



30 Hz, Could It Be Part of a Window Frequency for Cellular Response?

Olga García-Minguillán¹ and Ceferino Maestú^{1,2,3,*}

- ¹ Escuela Técnica Superior de Ingenieros de Telecomunicación, Universidad Politécnica de Madrid, 28040 Madrid, Spain; olga.garcia-minguillan.lopez@alumnos.upm.es
- ² CTB (CTB-UPM) Centro de Tecnología Biomédica, Universidad Politécnica de Madrid, 28223 Pozuelo de Alarcón, Spain
- ³ CIBER-BBN Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red, 28029 Madrid, Spain
- * Correspondence: ceferino.maestu@ctb.upm.es; Tel.: +34-9133-646-55

Abstract: Many exogenous and endogenous risk factors have been proposed as precursors of brain tumors, including the exposure to non-ionizing electromagnetic fields. Nevertheless, there is still a debate among the scientific community about the hazard of the effects produced by non-ionizing radiation (NIR) because conflicting results have been found (number of articles reviewed >50). For that reason, to provide new evidence on the possible effects produced by exposure to NIR, we performed different studies with several combinations of extremely low frequencies, times, and field intensities in tumoral and non-tumoral cells. The results of our studies showed that cell viability was frequency dependent in glioblastoma cells. In fact, our results revealed that a frequency of 30 Hz—or even other frequencies close to 30 Hz—could constitute a window frequency determinant of the cellular response in tumoral and non-tumoral cells.

Keywords: NIR; ELF-EMF; cell viability; VGCCs; LVGCCs



Citation: García-Minguillán, O.; Maestú, C. 30 Hz, Could It Be Part of a Window Frequency for Cellular Response? *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2021**, 22, 3642. https://doi.org/10.3390/ ijms22073642

Academic Editor: Marcella Reale

Received: 30 January 2021 Accepted: 28 March 2021 Published: 31 March 2021

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). 1. Why Should We Study the Effects Produced by Non-Ionizing EMFs?

Nowadays it is impossible to imagine a society unexposed to electromagnetic fields (EMFs) originating from different sources [1]. In fact, the EMFs which are the physical combination of electric and magnetic fields are both naturally created, such as the geomatic field, and artificially made, such as with the fields created by appliances [1].

The two main physical parameters that define EMFs are: the wavelength (λ), which is the distance between two crests, and the frequency (f) which is the number of waves per unit of times [2].

The set of all EMFs constitute the denominated electromagnetic spectrum, where they are divided depending on their wavelength and frequency into radio waves, microwave, infrared, visible light, ultraviolet light, X-rays, gamma rays and cosmic rays [3] (Figure 1).



Figure 1. Types of electromagnetic radiation waves that form the electromagnetic spectrum [3].

The different EMFs are divided into ionizing radiation and non-ionizing radiation by the way that they interact with matter [1,4].

The ability to produce ionization is determined by the energy of the electromagnetic wave and it is related to the frequency of the field [1,4]. In this aspect, ionizing EMFs are those of longer frequencies, e.g., gamma radiation, which have enough energy to produce molecule breakage [1,4]. Meanwhile, non-ionizing EMFs are those of lower frequencies and because of that they do not have enough energy to ionize matter [1,4].

Notwithstanding, many institutions including the World Health Organization (WHO) warm that the exposure to non-ionizing EMFs above some levels could produce biological effects [1,5]. The International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC), belonging to the WHO, has even classified extremely low frequency (ELF)-EMFs and radiofrequency (RF)-EMFs as group 2B: "possibly carcinogenic to humans" [1,6,7].

Regarding that, several studies have related the exposure to non-ionizing EMFS with the origin or influence of several human pathologies [1,8,9].

Thereupon, many countries have established restriction levels for non-ionizing EMF radiation in order to ensure the highest level of security [1].

For example, in Europe, the Council Recommendation 1999/519/EC was published in 1999, in which there were established basic restrictions and recommended levels of exposure. In that recommendation, according to the frequency, the restrictions wereestablished in order to prevent effects on the nervous system (for frequencies between 0 Hz and 10 MHz) or to prevent heating in the tissues (for frequencies bigger than 10 GHz) [1,10].

Generally, exposure limits and recommended levels of exposure are based on the state of the art by scientific organism and international committees [1,11].

Therefore, we consider that when analyzing the effects of non-ionizing EMFs, it is indispensable to narrow down the frequencies of interest and thus selection must consider the applicable legislation [1]. Additionally, it is essential to choose an appropriate study system for the frequencies chosen [1].

Following this approach, we decided to focus our research on the effects produced by ELF-EMFs in a murine model of glioblastoma [1,12–14] because the nervous system has been pointed out as one of the most sensitive to EMFs [1,15,16].

Before starting our investigation, we did an exhaustive search about the effects produced by ELF-EMFs in different cell models (Tables 1 and 2) [1].

Reference	Experimental Condition	Cell Lines	Results
Wolf et al. (2005) [17]	50 Hz, 500 μT, 750 μT and 1000 μT, 24 h, 48 h and 72 h of continous exposure	HL-60 WI-38 Rat-1	↑ cell proliferation and cell damage
Vianale et al. (2008) [18]	50 Hz, 1000 μT, from 24 h to 96 h of continous exposure	Human queratinocites	\uparrow cell growth
Chen et al. (2010) [19]	60 Hz, 1200 μT, 72 h of continous exposure	HeLa	\downarrow cell proliferation
Trillo et al. (2012) [20]	50 Hz, 100 μ T, 42 h of intermitent exposure	NB69 cells HepG2	↑ cell number

Table 1. Summary of results obtained in the past 15 years with tumoral, and non-tumoral cells lines exposed to different extremely low frequencies (ELFs) [1,17–28].

Reference	Experimental Condition	Cell Lines	Results
Martínez et al. (2012) [21]	50 Hz, 100 μT, 63 h of intermitent exposure	NB69 cells	↑ cell number
	50 Hz, 100 μT, 63 h of continous exposure		No effects on cell viability
Cid et al. (2012) [22]	50 Hz, 10 μT, 24, 42 y 92 h of intermittent exposure	HepG2	↑ cell number
Mo et al. (2013) [23]	Hipomagnetic field (≤50 µT)	SY5Y	↑ cell viability
Destefanis et al. (2015) [24]	50 Hz, 45 μT, 7 days of continuous exposure	SKBR3 GTL16	Cell growth inhibition
Yuan et al. (2017) [25]	50 Hz, 5100 μT, 30 min, 1 h and 2 h of exposition during 3 days	G401 N2A CHLA255	\downarrow cell viability
Nasrabadi et al. (2018) [26]	50 Hz, 1000 μT, 8 h of exposure during 3 days	hRPE	No effects on cell proliferation
Tang et al. (2019) [27]	7.83 Hz, 300 μT, 24 h and 48 h of continous exposure	B16F10	\downarrow cell viability
Chen et al. (2020) [28]	50 Hz, 400 μT, 15 min, 30 min, 1 h and 24 h	FL cells	Cell proliferation promotion

Table 1. Cont.

Among the different studies performed by other researchers, we would like to highlight the denominated Reflex Project ("risk evaluation of potential environmental hazard from low energy electromagnetic field exposure using sensitive in vitro methods") because it analyzed the effects produced by EMF of intensities equal or lower to the recommend levels [29].

In fact, the Reflex Project was designed to determine whether EMF exposure could produce hazard effects [29].

For that, in the Reflex Project, they studied both the effect of ELFs and RF-EMFs using different cell types what allowed to check that the effects produced were cell-type dependent [29]. Furthermore, it was observed a possible relation between the effects produced and the exposure parameters [29].

For instance, depending on the type of exposure (continuous or intermittent) different genotoxic and proliferation effects were found [29].

Due to the variability of results, the Reflex Project concluded that the evidence was not enough strong to establish that the current exposure levels were not safe [29].

Nevertheless, based on the hint that the cellular response was dependent on the cell type, prior to starting our experiments, we reviewed the state of the art of specific studies performed with glioblastoma cells models [30–39].

Reference	Experimental Condition	Cell Line	Results
Pessina et al. (2001) [30]	50 Hz, 2000 μT, 24 and 48 h of continuous exposure	U-372	No effects on cell viability
Del Giudice et al. (2007) [31]	50 Hz, 3.1 mT, 18 h of continuous exposure	H4	No effects on cell viability
Koyama et al. (2008) [32]	60 Hz, 5 mT, different times of exposure from 5 h up to 30 h	A172	Any difference in cell survival. No DNA damages were found
Kesari et al. (2016) [33]	50 Hz, $10~\mu T$ and $30~\mu T$, $24~h$	SH-SY5Y and C6	Any difference in cell viability
Su et al. (2017) [34]	50 Hz, 2 mT, 24 and 48 h of exposure	U251 and A172	No effects on cell viability, cell cycle progression and cell proliferation
	50 Hz, 10 mT and 5 mT, different times of exposure (2 h, 4 h and 24 h)		↑ number of cells after exposure during 24 h to 10 mT. However, no effect was found after the exposure to 5 mT.
Akbarnejad et al. (2017) [35]	10 Hz, 5 mT, different times of exposure (2 h, 4 h and 24 h)	U87	↓ number of cells after 24 h of exposure (10 Hz, 5 mT)
	100 Hz, 10 mT, different times of exposure (2 h, 4 h and 24 h)		↓ number of cells after 24 h of exposure (100 Hz, 10 mT)
Akbarnejad et al. (2017) [36]	100 Hz, 100 G, different times of exposure (72 h, 96 h, 120 h, 144 h)	U87 and T986	↓ cell viability after 96, 120 and 140 h of exposure. After 72 h of exposure, no significant differences were observed.
Naarala et al. (2017) [37]	18 Hz, 30 μT, 24 h of continuous exposure	C6	No effects on cell viability
Ashta et al. (2020) [38]	50 Hz, 5000 μT, 96 h of continuous exposure	A172	\downarrow cell viability
Dehghani-Soltani et al. (2020) [39]	50 Hz, 7000 μT different times of exposure (24 h, 48 h, 72 h, 96 h and 126 h)	A172 and and T98	No effects on cell viability

Table 2. Summary of results obtained in different in vitro studies with neuroblastoma and glioblastoma cells lines exposed to ELFs [1,30–39].

After doing this search, we realized that most studies performed with glioblastoma models varied only time of exposure [30,32–36,39] and almost no one studied the effects produced by different frequencies [30].

In fact, most of them focused only on 50 Hz [30–33,38,39], probably because it is the utility frequency in Europa and Asia, even though the criteria used to choose the frequency was not described.

Furthermore, we observed that in many of the studies the field intensity used was remarkable greater than the recommended level for ELFs without explaining the reason that led to choosing each intensity [30–32,34–36,38,39].

Regarding these, although most of them seem to indicate absence of response [30–34], some showed differences in cell viability [35,38].

For instance, after 24 h of continuous exposure to a NIR-EMF of 2 mT at the frequency of 50 Hz, any effects on cell viability were observed in the glioma cell lines U 372 [30], U251 and A172 [34]. In contrast, other research had observed at 50 Hz a decrease in cell viability of A172 after 96 h of exposure to an NIR-EMF of 5 mT [38].

However, the results are not comparable because the exposure parameters were completely different.

This situation motivated us to design a study to achieve the following goals (Figure 2) [1]:

EXPERIMENT 1	EXPERIMENT 2		
Cell line: CT2A cells	Cell line: CT2A cells		
Exposure parameters:	Exposure parameters:		
 Time: 24h, 48h and 72 h 	 Time: 7 days of intermitent exposure 		
 Frequencies: 20 Hz, 30 Hz, 50 Hz 	(12 h coils on/ 12 h coils off)		
 EMF intensity: 100 μT 	 Frequencies: 20 Hz, 30 Hz, 50 Hz 		
Target: Cell viability	 EMF intensity: 100 μT 		
	Target: Cell viability		
EXPERIMENT 3	EXPERIMENT 4		
Cell line: CT2A cells	Cell line: N2A cells, MSC cells		
Exposure parameters:	Exposure parameters:		
• Time: 24h	• Time: 24h		
• Frequencies: 7.8 Hz, 14 Hz, 20 Hz, 26	 Frequencies: 20 Hz, 30 Hz, 50 Hz 		
Hz, 33 Hz, 39 Hz, 45 Hz and 51 Hz	 EMF intensity: 100 μT 		
 EMF intensity: 30 μT 	Target: Cell viability		
Target: Cell viability			
EXPERIMENT 5	EXPERIMENT 6		
Cell line: CT2A cells	Cell line: CT2A cells, N2A cells, MSC cells		
Exposure parameters:	Exposure parameters:		
• Time: 24h	• Time: 24h		
 Frequencies: 20 Hz, 30 Hz, 50 Hz 	 Frequencies: 20 Hz, 30 Hz, 50 Hz 		
 EMF intensity: 100 μT 	 EMF intensity: 100 μT 		
Target: HSP90 protein expression	Target: CAV 3.1 expression		

Figure 2. Schematic summary of the experiments designed and performed to archive our goals [1,12–14]. In each experiment, the cell line studied is summarized, and the exposure parameters of the experiment and the parameter (target) is analyzed after the exposure.

- (1) Analyze the effect produced by an EMF of intensity equal to the recommend level for ELFs [1,12].
- (2) Compare the effects produced by continuous and intermittent exposure to ELF-EMFs [1,13,14].
- (3) Study the effect produced by ELF-EMFs of the intensity equal to those generated by the Earth [1,13,14].
- (4) Determine if ELF-EMFs could produce micro-thermal effects [1,13,14].
- (5) Compare the effects produced by ELF-EMFs on cell viability of a glioblastoma model to the effects produced in other tumoral and non-tumoral cell lines [1].
- (6) Study calcium-voltage gated channel type T as a possible biomarker of cell response [1].

2. The Frequency of Exposure, an EMF Parameter That Cannot Be Ignored in Cellular Response

Current legislation in the European Union has not established restrictions for the time exposure and other critical field parameters such as the frequency or the wave form [1,10].

Motivated by this legal vacuum, we decided to investigate the effects produced by a fixed intensity extremely low frequency (ELF)-EMF on a glioblastoma cell line after exposure to different frequencies and times [1].

For that, we first designed a multi-assay where we continuously exposed CT2A mouse glioma cells to a 100 μ T EMF during 24, 48 and 72 hours to three different ELFs: 20, 30 and 50 Hz [1,12].

The ELF exposure system was set up by a pair of two identical coils (19 turns, 12 cm of radius) separated by a distance equal to their radius in a Helmholtz configuration [1,12].

The intensity of the field was chosen according to the exposure level suggested by the Council Recommendation 1999/519/EC, for frequencies between 8 and 25 Hz (i.e., 5/frequency Teslas) [10] and controlled by a function generator (FAC 662B) and a power supply (Frederiksen) [1,12].

For each experiment, three culture dishes were placed in the middle of the axis of the coils (Figure 3) and the homogeneity of the field was checked prior to the begging of the experiments by a gaussemeter (LakeShore-Cryotronics 450) [1,12].



Figure 3. ELF-electromagnetic field (EMF) exposure system's scheme. For each experiment, three culture dishes (diameter = 35 mm) were placed in a point P equidistant to coil 1 and coil 2 (i.e., distance d1 from point P to coil 1 was equal to distance d2 from point P to coil 2) [1].

In order to guarantee the adequate physiological condition for the cultures (i.e., 37 °C, 5% CO₂ and 95% humidity) the pair of coils were kept inside a cell incubator and isolated from external EMF by a mu-metal box [1,12].

The control group (unexposed) was kept in the same condition without exposure (coils off) and all the experiments were replicated three times [1,12].

In our opinion, for in vitro studies, the isolation of the cultures from external EMFs is a key step because the fan of the incubator produces an EMF at a given frequency [1]. It means that, if you design an experimental set without a proper shielding, the control groups would not be truly unexposed (0 Hz) and therefore, the comparison with the exposed groups would be corrupted [1].

Another critical aspect in the design of these experiments is the selection of the study parameter and the method to evaluate it [1].

In our case, we first focused on the effects produced on cell viability [1,12] because it is a measurement of the proportion of living cells, and it allows the optimization of the experimental conditions [40].

There are different methods available to analyze cell viability which can be divided mainly into permeability assays and metabolic assays [1,40].

We decided to study the effects of ELF-EMFs on cell viability through colorimetric assay XTT [1,12], which is a metabolic assay based on the reduction of trazolium salts [1,41].

For that, immediately after the exposure each study group and the control groups were incubated with XTT for 1 h in the dark at 37 °C and 5% CO₂ [1]. Next, we measured the absorbance of the samples with a spectrophotometer and we computed the % of cell viability as follows (Equation (1)) [1]:

% of cell viability =
$$\frac{Group \ Experiment \ absorbance}{Control \ group \ absorbance} \times 100 \%$$
 (1)

Equation (1) % of cell viability. For the control group, the absorbance measured was considered equal to 100% of cell viability [1].

Through this experiment we found that the cell viability of CT2A glioma cells was dependent on the frequency but not on the time of exposure (Figure 4) [1,12].



Figure 4. CT2A cell viability after the exposure to different non-ionizing EMFs. CT2A cells were exposed to a 100 μ T EMF during 24 h (continuous exposure), 48 h (continuous exposure), 72 h (continuous exposure) and 7 days (intermittent exposure: 12 h coils on/12 h coils off) to three ELFs: 20, 30 and 50 Hz. The data are expressed as the means \pm standard error, and * indicates a significant cell viability difference from the CT2A control group (p < 0.05) [1,13,14].

In fact, we observed that the number of CT2A cells appeared to increase at 50 Hz, while at the frequency of 30 Hz we observed a significant cell viability reduction (Figure 4) [1,12]. No morphological changes were associated to the difference in cell viability observed

between the control group and the cell exposed [1]. From these findings, we decided to design a second experiment to analyze whether

an intermittent ELF-EMF could produce a similar effect on CT2A cells [1,13,14]. Specifically, in the second experiment we exposed CT2A for 7 days to cycles of 12 h of exposure followed by 12 h without exposure [1,13,14]. For that, a timer plug was added to the experimental set up [1,13,14].

Strikingly, we observed that an intermittent EMF of 100 μ T at 30 Hz also produced a notable decrease in the number of CT2A cells (Figure 4) [1,13,14]. Whilst at the frequency of 50 Hz we did not obtain any difference between the control groups (cells unexposed) and the CT2A cells exposed (Figure 4) [1,13,14].

Nevertheless, we have the hypothesis that CT2A cells did respond to the intermittent exposure at 50 Hz but due to the long duration of the experiments it could produce a nutrient depletion [1].

On one hand, to avoid nutrient depletion and accumulation of toxic wastes, it is essential to renovate the culture with fresh medium [1,42]. On the other hand, to guarantee a proper cell growth, it is necessary to divide the cellular content in other culture plates or flask [1,38].

Therefore, to avoid possible false negatives due to lack of nutrient exhaustion we limited our next experiments to 24 hours of exposure [1,13,14].

Following this approach, the next point we addressed was the study of the effects produced by an EMF of only 30 μ T [1,13,14], equal to the lowest intensity of the field generated by the Earth [43] at the different frequencies of the Schumann resonance [1,13,14,44].

For that, we compared the cell viability of the CT2A control groups with the total number of viable cells after the exposure to 7.8, 14, 20, 26, 33, 39, 45 and 51 Hz [1,13,14,44].

In this experiment, it was remarkable that CT2A cells seemed to be sensitivity to a 30 μ T at almost all the frequencies [1,13,14]. Particularly, CT2A cells seemed to be extremely sensitive to 33 Hz where we observed a cell viability decrease of around 40% in comparison with the control group (cells unexposed) [1,13,14].

This finding made us ask two questions:

- (1) First, could be CT2A cells especially sensitive to a frequency range close to 30 Hz [1]? and,
- (2) Second, could this range of frequencies cause a similar response in other cell lines [1]?

Thus, we proposed a complementary study in which we exposed for 24 h two different types of cells: mouse mesenchymal stem cells (MSC) and N2A murine neuroblastoma cells [1].

For that, MSC and N2A cells were exposed to a continuous EMF of 100μ T at 20, 30 and 50 Hz by using the exposure system described before [1].

Then, we focused on analyzing the effects produced by these non-ionizing EMFs in terms of cell viability, and we compared the results with the response observed previously in our first sets of experiments with CT2A cells [1].

Here, it is important to highlight that both MSC and N2A cells were very sensitive to an EMF of 100 μ T at the frequency of 30 Hz (Figure 5) [1]. In fact, both cell types showed a significant cell reduction at 30 Hz which is a cellular response similar to the cell viability decrease observed in CT2A cells at that frequency [1].



Figure 5. Mesenchymal stem cells (MSC) and N2A cell viability after 24 h exposure to a non-ionizing field of 100 μ T. The results from three independent experiments which each frequency and cell type are plotted on graph. The data are expressed as the means \pm standard error, and * indicates a significant cell viability difference from MSC and N2A cells to their corresponding control groups (p < 0.05) [1].

3. HSP90 Protein Is Not Suitable Biomarker in Non-ionizing Studies with ELF-EMFs

Heat shock proteins (HSP) constitute a family of proteins that are activated by cell damages [45]. That means, in normal conditions, HSPs remain inactivated and under a stressful cell situation they become activated to act as a protection mechanism [1,45].

In the past, some in vitro studies described an increase of HSPs expression in different cell types after the exposure to ELF-EMFs [45].

Although non-ionizing ELF-EMFs do not have enough energy to produce heat damages [4], we considered micro-thermal effects as a possible explanation for the differences observed in the cell viability [1,13,14].

Among the different types of HSPs, HSP90 has been related to different pathologies [45] and its inhibition in tumor cells has been reported [46].

Then, we decided to analyze if a 100 μ T ELF-EMFs could produce an increase of HSP90 protein expression in CT2A cells [1,13,14].

For that, after the exposure during 24 hours to 20, 30 and 50 Hz we compared by indirect immunofluorescence the expression of HSP90 protein in the exposed cells with the expression of that protein in the control groups (CT2A unexposed) [1,13,14].

To rule out false negative we perform a preliminary study without exposure where we varied the temperature of the culture from 37 °C up to 39 °C. Here, we observed HSP90 expression increased in the CT2A cells maintained at the biggest temperatures (i.e., 38 °C, 38.5 °C, and 39 °C) [1,13,14].

However, when analyzing the effect of exposure to ELF-EMFs no difference was observed. Namely, our results revealed that a 100 μ T EMFs did not produce any change in the expression of that protein in the CT2A exposed cells in comparison with the expression on HSP90 protein in unexposed CT2A and maintained under normal physiological conditions (37 °C and 5% CO₂) [1,13,14].

4. Type T Voltage-gated Channels, a Potential Mediator of Cell Response to EMF Stimuli

Calcium ion is a critical cellular response mediator as it is involved in many essential cellular processes such as cell proliferation, gene transcription or apoptosis [1,47–49]. Therefore, calcium ion concentration is highly regulated through different mechanism, including transmembrane proteins [1,49].

In tumoral cells, an aberrant calcium movement has been shown which in many situations involved abnormal expression of calcium transporter proteins [49]. One of those calcium transporter proteins are the voltage gated calcium channels (VGCCs) which allows the flux of calcium ion across the cell membrane [50].

Up to now, 5 different types of VGCCs have been described: L, P/Q, N, R, T [47] (Table 3).

VGCCs	Protein (Gen)	
L-type calcium channel	Cav 1.1 (CACNA1S) Cav 1.2 (CACNA1C) Cav 1.3 (CACNA 1D) Cav 1.4 (CACNA1F)	
P/Q-type calcium channel	Cav 2.1 (CACNA1A)	
N-type calcium channel	Cav 2.1 (<i>CACNA1B</i>)	
R-type calcium channel	Cav 2.3 (CACNA1E)	
T-type calcium channel	Cav 3.1 (CACNA1G) Cav 3.2 (CACNA1H) Cav 3.3 (CACNA1I)	

Table 3. Summary of voltage gated calcium channel (VGCC) isoforms: list of genes that codifies the different proteins that constitute the VGCCs [48].

All VGCCs need strong depolarization to open, except T-type channels which are low voltage activated and for that reason they are often referred to as "low voltage-gated calcium channels" (LVCCs) [51].

In the past years, an abnormal expression has been observed in the different isoforms of LVCCs (cav3.1, cav3.2 and cav3.3) in several types of tumor [47,48] including those from the nervous system [49].

This fact, together with some in vitro studies which have found that treatment with Mibefradil, a specific T-type channel inhibitor, resulted in a significant cell viability decrease and a furtherance of apoptosis, has pointed out LVCCs as promising therapeutic targets [52].

However, are LVCCs only pharmacological therapeutic targets or could they also be selectively inhibited by other stimuli? [1]

This question has hardly been asked, but we believe it should not be disregarded. In the 80s, a theory was proposed to explain the cellular response induced by NIR-EMFs, in which NIR would be translated by our organism and turned into an afferent signal [53,54].

Other researchers have established that NIR-EMFs are able to interact with the cell membrane leading to a calcium release [55].

Nowadays, various hypotheses are focused on VGCCs and in the specific case of ELF-EMFs they are focused on LVCCS [56,57]. In fact, we have the hypothesis that ELF-EMFs could somehow inhibit LVGCCs as they are susceptible to little current changes [1].

For that reason, we analyzed in CT2A, N2A and MSC cells the expression of one of the isoforms of type T VGCCs, cav 3.1 [1].

It is important to clarify that, the aim of our experiment was not to study the opening of VGCCs isoform but its pattern expression [1]. We study it by indirect immunofluorescence with anti-CACNA1G antibody [1].

Here, according to our results cav 3.1 protein is positively expressed in the cell membrane of both N2A and CT2A cells, meanwhile in MSC cells, cav 3.1 seemed to be expressed only in the membrane of damage cells [1].

Up to now, the mechanism by which VGCCs are expressed in stem cells has not been well described. For instance, some researchers did not detect cav 3.2 protein in bone marrow stem cells until the third day of cellular differentiation [58].

Therefore, type T LVCCs could be a potential mediator of cell response to EMF stimuli in only some cell types, such as glioblastomas and neuroblastomas cells [1].

Another major complication is that there is still not an agreement about cellular response induced by NIR-EMF and how it is moderated.

5. Conclusions

Our results show that, although there are evidences that each cell type has a specific response capacity to EMF, it could be the range of frequencies close to 30 Hz that produce a decrease in the cell viability of different cell lines [1,12–14]. Without any doubts, that is the most outstanding finding of our years of research—due to its possible clinical applications. In the literature, this frequency has barely been researched or intended for specific applications.

However, based on our results, we believe there is a moderating frequency close to 30 Hz which triggers the effects produced on cells after the exposure [1]. The question is, how could this modulation be produced?

In the 80s it was described as a potential "frequency windows effect" according to which some frequencies could produce changes in calcium influx [59,60].

Additionally, in the 80s, a mathematical model of ion cyclotronic resonance was also proposed through which it was described that an EMF at a proper combination of intensity and frequency could produce changes in the ion flux [61].

Based on current knowledge and guided by our results, we think that non-ionizing EMF can interact with cell membranes at a certain frequency producing a change in the opening of VGGC type T what would lead to changes in calcium concentration [1].

Nonetheless, more in vitro studies are required to confirm this finding, because currently there are hardly any results with frequencies close to 30 Hz [1]. Thus, this is the main limitation of our study and for that, we encourage the development of a bulk of complementary studies using frequencies between 30 to 39 Hz.

Another limitation of our research is that we studied the effects on three types of cell lines exposed mainly to 100 μ T. Accordingly, to corroborate our results, future studies should be extended to other tumoral and non-tumoral cell lines using different durations and EMFs of several intensities [1].

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, O.G.-M. and C.M.; methodology, O.G.-M. and C.M.; formal analysis, O.G.-M. and C.M.; investigation, O.G.-M. and C.M.; resources, C.M.; data curation,

O.G.-M. and C.M.; writing—original draft preparation, O.G.-M. and C.M.; writing—review and editing, C.M.; supervision, C.M.; project administration, C.M.; funding acquisition, C.M. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. García-Minguillán López, O. *Efectos de la Exposición a Campos Electromagnéticos de Frecuencias Extremadamente Bajas en un Modelo Murino de Glioblastoma*; Universidad Politécnica de Madrid: Madrid, Spain, 2020.
- 2. Fontal, B. El Espectro Electromagnético y sus Aplicaciones. In *Escuela Venezolana para la Enseñanza de la Química;* Saber ULA: Mérida, Venezuela, 2015.
- Serway, R.A.; Jewett, J.W., Jr. Ondas electromagnéticas. In *Física Para Ciencias e Ingeniería*; Serway, R.A., Ed.; Cengage Learning: Ciudad de México, México, 2008; pp. 953–967. ISBN 13 978 6074813586.
- 4. Vega, C.P. Radiaciones Ionizantes y no Ionizantes en el Medio Ambiente; Universidad de Cantabria: Cantabria, Spain; pp. 6–7.
- WHO World Health Organization. What are Electromagnetic Fields? Available online: https://www.who.int/peh-emf/about/ WhatisEMF/en/ (accessed on 28 March 2021).
- 6. IARC International Agency for Research on Cancer. *Monographs on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans. Non-Ionizing Radiation, Part 1: Static and Extremely Low-Frequency (ELF) Electric and Magnetic Fields;* IARC International Agency for Research on Cancer: Lyon, France, 2002.
- IARC International Agency for Research on Cancer. Monographs on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans. Non-Ionizing Radiation, Part 2: Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields. 2011. Available online: https://monographs.iarc.who.int/ wp-content/uploads/2018/06/mono102.pdf (accessed on 28 March 2021).
- 8. Amoon, A.T.; Arah, O.A.; Kheifets, L. The sensitivity of reported effects of EMF on childhood leukemia to uncontrolled confounding by residential mobility: A hybrid simulation study and an empirical analysis using CAPS data. *Cancer Causes Control.* **2019**, *30*, 901–908. [CrossRef]
- Pérez-Castejón, C.; Pérez-Bruzón, R.N.; Llorente, M.; Pes, N.; Lacasa, C.; Figols, T.; Lahoz, M.; Maestú, C.; Vera-Gil, A.; Del Moral, A.; et al. Exposure to ELF-pulse modulated X band microwaves increases in vitro human astrocytoma cell proliferation. *Histol. Histopathol.* 2009, 24, 1551–1561.
- Council of the European Union. 1999/519/EC: Council Recommendation of 12 July 1999 on the Limitation of Exposure of the General Public to Electromagnetic Fields (0 Hz to 300 GHz). 1999. Available online: https://op.europa.eu/en/publication-detail/ -/publication/9509b04f-1df0-4221-bfa2-c7af77975556/language-en (accessed on 28 March 2021).
- Federación Española de Municipios y Provincias. Servicio de Asesoramiento técnico e información. Informe SATI "Límites de Exposición a Campos Electromagnéticos de Radiofrecuencias. 2012, pp. 25–26. Available online: https://www.upct.es/estudios/ grado/5051/documentos/salidas_profesionales/L%C3%ADmites%20radiofrecuencias.pdf (accessed on 28 March 2021).
- 12. García-Minguillán López, O.; Valbuena, A.J.; Unturbe, C.M. Significant Cellular Viability Dependence on Time Exposition at ELF-EMF and RF-EMF In Vitro Studies. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2019**, *16*, 2085. [CrossRef]
- García-Minguillán, O.; Prous, R.; Ramirez-Castillejo, M.D.C.; Maestú, C. CT2A Cell Viability Modulated by Electromagnetic Fields at Extremely Low Frequency under No Thermal Effects. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2019, 21, 152. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- García-Minguillán López, O.; Guillén, R.P.; Ramirez-Castillejo, M.D.C.; Maestú, C. Frequency modulation of ELF-EMF determines the cell viability under no thermal effects. BioEM the Joint Annual Meeting of the Bioelectromagnetics Society and the European BioElectromagnetics Association. *Abstr. Collect.* 2020, 31–35.
- 15. Hardell, L.; Carlberg, M.; Mild, K.H. Use of mobile phones and cordless phones is associated with increased risk for glioma and acoustic neuroma. *Pathophysiology* **2013**, *20*, 85–110. [CrossRef]
- Kim, J.H.; Lee, J.K.; Kim, H.G.; Kim, K.B.; Kim, H.R. Possible Effects of Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Field Exposure on Central Nerve System. *Biomol. Ther.* 2019, 27, 265–275. [CrossRef]
- Wolf, F.I.; Torsello, A.; Tedesco, B.; Fasanella, S.; Boninsegna, A.; D'Ascenzo, M.; Grassi, C.; Azzena, G.B.; Cittadini, A. 50-Hz extremely low frequency electromagnetic fields enhance cell proliferation and DNA damage: Possible involvement of a redox mechanism. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 2005, 1743, 120–129. [CrossRef]
- Vianale, G.; Reale, M.; Amerio, P.; Stefanachi, M.; Di Luzio, S.; Muraro, R. Extremely low frequency electromagnetic field enhances human keratinocyte cell growth and decreases proinflammatory chemokine production. *Br. J. Dermatol.* 2008, 158, 1189–1196. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 19. Chen, Y.C.; Chen, C.C.; Tu, W.; Cheng, Y.T.; Tseng, F.G. Design and fabrication of a microplatform for the proximity effect study of localized ELF-EMF on the growth of in vitro HeLa and PC-12 cells. *J. Micromech. Microeng.* **2010**, *20*, 125023. [CrossRef]
- 20. Trillo, M.A.; Martínez, M.A.; Cid, M.A.; Leal, J.; Úbeda, A. Influence of a 50 Hz magnetic field and of all-trans-retinol on the proliferation of human cancer cell lines. *Int. J. Oncol.* **2012**, *40*, 1405–1413. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Martínez, M.A.; Úbeda, A.; Cid, M.A.; Trillo, M.Á. The proliferative response of NB69 human neuroblastoma cells to a 50 Hz magnetic field is mediated by ERK1/2 signaling. *Cell. Physiol. Biochem.* 2012, 29, 675–686. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Cid, M.A.; Ubeda, A.; Hernández-Bule, M.L.; Martínez, M.A.; Trillo, M.Á. Antagonistic effects of a 50 Hz magnetic field and melatonin in the proliferation and differentiation of hepatocarcinoma cells. *Cell. Physiol. Biochem.* 2012, 30, 1502–1516. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 23. Mo, W.C.; Zhang, Z.J.; Liu, Y.; Bartlett, P.F.; He, R.Q. Magnetic shielding accelerates the proliferation of human neuroblastoma cell by promoting G1-phase progression. *PLoS ONE* **2013**, *8*, e54775. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 24. Destefanis, M.; Viano, M.; Leo, C.; Gervino, G.; Ponzetto, A.; Silvagno, F. Extremely low frequency electromagnetic fields affect proliferation and mitochondrial activity of human cancer cell lines. *Int. J. Radiat. Biol.* **2015**, *91*, 964–972. [CrossRef]
- 25. Yuan, L.Q.; Wang, C.; Zhu, K.; Li, H.M.; Gu, W.Z.; Zhou, D.M.; Lai, J.Q.; Zhou, D.; Lv, Y.; Tofani, S.; et al. The antitumor effect of static and extremely low frequency magnetic fields against nephroblastoma and neuroblastoma. *Bioelectromagnetics* **2018**, *39*, 375–385. [CrossRef]
- 26. Nasrabadi, N.; Soheili, Z.S.; Bagheri, A.; Ahmadieh, H.; Amizadeh, Y.; Sahebjam, F.; Tabeie, F.; Kanavi, M.R. The effects of electromagnetic fields on cultured human retinal pigment epithelial cells. *Bioelectromagnetics* **2018**, *39*, 585–594. [CrossRef]
- 27. Tang, J.Y.; Yeh, T.W.; Huang, Y.T.; Wang, M.H.; Jang, L.S. Effects of extremely low-frequency electromagnetic fields on B16F10 cancer cells. *Electromagn. Biol. Med.* **2019**, *38*, 149–157. [CrossRef]
- 28. Chen, L.; Xia, Y.; Lu, J.; Xie, Q.; Ye, A.; Sun, W. A 50-Hz magnetic-field exposure promotes human amniotic cells proliferation via SphK-S1P-S1PR cascade mediated ERK signaling pathway. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.* **2020**, *194*, 110407. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 29. Risk Evaluation of Potential Environmental Hazards from Low Frequency Electromagnetic Field Exposure Using Sensitive in Vitro Methods. Final Report. Available online: https://www.emf-portal.org/en/glossary/3142 (accessed on 10 May 2020).
- 30. Pessina, G.P.; Aldinucci, C.; Palmi, M.; Sgaragli, G.; Benocci, A.; Meini, A.; Pessina, F. Pulsed electromagnetic fields affect the intracellular calcium concentrations in human astrocytoma cells. *Bioelectromagnetics* **2001**, *22*, 503–510. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Del Giudice, E.; Facchinetti, F.; Nofrate, V.; Boccaccio, P.; Minelli, T.; Dam, M.; Leon, A.; Moschini, G. Fifty Hertz electromagnetic field exposure stimulates secretion of beta-amyloid peptide in cultured human neuroglioma. *Neurosci. Lett.* 2007, 418, 9–12. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 32. Koyama, S.; Sakurai, T.; Nakahara, T.; Miyakoshi, J. Extremely Low Frequency (ELF) Magnetic Fields Enhance Chemically Induced Formation of Apurinic/Apyrimidinic (AP) Sites in A172 Cells. *Int. J. Radiat. Biol.* **2008**, *84*, 53–59. [CrossRef]
- 33. Kesari, K.K.; Juutilainen, J.; Luukkonen, J.; Naarala, J. Induction of micronuclei and superoxide production in neuroblastoma and glioma cell lines exposed to weak 50 Hz magnetic fields. *J. R. Soc. Interface* **2016**, *13*, 20150995. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 34. Su, L.; Yimaer, A.; Wei, X.; Xu, Z.; Chen, G. The effects of 50 Hz magnetic field exposure on DNA damage and cellular functions in various neurogenic cells. *J. Radiat. Res.* 2017, *58*, 474–486. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Akbarnejad, Z.; Eskandary, H.; Vergallo, C.; Nematollahi-Mahani, S.N.; Dini, L.; Darvishzadeh-Mahani, F.; Ahmadi, M. Effects of Extremely Low-Frequency Pulsed Electromagnetic Fields (ELF-PEMFs) on Glioblastoma Cells (U87). *Electromagn. Biol. Med.* 2017, 36, 238–247. [CrossRef]
- 36. Akbarnejad, Z.; Eskandary, H.; Dini, L.; Vergallo, C.; Nematollahi-Mahani, S.N.; Farsinejad, A.; Abadi, M.F.S.; Ahmadi, M. Cytotoxicity of Temozolomide on Human Glioblastoma Cells Is Enhanced by the Concomitant Exposure to an Extremely Low-Frequency Electromagnetic Field (100Hz, 100G). *Biomed. Pharmacother.* **2017**, *92*, 254–264. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Naarala, J.; Kesari, K.K.; McClure, I.; Chavarriaga, C.; Juutilainen, J.; Martino, C.F. Direction-Dependent Effects of Combined Static and ELF Magnetic Fields on Cell Proliferation and Superoxide Radical Production. *BioMed Res. Int.* 2017, 2017. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ashta, A.; Motalleb, G.; Ahmadi-Zeidabadi, M. Evaluation of frequency magnetic field, static field, and Temozolomide on viability, free radical production and gene expression (p53) in the human glioblastoma cell line (A172). *Electromagn. Biol. Med.* 2020, *39*, 298–309. [CrossRef]
- Dehghani-Soltani, S.; Eftekhar-Vaghefi, S.H.; Babaee, A.; Basiri, M.; Mohammadipoor-Ghasemabad, L.; Vosough, P.; Ahmadi-Zeidabadi, M. Pulsed and Discontinuous Electromagnetic Field Exposure Decreases Temozolomide Resistance in Glioblastoma by Modulating the Expression of O6-Methylguanine-DNA Methyltransferase, Cyclin-D1, and p53. *Cancer Biother. Radiopharm.* 2020. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 40. Synopsis of Cell Proliferation, Metabolic Status, and Cell Death. Cell Signaling Technology. Available online: https://www.cellsignal.com/science-resources/cell-viability-andsurvival#:~{}:text=Cell%20viability%20is%20a%20measure,as%20 during%20a%20drug%20screen (accessed on 28 March 2021).
- 41. Cell Viability and Proliferation Assays. MERK. Available online: https://www.sigmaaldrich.com/technical-documents/articles/ biofiles/cell-viability-and-proliferation.html (accessed on 28 March 2021).
- 42. Castro, M.M.; Martínez, E.H. Desarrollo de las Técnicas de Cultivos Celulares. Escuela de Gestión Sanitaria. Available online: https://www.formacionegs.com/archivos/1325673989.pdf (accessed on 28 March 2021).
- 43. Finlay, C.C.; Maus, S.; Beggan, C.D.; Bondar, T.N.; Chambodut, A.; Chernova, T.A.; Chulliat, A.; Golovkov, V.P.; Hamilton, B.; Hamoudi, M.; et al. International Geomagnetic Reference Field: The eleventh generation. *Geophys. J. Int.* **2010**, *183*, 1216–1230.
- Votis, C.I.; Tatsis, G.; Christofilakis, V.; Chronopoulos, S.K.; Kostarakis, P.; Tritakis, V.; Repapis, C. A new portable ELF Schumann resonance receiver: Design and detailed analysis of the antenna and the analog front-end. *EURASIP J. Wirel. Commun. Netw.* 2018, 2018, 155. [CrossRef]
- 45. Mayer, M.P.; Le Breton, L. Hsp90: Breaking the Symmetry. Mol. Cell 2015, 58, 8–20. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Kryeziu, K.; Bruun, J.; Guren, T.K.; Sveen, A.; Lothe, R.A. Combination therapies with HSP90 inhibitors against colorectal cancer. Biochim. Biophys. Acta Rev. Cancer 2019, 1871, 240–247. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 47. Santoni, G.; Santoni, M.; Nabissi, M. Functional role of T-type calcium channels in tumour growth and progression: Prospective in cancer therapy. *Br. J. Clin. Pharmacol.* **2012**, *166*, 1244–1246. [CrossRef]
- 48. Zhang, Y.; Cruickshanks, N.; Yuan, F.; Wang, B.; Pahuski, M.; Wulfkuhle, J.; Gallagher, I.; Koeppel, A.F.; Hatef, S.; Papanicolas, C.; et al. Targetable T-type Calcium Channels Drive Glioblastoma. *Cancer Res.* **2017**, *77*, 3479–3490. [CrossRef]
- 49. Maklad, A.; Sharma, A.; Azimi, I. Calcium Signaling in Brain Cancers: Roles and Therapeutic Targeting. *Cancers* **2019**, *11*, 145. [CrossRef]
- Alberts, B.; Johnson, A.; Lewis, J.; Raff, M.; Roberts, K.; Walter, P. Membrane transport of small molecules and the electrical properties of membranes. In *Molecular Biology of the Cell*; Garland Science: New York, NY, USA, 2008; pp. 651–687. ISBN 13 978 0815341055.
- Valerie, N.C.; Dziegielewska, B.; Hosing, A.S.; Augustin, E.; Gray, L.S.; Brautigan, D.L.; Larner, J.M.; DziegielewskiInhibition, J. Inhibition of T-type calcium channels disrupts Akt signaling and promotes apoptosis in glioblastoma cells. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* 2013, *85*, 888–897. [CrossRef]
- Buckner, C.A.; Buckner, A.L.; Koren, S.A.; Persinger, M.A.; Lafrenie, R.M. Inhibition of cancer cell growth by exposure to a specific time-varying electromagnetic field involves T-type calcium channels. *PLoS ONE* 2015, 10, e0124136. [CrossRef]
- 53. Becker, R.O.; Marino, A.A. Electromagnetism & Life; State University of New York Press: Albany, NY, USA, 1982; Volume 124.
- 54. Marino, A.A.; Nilsen, E.; Chesson, A.L.; Frilot, C. Effect of low-frequency magnetic fields on brain electrical activity in human subjects. *Clin. Neurophysiol.* 2004, 115, 1195–1201. [CrossRef]
- Ruiz, M.A.A. Actividad Neuronal Y Magnetobiología; España. Real Academia De Ciencias Exactas, Fisicas, Quimicas Y Naturales De Zaragoza: Zaragoza, Spain, 2006; pp. 12–14.
- 56. Pall, M.L. Electromagnetic fields act via activation of voltage-gated calcium channels to produce beneficial or adverse effects. *J. Cell. Mol. Med.* **2013**, *17*, 958–965. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Pall, M.L. Scientific evidence contradicts findings and assumptions of Canadian Safety Panel 6: Microwaves act through voltage-gated calcium channel activation to induce biological impacts at non-thermal levels, supporting a paradigm shift for microwave/lower frequency electromagnetic field action. *Rev. Environ. Health.* 2015, 30, 99–116. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 58. Grajales, L.; Lach, L.E.; Janisch, P.; Geenen, D.L.; García, J. Temporal expression of calcium channel subunits in satellite cells and bone marrow mesenchymal cells. *Stem Cell Rev. Rep.* **2015**, *11*, 408–422. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 59. Blackman, C.F.; Shawnee, G.; Benane, L.S.; Kinney, W.T.; House, D.E. Effects of ELF fields on calcium-ion efflux from brain tissue in vitro. *Radiat. Res.* **1982**, *92*, 510–520. [CrossRef]
- Blackman, C.F.; Benane, S.G.; Elder, J.A.; House, D.E.; Lampe, J.A.; Faulk, J.M. Induction of calcium-ion efflux from brain tissue by radiofrequency radiation: Effect of sample number and modulation frequency on the power-density window. *Bioelectromagnetics* 1980, 1, 35–43. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 61. Liboff, A.R. Geomagnetic cyclotron resonance in living cells. J. Biol. Phys. 1985, 13, 99–102. [CrossRef]