



Article **Proteomic Analysis Reveals Salt-Tolerant Mechanism in Soybean Applied with Plant-Derived Smoke Solution**

Setsuko Komatsu ^{1,*,†}^(b), Taiki Kimura ^{1,†}, Shafiq Ur Rehman ²^(b), Hisateru Yamaguchi ³^(b), Keisuke Hitachi ⁴ and Kunihiro Tsuchida ⁴

- ¹ Faculty of Environment and Information Sciences, Fukui University of Technology, Fukui 910-8505, Japan; mtaiji71@gmail.com
- ² Department of Biology, University of Haripur, Haripur 22620, Pakistan; drshafiq@uoh.edu.pk
- ³ Department of Medical Technology, Yokkaichi Nursing and Medical Care University, Yokkaichi 512-8045, Japan; h-yamaguchi@y-nm.ac.jp
- ⁴ Institute for Comprehensive Medical Science, Fujita Health University, Toyoake 470-1192, Japan; hkeisuke@fujita-hu.ac.jp (K.H.); tsuchida@fujita-hu.ac.jp (K.T.)
- * Correspondence: skomatsu@fukui-ut.ac.jp
- ⁺ These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract: Salt stress of soybean is a serious problem because it reduces plant growth and seed yield. To investigate the salt-tolerant mechanism of soybean, a plant-derived smoke (PDS) solution was used. Three-day-old soybeans were subjected to PDS solution under 100 mM NaCl for 2 days, resulting in PDS solution improving soybean root growth, even under salt stress. Under the same condition, proteins were analyzed using the proteomic technique. Differential abundance proteins were associated with transport/formaldehyde catabolic process/sucrose metabolism/glutathione metabolism/cell wall organization in the biological process and membrane/Golgi in the cellular component with or without PDS solution under salt stress. Immuno-blot analysis confirmed that osmotin, alcohol dehydrogenase, and sucrose synthase increased with salt stress and decreased with additional PDS solution; however, H⁺ATPase showed opposite effects. Cellulose synthase and xyloglucan endotransglucosylase/hydrolase increased with salt and decreased with additional PDS solution. Furthermore, glycoproteins decreased with salt stress and recovered with additional treatment. As mitochondrion-related events, the contents of ATP and gamma-aminobutyric acid increased with salt stress and recovered with additional treatment. These results suggest that PDS solution improves the soybean growth by alleviating salt stress. Additionally, the regulation of energy metabolism, protein glycosylation, and cell wall construction might be an important factor for the acquisition of salt tolerance in soybean.

Keywords: proteomics; salt stress; soybean; plant-derived smoke solution

1. Introduction

Soil salinization is a significant problem in the agricultural system, which is exhibited with climatic changes. Soil salinity is one of the factors contributing to reduced crop yields worldwide [1,2]. This is caused by the accumulation of water-soluble salts above threshold levels within the soil layer, which adversely affects seedling growth and seed yield [3]. The occurrence of soil salinization depends on various anthropogenic activities, farming practices, and soil types [4]. Saline soils are composed of soluble salts, such as sulfates/chlorides of sodium, magnesium, calcium, and potassium. Nitrate, carbonate, and bicarbonate ions are also present in saline soils. The pH and exchangeable sodium percentage of saline soils are less than 8 and 15, respectively [5]. All types of soil with diverse chemical, physical, and biological properties are affected by salinization [6]. With a growing global population and ever-increasing demand for food quality, the question of



Citation: Komatsu, S.; Kimura, T.; Rehman, S.U.; Yamaguchi, H.; Hitachi, K.; Tsuchida, K. Proteomic Analysis Reveals Salt-Tolerant Mechanism in Soybean Applied with Plant-Derived Smoke Solution. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2023, *24*, 13734. https:// doi.org/10.3390/ijms241813734

Academic Editor: Jozef Kovacik

Received: 5 August 2023 Revised: 30 August 2023 Accepted: 3 September 2023 Published: 6 September 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). how to reduce soil salinity pressure, improve plant tolerance to salt stress, and ultimately increase crop yields needs to be addressed.

Soybean, which is an important source of protein and oil in the world, is highly sensitive to salt stress [7]. Soybean is classified as a salt-sensitive crop, and its yield can be reduced up to 40% by salt stress [8]. The shoot/root lengths and biomass are significantly reduced in cultivated soybean in comparison to wild types under salt stress [9]. Crops with different genotypes displayed different adaptation to salt stress, which is partly due to microorganisms in the rhizosphere [10]. Traditional breeding techniques combined with beneficial microorganisms were widely used to improve the salt tolerance of soybeans [11]. Seed priming and foliar application using jasmonic acid improved photosynthetic rate, transpiration rate, stomatal conductance, and chlorophyll fluorescence in salt-treated soybean [12]. These reports indicated that the development of salt-tolerant soybean is an important issue for improving soybean yields.

In contrast, fire generates smoke, ash, heat, and chemicals, all of which were recognized as germination signals for the growth of different species in fire-prone and fire-free habitats [13]. Plant-derived smoke (PDS) solution produced by burning plant parts such as the leaf, shoot, and stem facilitates the seed germination and plant growth process of over 1200 different plant species belonging to 80 different genera [14]. PDS solution is a material for promoting plant growth and development, which affects plant species from various habitats [15]. Butanolides, including karrikins and cyanohydrin, are the active compounds in PDS solution [16]. Karrikins are present in the smoke released by heating or burning plant material, and can stimulate the germination of dormant seeds. Additionally, karrikins have potential functions in mediating abiotic-stress tolerance in plants [17–19], and similar functions to strigolactones in the adaptation of plants to abiotic stress [20]. Because PDS solution has many more components, the effects against plant growth under abiotic stress are not completely clarified.

The combined effect of plant growth-promoting bacteria and PDS solution was more effective than the individual effect on rice [21]; however, they are both usually used individually to improve plant growth under saline conditions. In the case of soybean, PDS solution positively affected the post-germination growth [22,23]. Additionally, this solution enhanced soybean growth under flooding [24] and after flooding [25]. In contrast, soybean tolerance against salt stress with PDS solution has not been characterized. In this study, PDS solution is used to characterize salt-tolerant mechanisms in soybean. Based on the morphological results, proteomic analysis was performed using nano-liquid chromatography (LC) and mass spectrometry (MS)/MS to explore the tolerant mechanism for the positive effect on the growth of soybean treated with PDS solution under salt stress. Proteomic results were subsequently confirmed by immuno-blot and enzymatic analyses.

2. Results

2.1. Morphological Analysis of Soybean Treated with Plant-Derived Smoke Solution under Salt Stress

Morphological analysis was conducted to investigate the effects of plant-derived liquid smoke on soybean under salt stress. The concentration of the PDS solution was determined as 2000 ppm with previous reports, such as the dose-dependent experiments of maize [26] and chickpea [27], as well as a pre-experiment of soybean under salt stress. Soybean seeds were treated with 2000 ppm of the PDS solution, and 3-day-old seedlings were treated with 100 mM NaCl for 2 days (Figure 1). Morphological parameters such as hypocotyl length, hypocotyl fresh weight, main root length, and total root fresh weight were measured (Figure 2). All parameters decreased under salt stress; however, main root length and total root fresh weight increased with the application of the PDS solution, even if it was under salt stress (Figure 2). Additionally, root length (Figure 2D) and root weight (Figure 2E) showed similar trends. Based on these morphological results, soybean root was used for proteomic analysis.



Figure 1. Experimental design to investigate the effects of PDS solution on soybean under salt stress. Seeds were sown and treated with or without 2000 ppm PDS solution. After 3 days of sowing, soybean seedlings were treated with 100 mM NaCl for 2 days. Soybean seedlings were analyzed with morphological and proteomic methods before being confirmed. For confirmatory experiments, immuno-blot and enzymatic analyses were used. All experiments were performed using 3 independent biological replicates. GABA means gamma-aminobutyric acid.



Figure 2. Morphological analysis of soybean treated with PDS solution under salt stress. Soybean seeds were sown and treated with or without 2000 ppm PDS solution. Three-day-old soybeans were treated for 2 days with or without salt stress. Four treatments were performed: control (blue), salt (orange), smoke (gray), and salt + smoke (yellow). Before morphological analysis, a photograph was taken (**A**). The bar in the picture indicates 1 cm. As morphological parameters, hypocotyl length (**B**), hypocotyl-fresh weight (**C**), main-root length (**D**), and total-root fresh weight (**E**) were analyzed at 5 days after sowing. The data are presented as mean \pm SD from 5 independent biological replicates. Mean values in each point with different letters are significant according to one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple comparisons (*p* < 0.05).

2.2. Identification and Functional Investigation of Proteins in Soybean Treated with Plant-Derived Smoke Solution under Salt Stress

Gel-free/label-free proteomics was performed to investigate the cellular mechanisms in soybean growth by application of PDS solution under salt stress. Four types of treatments were performed: control, salt, smoke, and salt+smoke. After processing, the proteins extracted from soybean root were concentrated, reduced, alkylated, and digested (Table S1). After analysis by LC combined MS/MS, the relative abundance of proteins without (Table S2) or with (Table S3) PDS solution under salt stress was compared to the control. A total of 7318 proteins were identified by LC-MS/MS analysis. The proteomic results of all 12 samples from four different groups were compared by principal-component analysis, showing different accumulation patterns of proteins with four different types of

treatments (Figure S1). This result indicated that salt stress significantly affected soybean proteins; however, this effect was recovered at the protein level by applying PDS solution, even under salt stress (Figure S1).

The abundance of 260 proteins differentially changed with the *p*-value < 0.05 and fold change > 1.5 and < 0.66 in soybean roots under salt stress compared to the control condition (Table S2). Among the 260 proteins, 121 and 139 proteins increased and decreased, respectively, under salt stress compared to the control condition (Table S2 and Figure 3 left). In contrast, the abundance of another 659 proteins also differentially changed with the *p*-value < 0.05 and fold change > 1.5 and < 0.66 in soybean roots applied with the PDS solution under salt stress compared to the salt condition (Table S3). Among these 659 proteins, 374 and 285 proteins increased and decreased, respectively, with the application of the PDS solution under salt stress compared to the salt condition (Table S3 and Figure 3 right). The functional category of identified proteins was obtained using gene ontology analysis (Figure 3). Differential abundance proteins between salt/control group and salt+smoke/salt group, which each indicate a difference in protein increase or decrease of two-fold or more, were associated with transport/formaldehyde catabolic process/sucrose metabolism/glutathione metabolism/cell wall organization in the biological process and membrane/Golgi in the cellular component. Furthermore, significantly changed proteins-which are the ten most abundant proteins—with differential abundance in soybean root with PDS solution under salt stress were listed (Table 1). Among them, alcohol dehydrogenase and glycosyltransferase significantly accumulated with salt stress and decreased with the addition of PDS solution even under salt stress (Table 1). To confirm the results obtained from the proteomic analysis, oppositely changed functional categories were further analyzed using immuno-blot and enzymatic analyses.



Figure 3. Functional categories of proteins with differential abundance in soybean root with PDS solution under salt stress. Four kinds of treatments (control, salt, smoke, salt + smoke) were performed. Proteins extracted from soybean root after treatment were enriched, reduced, alkylated, and digested. After analysis by LC combined with MS/MS, the relative abundance of proteins from without (Table S2) or with (Table S3) PDS solution under salt stress was compared to that of the control. Functional categories of changed proteins were determined using gene-ontology analysis. Red and blue columns indicate protein increases and decreases, respectively.

| Salt/Control | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|--|---|
| Difference | Accession | Description | Cov | MP | AAs | MW | pI |
| $7.2714 \\ 4.0604$ | K7MB33 I1KF11 | Uncharacterized protein Dihydroorotase | 13 24 | 7 6 | 838 346 | 92.4 38.4 | 4.69 6.98 |
| 4.0325 | A0A0R0HMK4 | Phosphatidylinositol-specific phospholipase C | 8 | 2 | 421 | 46.1 | 7.50 |
| 3.6190 | A0A0R0IZE4 | 40S ribosomal protein SA | 48 | 15 | 310 | 33.9 | 5.26 |
| 3.1335 | I1JY29 | Alcohol dehydrogenase | 27 | 6 | 381 | 41.2 | 6.00 |
| 3.0291 | I1KC24 | CBFD_NFYB_HMF | 7 | 2 | 229 | 25.0 | 5.38 |
| 2.9526 | K/MJ40 | GOLD domain-containing protein | 22 | 6 | 433 | 49.5 | 5.87 |
| 2.6089 | IILZY/ | Glycosyltransferase | 8 11 | 3 | 4/3 | 52.7 | 6.02 |
| 2.6088 | I1KN97 | DUF3700 domain-containing protein | 32 | 5 | 235 | 52.9 25.4 | 7.00 5.83 |
| -3.3697 | I1L0I1 | CRAL-TRIO domain-containing protein | 16 | 5 | 467 | 52.9 | 5.16 |
| -3.4240 | K7LMI3 | K Homology domain-containing protein | 11 | 6 | 794 | 84.2 | 5.06 |
| -3.4587 | I1M1F3 | alpha-1,2-Mannosidase | 5 | 2 | 610 | 67.8 | 7.09 |
| -3.6234 | A0A0R0GMV1 | Cupin type-1 domain-containing protein | 17 | 4 | 489 | 55.1 | 5.60 |
| -3.7702 | I1N036 | Proliferating cell nuclear antigen | 55 | 2 | 266 | 29.5 | 4.79 |
| -3.8266 | I1KWV5 | Ubiquitin receptor RAD23 | 24 | 7 | 401 | 42.0 | 4.84 |
| -3.8568 | C6TBW8 | Dihydrodipicolinate reductase | 11 | 3 | 344 | 37.4 | 6.95 |
| -3.9165 | AUAUKUIL99 | Giutamatecysteine ligase | 26 | 10 | 510 | 57.6 | 8.07 |
| -5.9998 | AUAUKUK V D4 AUAUROK RWU | Cupin type-1 domain-containing protein | 29 56 | 14 | 427 387 | 40.4 | 8.32 5.22 |
| -0.1145 | AUAUKUKKWU | | 50 | 17 | 507 | 10.1 | 0.22 |
| | | | | | | | |
| D'((| A | Sait + Smoke/Sait | 0 | MD | | N / T A 7 | |
| Difference | Accession | Description | Cov | MP | AAs | MW | pI |
| Difference | Accession C6TI83 | Description BURP domain-containing protein | Cov 21 | MP | AAs 276 | MW 30.9 | pI 6.21 |
| Difference 4.9048 4.8781 | Accession C6TI83 I1MDT8 | Description BURP domain-containing protein Serine decarboxylase | Cov 21 16 | MP 5 5 | AAs 276 485 | MW 30.9 54.7 | pI 6.21 6.14 |
| Difference 4.9048 4.8781 4.8530 4.8530 | Accession C6TI83 I1MDT8 I1JQB4 I1JQB4 | BURP domain-containing protein Serine decarboxylase FAS1 domain-containing protein | Cov 21 16 15 | MP 5 5 3 | AAs 276 485 453 | MW 30.9 54.7 50.1 | pI 6.21 6.14 6.46 |
| Difference 4.9048 4.8781 4.8530 4.7338 4.1070 | Accession C6TI83 I1MDT8 I1JQB4 I1KWV5 | BURP domain-containing protein Serine decarboxylase FAS1 domain-containing protein Ubiquitin receptor RAD23 | Cov 21 16 15 24 50 | MP 5 5 3 7 | AAs 276 485 453 401 201 | MW 30.9 54.7 50.1 42.0 | pI 6.21 6.14 6.46 4.84 5.00 |
| Difference 4.9048 4.8781 4.8530 4.7338 4.1979 4.0906 | Accession C6TI83 I1MDT8 I1JQB4 I1KWV5 A0A0R0EAL6 | Description BURP domain-containing protein Serine decarboxylase FAS1 domain-containing protein Ubiquitin receptor RAD23 PKS_ER domain-containing protein | Cov 21 16 15 24 50 | MP 5 3 7 13 | AAs 276 485 453 401 357 214 | MW 30.9 54.7 50.1 42.0 39.0 25.0 | pI 6.21 6.14 6.46 4.84 5.80 6.00 |
| Difference 4.9048 4.8781 4.8530 4.7338 4.1979 4.0866 2.8780 | Accession C6TI83 I1MDT8 I1JQB4 I1KWV5 A0A0R0EAL6 K7KZF3 C6TBW8 | Description BURP domain-containing protein Serine decarboxylase FAS1 domain-containing protein Ubiquitin receptor RAD23 PKS_ER domain-containing protein Fe2OG dioxygenase Diverged in segurations or advectore | Cov 21 16 15 24 50 12 11 | MP 5 5 3 7 13 3 2 | AAs 276 485 453 401 357 314 244 | MW 30.9 54.7 50.1 42.0 39.0 35.9 27.4 | pI 6.21 6.14 6.46 4.84 5.80 6.09 6.09 |
| Difference 4.9048 4.8781 4.8530 4.7338 4.1979 4.0866 3.8789 3.8521 | Accession C6TI83 I1MDT8 I1JQB4 I1KWV5 A0A0R0EAL6 K7KZF3 C6TBW8 I1K0I8 | Burl + Sinoke/San Description BURP domain-containing protein Serine decarboxylase FAS1 domain-containing protein Ubiquitin receptor RAD23 PKS_ER domain-containing protein Fe2OG dioxygenase Diydrodipicolinate reductase AT-book motif nuclear-localized protein | Cov 21 16 15 24 50 12 11 14 | MP 5 3 7 13 3 3 2 | AAs 276 485 453 401 357 314 344 327 | MW 30.9 54.7 50.1 42.0 39.0 35.9 37.4 33.4 | pI 6.21 6.14 6.46 4.84 5.80 6.09 6.95 8.95 |
| Difference 4.9048 4.8781 4.8530 4.7338 4.1979 4.0866 3.8789 3.8521 3.8462 | Accession C6TI83 I1MDT8 I1JQB4 I1KWV5 A0A0R0EAL6 K7KZF3 C6TBW8 I1K0I8 I1MDR1 | Burl + Sinoke/San Description BURP domain-containing protein Serine decarboxylase FAS1 domain-containing protein Ubiquitin receptor RAD23 PKS_ER domain-containing protein Fe2OG dioxygenase Dihydrodipicolinate reductase AT-hook motif nuclear-localized protein Transmembrane protein 87B | Cov 21 16 15 24 50 12 11 14 6 | MP 5 5 3 7 13 3 3 2 2 | AAs 276 485 453 401 357 314 344 327 516 | MW 30.9 54.7 50.1 42.0 39.0 35.9 37.4 33.4 58.2 | pI 6.21 6.14 6.46 4.84 5.80 6.09 6.95 8.95 6.46 |
| Difference 4.9048 4.8781 4.8530 4.7338 4.1979 4.0866 3.8789 3.8521 3.8462 | Accession C6TI83 I1MDT8 I1JQB4 I1KWV5 A0A0R0EAL6 K7KZF3 C6TBW8 I1K0I8 I1MDR1 | Sait + Sinoke/Sait Description BURP domain-containing protein Serine decarboxylase FAS1 domain-containing protein Ubiquitin receptor RAD23 PKS_ER domain-containing protein Fe2OG dioxygenase Dihydrodipicolinate reductase AT-hook motif nuclear-localized protein Transmembrane protein 87B Xyloglucan | Cov 21 16 15 24 50 12 11 14 6 | MP 5 3 7 13 3 3 2 2 | AAs 276 485 453 401 357 314 344 327 516 | MW 30.9 54.7 50.1 42.0 39.0 35.9 37.4 33.4 58.2 | pI 6.21 6.14 6.46 4.84 5.80 6.09 6.95 8.95 6.46 |
| Difference 4.9048 4.8781 4.8530 4.7338 4.1979 4.0866 3.8789 3.8521 3.8462 3.8164 | Accession C6TI83 I1MDT8 I1JQB4 I1KWV5 A0A0R0EAL6 K7KZF3 C6TBW8 I1K0I8 I1MDR1 C6TJ36 | Sait + Shoke/Sait Description BURP domain-containing protein Serine decarboxylase FAS1 domain-containing protein Ubiquitin receptor RAD23 PKS_ER domain-containing protein Fe2OG dioxygenase Dihydrodipicolinate reductase AT-hook motif nuclear-localized protein Transmembrane protein 87B Xyloglucan endotransglucosylase/hydrolase | Cov 21 16 15 24 50 12 11 14 6 7 | MP 5 3 7 13 3 2 2 2 2 | AAs 276 485 453 401 357 314 344 327 516 302 | MW 30.9 54.7 50.1 42.0 39.0 35.9 37.4 33.4 58.2 34.2 | pI 6.21 6.14 6.46 4.84 5.80 6.09 6.95 8.95 6.46 5.76 |
| Difference 4.9048 4.8781 4.8530 4.7338 4.1979 4.0866 3.8789 3.8521 3.8462 3.8164 -3.4548 | Accession C6TI83 I1MDT8 I1JQB4 I1KWV5 A0A0R0EAL6 K7KZF3 C6TBW8 I1K0I8 I1MDR1 C6TJ36 C6T2R8 | Sait + Sinoke/Sait Description BURP domain-containing protein Serine decarboxylase FAS1 domain-containing protein Ubiquitin receptor RAD23 PKS_ER domain-containing protein Fe2OG dioxygenase Dihydrodipicolinate reductase AT-hook motif nuclear-localized protein Transmembrane protein 87B Xyloglucan endotransglucosylase/hydrolase Glutathione S-transferase | Cov 21 16 15 24 50 12 11 14 6 7 31 | MP 5 5 3 7 13 3 3 2 2 2 2 2 8 | AAs 276 485 453 401 357 314 344 327 516 302 216 | MW 30.9 54.7 50.1 42.0 39.0 35.9 37.4 33.4 58.2 34.2 25.0 | pI 6.21 6.14 6.46 4.84 5.80 6.09 6.95 8.95 6.46 5.76 5.57 |
| Difference 4.9048 4.8781 4.8530 4.7338 4.1979 4.0866 3.8789 3.8521 3.8462 3.8164 -3.4548 -3.7336 | Accession C6TI83 I1MDT8 I1JQB4 I1KWV5 A0A0R0EAL6 K7KZF3 C6TBW8 I1K0I8 I1MDR1 C6TJ36 C6T2R8 A0A0R0KV96 | Description BURP domain-containing protein Serine decarboxylase FAS1 domain-containing protein Ubiquitin receptor RAD23 PKS_ER domain-containing protein Fe2OG dioxygenase Dihydrodipicolinate reductase AT-hook motif nuclear-localized protein Transmembrane protein 87B Xyloglucan endotransglucosylase/hydrolase Glutathione S-transferase Cytochrome P450 | Cov 21 16 15 24 50 12 11 14 6 7 31 11 | MP 5 5 3 7 13 3 3 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 8 3 | AAs 276 485 453 401 357 314 344 327 516 302 216 510 | MW 30.9 54.7 50.1 42.0 39.0 35.9 37.4 33.4 58.2 34.2 25.0 58.4 | pI 6.21 6.14 6.46 4.84 5.80 6.09 6.95 8.95 6.46 5.76 5.57 8.60 |
| Difference 4.9048 4.8781 4.8530 4.7338 4.1979 4.0866 3.8789 3.8521 3.8462 3.8164 -3.4548 -3.7336 -3.8375 | Accession C6TI83 I1MDT8 I1JQB4 I1KWV5 A0A0R0EAL6 K7KZF3 C6TBW8 I1K0I8 I1MDR1 C6TJ36 C6T2R8 A0A0R0KV96 I1LYU9 | Sait + Sinoke/Sait Description BURP domain-containing protein Serine decarboxylase FAS1 domain-containing protein Ubiquitin receptor RAD23 PKS_ER domain-containing protein Fe2OG dioxygenase Dihydrodipicolinate reductase AT-hook motif nuclear-localized protein Transmembrane protein 87B Xyloglucan endotransglucosylase/hydrolase Glutathione S-transferase Cytochrome P450 Arginine biosynthesis bifunctional protein | Cov 21 16 15 24 50 12 11 14 6 7 31 11 14 | MP 5 5 3 7 13 3 3 2 2 2 2 2 8 3 3 | AAs 276 485 453 401 357 314 344 327 516 302 216 510 464 | MW 30.9 54.7 50.1 42.0 39.0 35.9 37.4 33.4 58.2 34.2 25.0 58.4 48.5 | pI 6.21 6.14 6.46 4.84 5.80 6.09 6.95 8.95 6.46 5.76 5.57 8.60 6.37 |
| Difference 4.9048 4.8781 4.8530 4.7338 4.1979 4.0866 3.8789 3.8521 3.8462 3.8164 -3.4548 -3.7336 -3.8375 -4.2521 | Accession C6TI83 I1MDT8 I1JQB4 I1KWV5 A0A0R0EAL6 K7KZF3 C6TBW8 I1K0I8 I1MDR1 C6TJ36 C6T2R8 A0A0R0KV96 I1LYU9 Q42785 | Sait + Sinoke/Sait Description BURP domain-containing protein Serine decarboxylase FAS1 domain-containing protein Ubiquitin receptor RAD23 PKS_ER domain-containing protein Fe2OG dioxygenase Dihydrodipicolinate reductase AT-hook motif nuclear-localized protein Transmembrane protein 87B Xyloglucan endotransglucosylase/hydrolase Glutathione S-transferase Cytochrome P450 Arginine biosynthesis bifunctional protein Nonsymbiotic hemoglobin | Cov 21 16 15 24 50 12 11 14 6 7 31 11 14 12 | MP 5 5 3 7 13 3 2 2 2 2 2 8 3 3 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 | AAs 276 485 453 401 357 314 344 327 516 302 216 510 464 161 | MW 30.9 54.7 50.1 42.0 39.0 35.9 37.4 33.4 58.2 34.2 25.0 58.4 48.5 18.0 | pI 6.21 6.14 6.46 4.84 5.80 6.09 6.95 8.95 6.46 5.76 5.57 8.60 6.37 8.92 |
| Difference 4.9048 4.8781 4.8530 4.7338 4.1979 4.0866 3.8789 3.8521 3.8462 3.8164 -3.4548 -3.7336 -3.8375 -4.2521 -4.3069 | Accession C6TI83 I1MDT8 I1JQB4 I1KWV5 A0A0R0EAL6 K7KZF3 C6TBW8 I1K0I8 I1MDR1 C6TJ36 C6T2R8 A0A0R0KV96 I1LYU9 Q42785 I1JE14 | Sait + Sinoke/Sait Description BURP domain-containing protein Serine decarboxylase FAS1 domain-containing protein Ubiquitin receptor RAD23 PKS_ER domain-containing protein Fe2OG dioxygenase Dihydrodipicolinate reductase AT-hook motif nuclear-localized protein Transmembrane protein 87B Xyloglucan endotransglucosylase/hydrolase Glutathione S-transferase Cytochrome P450 Arginine biosynthesis bifunctional protein Nonsymbiotic hemoglobin Glycosyltransferase | Cov 21 16 15 24 50 12 11 14 6 7 31 14 12 11 14 12 11 | MP 5 5 3 7 13 3 2 2 2 2 2 2 8 3 3 2 2 3 | AAs 276 485 453 401 357 314 344 327 516 302 216 510 464 161 475 | MW 30.9 54.7 50.1 42.0 39.0 35.9 37.4 33.4 58.2 34.2 25.0 58.4 48.5 18.0 53.2 | pI 6.21 6.14 6.46 4.84 5.80 6.09 6.95 8.95 6.46 5.76 5.57 8.60 6.37 8.92 6.01 |
| Difference 4.9048 4.8781 4.8530 4.7338 4.1979 4.0866 3.8789 3.8521 3.8462 3.8164 -3.4548 -3.7336 -3.8375 -4.2521 -4.3069 -4.3759 | Accession C6TI83 I1MDT8 I1JQB4 I1KWV5 A0A0R0EAL6 K7KZF3 C6TBW8 I1K0I8 I1MDR1 C6TJ36 C6T2R8 A0A0R0KV96 I1LYU9 Q42785 I1JE14 I1M4F8 I1M4F8 | Sait + Sinoke/Sait Description BURP domain-containing protein Serine decarboxylase FAS1 domain-containing protein Ubiquitin receptor RAD23 PKS_ER domain-containing protein Fe2OG dioxygenase Dihydrodipicolinate reductase AT-hook motif nuclear-localized protein Transmembrane protein 87B Xyloglucan endotransglucosylase/hydrolase Glutathione S-transferase Cytochrome P450 Arginine biosynthesis bifunctional protein Nonsymbiotic hemoglobin Glycosyltransferase Uncharacterized protein | Cov 21 16 15 24 50 12 11 14 6 7 31 11 14 12 11 12 11 12 11 14 12 11 12 11 14 15 24 10 12 11 14 16 15 24 10 12 11 14 16 15 24 11 14 16 15 24 11 14 16 17 11 11 14 16 17 11 11 14 16 11 11 14 16 15 24 11 11 14 16 17 11 11 11 14 12 11 11 14 12 11 11 14 12 11 11 14 16 15 10 11 11 11 14 12 11 11 14 12 11 11 14 12 11 11 14 12 11 11 14 12 11 12 11 12 11 12 11 12 11 12 11 12 11 12 11 12 12 | MP 5 5 3 7 13 3 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 3 2 3 2 5 5 5 3 7 13 3 3 2 2 3 2 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 | AAs 276 485 453 401 357 314 344 327 516 302 216 510 464 161 475 302 | MW 30.9 54.7 50.1 42.0 39.0 35.9 37.4 33.4 58.2 34.2 25.0 58.4 48.5 18.0 53.2 32.9 | pI 6.21 6.14 6.46 4.84 5.80 6.09 6.95 8.95 6.46 5.76 5.57 8.60 6.37 8.92 6.01 5.29 |
| Difference 4.9048 4.8781 4.8530 4.7338 4.1979 4.0866 3.8789 3.8521 3.8462 3.8164 -3.4548 -3.7336 -3.8375 -4.2521 -4.3069 -4.3759 -4.4426 | Accession C6TI83 I1MDT8 I1JQB4 I1KWV5 A0A0R0EAL6 K7KZF3 C6TBW8 I1K0I8 I1MDR1 C6TJ36 C6T2R8 A0A0R0KV96 I1LYU9 Q42785 I1JE14 I1M4F8 A0A0R0F139 | Sait + Sinoke/Sait Description BURP domain-containing protein Serine decarboxylase FAS1 domain-containing protein Ubiquitin receptor RAD23 PKS_ER domain-containing protein Fe2OG dioxygenase Dihydrodipicolinate reductase AT-hook motif nuclear-localized protein Transmembrane protein 87B Xyloglucan endotransglucosylase/hydrolase Glutathione S-transferase Cytochrome P450 Arginine biosynthesis bifunctional protein Nonsymbiotic hemoglobin Glycosyltransferase Uncharacterized protein | Cov 21 16 15 24 50 12 11 14 6 7 31 11 14 12 11 12 11 12 6 7 | MP 5 5 3 7 13 3 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 3 2 3 2 14 | AAs 276 485 453 401 357 314 344 327 516 302 216 510 464 161 475 302 361 | MW 30.9 54.7 50.1 42.0 39.0 35.9 37.4 33.4 58.2 34.2 25.0 58.4 48.5 18.0 53.2 32.9 39.3 | pI 6.21 6.14 6.46 4.84 5.80 6.09 6.95 8.95 6.46 5.76 5.57 8.60 6.37 8.92 6.01 5.29 7.28 |
| Difference 4.9048 4.8781 4.8530 4.7338 4.1979 4.0866 3.8789 3.8521 3.8462 3.8164 -3.4548 -3.7336 -3.8375 -4.2521 -4.3069 -4.3759 -4.4426 -5.4892 | Accession C6TI83 I1MDT8 I1JQB4 I1KWV5 A0A0R0EAL6 K7KZF3 C6TBW8 I1K0I8 I1MDR1 C6TJ36 C6T2R8 A0A0R0KV96 I1LYU9 Q42785 I1JE14 I1M4F8 A0A0R0F139 I1JY29 OFFEE | Sait + Sinoke/Sait Description BURP domain-containing protein Serine decarboxylase FAS1 domain-containing protein Ubiquitin receptor RAD23 PKS_ER domain-containing protein Fe2OG dioxygenase Dihydrodipicolinate reductase AT-hook motif nuclear-localized protein Transmembrane protein 87B Xyloglucan endotransglucosylase/hydrolase Glutathione S-transferase Cytochrome P450 Arginine biosynthesis bifunctional protein Nonsymbiotic hemoglobin Glycosyltransferase Uncharacterized protein PKS_ER domain-containing protein Alcohol dehydrogenase | Cov 21 16 15 24 50 12 11 14 6 7 31 11 14 12 11 12 11 12 69 27 | MP 5 5 3 7 13 3 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 3 2 3 2 14 6 7 | AAs 276 485 453 401 357 314 344 327 516 302 216 510 464 161 475 302 361 381 | MW 30.9 54.7 50.1 42.0 39.0 35.9 37.4 33.4 58.2 34.2 25.0 58.4 48.5 18.0 53.2 32.9 39.3 41.2 | pI 6.21 6.14 6.46 4.84 5.80 6.09 6.95 8.95 6.46 5.76 5.57 8.60 6.37 8.92 6.01 5.29 7.28 6.00 5.29 |
| Difference 4.9048 4.8781 4.8530 4.7338 4.1979 4.0866 3.8789 3.8521 3.8462 3.8164 -3.4548 -3.7336 -3.8375 -4.2521 -4.3069 -4.3759 -4.4426 -5.4892 -5.6909 -6.1255 | Accession C6TI83 I1MDT8 I1JQB4 I1KWV5 A0A0R0EAL6 K7KZF3 C6TBW8 I1K0I8 I1MDR1 C6TJ36 C6T2R8 A0A0R0KV96 I1LYU9 Q42785 I1JE14 I1M4F8 A0A0R0F139 I1JY29 Q9FQE8 C6TMH1 | Sail + Sinoke/Sail Description BURP domain-containing protein Serine decarboxylase FAS1 domain-containing protein Ubiquitin receptor RAD23 PKS_ER domain-containing protein Fe2OG dioxygenase Dihydrodipicolinate reductase AT-hook motif nuclear-localized protein Transmembrane protein 87B Xyloglucan endotransglucosylase/hydrolase Glutathione S-transferase Cytochrome P450 Arginine biosynthesis bifunctional protein Nonsymbiotic hemoglobin Glycosyltransferase Uncharacterized protein PKS_ER domain-containing protein Alcohol dehydrogenase Glutathione S-transferase | Cov 21 16 15 24 50 12 11 14 6 7 31 11 12 11 12 31 11 12 69 27 35 12 | MP 5 5 3 7 13 3 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 2 2 3 2 14 6 7 2 | AAs 276 485 453 401 357 314 344 327 516 302 216 510 464 161 475 302 361 381 219 257 | MW 30.9 54.7 50.1 42.0 39.0 35.9 37.4 33.4 58.2 34.2 25.0 58.4 48.5 18.0 53.2 32.9 39.3 41.2 25.6 40.1 | pI 6.21 6.14 6.46 4.84 5.80 6.09 6.95 8.95 6.46 5.76 5.57 8.60 6.37 8.92 6.01 5.29 7.28 6.00 5.97 5.62 |

Table 1. List of significantly changed proteins—which are the ten most abundant proteins—with differential abundance in soybean root with PDS solution under salt stress.

Four kinds of treatments (control, salt, smoke, salt + smoke) were performed. Proteins extracted from soybean root after treatment were enriched, reduced, alkylated, and digested. After analysis by LC combined with MS/MS, the relative abundance of proteins from without (Table S2) or with (Table S3) the PDS solution under salt stress was compared to that from the control.

2.3. Immuno-Blot Analysis of Proteins Involved in Transport, Stress Response, Sucrose Metabolism, and Cell Wall Organization in Soybean with Application of Plant-Derived Smoke Solution under Salt Stress

To better uncover the differential abundance proteins between the salt/control group and salt + smoke/salt group identified using proteomic analysis, immuno-blot analysis was performed. H⁺ATPase, alcohol dehydrogenase, sucrose synthase, glutathione reductase, xyloglucam endotransglucosylase/hydrolase, and cellulose synthase were selected as proteins associated with transport, formaldehyde-catabolic process, sucrose metabolism, glutathione metabolism, cell wall organization, and membrane, respectively (Figures 4 and 5). Additionally, osmotin was used as a salt-stress response protein. Proteins extracted from soybean roots were separated on SDS-polyacrylamide gel by electrophoresis and transferred to polyvinylidene-difluoride membranes. The membranes were cross-reacted with anti-osmotin, H⁺ATPase, alcohol dehydrogenase, sucrose synthase, glutathione reductase, xyloglucam endotransglucosylase/hydrolase, and cellulose synthase antibodies. A staining pattern with Coomassie-brilliant blue was used as a loading control (Figure S2). The integrated densities of bands were calculated using ImageJ software (version 1.8) with triplicated immuno-blot results (Figures S3-S9). Immuno-blot analysis confirmed that osmotin, alcohol dehydrogenase, and sucrose synthase increased with salt stress and decreased with additional PDS solution; however, H⁺ATPase showed opposite effects (Figure 4). Cellulose synthase and xyloglucan endotransglucosylase/hydrolase increased with salt and decreased with additional PDS solution (Figure 5). The abundance of glutathione reductase did not change under salt stress as well as additional PDS solution. Furthermore, a comparison of alcohol-dehydrogenase abundances between proteomic and immuno-blot data showed similar trends in both (Figure S10). These results indicated that transport, formaldehyde-catabolic process, sucrose metabolism, and cell wall organization were regulated by PDS solution, even under salt conditions.



Figure 4. Immuno-blot analysis of the proteins involved in soybean treated with PDS solution under salt stress. Four treatments were performed: control (blue), salt (orange), smoke (gray), and salt+smoke (yellow). Proteins extracted from soybean root were separated on SDS-polyacrylamide gel by electrophoresis and stained with Coomassie-brilliant blue (**A**). A staining pattern with Coomassie-brilliant blue was used as a loading control. Proteins were transferred onto membranes. The membranes were cross-reacted with anti-osmotin (**B**), H⁺ATPase (**C**), alcohol dehydrogenase (**D**), sucrose synthase (**E**), and glutathione reductase (**F**) antibodies. The integrated densities of the bands were calculated using ImageJ software (version 1.8). The data are presented as mean \pm SD from 3 independent biological replicates (Figures S2–S7). Statistical analysis is the same as in Figure 2.



Figure 5. Immuno-blot analysis of the proteins involved in soybean treated with PDS solution under salt stress. Proteins blotted on the membrane were cross-reacted with anti-xyloglucan endotransglucosylase/hydrolase (**A**) and cellulose synthase (**B**) antibodies. A staining pattern with Coomassie-brilliant blue was used as a loading control (Figure S2). Three independent experiments were performed as biological replicates (Figures S8 and S9). Data analysis is the same as in Figure 4. Statistical analysis is the same as in Figure 2.

2.4. Lectin Blot Analysis of Proteins Involved in Soybean with the Application of Plant-Derived Smoke Solution under Salt Stress

Because proteins involved in the Golgi apparatus were oppositely changed in the cellular component with or without PDS solution under salt stress, the abundance of glycoproteins was analyzed (Figure 6). Proteins blotted on the membranes were cross-reacted with Concanavalin A. A staining pattern with Coomassie-brilliant blue was used as a loading control (Figure S2). The integrated densities of lectin blot (Figure 6A) were calculated using the triplicate immuno-blot results using ImageJ software (version 1.8) (Figure 6B). The number of glycoproteins was reduced by salt and restored by adding PDS solution (Figure 6).



Figure 6. Lectin blot analysis of the proteins involved in soybean treated with PDS solution under salt stress. Proteins blotted on the membrane were cross-reacted with peroxidase-Concanavalin A (**A**). A staining pattern with Coomassie-brilliant blue was used as a loading control (Figure S2). The integrated densities of lectin blot were calculated using ImageJ software (version 1.8) (**B**). Data analysis is the same as in Figure 4. Statistical analysis is the same as in Figure 2.

2.5. The Contents of ATP and Gamma-Aminobutyric Acid in Soybean with Application of Plant-Derived Smoke Solution under Salt Stress

Because proteins involved in mitochondrion were oppositely changed in the cellular component with or without PDS solution under salt stress, the contents of ATP and gamma-aminobutyric acid were analyzed (Figure 7). The contents of ATP and gamma-aminobutyric acid increased with salt and recovered with additional PDS solution (Figure 7).



Figure 7. The contents of ATP and gamma-aminobutyric acid in soybean treated with PDS solution under salt stress. Soybean seeds were sown and treated with or without 2000 ppm PDS solution. Three-day-old soybeans were treated with or without salt stress for 2 days. Metabolites were extracted from the root. The ATP (**A**) and gamma-aminobutyric acid (**B**) contents were measured for each sample. Data analysis is the same as in Figure 4. Statistical analysis is the same as in Figure 2. GABA stands for gamma-aminobutyric acid.

3. Discussion

3.1. Cell Wall Organization Is Related to Salt-Tolerant Mechanism in Soybean with Plant-Derived Smoke Solution

Immuno-blot analysis confirmed that cellulose synthase and xyloglucan endotransglucosylase/hydrolase, which are membrane- and cell-wall-related proteins, increased with salt stress and decreased with additional PDS solution, even under salt stress (Figure 5). This result indicates that these two proteins increased by osmotic stress are recovered to the control level by additional PDS solution under salt stress. Using proteomic technique, xyloglucan endotransglucosylase/hydrolase significantly decreased with PDS solution in maize [26]. In the present study, the xyloglucan endotransglucosylase/hydrolase increased in soybean under salt stress decreased with the application of PDS solution, even under salt stress (Figure 5). In contrast, protein abundance and gene expression of the cell-wallassociated O-fucosyltransferase family proteins were higher in flooded soybean treated with PDS solution than in flooded soybean alone [25]. This indicated that PDS solution was an important factor contributing to the recovery of soybean from flooding stress. In the present study, cell-wall-related proteins in soybean indicated opposite results in osmotic stress, such as salt stress, compared to hypoxic stress, such as flooding stress.

Hemicelluloses are divided into 4 classes: xylans, mannans, beta-glucans with mixed linkages, and xyloglucans [28]. Xyloglucans are the most abundant hemicellulose in the primary cell walls of dicotyledonous plants [29]. Xyloglucan endoglycosidase/hydrolase modified cellulose and xyloglucan complex structures in the cell wall [30]. Xyloglucan endoglycosidase/hydrolase exhibits two catalytic functions: xyloglucan endohydrolase activity, which catalyzes the hydrolysis of xyloglucan, and xyloglucan endotransglucosylase activity, which cleaves and recombines xyloglucan chains [31]. Xyloglucan endoglycosidase/hydrolase genes have focused on abiotic stress responses such as osmotic, salt, and low-temperature stress responses. In M. truncatula, MtXTH genes responded to mercury, salt, and drought stress [32]. The expression of three homologous genes, *CaXTH1*, *CaXTH2*, and *CaXTH3*, were changed by drought, high salt, and low-temperature stress in pepper [33]. Overexpression of *CaXTH3* improved drought and salt tolerance in transgenic tomato [34], as well as the overexpression of AtXTH31 enhanced flooding-stress tolerance in soybean [35]. These findings with the present results suggest that PDS solution confers tolerance to salt stress through the variation of xyloglucan endotransglucosylase/hydrolase in the cell wall of soybean.

In contrast, the cellulose, which is the main load-bearing component of plant cell walls, is synthesized in large quantities by cellulose synthase complexes. Cellulose synthase is a sophisticated molecular machinery that uses cortical microtubules as a steering device

to navigate through cell membranes with its own catalytic activity [36]. Four components of the cellulose synthase machinery were identified: catalytic cellulose synthases [37], KORRIGAN [38], CSI1 [39], and CESA-COMPANION proteins, which are essential for the stability of CESAs and cortical microtubules against salt stress and fungal interaction [40]. Stress-sensing mechanisms enable rapid and controlled remodeling of the cell wall and mitigate perturbations on plant–cellulose synthesis by environmental cues to alleviate growth decline [41]. For example, the knockdown of a cellulose synthase gene *BoiCesA* affected leaf anatomy, cellulose content, and salt tolerance in broccoli [42]. These findings and the present results suggest that PDS solution confers tolerance to salt stress through the variation of cellulose modified by cellulose synthase of soybean.

3.2. Glycoprotein Folding Is Related to Salt-Tolerance Mechanism in Soybean Treated with Plant-Derived Smoke Solution

One of the most conserved translational modifications of protein is asparagine-linked glycosylation [43]. Following the tetradecyl glycan precursor, GlcNAc₂Man₉Glc₃ (Glc for glucose, Man for mannose, and GlcNAc for N-acetylglucosamine) is transferred to specific asparagine residue on nascent polypeptide chains [44] by oligosaccharyltransferase complex [45], and the asparagine-linked oligomannosidic glycans are further trimmed and modified at the Golgi apparatus to synthesize mature N-glycans with associated protein secretion [46]. The first N-glycan processing event in the Golgi apparatus is catalyzed by two functionally redundant class I α -mannosidases, which cleave three α -1,2-mannosyl residues to generate substrate for CGL1/GnT1 in *Arabidopsis* [47]. GnT1/CGL1 catalyzes the GlcNAc addition necessary to remove two more Man residues and add another GlcNAc, xylose, and fucose residues to form a complex N-glycan structure [48]. In the present study, based on proteomic results, differential abundance proteins were found in the Golgi apparatus (Figure 2) and glucosyltransferase activity (Tables S2 and S3), which was confirmed by lectin blot analysis.

Moreover, N-glycosylation was associated with salt tolerance in *Arabidopsis* [46]. The adaptive response of plants to salt stress requires the maturation of N-glycan on associated proteins. Additionally, the failure of complex N-glycan biosynthesis causes salt sensitivity in *Arabidopsis* [49]. N-glycans play a crucial role in regulating stress-responsive protein levels, and several novel glycoproteins were involved in salt-stress tolerance in *Arabidopsis* [50]. In the present study, the abundance of glycoproteins decreased under salt stress and recovered with additional PDS solution, even under salt stress (Figure 6). These results, in conjunction with previous reports, suggest that glycoprotein folding in soybean is essential for recovery from salt stress. PDS solution might promote glycosylation and confer salt stress tolerance.

3.3. Energy Metabolism Iis Related to Salt-Tolerant Mechanism in Soybean Treated with Plant-Derived Smoke Solution

Sucrose synthase increased with salt stress and decreased with additional PDS solution; however, H⁺ATPase showed opposite effects (Figure 4). As mitochondrion-related events, the contents of ATP and gamma-aminobutyric acid increased with salt stress and recovered with additional treatment (Figure 7). Pre-treatments of PDS solution affected carbohydrateand energy-related metabolic pathways such as starch/sucrose metabolism, galactose metabolism, glyoxylate metabolism, glycolysis, and tricarboxylic-acid cycle [51]. PDS solution promoted soybean-root growth through transcriptional enhancement with RNA polymerase II expression and energy production with ATPase accumulation [23]. These results indicates that PDS solution might relate to energy-production pathways.

Mitochondrial respiration is required during salt stress in plants because it provides ATP and reductants that fuel adaptive mechanisms such as compatible solute synthesis, ion exclusion, and reactive oxygen species detoxification [52]. Mitochondrial respiration is often located at the center of plant-metabolic networks because the tricarboxylic acid cycle links energy metabolism with both carbon and nitrogen metabolism [53]. The gamma-aminobutyric acid shunt activity is important for plant stress adaptation by regulating cytosolic pH, limiting reactive oxygen species production, regulating nitrogen metabolism,

and bypassing steps in the tricarboxylic-acid cycle [54]. During salt exposure, key metabolic enzymes required for the cyclic operation of the tricarboxylic-acid cycle are physiochemically inhibited by salt. This inhibition is overcome by increased gamma-aminobutyric acid shunt activity, providing an alternative carbon source for mitochondria that bypasses saltsensitive enzymes and promoting increased respiration in wheat leaves [55]. In this study, sucrose synthase, which breaks down sucrose for further use [56], increased under salt stress (Figure 4). Increased sucrose synthase, gamma-aminobutyric acid, and ATP under salt stress recovered at the control level with additional PDS solution (Figures 4 and 6). The present results with previous findings suggest that PDS might contribute to the production of energy for plant growth, even under salt stress.

The content of gamma-aminobutyric acid and the activity of antioxidant enzymes increased, and the growth and development of soybeans were inhibited by salt stress [57]. In the present study using soybean, salt stress also increased gamma-aminobutyric acid (Figure 7B), which is consistent with previous findings. In contrast, gamma-aminobutyric acid in soybean increased with PDS solution alone (Figure 7B). Wheats responded to drought stress during the seedling stage, which related to reactive oxygen species scavenging systems and the activation of antioxidant enzymes, which were associated with activation of the gamma-aminobutyric acid shunt pathway and its production [58]. Additionally, the sonication or hydropriming treatments significantly improved the germination performance of wheat and enhanced gamma-aminobutyric acid metabolism to maintain the C:N metabolic balance under cold stress [59]. The present result combined with previous findings suggest that the contents of gamma-aminobutyric acid may increase when soybeans are stimulated by any compounds in PDS solution or perceive as them as stress.

4. Materials and Methods

4.1. Plant Material and Treatment

PDS solution was prepared using previous methods [24,60]. Briefly, the aerial parts of *Cymbopogon jwarncusa* L. were collected and washed with distilled water in order to remove the dust particles and were shade dried. A portion (333 g) of the semi-dried plant was smoldered in an airtight furnace. PDS was bubbled through 1 L of distilled water in a beaker to gain concentrated PDS solution, which was filtered through sterilized filter paper and diluted to 2000 ppm. Seeds of soybean (*Glycine max* L. cultivar Enrei) were sown on silica sand treated with or without 2000 ppm PDS solution. Three later of sowing, soybean seedlings were treated with or without 100 mM NaCl. Seedlings were maintained at 25 °C in a growth chamber illuminated with white-fluorescent light (200 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹, 16 h light period/day) and 70% relative humidity. For morphological analysis, root and hypocotyl from 5-day-old soybeans were used. Based on the morphological result, the root was used for proteomic and other biological analyses. More than three independent experiments were performed as biological replicates for all experiments. Independent biological replicates were sown on different days.

4.2. Protein Extraction

A portion (500 mg) of soybean roots was ground in 500 μ L of lysis buffer consisting of 50 mM Tris-HCl, 150 mM NaCl, 1% Nonidet-P40, 0.1% SDS, and protease inhibitor (Nakalai Tesque, Kyoto, Japan) with a mortar and pestle. The suspension was centrifuged twice at 16,000 × *g* for 20 min at 4 °C. Protein concentrations were determined using the method of Bradford [61], with bovine serum albumin as the standard.

4.3. Protein Enrichment, Reduction, Alkylation, and Digestion

Extracted proteins (100 μ g) were adjusted to a final volume of 100 μ L. Proteins were enriched, reduced, alkylated, and digested using previous methods [62] (Table S1).

4.4. Protein Identification Using nano-Liquid Chromatography Mass Spectrometry

The LC (EASY-nLC 1000; Thermo Fisher Scientific, San Jose, CA, USA) conditions as well as the MS (Orbitrap Fusion ETD MS; Thermo Fisher Scientific) conditions were described in the previous study [25] (Table S1).

4.5. Mass-Spectrometry Data Analysis

The MS/MS searches were carried out using MASCOT (version 2.6.2; Matrix Science, London, UK) and SEQUEST HT search algorithms against the UniprotKB *Glycine max* (SwissProt TreEMBL, TaxID = 3847, version 2021-05-14) using Proteome Discoverer 2.4 (version 2.4.0.305; Thermo Fisher Scientific). The workflow was described in the previous study [24] (Table S1).

4.6. Differential Analysis of Proteins using Mass-Spectrometry Data

Label-free quantification was performed with Proteome Discoverer 2.4 using precursor ions quantifiler nodes. Principal component analysis was also performed with Proteome Discoverer 2.4. For differential analysis of the relative abundance of peptides and proteins between samples, the free software PERSEUS (version 1.6.15.0) [63] was used. The workflow was described in the previous study [24] (Table S1).

4.7. Immuno-Blot Analysis

An SDS sample buffer consisting of 62.5 mM Tris-HCl (pH 6.8), 2% SDS, 5% dithiothreitol, 10% glycerol, and bromophenol blue was added to protein samples (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA). Quantified proteins (10 μ g) were separated by electrophoresis on a 10% SDSpolyacrylamide gel and transferred onto a polyvinylidene difluoride membrane using a semidry transfer blotter. The blotted membrane was blocked for 5 min in Bullet Blocking One regent (Nacalai Tesque). After blocking, the membrane was cross-reacted with the primary antibodies for 30 min at room temperature. As primary antibodies, anti-osmotin [64], H⁺ATPase (Agrisera, Vannas, Sweden), alcohol dehydrogenase [65], sucrose synthase [66], glutathione reductase (Agrisera), xyloglucan endotransglucosylase/hydrolase (Agrisera), and cellulose synthase (Cosmo Bio, Tokyo, Japan) antibodies were used. As the secondary antibody, Anti-rabbit IgG conjugated with horseradish peroxidase (Bio-Rad) was used. For lectin blot, peroxidase-Concanavalin A (Seikagaku, Tokyo, Japan) was used. After 30 min incubation, signals were detected using tetramethylbenzidine solution (Nacalai Tesque) following protocol from the manufacturer. Coomassie-brilliant blue staining was used as a loading control. The integrated densities of bands were calculated using ImageJ software (version 1.8; National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA).

4.8. Measurement of ATP Contents

The ATP content was measured using an ATP Colorimetric/Fluorometric Assay Kit (Biovision, Milpitas, CA, USA). A portion (150 mg) of samples was homogenized in 100 μ L of the ADP assay buffer into a mortar and pestle. Extracts were centrifuged at 16,000× *g* for 10 min at 4 °C. For sample deproteinization and neutralization, the supernatant was treated with a Deproteinizing Sample Preparation Kit (Biovision). Extracts (50 μ L) were added to 50 μ L of a reaction mixture consisting of an ADP converter, ADP probe, ADP developer, and ADP assay buffer. After mixing and incubation for 30 min at 25 °C in the dark, the absorbance was measured at 570 nm.

4.9. Measurement of gamma-Aminobutyric Acid Contents

The gamma-aminobutyric acid enzymatic assay kit (Enzyme Sensor, Tsukuba, Japan) consisted of two solutions: solution I, containing 10 U/mL ascorbate oxidase, 0.8 U/mL glutamate oxidase, 1200 U/mL catalase, 10 U/mL peroxidase, and 0.8 mM 4-aminoantipyrine; and solution II, containing 2 U/mL gamma-aminobutyric acid, 0.8 mM N-ethyl-N-(2-hydroxy-3-sulfopropyl)-3-methylaniline sodium salt, 1 mM sodium 2-oxoglutarate, 2 mM pyridoxal phosphate, and 0.09% sodium azide. For the reaction, 0.5 mL of solution I was

added to 50 μ L of sample and incubated for 10 min at 30 °C. After incubation, 0.5 mL of solution II was added and incubated for 10 min at 30 °C. After additional incubation, the absorbance was measured at 555 nm. The contents of gamma-aminobutyric acid were determined with reference to the standard curve [67].

4.10. Statistical Analysis

The statistical significance of multiple groups was evaluated using a one-way ANOVA test. SPSS 20.0 (IBM, Chicago, IL, USA) statistical software was used for the evaluation of the results. A *p*-value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

5. Conclusions

PDS solution positively affected the post-germination growth of soybean [22,23], and this solution enhanced soybean growth during flooding [24] and after flooding [25]. In this study, PDS solution improved soybean growth, even under salt stress. Proteomic technique indicated that differential abundance proteins were associated with transport, stress response, sucrose metabolism, and cell wall organization with PDS solution under salt stress compared with salt stress only. Using biochemical techniques, the key findings were confirmed as follows: (i) osmotin, sucrose synthase, cellulose synthase, and xyloglucan endotransglucosylase/hydrolase increased with salt stress and decreased with additional PDS solution, while H⁺ATPase showed opposite effects; and (ii) glycoproteins decreased with salt stress and recovered with additional treatment, while the contents of ATP and gamma-aminobutyric acid showed opposite effects. These results suggest that PDS solution improves the soybean growth by stress reduction even under salt stress. Furthermore, the regulation of energy metabolism, protein glycosylation, and cell wall construction may be critical factors for the acquisition of salt tolerance in soybean. This research revealed that PDS solution acts on proteins to confer stress tolerance to soybeans against both flood and salt stress.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/ijms241813734/s1.

Author Contributions: S.U.R. prepared PDS solution; S.K. and T.K. performed morphological measurement and protein preparation; H.Y., K.H. and K.T. performed MS analysis; T.K. and S.K. performed the immuno-blot analysis and other confirmation analyses; S.K. and T.K. analyzed the data: S.K. wrote the paper. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This work was supported by Research Grants from Fukui University of Technology (FY 2023).

Data Availability Statement: For MS data, RAW data, peak lists and result files have been deposited in the ProteomeXchange Consortium [68] via the jPOST [69] partner repository under data-set identifiers PXD032851.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Bhoite, R.; Han, Y.; Chaitanya, A.K.; Varshney, R.K.; Sharma, D.L. Genomic approaches to enhance adaptive plasticity to cope with soil constraints amidst climate change in wheat. *Plant Genome* **2023**, e20358. [CrossRef]
- Li, X.; Wang, A.; Wan, W.; Luo, X.; Zheng, L.; He, G.; Huang, D.; Chen, W.; Huang, Q. High salinity inhibits soil bacterial community mediating nitrogen cycling. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 2021, 87, e0136621. [CrossRef]
- 3. Sarri, E.; Termentzi, A.; Abraham, E.M.; Papadopoulos, G.K.; Baira, K.; Machera, K.; Loukas, V.; Komaitis, F.; Tani, E. Salinity stress alters the secondary metabolic profile of *M. sativa*, *M. arborea* and their hybrid (Alborea). *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2021**, *22*, 4882. [CrossRef]
- 4. Singh, A. Soil salinization management for sustainable development: A review. *J. Environ. Manag.* 2021, 277, 111383. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 5. Yuvaraj, M.; Bose, K.S.C.; Elavarasi, P.; Tawfik, E. Soil salinity and its management. In *Soil Moisture Importance*; Meena, R.S., Datta, R., Eds.; IntechOpen: London, UK, 2020.

- McDonald, G.K.; Tavakkoli, E.; Rengasamy, P. Commentary: Bread wheat with high salinity and sodicity tolerance. *Front. Plant Sci.* 2020, 11, 1194. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 7. Phang, T.-H.; Shao, G.; Lam, H.-M. Salt tolerance in soybean. J. Integr. Plant Biol. 2008, 50, 1196–1212. [CrossRef]
- 8. Chen, R.; Li, M.; Zhang, H.; Duan, L.; Sun, X.; Jiang, Q.; Zhang, H.; Hu, Z. Continuous salt stress-induced long non-coding RNAs and DNA methylation patterns in soybean roots. *BMC Genomics* **2019**, *20*, 730. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 9. Liu, A.; Xiao, Z.; Wang, Z.; Lam, H.M.; Chye, M.L. Galactolipid and phospholipid profile and proteome alterations in soybean leaves at the onset of salt stress. *Front. Plant Sci.* 2021, 12, 644408. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Yuan, M.; Zhang, D.; Wang, Z.; Zhu, Z.; Sun, H.; Wang, W.; Han, D.; Qu, Z.; Ma, B.; Wang, J.; et al. Salt altered rhizosphere fungal community and induced soybean recruit specific species to ameliorate salt stress. *Front. Microbiol.* 2023, 14, 1142780. [CrossRef]
- 11. Hanin, M.; Ebel, C.; Ngom, M.; Laplaze, L.; Masmoudi, K. New insights on plant salt tolerance mechanisms and their potential use for breeding. *Front. Plant Sci.* 2016, *7*, 1787. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Sheteiwy, M.S.; Shao, H.; Qi, W.; Daly, P.; Sharma, A.; Shaghaleh, H.; Hamoud, Y.A.; El-Esawi, M.A.; Pan, R.; Wan, Q.; et al. Seed priming and foliar application with jasmonic acid enhance salinity stress tolerance of soybean (*Glycine max* L.) seedlings. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* 2021, 101, 2027–2041. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 13. Kelly, L.T.; Giljohann, K.M.; Duane, A.; Aquilué, N.; Archibald, S.; Batllori, E.; Bennett, A.F.; Buckland, S.T.; Canelles, Q.; Clarke, M.F.; et al. Fire and biodiversity in the *Anthropocene*. *Science* **2020**, *370*, 355. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Dixon, K.W.; Merritt, D.J.; Flematti, G.R.; Ghisalberti, E.L. Karrikinolide—A phytoreactive compound derived from smoke with applications in horticulture, ecological restoration and agriculture. *Acta Hortic.* **2009**, *813*, 155–170. [CrossRef]
- 15. Khatoon, A.; Rehman, S.U.; Aslam, M.M.; Jamil, M.; Komatsu, S. Plant-derived smoke affects biochemical mechanism on plant growth and seed germination. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2020, *21*, 7760. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Bose, U.; Juhász, A.; Broadbent, J.A.; Komatsu, S.; Colgrave, M.L. Multi-omics strategies for decoding smoke-assisted germination pathways and seed vigour. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2020, 21, 7512. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 17. Kim, J.M.; To, T.K.; Matsui, A.; Tanoi, K.; Kobayashi, N.I.; Matsuda, F.; Habu, Y.; Ogawa, D.; Sakamoto, T.; Matsunaga, S.; et al. Acetate-mediated novel survival strategy against drought in plants. *Nat. Plants* **2017**, *3*, 17119. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Banerjee, A.; Tripathi, D.K.; Roychoudhury, A. The karrikin "calisthenics": Can compounds derived from smoke help in stress tolerance? *Physiol. Plant.* 2019, 165, 290–302. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 19. Jia, K.P.; Baz, L.; Al-Babili, S. From carotenoids to strigolactones. J. Exp. Bot. 2018, 69, 2189–2204. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 20. Yang, T.; Lian, Y.; Wang, C. Comparing and Contrasting the Multiple Roles of Butenolide Plant Growth Regulators: Strigolactones and Karrikins in Plant Development and Adaptation to Abiotic Stresses. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2019**, 20, 6270. [CrossRef]
- Khan, M.H.U.; Khattak, J.Z.K.; Jamil, M.; Malook, I.; Khan, S.U.; Jan, M.; Din, I.; Saud, S.; Kamran, M.; Alharby, H.; et al. Bacillus safensis with plant-derived smoke stimulates rice growth under saline conditions. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. Int.* 2017, 24, 23850–23863. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 22. Otori, M.; Murashita, Y.; Rehman, S.; Komatsu, S. Proteomic study to understand promotive effects of plant-derived smoke on soybean root growth under flooding stress. *Plant Mol. Biol. Rep.* **2021**, *39*, 24–33. [CrossRef]
- Murashita, Y.; Nishiuchi, T.; Rehman, S.U.; Komatsu, S. Subcellular proteomics to understand promotive effect of plant-derived smoke solution on soybean root. *Proteomes* 2021, *9*, 39. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Zhong, Z.; Kobayashi, T.; Zhu, W.; Imai, H.; Zhao, R.; Ohno, T.; Rehman, S.U.; Uemura, M.; Tian, J.; Komatsu, S. Plant-derived smoke enhances plant growth through ornithine-synthesis pathway and ubiquitin-proteasome pathway in soybean. *J. Proteomics* 2020, 221, 103781. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Li, X.; Rehman, S.U.; Yamaguchi, H.; Hitachi, K.; Tsuchida, K.; Yamaguchi, T.; Sunohara, Y.; Matsumoto, H.; Komatsu, S. Proteomic analysis of the effect of plant-derived smoke on soybean during recovery from flooding stress. *J. Proteomics* 2018, 181, 238–248. [CrossRef]
- Aslam, M.M.; Rehman, S.; Khatoon, A.; Jamil, M.; Yamaguchi, H.; Hitachi, K.; Tsuchida, K.; Li, X.; Sunohara, Y.; Matsumoto, H.; et al. Molecular responses of maize shoot to a plant derived smoke solution. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2019, 20, 1319. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Rehman, A.; Rehman, S.U.; Khatoon, A.; Qasim, M.; Itoh, T.; Iwasaki, Y.; Wang, X.; Sunohara, Y.; Matsumoto, H.; Komatsu, S. Proteomic analysis of the promotive effect of plant-derived smoke on plant growth of chickpea. *J. Proteom.* 2018, 176, 56–70. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 28. Cosgrove, D.J. Growth of the plant cell wall. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 2005, 6, 850–861. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 29. Jiang, Y.; Li, Y.; Lu, C.; Tang, Y.; Jiang, X.; Gai, Y. Isolation and characterization of Populus xyloglucan endotransglycosylase/hydrolase (XTH) involved in osmotic stress responses. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* **2020**, *155*, 1277–1287. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Van Sandt, V.S.T.; Suslov, D.; Verbelen, J.-P.; Vissenberg, K. Xyloglucan endotransglucosylase activity loosens a plant cell wall. Ann. Bot. 2007, 100, 1467–1473. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Zhu, X.F.; Shi, Y.Z.; Lei, G.J.; Fry, S.C.; Zhang, B.C.; Zhou, Y.H.; Braam, J.; Jiang, T.; Xu, X.Y.; Mao, C.Z.; et al. XTH31, Encoding an in vitro XEH/XET-active enzyme, regulates aluminum sensitivity by modulating in vivo XET action, cell wall xyloglucan content, and aluminum binding capacity in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell*. 2012, 24, 4731–4747. [CrossRef]
- 32. Xuan, Y.; Zhou, Z.S.; Li, H.B.; Yang, Z.M. Identification of a group of XTHs genes responding to heavy metal mercury, salinity and drought stresses in *Medicago truncatula*. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.* **2016**, *132*, 153–163. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Zhang, M.; Ma, Y.; Horst, W.J.; Yang, Z.-B. Spatial-temporal analysis of polyethylene glycol-reduced aluminium accumulation and xyloglucan endotransglucosylase action in root tips of common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris*). Ann. Bot. 2016, 118, 1–9. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Choi, J.Y.; Seo, Y.S.; Kim, S.J.; Kim, W.T.; Shin, J.S. Constitutive expression of *CaXTH3*, a hot pepper xyloglucan endotransglucosylase/hydrolase, enhanced tolerance to salt and drought stresses without phenotypic defects in tomato plants (*Solanum lycopersicum* cv. Dotaerang). *Plant Cell Rep.* 2011, 30, 867–877. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 35. Song, L.; Valliyodan, B.; Prince, S.; Wan, J.; Nguyen, H.T. Characterization of the XTH gene family: New insight to the roles in soybean flooding tolerance. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2018, *19*, 2705. [CrossRef]
- Paredez, A.R.; Somerville, C.R.; Ehrhardt, D.W. Visualization of cellulose synthase demonstrates functional association with microtubules. *Science* 2006, 312, 1491–1495. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Pear, J.R.; Kawagoe, Y.; Schreckengost, W.E.; Delmer, D.P.; Stalker, D.M. Higher plants contain homologs of the bacterial celA genes encoding the catalytic subunit of cellulose synthase. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 1996, 93, 12637–12642. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Vain, T.; Crowell, E.F.; Timpano, H.; Biot, E.; Desprez, T.; Mansoori, N.; Trindade, L.M.; Pagant, S.; Robert, S.; Höfte, H.; et al. The cellulase KORRIGAN is part of the cellulose synthase complex. *Plant Physiol.* 2014, 165, 1521–1532. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Gu, Y.; Kaplinsky, N.; Bringmann, M.; Cobb, A.; Carroll, A.; Sampathkumar, A.; Baskin, T.I.; Persson, S.; Somerville, C.R. Identification of a cellulose synthase-associated protein required for cellulose biosynthesis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2010, 107, 12866–12871. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 40. Endler, A.; Kesten, C.; Schneider, R.; Zhang, Y.; Ivakov, A.; Froehlich, A.; Funke, N.; Persson, S. A mechanism for sustained cellulose synthesis during salt stress. *Cell* **2015**, *162*, 1353–1364. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kesten, C.; Wallmann, A.; Schneider, R.; McFarlane, H.E.; Diehl, A.; Khan, G.A.; van Rossum, B.-J.; Lampugnani, E.R.; Szymanski, W.G.; Cremer, N.; et al. The companion of cellulose synthase 1 confers salt tolerance through a tau-like mechanism in plants. *Nat. Comm.* 2019, 10, 857. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Li, S.; Zhang, L.; Wang, Y.; Xu, F.; Liu, M.; Lin, P.; Ren, S.; Ma, R.; Guo, Y.D. Knockdown of a cellulose synthase gene BoiCesA affects the leaf anatomy, cellulose content and salt tolerance in broccoli. *Sci. Rep.* 2017, 7, 41397. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Moremen, K.W.; Tiemeyer, M.; Nairn, A.V. Vertebrate protein glycosylation: Diversity, synthesis and function. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 2012, 13, 448–462. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 44. Yan, Q.; Lennarz, W.J. Studies on the function of oligosaccharyl transferase subunits. Stt3p is directly involved in the glycosylation process. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2002, 277, 47692–47700. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 45. Kelleher, D.J.; Gilmore, R. An evolving view of the eukaryotic oligosaccharyltransferase. *Glycobiology* **2006**, *16*, 47R–62R. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 46. Nagashima, Y.; von Schaewen, A.; Koiwa, H. Function of N-glycosylation in plants. Plant Sci. 2018, 274, 70–79. [CrossRef]
- Kajiura, H.; Koiwa, H.; Nakazawa, Y.; Okazawa, A.; Kobayashi, A.; Seki, T.; Fujiyama, K. Two Arabidopsis thaliana Golgi alpha-mannosidase I enzymes are responsible for plant N-glycan maturation. *Glycobiology* 2010, 20, 235–247. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Strasser, R.; Steinkellner, H.; Borén, M.; Altmann, F.; Mach, L.; Glössl, J.; Mucha, J. Molecular cloning of cDNA encoding N-acetylglucosaminyltransferase II from *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Glycoconj. J.* 1999, 16, 787–791. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Liu, C.; Niu, G.; Zhang, H.; Sun, Y.; Sun, S.; Yu, F.; Lu, S.; Yang, Y.; Li, J.; Hong, Z. Trimming of N-glycans by the Golgi-Localized α-1,2-Mannosidases, MNS1 and MNS2, is crucial for maintaining RSW2 protein abundance during salt stress in *Arabidopsis. Mol. Plant.* 2018, *11*, 678–690. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Liu, C.; Niu, G.; Li, X.; Zhang, H.; Chen, H.; Hou, D.; Lan, P.; Hong, Z. Comparative label-free quantitative proteomics analysis reveals the essential roles of N-glycans in salt tolerance by modulating protein abundance in *Arabidopsis*. *Front. Plant Sci.* 2021, 12, 646425. [CrossRef]
- 51. Çatav, Ş.S.; Elgin, E.S.; Dağ, Ç.; Stark, J.L.; Küçükakyüz, K. NMR-based metabolomics reveals that plant-derived smoke stimulates root growth via affecting carbohydrate and energy metabolism in maize. *Metabolomics* **2018**, *14*, 143. [CrossRef]
- 52. Munns, R.; Tester, M. Mechanisms of salinity tolerance. Ann. Rev. Plant Biol. 2008, 64, 651–681. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Jacoby, R.P.; Millar, A.H.; Taylor, N.L. Investigating the role of respiration in plant salinity tolerance by analyzing mitochondrial proteomes from wheat and a salinity-tolerant Amphiploid (wheat × *Lophopyrum elongatum*). J Proteome Res. 2013, 12, 4807–4829. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 54. Carillo, P. GABA shunt in durum wheat. Front. Plant Sci. 2018, 9, 100. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 55. Che-Othman, M.H.; Jacoby, R.P.; Millar, A.H.; Taylor, N.L. Wheat mitochondrial respiration shifts from the tricarboxylic acid cycle to the GABA shunt under salt stress. *New Phytol.* **2020**, *225*, 1166–1180. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 56. Stein, O.; Granot, D. An overview of sucrose synthases in plants. Front. Plant Sci. 2019, 10, 95. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 57. Yin, Y.; Qi, F.; Gao, L.; Rao, S.; Yang, Z.; Fang, W. iTRAQ-based quantitative proteomic analysis of dark-germinated soybeans in response to salt stress. *RSC Adv.* **2018**, *8*, 17905–17913. [CrossRef]
- Al-Quraan, N.A.; Samarah, N.H.; Tanash, A.A. Effect of drought stress on wheat (*Triticum durum*) growth and metabolism: Insight from GABA shunt, reactive oxygen species and dehydrin genes expression. *Funct. Plant Biol.* 2022. [CrossRef]
- Samarah, N.H.; Al-Quraan, N.A.; Al-Wraikat, B.S. Ultrasonic treatment to enhance seed germination and vigour of wheat (*Triticum durum*) in association with γ-aminobutyric acid (GABA) shunt pathway. Funct. Plant Biol. 2023, 50, 277–293. [CrossRef]
- 60. Tieu, A.; Plummer, J.A.; Dixon, K.A.; Sivasithamparam, K.; Sieler, I.M. Germination of four species of native Western Australian plants using plant-derived smoke. *Aust. J. Bot.* **1999**, *47*, 207. [CrossRef]

- 61. Bradford, M.M. A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. *Anal. Biochem.* **1976**, *72*, 248–254. [CrossRef]
- 62. Komatsu, S.; Han, C.; Nanjo, Y.; Altaf-Un-Nahar, M.; Wang, K.; He, D.; Yang, P. Label-free quantitative proteomic analysis of abscisic acid effect in early-stage soybean under flooding. *J. Proteome Res.* **2013**, *12*, 4769–4784. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Tyanova, S.; Temu, T.; Sinitcyn, P.; Carlson, A.; Hein, M.Y.; Geiger, T.; Mann, M.; Cox, J. The Perseus computational platform for comprehensive analysis of (prote) omics data. *Nat. Methods* 2016, 13, 731–740. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 64. Konishi, H.; Ishiguro, K.; Komatsu, S. A proteomics approach towards understanding blast fungus infection of rice grown under different levels of nitrogen fertilization. *Proteomics* 2001, *1*, 1162–1171. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Komatsu, S.; Deschamps, T.; Hiraga, S.; Kato, M.; Chiba, M.; Hashiguchi, A.; Tougou, M.; Shimamura, S.; Yasue, H. Characterization of a novel flooding stress-responsive alcohol dehydrogenase expressed in soybean roots. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 2011, 77, 309–322. [CrossRef]
- 66. Nanjo, Y.; Skultety, L.; Ashraf, Y.; Komatsu, S. Comparative proteomic analysis of early-stage soybean seedlings responses to flooding by using gel and gel-free techniques. *J. Proteome Res.* **2010**, *9*, 3989–4002. [CrossRef]
- 67. Nishiyama, T.; Sulistyaningdyah, W.T.; Ueda, K.; Kusakabe, H. GABA enzymatic assay kit. *Biosci. Biotech. Biochem.* **2020**, *84*, 118–125. [CrossRef]
- Vizcaíno, J.A.; Côté, R.G.; Csordas, A.; Dianes, J.A.; Fabregat, A.; Foster, J.M.; Griss, J.; Alpi, E.; Birim, M.; Contell, J.; et al. PRoteomics IDEntifications (PRIDE) database and associated tools: Status in 2013. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2013, 41, D1063–D1069. [CrossRef]
- Okuda, S.; Watanabe, Y.; Moriya, Y.; Kawano, S.; Yamamoto, T.; Matsumoto, M.; Takami, T.; Kobayashi, D.; Araki, N.; Yoshizawa, A.C.; et al. jPOSTTrepo: An international standard data repository for proteomes. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2017, 45, D1107–D1111. [CrossRef]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.