

Review



# Stem Cells in Autologous Microfragmented Adipose: Current Perspectives in Osteoarthritis Disease

Francesco De Francesco <sup>1</sup>, Pasquale Gravina <sup>2</sup>, Alice Busato <sup>3</sup>, Luca Farinelli <sup>2</sup>, Carlo Soranzo <sup>4</sup>, Luis Vidal <sup>4</sup>, Nicola Zingaretti <sup>5</sup>, Barbara Zavan <sup>6,\*</sup>, Andrea Sbarbati <sup>3</sup>, Michele Riccio <sup>1</sup> and Antonio Gigante <sup>2,\*</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery-Hand Surgery Unit, Azienda 'Ospedali Riuniti', 60126 Ancona, Italy; francesco.defrancesco@ospedaliriuniti.marche.it (F.D.F.); michele.riccio@ospedaliriuniti.marche.it (M.R.)
- <sup>2</sup> Clinical Orthopaedics, Department of Clinical and Molecular Science, Polytechnic University of Marche, 60126 Ancona, Italy; pasquale.gravina93@gmail.com (P.G.); farinelli.luca92@gmail.com (L.F.); a.gigante@univpm.it (A.G.)
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Neuroscience, Biomedicine and Movement, Human Anatomy and Histology Section, University of Verona, 37135 Verona, Italy; alice.busato@univr.it (A.B.); andrea.sbarbati@univr.it (A.S.)
- <sup>4</sup> Fidia Advanced Biopolymers, 35031 Abano Terme, Italy; carlo.soranzo@fidiapharma.it (C.S.); luis.vidal@fidiapharma.com (L.V.)
- <sup>5</sup> Clinic of Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, Academic Hospital of Udine, Department of Medical Area (DAME), University of Udine, 33100 Udine, Italy; zingarettin@gmail.com
- <sup>6</sup> Department of Translational Medicine, University of Ferrara, 44121 Ferrara, Italy
- \* Correspondence: barbara.zavan@unife.it (B.Z.); Antonio.gigante.gmail.com (A.G.); Tel.: +39-0532-455882 (B.Z.); +39-071-5963346 (A.G.); Fax: +39-071-5965297 (A.G.)

**Abstract:** Osteoarthritis (OA) is a chronic debilitating disorder causing pain and gradual degeneration of weight-bearing joints with detrimental effects on cartilage volume as well as cartilage damage, generating inflammation in the joint structure. The etiology of OA is multifactorial. Currently, therapies are mainly addressing the physical and occupational aspects of osteoarthritis using pharmacologic pain treatment and/or surgery to manage the symptomatology of the disease with no specific regard to disease progression or prevention. Herein, we highlight alternative therapeutics for OA specifically considering innovative and encouraging translational methods with the use of adipose mesenchymal stem cells.

**Keywords:** osteoarthritis; stromal vascular fraction; mechanical disaggregation; regenerative surgery; adipo micrografts

### 1. Introduction

Osteoarthritis (OA) is a chronic disease caused by biomechanical deterioration of the joint, specifically cell stress and extracellular matrix degeneration due to injury and dysfunctional repair mechanisms involving the activation of pro-inflammatory processes of innate immunity [1]. Approximately 10% of men and 18% of women above 65 years of age present symptomatic OA, and 250 million people worldwide are affected by asymptomatic OA [2,3]. Risk factors can be genetic and non-genetic; the first groups include obesity [4], age, previous traumas, bone malalignment or joint instability [5], together with other risk factors including sedentary lifestyle, postural defect. Genetical problems are involved in the balance between catabolic and anabolic activity of intra articular cartilage because of alteration of signaling pathways regarding Trasforming Growth Factor-beta/small Mother Against decapentaplegic (TGF-B/Smad), Wingless Int (Wnt/B-catenin) and Indian hedgehog/parathyroid hormone-related protein (PTHrP). Unlike other in-flammatory diseases (such as rheumatoid arthritis), in which the pathogenesis has been

Citation: De Francesco, F.; Gravina, P.; Busato, A.; Farinelli, L.; Soranzo, C.; Vidal, L.; Zingaretti, N.; Zavan, B.; Sbarbati, A.; Riccio, M.; Gigante, A. Stem Cells in Autologous Microfragmented Adipose: Current Perspectives in Osteoarthritis Disease. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2021**, *22*, 10197. https://doi.org/10.3390/ ijms221910197

Academic Editor: Silvia Panseri

Received: 22 August 2021 Accepted: 14 September 2021 Published: 22 September 2021

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). clarified, and therefore the related therapies have been validated, the pathophysiology of osteoarthritis is still unknown.

Numerous recommendation for osteoarthritis diagnosis have been developed, such as biomarkers to expect both biological activities as natural disease progression, although given the importance of therapeutic monitoring, these biomarkers do not have a significative impact on diagnosis. The actual main purpose is to develop systems useful for quantification of flogistic assessment of OA through to the whole inflammatory markers such as cytokines, chemokines, collagen proteins, mainly in the so-called "early OA", which is characterized by clinical and radiographic signs lack. Some authors have evidenced emergent platforms and technology that can be used in diagnostic routine both for monitoring disease progression and for better assessment of OA. Mobasheri and colleagues evidenced the main technologies and their applications through the analysis of multiplexing inflammatory biochemical markers for an efficient characterization of OA [6]. Current treatment is not effective. Mechanical stress is a result of these triggering factors, thus leading to a gradual degradation of protective cartilage between the joints, which will subsequently provoke chronic pain and further impairment. OA, besides, constitutes a substantial burden on the annual economy. Pain is a fundamental issue in OA, but pain therapy and changes in lifestyle are unsatisfactory management options, making OA a challenging disease to treat [7]. To date, quality of life for symptomatic patients may be improved solely by surgical intervention to replace joints during the final phase of disease [8]. Prevention of the progression of OA still remains an enigma, but novel biological therapies have been investigated in an effort to decelerate disease development during the early phase of asymptomatic OA towards a change in the evolution of the disease. Studies have assessed the efficacy of novel treatment options such as intra-articular applications of corticosteroid injections, hyaluronic acid injections, platelet-rich plasma (PRP) or autologous micro-fragmented adipose tissue with stromal vascular fraction for secondary prevention [9–11].

We assessed recent studies regarding OA pathophysiology and current treatment procedures with a view to demonstrating the role of autologous micro-fragmented adipose tissue with stromal vascular fraction to secure cartilage tissue of the joint in patients affected by OA.

#### 2. Pathogenesis and Histology of Osteoarthritis Disease

Osteoarthritis was first described as a cartilage disease and subsequently a subchondral bone disorder until recent studies observed OA as an entire joint disorder involving all the surrounding tissues of a joint (articular cartilage, subchondral bone, synovium, infrapatellar fat pad, ligaments and tendons).

#### 2.1. Articular Cartilage (AC)

The Articular Cartilage is characterized by avascular, alymphatic, and aneural tissue with a sole cell type of hyaline-type chondrocytes [12]. The chondrocytes are located within the extracellular matrix (ECM) and housed in the "lacunae" of the ECM or organized in small single-cell groups which derive from named "isogenic groups" (Figure 1A). ECM (or intercellular substance) is amorphous with abundant aggrecan, collagen fibers (types III, VI, IX, XI), proteoglycans (decorin, byglican and fibromodulin) and glycosa-minoglycans but mainly lacking in elastic fibers [13]. AC are arranged in four layers (Figure 1B,C): 1.Tangential zone (superficial with disk-shaped chondrocytes and horizontally located collagen fibrils; 2.Transitional zone (middle): Collagen fibrils in the middle zone are diagonally oriented and round chondrocytes are scattered irregularly; 3. Radial Zone (deeper): chondrocytes are vertically positioned with radially arrayed collagen fibrils; 4. High-mineralized zone of calcified cartilage in which the collagen fibrils are perpendicular to the articular surface.



**Figure 1.** (**A**) The figure shows the histological aspect of a normal Articular Cartilage. (**B**) Schematic representation of superficial, middle and deep zones. (**C**) Histological aspect of a damaged articular cartilage. (**D**) Schematic representation of Subchondral Bone. (**E**) Histological aspect of Subchondral Bone. (**F**) The figure shows the microscopic appearance of the synovial membrane and the intraarticular Hoffa's fat pad (Scale bar 80 um).

The role of AC in the development of degenerative osteoarthritis occurs via mechanical and subsequent biological mechanisms and is activated through mechanical loading and specifically via mechanoreceptors—mechanosensitive ion channels and integrins [14,15] found on the surface of chondrocytes. Histologically, the first sign of OA is a superficial cartilage fibrillation in the superficial zone. In this early phase of OA, metalloproteinases play a key role in the matrix degradation process. Metalloprotein-1 and -3 ( MMP-1 and MMP-3) are responsible for the destruction of the collagen network and, in particular, MMP-13 stimulates the degradation of type II collagen, which is the most expressed in the hyaline cartilage of the joints [16]. As the matrix degeneration progresses, the chondrocytes, on the one hand, initiate a hypertrophic process [17], due to self-production of the matrix constituents and, on the other hand, the products of cartilage degradation trigger the production of proinflammatory mediators. This phenomenon is histologically visible considering the fibrillations that extend deeply into the transitional and radial zones, forming deep fissures affecting the calcified cartilage and the subchondral bone.

#### 2.2. Subchondral Bone (SB)

Subchondral bone is composed of a plate-like cortical bone layer below the calcified cartilage (subchondral bone plate), and a deeper subchondral trabecular or spongy bone layer [18]. The structure (Figure 1D,E) is related to the activities of the cell population made of osteoblasts and osteoclasts. In established OA, the SB undergoes deterioration phenomena at an early stage [19] and sclerotic phenomena at a later stage [20]. These

changes are accompanied by alteration in volume and thickness of the subchondral trabecular plate, leading to an osteoblastic/osteoclastic imbalance. This alteration results in micro-fractures of the SB, subchondral bone cysts, and formations of osteophytes [21]. Micro-fractures of SB, which are generally associated with cartilage erosions and fissures, are responsible for the first clinical manifestations of OA, such as pain. This phenomenon is due to the infiltration, through the fissures (bone and cartilage) of newly formed vessels and the nerves that uncommonly access certain anatomical structures. Clinically, this pathological manifestation is correlated with subchondral sclerosis, which is synonymous with late-stage OA.

#### 2.3. Synovium and Synovial Fluid

The synovium is composed of the synovial membrane and synovial fluid. The synovial membrane contains some cell layers rich in fibroblast-like synoviocytes, which form a layer covering the joint cavity and the synovial liquid. Synoviocytes mainly produce lubricating molecules such as hyaluronic acid or nutrients derived from plasma, while the synovial fluid is important in avascular cartilage nutrition. The synovial membrane (Figure 1F) includes a lining or intima layer and a sub-lining or sub-intima layer that, unlike other epithelial membranes, are not separated by a basement membrane [22]. The lack of basement membrane is responsible for the incursion of serum components from the capillaries into the synovial space. The only adhesion molecules present are cadherin 11 [23], which is largely responsible for adherence between the individual synoviocytes. Histologically, the two layers may be disjointed, but the synoviocytes show a high degree of heterogeneity. The fibroblast-like synoviocytes are type-B synoviocytes that differ from type-A synoviocytes that are indeed macrophage-like synovial cells. Macrophage-like synoviocytes are CD163- and CD68-positive, which proliferate during inflammation. Fibroblast-like synoviocytes are CD55-positive and mainly produce hyaluronic acid. These differences seem to be crucial to the specific tissue reaction occurring under disease conditions such as OA [24]. The inflammation induced by OA causes synovial proliferation that provokes pervasion of T-lymphocytes, B-lymphocytes and mast cells [25,26].

#### 2.4. Intra-Articular Adipose Tissue Structure

Intra-articular adipose tissue is also known as articular fat pad (AFP) and defined within the synovial joint (Figure 1F). Intra-articular fat is a fundamental part of the joint support structures, which contribute to stability and shock absorption of the joint. The Hoffa's fat pad is an articular fat depot of the knee joint and possibly found in the hip joint [27] in proximity of the olecranon, coronoid and the radial fosse, as well as in the radiohumeral joint, the lumbar facet joints [28] and the inter-metacarpal joint [29]. AFPs are similar in structure to subcutaneous white adipose tissue (WAT), differing in the regulation of independent metabolic circuits. Adipose tissue may be considered an organ [30] owing to its ability in secreting cytokines, interleukins, growth factors and adipokines. Such growth factors are present in synovial fluid [31] and can determine the metabolism of cartilage and synovium [32]. In particular, leptin and adiponectin are adipokines secreted in large quantities only by adipose tissue, but found also in the synovial fluid. Leptin is present in greater quantities than adiponectin, and both are able to stimulate the production of pro-inflammatory mediators [33]. Leptin is able to stimulate IL1beta production and initiate MMP expression in OA cartilage. Moreover, adiponectin is able to induce MMP1 and IL6 production in synovial fibroblasts. The presence of these adipokines is associated with a high permeability of the inflamed synovium [34].

#### 2.5. Osteoarthritis: Cartilage Damage, Repair and Regeneration

The OARSI (Osteoarthritis Research Society International) (Figure 2) Assessment System considers histologic features of OA (Table 1). OA severity may be classified into six grades, with Grade 0 as the value revealing normal cartilage, Grades 1–4 accounting

for articular cartilage damage only, and Grades 5 and 6 including the subchondral bone [35] (Figure 2). The histologic features of OA grading classification involve the vertical depth, and the score is progressive with depth. Moreover, with the progression of disease, osteoarthritic changes are also observed in the adjacent parts of cartilage with subsequent involvement of the complete joint area. The histologic features of OA staging classification are the surface, area or volume extent, and the score progressing with length or volume. The disease involves degeneration of articular cartilage, low-grade synovial inflammation, and modifications in the joint soft tissues and subchondral bone [36].



**Figure 2.** OA severity score by OARSI: (**A**): Grade 0, normal articular cartilage; (**B**): Grade 0 Histological aspect; (**C**): Grade 0 Arthroscopic aspect; (**D**): Grade 3 Vertical clefts/erosion to the calcified cartilage extending to <25% of the articular surface; (**E**):Grade 3 Histological aspect; (**F**): Grade 3 Arthroscopic aspect; (**G**): Grade 6 Vertical clefts/erosion to the calcified cartilage extending to >75% of the articular surface, (**H**): Grade 6 Histological aspect; (**I**): Grade 6 Arthroscopic aspect.

Inflammation is an end product of these developments and is the main component of OA where the synovium produces catabolic and pro-inflammatory mediators such as cytokines, chemokines and adipokines, generating also nitric oxides, prostaglandins E2 and neuropeptides. These factors cause instability between degradation and repair of the cartilage matrix. These alterations worsen the synovial inflammation further, producing a vicious circle that exacerbates the symptoms and degeneration of the joint [37].

Grade of Osteoarthritis	OARSI Score	Radiographic Score (Ahlback)	Radiographic Score (Kellgren–Lawrence)
Grade 0	Normal	No radiographic findings of OA	No radiographic findings of OA
Grade 1	Small fibrillations without loss of carti- lage	Joint space narrowing <3 mm	Doubtful joint space narrowing and possible osteophytic lipping

Table 1. Different evaluation score for osteoarthritis.
---

Grade 2	Vertical clefts down to the layer immedi-				
	ately below the su-	Joint space obliterated or almost oblit-Definite osteophytes and possible			
	perficial layer and	erated	joint space narrowing		
	some loss of surface		, I U		
	lamina				
Grade 3	Vertical clefts/ero-	Minor bone attrition (<5 mm)	Multiple osteophytes, definite joint space narrowing, sclerosis, possible bony deformity		
	sion to the calcified				
	cartilage extending				
	to <25% of the articu-				
	lar surface				
Grade 4	Vertical clefts/ero-	Moderate bone attrition (5–15 mm)	Large osteophytes, marked nar- rowing of joint space, severe scle- rosis, and definite deformity of bone ends		
	sion to the calcified				
	cartilage extending				
	to 25–50% of the ar-				
	ticular surface				
Grade 5	Vertical clefts/ero-	Severe bone attrition (>15 mm)			
	sion to the calcified				
	cartilage extending				
	to 50–75% of the ar-				
	ticular surface				
Grade 6	Vertical clefts/ero-				
	sion to the calcified				
	cartilage extending				
	to >75% of the articu-				
	lar surface				

Fundamental repair processes of the damaged cartilage are greatly limited by the characteristics of the tissue possessing scarce potential to self-repair. Relocation to the site containing impaired chondrocytes, macrophages and blood cells is feasible only if there is interruption of the underlying subchondral bone. This repair process results in the formation of a fibrin clot. Therefore, resident stem cells guide the matrix repair process replacing the fibrin clot, differentiating into new chondrocytes, and secreting a proteoglycan-rich matrix to reshape the defective site [38], which will result in the development of weak fibrous tissue, yielding, once more, deterioration of cartilage and recurrent complications. Ineffective repair is due both to a shortage of blood vessels, which are critical for an efficient response, but also to a low presence of chondrocytes that are unable to migrate, from the lacunae, to the damaged area. Therefore, an extrinsic intervention is often necessary to regenerate impaired tissue and above all to deter disease progression. The most effective treatment, in a later phase of the disease, is replacement of the joint with a prosthesis, but many other surgical treatments have been proposed instead of joint replacement, such as osteotomy and arthroscopy [39]. The OA has been followed up, by time, with plain X-ray radiography, an exam to evaluate the treatment. Anyway, the cartilage thickness remains a key parameter to determinate the efficacy of new treatment. We can thus assess that treatment has to consider OA pathogenesis, in fact, recent emerging therapies include mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs), growth differentiation factor 5 (GDF5), platelet-rich plasma (PRP), fibroblast growth factor 18 (FGF-18), bone morphogenic protein 7 (BMP-7), WNT signaling pathway inhibitors, disintegrin and metalloproteinase with thrombospondin motifs (ADAMTS) inhibitors, and matrix metalloproteinase (MMP) inhibitors [40].

#### 3. Regenerative Treatment for Osteoarthritis Disease

OA is an idiopathic disorder, and the management reflects the lack of understanding of the disease. The non-surgical approach involves the usage of treatment such as physiotherapy, kinesitherapy, weight control, drugs. The main drugs used are hormones (parathyroid hormones, calcitonin, leptin), which act as regulating molecular pathways of cartilage metabolism; bisphosphonates (zoledronic acid, alendronate) which act as improving bone metabolism, reducing bone reabsorption; monoclonal antibodies (bevacizumab, adalimumab,) which act on articular cartilage promoting collagen production; statins (atorvastatin, which reduces cartilage degradation); supplements (glucosamine, chondroitin sulfate, vitamin C, vitamin D, Selenium, Zinc, Magnesium), which act as a cartilage nourishment [41,42]. In view of this, research has been conducted on regenerative alternatives to treat OA in an attempt to ease pain and diminish symptoms by regenerating tissue and maintaining stability in local cells. Repairing articular cartilage is a challenging enterprise due to poor vascularization and innervation of articular cartilage that does not allow for the production of adequate pro-inflammatory inhibitors. The purpose of these innovative therapies, thus, is to stimulate local tissues in the production of mediators that are able to reverse the degenerative process, especially in the initial stages of OA.

#### 3.1. Platelet-Rich Plasma (PRP)

Platelet-Rich Plasma (PRP) may be described as plasma volume platelet concentration derived from centrifuged whole blood [43]. Numerous platelets or thrombocyte functions involve damage to tissue, and platelet activity leads to the release of proteins and molecules that are related to vasoconstriction, inflammation, immune reaction, angiogenesis and the repair of tissue. PRP is rich in growth factors such as platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF), vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), transforming growth factor beta (TGF-b), epidermal growth factor (EGF), fibroblast growth factor (FGF) and insulinlike growth factor (IGF) [44]. Various preparations have been obtained [45], with or without leukocytes [46,47]. Some authors have highlighted that, in the case of intra-articular infiltration, the presence of leukocytes is of vital importance as they produce metalloproteinases and cytokines that are able to reduce inflammation and pain [48,49] as well as release mediators that trigger a cartilage repair process [50]. In contrast with the evidence reported by "in vitro" studies, where a cellular pro-inflammatory response appears to be induced by the presence of leukocytes, other authors suggest that the presence of leukocyte-rich PRP does not induce a relevant in vivo upregulation of pro-inflammatory mediators [51]. PRP is efficient in mediating fundamental elements such as chemokines, cytokines, growth factors, adhesive proteins, proteases and other small molecules (ADP, Serotonin, Calcium, Histamine and Epinephrine). In addition, safety of PRP has been observed in repeated administration of intra-articular PRP to manage moderate pain, swelling and effusion [52]. Furthermore, investigations report beneficial outcomes of PRP to reduce joint pain in the knee affected by OA in a period lasting from 6 to 12 months [53]. Novel trends are considering the application of PRP intraosseously [54]. Besides, an observational study has recently reported improved results at 6 and 12 months on intraosseous and intra-articular application of PRP compared to the intra-articular administration alone [55]. However, evidence of overall benefits is still low and is most likely due to scarce standardization of platelet-rich-plasma therapeutics.

#### 3.2. Mesenchymal Stem Cells Therapy

Different approaches have been performed as potential regenerative solution for osteochondral replacement: osteochondral autografts and allografts or autologous chondrocyte implantation [56]. Moreover, the clinical use of these techniques is limited by tissue availability, donor site morbidity and unsuccessful integration. In response to limitation with the use of cells in the osteochondral grafts, mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) have been identified in the field of regenerative surgery. Mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) are located in numerous tissues and may be defined as specialized precursor cells. MSCs are able to self-generate and, via relevant signals, may differentiate into different tissue-specific adult cells. In that way, MSCs will substitute aged or impaired cells [57]. MSCs form the tissues of the mesodermal line such as cartilage, bone and adipose tissue, as well as tissue such as the intervertebral disc, ligaments and muscles [58]. The International Society of Cellular Therapy [59] established a set of defining characteristics for MSCs which include the ability to adhere to plastic, expression of surface markers CD73, CD90, CD105 and a lack of hematopoietic markers CD34, Cd45, CD14, CD19. Moreover, characteristics would include tripotent differentiation into chondrogenic, osteogenic, and adipogenic phenotypes. In addition to their differentiating capacities, MSCs also represent noteworthy potential in regenerative medicine due to their anti-inflammatory and immunomodulatory potential [60]. MSCs are considered fundamental in tissue engineering since they are able to differentiate into terminal specialized cells, although currently, MSCs are exploited to "convince" the tissue or organ to self-regenerate. Regenerative medicine intends restoration principally via cell provision and specific stem cells that further enhance regeneration. It is therefore valid to define regenerative medicine as the restoration of human cells, tissue or organs to maintain regular functionality [61]. As aforementioned, the beneficial effects of MSCs are due to enhancement of both viability and proliferation of native cells, mitigation of cell death, delay cell senescence and anti-inflammatory and immunomodulatory effects. These reparative actions are obtained through MSC-secreting paracrine growth factors and cytokines, dynamic and direct cellular inter-communication along with extracellular vesicle release (defined exosomes)-containing peptides, mRNA and microRNAs [62-64]. The regulation of stem cell renewal and differentiation occur in the "niche" [65]. Multiple niches may be observed in different tissues [66] and stem cells contained here within have been utilized to repair cartilage. MSCs have been found in bone marrow [67], adipose tissue [68], dental pulp [69], umbilical cord tissue [70], but also in resident joint tissue such as the articular cartilage, synovium, periosteum, infrapatellar fat pad and trabecular bone [38]. The efficacy of stem cell treatment for OA has not yet been defined, but the secretion of anti-scarring (KGF, SDF1, MIP1a, MIP1b), anti-apoptotic (STC-1, SFRP2, TGFbeta1, HGF), angiogenic (VEGF), and mitogenic (TGF-a, TGF-b, HGF, IGF-1, FGF-2, EGF) factors may explain the natural repair mechanisms [71]. Other investigations have demonstrated a possible interaction between immune cells and MSCs as well as the potential to restrain propagation of inflammatory T cells and development of monocytes and the ability to impede B cell activity, which interfere with the underlying pathological or inflammatory process [72]. AI Caplan has recently proposed that the pericyte is released from its position in the vascular network in the case of a focal injury and have an immunomodulatory function. This immune modulation turns off T-cell surveillance of the injured tissue and thus provides a blockage of immune responses, while its trophic activity ensures that the field of damage is limited, that scarring does not occur and that tissue-intrinsic progenitors replace the expired cells. Angiogenesis occurs via MSC secretion of bioactive factors, such as VEGF, and via stabilization of newly forming vessels [73]. The new era of cell-mediated therapy (Figure 3) in the clinical trial database is promising. Multiple ongoing trials involving MSCs are evidence of the growing interest and viability of these cells. Further investigations are required to assess safety and subsequent efficacy with an urgency of broad diffusion of publications within the scientific communities to better understand therapeutic options.





## 3.3. Intra-articular Application of Autologous Microfragmented Adipose Tissue with Stromal Vascular Fraction

Adipose stem cells (ASCs) are able to renew themselves and create multiple lineages [74]; besides, they can readily and rapidly expand in vitro, will not age easily and provoke fewer morbidities in patients [75]. ASCs have also displayed significant potential to propagate and differentiate into mesoderm-like tissue in relation to bone marrow derived-MSCs or other sources [76]. Importantly, ASCs are easily isolated and particularly accessible from subcutaneous adipose tissue [77–79]. ASCs have also shown efficient chondrogenic differentiation during in vitro expansion under adequate conditions [80]. In any case, physical and mechanical factors are required to perform an adequate formation of the cartilage tissue in vivo such as mechanical stimuli or a particular texture of the scaffolds [81,82]. The clinical use of ASCs is strictly regulated, because these products are considered "drugs" and therefore particularly restricted in clinical practice in Europe and the

USA [83]. Such restrictions have led to novel studies regarding ASC alternative therapeutics considering "minimal manipulation" [84]. In particular, if ASCs are not expanded in vitro but extracted from the adipose tissue within the operating room without substantial manipulation and without use of collagenase, then the United States Food and Drug Administration (US FDA)/European Medicine Agency (EMA) allow such treatments [85]. Enzymatic tissue digestions are considered by the FDA (and EMA) as "substantial manipulations" and have accordingly imposed important restrictions. The issue of ASC "minimal manipulation" is considered during the isolation of several cells' populations using mechanical processes to adhere to the regulations set by the FDA and EMA worldwide [86]. Additionally, alteration of biological, physiological, or structural features of cells or tissues is considered as important manipulation. The bone marrow aspirate concentration is an invasive procedure provoking donor morbidity, while the liposuction for obtaining SVF is a minimally invasive procedure [87]. Albeit efficient, the enzymatic digestion necessitates xenogenic substances that may cause immune reactions and is discordant with the European Good Manufacturing Practice (eGMP) Guidelines (Regulation (EC) No. 1394/2007 of the European Parliament and the European Council). To elude this problem, single devices have been adopted to separate and isolate SVF from adipose tissue [88,89]. Non-enzymatic methods to isolate SVF use mechanical or physical forces to manipulate the structural integrity of adipose tissue. These procedures are less specific and are sufficiently able to displace SVF cells from their own niche, and some authors have consequently introduced the concept of a stromal vascular niche [90,91]. The end product acquired via non-enzymatic digestion is not strictly cellular stromal vascular material, as would be generally acquired via enzymatic digestion, but a combination of cellular debris, blood cells, and components of ECM [92]. Moreover, the mechanical devices can preserve cells in clusters, or rather, in their native environment, which will aid in retaining cell function, including exosome discharge and secretion. The stromal vascular niche, therefore, protects the activated ASCs, enhancing their potency in the recipient environment, but also actuates a cascade of biological events that mimic the natural healing process. Non-enzymatic procedures have been proposed including mechanical dissociation of adipose tissue using closing devices and operator-dependent tools (Figure 4).



Figure 4. Non-enzymatic procedures have been proposed including mechanical dissociation of adipose tissue using automated closing devices and non-operator-dependent tools.

These devices differ from each other in the isolation protocol, in time and in the category of tissue dissociation, but also vary in the final SVF product. Non-enzymatic isolation methods are based on centrifugation force, pressure, filtration and washing. Mechanical systems commonly used to harvest and\_purify adipose tissue to obtain SVF are: Puregraft (Bimini Technologies LLC, Plano, Texas, USA), LipiVage (Genesis-ByosystemsInc, Lewisville, Texas, USA), Lipogems (Lipogems Int Spa, Milan, Italy), Rigenera (HBW srl, Turin, Italy), Lipo-Kit GT (Medikan-International Inc, Seul, Korea), Hy-Tissue Nanofat (Fidia Farmaceutici, Abano Terme, Italy), Hy-Tissue SVF (Fidia Farmaceutici, Abano Terme, Italy), StromaCell (Micro-Aire-Surgical Instruments, Charlottesville, Virginia, USA), MyStem (MyStem LLC, Wilmington, NC, USA), Revolve (Life Cell Corporation, Branchburg, New Jersey, USA), Wal Body-Jet and Q-Graft system (Human Med AG, Schwerin, Germany), IntelliCell (Biosciences Inc, New York, NY, USA). Many of the devices reported have received evaluations in pre-clinical and clinical trials. Older systems are LipiVage and PureGraft, which were among the first products to be commercialized [93,94]. The LipiVage collection, washing and transfer technology is a device that allows collection of adipose grafts in controlled conditions with low vacuum, avoiding centrifugation or decantation. The lipoaspirate fat, inside the cannula, is separated from oils and fluids by an integrated filter in an extremely short time (15 min). In addition, fragmented adipose tissue from LipiVage showed no differences by normal adipose tissue, yielding large-sized grafts. However, an analysis of particles has not yet been conducted. The PureGraft technology is based on the filtration of adipose tissue through a particular membrane, an equally rapid procedure (15 min). In addition, grafts from PureGraft displayed larger particles (>1000  $\mu$ m) and were able to operate a "dialysis" of the adipose tissue without resorting to other, more destructive methods such as centrifugation [95]. The main use of these technologies is in the field of fat grafting for breast volumes [96]. The most studied and commonly used system in clinical practice is the LIPOGEMS device. This technology is a closed device that allows collection of uniform products containing pericytes/ASCs with a slight mechanical force The end product is adipose tissue reduced into small fragments ( $600 / 400 \mu m$ ), which progressively reduce in size and are without oil or blood residues, rich ASCs [97,98]. This device has been widely used especially in orthopedics for the treatment of tendinopathies and osteoarthritis [99,100]. Moreover, some authors have devised a "pure" system of mechanical disintegration [101] of tissues that is easy to use, less expensive and faster. This technology, called Rigenera micrografting technology, can disaggregate autologous tissue, with a calibrated size of 80 mm, collecting autologous micrografts enriched in progenitor cells, growth factors, and particles of ECM, by in vitro studies [84,102]. Some authors have performed comparative analyses between different mechanical and enzymatic systems. Raposio et al. [103,104] compared two procedures for isolation of ASCs, based on enzymatic + mechanical (centrifugation/vibrating plus collagenase) and mechanical (centrifugation or vibrating) methods. The authors showed that the enzymatic + mechanical procedure endorsed a major number of ASCs compared to the mechanical method alone. Indeed, Domenis et al. [105] showed that ASCs obtained from a mechanical device (Fastem kit) was less efficient in relation to the enzymatic tools (Lipo-kit and Celution). All three procedures, nevertheless, were able to maintain the amount of adipose tissue and thickness in the reconstructed breast. Additionally, Senesi et al. [106] showed good cell viability, CD markers expression, and differentiation potency of ASCs obtained from mechanical devices (Rigenera and Lipogems) compared to enzymatic digestion. Furthermore, the authors asserted that the mechanical methods acted differently on the release of the ASCs from the SVF perivascular niches. Only enzymatic digestion was able to acquire a "pure" cell population and ASCs could rapidly differentiate into all mesodermal lines. Of the two mechanical systems analyzed, only the micro-grafts obtained by Rigenera (compared to Lipogems) were able to differentiate into all mesodermal lines, albeit more slowly than by enzymatic digestion. Recently, some authors have studied a new promising device (Hy-Tissue SVF) that allow the stromal vascular fraction to isolate in the form of free cells and micro-fragments (30 /  $70 \,\mu\text{m}$ ) of connective tissue containing stromal cells and extracellular matrix [107]. This system is able to disaggregate autologous adipose tissue using a double bag with an inner filter bag of 120 µm mesh by using a small plastic rod. The main structural and morphological unit, the adipose niche, is maintained after disintegration and protects the activated ASCs, strengthening their effectiveness in the receiving environment. This is the main difference between this system and the others, because the preservation of the adipose structural niches increases the effectiveness of the ASCs. In addition, the elimination of enzymatic action will reduce tissue trauma while maintaining cellular integrity. The reduction in the size of adipose clusters favors engraftment because of a more convenient, more effective, and rapid revascularization of the micrograft owing to the interaction with the receiving vascular microenvironment.

#### 3.4. Exosome and Extracellular Vescicles (EVs)

Recently, studies have revealed that MSCs are able to modulate the gene expression of the surrounding cells through miRNA secretion and provide relevant exosome involvement in the benefits of MSC-based therapy [108]. Exosomes are extracellular vesicles with a diameter measurement ranging from 30 to 150 nm. In the course of multi-vesicular body development, inward budding of endosomal membranes is observed which contributes to the fundamental inter-cell communication. The multi-vesicular body endosomes fuse with the cell membrane leading to secretion of exosomes [109,110]. Most of the MSC paracrine factors are crucial to tissue regeneration and lined to the discharge of EVs. MSC exosomes (Figure 5) originate in adipose tissue, the bone marrow and other tissues and bear a rich and complex load of nucleic acid (mRNA and miRNA), proteins and lineds [111].



Figure 5. Different modes of action of exosomes.

In OA, the in vitro studies revealed chondroprotective and anti-inflammatory functions of exosomes, as observed in chondrocyte models [112]. Moreover, in various studies, the significance of exosomes has been demonstrated as regards the benefits of MSC-based therapies in treating cartilage lesions and OA. Recent outcomes in pre-clinical trials have shown efficacy of MSC exosomes in cartilage repair and renewal, enhancing chondrocytes to amalgamate type II collagen and reduce production and expression of ADAMTS-5. Such a development will ensure ECM [113] as well as boost restoration of cartilage via paracrine signaling mechanisms along with secretion of soluble trophic factors [114]; reduction in inflammatory markers (iNOS) [115] and downregulation of inflammatory signals by secretion of IL-1, IL-6, IL8, MMP-1 and MMP-13 [116]. EVs that are isolated from human ASCs use various chondroprotective mechanisms to reduce inflammatory mediators (TNF-alpha, IL-6, PGE2, NO) and minimize MMP activity therefore enhancing generation of the anti-inflammatory cytokine IL-10 [117]. Many authors demonstrated, also, that exosomes from ASCs could inhibit and defer cartilage deterioration in OA models through the suppression of catabolic molecules [118] and through the immunoregulatory stimuli of hyaluronan [119]. These pioneering findings have consolidated the positive outcomes of ASC-derived EVs as a new therapeutic alternative for OA. Adequate investigations are scarce, especially considering these therapeutic options as authentic products of mechanical digestion activated by the various available medical devices. In any case, a detailed analysis to investigate the functions and mechanisms of exosomes in clinical practice is urgently required taking into account the positive outcomes of preclinical studies. In clinical trials, therapy based on exosomes derived from ASCs should aim to optimize criteria involving exosome concentration and dosage, with injection times and intervals. Additionally, the immune response in individuals is to be assessed following exosome administration.

#### 4. Future Perspectives

Subcutaneous adipose tissue has aroused remarkable interest in the field of plastic surgery and regenerative medicine within the last decade with successful use of SVFs and ASCs observed in clinical studies. Nevertheless, limitations are still evident regarding different therapeutic procedures and above all with the diverse regulations in European and non-European countries. In particular, some non-European countries continue to use collagenase. For this reason, the International Federation for Adipose Therapeutics and Science (IFATS) is developing a common standard operating protocol using toxin-free and xenofree products [120]. Consequently, attention is increasingly turning to closed nonenzymatic systems as they represent the safest and most effective means for obtaining stromal vascular fraction from adipose tissue. Obviously, each procedure is characterized by distinct advantages and disadvantages. Therefore, continuous development and optimization for obtaining SVF is essential, especially for the purpose of critical parameters such as washing, the filtration system, centrifugation and size of the cannulae. These parameters require attentive analysis and comparison to produce beneficial systems and optimal "cell therapy" use. Stem cell-based therapy is of huge relevance in OA regenerative medicine. Preclinical and clinical trials have demonstrated good results in the OA treatment in particular to contribute to delay or prevent OA progression before considerable cartilage degradation. Moreover, MSC-derived exosomes have captured attention as possible therapeutic agents because they carry most of the therapeutic effect of the MSCs themselves. Exosomes may be defined as a cell-free therapy reducing safety issues concerning live-cell administration. Noteworthy is the anti-inflammatory effect of exosomes in the treatment of disorders. Furthermore, MSC-derived exosomes possess anti-inflammatory elements reaching the recipient cells and decreasing inflammation. In view of these considerations, MSC-derived exosomes may be used in various inflammatory diseases such as OA. The numerous in vitro studies yielding positive outcomes of the modulatory and protective effects on chondrocytes by exosomes produced by ASCs would elicit future studies to deepen the understanding of these medical devices. However, important factors need to be addressed before clinical application of MSC-derived exosomes. Standards for the purity of exosomes should be a priority and subsequently, quality control (QC) protocols of isolated MSC-derived exosomes need to be set. Exosomes may also be considered as vectors of potential therapeutic molecules and therefore delineate an optimal theranostic approach [3,121]. The "all-in-one approach" that characterizes the theranostic method is a promising therapeutic tool in precision medicine of OA since it permits a tailor-made approach to diagnose and monitor disease in the individual at an early phase of illness with the potential of site-specific drug delivery. Nanotherapeutics using exosomes are a ground-breaking field and is rapidly expanding to offer novel alternatives in anti-inflammatory treatment. Emerging applications that are worthy of mention are the essential therapeutic anti-inflammatory role of EVs and the natural exploitation of EVs as a carrier for small molecule drugs, therapeutic RNAs and protein delivery together with targeting moieties. Exosomes are apparently optimal vector candidates well-defined

in this novel technique to identify specific disease areas producing minimal adverse responses. Last but not least, gene therapy using transfer gene and tissue engineering techniques represents a potential new strategy for the in situ treatment of osteochondral lesions. The main advantage of this therapy is represented using genic vectors through delivery system. This technology should be able to modulate the pathological process of osteoarthritis through intrinsic changes [122]. A new promising therapeutic approach c is characterized by the bio fabrication of 3D structures mimicking articular cartilage propriety due to 3D bioprinting technique. This brand new technology can be used to reproduce complex scaffold characterized by cells, growth factors, extracellular matrix, to be used to physically substitute injured cartilage [123]. Some authors have biofabricated human cartilage using adipose tissue deriving from infrapatellar fat succeeding in production of hyaline cartilage on a bio scaffold in order to produce a patient tailored cartilage to replace the injured one [124]. To conclude, this technology can be used to develop in vitro model of osteoarthritis that can be used for further scientific research [125–130].

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, F.D.F., P.G.; methodology, F.D.F., P.G.; validation, C.S., L.V.; formal analysis, F.D.F., P.G.; investigation, L.F., A.B.; resources, L.F., N.Z., A.B.; data curation, F.D.F., P.G.; writing—original draft preparation, B.Z., F.D.F., P.G.; writing—review and editing, F.D.F., P.G., B.Z., A.G.; supervision, B.Z., M.R., A.S., A.G.; project administration, A.G. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

**Data Availability Statement:** The clinical data used to support the findings of this study are included within the article.

Acknowledgments: The authors are grateful to Giuseppina Caraglia, mother-tongue expert.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### References

- 1. McAlindon, T. Osteoarthritis Research Society International (OARSI) Classification and Guidelines. HSS J. 2012, 8, 66–67.
- Zhang, R.; Ma, J.; Han, J.; Zhang, W.; Ma, J. Mesenchymal stem cell-related therapies for cartilage lesions and osteoarthritis. *Am. J. Transl. Res.* 2019, *11*, 6275–6289.
- 3. Hunter, D.J.; Bierma-Zeinstra, S. Osteoarthritis. Lancet 2019, 393, 1745–1759.
- 4. Midgley, J. Osteoarthritis and obesity; conservative management, multi-morbidity, surgery and the implications of restricted access to knee or hip replacement: A literature review. *Int. J. Orthop. Trauma Nurs.* **2020**, *8*, 100840.
- Tan, S.H.S.; Tan, B.S.W.; Tham, W.Y.W.; Lim, A.K.S.; Huiu, J.H. The incidence and risk factors of osteoarthritis following osteochondritis dissecans of the knees: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Knee Surg. Sports Traumatol. Arthrosc.* 2020, 29, 3096–3104.
- Bernotiene, E.; Bagdonas, E.; Kirdaite, G.; Bernotas, P.; Kalvaityte, U.; Uzieliene, I.; Thudium, C.S.; Hannula, H.; Lorite, G.S.; Dvir-Ginzberg, M.; et al. Emerging technologies and platforms for immunodetection of multiple biochemical markers in Osteoarthritis Research and Therapy. *Front. Med.* 2020, 7, 572977.
- Nelson, A.E.; Allen, K.D.; Golightly, Y.M.; Goode, A.P.; Jordan, J.M. A systematic review of recommendations and guidelines for the management of osteoarthritis: The chronic osteoarthritis management initiative of the US bone and joint initiative. *Semin. Arthritis Rheum.* 2014, 43, 701–712.
- Al-Omari, B.; McMeekin, P.; Bate, A. Systematic review of studies using conjoint analysis techniques to investigate patients' preferences regarding osteoarthritis treatment. *Patient Prefer. Adherence* 2021, 15, 197–211.
- Arden, N.K.; Perry, T.A.; Bannuru, R.R.; Bruyere, O.; Cooper, C.; Haugen, I.K.; Hochberg, M.C.; McAlindon, T.E.; Mobasheri, A.; Reginster, J.Y. Non-surgical management of knee osteoarthritis: Comparison of ESCEO and OARSI 2019 guidelines. *Nat. Rev. Rheumatol.* 2021, 17, 59–66.
- 10. Testa, G.; Giardina, S.M.C.; Culmone, A.; Vescio, A.; Turchetta, M.; Cannavò, S.; Pavone, V. Intra-articular injections in knee osteoarthritis: A review of literature. *J. Funct. Morphol. Kinesiol.* **2021**, *6*, 15.
- Richards, M.M.; Maxwell, J.S.; Weng, L.; Angelos, M.G.; Golzarian, J. Intra-articular treatment of knee osteoarthritis: From antiinflammatories to products of regenerative medicine. *Phys. Sportsmed.* 2016, 44, 101–108.
- Alford, J.W.; Cole, B.J. Cartilage restoration, part I: Basic science, historical perspective, patient evaluation and treatment options. *Am. J. Sports Med.* 2005, 33, 295–306.

- 13. Teng, Y.; Li, X.; Chen, Y.; Cai, H.; Cao, W.; Chen, X.; Sun, Y.; Liang, J.; Fan, Y.; Zhang, X. Exracellular matrix powder from cultured cartilage-like tissue as cell carrier for cartilage repair. *J. Mater. Chem. B* **2017**, *5*, 3283–3292.
- 14. Fang, T.; Zhou, X.; Jin, M.; Nie, J.; Li, X. Molecular mechanisms of mechanical load-induced osteoarthritis. *Int. Orthop.* **2021**, 45, 1125–1136.
- 15. Mobasheri, A.; Carter, S.D.; Martin-Vasallo, P.; Shakibaei, M. Integrins and stretch activated ion channels; putative components of functional cell surface mechanoreceptors in articular chondrocytes. *Cell Biol. Int.* **2002**, *26*, 1–18.
- 16. Hu, Q.; Ecker, M. Overview of MMP-13 as promising target for treatment of osteoarthritis. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 2021, 22, 1742.
- 17. Rim, Y.A.; Nam, Y.; Ju, J.H. The role of chondrocyte hypertrophy and science in osteoarthritis initiation and progression. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2020**, *21*, 2358.
- Saltzman, B.M.; Riboh, J.C. Subchondral bone and the osteochondral unit: Basic science and clin ical implications in sports medicine. Sports Health 2018, 10, 412–418.
- Radin, E.L.; Paul, I.L.; Tolkoff, M.J. Subchondral bone changes in patients with early degenerative joint disease. *Arthritis Rheum.* 1970, 13, 400–405.
- Lajeunesse, D.; Massicotte, F.; Pelletier, J.P.; Martel-Pelletier, J. Subchondral bone sclerosis in osteoarthritis: Not just an innocent bystander. *Mod. Rheumatol.* 2003, 13, 7–14.
- 21. Donell, S. Subchondral bone remodelling in osteoarthritis. EFFORT Open Rev. 2019, 4, 221–229.
- 22. Pap, T.; Dankbar, B.; Wehmeyer, C.; Korb-Pap, A.; Sherwood, J. Synovial fibrobasts and articular tissue remodelling: Role and mechanisms. *Semin. Cell Dev. Biol.* 2020, 101, 140–145.
- 23. Noss, E.H.; Watts, G.F.M.; Zocco, D.; Keller, T.L.; Whitman, M.; Blobel, C.P.; Lee, D.M.; Brenner, M.B. Evidence for cadherin-11 cleavage in the synovium and partial characterization of its mechanism. *Arthritis Res. Ther.* **2015**, *17*, 126.
- 24. Di Nicola, V. Degenerative osteoarthritis a reversible chronic disease. Regen. Ther. 2020, 15, 149–160.
- 25. Chen, Y.; Jiang, W.; Yong, H.; He, M.; Yang, Y.; Deng, Z.; Li, Y. Macrophages in osteoarthritis: Pathophysiology and therapeutic. *Am. J. Transl. Res.* **2020**, *12*, 261–268.
- 26. Nigrovic, P.A.; Lee, D.M. Mast cells in inflammatory arthritis. Arthritis Res. Ther. 2004, 7, 1.
- Labusca, L.; Zugun-Eloae, F. The unexplored role of intra-articular adipose tissue in the homeostasis and pathology of articular joints. *Front. Vet. Sci.* 2018, 5, 35.
- 28. Taylor, J.R.; McCormick, C.C. Lumbar facet joint fat pads: Their normal anatomy and their appearance when enlarged. *Neuroradiology* **1991**, *33*, 38–42.
- Clavert, P.; Dosch, J.C.; Wolfram-Gabel, R.; Kahn, J.L. New findings on intermetacarpal fat pads: Anatomy and imaging. Surg. Radiol. Anat. 2006, 28, 351–354.
- 30. Kershaw, E.E.; Flier, J.S. Adipose tissue as an endocrine organ. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 2004, 89, 2548–2556.
- 31. Toussirot, E. Mini-review: The contribution of adipokines to joint inflammation in inflammatory rheumatic diseases. *Front. Endocrinol.* **2020**, *11*, 606560.
- 32. Collins, K.H.; Lenz, K.L.; Pollitt, E.N.; Ferguson, D.; Hutson, I.; Springer, L.E.; Oetreich, A.K.; Tang, R.; Choi, Y.R.; Meyer, G.A.; et al. Adipose tissue is a critical regulator of osteoarthritis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2021**, *5*, 118.
- 33. Yan, M.; Zhang, J.; Yang, H.; Sun, Y. The role of leptin in osteoarthritis. *Medicine* 2018, 97, e0257.
- Tang, Q.; Hu, Z.C.; Shen, L.Y.; Shang, P.; Xu, H.Z.; Liu, H.X. Association of osteoarthritis and circulating adiponectin levels: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lipids Health Dis.* 2018, 17, 189.
- 35. Pritzker, K.P.H.; Gay, S.; Jimenez, S.A.; Ostergaard, K.; Pelletier, J.P.; Revell, P.A.; Salter, D.; van den Berg, W.B. Osteoarthritis cartilage histopathology: Grading and staging. *Osteoarthr. Cartil.* **2006**, *14*, 13–29.
- 36. Mobasheri, A.; Kalamegam, G.; Musumeci, G.; Batt, M.E. Chondrocyte and mesenchymal stem cell-based therapies for cartilage repair in osteoarthritis and related orthopedic conditions. *Maturitas* **2014**, *78*, 188–198.
- 37. Mathiessen, A.; Conaghan, P.G. Synovitis in osteoarthritis: Current understanding with therapeutic implications. *Arthritis Res. Ther.* **2017**, *19*, 18.
- Fellows, C.R.; Matta, C.; Zakany, R.; Khan, I.M.; Mobasheri, A. Adipose, bone marrow and synovial joint-derived mesenchymal stem cells for cartilage repair. *Front. Genet.* 2016, 7, 213.
- 39. De l'Escalopier, N.; Anract, P.; Biau, D. Surgical treatments for osteoarthritis. Ann. Phys. Rehabil. Med. 2016, 59, 227–233.
- Mobasheri, A. Future Cell and Gene Therapy for Osteoarthritis (OA): Potential for Using Mammalian Protein Production Platforms, Irradiated and Transfected Protein Packaging Cell Lines for Over-Production of Therapeutic Proteins and Growth Factors. In *Cell Biology and Translational Medicine*; Turksen, K., Ed.; Advances in Experimental Medicine and Biology, Volume 1247; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2019; Volume 8, pp. 17–31.
- 41. Apostu, D.; Lucaciu, O.; Mester, A.; Oltean-Dan, D.; Baciut, M.; Baciut, G.; Bran, S.; Onisor, F.; Piciu, A.; Pasca, R.D.; et al. Systemic drugs with impact on osteoarthritis. *Drug Metab. Rev.* **2019**, *51*, 498–523.
- 42. Apostu, D.; Lucaciu, O.; Mester, A.; Oltean-Dan, D.; Gheban, D.; Benea, H.R.C. Tibolone, alendronate, and simvastatin enhance implant osseointegration in a preclinical in vivo model. *Clin. Oral Implant. Res.* **2020**, *31*, 655–668.
- 43. Everts, P.; Onishi, K.; Jayaram, P.; Lana, J.F.; Mautner, K. Platelet-rich plasma: New performance understandings and therapeutic considerations in 2020. *IJMS* **2020**, *21*, 7794.
- 44. Foster, T.E.; Puskas, B.L.; Mandelbaum, B.R.; Gerhardt, M.B.; Rodeo, S.A. Platelet-rich plasma: From basic science to clinical applications. *Am. J. Sports Med.* 2009, *37*, 2259–2272.

- 45. Russell, R.P.; Apostolakos, J.; Hirose, T.; Cote, M.P.; Mazzocca, A.D. Variability of platelet-rich plasma preparations. *Sports Med. Arthrosc. Rev.* **2013**, *21*, 186–190.
- 46. Xu, Z.; Yin, W.; Zhang, Y. Comparative evaluation of leukocyte and platelet-rich plasma and pure platelet-rich plasma for cartilage regeneration. *Sci. Rep.* 2017, *7*, 43301.
- Jiang, G.; Wu, Y.; Meng, J.; Wu, F.; Li, S.; Lin, M.; Gao, X.; Hong, J.; Chen, W.; Yan, S.; et al. Comparison of leukocyte-rich platelet -rich plasma and leukocyte-poor platelet-rich plasma on Achilles Tendinopathy at an early stage in a rabbit model. *Am. J. Sports Med.* 2020, 48, 1189–1199.
- 48. Kobayashi, Y.; Saita, Y.; Nishio, H.; Ikeda, H.; Takazawa, Y.; Nagao, M.; Takaku, T.; Komatsu, N.; Kaneko, K. Leukocyte concentration and composition in platelet-rich plasma (PRP) influences the growth factor and protease concentrations. *J. Orthop. Sci.* **2016**, *21*, 683–689.
- Kenmochi, M. Clinical outcomes following injections of leukocyte-rich platelet-rich plasma in osteoarthritis patients. J. Orthop. 2020, 18, 143–149.
- 50. Marmotti, A.; Rossi, R.; Castoldi, F.; Roveda, E.; Michielon, G.; Peretti, G.M. PRP and articular cartilage: A clinical update. *Biomed. Res. Int.* 2015, 542502.
- 51. Mariani, E.; Canella, V.; Cattini, L.; Kon, E.; Marcacci, M.; Di Matteo, B.; Pulsatelli, L.; Filardo, G. Leukocyte-rich platelet-rich plasma injections do not up-modulate intra-articular pro-inflammatory cytokines in the osteoarthritic knee. *PLoS ONE* **2016**, *11*, e015613753V.
- 52. Shen, L.; Yuan, T.; Chen, S.; Xie, X.; Zhang, C. The temporal effect of platelet-rich plasma on pain and physical function in the treatment of knee osteoarthritis: Systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *J. Orthop. Surg. Res.* **2017**, *12*, 16.
- 53. Paterson, K.L.; Hunter, D.J.; Metcalf, B.R.; Eyles, J.; Duong, V.; Kazsa, J.; Wang, Y.; Buchbinder, R.; Cicuttini, F.; Forbes, A.; et al. Efficacy of intra-articular injections of platelet-rich plasma as a symptom- and disease-modifying treatment for knee osteoarthritis-the RESTORE trial protocol. *BMC Musculoskelet. Disord.* 2018, 19, 272.
- 54. Delgado, D.; Garate, A.; Vincent, H.; Bilbao, A.M.; Patel, R.; Fiz, N.; Sampson, S.; Sanchez, M. Current concepts in intraosseous platelet-rich plasma injections for knee osteoarthritis. *J. Clin. Orthop. Trauma.* **2019**, *10*, 36–41.
- 55. Sanchez, M.; Delgado, D.; Pompei, O.; Perez, J.C.; Sanchez, P.; Garate, A.; Bilbao, A.M.; Fiz, N.; Padilla, S. Treating severe knee osteoarthritis with combination of intra-osseous and intra-articular infiltrations of platelet-rich plasma: An observational study. *Cartilage* **2019**, *10*, 245–253.
- 56. Vyas, C.; Mishbak, H.; Cooper, G.; Peach, C.; Pereira, R.F.; Bartolo, P. Biological perspectives and current biofabrication strategies in osteochondral tissue engineering. *Biomanuf. Rev.* 2020, *5*, 2.
- 57. Bianco, P. "Mesenchymal" Stem Cells. Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol. 2014, 30, 677–704.
- 58. Pittenger, M.F.; Mackay, A.M.; Beck, S.C.; Jaiswal, R.K.; Douglas, R.; Mosca, J.D.; Moorman, M.A.; Simonetti, D.W.; Craig, S.; Marshak, D.R. Multilineage potential of adult human mesenchymal stem cells. *Science* **1999**, *284*, 143–147.
- Dominici, M.; Le Blanc, K.; Mueller, I.; Slaper-Cortenbach, I.; Marini, F.C.; Krause, D.S.; Deans, R.J.; Keating, A.; Prockop, D.J.; Horwitz, E.M. Minimal criteria for defining multipotent mesenchymal stromal cells. The international Society for Cellular Therapy position statement. *Cytotherapy* 2006, *8*, 315–317.
- 60. Pittenger, M.F.; Discher, D.E.; Peault, B.M.; Phinney, D.G.; Hare, J.M.; Caplan, A.I. Mesenchymal stem cell perspective: Cell biology to clinical progress. *NPJ Regen. Med.* **2019**, *4*, 22.
- 61. Mason, C.; Dunnill, P. A brief definition of regenerative medicine. Regen. Med. 2008, 3, 1-5.
- 62. Miceli, V.; Bulati, M.; Iannolo, G.; Zito, G.; Gallo, A.; Conaldi, P.G. Therapeutic properties of mesenchymal stromal/Stem Cells: The need of cell priming for cell-free therapies in regenerative medicine. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2021**, *22*, 763.
- 63. Nikfarjam, S.; Rezaie, J.; Zolbanin, N.M.; Jafari, R. Mesenchymal stem cell derived-exosomes: A modern approach in translational medicine. *J. Transl. Med.* 2020, *18*, 449.
- Matula, Z.; Nemeth, A.; Lorincz, P.; Szepesi, A.; Brozik, A.; Buzas, E.I.; Low, P.; Nemet, K.; Uher, F.; Urban, V.S. The role of extracellular vesicle and tunneling nanotubes-mediated intercellular cross-talk between mesenchymal stem cells and human peripheral T cell. *Stem Cell Dev.* 2016, 25, 1818–1832.
- 65. Matta, C.; Khademhosseini, A.; Mobasheri, A. Mesenchymal stem cells and their potential for microengineering the chondrocyte niche. *EBioMedicine* **2015**, *2*, 1560–1561.
- 66. Jones, D.L.; Wagers, A.J. No place like home: Anatomy and function of the stem cell niche. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 2008, 9, 11–21.
- 67. Charbord, P. Bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells: Historical overview and concepts. Hum. Gene Ther. 2010, 21, 1045–1056.
- 68. De Francesco, F.; Ricci, G.; D'Andrea, F.; Nicoletti, G.F.; Ferraro, G.A. Human adipose stem cells: From bench to bedside. *Tissue Eng. Part B Rev.* 2015, *21*, 572–584.
- 69. La Noce, M.; Paino, F.; Spina, A.; Naddeo, P.; Montella, R.; Desiderio, V.; De Rosa, A.; Papaccio, G.; Tirino, V.; Laino, L. Dental pulp stem cells: State of the art and suggestions for a true translation of research into therapy. *J. Dent.* **2014**, *42*, 761–768.
- 70. Mebarki, M.; Abadie, C.; Larghero, J.; Cras, A. Human umbilical cord-derived mesenchymal stem/stromal cells: A promising candidate for the development of advanced therapy medicinal products. *Stem Cell Res. Ther.* **2021**, *12*, 152.
- Harrel, C.R.; Markovic, B.S.; Fellabaum, C.; Arsenijevic, A.; Volarevic, V. Mesenchymal stem cell-based therapy of osteoarthritis: Current knowledge and future perspectives. *Biomed. Pharmacother.* 2019, 109, 2318–2326.

- 72. Glenn, J.D.; Whartenby, K.A. Mesenchymal stem cells: Emerging mechanisms of immunomodulation and therapy. *World J. Stem Cells* **2014**, *6*, 526–539.
- 73. De Francesco, F.; Tirino, V.; Desiderio, V.; Ferraro, G.; D'Andrea, F.; Giuliano, M.; Libondi, G.; Pirozzi, G.; De Rosa, A.; Papaccio, G. Human CD34/CD90 ASCs are capable of growing as sphere clusters, producing high levels of VEGF and forming capillaries. *PLoS ONE* 2009, 4, e6537.
- 74. Zuk, P.A.; Zhu, M.; Mizuno, H.; Huang, J.; Futrell, J.W.; Katz, A.J.; Benhaim, P.; Lorenz, H.P.; Hedrick, M.H. Multilineage cells from human adipose tissue: Implications for cell-based therapies. *Tissue Eng.* **2001**, *7*, 211–228.
- 75. Palumbo, P.; Lombardi, F.; Siragusa, G.; Cifone, M.G.; Cinque, B.; Giuliani, M. Methods of isolation, characterization and expansion of human adipose-derived stem cells (ASCs): An overview. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2018**, *19*, 1897.
- Strioga, M.; Viswanathan, S.; Darinskas, A.; Slaby, O.; Michalek, J. Same or not the same? Comparison of adipose tissue-derived versus bone marrow-derived m esenchymal stem and stromal cells. *Stem Cells Dev.* 2012, 21, 2724–2752.
- 77. Ferraro, G.A.; De Francesco, F.; Nicoletti, G.; Paino, F.; Desiderio, V.; Tirino, V.; D'Andrea, F. Human adipose CD34+CD90+ stem cells and collagen scaffold constructs grafted in vivo fabricate loose connective and adipose tissue. *J. Cell Biochem.* **2013**, *114*, 1039–1049.
- D'Andrea, F.; De Francesco, F.; Ferraro, G.A.; Desiderio, V.; Tirino, V.; De Rosa, A.; Papaccio, G. Large-scale production of human adipose tissue from stem cells: A new tool for regenerative medicine and tissue banking. *Tissue Eng. Part C Methods* 2008, 14, 233–242.
- 79. Nicoletti, G.F.; De Francesco, F.; D'Andrea, F.; Ferraro, G.A. Methods and procedures in adipose stem cells: State of the art and perspective for translation medicine. *J. Cell Physiol.* **2015**, *230*, 489–495.
- Pagani, S.; Veronesi, F.; Giavaresi, G.; Filardo, G.; Papio, T.; Romandini, I.; Fini, M. Autologous protein soluction effect on chondrogenic differentiation of mesenchymal stem cells from adipose tissue and bone marrow in an osteoarthritic environment. *Cartilage* 2021, 15, 1947603521993217.
- Gaut, C.; Sugaya, K. Critical review on the physical and mechanical factors involved in tissue engineering of cartilage. *Regen. Med.* 2015, 10, 665–679.
- 82. Trumbull, A.; Subramanian, G.; Yildirim-Ayan, E. Mechanoresponsive musculoskeletal tissue differentiation of adiposederived stem cells. *Biomed. Eng. Online* 2016, 15, 43.
- 83. de Girolamo, L.; Lucarelli, E.; Alessandri, G.; Avanzini, M.A.; Bernardo, M.A.; Biagi, E.; Brini, A.T.; D'Amico, G.; Fagioli, F.; Ferrero, I.; et al. Mesenchymal Stem/Stromal Cells: A new "cells as drugs" paradigm. *Effic. Crit. Asp. Cell Ther. Curr. Pharm. Design* **2013**, *19*, 13.
- De Francesco, F.; Mannucci, S.; Conti, G.; Dai Prè, E.; Sbarbati, A.; Riccio, M. A Non-enzymatic method to obtain a fat tissue derivatite highly enriched in adipose stem cells (ASCs) from human lipoaspirates: Preliminary results. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2018, 19, 2061.
- 85. Yano, K.; Speidel, A.T.; Yamato, M. Four Food and Drug Administration draft guidance documents and the REGROW Act: A litmus test for future changes in human cell- and tissue-based products regulatory policy in the United States? *J. Tissue Eng. Regen. Med.* **2018**, *12*, 1579–1593.
- Raposio, E.; Ciliberti, R.G. Clinical use of adipose-derived stem cells: European legislative issues. *Ann. Med. Surg.* 2017, 24, 61–64.
- Gentile, P.; Calabrese, C.; De Angelis, B.; Pizzicannella, J.; Kothari, A.; Garcovich, S. Impact of the different preparation methods to obtain human adipose-derived stromal vascular fraction cells (AD-SVFs) and human adipose-derived mesenchymal stem cells (AD-MSCs): Enzymatic digestion versus mechanical centrifugation. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2019, 20, 5471.
- 88. Oberbauer, E.; Steffenhagen, C.; Wurzer, C.; Gabriel, C.; Redl, H.; Wolbank, S. Enzymatic and non-enzymatic isolation systems for adipose tissue-derived cells: Current state of the art. *Cell Regen.* **2015**, *4*, 7.
- 89. Aronowitz, J.A.; Lockhart, R.A.; Hakakian, C.S. Mechanical versus enzymatic isolation of stromal vascular fraction cells from adipose tissue. *Springerplus* **2015**, *4*, 713.
- 90. Tremolada, C.; Colombo, C.; Ventura, C. Adipose tissue and mesenchymal stem cells: State of the art and lipogems technology development. *Curr. Stem. Cell. Rep.* **2016**, *2*, 304–312.
- 91. Carelli, S.; Messaggio, F.; Canazza, A.; Hebda, D.M.; Caremoli, F.; Latorre, E.; Grimoldi, M.G.; Colli, M.; Bulfamante, G.; Tremolada, C.; et al. Characteristics and properties of mesenchymal stem cells derived from microfragmented adipose tissue. *Cell Transplant*. **2015**, *24*, 1233–1252.
- Condè-Green, A.; Kotamarti, V.S.; Sherman, L.S.; Keith, J.D.; Lee, E.S.; Granick, M.S.; Rameshwar, P. Shift toward mechanical isolation of adipose-derived stromal vascular fraction: Review of upcoming techniques. *Plast. Reconstr. Surg. Global Open* 2016, 4, e1017.
- 93. Ferguson, R.E.H.; Cui, X.; Fink, B.F.; Vasconez, H.C.; Pu, L.L.Q. The viability of autologous fat grafts harvested with the LipiVage system: A comparative study. *Ann. Plast. Surg.* **2008**, *60*, 594–597.
- Zhu, M.; Cohen, S.R.; Hicok, K.C.; Shanahan, R.K.; Strem, B.M.; Yu, J.C.; Arm, D.M.; Fraser, J.K. Comparison of three different fat graft preparation methods: Gravity separation, centrifugation, and simultaneous washing with filtration in a closed system. *Plast. Reconstr. Surg.* 2013, 131, 873–880.
- 95. Fang, C.; Patel, P.; Li, H.; Huang, L.T.; Wan, H.; Collins, S.; Connell, T.L.; Xu, H. Physical, biochemical, and biologic properties of fat graft processed via different methods. *Plast. Reconstr. Surg. Global Open* **2020**, *8*, e3010.

- 96. De Fazio, D.; Cingozoglu, C.A.C. Combined mastopexy and augmentation with autologous fat grafting: First results with lipopexy. *Plast. Reconstr. Surg. Global Open* **2020**, *8*, e1957.
- 97. Bianchi, F.; Maioli, M.; Leonardi, E.; Olivi, E.; Pasquinelli, G.; Valente, S.; Mendez, A.J.; Ricordi, C.; Raddaini, M.; Tremolada, C.; et al. A new nonenzymatic method and device to obtain a fat tissue derivative highly enriched in pericyte-like elements by mild mechanical forces from human lipoaspirates. *Cell Transplant*. 2013, *22*, 2063–2077.
- Vezzani, B.; Shaw, I.; Lesme, H.; Yong, L.; Khan, N.; Tremolada, C.; Peault, B. Higher Perycite content and secretory activity of microfragmented human adipose tissue compared to enzymatically derived stromal vascular fraction. *Stem Cells Transl. Med.* 2018, 7, 876–886.
- Randelli, P.; Menon, A.; Ragone, V.; Creo, P.; Bergante, S.; Randelli, F.; De Girolamo, L.; Montrasio, U.A.; Banfi, G.; Cabitza, P.; et al. Lipogems product treatment increases the proliferation rate of human tendon stem cells without affecting their stemness and differentiation capability. *Stem Cells Int.* 2016, 2016, 4373410.
- 100. Jones, I.A.; Wilson, M.; Togashi, R.; Han, B.; Mircheff, A.K.; Thomas Vangsness, C., Jr. A randomized, controlled study to evaluate the efficacy of intra-articular, autologous adipose tissue injections for the treatment of mild-to-moderate knee osteoarthritis compared to hyaluronic acid: A study protocol. *BMC Musculoskelet. Disord.* 2018, 19, 383.
- 101. Trovato, L.; Monti, M.; Del Fante, C.; Cervio, M.; Lampinen, M.; Ambrosio, L.; Redi, C.A.; Perotti, C.; Kankuri, E.; Ambrosio, G.; et al. A New medical device rigeneracons allows to obtain viable micrografts from mechanical disaggregation of human tissues. *J. Cell Physiol.* 2015, 230, 2299–2303.
- 102. Dai Prè, E.; Busato, A.; Mannucci, S.; Vurro, F.; De Francesco, F.; Riccio, V.; Solito, S.; Biswas, R.; Bernardi, P.; Riccio, M.; et al. In Vitro characterization of adipose stem cells non-enzymatically extracted from the thigh and abdomen. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2020, 21, 3081.
- 103. Raposio, E.; Caruana, G.; Petrella, M.; Bonomini, M.P.; Grieco, A. A standardized method of isolating adipose-derived stem cells for clinical application. *Ann. Plast. Surg.* **2016**, *76*, 124–126.
- Raposio, E.; Simonacci, F.; Perrotta, R.E. Adipose-derived stem cells: Comparison between two methods of isolation for clinical applications. Ann. Med. Surg. 2017, 20, 87–91.
- 105. Domenis, R.; Lazzaro, L.; Calabrese, S.; Mangoni, D.; Gallelli, A.; Bourkoula, E.; Manini, I.; Bergamin, N.; Toffoletto, B.; Beltrami, C.A.; et al. Adipose tissue derived stem cells: In vitro and in vivo analysis of a standard and three commercially available cell-assisted lipotransfer techniques. *Stem Cell Res. Ther.* 2015, *6*, 2.
- 106. Senesi, L.; De Francesco, F.; Farinelli, L.; Manzotti, S.; Gagliardi, G.; Papalia, G.F.; Riccio, M.; Gigante, A. Mechanical and enzymatic procedures to isolate the stromal vascular fraction from adipose tissue: Preliminary results. *Front. Cell Dev. Biol.* 2019, 7, 88.
- 107. Busato, A.; De Francesco, F.; Biswas, R.; Mannucci, S.; Conti, G.; Fracasso, G.; Conti, A.; Riccio, V.; Riccio, M.; Sbarbati, A. Simple and Rapid Non-enzymatic procedure allows the isolation of structurally preserved connective tissue micro-fragments enriched with SVF. *Cells* 2020, 10, 36.
- 108. Yin, K.; Wang, S.; Zhao, R.C. Exosomes from mesenchymal stem/stromal cells: A new therapeutic paradigm. *Biomark. Res.* **2019**, 7, 8.
- 109. Isola, A.; Chen, S. Exosomes: The messengers of health and disease. Curr. Neuropharmacol. 2016, 15, 157–165.
- Colombo, M.; Raposo, G.; Thery, C. Biogenesis, secretion, and intercellular interactions of exosomes and other extracellular vesicles. *Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol.* 2014, 30, 255–289.
- 111. Kao, C.Y.; Papoutsakis, E.T. Extracellular vesicles: Exosomes, microparticles, their parts, and their targets to enable their biomanufacturing and clinical applications. *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* **2019**, *60*, 89–98.
- 112. Cosenza, S.; Ruiz, M.; Toupet, K.; Jorgensen, C.; Noel, D. Mesenchymal stem cells derived exosomes and microparticles protect cartilage and bone from degradation in osteoarthritis. *Sci. Rep.* **2017**, *7*, 16214.
- 113. Zhang, S.; Chu, W.C.; Lai, R.C.; Lim, S.K.; Hui, J.H.; Toh, W.S. Exosomes derived from human embryonic mesenchymal stem cells promote osteochondral regeneration. *Osteoarthr. Cartil.* **2016**, *24*, 2135–2140.
- 114. Kusuma, G.D.; Carthew, J.; Lim, R.; Frith, J.E. Effect of the microenvironment on mesenchymal stem cell paracrine signaling: Opportunities to engineer the therapeutic effect. *Stem Cells Dev.* **2017**, *9*, 617–631.
- 115. Mianehsaz, E.; Mirzaei, H.R.; Mahjoubin-Tehran, M.; Rezaee, A.; Sahebnasagn, R.; Pourhanifeh, M.H.; Mirzaei, H.; Hamblin, M.R. Mesenchymal stem cell-derived exosomes: A new therapeutic approach to osteoarthritis? *Stem Cell Res. Ther.* **2019**, *10*, 340.
- 116. Ruiz, M.; Cosenza, S.; Maumus, M.; Jorgensen, C.; Noel, D. Therapeutic application of mesenchymal stem cells in osteoarthritis. *Expert Opin. Biol. Ther.* **2016**, *16*, 33–42.
- Tofino-Vian, M.; Guillen, M.I.; Perez Del Caz, M.D.; Castejon, M.A.; Alcaraz, M.J. Extracellular Vesicles from Adipose-Derived Mesenchymal Stem Cells Downregulate Senescence Features in Osteoarthritic Osteoblasts. Oxid. Med. Cell. Longev. 2017, 2017, 7197598.
- 118. Woo, C.H.; Kim, H.K.; Jung, G.Y.; Jung, Y.J.; Lee, K.S.; Yun, Y.E.; Han, J.; Lee, J.; Kim, W.S.; Choi, J.S.; et al. Small extracellular vesicles from human adipose-derived stem cells attenuate cartilage degeneration. *J. Extracell. Vesicles* **2020**, *9*, 1735249.
- 119. Ragni, E.; Perucca Orfei, C.; De Luca, P.; Lugano, G.; Viganò, M.; Colombini, A.; Valli, F.; Zacchetti, D.; Bollati, V.; De Girolamo, L. Interaction with hyaluronan matrix and miRNA cargo as contributors for in vitro potential of mesenchymal stem cell-derived extracellular vesicles in a model of human osteoarthritic synoviocytes. *Stem Cell Res. Ther.* 2019, *10*, 109.
- 120. Daher, S.R.; Johnstone, B.H.; Phinney, D.G.; March, K.L. Adipose stromal/stem cells: Basic and translational advances. The IFATS collection. *Stem Cells* **2008**, *26*, 2664–2665.

- 121. Tang, T.T.; Wang, B.; Lv, L.L.; Liu, B.C. Extracellular vesicles-based nanotherapeutics: Emerging frontiers in anti-inflammatory therapy. *Theranostics* 2020, *10*, 8111.
- 122. Szwedowski, D.; Szczepanek, J.; Paczesny, L.; Pekała, P.; Zabrzynski, J.; Kruczy, J. Genetics in Cartilage Lesions: Basic Science and Therapy Approaches. *IJMS* **2020**, *21*, 5430.
- Turnbull, G.; Clarke, J.; Picard, F.; Zhang, W.; Riches, P.; Li, B.; Shu, W. 3D biofabrication for soft tissue and cartilage engineering. *Med. Eng. Phys.* 2020, *82*, 13–39.
- 124. Onofrillo, C.; Duchi, S.; O'Connell, C.D.; Blanchard, R.; O'Connor, A.J.; Scott, M.; Wallace, G.G.; Choong, P.F.M.; Di Bella, C. Biofabrication of human articular cartilage: A path towords the development of a clinical treatment. *Biofabrication* **2018**, *10*, 045006.
- 125. Singh, Y.P.; Moses, J.C.; Bhardwaj, N.; Mandal, B.B. Overcoming the dependence on animal models for osteoarthtitis therapeutics- the promises and prospects of in vitro model. *Adv. Healthc. Mater.* **2021**, *24*, e2100961.
- 126. Brun, P.; Cortivo, R.; Zavan, B.; Vecchiato, N.; Abatangelo, G. In vitro reconstructed tissues on hyaluronan-based temporary scaffolding. *J. Mater. Sci. Mater. Med.* **1999**, *10*, 683–688.
- 127. Figallo, E.; Flaibani, M.; Zavan, B.; Abatangelo, G.; Elvassore, N. Micropatterned Biopolymer 3D Scaffold for Static and Dynamic Culture of Human Fibroblasts. *Biotechnol. Prog.* 2007, 23, 210–216.
- 128. Gardin, C.; Bressan, E.; Ferroni, L.; Nalesso, E.; Vindigni, V.; Stellini, E.; Pinton, P.; Sivolella, S.; Zavan, B. In Vitro Concurrent Endothelial and Osteogenic Commitment of Adipose-Derived Stem Cells and Their Genomical Analyses Through Comparative Genomic Hybridization Array: Novel Strategies to Increase the Successful Engraftment of Tissue-Engineered Bone Grafts. *Stem Cells Dev.* 2012, 21, 767–777.
- Azzena, B.; Mazzoleni, F.; Abatangelo, G.; Zavan, B.; Vindigni, V. Autologous Platelet-Rich Plasma as an Adipocyte In Vivo Delivery System: Case Report. *Aesthet. Plast. Surg.* 2008, *32*, 155–158.
- 130. Ettorre, V.; De Marco, P.; Zara, S.; Perrotti, V.; Scarano, A.; Di Crescenzo, A.; Petrini, M.; Hadad, C.; Bosco, D.; Zavan, B.; et al. In vitro and in vivo characterization of graphene oxide coated porcine bone granules. *Carbon* **2016**, *103*, 291–298.