



# Article COVID-19 Plasma Extracellular Vesicles Increase the Density of Lipid Rafts in Human Small Airway Epithelial Cells

Sara Darwish<sup>1</sup>, Lauren P. Liu<sup>1</sup>, Tanya O. Robinson<sup>2</sup>, Spurthi Tarugu<sup>2</sup>, Anna H. Owings<sup>2</sup>, Sarah C. Glover<sup>2</sup> and Abdel A. Alli<sup>1,3,\*</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Physiology and Aging, University of Florida College of Medicine, Gainesville, FL 32610, USA
- <sup>2</sup> Departments of Medicine and Cell and Molecular Biology, University of Mississippi Medical Center, Jackson, MS 39216, USA
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Medicine, Division of Nephrology, Hypertension, and Renal Transplantation, University of Florida College of Medicine, Gainesville, FL 32610, USA
- \* Correspondence: aalli@ufl.edu; Tel.: +1-352-273-7877

Abstract: Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) virus is the causative agent of the COVID-19 disease. COVID-19 viral infection can affect many cell types, including epithelial cells of the lungs and airways. Extracellular vesicles (EVs) are released by virtually all cell types, and their packaged cargo allows for intercellular communication, cell differentiation, and signal transduction. Cargo from virus-infected cells may include virally derived metabolites, miRNAs, nucleic acids, and proteins. We hypothesized that COVID-19 plasma EVs can induce the formation of signaling platforms known as lipid rafts after uptake by normal human small airway epithelial cells (SAECs). Circulating EVs from patients with or without COVID-19 were characterized by nanoparticle tracking analysis, Western blotting using specific antibodies, and transmission electron microscopy. Primary cultures of normal human small airway epithelial cells were challenged with EVs from the two patient groups, and lipid raft formation was measured by fluorescence microscopy and assessed by sucrose density gradient analysis. Collectively, our data suggest that circulating EVs from COVID-19-infected patients can induce the formation of lipid rafts in normal human small airway epithelial cells. These results suggest the need for future studies aimed at investigating whether the increased density of lipid rafts in these cells promotes viral entry and alteration of specific signaling pathways in the recipient cells.

Keywords: COVID-19; extracellular vesicles; lipid rafts; small airway epithelial cells

# 1. Introduction

Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), also referred to as COVID-19, is an infectious disease that emerged in early 2020 and has rapidly spread across the globe, triggering a global health crisis and causing a multitude of perturbations [1]. Currently, the investigation of the virus and its long-term effects on lung health continues to be a priority due to its associated comorbidities, resulting in high mortality rates [2]. The primary infection initiation site is the epithelial mucosa of the upper respiratory tract and lungs, with a clinical presentation consisting of fever, cough, fatigue, and dyspnea [3,4]. SARS-CoV-2 is a 100 nm single-stranded RNA-enveloped virus. Its entry into host cells depends on the binding of the viral (S) spike protein to the angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) receptor, which is mainly expressed in alveolar epithelial cells. Later, activation of serine protease TMPRSS2 leads to a cascade of reactions, triggering infection [4,5]. Recent studies have shown that the infectivity of SARS-CoV-2 is heavily dependent on endocytic mechanisms and has been shown to undergo rapid endocytosis in cells expressing abundant levels of ACE2 [5].

Extracellular vesicles (EVs) include exosomes, microvesicles, and apoptotic bodies [6]. These vesicles are generated either from the budding of the plasma membrane or within



Citation: Darwish, S.; Liu, L.P.; Robinson, T.O.; Tarugu, S.; Owings, A.H.; Glover, S.C.; Alli, A.A. COVID-19 Plasma Extracellular Vesicles Increase the Density of Lipid Rafts in Human Small Airway Epithelial Cells. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2023, 24, 1654. https://doi.org/10.3390/ ijms24021654

Academic Editors: Jacek Z. Kubiak and Malgorzata Kloc

Received: 1 November 2022 Revised: 9 January 2023 Accepted: 10 January 2023 Published: 14 January 2023



**Copyright:** © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). the endosomal system through the fusion of multivesicular endocytic compartments [7]. EVs have the potential to be used as a therapeutic tool, given their ability to participate in immune modulation and act as delivery vehicles containing various molecules [8]. EVs are present in conditioned media from cultured cells [9] and in different types of biological fluids, including serum, plasma, urine, and saliva [10]. Urinary EVs are mainly released from epithelial cells within the nephron and are found abundantly in urine [11]. Plasma and serum EVs are released from many different cell types and are found in the systemic circulation [12]. A subtype of intraluminal vesicles (ILVs) known as exosomes are lipid-bound nano-sized organelles derived from a fusion of multivesicular bodies (MVBs) and have been thought to function primarily as a means of excreting cellular waste material, although later studies have suggested their possible role in biochemical signaling [13]. All types of EVs are rich in various types of proteins, metabolites, nucleic

acids, and lipids [14,15]. Additionally, EVs have been shown to be enriched in bioactive lipids, signaling lipids, and lipids associated with lipid rafts [16,17]. In conjunction with their ability to mediate the transfer of membrane components such as proteins, existing studies have effectively shown their role in the transmission of viral infectious agents [18]. Whereas the potential role of EVs in SARS-CoV-2 has been explored in other studies, a deeper understanding of the functional role of EVs and their potential contribution to the

deeper understanding of the functional role of EVs and their potential contribution to the viral transmission of SARS-CoV-2 requires additional investigation. The primary objective of our study is to elucidate the active role of COVID-19-derived EVs in the trajectory of the disease by investigating their potential contribution to lipid raft formation in human small epithelial airway cells. Lipid rafts are structures located within the plasma membrane that are composed of sphingolipids, cholesterol, and proteins. Their function includes the regulation of membrane signaling and trafficking [19,20], indicating that they might contribute to the viral transmission of SARS-CoV-2. Our findings presented here highlight how COVID-19 plasma EVs can increase the density of lipid rafts in recipient human small airway epithelial cells (SAECs) to potentially promote viral entry in these cells.

#### 2. Results

# 2.1. Patient Characteristics

The two study groups included n = 6 patients with COVID-19 and n = 6 patients without COVID-19. Background data on each patient in the two groups are presented in Table 1, including age, gender, race, BMI, and smoking history.

Patient Sample	COVID Status	Age	Gender	Race	BMI	Smoker
NON-COVID-19 P1	negative	51	М	Caucasian	42.95	Never
NON-COVID-19 P2	negative	49	F	Black	45.5	Never
NON-COVID-19 P3	negative	59	Μ	Black	24.6	Current
NON-COVID-19 P4	negative	54	F	Caucasian	51.4	Never
NON-COVID-19 P5	negative	45	F	Black	27.8	Prior
NON-COVID-19 P6	negative	59	F	Black	37.4	Never
COVID-19 P1	positive	52	F	Black	49.69	Never
COVID-19 P2	positive	79	Μ	Caucasian	17.5	Prior
COVID-19 P3	positive	71	Μ	Choctaw	39.38	Prior
COVID-19 P4	positive	65	Μ	Black	27.98	Prior
COVID-19 P5	positive	65	Μ	Black	29.5	Never
COVID-19 P6	positive	65	F	Black	44.92	Never

**Table 1.** Demographics of patients with or without COVID-19.

2.2. Serum BUN, Creatinine, and Electrolyte Levels in Each COVID-19 and Non-COVID-19 Patient

As shown in Figure 1, our cohort of COVID-19 patients had lower levels of BUN (Figure 1A) and serum sodium (Figure 1C) compared to the non-COVID-19 group. There

Α

С



was no significant difference in serum creatinine or serum potassium between the two groups, as shown in Figure 1B,D.

**Figure 1.** Comparison of serum BUN, creatinine, and electrolytes in COVID-19 and non-COVID-19 patients. (**A**) Blood urea nitrogen (BUN), (**B**) serum creatinine, (**C**) serum sodium, and (**D**) serum potassium levels in patients with and without COVID-19. n = 6 COVID-19 patients and n = 6 non-COVID-19 patients, respectively. An unpaired *t*-test was performed. \* *p*-value < 0.05; \*\* *p*-value < 0.01. ns refers to a non-significant difference between the groups.

# 2.3. Characterization of EVs Isolated from the Plasma of COVID-19 and Non-COVID-19 Patients

The concentration and size of each plasma EV sample from the COVID-19 and non-COVID-19 groups were measured by nanoparticle tracking analysis. The average peak profile of each EV sample from both groups showed that concentrations were in the range of  $1.22-5.15 \times 10^{11}$  particles/mL, and the majority of the EV particles were less than 200 nm in diameter (Figure 2A, B). Although there was not a significant difference in concentration between the COVID-19 and non-COVID-19 groups (Figure 2C), the EVs isolated from the



plasma of COVID-19 patients were larger than those isolated from non-COVID-19 patients (Figure 2D).

**Figure 2.** Nanoparticle tracking analysis of EVs isolated from the plasma of patients with and without COVID-19. (**A**) Mean peak profiles for EVs isolated from the plasma of COVID-19 patients. (**B**) Mean peak profiles for EVs isolated from the plasma of non-COVID-19 patients. (**C**) Summary plot of EV concentrations from the COVID-19 and non-COVID-19 groups. (**D**) Summary plot of EV size from the COVID-19 and non-COVID-19 groups. An unpaired *t*-test was performed. \*\* *p*-value < 0.01. ns refers to a non-significant difference between the groups.

The EVs from each of the two groups were then further characterized for the enrichment of known EV markers by Western blot analysis. Each EV preparation from the COVID-19 and non-COVID-19 group was found to be enriched in annexin A2 and HSP70 but not in the endoplasmic reticulum marker protein GRP94 (Figure 3A). Transmission electron microscopy was performed to corroborate the nanoparticle tracking results, showing that the EVs from the COVID-19 group were larger than those of the non-COVID-19 group, whereas the size of most of the EVs was less than 200 nm in diameter (Figure 3B).

## 2.4. pEVs from COVID-19 Patients Increase Lipid Raft Density in Recipient SAECs

To investigate whether circulating EVs from COVID-19 patients can regulate lipid raft formation and signal transduction in normal human SAECs, we measured the density of lipid rafts in normal human SAECs challenged with EVs isolated from the plasma of COVID-19 patients compared to non-COVID-19 patients. Fluorescence microscopy and analysis showed that the density of lipid rafts was augmented in cells challenged by EVs from the COVID-19 group compared to the non-COVID-19 control group (Figure 4).



**Figure 3.** Western blot analysis of plasma EVs (pEVs) from patients with and without COVID-19. (**A**) Western blots for annexin A2, glucose-regulated protein 94 (GRP94) (endoplasmic reticulum marker and control for the absence of lysed cells and aggregated protein), and HSP70. WCL, whole cell lysate from normal human fibroblasts (BJ cells). (**B**) Representative transmission electron microscopy images of plasma EVs from COVID-19 and non-COVID-19 patients. White arrows indicate individual EVs. Images are representative of n = 6 different batches of non-COVID-19 plasma EVs (pNC-19) and n = 6 different batches of COVID-19 plasma EVs (pC-19).



**Figure 4.** Immunofluorescence and analysis of lipid raft density after challenging human small airway epithelial cells (SAECs) with pEVs from patients with and without COVID-19. (**A**) Representative immunofluorescence microscopy of human SAECs challenged with pEVs from COVID-19 patients (top) and non-COVID-19 patients (bottom). Human SAECs were fixed, and lipid rafts were labeled with cholera toxin B subunit conjugated to FITC. DAPI was added to visualize nuclei. The cells were imaged at 40× using a wide-field Nikon microscope. The scale bar represents 50 µm, and each image was adjusted using the same post-processing settings (130 min and 1100 max) for the green channel. (**B**) Summary plot of the fluorescence intensity quantification in panel A. A total of 15 cells in each group were quantified using ImageJ 1.52a software. Similar results were observed in *n* = 3 independent experiments performed using 3 different batches of either COVID-19 plasma EVs or non-COVID-19 plasma EVs. An unpaired *t*-test was performed. \*\*\*\* *p*-value < 0.0001.

# 2.5. pEVs from COVID-19 Patients Increase MAL Enrichment with Lipid Rafts in Human SAECs

MAL protein expression was augmented in lipid-raft-associated sucrose density gradient fractions after treating human SAECs with pEVs from COVID-19 patients compared to non-COVID-19 patients (Figure 5).



**Figure 5.** Sucrose density gradient analysis after challenging normal human small airway epithelial cells (SAECs) with pEVs from patients with and without COVID-19. (**A**) Western blot for MAL protein in twelve sucrose density gradient fractions after human SAECs were challenged with COVID-19 plasma EVs. (**B**) Western blot for MAL protein in twelve sucrose density gradient fractions after human SAECs were challenged with non-COVID-19 plasma EVs. WCL refers to whole cell lysate from SAECs as a positive control. Similar results were observed in *n* = 3 independent experiments performed using 3 different batches of non-COVID-19 plasma EVs and 3 different batches of COVID-19 plasma EVs.

### 2.6. COVID-19 pEVs Decrease the Permeability of FITC-Dextran in hAoEC and SAEC

To investigate whether pEVs alter the permeability of multiple human cell types, we performed a fluorescence permeability assay. Normal human small airway epithelial cells (SAECs), human proximal tubule cells (HK2s), and human aortic endothelial cells (hAoECs) were challenged with pEVs from COVID-19 and non-COVID-19 patients. As shown in Figure 6, pEVs from COVID-19 patients decreased the permeability of FITC-dextran in SAECs (Figure 6A) and hAoECs (Figure 6C).



**Figure 6.** Permeability assay after challenging various human cell types with COVID-19 and non-COVID-19 pEVs. (**A**) Permeability of FITC-dextran in human SAECs challenged with pEVs from patients with or without COVID-19. (**B**) Permeability of FITC-dextran in human proximal tubule (HK2) cells challenged with pEVs from patients with or without COVID-19. (**C**) Permeability of FITC-dextran in human aortic endothelial cells (hAoECs) challenged with pEVs from patients with or without COVID-19. An unpaired *t*-test was performed. \*\* *p*-value < 0.01. ns refers to a non-significant difference between the groups.

# 3. Discussion

Here, we present evidence from multiple approaches demonstrating that circulating EVs from COVID-19 patients are capable of increasing the density of lipid rafts in recipient human small airway epithelial cells (SAECs) relative to pEVs from non-COVID-19 patients. This increase in lipid raft density in SAECs challenged with COVID-19 pEVs is likely to result in the activation of various signaling pathways (Figure 7). We first showed, by fluorescence microscopy, that there is a greater density of cholera-toxin-subunit-B-labeled lipid rafts in human SAECs challenged with pEVs isolated from the plasma of COVID-19 patients compared to those from non-COVID-19 patients. Secondly, we showed that the density of the lipid raft protein MAL (and potentially MAL2) is augmented in light-density sucrose gradient fractions from human SAECs challenged with pEVs from COVID-19 patients compared to those from non-COVID-19 patients. Although we used a MAL antibody, the results suggest that the doublet at ~37 kDa seen in our Western blots corresponds to heavy glycosylated forms of MAL and, presumably, MAL2. MAL2 was previously shown by other groups to migrate at a similar molecular weight [21,22].



**Figure 7.** Proposed model for the role of EVs isolated from the plasma of non-COVID-19 patients compared to those from the plasma of COVID-19 patients in SAECs. The cargo enriched in pEVs of COVID-19 patients contain a unique overall cargo, which, upon uptake by recipient small airway epithelial cells, primes lipid rafts for the activation of various intracellular signaling pathways. Presumably, the stimulation of lipid rafts or the resulting activation of intracellular signaling pathways promotes COVID-19 viral entry into the cells. A "?" represents a possible pEV dependent mechanism for COVID-19 virus entry.

Typically, there are numerous comorbidities and factors including patient demographics, age, BMI, sex, behaviors, and medication that can influence the packaging of cargo within EVs and/or their release and subsequent effects in recipient cells. Our COVID-19 patient cohort and non-COVID-19 patient cohort included both male and female adults in the age range of 45 to 80 who either had a history of smoking or never smoked at all. The patients from both groups were on various medications, which may have influenced the release of EVs from different cell types and, presumably, the packaged molecules within the EVs. For example, drugs that induce hypoxic stress [23], reduce blood pressure and edema [24], induce vasodilation [25], stabilize mast cells [26], treat cancer [27], and lower blood glucose [28] have been shown to modulate the release of EVs from various cell types. It may be possible for various drugs to alter the EV enrichment of bioactive lipids such as sphingomyelins and phosphatidylethanolamines, which are associated with lipid rafts. Additional studies using SARS-CoV-2 pseudovirus to infect specific cell types may be helpful to further investigate and confirm the role of COVID-19 pEVs in modulating the organization of lipid rafts.

Our data suggest that the augmentation of lipid rafts located within human SAECs triggered by COVID-19 plasma extracellular vesicles signifies their potential role in the viral transmission of SARS-CoV-2 and activation of other potential subsequent biochemical pathways. Lipid rafts have previously served as points of entry for viruses such as influenza and HIV [29], suggesting the possibility of a similar effect in SARS-CoV-2. As demonstrated, a notable difference in size between pEVs derived from our COVID-19 patients compared to non-COVID-19 patients was determined via transmission electron microscopy. Additionally, our electrolyte data are consistent with published studies. Similar to the results shown in a study by Lippi et al. [30], we also found lower sodium, potassium, and calcium levels in patients with severe COVID-19 disease.

Importantly, published studies have shown that cholesterol-rich lipid rafts serve as platforms to concentrate various receptors, allowing for their interaction with the viral spike protein and subsequently promoting viral entry. Lu et al. showed that the angiotensin-converting enzyme-2 (ACE-2) is localized to lipid rafts and demonstrated the association with the ectodomain of SARS-CoV-2 S protein and lipid rafts in Vero E6 cells [31]. They also showed that the disruption of lipid rafts inhibits the infectivity of pseudotyped SARS-CoV-2 in these cells. Płóciennikowska et al. showed that human toll-like receptors (TLRs) are localized to lipid rafts [32], whereas Choudhury and Mukherjee showed that various forms of TLRs are involved in the docking of the S protein [33]. In another study, Wei et al. showed that the HDL scavenger receptor B type 1 promotes SARS-CoV-2 entry [34], whereas the depletion of cholesterol from cell membranes was shown by Radenkovic et al. to inhibit SAR-CoV-2 infection [35].

One limitation of our study is the small sample size, which restricts our ability to potentially investigate different variants of COVID-19. An additional limiting factor to consider is the constrained range of demographics. Further research with a larger sample size and a wider spectrum of demographics would be beneficial to further support the findings presented in this study.

Taken together, the data presented here provide preliminary evidence for the potential role of circulating EVs in COVID-19 viral infection. Descriptive studies aimed at identifying differentially enriched molecules, including bioactive lipids, metabolites, and proteins, in EVs isolated from the plasma of COVID-19 and non-COVID-19 patients may offer valuable insight into the mechanism by which these EVs can promote lipid raft formation in recipient cells and alter signal transduction pathways and/or promote viral entry.

# 4. Materials and Methods

# 4.1. Cell Culture

Human small airway epithelial cells (SAECs) (CC-2547) were purchased from Lonza (Walkersville, MD, USA) and cultured in SAEC medium (Lonza). Human proximal tubule (HK-2) cells were purchased from the ATCC (Manassas, VA, USA) and cultured in keratinocyte medium (Invitrogen (Gibco). Human aortic endothelial cells (hAoECs) were purchased from Cell Biologics (Chicago, IL, USA) and cultured in complete growth medium (Cell Biologics). Normal human BJ fibroblasts were a kind gift from Dr. J. W. Shay (University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center). All cell types were maintained at 37 °C in a 5% CO<sub>2</sub> humidified incubator, and the medium was replaced every 3 days. SAECs, HK-2 cells, and hAoECs with a passage number of 2–5 were used for experiments.

## 4.2. Participant Recruitment and Respiratory Sampling

Full participant characteristics are provided in a previously published study [36]. The UMMC Institutional Review Board approved the study under IRB#2020-0065. All participants or their legally authorized representative provided written informed consent. Briefly, participants were eligible for inclusion in the COVID-19 group if they were at

least 18 years old; had a positive nasopharyngeal swab for SARS-CoV-2 by PCR; had COVID-19-related symptoms, including fever, chills, cough, shortness of breath, and sore throat; and weighed more than 110 lb. Participants were eligible for inclusion in the healthy group if they were at least 18 years old, had a current negative SARS-CoV-2 test (PCR or rapid antigen test), and weighed more than 110 lb. COVID-19 participants were classified according to the 8-level ordinal scale proposed by the WHO representing their peak clinical severity and level of respiratory support required. Blood samples were collected by a trained healthcare in dark-green-top tubes containing sodium heparin provider and processed within 1 h of collection. To collect plasma, tubes were centrifuged at  $1200 \times g$  in a clinical-grade centrifuge for 10 min at room temperature. Plasma aliquots were stored at -20 degrees Celsius until used.

## 4.3. Isolation of Extracellular Vesicles from Human Plasma Samples

EVs were isolated from plasma samples from patients with or without COVID-19. SmartSEC EV isolation kits (SSEC200A-1) (System Biosciences, Palo Alto, CA) were used according to the manufactures instructions with the following modifications. The plasma samples were subject to centrifugation at  $3000 \times g$  for 10 min and then 400 µL of the supernatant was added to the top of the resin bed of a SmartSEC column after washing the beads. Three columns were used for each patient sample and the fractions were pooled together.

#### 4.4. Nanoparticle Tracking Analysis

The sizes and concentrations of extracellular vesicles were measured by a NanoSight NS300 machine (Malvern Panalytical, Malvern, UK) equipped with NTA 3.4 Build 3.4.4 Software (Malvern Panalytical) at 25 degrees Celsius. The samples were diluted with ultrapure  $1 \times PBS$  (Thermo Fisher Scientific) at a ratio of 1:1000 before being fed into the NS300 machine using an automated infusion pump at a rate of 65.

#### 4.5. SDS PAGE and Western Blotting

EVs were lysed in a 1:1 dilution with RIPA buffer (Thermo Fisher Scientific) before determining total protein concentration using a bicinchoninic acid protein assay (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Twenty micrograms of total protein was loaded into 4–20% Tris HCl polyacrylamide gels and resolved using the Criterion electrophoresis system (BioRad, Hercules, CA, USA). The resolved proteins were then transferred onto nitrocellulose membranes (GE Healthcare, Piscataway, NJ, USA) using the Criterion transfer system (BioRad). The membranes were blocked in a mixture of 5% non-fat milk and  $1 \times$  TBS (TBS; BioRad) at room temperature for an hour on an automated rocker. The nitrocellulose membranes were washed twice with  $1 \times$  TBS and incubated with primary antibodies (annexin A2 (8235; Cell Signaling), GRP94 (20292; Cell Signaling Technology), and HSP70 (ab228421; Abcam)) overnight on an automated rocker at 4 degrees Celsius. Next, the membranes were washed three times with  $1 \times$  TBS and incubated with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated goat antirabbit secondary antibody (BioRad) at a 1:3000 dilution prepared in blocking solution for an hour on an automated rocker. The nitrocellulose membranes were washed four times with  $1 \times$  TBS, incubated with ECL reagent (BioRad) for seven minutes, and developed on an imager (BioRad).

#### 4.6. Transmission Electron Microscopy

A total of 25  $\mu$ L of a 1:10 dilution of each sample (COVID-19 or non-COVID-19 EVs) was added to an equal volume of 4% paraformaldehyde in 1× PBS (Thermo Fisher Scientific). A 20  $\mu$ L drop of the EV–paraformaldehyde solution was aliquoted onto a section of Parafilm; then, a Formvar carbon-coated grid (Ladd Research Industries; Williston, VT, USA) (membrane side down) was floated on top of the drop, and the sample was allowed to absorb for 20 min at room temperature. Next, the grid was transferred to a 50  $\mu$ L drop of 1× PBS placed on a section of Parafilm, transferred to a 50  $\mu$ L drop of 1% glutaraldehyde

(Ladd Research Industries; Williston, VT, USA) in  $1 \times PBS$  on another section of Parafilm, and allowed to incubate for 5 min at room temperature. The grid was then subjected to a series of 8 washes, each in a 50 µL drop of distilled water on a section of Parafilm for 2 min intervals. The grid was then incubated in a 50 µL drop of filtered 4% aqueous uranyl acetate (Ladd Research Industries) and 0.15 M oxalic acid (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MI, USA) solution (pH 7), placed on a different section of the Parafilm, and incubated for 5 min at room temperature. Afterwards, the grid was incubated in a 50 µL drop of a solution comprising one part filtered 4% aqueous uranyl acetate and nine parts methyl cellulose (Sigma-Aldrich) on a different section of Parafilm that was placed in a glass Petri dish on a cold plate and incubated for 10 min. Next, the grids were removed using a stainless-steel loop, blotted around the edges with Whatman no. 1 filter paper, and allowed to air dry for 5 min at room temperature. Finally, the grid was imaged using a Hitachi H-7600 transmission electron microscope (Hitachi High Technologies America, Inc., Clarksburg, MD, USA) with AMT imaging software (Advanced Microscopy Techniques Corporation).

#### 4.7. SAEC Treatment and Fluorescence Microscopy

SAECs were plated on glass-bottom dishes (Mattek; Ashland, MA, USA) and cultured at 37 °C for 24 h. The cells were made quiescent by serum depravation in basal medium for 8 h before being challenged with  $1-5 \times 10^7$  particles/mL of EVs for 4 h. The cells were washed twice in  $1 \times$  PBS and fixed in ice-cold methanol/acetone solution (1:1 ratio v/v) at -20 °C for 10 min. After a series of 3 washes with  $1 \times$  PBS, cholera toxin B subunit (Sigma) was added to label lipid rafts, and DAPI (Vector Laboratories) was added to label nuclei. The cells were then imaged using a  $40 \times$  objective on an inverted Nikon Ti-E widefield microscope.

## 4.8. Sucrose Density Gradient Ultracentrifugation

Lipid rafts were isolated from cell lysates of SAECs by sucrose density gradient ultracentrifugation, as previously described [37]. Protein expression of lipid-raft- and non-lipid-raft-associated proteins was assessed by Western blotting.

## 4.9. In Vitro Permeability Assay

A fluorescence-based in vitro permeability assay (ECM644) (Millipore, Burlington, MA, USA) was used to assess changes in permeability of FITC-dextran in multiple cell types. Human SAECs, human proximal tubule (HK2) cells, and human aortic endothelial cells (hAoECs) were plated on inserts and treated with  $1-5 \times 10^7$  particles/mL pEVs from COVID-19 or non-COVID-19 patients for 4 h before being treated with FITC-dextran. The plate was read at 485 nm (excitation) and 535 nm (emission) on a Tecan fluorescence plate reader (Switzerland) equipped with Magellan software.

## 4.10. Statistical Analysis

ImageJ Version 1.52 A was used to quantify immunofluorescence intensity. GraphPad Prism Version 9.4.1 was used to analyze the data and create the plots. An unpaired t-test was used to make comparisons between the COVID-19 group and the non-COVID-19 group.

## 5. Conclusions

In conclusion, the data presented here suggest a new role for circulating EVs in the transmission of SARS-CoV-2. Descriptive studies aimed at identifying differentially enriched molecules, including bioactive lipids, metabolites, and proteins, in EVs isolated from the plasma of COVID-19 and non-COVID-19 patients may offer important insight into the mechanism by which these EVs can promote lipid raft formation in recipient cells and alter signal transduction pathways and/or promote viral entry. Future experiments should investigate the pathways involved in the induction of lipid rafts by the uptake of EVs in recipient cells and how the resulting changes in permeability modify SARS-CoV-2 infection in lung epithelial cells. Future studies should also explore the possible therapeutic potential of targeting specific molecules in pathways involved in the induction of lipid rafts by EVs from patients with COVID-19.

**Author Contributions:** S.D., L.P.L., T.O.R., S.T., A.H.O. and A.A.A. performed experiments and analyzed data. S.C.G. and A.A.A. were responsible for supervision and project administration. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This work was funded by COVID funds from the University of Mississippi and NIH 1R01DE031928-01A1 (Glover-PI).

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** Human research was approved through the University of Mississippi Institutional Review Board protocol number 2020-0065.

Informed Consent Statement: Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.

Data Availability Statement: The individual data points are presented in each plot within the figures.

Acknowledgments: The authors thank Douglas E. Smith at the Cell and Tissue Analysis Core (CTAC) of the Interdisciplinary Center for Biotechnology Research (ICBR) for help with the microscopy studies. We thank J. W. Shay (University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center) for kindly providing the BJ fibroblasts. We thank the study participants and their families for enabling this research and the clinical support staff at UMMC for assistance in sample collection. The authors thank Ken Wilson for help in maintaining IRB records and the editing of this manuscript.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

# References

- Mallah, S.I.; Ghorab, O.K.; Al-Salmi, S.; Abdellatif, O.S.; Tharmaratnam, T.; Iskandar, M.A.; Sefen, J.A.N.; Sidhu, P.; Atallah, B.; El-Lababidi, R.; et al. COVID-19: Breaking down a global health crisis. *Ann. Clin. Microbiol. Antimicrob.* 2021, 20, 35. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Alkhathami, M.G.; Advani, S.M.; Abalkhail, A.A.; Alkhathami, F.M.; Alshehri, M.K.; Albeashy, E.E.; Alsalamah, J.A. Prevalence and mortality of lung comorbidities among patients with COVID-19: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lung India* 2021, 38, S31–S40. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 3. Alimohamadi, Y.; Sepandi, M.; Taghdir, M.; Hosamirudsari, H. Determine the most common clinical symptoms in COVID-19 patients: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J. Prev. Med. Hyg.* **2020**, *61*, E304–E312. [PubMed]
- Berry, F.; Morin-Dewaele, M.; Majidipur, A.; Jamet, T.; Bartier, S.; Ignjatovic, E.; Toniutti, D.; Gaspar Lopes, J.; Soyeux-Porte, P.; Maille, P.; et al. Proviral role of human respiratory epithelial cell-derived small extracellular vesicles in SARS-CoV-2 infection. *J. Extracell. Vesicles* 2022, *11*, e12269. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Bayati, A.; Kumar, R.; Francis, V.; McPherson, P.S. SARS-CoV-2 infects cells after viral entry via clathrin-mediated endocytosis. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2021, 296, 100306. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Meng, W.; He, C.; Hao, Y.; Wang, L.; Li, L.; Zhu, G. Prospects and challenges of extracellular vesicle-based drug delivery system: Considering cell source. *Drug Deliv.* 2020, 27, 585–598. [CrossRef]
- Mathieu, M.; Martin-Jaular, L.; Lavieu, G.; Théry, C. Specificities of secretion and uptake of exosomes and other extracellular vesicles for cell-to-cell communication. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 2019, *21*, 9–17. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ciferri, M.; Quarto, R.; Tasso, R. Extracellular Vesicles as Biomarkers and Therapeutic Tools: From Pre-Clinical to Clinical Applications. *Biology* 2021, 10, 359. [CrossRef]
- Dang, V.D.; Jella, K.K.; Ragheb, R.R.T.; Denslow, N.D.; Alli, A.A. Lipidomic and proteomic analysis of exosomes from mouse cortical collecting duct cells. *FASEB J.* 2017, *31*, 5399–5408. [CrossRef]
- Ghosh, A.; Davey, M.; Chute, I.C.; Griffiths, S.G.; Lewis, S.; Chacko, S.; Barnett, D.; Crapoulet, N.; Fournier, S.; Joy, A.; et al. Rapid Isolation of Extracellular Vesicles from Cell Culture and Biological Fluids Using a Synthetic Peptide with Specific Affinity for Heat Shock Proteins. *PLoS ONE* 2014, 9, e110443. [CrossRef]
- Glover, S.C.; Nouri, M.; Tuna, K.M.; Alvarez, L.B.M.; Ryan, L.K.; Shirley, J.F.; Tang, Y.; Denslow, N.D.; Alli, A.A. Lipidomic analysis of urinary exosomes from hereditary α-tryptasemia patients and healthy volunteers. *FASEB BioAdvances* 2019, 1, 624–638. [CrossRef]
- Karimi, N.; Cvjetkovic, A.; Jang, S.C.; Crescitelli, R.; Hosseinpour Feizi, M.A.; Nieuwland, R.; Lötvall, J.; Lässer, C. Detailed analysis of the plasma extracellular vesicle proteome after separation from lipoproteins. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* 2018, 75, 2873–2886. [CrossRef]
- 13. Alenquer, M.; Amorim, M.J. Exosome Biogenesis, Regulation, and Function in Viral Infection. *Viruses* **2015**, *7*, 5066–5083. [CrossRef]
- Dang, X.T.T.; Kavishka, J.M.; Zhang, D.X.; Pirisinu, M.; Le, M.T.N. Extracellular Vesicles as an Efficient and Versatile System for Drug Delivery. *Cells* 2020, 9, 2191. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Harmati, M.; Bukva, M.; Böröczky, T.; Buzás, K.; Gyukity-Sebestyén, E. The role of the metabolite cargo of extracellular vesicles in tumor progression. *Cancer Metastasis Rev.* 2021, 40, 1203–1221. [CrossRef]
- Downs, C.A.; Dang, V.D.; Johnson, N.M.; Denslow, N.D.; Alli, A.A. Hydrogen Peroxide Stimulates Exosomal Cathepsin B Regulation of the Receptor for Advanced Glycation End-Products (RAGE). J. Cell. Biochem. 2017, 119, 599–606. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Nouri, M.-Z.; Yu, L.; Liu, L.P.; Chacko, K.M.; Denslow, N.D.; LaDisa, J.F.L., Jr.; Alli, A.A. Increased endothelial sodium channel activity by extracellular vesicles in human aortic endothelial cells: Putative role of MLP1 and bioactive lipids. *Am. J. Physiol. Physiol.* 2021, 321, C535–C548. [CrossRef]
- Cosset, F.-L.; Dreux, M. HCV transmission by hepatic exosomes establishes a productive infection. J. Hepatol. 2014, 60, 674–675. [CrossRef]
- 19. Lingwood, D.; Simons, K. Lipid Rafts As a Membrane-Organizing Principle. Science 2009, 327, 46–50. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Subra, C.; Laulagnier, K.; Perret, B.; Record, M. Exosome lipidomics unravels lipid sorting at the level of multivesicular bodies. *Biochimie* 2007, *89*, 205–212. [CrossRef]
- Madrid, R.; Aranda, J.F.; Rodriguez-Fraticelli, A.; Ventimiglia, L.N.; Andrés-Delgado, L.; Shehata, M.; Fanayan, S.; Shahheydari, H.; Gómez, S.; Jiménez, A.; et al. The Formin INF2 Regulates Basolateral-to-Apical Transcytosis and Lumen Formation in Association with Cdc42 and MAL2. *Dev. Cell* 2010, 18, 814–827. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 22. Marazuela, M.; Martin-Belmonte, F.; García-López, M.A.; Aranda, J.F.; De Marco, M.C.; Alonso, M. Expression and Distribution of MAL2, an Essential Element of the Machinery for Basolateral-to-Apical Transcytosis, in Human Thyroid Epithelial Cells. *Endocrinology* **2004**, *145*, 1011–1016. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Anselmo, A.; Frank, D.; Papa, L.; Anselmi, C.V.; Di Pasquale, E.; Mazzola, M.; Panico, C.; Clemente, F.; Soldani, C.; Pagiatakis, C.; et al. Myocardial hypoxic stress mediates functional cardiac extracellular vesicle release. *Eur. Hear. J.* 2021, 42, 2780–2792. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Zhou, L.; Zhang, T.; Shao, W.; Lu, R.; Wang, L.; Liu, H.; Bin Jiang, B.; Li, S.; Zhuo, H.; Wang, S.; et al. Amiloride ameliorates muscle wasting in cancer cachexia through inhibiting tumor-derived exosome release. *Skelet. Muscle* 2021, 11, 1–16. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Gąsecka, A.; Banaszkiewicz, M.; Nieuwland, R.; van der Pol, E.; Hajji, N.; Mutwil, H.; Rogula, S.; Rutkowska, W.; Pluta, K.; Eyileten, C.; et al. Prostacyclin Analogues Inhibit Platelet Reactivity, Extracellular Vesicle Release and Thrombus Formation in Patients with Pulmonary Arterial Hypertension. J. Clin. Med. 2021, 10, 1024. [CrossRef]
- Khan, F.M.; Saleh, E.; Alawadhi, H.; Harati, R.; Wolfram-Hubertus Wolfram-Hubertus Zimmermann Institute of Pharmacology and Toxicology, University Medical Center, Göttingen, Germany; DZHK (German Center for Cardiovascular Research), partner site Göttingen, GermanyView further author information; El-Awady, R. Inhibition of exosome release by ketotifen enhances sensitivity of cancer cells to doxorubicin. *Cancer Biol. Ther.* 2017, *19*, 25–33. [CrossRef]
- Kosgodage, U.S.; Uysal-Onganer, P.; MacLatchy, A.; Mould, R.; Nunn, A.V.; Guy, G.W.; Kraev, I.; Chatterton, N.P.; Thomas, E.L.; Inal, J.M.; et al. Cannabidiol Affects Extracellular Vesicle Release, miR21 and miR126, and Reduces Prohibitin Protein in Glioblastoma Multiforme Cells. *Transl. Oncol.* 2018, *12*, 513–522. [CrossRef]
- Liao, Z.; Li, S.; Lu, S.; Liu, H.; Li, G.; Ma, L.; Luo, R.; Ke, W.; Wang, B.; Xiang, Q.; et al. Metformin facilitates mesenchymal stem cell-derived extracellular nanovesicles release and optimizes therapeutic efficacy in intervertebral disc degeneration. *Biomaterials* 2021, 274, 120850. [CrossRef]
- Bukrinsky, M.I.; Mukhamedova, N.; Sviridov, D. Lipid rafts and pathogens: The art of deception and exploitation. J. Lipid Res. 2020, 61, 601–610. [CrossRef]
- Lippi, G.; South, A.M.; Henry, B.M. Electrolyte imbalances in patients with severe coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). Ann. Clin. Biochem. Int. J. Biochem. Lab. Med. 2020, 57, 262–265. [CrossRef]
- Lu, Y.; Liu, D.X.; Tam, J.P. Lipid rafts are involved in SARS-CoV entry into Vero E6 cells. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 2008, 369, 344–349. [CrossRef]
- Płóciennikowska, A.; Hromada-Judycka, A.; Borzęcka, K.; Kwiatkowska, K. Co-operation of TLR4 and raft proteins in LPSinduced pro-inflammatory signaling. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* 2014, 72, 557–581. [CrossRef]
- Choudhury, A.; Mukherjee, S. In silico studies on the comparative characterization of the interactions of SARS-CoV-2 spike glycoprotein with ACE-2 receptor homologs and human TLRs. J. Med. Virol. 2020, 92, 2105–2113. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 34. Wei, C.; Wan, L.; Yan, Q.; Wang, X.; Zhang, J.; Yang, X.; Zhang, Y.; Fan, C.; Li, D.; Deng, Y.; et al. HDL-scavenger receptor B type 1 facilitates SARS-CoV-2 entry. *Nat. Metab.* **2020**, *2*, 1391–1400. [CrossRef]
- 35. Radenkovic, D.; Chawla, S.; Pirro, M.; Sahebkar, A.; Banach, M. Cholesterol in Relation to COVID-19: Should We Care about It? *J. Clin. Med.* **2020**, *9*, 1909. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ziegler, C.G.K.; Miao, V.N.; Owings, A.H.; Navia, A.W.; Tang, Y.; Bromley, J.D.; Lotfy, P.; Sloan, M.; Laird, H.; Williams, H.B.; et al. Impaired local intrinsic immunity to SARS-CoV-2 infection in severe COVID-19. *Cell* 2021, *184*, 4713–4733.e4722. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Montgomery, D.S.; Yu, L.; Ghazi, Z.M.; Thai, T.L.; Al-Khalili, O.; Ma, H.-P.; Eaton, D.C.; Alli, A.A. ENaC activity is regulated by calpain-2 proteolysis of MARCKS proteins. *Am. J. Physiol. Physiol.* 2017, 313, C42–C53. [CrossRef]

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.