

Article Antipsychotic- and Anxiolytic-like Properties of a Multimodal Compound JJGW08 in Rodents

Elżbieta Żmudzka¹, Klaudia Lustyk², Monika Głuch-Lutwin³, Barbara Mordyl³, Alicja Zakrzewska-Sito⁴, Paweł Mierzejewski⁴, Jolanta Jaśkowska⁵, Marcin Kołaczkowski⁶, Jacek Sapa² and Karolina Pytka^{2,*}

- ¹ Department of Social Pharmacy, Faculty of Pharmacy, Jagiellonian University Medical College, Medyczna 9, 30-688 Krakow, Poland
- ² Department of Pharmacodynamics, Faculty of Pharmacy, Jagiellonian University Medical College, Medyczna 9, 30-688 Krakow, Poland
- ³ Department of Pharmacobiology, Faculty of Pharmacy, Jagiellonian University Medical College, Medyczna 9, 30-688 Krakow, Poland
- ⁴ Department of Pharmacology, Institute of Psychiatry and Neurology, Sobieskiego 9, 02-957 Warszawa, Poland
- ⁵ Department of Organic Chemistry and Technology, Faculty of Chemical and Engineering and Technology, Cracow University of Technology, Warszawska 24, 31-155 Krakow, Poland
- ⁶ Department of Medicinal Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy, Jagiellonian University Medical College, Medyczna 9, 30-688 Krakow, Poland
- Correspondence: karolina.pytka@uj.edu.pl



Citation: Żmudzka, E.; Lustyk, K.; Głuch-Lutwin, M.; Mordyl, B.; Zakrzewska-Sito, A.; Mierzejewski, P.; Jaśkowska, J.; Kołaczkowski, M.; Sapa, J.; Pytka, K. Antipsychotic- and Anxiolytic-like Properties of a Multimodal Compound JJGW08 in Rodents. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2022, 23, 15929. https://doi.org/10.3390/ ijms232415929

Academic Editors: Katarzyna Stachowicz and Magdalena Sowa-Kućma

Received: 29 October 2022 Accepted: 12 December 2022 Published: 14 December 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). Abstract: Schizophrenia is a chronic mental illness, which remains difficult to treat. A high resistance to the available therapies, their insufficient efficacy, and numerous side effects are the reasons why there is an urgent need to develop new antipsychotics. This study aimed to assess the antipsychoticlike effects of JJGW08, a novel arylpiperazine alkyl derivative of salicylamide, in rodents. First, considering the JJGW08 receptor profile, we investigated the compound's intrinsic activity towards dopamine D₂ and serotonin 5-HT_{1A}, 5-HT_{2A}, and 5-HT₇ receptors using functional assays. Next, we assessed the effect of JJGW08 on MK-801- and amphetamine-induced hyperlocomotion, its risk of inducing catalepsy and impairing motor coordination, as well as the anxiolytic-like effects in the four-plate and marble burying tests in mice. Finally, we investigated the antipsychotic-like properties of JJGW08 in rats using MK-801-induced hyperlocomotion and prepulse inhibition tests. We found that JJGW08 showed antagonistic properties at dopamine D₂ and serotonin 5-HT_{1A}, 5-HT_{2A}, and 5-HT₇ receptors. However, the effect on the 5-HT_{2A} and 5-HT₇ receptors was very weak. Moreover, the tested compound showed an antipsychotic-like effect in MK-801- and amphetamineinduced hyperlocomotion but not in a prepulse inhibition test in rats. Notably, JJGW08 demonstrated anxiolytic-like properties in both behavioral tests. Importantly, the compound did not induce catalepsy or motor coordination impairment in mice at antipsychotic-like doses. Our study suggests it is worth searching for new potential antipsychotics among arylpiperazine alkyl derivatives of salicylamide.

Keywords: antipsychotic-like activity; anxiolytic-like effect; D₂ receptor antagonist; 5-HT_{1A} receptor antagonist; arylpiperazine derivative of salicylamide

1. Introduction

Schizophrenia, a chronic psychiatric disorder, affects approximately 24 million people worldwide [1]. Psychotic and motivational symptoms of the disease, accompanied by anxiety and cognitive impairments, strongly interfere with normal functioning in society [2]. Patients with schizophrenia struggle to have stable relationships, work a full-time job, be fully independent, and are more vulnerable to addictions [3]. Moreover, the available treatment strategies are neither fully effective, relieving mainly psychotic symptoms, nor entirely safe to use [4]. Therefore, there is an urgent need to develop novel antipsychotics.

Numerous studies indicated the role of the dopaminergic and serotonergic systems in schizophrenia and its treatment [5,6]. Excessive dopamine transmission in the mesolimbic pathway causes psychotic symptoms of the disease, whereas a low level of dopamine in the mesocortical pathway leads to negative and cognitive symptoms [7]. In contrast, the activation of 5-HT_{2A} receptors acts psychoactive and induces hallucination. Furthermore, Meltzer et al. proved that the blockade of serotonin receptors results in an antipsychotic effect [8]. Accordingly, atypical antipsychotic drugs produce an extensive blockade of 5-HT_{2A} receptors and reduce D_2 -mediated neurotransmission [9].

Scientists discovered that arylpiperazine derivatives show significant antipsychotic properties in rodents [10–12]. This effect is possibly mediated by D_2 and 5-HT_{2A} receptor blockade, as these derivatives bind strongly to dopamine and serotonin receptors [13–15]. Moreover, compounds with 2-metoxyphenylpiperazine fragment often target 5-HT_{1A} and 5-HT₇ receptors [16,17]. Such compounds frequently show anxiolytic-like properties. Therefore, encouraged by the above findings, we aimed to determine the antipsychotic and anxiolytic-like properties of JJGW08, a novel arylpiperazine alkyl derivative of salicylamide with high affinity for D_2 and 5-HT_{1A} and moderate for the 5-HT_{2A} and 5-HT₇ receptors [18,19]. First, we investigated the compound's intrinsic activity towards dopamine D_2 and serotonin 5-HT_{1A}, 5-HT_{2A}, and 5-HT₇ receptors. Next, we assessed the antipsychotic-like properties of the compound in rats and mice. We also determined an anxiolytic potential of JJGW08 in mice. Finally, we evaluated the risk of JJGW08 to induce catalepsy or motor coordination impairments in mice.

2. Results

2.1. JJGW08 Showed Antagonistic Properties at 5-HT_{1A}, 5-HT_{2A}, 5-HT₇, and D₂ Receptors

Based on the JJGW08 receptor profile, as the first step, we evaluated its intrinsic activity towards selected receptors in functional assays. We used serotonin or α -methylserotonin as the reference agonist for 5-HT_{1A}, 5-HT_{2A}, and 5-HT₇ receptors, whereas NAN-190, mianserin, and SB-269970 were used as reference antagonists, respectively. In the case of D₂ receptors in agonist mode, we used quiniprole and apomorphine, while in antagonist mode, chlorpromazine was used as a reference ligand.

In functional assays, JJGW08 demonstrated strong antagonistic properties towards dopamine D_2 and serotonin 5-HT_{1A} receptors and very weak antagonistic properties at serotonin 5-HT_{2A} and 5-HT₇ receptors (Table 1). In all cases, the effect was weaker than that for reference compounds, i.e., NAN-190, mianserin, SB-269970, and chlorpromazine. Additional data are presented in Supplementary Materials (Figures S1–S4).

2.2. JJGW08 Reversed MK-801- and Amphetamine-Induced Hyperlocomotion in Mice

Since JJGW08 showed antagonistic properties towards D_2 receptors, we next evaluated its antipsychotic-like properties in MK-801- and amphetamine-induced hyperlocomotion tests in mice. Compounds that decrease the hyperactivity of animals in these tests may possess antipsychotic-like properties.

JJGW08 reduced the hyperactivity of animals induced by MK-801 at the doses of 0.15–2.5 mg/kg by 24–71% at the significance level 0.05 (one-way ANOVA: F(7,60) = 7.729, p < 0.0001). Olanzapine, used as the reference compound, reversed hyperlocomotion at the doses of 0.03 and 0.3 mg/kg by 63 and 82%, respectively (one-way ANOVA: F(4,39) = 20.240, p < 0.0001) (Figure 1A).

Moreover, JJGW08 significantly reduced amphetamine-induced hyperlocomotion in mice at the range of doses of 0.3–2.5 mg/kg by 52–82% (one-way ANOVA: F(6,54) = 12.570, p < 0.0001). Olanzapine reduced the effect of the administered amphetamine at the doses of 0.03 and 0.3 mg/kg by 54 and 75%, respectively (one-way ANOVA: F(4,39) = 9.788, p < 0.0001) (Figure 1B).

2.3. JJGW08 Did Not Induce Catalepsy in the Bar Test in Mice at Antipsychotic-like Doses

Knowing that D_2 receptor antagonists may induce catalepsy in animals, next, we evaluated the risk of the cataleptogenic effect of JJGW08 in the bar test in mice. Catalepsy in rodents manifests as an imposed posture for a prolonged period.

Table 1. The intrinsic activity of JJGW08 for serotonin 5-HT_{1A}, 5-HT_{2A}, and 5-HT₇ receptors and dopamine D_2 receptors.

Receptor	Treatment —	Ago	nist Mode	Antagonist Mode				
		E _{max} %	$p \text{EC}_{50} \pm \text{Range}$	E _{max} %	$pIC_{50} \pm Range$	K _b [nM]	R ² K _b	
5-HT _{1A}	Serotonin	100	7.6 ± 1.1	0	n.c.	n.c.	n.c.	
	NAN-190	6	n.c.	0	9.0 ± 0.1	0	0.99	
	JJGW08	9	n.c.	1	8.3 ± 0.1	0	0.98	
5-HT _{2A}	α -methylserotonin	100	8.5 ± 0.3	2	n.c.	n.c.	n.c.	
	Serotonin	112	8.4 ± 0.0	1	n.c.	n.c.	n.c.	
	Mianserin	3	n.c.	3	8.1 ± 0.1	2	0.91	
	JJGW08	21	5.9 ± 0.1	5	5.7 ± 0.4	290	0.93	
5-HT7	Serotonin	100	8.1 ± 0.1	0	n.c.	n.c.	n.c.	
	SB-269970	0	n.c.	9	9.3 ± 0.2	0.2	0.94	
	JJGW08	1	n.c.	4	6.4 ± 0.1	190	0.97	
D_2	Quiniprole	100	8.7 ± 0.1	0	n.c.	n.c.	n.c.	
	Apomorphine	100	7.5 ± 0.1	0	n.c.	n.c.	n.c.	
	Chlorpromazine	2	n.c.	0	9.8 ± 0.4	0	0.94	
	JJGW08	13	n.c.	0	7.9 ± 0.0	2	0.97	

Data are expressed as the mean \pm range of two independent experiments in duplicate. E_{max} —the maximum possible effect; *p*EC₅₀—the negative logarithm of the concentration of a compound where 50% of its maximal effect was observed, which was divided by the standard concentration (K_{std} = 1 M); *p*IC₅₀—the negative logarithm of the concentration of a compound where 50% of its maximal inhibitory effect was observed, which was divided by the standard concentration constant of a competitive antagonist determined using of the Cheng equation [20]; R²—the coefficient of determination; n.c.—non-calculable.

The minimum cataleptogenic dose was defined as the lowest dose inducing a mean catalepsy score of ≥ 1 at 30, 60, or 120 min post-treatment [21].

The lowest cataleptogenic dose of JJGW08 in the bar test in mice was 10 mg/kg. Similarly, the minimum cataleptogenic dose of olanzapine, used as the reference compound, was 10 mg/kg (Table 2).

2.4. JJGW08 Did Not Influence the Motor Coordination in Mice

Compounds acting within the central nervous system may disturb motor coordination. Therefore, the next step of our studies was to determine the effect of JJGW08 on motor coordination in the rotarod test in mice. The inability of rodents to remain on the rotating rod indicates the risk of the tested compound to impair motor coordination.

JJGW08 did not affect motor coordination in mice. The number of animals that fell from the rotating rod, time before animals fell, and the TD_{50} values are presented in Table 3.

2.5. JJGW08 Increased the Number of Punished Crossings in Four-Plate Test in Mice

The blockade of 5-HT_{1A} and 5-HT₇ receptors may result in an anxiolytic-like effect. Hence, as the next step of our studies, we assessed the anxiolytic-like activity of JJGW08 in the four-plate test in mice. Compounds with anxiolytic-like properties increase the number of punished crossings in mice.

JJGW08 at the doses of 0.3 and 0.625 mg/kg increased the number of punished crossings by 54 and 49%, respectively (one-way ANOVA: F(5,42) = 9.103, p < 0.0001) (Figure 2).

2.6. JJGW08 Decreased the Number of Buried Marbles by Mice

In order to confirm the anxiolytic-like properties of JJGW08, we decided to perform the marble burying test, which is also dedicated to assess anxiolytic-like activity. The reduction of the buried marbles number indicates an anxiolytic-like effect of the tested compound.

JJGW08 significantly reduced the number of buried marbles by 65 and 84% at the doses of 1.25 and 2.5 mg/kg (Kruskal–Wallis test: H(6,50) = 20.77, p < 0.001) (Figure 3). In contrast, aripiprazole decreased this number at the doses 1.25, 2.5, and 5.0 mg/kg by 61, 69, and 93%, respectively (one-way ANOVA: F(4,37) = 7.363, p < 0.001) (Figure 3).



Figure 1. The effect of JJGW08 and olanzapine on the MK-801- (Panel (**A**)) and amphetamine-induced (Panel (**B**)) hyperlocomotion in mice. Locomotor activity was recorded in actometers separately for each mouse. After 30 min of adaptation, the number of crossings of photo beams was measured during 60 min. JJGW08 and olanzapine were administered intraperitoneally (*ip*) 30 min before the test. MK-801 (0.2 mg/kg, *ip*) was administered 15 min before the experiment, while amphetamine (2.5 mg/kg) was administered subcutaneously (*sc*) 30 min before the experiment. The control group received two injections of 0.9% NaCl (*ip* or *sc*) or 0.9% NaCl and 1% Tween in the case of olanzapine. Values are expressed as means \pm SD, n = 8–10 mice per group. Statistical analysis: one-way ANOVA (Newman–Keuls *post hoc*), * *p* < 0.05, ** *p* < 0.01, *** *p* < 0.001.

2.7. JJGW08 Did Not Influence Locomotor Activity in Mice

To verify if the results obtained in behavioral tests in mice are specific to the antipsychoticlike effect, we investigated the influence of JJGW08 on the spontaneous locomotor activity in mice. Changes in the locomotor activity of animals may suggest potential sedative or psychostimulant properties of the tested compound.

JJGW08 did not affect locomotor activity in mice in the 60-min session (one-way ANOVA: F(5,43) = 0.560, p = 0.730), 30-min session (one-way ANOVA: F(5,42) = 1.645, p = 0.169) (Table 4). The one-way ANOVA showed a significant effect in the 1-min session (one-way ANOVA: F(5,44) = 3.446, p < 0.05), but *post hoc* analysis revealed no differences between the studied groups (Table 4).

Treatment	Doco (mg/kg)			
Ireatment	Dose (ilig/kg) –	30 min	60 min	120 min
	5	0.0	0.2	0.2
JJGW08	10	0.7	0.9	1.4
	20	2.1	2.1	1.5
	5	0.8	0.8	0.7
Olanzapine	10	0.7	1.6	1.3
-	20	0.7	2.0	1.8

Table 2. The evaluation of cataleptogenic properties of JJGW08.

Mice were placed on a cylindrical metal bar above the tabletop's surface, while the hind paws remained on the tabletop. The time in which the animal held both paws on the bar was measured at 30, 60, and 120 min after the intraperitoneal (*ip*) administration of JJGW08, with a maximum measurement time of 60 s. Data are presented as the mean score for each trial, which was assessed according to Ögren et al. [21]. The minimum cataleptogenic dose was defined as the lowest dose inducing a mean catalepsy score of ≥ 1 at 30, 60, or 120 min post-treatment. n = 10 mice per group.

T 1 1 A	TT1 CC .	C TTOTA	100		1.		•	
Table 3.	The effect	t of II(_i N	/08 on	motor	coordina	ition	1n '	mice.
	1110 01100	, ,	00 01.	1110001	CO 01 011110			unce.

Treatment	Dose (mg/kg)	Animals That Fell from Rotating Rod	Time before Animals Fell (s)	TD ₅₀ (mg/kg)	
	10	2/8	58 ± 4		
JJGW08	20	4/8	41 ± 24	18 (11–29)	
	30	6/8	20 ± 25		

Mice previously trained for 3 consecutive days were placed individually on a rotating rod for 60 s. The time remaining on the rod was recorded. The TD₅₀ value [22] was calculated as a dose at which 50% of the animals could not stay on the rotating rod. JJGW08 was administered intraperitoneally (*ip*) 30 min before the test. Values are expressed as means \pm SD, *n* = 8 mice per group.



Figure 2. The effect of JJGW08 on the number of punished crossings in the four-plate test. Mice were placed in the apparatus, and after a 15 s adaptation period, each mouse crossing from one plate to another was punished with an electric shock. JJGW08 was administered intraperitoneally (*ip*) 30 min before the test. The control group received 0.9% NaCl solution (*ip*). Values are expressed as means \pm SD, n = 8–10 mice per group. Statistical analysis: one-way ANOVA (Newman–Keuls *post hoc*), ** *p* < 0.01.

2.8. JJGW08 Reduced MK-801-Induced Hyperlocomotion in the Open Field in Rats

As the next step of our study, we verified the results of hyperlocomotion tests in mice using different species. Therefore, we performed the hyperlocomotion test induced by MK-801 in the open field in rats. Compounds with potential antipsychotic-like properties decrease locomotor hyperactivity.

JJGW08 significantly reduced MK-801-induced hyperlocomotion in the open field by 36-82% at the doses of 1-30 mg/kg (one-way ANOVA: F(5,42) = 9.591, p < 0.001), whereas



clozapine reduced the hyperlocomotion at the doses 10 and 30 mg/kg by 53 and 98%, respectively one-way ANOVA: (F(3,28) = 13.830, p < 0.0001) (Figure 4).

Figure 3. The effect of JJGW08 and aripiprazole on the number of buried marbles by mice. Mice were placed individually in cages with a 5 cm bedding layer, where 20 glass balls were placed. The number of buried marbles after 30 min of the test was counted. JJGW08 was administered intraperitoneally (*ip*) 30 min before the test. The control group received 0.9% NaCl solution (*ip*) or 1% Tween (*ip*) for aripiprazole. Values are expressed as means \pm SD in the case of one-way ANOVA (aripiprazole) or median with interquartile range in the case of Kruskal–Wallis test (JJGW08), n = 8–10 mice per group. Statistical analysis: one-way ANOVA (Newman–Keuls *post hoc*), and Kruskal–Wallis test (Dunn *post hoc*), * *p* < 0.05, ** *p* < 0.01, *** *p* < 0.001.

Table 4. The influence of JJGW08 on locomotor activity in mice.

Treatment	Dose (mg/kg)	Number of Crossings \pm SD								
			60 min			30 min			1 min	
Saline	-	1140	±	600	866	±	653	45	±	21
	0.15	1346	\pm	886	547	\pm	343	38	\pm	15
	0.3	1588	\pm	772	1027	±	733	46	±	21
JJGW08	0.625	1671	\pm	923	634	\pm	716	27	\pm	14
	1.25	1769	\pm	835	1181	\pm	732	22	\pm	14
	2.5	1450	\pm	1252	437	\pm	588	19	\pm	24

Locomotor activity was recorded separately for each mouse in actometers. After the 30-min adaptation period, the number of photobeams crossings was measured at the appropriate time intervals, i.e., 60 min for the hyper-locomotion test, 30 min for the marble burying test, and 1 min for the four-plate test. JJGW08 was administered intraperitoneally (*ip*) 30 min before the test. The control group received an injection of 0.9% NaCl (*ip*). Values are expressed as means \pm SD, n = 8–10 mice per group. Statistical analysis: one-way ANOVA (Newman–Keuls *post hoc*).

2.9. JJGW08 Did Not Reverse Deficits in Sensorimotor Gating Induced by MK-801 in Rats

To verify the effects obtained in the hyperlocomotion test in rats, we decided to perform one more test—the prepulse inhibition test in rats. The administration of stimuli (prepulsand-pulse trials: 84/120–prepuls 84 dB (20 ms), pulse 120 dB (40 ms); 90/120–prepuls 90 dB (20 ms), pulse 120 dB (40 ms), sham stimulus (70 dB, 40 ms)), and response recording were controlled during the experiment. Reversing the deficits in sensorimotor gating induced by MK-801 suggests the potential antipsychotic-like activity of the studied compound.

JJGW08 did not reverse the deficits in sensorimotor gating induced by MK-801 and presented the startle reaction inhibition at the range of -0.2 to 24.4%. Statistical analysis showed a significant prepulse inhibition effect (two-way ANOVA: F(1,27) = 17.610, p < 0.001) but no significant influence of the compound (two-way ANOVA: F(3,27) = 2.839, p = 0.057) and no interaction (two-way ANOVA: F(3,27) = 1.159, p = 0.344). Moreover, post hoc analysis detected significant differences between the two studied groups at 90 dB (3.0 mg/kg *vs.* 10 mg/kg; p < 0.05) (Figure 5A).



Figure 4. The effect of JJGW08 and clozapine on MK-801-induced hyperlocomotion in rats. After the habituation period (30 min, 24 h before the test), locomotor activity was measured individually for each animal in the special apparatus. The walked distance (cm) was monitored at 10-min intervals for 30 min, using a computerized system that tracked the animals' movement and behavior. JJGW08 and clozapine were administered intraperitoneally (*ip*) 30 or 60 min before the test, respectively, while MK-801 (0.3 mg/kg) was administered *ip* 15 min before the start of the experiment. The control group received 0.9% NaCl solution (*ip*) (or 1% Tween (*ip*) for clozapine) and MK-801 (0.3 mg/kg, *ip*). Values are expressed as mean \pm SD, n = 7–8 rats per group. Statistical analysis: one-way ANOVA (Newman–Keuls *post hoc*) * *p* < 0.05, ** *p* < 0.01, *** *p* < 0.001.



Figure 5. The influence of JJGW08 on startle response inhibition (Panel (**A**)) and changes in startle response (Panel (**B**)) in prepulse inhibition test after MK-801 administration in rats. The test was conducted in the soundproof apparatus, and the startle response amplitude was recorded. The experimental session (after a 5-min habituation period) had strictly defined parameters (prepuls-and-pulse trials: 84/120–prepuls 84 dB (20 ms), pulse 120 dB (40 ms); 90/120–prepuls 90 dB (20 ms), pulse 120 dB (40 ms), sham stimulus (70 dB, 40 ms)), and the startle intensity for each stimulus used in the session was measured. JJGW08 was administered *ip* 30 min before the test, while MK-801 (0.6 mg/kg) was administered *ip* 15 min before the start of the experiment. The control group received 0.9% NaCl solution (*ip*) and MK-801 (0.6 mg/kg, *ip*). Values are expressed as mean \pm SD, n = 7–8 rats per group. Statistical analysis: two-way ANOVA with repeated measures (Bonferroni *post hoc*), * *p* < 0.05, *** *p* < 0.001, %PPI—a percentage of prepulse inhibition. The magnitude of the startle response is presented in arbitrary manufacturer's units.

JJGW08 significantly increased the startle reaction amplitude in the treatment group at 120 dB. Statistical analysis for JJGW08 showed a significant startle reaction effect (two-way ANOVA: F(3,27) = 233.100, p < 0.001) but no significant influence of the compound (two-way ANOVA: F(3,27) = 0.234, p = 0.872) and no interaction (two-way ANOVA: F(9,27) = 0.787, p = 0.629). The *post hoc* analysis detected significant differences between all tested groups (70 dB, 84 dB, 90 dB) and the 120 dB group (Figure 5B).

3. Discussion

We found that JJGW08 showed moderate antagonistic properties at dopamine D_2 and serotonin 5-HT_{1A}, weak at 5-HT₇, and very weak at 5-HT_{2A} receptors. Moreover, the tested compound demonstrated an antipsychotic-like effect in MK-801- and amphetamine-induced hyperlocomotion tests in mice. The antipsychotic-like effect was confirmed in rats. Notably, JJGW08 showed anxiolytic-like properties in mice in two behavioral tests. Finally, the compound did not induce catalepsy or motor coordination impairments at antipsychotic-like doses in mice.

The D₂ and 5-HT_{2A} receptors play a significant role in schizophrenia and the antipsychotic effect [23,24]. Therefore, drugs targeting these receptors are used in the treatment of psychosis. Many compounds with 2-methoxyphenylpiperazine fragment show a high affinity for the above receptors [17,25]. Moreover, compounds with 2-metoxyphenylpiperazine fragment often target 5-HT_{1A} and 5-HT₇ receptors [16,17], showing anxiolytic-like properties. Based on the receptor profile of JJGW08, which showed a high affinity for the D₂ and 5-HT_{1A} receptors, and a moderate affinity for the 5-HT_{2A} and 5-HT₇ receptors [19], as the first step of our studies, we evaluated its intrinsic activity towards these receptors. Our functional studies revealed that JJGW08 acted as a moderate antagonist at D₂ and 5-HT_{1A} receptors, and a weak antagonist at the 5-HT₇, and very weak at the 5-HT_{2A} receptor.

Since D₂ receptors play a pivotal role in schizophrenia, we next assessed the antipsychoticlike properties of the compound using an MK-801-induced hyperlocomotion test in mice. MK-801 blocks NMDA receptors non-competitively and, by stimulating the activity of most dopaminergic neurons in the mesolimbic region, increases dopamine release in the striatum, medial prefrontal cortex, and nucleus accumbens [26–28]. As a result, an antagonist of NMDA receptors causes hyperlocomotion, circling, as well as social withdrawal, mimicking both positive and negative symptoms of schizophrenia [29,30]. The ability of drug candidates to reverse MK-801-induced hyperlocomotion indicates potential antipsychotic properties. Our experiments revealed that JJGW08 significantly reduced the increased locomotor activity in mice in a wide dose range (0.15–2.5 mg/kg). Given the promising results, we next investigated the antipsychotic-like effect of JJGW08 in amphetamine-induced hyperlocomotion in mice. The administration of amphetamine increases dopaminergic and noradrenergic transmission in the central nervous system, causing hyperlocomotion in animals [31]. Similarly, the studied compound showed antipsychotic-like effects in the wide dose range (0.3–2.5 mg/kg). Significantly, the compound did not affect the locomotor activity of animals when given alone.

Besides therapeutic effects in treating schizophrenia, the D_2 receptor antagonists can induce extrapyramidal side effects. Thus, we assessed the risk of JJGW08 to induce catalepsy in mice. The catalepsy test evaluates the liability of potential antipsychotics to cause extrapyramidal side effects in humans. Catalepsy in rodents manifests itself as a state of muscle rigidity, where the animal fails to correct an externally imposed posture for a prolonged period. Our results demonstrated that JJGW08 showed cataleptogenic potential at a dose 67-fold higher than the lowest antipsychotic-like dose (10 mg/kg vs. 0.15 mg/kg). Thus, we might assume that the compound might have a low potential to induce extrapyramidal symptoms at antipsychotic-like doses. However, additional tests, especially after repeated administration of JJGW08, are needed to confirm this finding.

Central-acting drugs might impair motor coordination [32–34]. In addition, novel compounds that alter the neuromuscular coordination of animals may possess neurotoxic properties [35]. Therefore, we next determined the effect of JJGW08 on motor coordination

in mice. Our studies revealed that JJGW08 did not induce motor coordination impairments at antipsychotic-like doses. The TD_{50} value was 121-fold higher than the lowest antipsychotic-like dose. However, more studies are needed to evaluate the compound's central nervous system safety.

Patients with schizophrenia often suffer from anxiety. Anxiety occurs in 30-60% of people with schizophrenia, affecting their quality of life and social interactions, as well as increasing negative self-esteem [36,37]. In addition, constant feelings of worry, nervousness, and uncertainty may be connected with the unpredictable appearance of positive and negative symptoms of schizophrenia [38,39]. Therefore, as the next step of our studies, we assessed the anxiolytic-like properties of JJGW08. We utilized the four-plate test, a commonly used screening test to evaluate the anxiolytic-like properties of the compounds. The four-plate test involves the suppression of the locomotor activity of an animal when it is given a mild electric foot shock as it moves from one quadrant of a metal-floored arena to another. Our studies revealed that JJGW08 showed an anxiolytic-like effect in the four-plate test in mice. Notably, JJGW08 did not affect locomotor activity in a 1-min session, which suggests that the observed effect is specific to the anxiolytic-like effect. Moreover, the compound showed an anxiolytic-like effect at a lower dose than diazepam, described in our previous studies [16]. Interestingly we observed an inverted-U-shaped dose effect (middle doses were effective, i.e., 0.3 and 0.625 mg/kg) of JJGW08 in this test. Such a nonlinear dose-response is frequent in neuropharmacology and is difficult to explain due to its multifactorial nature. It might be due to the varying receptor occupancy at various doses, as JJGW08 is a multimodal compound targeting serotonin and dopamine receptors. Another explanation is the sedative effect of the compound that might hinder the anxiolytic-like properties at higher doses. However, this issue requires further studies.

We next assessed the anxiolytic-like properties of JJGW08 in the marble burying test to confirm the above findings. Our results confirmed the anxiolytic-like activity of JJGW08 observed in the four-plate test. However, the effect of JJGW08 in the marble burying test was visible at higher doses (i.e., 1.25 and 2.5 mg/kg), and we did not observe an inverted U-shaped dose effect. Since some antipsychotics show anxiolytic-like activity, we decided to compare the effects of JJGW08 in this test with aripiprazole, an atypical antipsychotic. Our experiments demonstrated that the effect of JJGW08 was comparable to the effect of a reference compound, aripiprazole, in this test. Interestingly, an analysis by Katzman indicates that because of the unique mechanism of action and safety profile, the use of aripiprazole for anxiety is an intriguing avenue of exploration [40]. Thus, the results of our study encourage further studies on JJGW08 and its possible use in anxiety.

Finally, to confirm the antipsychotic-like properties of JJGW08, we assessed the effect of the compound on MK-801-induced hyperlocomotion in rats. Similar to mice, JJGW08 showed an antipsychotic-like effect in a wide dose range (1–30 mg/kg) in rats, which confirmed the results obtained in mice. The reference compound, clozapine, also showed an antipsychotic-like effect in this test. Confirming the antipsychotic-like activity of JJGW08 in another species is a premise for further research on this compound.

Finally, we decided to test JJGW08 in rats' prepulse inhibition (startle reduction) test. Startle reduction is a phenomenon in which a weak stimulus (prepulse) can suppress the startle response to a subsequent stronger startle stimulus (pulse). Clinical studies demonstrated that patients with schizophrenia, in particular, have deficits of prepulse inhibition. Our studies showed that JJGW08 did not reverse prepulse inhibition deficits in rats and thus did not show an antipsychotic-like effect. The available literature data show the ambiguous efficacy of atypical neuroleptics in reversing MK-801-induced sensorimotor gating deficits and, at the same time, show the lack of effect of classical neuroleptics [41,42]. A study by Bubeníková et al. demonstrated that not all atypical antipsychotics are effective in this test, i.e., olanzapine and clozapine restored MK-801-induced deficits in the prepulse inhibition test, but zotepine and risperidone were ineffective [43]. Moreover, the possible differences in the efficacy of atypical drugs may be related to the species diversity of the tested animal strains [41]. Scientists are also paying particular attention to the induction of

schizophrenic-like disorders by MK-801, which somewhat reflects disease abnormalities in several different limbic regions [44]. Thus, in the case of JJGW08, the lack of confirmation of antipsychotic-like activity in the prepulse inhibition test in rats may be due to the complex activity of MK-801 and the blockade of NMDA receptors in various regions of the brain [44]. However, this issue requires more extensive analysis.

Limitations to our study include assessing the antipsychotic- and anxiolytic-like effects of JJGW08 only after a single administration. Chronic administration of the tested compound in the schizophrenia model would provide information on JJGW08 safety and long-term pharmacological effects. Moreover, we should also assess the affinity of the studied compound for a full panel of dopamine receptors, as they play an essential role in schizophrenia. Our future studies aim to evaluate the extensive pharmacological profile of JJGW08.

4. Materials and Methods

4.1. Drugs

The studied compound 2-{5-[4-(2-metoksyfenylo)piperazin-1-ylo]pentoxy}benzamide hydrochloride, JJGW08, was synthesized in the Institute of Organic Chemistry and Technology, Faculty of Chemical and Engineering and Technology, Cracow University of Technology. The synthesis and biological properties of the compound were described earlier [18,19].

JJGW08 was dissolved in saline (0.9% NaCl, Polpharma, Starogard Gdańsk, Poland) and administered intraperitoneally (*ip*) 30 min before each behavioral test. The chemicals used in functional assays, i.e., serotonin (Sigma-Aldrich, Darmstadt, Germany), mianserin (Tocris Bioscience, Minneapolis, MN, USA), or methiotepin (Sigma-Aldrich, Darmstadt, Germany) were dissolved in DMSO (dimethyl sulfoxide, Sigma-Aldrich, Darmstadt, Germany). MK-801 (Sigma-Aldrich, Darmstadt, Germany) was dissolved in saline and administered *ip* 15 min before experiments, whereas amphetamine (Sigma-Aldrich, Darmstadt, Germany) was dissolved in saline and administered subcutaneously (*sc*) 30 min before tests. Olanzapine (Sigma-Aldrich, Darmstadt, Germany), aripiprazole (Sigma-Aldrich, Darmstadt, Germany), and clozapine (Sigma-Aldrich, Darmstadt, Germany) were dissolved in 1% Tween (J.T.Baker, Phillipsburg, NJ, USA) and administered *ip* 30 or 60 min before experiments. The control groups received saline or 1% Tween as a vehicle. JJGW08 was administered at a dose range of 0.08–2.5 mg/kg in mice and 0.3–30 mg/kg in rats.

4.2. Animals

Adult male Albino-Swiss mice (CD-1, 8 weeks old, 18–21 g) were obtained from an accredited house at the Faculty of Pharmacy, Jagiellonian University Medical College, Krakow, Poland, whereas male Wistar (WU) rats weighing 170–250 g were obtained from Mossakowski Medical Research Institute, Polish Academy of Sciences, Warsaw, Poland, and used for experiments. Animals were kept in groups of 10 mice or 4 rats in plastic standard cages (37 cm \times 21 cm \times 15 cm) in a controlled environment (i.e., constant room temperature (22 \pm 2 °C), adequate humidity (40–60%), 12h light/dark cycle), with ad libitum access to food and water. Behavioral procedures were performed between 8 a.m. and 4 p.m. by a trained observer blind to the treatments. Animals were selected randomly for the treatment groups. Each group consisted of 8–10 mice or 6–8 rats that were used only once in each test. All injections were administered in a 10 mL/kg volume in mice and 1–2 mL/kg in rats. The animals were conducted according to current European Community and Polish legislation on animal experimentation.

4.3. Functional Assay for 5- HT_{1A} , 5- HT_{2A} and D_2 Receptor

Tested and reference compounds were dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) at a concentration of 10 mM. Serial dilutions were prepared in a 96-well microplate in assay buffer, and 8 to 10 concentrations were tested. Intrinsic activity assay was performed according to the manufacturer of the ready-to-use CHO-K1 cells with stable expression

of the human serotonin 5-HT_{1A}, 5-HT_{2A}, and D_2 receptor, human GPCR, and the promiscuous G protein Gaqi/5 for D₂ receptor and α_{16} for 5-HT_{1A} and 5-HT_{2A} (Perkin Elmer, Waltham, NA, USA). The assay was executed according to the previously described protocol [45]. After thawing, cells were transferred to assay buffer (DMEM/HAM's F12 with 0.1% protease-free BSA) and centrifuged. The cell pellet was resuspended in assay buffer, and coelenterazine h was added at final concentrations of 5 μ M. The cell suspension was incubated at 16 $^{\circ}$ C (or 21 $^{\circ}$ C), protected from light with constant agitation for 16 h (or 4 h), and then diluted with assay buffer to the concentration of 100,000 cells/mL (or 250,000 cells/mL). After 1 h of incubation, 50 μ L of the cell's suspension was dispensed using automatic injectors built into the radiometric and luminescence plate counter Micro-Beta2 LumiJET (PerkinElmer, Waltham, NA, USA) into white opaque 96-well microplates preloaded with test compounds. Immediate light emission generated following calcium mobilization was recorded for 30 s. In antagonist mode, after 25–30 min of incubation, the reference agonist was added to the above assay mix, and light emission was re-recorded. The final concentration of the reference agonist (100 nM serotonin for the 5-HT_{1A} receptor, 30 nM α -methylserotonin for the 5-HT_{2A} receptor, and 30 nM apomorphine for the D₂ receptor) was equal to EC_{80} . IC₅₀ and EC_{50} values were calculated.

4.4. Functional Assays for 5-HT₇ Receptors

Test and reference compounds were dissolved in DMSO at a concentration of 1 mM. Serial dilutions were prepared in a 96-well microplate in assay buffer, and 8 to 10 concentrations were tested. For the 5-HT₇ receptor, adenylyl cyclase activity was monitored using cryopreserved CHO-K1 cells expressing the human serotonin 5-HT₇ receptor. A functional assay based on cells expressing the human 5-HT₇ receptor was performed according to the previously described protocol [16,45]. CHO-K1 cells were transfected with a beta-lactamase (bla) reporter gene under the control of the cyclic AMP response element (CRE) (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA).

Thawed cells were resuspended in stimulation buffer (HBSS, 5 mM HEPES, 0.5 IBMX, and 0.1% BSA at pH 7.4) at 200,000 cells/mL. The same cell suspension volume (10 μ L) was added to tested compounds loaded onto a white opaque half-area 96-well microplate. The antagonist response experiment was performed with 10 nM serotonin as the reference agonist. The agonist and antagonist were added simultaneously. Cell stimulation was performed for 60 min at room temperature. After incubation, cAMP measurements were performed with homogeneous TR-FRET immunoassay using the LANCE Ultra cAMP kit (PerkinElmer, Waltham, MA, USA). Then, 10 μ L of EucAMP Tracer Working Solution and 10 μ L of ULight-anti-cAMP Tracer Working Solution were added, mixed, and incubated for 1 h. The TR-FRET signal was read on an EnVision microplate reader (PerkinElmer, Waltham, NA, USA). IC₅₀ and EC₅₀ values were calculated by non-linear regression analysis using GraphPad Prism 5.0 software. The log IC₅₀ was used to obtain the K_b by applying the Cheng–Prusoff approximation.

4.5. MK-801-and Amphetamine-Induced Hyperlocomotion Test in Mice

The test was performed according to the method described by Carlsson et al. [46,47]. The mobility of the animals was measured in actometers, i.e., in plastic Opto M3 cages $(22 \times 12 \times 13 \text{ cm})$ connected to a computer with MultiDevice Software v.1.30 (Columbus Instruments, Columbus, OH, USA). The experimental cages were equipped with infrared sources on one side and sensors receiving the emitted rays on the other side of the cage. The crossing of each beam of infrared rays was classified as motor activity. The animals were placed individually in experimental cages immediately after administration of the tested compound, 30 min before the start of the test, to adapt to the new conditions and exclude the occurrence of hyperactivity caused by the change of the environment. Spontaneous locomotor activity was measured every 5 min for 60 min. Mice received two injections: of the tested compound or reference substance (30 min before the test, *ip*) and of amphetamine (2.5 mg/kg, 30 min before the test, *sc*) or MK-801 (0.2 mg/kg, 15 min before the test,

ip). Control groups received an injection of saline and amphetamine or MK-801, or two injections of saline, respectively. Olanzapine was used as a reference compound.

4.6. Catalepsy Bar Test

Catalepsy was assessed using the bar method described by Ueki et al. with minor modifications [48,49]. The front paws of the mice were placed on a cylindrical metal bar located 4 cm above the tabletop's surface, while the hind paws remained on the tabletop. The time in which the animal held both paws on the bar was measured at 30, 60, and 120 min after the administration of the tested compound, with a maximum measurement time of 60 s. Each of the measurements consisted of placing the animal's paws on the bar three times unless the mouse was on it for 60 s; then, no further trial was performed. The score for each trial was assessed as follows [21]:

- 0 points if the animals held the constrained position < 15 s;
- 1 point when the animal stayed on the bar for 15–29.9 s;
- 2 points for when the animal stayed on the bar for 30–59.9 s;
- 3 points for staying on the bar for more than 60 s.

The minimum cataleptogenic dose was defined as the lowest dose inducing a mean catalepsy score of ≥ 1 at 30, 60, or 120 min post-treatment. The tested compound was administered *ip* at the dose range of 5–20 mg/kg.

4.7. Rotarod Test

The experimental procedure was described in detail by Pytka K. et al. [50]. Mice were trained on the rotarod apparatus (May Commat RR0711, Ankara, Turkey; rod diameter: 2 cm) for 3 consecutive days. During each training session, animals were placed for 3 min on the rotating rod (24 rpm, constant speed) with unlimited trials. The experiment was performed 24 h after the last training session. On the test day, mice were injected with studied compounds and, 30 min later, placed on the rotarod. The criterion of motor impairments was the inability of the animal to remain on the rotating rod for 60 s. The TD₅₀ value was calculated as a dose at which 50% of the animals could not stay on the rotating rod [22].

4.8. Four-Plate Test

The four-plate test was performed on mice according to the previously described method [51,52]. Mice were placed individually in the four-plate apparatus (Panlab, Barcelona, Spain). After a 15 s habituation period, each mouse that crossed from one plate to another (two limbs on one plate, two on another) was punished by an electric shock (0.8 mA, 0.5 s). The number of punished crossings was calculated during the 60 s of the test.

4.9. Marble Burying Test

The test was conducted according to the method described by Broekkamp et al. [53] with minor modifications. Mice were placed individually in plastic cages, identical to their home cages, that contained a layer of bedding and 20 glass balls (1.6 cm in diameter) arranged in a pattern 4×5 . After 30 min of the experiment, the mice were removed from the cages, and the number of balls buried to at least 2/3 of their size was counted. The reduction in the number of buried balls compared to the control group suggests the tested compound's anxiolytic-like properties.

4.10. Spontaneous Locomotor Activity in Mice

The locomotor activity of mice was measured as previously described [16,54] using the same apparatus as described in paragraph 4.5. Each mouse was placed individually in a cage for a 30 min habituation period (directly after administration of the studied compound), and then the number of photobeams crossings was recorded (ambulation). Locomotor activity was evaluated every 1 or 5 min for 1–60 min depending on the observation period in behavioral tests (60 min for the hyperlocomotion test, 30 min for the marble burying test, and 1 min for the four-plate test). The cages were disinfected with an odorless disinfection solution after each mouse.

4.11. Open Field Test after MK-801 Administration in Rats

The experiment was evaluated according to the method described earlier [55,56]. It was performed in a soundproof chamber with a 30-min habituation period 24 h before the procedure. The open field apparatus consisted of four black, octagonal, stainless steel arenas (diameter: 80 cm) with 30 cm high walls. Each arena was divided into a peripheral and central sector. The peripheral sector was defined as the region within 20 cm from the walls. The approximate light intensity was 15 lx in the central point of the arena and 4 lx close to its walls.

Individual rats were placed in the central part of the open field and allowed to explore the whole arena for 30 min under dim light (approximately 10 lx) and continuous white noise (65 dB). Forward locomotion (cm/30 min) was registered in 10-min intervals for 30 min and analyzed with the aid of a computerized video tracking system (Videomot, TSE, Bad Homburg, Germany) [57,58]. The arenas were cleaned between tests with 20% (v/v) alcohol and allowed to dry.

The tested compound was administered ip 30 min before the experiment at the dose range of 0.3–30.0 mg/kg, and MK-801 (0.3 mg/kg,) was administered ip 15 min before the procedure. The reduction of MK-801-induced hyperactivity of animals is thought to reflect the antipsychotic-like effect of the tested compound [59]. Clozapine (3.0–30.0 mg/kg, ip), used as a reference drug, was administered 60 min before the experiment.

4.12. Prepulse Inhibition Test in Rats

The study was conducted as described in detail by Acewicz and colleagues [60]. The PPI apparatus consisted of eight identical startle chambers (SR-LAB, San Diego Instruments, San Diego, CA, USA). Each chamber consisted of a Plexiglas cylinder (8.8 cm diameter \times 18.4 cm length) resting on a Plexiglas frame located in a sound-attenuated and ventilated enclosure. Background noise and acoustic stimuli were presented via a loudspeaker mounted 24 cm above the cylinder. Startle responses, reflecting the motion of animals in the cylinder following the acoustic stimulus, were detected by a piezoelectric transducer mounted below the frame. The administration of stimuli and response recording were controlled by the SR-LAB software. Sound levels in the chambers were measured and calibrated with a sound meter. Response sensitivities were calibrated using the SR-LABStartle Calibration System. A chamber light was on throughout the session, and the white background noise was set at 70 dB. Each session started with a 5-min acclimatization period to accustom the rat to the experimental procedure and lasted 30 min. The rats were placed individually in the Plexiglas cylinder. Three startling stimuli (120 dB, duration: 40 ms) were given during the acclimatization period with an average inter-trial interval (ITI) of 22.5 s (15–30 s). The SR-LAB software randomized the ITI. The initial stimuli were followed by test trials presented in random order. The PPI session involved: 10 trials with a sham stimulus (70 dB, 40 ms), 10 prepulse trials (PP) which included only 20-ms PP stimuli (84 dB or 90 dB), 10 pulse trials (P) which included only a pulse (startling) stimulus (120 dB, 40 ms), and 10 prepulse-and-pulse trials (PP-P) which included a 20-ms PP (84 dB or 90 dB) followed 100 ms later by a 40-ms P stimulus (120 dB). The mean ITI was 22.5 s. Startle responses were measured for 100 ms after the onset of the last stimulus within each trial. Startle amplitudes were averaged across 10 trials for each type of test trial. The magnitude of the PPI was calculated as a percent inhibition of the startle amplitude in the P trial (treated as 100%) according to the formula:

[(startle amplitude in P trials–startle amplitude in PP-P trials)/startle amplitude in P trials] \times 100%.

$$((PA - PPA)/PA) \times 100\%, \tag{1}$$

Startle responses to the three initial stimuli were excluded from the statistical analyses. Reversing the sensorimotor gating deficits induced by MK-801 may suggest the potential antipsychotic effect of the tested compound. The studied compound at the doses 3.0–30 mg/kg was administered *ip* 30 min before the experiment, whereas MK-801 at the dose of 0.6 mg/kg was administered *ip* 15 min before the procedure.

4.13. Statistical Analysis

Results are presented as means \pm SD (standard deviation). Comparisons between experimental and control groups were performed by one- or two-way ANOVA, followed by Newman–Keuls or Bonferroni *post hoc*, respectively. In cases when assumptions for normal distribution of data was not fulfilled (determined using the D'Agostino and Pearson test), we used Kruskal–Wallis with Dunn's *post hoc* test.

5. Conclusions

In this study, we found that JJGW08, a novel arylpiperazine alkyl derivative of salicylamide, possessed strong antagonistic properties at dopamine D_2 and serotonin 5-HT_{1A} and very weak at serotonin 5-HT_{2A} and 5-HT₇ receptors. Furthermore, the compound showed antipsychotic- and anxiolytic-like properties in rodents. JJGW08 did not affect locomotor coordination or induce catalepsy in mice at antipsychotic-like doses. Therefore, our study suggests JJGW08 could be a model structure for synthesizing new arylpiperazine alkyl derivatives of salicylamide with potential use in treating schizophrenia with anxiety.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/ijms232415929/s1.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, E.Ż., K.L., J.S. and K.P.; resources: J.J. and M.K.; performance of experiments: E.Ż., M.G.-L., B.M. and A.Z.-S.; data analysis: E.Ż., K.L., K.P., M.G.-L., B.M., A.Z.-S., P.M. and J.S.; writing—original draft preparation, E.Ż., K.L. and K.P.; writing—review and editing, E.Ż., K.L. and K.P.; supervision, K.P., P.M. and J.S.; project administration, J.S. and K.P. funding acquisition, P.M., E.Ż. and J.S. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This study was supported by Jagiellonian University grant number K/ZDS/007133 and N42/DBS/000142.

Institutional Review Board Statement: All studies were approved by the Local Ethical Committee for Animal Experiments at the Jagiellonian University in Krakow and the Local Ethical Committee for Experiments on Animals in Warsaw.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Data are contained within the article.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. World Health Organization. Schizophrenia. Available online: https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/ schizophrenia (accessed on 28 October 2022).
- Kahn, R.S.; Sommer, I.E.; Murray, R.M.; Meyer-Lindenberg, A.; Weinberger, D.R.; Cannon, T.D.; O'Donovan, M.; Correll, C.U.; Kane, J.M.; van Os, J.; et al. Schizophrenia. *Nat. Rev. Dis. Prim.* 2015, 1, 15067. [CrossRef]
- 3. Bowie, C.R.; Harvey, P.D. Cognitive deficits and functional outcome in schizophrenia. *Neuropsychiatr. Dis. Treat.* **2006**, *2*, 531–536. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Miyamoto, S.; E Duncan, G.; E Marx, C.; A Lieberman, J. Treatments for schizophrenia: A critical review of pharmacology and mechanisms of action of antipsychotic drugs. *Mol. Psychiatry* 2004, 10, 79–104. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 5. Lavretsky, H. History of Schizophrenia as a Psychiatric Disorder; Guilford Press: New York, NY, USA, 2008.
- Stahl, S.M. Essential Psychopharmacology Neuroscientific Basis and Practical Applications, 2nd ed.; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK, 2000; 152.—References—Scientific Research Publishing; Available online: https://www.scirp.org/(S(351jmbntvnsjt1 aadkposzje))/reference/ReferencesPapers.aspx?ReferenceID=2599428 (accessed on 28 October 2022).
- Lau, C.-I.; Wang, H.-C.; Hsu, J.-L.; Liu, M.-E. Does the dopamine hypothesis explain schizophrenia? *Rev. Neurosci.* 2013, 24, 389–400. [CrossRef]
- 8. Meltzer, H.; Massey, B. The role of serotonin receptors in the action of atypical antipsychotic drugs. *Curr. Opin. Pharmacol.* 2011, 11, 59–67. [CrossRef]

- Stępnicki, P.; Kondej, M.; Kaczor, A.A. Current Concepts and Treatments of Schizophrenia. *Molecules* 2018, 23, 2087. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 10. Wu, C.; Wang, Y.; Yang, F.; Shi, W.; Wang, Z.; He, L.; He, Y.; Shen, J. Synthesis and Biological Evaluation of Five-Atom-Linker-Based Arylpiperazine Derivatives with an Atypical Antipsychotic Profile. *ChemMedChem* **2019**, *14*, 2042–2051. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Taverne, T.; Diouf, O.; Depreux, P.; Poupaert, J.H.; Lesieur, D.; Guardiola-Lemaître, B.; Renard, P.; Rettori, M.-C.; Caignard, D.-H.; Pfeiffer, B. Novel Benzothiazolin-2-one and Benzoxazin-3-one Arylpiperazine Derivatives with Mixed 5HT_{1A}/D₂ Affinity as Potential Atypical Antipsychotics. *J. Med. Chem.* **1998**, *41*, 2010–2018. [CrossRef]
- 12. Zajdel, P.; Partyka, A.; Marciniec, K.; Bojarski, A.J.; Pawlowski, M.; Wesolowska, A. Quinoline- and isoquinoline-sulfonamide analogs of aripiprazole: Novel antipsychotic agents? *Futur. Med. Chem.* **2014**, *6*, 57–75. [CrossRef]
- González-Gómez, J.; Santana, L.; Uriarte, E.; Brea, J.; Villazón, M.; Loza, M.; De Luca, M.; Rivas, M.; Montenegro, G.; Fontenla, J. New arylpiperazine derivatives with high affinity for α1A, D2 and 5-HT2A receptors. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 2003, *13*, 175–178. [CrossRef]
- Reitz, A.B.; Bennett, D.J.; Blum, P.S.; Codd, E.E.; Maryanoff, C.A.; Ortegon, M.E.; Renzi, M.J.; Scott, M.K.; Shank, R.P.; Vaught, J.L. A New Arylpiperazine Antipsychotic with High D2/D3/5-HT1A/α1A-Adrenergic Affinity and a Low Potential for Extrapyramidal Effects. *J. Med. Chem.* 1994, 37, 1060–1062. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 15. Popovic, M.; Stanojevic, Z.; Tosic, J.; Isakovic, A.; Paunovic, V.; Petricevic, S.; Martinovic, T.; Ciric, D.; Kravic-Stevovic, T.; Soskic, V.; et al. Neuroprotective arylpiperazine dopaminergic/serotonergic ligands suppress experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis in rats. *J. Neurochem.* **2015**, *135*, 125–138. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Pytka, K.; Partyka, A.; Jastrzębska-Więsek, M.; Siwek, A.; Głuch-Lutwin, M.; Mordyl, B.; Kazek, G.; Rapacz, A.; Olczyk, A.; Gałuszka, A.; et al. Antidepressant- and Anxiolytic-Like Effects of New Dual 5-HT1A and 5-HT7 Antagonists in Animal Models. *PLoS ONE* 2015, 10, e0142499. [CrossRef]
- Sałaciak, K.; Malikowska-Racia, N.; Lustyk, K.; Siwek, A.; Głuch-Lutwin, M.; Kazek, G.; Popiół, J.; Sapa, J.; Marona, H.; Żelaszczyk, D.; et al. Synthesis and Evaluation of the Antidepressant-like Properties of HBK-10, a Novel 2-Methoxyphenylpiperazine Derivative Targeting the 5-HT_{1A} and D₂ Receptors. *Pharmaceuticals* 2021, *14*, 744. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kowalski, P.; Jaśkowska, J.; Bojarski, A.J.; Duszyńska, B.; Bucki, A.; Kołaczkowski, M. Evaluation of 1-arylpiperazine derivative of hydroxybenzamides as 5-HT1A and 5-HT7 serotonin receptor ligands: An experimental and molecular modeling approach. J. Heterocycl. Chem. 2011, 48, 192–198. [CrossRef]
- Jaśkowska, J.; Drabczyk, A.K.; Śliwa, P.; Jodłowski, P.; Pindelska, E.; Kułaga, D.; Zaręba, P.; Majka, Z.; Siwek, A.; Wolak, M.; et al. Ultrasound assisted one-pot synthesis and preliminary in vitro studies of salicylamide arylpiperazines as dual 5-HT1A/5-HT7 ligands. J. Mol. Struct. 2023, 1275, 134585. [CrossRef]
- 20. Cheng, H.C. The power issue: Determination of KB or Ki from IC50: A closer look at the Cheng–Prusoff equation, the Schild plot and related power equations. *J. Pharmacol. Toxicol. Methods* **2001**, *46*, 61–71. [CrossRef]
- Magnusson, O.; Ögren, S.O.; Hall, H.; Köhler, C.; Sjöstrand, S.-E. The selective dopamine D2 receptor antagonist raclopride discriminates between dopamine-mediated motor functions. *Psychopharmacology* 1986, 90, 287–294. [CrossRef]
- 22. Litchfield, J.T.; Wilcoxon, F. A simplified method of evaluating dose-effect experiments. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 1949, 96, 99–113.
- 23. Casey, A.B.; Cui, M.; Booth, R.G.; Canal, C.E. "Selective" serotonin 5-HT2A receptor antagonists. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* 2022, 200, 115028. [CrossRef]
- 24. Seeman, P. Dopamine D2 Receptors as Treatment Targets in Schizophrenia. *Clin. Schizophr. Relat. Psychoses* 2010, 4, 56–73. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kotańska, M.; Mika, K.; Sałaciak, K.; Wheeler, L.; Sapa, J.; Kieć-Kononowicz, K.; Pytka, K. Pitolisant protects mice chronically treated with corticosterone from some behavioral but not metabolic changes in corticosterone-induced depression model. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.* 2020, 196, 172974. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 26. Ellison, G. The N-methyl-d-aspartate antagonists phencyclidine, ketamine and dizocilpine as both behavioral and anatomical models of the dementias. *Brain Res. Rev.* **1995**, *20*, 250–267. [CrossRef]
- Yan, Q.-S.; Reith, M.E.; Jobe, P.C.; Dailey, J.W. Dizocilpine (MK-801) increases not only dopamine but also serotonin and norepinephrine transmissions in the nucleus accumbens as measured by microdialysis in freely moving rats. *Brain Res.* 1997, 765, 149–158. [CrossRef]
- Criswell, H.E.; Johnson, K.B.; Mueller, R.A.; Breese, G.R. Evidence for involvement of brain dopamine and other mechanisms in the behavioral action of the N-methyl-D-aspartic acid antagonist MK-801 in control and 6-hydroxydopamine-lesioned rats. *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* 1993, 265, 1001–1010. Available online: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/8098756/ (accessed on 28 October 2022). [PubMed]
- Svensson, T. Dysfunctional brain dopamine systems induced by psychotomimetic NMDA-receptor antagonists and the effects of antipsychotic drugs. *Brain Res. Rev.* 2000, 31, 320–329. [CrossRef]
- 30. Gururajan, A.; Taylor, D.A.; Malone, D.T. Effect of testing conditions on the propsychotic action of MK-801 on prepulse inhibition, social behaviour and locomotor activity. *Physiol. Behav.* **2010**, *99*, 131–138. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Pijnenburg, A.J.J.; Honig, W.M.M.; Van Rossum, J.M. Inhibition of d-amphetamine-induced locomotor activity by injection of haloperidol into the nucleus accumbens of the rat. *Psychopharmacology* 1975, 41, 87–95. [CrossRef]
- 32. Strömberg, C. Interactions of antidepressants and ethanol on spontaneous locomotor activity and rotarod performance in NMRI and C57BL/6 mice. *J. Psychopharmacol.* **1988**, 2, 61–66. [CrossRef]

- Szkutnik-Fiedler, D.; Kus, K.; Balcerkiewicz, M.; Grzeskowiak, E.; Nowakowska, E.; Burda, K.; Ratajczak, P.; Sadowski, C. Concomitant use of tramadol and venlafaxine—Evaluation of antidepressant-like activity and other behavioral effects in rats. *Pharmacol. Rep.* 2012, 64, 1350–1358. [CrossRef]
- 34. Galeotti, N.; Ghelardini, C.; Bartolini, A. Involvement of potassium channels in amitriptyline and clomipramine analgesia. *Neuropharmacology* **2000**, *40*, 75–84. [CrossRef]
- Vogel, H.G. Drug Discovery and Evaluation: Pharmacological Assays | SpringerLink. Available online: https://link.springer. com/referencework/10.1007/978-3-540-70995-4 (accessed on 28 October 2022).
- 36. Tibbo, P.; Swainson, J.; Chue, P.; LeMelledo, J.-M. Prevalence and relationship to delusions and hallucinations of anxiety disorders in schizophrenia. *Depress. Anxiety* **2003**, *17*, 65–72. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Bulbena, A.; Sperry, L.; Anguiano, B.; Pailhez, G.; Gago, J. Joint Hypermobility in Schizophrenia: A Potential Marker for Co-Morbid Anxiety. *Open Psychiatry J.* 2007, 1, 31–33. [CrossRef]
- Norman, R.M.G.; Malla, A.K.; Cortese, L.; Diaz, F. Aspects of dysphoria and symptoms of schizophrenia. *Psychol. Med.* 1998, 28, 1433–1441. [CrossRef]
- Siris, S.G. Depression in Schizophrenia: Perspective in the Era of "Atypical" Antipsychotic Agents. Am. J. Psychiatry 2000, 157, 1379–1389. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Katzman, M.A. Aripiprazole: A clinical review of its use for the treatment of anxiety disorders and anxiety as a comorbidity in mental illness. J. Affect. Disord. 2011, 128 (Suppl. 1), S11–S20. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Geyer, M.A.; Krebs-Thomson, K.; Braff, D.L.; Swerdlow, N.R. Pharmacological studies of prepulse inhibition models of sensorimotor gating deficits in schizophrenia: A decade in review. *Psychopharmacology* 2001, 156, 117–154. [CrossRef]
- 42. Chen, C.-H.; Lee, P.-W.; Liao, H.-M.; Chang, P.-K. Neuroligin 2 R215H Mutant Mice Manifest Anxiety, Increased Prepulse Inhibition, and Impaired Spatial Learning and Memory. *Front. Psychiatry* 2017, *8*, 257. [CrossRef]
- Bubeníková, V.; Votava, M.; Horácek, J.; Pálenícek, T.; Dockery, C. The effect of zotepine, risperidone, clozapine and olanzapine on MK-801-disrupted sensorimotor gating. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.* 2005, 80, 591–596. [CrossRef]
- Fu, K.; Miyamoto, Y.; Sumi, K.; Saika, E.; Muramatsu, S.-I.; Uno, K.; Nitta, A. Overexpression of transmembrane protein 168 in the mouse nucleus accumbens induces anxiety and sensorimotor gating deficit. *PLoS ONE* 2017, 12, e0189006. [CrossRef]
- Kołaczkowski, M.; Marcinkowska, M.; Bucki, A.; Pawłowski, M.; Mitka, K.; Jaśkowska, J.; Kowalski, P.; Kazek, G.; Siwek, A.; Wasik, A.; et al. Novel Arylsulfonamide Derivatives with 5-HT₆/5-HT₇ Receptor Antagonism Targeting Behavioral and Psychological Symptoms of Dementia. *J. Med. Chem.* 2014, *57*, 4543–4557. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Carlsson, M.L.; Martin, P.; Nilsson, M.; Sorensen, S.M.; Carlsson, A.; Waters, S.; Waters, N. The 5-HT2A receptor antagonist M100907 is more effective in counteracting NMDA antagonist- than dopamine agonist-induced hyperactivity in mice. *J. Neural Transm.* 1999, 106, 123–129. [CrossRef]
- Nilsson, M.; Carlsson, A.; Carlsson, M.L. Glycine and D-serine decrease MK-801-induced hyperactivity in mice. *J. Neural Transm.* 1997, 104, 1195–1205. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ueki, S.; Fujiwara, M.; Inoue, K.; Kataoka, Y.; Ibii, N.; Wada, Y. Behavior pharmacology of maprotiline, a new antidepressant. Nihon Yakurigaku Zasshi 1975, 71, 789–815. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Czopek, A.; Kołaczkowski, M.; Bucki, A.; Byrtus, H.; Pawłowski, M.; Kazek, G.; Bojarski, A.J.; Piaskowska, A.; Kalinowska-Tłuścik, J.; Partyka, A.; et al. Novel spirohydantoin derivative as a potent multireceptor-active antipsychotic and antidepressant agent. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 2015, 23, 3436–3447. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Pytka, K.; Rapacz, A.; Zygmunt, M.; Olczyk, A.; Waszkielewicz, A.; Sapa, J.; Filipek, B. Antidepressant-like activity of a new piperazine derivative of xanthone in the forced swim test in mice: The involvement of serotonergic system. *Pharmacol. Rep.* 2015, 67, 160–165. [CrossRef]
- Aron, C.; Simon, P.; Larousse, C.; Boissier, J. Evaluation of a rapid technique for detecting minor tranquilizers. *Neuropharmacology* 1971, 10, 459–469. [CrossRef]
- Bourin, M.; Masse, F.; Dailly, E.; Hascoët, M. Anxiolytic-like effect of milnacipran in the four-plate test in mice: Mechanism of action. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.* 2005, 81, 645–656. [CrossRef]
- 53. Broekkamp, C.L.; Rijk, H.W.; Joly-Gelouin, D.; Lloyd, K.L. Major tranquillizers can be distinguished from minor tranquillizers on the basis of effects on marble burying and swim-induced grooming in mice. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* **1986**, 126, 223–229. [CrossRef]
- Waszkielewicz, A.M.; Pytka, K.; Rapacz, A.; Wełna, E.; Jarzyna, M.; Satała, G.; Bojarski, A.; Sapa, J.; Żmudzki, P.; Filipek, B.; et al. Synthesis and Evaluation of Antidepressant-like Activity of Some 4-Substituted 1-(2-methoxyphenyl)Piperazine Derivatives. *Chem. Biol. Drug Des.* 2014, 85, 326–335. [CrossRef]
- Acewicz, A.; Mierzejewski, P.; Jastrzebska, A.; Korkosz, I.; Karas, K.; Sienkiewicz-Jarosz, H.; Samochowiec, J.; Kolaczkowski, M.; Bienkowski, P. Anxiety- and depressive-like traits in Warsaw alcohol high-preferring (WHP) and Warsaw alcohol low-preferring (WLP) rats. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.* 2014, 122, 261–265. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 56. Mierzejewski, P.; Kolaczkowski, M.; Marcinkowska, M.; Wesołowska, A.; Samochowiec, J.; Pawlowski, M.; Bienkowski, P. Antipsychotic-like effects of zolpidem in Wistar rats. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* **2016**, 773, 51–58. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 57. Sienkiewicz-Jarosz, H.; Członkowska, A.I.; Siemiątkowski, M.; Maciejak, P.; Szyndler, J.; Planik, A. The effects of physostigmine and cholinergic receptor ligands on novelty-induced neophobia. *J. Neural Transm.* 2000, 107, 1403–1412. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Sienkiewicz-Jarosz, H.; Maciejak, P.; Krząścik, P.; I Członkowska, A.; Szyndler, J.; Bidziński, A.; Kostowski, W.; Płaźnik, A. The effects of central administration of physostigmine in two models of anxiety. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.* 2003, 75, 491–496. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 59. Andiné, P.; Widermark, N.; Axelsson, R.; Nyberg, G.; Olofsson, U.; Mårtensson, E.; Sandberg, M. Characterization of MK-801induced behavior as a putative rat model of psychosis. *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* **1999**, *290*, 1393–1408. [PubMed]
- Acewicz, A.; Mierzejewski, P.; Jastrzebska, A.; Kolaczkowski, M.; Wesolowska, A.; Korkosz, I.; Samochowiec, J.; Bienkowski, P. Acoustic Startle Responses and Prepulse Inhibition of Acoustic Startle Responses in Warsaw Alcohol High-Preferring (WHP) and Warsaw Alcohol Low-Preferring (WLP) Rats. *Alcohol Alcohol.* 2012, *47*, 386–389. [CrossRef]