene conjugates. Earlier studies have shown that the optimal cisplatin concentration ranges from 0.2–200 μ g [75]. We have experimentally established that cisplatin causes mtDNA damage in concentrations from 50 μ g.

The in vivo experiment involved 32 mice of both sexes, which were randomly divided into four groups. The first group (n = 10) was a control group and received saline injections and pure water, the second group (n = 6) was exposed to cisplatin by intraperitoneal injections at a dose of 2 mg/kg/day and also received pure water, the third group (n = 8) received injections of cisplatin (2 mg/kg/day) and oral methylene blue at a dosage of 15 mg/kg/day, and the fourth group (n = 8) received injections of cisplatin (2 mg/kg/day) and azure B at a dosage of 15 mg/kg/day. Mice received thiazine dye solutions for three weeks. Cisplatin injections were administered daily during the last week of the experiment. Subsequently, the animals were sacrificed, and the kidneys were removed for further molecular and biochemical studies. We have previously shown that cisplatin caused serious cognitive impairment at a concentration of 2 mg/kg/day, and it also caused mice mortality at higher concentrations. For this reason, in this study we settled on a concentration of 2 mg/kg/day [22]. It has previously been shown that methelene blue restores the mitochondrial metabolism of mice at a concentration of 15 mg/kg/day, but not at a concentration of 5 mg/kg/day [53].

4.3. Isolation of Mitochondria from the Kidneys

Mice were sacrificed by dislocation of the cervical spine followed by decapitation. The kidneys were quickly removed and placed in an isolation buffer consisting of 225 mM mannitol (Sigma–Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA), 75 mM sucrose (Dia–M, Moscow, Russia), 5 mM Hepes (BioClot, Aidenbach, Germany) (pH = 7.4), and 1 mM ethyleneglycoltetraacetic acid (EGTA) (Sigma–Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA), and supplemented with 2 mg/mL fatty acid-free bovine serum albumin (BSA) (Dia–M, Moscow, Russia). The wash buffer used in the centrifugation step had the same composition, except for the addition of BSA.

The kidneys were homogenized using a KIMBLE Dounce tissue grinder (Sigma–Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA). The resulting homogenate was centrifuged using a Z36 HK centrifuge (Hermle Labortechnik, Wehingen, Germany) for 5 min at $900 \times g$. The supernatant was transferred into clean tubes and centrifuged for 10 min at $9000 \times g$. Afterwards, the supernatant was removed, and the pellet was resuspended in the wash buffer and centrifuged for 10 min at $9000 \times g$. The resulting mitochondrial pellet was resuspended in the wash buffer.

4.4. Assessment of the Rate of H₂O₂ Production in Mitochondria

 H_2O_2 production in mitochondria was measured using the Amplex Ultra Red fluorescent reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) according to the protocol described earlier [76]. The Amplex Red assay is a most specific and sensitive method, with a limit of detection less than 5 pmol of H_2O_2 . The stoichiometry of Amplex Red and H_2O_2 is 1:1, and, therefore, the assay results are linear over the range of values encountered in tissues and cells [76]. The excitation wavelength was set to 530 nm and the emission wavelength to 590 nm. The measurements were carried out using a Hitachi F-7000 fluorescence spectrophotometer (Hitachi High Technologies, Tokyo, Japan).

The substrate (10 mM pyruvate), 4 mM phosphate (KH2PO4), 1 U of Amplex Ultra Red reagent, 4 U of horseradish peroxidase (Amresco, Solon, OH, USA), and 0.2 mg of mitochondria were added to 1 mL of isolation buffer (225 mM mannitol, 75 mM sucrose, 5 mM Hepes (pH 7.4), 1 mM EGTA, 2 mg/mL fatty acids free BSA. The H_2O_2 concentration was measured as the fluorescence intensity of resorufin formed during the reaction upon oxidation of Amplex Ultra Red. Production changes were recorded after the addition of 0.2 mg cisplatin, 1 μ M methylene blue, and 1 μ M azure B.

4.5. Measurement of Lipid Peroxidation Products

The diene conjugates (DC) are the primary products of lipid peroxidation, and the concentration of DC can be considered a more reliable indicator of the concentration of lipid peroxidation products than measurement of concentration of secondary product of lipid peroxidation [38]. DC concentration was measured by spectrophotometry using a Hitachi U-2900 spectrophotometer (Hitachi High-Technologies, Tokyo, Japan). In the in vivo experiment, the frozen kidney was preliminarily weighed and homogenized in 0.5 mL of PBS. Normalization of DC concentration was performed relative to

the mass of the kidney. In the in vivo experiment, the preliminary isolation of mitochondria was carried out. Normalization of DC concentration was performed relative to the protein concentration.

0.125 mL of saline (MOSPHARM, Moscow, Russia), 1.5 mL of heptane, and 1.5 mL of isopropyl alcohol (RFK, Moscow, Russia) were added to 125 μ L of probe. The resulting mixture was centrifuged for 10 min at 3000 × g and 4 °C. Then, distilled water was added to the supernatant in a ratio of 10:1, and the phases were expected to separate. The upper heptane phase was transferred into a clean test tube and 0.5 mL of ethyl alcohol was added in a ratio of 1:5, and 96% ethanol served as a control.

Calculation of the DC concentration was performed according to the formula:

$$[DC] = (Vtot \times D \times 10^{6}) / (L \times E \times m \times Vin)$$

where, Vtot is the sample volume (0.5 mL); D is the optical density value; L is the length of the optical path (1 cm); E is the coefficient of molar extinction (2.2×10^5); m is the mass of the kidney (in vivo experiment) and the amount of added protein (in vitro experiment); Vin is the volume of the introduced sample (0.125 µL).

4.6. Measuring the mtDNA Damage Level

The non-PCR-based methods for evaluating the amount of DNA damage, such as high-performance liquid chromatography and Southern blot, have a number of limitations. In particular, they require considerable amounts of DNA for analysis (10–50 μ g). Cells contain a small amount of mtDNA compared to nuclear DNA, so its analysis requires more sensitive methods, such as long-range PCR [77].

The total DNA isolation in the in vivo experiment was performed using the DNA-sorb-S-M kit (AmpliSens, Moscow, Russia) according to the protocol. In an in vitro experiment, the total DNA from mitochondria was isolated using the Proba-GS kit (DNA-Technology, Moscow, Russia).

The level of mtDNA damage was measured by a quantitative real-time PCR using the CFX96 Touch (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA). The reaction mixture (volume 20 μ L) included 4 μ L of 5X qPCRmix-HS SYBR (Evrogen, Moscow, Russia), 1 μ L mixture of forward and reverse primers, 1 μ L DNA, and 14 μ L mQ water. Reaction conditions were: total denaturation was carried out at 95 °C for 3 min; denaturation at the beginning of the cycle at 95 °C for 30 s; primer annealing at 59 °C for 30 s, elongation at 72 °C for 4 min 30 s; number of cycles was 38; a melting curve from 65 °C to 95 °C, according to protocol described earlier [77]. In the experiment, the 1st, 2nd, 3rd, 7th, 8th, and 9th long fragments were used, because these fragments did not have nuclear pseudogenes.

To determine the degree of mtDNA damage, the Δ Cq value of the control and experimental (damaged) long fragments was compared with the Δ Cq value of the control and experimental short fragments, which were used as a reference.

The number of mtDNA lesions was calculated per 10 kb according to the formula:

Lesions = $(1 - E^{-(\Delta long - \Delta short)}) \times 10,000$ (bp)/fragment length (bp)

where $\Delta \log = Cq$ control – Cq experiment for the long fragment and Δ short = Cq control – cq experiment for the short fragment.

The primer sequences were as follows (Table 1):

Fragment Name	Primers Sequence
2 short	Forward: 5'-ACGAGGGTCCAACTGTCTCTTA-3' Reserve: 5'-AGCTCCATAGGGTCTTCTCGT-3'
1 long	Forward: 5'-TAAATTTCGTGCCAGCCACC-3' Reserve: 5'-ATGCTACCTTTGCACGGTCA-3'
2 long	Forward: 5'-ACGAGGGTCCAACTGTCTCTTA-3' Reserve: 5'-CCGGCTGCGTATTCTACGTT-3'
3 long	Forward: 5'-CTAGCAGAAACAAACCGGGC-3' Reserve: 5'-TTAGGGCTTTGAAGGCTCGC-3'
7 long	Forward: 5'-TCATTCTTCTACTATCCCCAATCC-3' Reserve: 5'-TGGTTTGGGAGATTGGTTGATG-3'
8 long	Forward: 5'-CCCCAATCCCTCCTTCCAAC-3' Reserve: 5'-GGTGGGGAGTAGCTCCTTCTT-3'
9 long	Forward: 5'-AAGAAGGAGCTACTCCCCACC-3' Reserve: 5'-GTTGACACGTTTTACGCCGA-3'

Table 1. Primers that were used to analyse measurement of mtDNA damage.

4.7. Estimation of Gene Expression Level

Total RNA was isolated using the ExtractRNA kit (Evrogen, Moscow, Russia), according to the protocol. Reverse transcription was performed on a personal Eppendorf Mastercycler (Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany). RNA at 9 μ L and Random primer at 2 μ L were mixed and heated in an amplifier at 70 °C for 2 min to anneal the primers. The following components were added to the mixture: 2 μ L dNTP, 2 μ L mQ water, 1 μ L M-MULV revertase, and 4 μ L 5X buffer (both Evrogen, Moscow, Russia). The mixture was incubated for 1 h at 35 °C.

The level of gene expression was assessed using quantitative PCR analysis. The reaction mixture (volume 20 μ L) included: 4 μ L of 5X qPCRmix-HS SYBR (Evrogen, Moscow, Russia), 1 μ L mixture of forward and reverse primers, 1 μ L DNA, and 14 μ L mQ water. Reaction conditions were: total denaturation was carried out at 95 °C for 3 min; denaturation at the beginning of the cycle at 95 °C for 30 s; primer annealing at 59 °C for 30 s, elongation at 72 °C for 30 s; number of cycles was 45; melting curve from 65 °C to 95 °C.

The primer sequences were as follows (Table 2):

Gene Name	Primers Sequence
Gapdh	Forward: 5'-GGCTCCCTAGGCCCCTCCTG-3'; Reserve: 5'-TCCCAACTCGGCCCCCAACA-3';
Nfe212	Forward: 5'-CTCTCTGAACTCCTGGACGG-3' Reserve: 5'-GGGTCTCCGTAAATGGAAG-3'
Ho1	Forward: 5'-CACGCATATACCCGCTACCT-3' Reserve: 5'-CCAGAGTGTTCATTCGAGCA-3'
p62	Forward: 5'-GCCAGAGGAACAGATGGAGT-3' Reserve: 5'-TCCGATTCTGGCATCTGTAG-3'
Pink1	Forward: 5'-GAGCAGACTCCCAGTTCTCG-3' Reserve: 5'-GTCCCACTCCACAAGGATGT-3'
Prdx3	Forward: 5'-GTGGTTTGGGCCACATGAAC-3' Reserve: 5'-TGGCTTGATCGTAGGGGGACT-3'
Gpx	Forward: 5'-AGTCCACCGTGTATGCCTTCT-3' Reserve: 5'-GAGACGCGACATTCTCAATGA-3'

Table 2. Primers that were used to analyze gene expression.

Gene Name	Primers Sequence
Txnrd2	Forward: 5'-GATCCGGTGGCCTAGCTTG-3' Reserve: 5'-TCGGGGAGAAGGTTCCACAT-3'
Gclc	Forward: 5'-GGGGTGACGAGGTGGAGTA-3' Reserve: 5'-GTTGGGGTTTGTCCTCTCCC-3'
Sod2	Forward: 5'-CAGACCTGCCTTACGACTATGG-3' Reserve: 5'-CTCGGTGGCGTTGAGATTGTT-3'
Cat	Forward: 5'-AGCGACCAGATGAAGCAGTG-3' Reserve: 5'-TCCGCTCTCTGTCAAAGTGTG-3'
Ogg1	Forward: 5'-GAGACGACAGCCAGGTGTGAG-3' Reserve: 5'-CCGTTCCACCATGCCAGTA-3'
Trp53bp1	Forward: 5'-GAAGGAAAGCACAGATGAGGATT-3' Reserve: 5'-CTAGAGGTTTCTGCACGCTG-3'
Brca1	Forward: 5'-AGGTGATTGCAGTGTGAGAGA-3' Reserve: 5'-GTATCCGGATGCCTCCTCTTC-3'

4.8. Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was carried out using the Statistica 10 software package (StatSoft, Tulsa, OK, USA). Results are presented as means \pm S.E.M. The statistical significance of differences between groups was assessed using the Mann–Whitney test (U-test). Statistical significance was considered to be p < 0.05.

5. Conclusions

The cisplatin-induced lesions of mitochondria and mtDNA are one of the main limitations to its widespread use in medicine. Methylene blue, a well-known mitochondrial protector, reduced the amount of mtDNA damage by triggering repair processes, likely through the Nrf2/ARE pathway activation. It is likely that methylene blue or some other Nrf2 activators can serve as drugs that reduce the toxicity of cisplatin to non-tumor tissues, particularly in the kidneys.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, A.P.G.; methodology, N.A.S.; software, N.A.S.; validation, N.A.S. and A.P.G.; formal analysis, V.N.P.; investigation, N.A.S.; resources, V.N.P.; data curation, A.P.G.; writing—original draft preparation, N.A.S.; writing—review and editing, A.P.G.; visualization, N.A.S.; supervision, V.N.P.; project administration, A.P.G.; funding acquisition, A.P.G. and V.N.P. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by the RF Ministry of Science and Higher Education (Agreement #075-03-2023-133, unique code FZGW-2020-0001) to V.N.P. and by the President grant for support of leading scientific school (project NSh-1375.2022.5) to A.P.G.

Institutional Review Board Statement: The animal study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of Voronezh State University (Section of Animal Care and Use, protocol on Biomedical Research 42-03 dated 8 October 2020).

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Data are available from the corresponding authors upon request.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Trudu, F.; Amato, F.; Vaňhara, P.; Pivetta, T.; Peña-Méndez, E.M.; Havel, J. Coordination compounds in cancer: Past, present and perspectives. J. Appl. Biomed. 2015, 13, 79–103. [CrossRef]
- Srinivas, U.S.; Tan, B.W.Q.; Vellayappan, B.A.; Jeyasekharan, A.D. ROS and the DNA damage response in cancer. *Redox Biol.* 2019, 25, 101084. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 3. Ekinci Akdemir, F.; Albayrak, M.; Çalik, M.; Bayir, Y.; Gülçin, İ. The protective effects of p-coumaric acid on acute liver and kidney damages induced by cisplatin. *Biomedicines* **2017**, *5*, 18. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 4. Dasari, S.; Tchounwou, B.P. Cisplatin in cancer therapy: Molecular mechanisms of action. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* **2014**, 740, 364–378. [CrossRef]
- 5. Ali, R.; Aouida, M.; Sulaiman, A.A.; Madhusudan, S.; Ramotar, D. Can Cisplatin Therapy Be Improved? Pathways That Can Be Targeted. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2022, *23*, 7241. [CrossRef]
- Rancoule, C.; Guy, J.B.; Vallard, A.; Ben Mrad, M.; Rehailia, A.; Magné, N. Les 50 ans du cisplatine. Bull. Cancer 2017, 104, 167–176. [CrossRef]
- De Biasi, A.R.; Villena-Vargas, J.; Adusumilli, P.S. Cisplatin-Induced Antitumor Immunomodulation: A Review of Preclinical and Clinical Evidence. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 2014, 20, 5384–5391. [CrossRef]
- 8. Chen, X.; Wei, W.; Li, Y.; Huang, J.; Ci, X. Hesperetin relieves cisplatin-induced acute kidney injury by mitigating oxidative stress, inflammation and apoptosis. *Chem. Biol. Interact.* **2019**, *308*, 269–278. [CrossRef]
- 9. Safirstein, R.; Winston, J.; Goldstein, M.; Moel, D.; Dikman, S.; Guttenplan, J. Cisplatin Nephrotoxicity. *Am. J. Kidney Dis.* **1986**, *8*, 356–367. [CrossRef]
- 10. Safirstein, R.; Miller, P.; Guttenplan, J.B. Uptake and metabolism of cisplatin by rat kidney. Kidney Int. 1984, 25, 753–758. [CrossRef]
- 11. Sweeney, K. Cisplatin induced Acute Kidney Injury. FEBS Lett. 2021, 2, 16–25.
- Pabla, N.; Dong, Z. Cisplatin nephrotoxicity: Mechanisms and renoprotective strategies. *Kidney Int.* 2008, *73*, 994–1007. [CrossRef]
 Ozkok, A.; Edelstein, C.L. Pathophysiology of Cisplatin-Induced Acute Kidney Injury. *Biomed. Res. Int.* 2014, 2014, 967826. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Podratz, J.L.; Knight, A.M.; Ta, L.E.; Staff, N.P.; Gass, J.M.; Genelin, K.; Schlattau, A.; Lathroum, L.; Windebank, A.J. Cisplatin induced Mitochondrial DNA damage in dorsal root ganglion neurons. *Neurobiol. Dis.* **2011**, *41*, 661–668. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 15. Rong, Z.; Tu, P.; Xu, P.; Sun, Y.; Yu, F.; Tu, N.; Guo, L.; Yang, Y. The Mitochondrial Response to DNA Damage. *Front. Cell Dev. Biol.* **2021**, *9*, 669379. [CrossRef]
- 16. Shokolenko, T.; Venediktova, N.; Bochkareva, A.; Wilson, G.L.; Alexeyev, M.F. Oxidative stress induces degradation of mitochondrial DNA. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2009**, *37*, 2539–2548. [CrossRef]
- 17. Govers, L.P.; Toka, H.R.; Hariri, A.; Walsh, S.B.; Bockenhauer, D. Mitochondrial DNA mutations in renal disease: An overview. *Pediatr. Nephrol.* **2021**, *36*, 9–17. [CrossRef]
- Johnstone, T.C.; Suntharalingam, K.; Lippard, S.J. The Next Generation of Platinum Drugs: Targeted Pt(II) Agents, Nanoparticle Delivery, and Pt(IV) Prodrugs. *Chem. Rev.* 2016, 116, 3436–3486. [CrossRef]
- 19. Zhang, J.; Wang, L.; Xing, Z.; Liu, D.; Sun, J.; Li, X.; Zhang, Y. Status of bi- and multi-nuclear platinum anticancer drug development. *Anticancer. Agents Med. Chem.* 2010, *10*, 272–282. [CrossRef]
- 20. Usefzay, O.; Yari, S.; Amiri, P.; Hasanein, P. Evaluation of protective effects of methylene blue on cisplatin-induced nephrotoxicity. *Biomed. Pharmacother.* **2022**, *150*, 113023. [CrossRef]
- Gholami Jourabi, F.; Yari, S.; Amiri, P.; Heidarianpour, A.; Hashemi, H. The ameliorative effects of methylene blue on testicular damage induced by cisplatin in rats. *Andrologia* 2020, 53, e13850. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Krutskikh, E.P.; Potanina, D.V.; Samoylova, N.A.; Gryaznova, M.V.; Sadovnikova, I.S.; Gureev, A.P.; Popov, V.N. Brain Protection by Methylene Blue and Its Derivative, Azur B, via Activation of the Nrf2/ARE Pathway in Cisplatin-Induced Cognitive Impairment. *Pharmaceuticals* 2022, 15, 815. [CrossRef]
- 23. Turan, M.; Cayir, A.; Cetin, N.; Suleyman, H.; Turan, I.S.; Tan, H. An investigation of the effect of thiamine pyrophosphate on cisplatin-induced oxidative stress and DNA damage in rat brain tissue compared with thiamine: Thiamine and thiamine pyrophosphate effects on cisplatin neurotoxicity. *Hum. Exp. Toxicol.* **2013**, *33*, 14–21. [CrossRef]
- 24. Starkov, A.A. The Role of Mitochondria in Reactive Oxygen Species Metabolism and Signaling. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* 2008, 1147, 37–52. [CrossRef]
- Yilmaz, H.R.; Iraz, M.; Sogut, S.; Ozyurt, H.; Yildirim, Z.; Akyol, O.; Gergerlioglu, S. The effects of erdosteine on the activities of some metabolic enzymes during cisplatin-induced nephrotoxicity in rats. *Pharmacol. Res.* 2004, 50, 287–290. [CrossRef]
- Ognjanović, B.I.; Djordjević, N.Z.; Matić, M.M.; Obradović, J.M.; Mladenović, J.M.; Štajn, A.Š.; Saičić, Z.S. Lipid Peroxidative Damage on Cisplatin Exposure and Alterations in Antioxidant Defense System in Rat Kidneys: A Possible Protective Effect of Selenium. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2012, 13, 1790–1803. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 27. Eftekhari, A.; Hasanzadeh, A.; Khalilov, R.; Hosainzadegan, H.; Ahmadian, E.; Eghbal, M.A. Hepatoprotective role of berberine against paraquat-induced liver toxicity in rat. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. Int.* **2019**, *27*, 4969–4975. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 28. Fard, J.; Hamzeiy, H.; Sattari, M.; Eftekhari, A.; Ahmadian, E.; Eghbal, M. Triazole rizatriptan induces liver toxicity through lysosomal/mitochondrial dysfunction. *Drug Res.* **2016**, *66*, 470–478. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 29. Dong, J.; Zhu, D.; Chen, M.; Wang, T.; Gao, Y.; Liu, W. Mubritinib enhanced the inhibiting function of cisplatin in lung cancer by interfering with mitochondrial function. *Thorac. Cancer* **2022**, *13*, 1513–1524. [CrossRef]

- Glorieux, C.; Zamocky, M.; Sandoval, J.M.; Verrax, J.; Calderon, P.B. Regulation of catalase expression in healthy and cancerous cells. *Free Radic. Biol. Med.* 2015, 87, 84–97. [CrossRef]
- 31. Lu, S.C. Glutathione synthesis. *Biochim. Biophys.* 2013, 1830, 3143–3153. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kiermayer, C.; Northrup, E.; Schrewe, A.; Walch, A.; Hrabe de Angelis, M.; Schoensiege, F.; Zischka, H.; Prehn, C.; Adamski, J.; Bekeredjian, R.; et al. Heart-Specific Knockout of the Mitochondrial Thioredoxin Reductase (Txnrd2) Induces Metabolic and Contractile Dysfunction in the Aging Myocardium. J. Am. Heart Assoc. 2015, 4, e002153. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 33. Chakraborty, R.; Roy, S.S.; Sk, U.H.; Bhattacharya, S. Amelioration of cisplatin-induced nephrotoxicity in mice by oral administration of diphenylmethyl selenocyanate. *Free Radic. Res.* **2010**, *45*, 177–187. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 34. Hanigan, M.H.; Devarajan, P. Cisplatin nephrotoxicity: Molecular mechanisms. Cancer Ther. 2003, 1, 47–61.
- Kim, Y.K.; Jung, J.S.; Lee, S.H.; Kim, Y.W. Effects of Antioxidants and Ca²⁺ in Cisplatin-Induced Cell Injury in Rabbit Renal Cortical Slices. *Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol.* 1997, 146, 261–269. [CrossRef]
- Mukhopadhyay, P.; Horváth, B.; Zsengellér, Z.; Zielonka, J.; Tanchian, G.; Holovac, E.; Kechrid, M.; Patel, V.; Stillman, I.E.; Parikh, S.M.; et al. Mitochondrial-targeted antioxidants represent a promising approach for prevention of cisplatin-induced nephropathy. *Free Radic. Biol. Med.* 2012, *52*, 497–506. [CrossRef]
- Situnayake, R.D.; Crump, B.J.; Zezulka, A.V.; Davis, M.; McConkey, B.; Thurnham, D.I. Measurement of conjugated diene lipids by derivative spectroscopy in heptane extracts of plasma. *Ann. Clin. Biochem.* 1990, 27, 258–266. [CrossRef]
- Sergent, O.; Morel, I.; Cogrel, P.; Chevanne, M.; Pasdeloup, N.; Brissot, P.; Lescoat, G.; Cillard, P.; Cillard, J. Simultaneous measurements of conjugated dienes and free malondialdehyde, used as a micromethod for the evaluation of lipid peroxidation in rat hepatocyte cultures. *Chem. Phys. Lipids* 1993, 65, 133–139. [CrossRef]
- Fuertes, M.; Castilla, J.; Alonso, C.; Pérez, J. Cisplatin Biochemical Mechanism of Action: From Cytotoxicity to Induction of Cell Death Through Interconnections Between Apoptotic and Necrotic Pathways. *Curr. Med. Chem.* 2003, 10, 257–266. [CrossRef]
- 40. McDonald, E.C.; Randon, K.R.; Knight, A.; Windebank, A.J. Cisplatin preferentially binds to DNA in dorsal root ganglion neurons in vitro and in vivo: A potential mechanism for neurotoxicity. *Neurobiol. Dis.* **2005**, *18*, 305–313. [CrossRef]
- Volarevic, V.; Djokovic, B.; Jankovic, M.G.; Harrell, C.R.; Fellabaum, C.; Djonov, V.; Arsenijevic, N. Molecular mechanisms of cisplatin-induced nephrotoxicity: A balance on the knife edge between renoprotection and tumor toxicity. *J. Biomed. Sci.* 2019, 26, 25. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 42. Hayati, F.; Hossainzadeh, M.; Shayanpour, S.; Abedi-Gheshlaghi, Z.; Beladi Mousavi, S.S. Prevention of cisplatin nephrotoxicity. J. Nephropharmacol. 2015, 5, 57–60. [PubMed]
- 43. Ali, B.H.; Al Moundhri, M.S. Agents ameliorating or augmenting the nephrotoxicity of cisplatin and other platinum compounds: A review of some recent research. *Food Chem. Toxicol.* **2006**, *44*, 1173–1183. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Nematbakhsh, M.; Pezeshki, Z.; Jazi, F.E.; Mazaheri, B.; Moeini, M.; Safari, T.; Azarkish, F.; Moslemi, F.; Maleki, M.; Rezaei, A.; et al. Cisplatin-Induced Nephrotoxicity; Protective Supplements and Gender Differences. *Asian Pac. J. Cancer Prev.* 2017, 18, 295–314. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Humanes, B.; Camaño, S.; Lara, J.M.; Sabbisetti, V.; González-Nicolás, M.A.; Bonventre, J.V.; Tejedor, A.; Lazaro, A. Cisplatininduced renal inflammation is ameliorated by cilastatin nephroprotection. *Nephrol. Dial. Transplant.* 2017, 32, 1645–1655. [CrossRef]
- Zaballos, M.; Power, M.; Canal-Alonso, M.I.; González-Nicolás, M.A.; Vasquez-Jimenez, W.; Lozano-Lominchar, P.; Cabrerizo-Torrente, P.; Palencia-García, N.; Gago-Quiroga, S.; Ginel-Feito, M.D.; et al. Effect of Cilastatin on Cisplatin-Induced Nephrotoxicity in Patients Undergoing Hyperthermic Intraperitoneal Chemotherapy. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2021, 22, 1239. [CrossRef]
- El-Sayed, R.M.; Abo, E.I.; Gheit, R.E.; Badawi, G.A. Vincamine protects against cisplatin induced nephrotoxicity via activation of Nrf2/HO-1 and hindering TLR4/ IFN-γ/CD44 cells inflammatory cascade. *Life Sci.* 2021, 272, 119224. [CrossRef]
- Abd El-Rhman, R.H.; El-Naga, R.N.; Gad, A.M.; Tadros, M.G.; Hassaneen, S.K. Dibenzazepine Attenuates Against Cisplatin-Induced Nephrotoxicity in Rats: Involvement of NOTCH Pathway. *Front. Pharmacol.* 2020, 11, 567852. [CrossRef]
- 49. Akca, G.; Eren, H.; Tumkaya, L.; Mercantepe, T.; Horsanali, M.O.; Deveci, E.; Dila, E.; Yilmaze, A. The protective effect of astaxanthin against cisplatin-induced nephrotoxicity in rats. *Biomed. Pharmacother.* **2018**, *100*, 575–582. [CrossRef]
- Beladi Mousavi, S.S.; Zadeh, M.H.; Shahbazian, H.; Khanzadeh, A.; Hayati, F.; Ghorbani, A.; Golzari, K.; Valavi, E.; Motemednia, F.; Beladi Mousavi, M. The protective effect of theophyline in cisplatin nephrotoxicity. *Saudi J. Kidney Dis. Transpl.* 2014, 25, 333–337. [CrossRef]
- Gureev, A.P.; Sadovnikova, I.S.; Popov, V.N. Molecular Mechanisms of the Neuroprotective Effect of Methylene Blue. *Biochemistry* 2022, 87, 940–956. [CrossRef]
- 52. Gureev, A.P.; Samoylova, N.A.; Potanina, D.V.; Popov, V.N. Effect of methylene blue and its metabolite—Azure I—On bioenergetic parameters of intact mice brain mitochondria. *Biomed. Khim.* 2021, 67, 485–490. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Gureev, A.P.; Syromyatnikov, M.Y.; Gorbacheva, T.M.; Starkov, A.A.; Popov, V.N. Methylene blue improves sensorimotor phenotype and decreases anxiety in parallel with activating brain mitochondria biogenesis in mid-age mice. *Neurosci. Res.* 2016, 113, 19–27. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Gureev, A.P.; Shaforostova, E.A.; Popov, V.N.; Starkov, A.A. Methylene blue does not bypass Complex III antimycin block in mouse brain mitochondria. *FEBS Lett.* 2019, 593, 499–503. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 55. Tretter, L.; Horvath, G.; Hölgyesi, A.; Essek, F.; Adam-Vizi, V. Enhanced hydrogen peroxide generation accompanies the beneficial bioenergetic effects of methylene blue in isolated brain mitochondria. *Free Radic. Biol. Med.* **2014**, *77*, 317–330. [CrossRef]

- 56. Sváb, G.; Kokas, M.; Sipos, I.; Ambrus, A.; Tretter, L. Methylene Blue Bridges the Inhibition and Produces Unusual Respiratory Changes in Complex III-Inhibited Mitochondria. Studies on Rats, Mice and Guinea Pigs. *Antioxidants* **2021**, *10*, 305. [CrossRef]
- Purdom-Dickinson, S.E.; Sheveleva, E.V.; Sun, H.; Chen, Q.M. Translational Control of Nrf2 Protein in Activation of Antioxidant Response by Oxidants. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 2007, 72, 1074–1081. [CrossRef]
- Kobayashi, M.; Li, L.; Iwamoto, N.; Nakajima-Takagi, Y.; Kaneko, H.; Nakayama, Y.; Eguchi, M.; Wada, Y.; Kumagai, Y.; Yamamoto, M. The Antioxidant Defense System Keap1-Nrf2 Comprises a Multiple Sensing Mechanism for Responding to a Wide Range of Chemical Compounds. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 2009, 29, 493–502. [CrossRef]
- 59. Marinho, H.S.; Real, C.; Cyrne, L.; Soares, H.; Antunes, F. Hydrogen peroxide sensing, signaling and regulation of transcription factors. *Redox Biol.* **2014**, *2*, 535–562. [CrossRef]
- 60. Dinkova-Kostova, A.T.; Abramov, A.Y. The emerging role of Nrf2 in mitochondrial function. *Free Radic. Biol. Med.* 2015, *88*, 179–188. [CrossRef]
- Chodari, L.; Aytemir, M.D.; Vahedi, P.; Alipour, M.; Vahed, S.Z.; Hosseiniyan Khatibi, S.M.; Ahmadian, E.; Ardalan, M.; Eftekhari, A. Targeting mitochondrial biogenesis with polyphenol compounds. *Oxid. Med. Cell. Longev.* 2021, 12, 4946711. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Stack, C.; Jainuddin, S.; Elipenahli, C.; Gerges, M.; Starkova, N.; Starkov, A.A.; Jove, M.; Portero-Otin, M.; Launay, N.; Pujol, A.; et al. Methylene blue upregulates Nrf2/ARE genes and prevents tau-related neurotoxicity. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 2014, 23, 3716–3732. [CrossRef]
- Sadovnikova, I.S.; Gureev, A.P.; Ignatyeva, D.A.; Gryaznova, M.V.; Chernyshova, E.V.; Krutskikh, E.P.; Novikova, A.G.; Popov, V.N. Nrf2/ARE Activators Improve Memory in Aged Mice via Maintaining of Mitochondrial Quality Control of Brain and the Modulation of Gut Microbiome. *Pharmaceuticals* 2021, 14, 607. [CrossRef]
- Aleksunes, L.M.; Goedken, M.J.; Rockwell, C.E.; Thomale, J.; Manautou, J.E.; Klaassen, C.D. Transcriptional Regulation of Renal Cytoprotective Genes by Nrf2 and Its Potential Use as a Therapeutic Target to Mitigate Cisplatin-Induced Nephrotoxicity. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 2010, 335, 2–12. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 65. Sekhar, K.R.; Freeman, M.L. Nrf2 promotes survival following exposure to ionizing radiation. *Free Radic. Biol. Med.* 2015, 88, 268–274. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 66. Krokan, H.E.; Bjoras, M. Base Excision Repair. Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol. 2013, 5, a012583. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 67. Saha, T.; Rih, J.K.; Roy, R.; Ballal, R.; Rosen, E.M. Transcriptional Regulation of the Base Excision Repair Pathway by BRCA1. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2010**, *285*, 19092–19105. [CrossRef]
- 68. Singh, B.; Chatterjee, A.; Ronghe, A.M.; Bhat, N.K.; Bhat, H.K. Antioxidant-mediated up-regulation of OGG1 via NRF2 induction is associated with inhibition of oxidative DNA damage in estrogen-induced breast cancer. *BMC Cancer* **2013**, *13*, 253. [CrossRef]
- 69. Wang, Q.; Li, J.; Yang, X.; Sun, H.; Gao, S.; Zhu, H.; Wu, J.; Jin, W. Nrf2 is associated with the regulation of basal transcription activity of the BRCA1 gene. *Acta Biochim. Biophys. Sin.* **2013**, *45*, 179–187. [CrossRef]
- 70. Williams, T.; Yang, L.; Estrada-Bernal, A.; Chatterjee, M.; Robb, R. A KRAS-NRF2-53BP1 Nonhomologous End-Joining Repair Pathway Mediates Oncogenic KRAS Radioresistance. *Int. J. Radiat. Oncol. Biol. Phys.* **2017**, *99*, S31. [CrossRef]
- Petzer, A.; Harvey, B.H.; Wegener, G.; Petzer, J.P. Azure B, a metabolite of methylene blue, is a high-potency, reversible inhibitor of monoamine oxidase. *Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol.* 2012, 258, 403–409. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 72. Delport, A.; Harvey, B.N.; Petzer, A.; Petzer, J.P. Azure B and a synthetic structural analogue of methylene blue, ethylthioninium chloride, present with antidepressant-like properties. *Life Sci.* 2014, *117*, 56–66. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Haouzi, P.; McCann, M.; Tubbs, N. Azure B as a novel cyanide antidote: Preclinical in-vivo studie. *Toxicol. Rep.* 2020, 7, 1459–1464. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Čulo, F.; Sabolović, D.; Somogyi, L.; Marušić, M.; Berbiguier, N.; Galey, L. Anti-tumoral and anti-inflammatory effects of biological stains. Agents Actions 1991, 34, 424–428. [CrossRef]
- Basist, P.; Zahiruddin, S.; Khan, M.U.; Gautam, G.; Jan, B.; Khan, M.A.; Parveen, R.; Sayeed Ahmad, S. Metabolite profiling and nephroprotective potential of Glycyrrhiza glabra L. roots against cisplatin-induced nephrotoxicity in vitro and in vivo. *Iran. J. Basic Med. Sci.* 2022, 25, 1286–1298. [CrossRef]
- 76. Starkov, A.A. Measurement of Mitochondrial ROS Production. Methods Mol. Biol. 2010, 648, 245–255. [CrossRef]
- Gureev, A.P.; Shaforostova, E.A.; Starkov, A.A.; Popov, V.N. Simplified qPCR method for detecting excessive mtDNA damage induced by exogenous factors. *Toxicology* 2017, 382, 67–74. [CrossRef]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.